

# Biodegradation efficiencies and economic feasibility of single-stage and two-stage anaerobic digestion of desulfated Skim Latex Serum (SLS) by using rubber wood ash

Marisa Raketh, Prawit Kongjan, Khaliyah Sani, Eric Trably, Benjamas Cheirsilp, Rattana Jariyaboon

### ▶ To cite this version:

Marisa Raketh, Prawit Kongjan, Khaliyah Sani, Eric Trably, Benjamas Cheirsilp, et al.. Biodegradation efficiencies and economic feasibility of single-stage and two-stage anaerobic digestion of desulfated Skim Latex Serum (SLS) by using rubber wood ash. Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 2022, 162, pp.721-732. 10.1016/j.psep.2022.04.043 . hal-03709094

## HAL Id: hal-03709094 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03709094

Submitted on 28 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Biodegradation Efficiencies and Economic Feasibility of Single-stage and Two-
2	Stage Anaerobic Digestion of Desulfated Skim Latex Serum (SLS) by Using
3	Rubber Wood Ash
4	
5	Marisa Raketh <sup>1,3</sup> , Prawit Kongjan <sup>2,3</sup> , Khaliyah Sani <sup>1,3</sup> , Eric Trably <sup>4</sup> , Benjamas
6	Cheirsilp <sup>5</sup> , Rattana Jariyaboon <sup>2,3,*</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Energy Technology Program, Faculty of Engineering, Prince of Songkla University,
9	Hat Yai, Songkhla, 90112, Thailand
10	<sup>2</sup> Department of Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, Prince of Songkla
11	University (PSU), Pattani, 94000, Thailand
12	<sup>3</sup> Bio-Mass Conversion to Energy and Chemicals (Bio-MEC) Research Unit, Faculty of
13	Science and Technology, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Pattani, 94000, Thailand
14	<sup>4</sup> INRAE, Univ Montpellier, LBE, Narbonne, France
15	<sup>5</sup> Biotechnology for Bioresource Utilization Laboratory, Department of Industrial
16	Biotechnology, Faculty of Agro-Industry, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai,
17	Songkhla 90112, Thailand
18	
19	*corresponding author at: Department of Science, Faculty of
20	Science and Technology, Prince of Songkla University (PSU), Pattani 94000,
21	Thailand. Tel.: +66 73 313928-50 ext1988, mobile: +66 808721260.
22	E-mail address: rattana.sa@psu.ac.th (R. Jariyaboon).
23	
24	

25 ABSTRACT

The efficiencies of single-stage anaerobic digestion (SSAD) and two-stage 2627anaerobic digestion (TSAD) of desulfated skim latex serum (DSLS) using various rubber wood ash (RWA) loadings were investigated in this study. The experiments on 28batch processes showed that DSLS gave a higher yield (6-21%) than raw SLS in both 2930 SSAD and TSAD. The highest H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> yields of 90.64 and 294.53 mL/g-COD<sub>added</sub> were achieved with DSLS using RWA loading of 5 g/L (DSLS5) and 10 g/L (DSLS10), 3132respectively in TSAD (thermophilic and mesophilic conditions, respectively). The 33 maximum 305.09 mL/g-COD<sub>added</sub> CH<sub>4</sub> yield in SSAD was observed for DSLS10. Total energy recovery in TSAD was 5% higher than that in SSAD. However, the cost 3435assessment on continuous AD using kinetics and yield from the batch experiments suggests longer payback time for TSAD (4.36 years) than for SSAD (2.52 years). TSAD 36 is not economically attractive with DSLS10 due to the large total volume of digestors 3738required. This study revealed that RWA can remove sulfate from SLS to enhance biogas production and reduces H<sub>2</sub>S in the biogas, while TSAD of DSLS was not attractive 39 40 compared to the conventional SSAD like for some other substrates reported in the 41 literature. 4243Keywords: Skim Latex Serum, Two-stage anaerobic digestion, Bio-hydrogen, Bio-44methane, Cost assessment

45

46

47

49 **1. Introduction** 

Thailand is the main producer and exporter of several intermediate rubber
products, such as concentrated latex, block rubber, and ribbed smoked sheet rubber.
Concentrated latex is mainly produced with annual generation capacity of around 1.1
million tons from concentrated rubber latex plants, which are largely located in southern
peninsular Thailand in 2020 (Rubber Intelligence Unit of Thailand, 2020).

When the fresh latex (with about 30% rubber content) is centrifuged, the main 55product of concentrated latex (with about 60% rubber content) is collected along with 56the skim latex by-product (with about 5% rubber content) (Danwanichakul et al., 2019). 57In skim latex process, sulfuric acid is added to precipitate and coagulate the rubber 5859(Chaiprapat et al., 2015) and the wastewater from this process is called skim latex serum (SLS). It contains a large amount of sulfate (7500 mg/L), has high chemical 60 oxygen demand (COD) (42.50 g/L), Volatile Solids (VS) (37.94), and low pH (5.51) 61 (Raketh et al., 2021). The sulfate content in SLS can be converted to hydrogen sulfide 62 under anaerobic conditions, which causes odor problems nearby the mill and has 63 64 become a serious issue for latex rubber factories. Therefore, treatment of this 65 wastewater before discharge to environment is crucial, otherwise it may cause water, air, and even soil pollution. 66

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is one of a number of techniques for treating SLS. Nonetheless, the high sulfate content in SLS could inhibit methanogens, resulting uncertain AD process with possibly low biogas yield (Jariyaboon et al., 2015). In our previous research work, rubber wood ash (RWA) was used to reduce sulfate in SLS with 42% sulfate removal efficiency, and the results indicated that RWA can reduce sulfate, with adsorption possibly as the main mechanism of sulfate removal, and the desulfated 73 SLS had improved biogas production (Raketh et al., 2021)

There are four steps in the AD process namely hydrolysis, acidogenesis, 74acetogenesis, and methanogenesis (Wang et al., 2020). The conventional AD process is 75performed in a single digester and is called single-stage AD (SSAD); it focuses on 76 77 methane production. Actually, hydrogen is produced during acidogenesis and converted 78to methane during methanogenesis. Two-stage AD (TSAD) has been proposed to recover hydrogen in the first separated digestor and the effluent is fed to the second tank 79 80 for further digestion. Split of TSAD to two reactor tanks allows optimizing hydrolysis/acidogenesis and methanogenesis and could enhance the overall reaction 81 rate, maximize biogas yields, and create a process that is easier to control, both in meso-82 83 and thermophilic states (Schievano et al., 2012). Many studies have shown that TSAD gives a higher overall degradation 84 efficiency, and several authors have reported different applications of TSAD, with 85 various organic substrates and different process designs .Sani et al. (2021) reported a 86 comparative evaluation of SSAD and TSAD using palm oil mill effluent. The yield 87 88 264.73 mL-CH<sub>4</sub>/g-COD<sub>added</sub> was achieved in SSAD and 106.13 mL-H<sub>2</sub>/g-COD<sub>added</sub> and 334.56 mL-CH<sub>4</sub>/g-COD<sub>added</sub> were produced in TSAD. A 38.95% higher total energy fuel 89 yield was found for TSAD compared to SSAD. Similar results were found when wheat 90 91 feed pellets (Massanet-Nicolau et al., 2013) and poplar wood (Akobi et al., 2016) were 92used as substrates in a comparison study of SSAD and TSAD. Furthermore, food waste 93 was used as substrate in TSAD yielding 20 and 18% more total energy than in SSAD, in 94 the studies of De Gioannis et al. (2017) and Nathao et al. (2013), respectively. 95 Conversely, there is a case reporting no significant differences in overall energy recovery between TSAD and SSAD systems using a mixture of swine manure and 96

97	market biowaste as substrate (Schievano et al., 2012). This result suggested the
98	hypothesis that the TSAD systems may in some cases bring no advantage in terms of
99	overall energy recovery yields.
100	The objective of the present study was to investigate whether TSAD of raw SLS
101	and desulfated SLS could generate more energy than SSAD. Moreover,
102	biodegradability, kinetics and cost assessments of both processes were also explored.
103	
104	2. Materials and methods
105	2.1. Substrate and inoculum
106	Fresh raw SLS was collected from skim latex serum coagulation baths of the
107	concentrated latex factory in Songkhla province, Thailand. The main characteristics of
108	raw SLS and desulfated SLS (DSLS) with the level of RWA loading at 5, 10, 15, 20, and
109	30 g/L, are presented in Table 1. The SLS collected was stored at 4°C until use to
110	minimize self-biodegradation and acidification (the maximum storage time was 1
111	month).
112	

**Table 1** Some characteristics of substrate and inoculum in AD process.

		Inoculum						
Parameters	Raw SLS	DSLS5	DSLS10	DSLS15	DSLS20	DSLS30	$H_2$	CH <sub>4</sub>
RWA loading (g/L)	0	5	10	15	20	30	-	-
pН	5.51	6.46	6.83	7.04	7.49	8.26	4.81	7.88
TS (g/L)	44.74	45.31	45.99	46.67	47.36	47.70	62.64	61.19
VS (g/L)	37.94	38.70	38.85	39.00	39.15	39.23	45.23	39.43
Ash (g/L)	6.8	6.99	7.85	8.72	9.58	10.01	17.41	21.76
COD (g/L)	43.11	42.69	42.88	43.07	43.26	43.36	NA	NA
Alkalinity (mg-CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L)	3,287	3,318	3,336	3,656	3,787	4,101	NA	NA

Sulfate (mg/L)	7,500	5,200	4,250	4,900	6,150	6,500	NA	NA
TOC (g/L)	14.25	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TKN (mg/L)	1,548	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

NA denoted not analyzed

115	RWA was collected from the high-pressure steam boiler of a glove factory in
116	Songkhla province, Thailand. The main components in RWA were Ca and Si at 21.83
117	and 10.53 %w/w. K, S, Cl, Mg, and Fe were found in smallish amounts (6.43, 5.77,
118	3.15, 2.05, and 1.49 $\%$ w/w respectively) while some heavy metals were also observed
119	as presented in our previous study (Raketh et al., 2021).
120	The mesophilic methane inoculum was obtained from the biogas plant of
121	Phasaeng Green Power Co., Ltd., Surat Thani Province, Thailand, in which palm oil
122	mill effluent was used as a substrate. The thermophilic inoculums for hydrogen
123	production were prepared by shock loading the mesophilic methane inoculum using 50
124	g/L brown sugar in 800 mL working volume serum bottle to enrich hydrogen production
125	bacteria. Then the serum bottles were closed with bottle caps and purged with nitrogen
126	gas at a flow rate of 1 L/min for 3 min to ensure anaerobic conditions before incubation
127	at 55 °C afterwards. Daily biogas volume was measured by water displacement method
128	while H <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub> , and CH <sub>4</sub> , concentrations in the biogas were analyzed by gas
129	chromatography. The sugar in the same dosage was added into the shock-loaded
130	inoculum for another acclimation. The $CH_4$ gas was detected only in the first day of first
131	round acclimation, after that $CH_4$ gas no longer appeared. The hydrogen yield of shock-
132	loaded inoculum in 3 rounds of acclimation were 63.76, 86.90, and 89.03 mLH $_2$ /g-
133	COD, respectively, then it was further used as inoculum for hydrogen production in
134	two-stage anaerobic digestion (H <sub>2</sub> -TSAD). Some characteristics of hydrogen and

135 methane inocula used in AD process are shown in Table 1.

136

137 2.2. Removal of sulfate from SLS

The rubber wood ash (RWA) levels of 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 g/L were added to 1 L SLS before continuous stirring with a magnetic stirrer at a speed of 150 rpm for 10 minutes. After 10 minutes of mixing, the ash residue was immediately separated from mixed suspensions and the desulfated SLS was then used as a substrate for anaerobic digestion. The characteristics of solutions before and after adding RWA were analyzed in terms of pH and sulfate.

144

145 2.3. Biohydrogen and biomethane production in batch process

146 H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD was carried out in 120 mL serum bottle with a working volume of 60 147mL. Raw SLS and desulfated SLS (DSLS) with various initial solid loadings of RWA (5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 g/L) were used as substrate at initial loading of 28 g-COD/L and 148 14970:30% v/v of substrate to inoculum ratio was added into each serum bottle (Angelidaki 150and Sanders, 2004; Kongjan et al., 2018) and adjusted to pH 7.0 with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>. After that, the serum bottles were closed with bottle caps and purged with nitrogen gas at a 151152flow rate of 1 L/min for 3 min to ensure anaerobic conditions before incubation at 55 153°C. The pH, sulfate, and volatile free fatty acids (VFAs) of effluent were investigated afterwards. 154

The effluents from the first stage of  $H_2$ -TSAD were used to determine methane production of two-stage anaerobic digestion (CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD), while Raw SLS and DSLS

157 corresponding to 8.5 g-COD/L initial loading were used to determine methane

158 production of single-stage anaerobic digestion (CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD). The procedure of CH<sub>4</sub>-

159	TSAD and CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD experiments was as follows. First 60 mL of substrate was mixed
160	with a 140 mL methane inoculum in 500 mL serum bottle, leaving a 300 mL headspace.
161	The 70% by volume inoculum in the methane production stage was chosen to ensure
162	sufficient microorganisms for biomethane production potential (BMP) protocol
163	(Angelidaki and Sanders, 2004). A portion of wastewater was replaced by DI water for
164	blank control. The serum bottle was then closed with a bottle cap and purged with
165	nitrogen gas at a flow rate of 1 L/min for 3 min to ensure anaerobic conditions before
166	incubation at 35 °C. The pH and sulfate of effluent were investigated afterwards.
167	All experiments were carried out in triplicate. Daily biogas volume was
168	measured by water displacement method while CH <sub>4</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> , and H <sub>2</sub> S concentrations in
169	the biogas were analyzed by gas chromatography. The fermentation was stopped when
170	no significant gas production was observed. The initial characteristics in $H_2$ -TSAD and
171	CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD assay are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2** Conditions and initial main characteristics of H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD

Parameter	H <sub>2</sub> -TSAD	CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD
Working volume (mL)	60	200
TOC (g/L)	9.50	2.85
TKN (g/L)	1032	309
Alkalinity (g/L)	2191	657
Ash (g/L)	4.53	1.36
TS (g/L)	29.38	8.95
VS (g/L)	25.29	7.59
COD (g/L)	28.33	8.50

176 2.4. Analytical methods

177	The biogas production volume was measured through water displacement
178	method. Hydrogen content in biogas was measured using gas chromatography (GC)
179	(Shimadzu GC 14A, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan), equipped with a stainless-steel
180	column (2 m) packed with molecular sieve 58 (80/100 mesh) and equipped with a
181	thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Each sample of biogas (0.5 mL) was injected into
182	the GC with argon as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 35 mL/min. The GC column,
183	injection port, oven, and detector were all set at 100 °C temperature. Methane, carbon
184	dioxide, and hydrogen sulfite contents in biogas were also measured using gas
185	chromatography (Shimadzu GC 14A equipped with a thermal conductivity detector),
186	but with a 2.5 m Porapak S column with Hayesep Q (80/100). Helium was used as the
187	carrier gas at a flow rate of 30 mL/min. The injection port, oven, and detector were set
188	at 100, 60, and 110°C respective temperatures. An 0.5 mL sample of the gas was
189	injected in triplicate. VFAs were analyzed by gas chromatograph equipped with a flame
190	ionization detector (GC-FID) (Shimadzu GC 8A). The column capillary was packed
191	with fused silica and had 30 m length (Stabiwax® column). The GC-FID was equipped
192	with an autosampler and an integrator. The chromatography used this program
193	sequence: 60 °C for 35 min, 2 °C/min to 110 °C, 10 °C/min to 200 °C, and hold 1 min.
194	The inlet and detector temperatures were set at 230° and 250°C, respectively.
195	The procedures described in the standard methods (APHA, 2012) were applied
196	to determine pH, COD, TKN, total alkalinity, TS, VS, ash, and sulfate. TOC was
197	investigated with TOC-Liquid: multi N/C 3100 TOC analyzer (Analytik Jena).
198	Statistically significant differences in the results were determined using one-way
199	analysis of variance (ANOVA) in SPSS v26.0 (IBM, USA).
200	

201 2.5 Kinetic model analysis for TSAD and SSAD processes

Models for explaining the complex metabolic processes and predicting the kinetics in the AD comprise a first-order kinetic model and a modified Gompertz model, presented in equations (1) and (2) (Nguyen et al., 2019; Siripatana et al., 2016). Then ultimate hydrogen or methane production and the hydrolysis constants are obtained from the experimental data plot.

207

208 First-order kinetic model : 
$$M(t) = M_m \times (1 - e^{-k \times t})$$
 (1)

209

Here M(t) is the cumulative production of hydrogen or methane at a given time (mL/g-

211 COD),  $M_m$  is the maximum cumulative production of hydrogen or methane (mL/g-

212 COD), *k* is the rate constant (1/h or 1/d), and *t* is the time (h or d).

213

214 Modified Gompertz model: 
$$M(t) = M_m \times exp\left\{-exp\left[\frac{R_{max} \times e}{M_m}(\lambda - t) + 1\right]\right\}$$
 (2)

215

where  $R_{max}$  is the maximum specific of hydrogen or methane production rates (mL/g-COD·h or mL/g-COD·d),  $\lambda$  is lag phase period or minimum time to produce biogas (h or d), *t* is cumulative time for biogas production (h or d), and *e* is 2.718282, exp (1). Microsoft Excel TM2010, with Solver add-in program (Microsoft, USA) was used to determine the kinetic parameters .The correlation coefficients (R<sup>2</sup>) were also obtained.

- 222 **3. Results and discussion**
- 223 3.1 Effect of RWA loading on biohydrogen and biomethane production
- 224 The cumulative H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD of raw SLS and DSLS at various RWA loadings are

reported in Fig. 1a. No methane was detected during the hydrogen production in the
first-stage AD. All DSLS gave higher hydrogen production than raw SLS. The highest
154.48 mL.H<sub>2</sub> cumulative hydrogen in the hydrogenic stage during 64 h of operation
was achieved from DSLS5 (Fig. 1a), which corresponds to hydrogen yield of 90.64 mLH<sub>2</sub>/g-COD<sub>added</sub>. A lower by a half cumulation of hydrogen at 75.48 mL-H<sub>2</sub> was obtained
from raw SLS.

231This obviously shows that, by using RWA, the sulfate in SLS could be reduced 232(data shown in Table 3) and this decreased the competition between hydrogen 233producing bacteria and sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) for using the same organic 234matters as electron donors to reduce either hydrogen or hydrogen sulfide (Kongjan et 235al., 2014). Considering the RWA loading in SLS, 10 g/L RWA achieved the highest 236sulfate removal efficiency. The mechanism of RWA in sulfate removal from SLS is 237described in our previous work (Raketh et al., 2021). However, among the DSLS cases, DSLS5 provided the highest H<sub>2</sub> production, while sulfate content was higher than in 238DSLS10. Some metal ions can be leached from RWA and solubilized into SLS, as 239240shown in Table 4 which were investigated by inductively coupled plasma optical 241emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) technique. The lower RWA loading should provide 242less metal ions, so that when the RWA loading increased the metal ion concentrations 243increased. Ni, Cu, and Mn slightly increase in DSLS while Mg was two times higher than in raw SLS. K was also increased in DSLS10 and higher than in raw SLS, 244245exceeding the recommended level (2.5 mg/L) (Reungsang et al., 2019) and inhibiting 246the anaerobic system. Possibly in these concentration ranges the metal ion effects 247dominated over effects of the sulfate content.

248

DSLS is also a more promising substrate than raw SLS for methane production

249	both in $CH_4$ -1SAD and $CH_4$ -SSAD (Fig. 1b and Fig. 2). 50.02-75.95% methane
250	contents in the biogas were observed, demonstrating favorable metabolic pathway
251	(Reungsang et al., 2019; Raketh et al., 2021). Using DSLS gave 13-16% methane
252	production increases from that of raw SLS. The highest methane productions of 502
253	mL-CH <sub>4</sub> and 520 mL-CH <sub>4</sub> were from DSLS10 in the CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD and the CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD
254	processes, respectively. According to biomethane production protocols, the substrate in
255	CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD and CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD assays was about 3-fold diluted by the inoculum. Thus, the
256	concentrations of organic and inorganic compounds in SLS substrate were also reduced.
257	This reduces the effects of RWA loading on CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD and CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD, compared to
258	H <sub>2</sub> -TSAD. DSLS10 achieved the highest methane productions in both CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD and
259	CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD processes. This clearly demonstrates that at lower metal ion concentrations
260	the sulfate content in DSLS significantly affected methane production.

1 .....

50.00

75 050

aa

261





295 Fig.2 Cumulative methane production from SSAD

### **Table 3** pH and sulfate concentration during H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD runs.

	Two-stage AD										Single-stage AD						
Substrate	H <sub>2</sub> -TSAD			CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD		Sulfate mass distribution		Overall	CH <sub>4</sub> -SS		-SSAD		Sulfate mass distribution		Overall		
Substrate	Initial	Final	Initial Sulfate	Initial	Final	Final Sulfate	<b>Initial</b> <sup>b</sup>	Final	sulfate removal	Initial	Final	Initial Sulfate	Final Sulfate	Initial <sup>c</sup>	Final	removal	
	$\mathbf{pH}^{\mathrm{a}}$	pН	(mg/L)	pН	pН	(mg/L)	(mg)	(mg)	(%)	pН	pН	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg)	(mg)	(%)	
Raw SLS	7.00	6.93	5417	7.56	7.64	261	325	52	83.94	7.31	7.34	1625	250	325	50	84.62	
DSLS5	7.03	6.94	3467	7.6	7.65	155	208	31	85.10	7.49	7.33	1040	145	208	29	86.11	
DSLS10	6.98	6.86	2833	7.55	7.63	111	170	22	86.94	7.58	7.35	850	102	170	20	88.00	
DSLS15	7.00	6.93	3267	7.61	7.65	149	196	30	84.80	7.6	7.34	980	145	196	29	85.20	
DSLS20	7.06	6.95	4100	7.62	7.66	152	246	30	87.64	7.65	7.37	1230	149	246	30	87.89	
DSLS30	7.12	6.98	4333	7.63	7.66	174	260	35	86.62	7.68	7.4	1300	170	260	34	86.92	

<sup>a</sup> pH adjusted with NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, <sup>b</sup> Working volume of H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD was 60 mL, and <sup>c</sup> Working volume of CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD was 120 mL

Dissolved element	Concentration (mg/L)						
	Raw SLS	DSLS10					
Cobalt (Co)	< 0.003	< 0.003					
Nickel (Ni)	< 0.005	$0.06 \pm 0.01$					
Copper (Cu)	< 0.016	$0.18\pm0.02$					
Manganese (Mn)	$0.14 \pm 0.00$	$2.69\pm0.00$					
Iron (Fe)	$0.60\pm0.02$	$1.34\pm0.02$					
Sodium (Na)	$10.62\pm0.02$	$12.22\pm0.09$					
Calcium (Ca)	$12.91\pm0.05$	$302.40\pm6.56$					
Magnesium (Mg)	$32.41\pm0.06$	$66.41 \pm 0.10$					
Phosphorus (P)	$223.90\pm2.64$	$209.20\pm1.56$					
Zinc (Zn)	$295.70\pm3.93$	$240.80\pm2.88$					
Potassium (K)	$3,728.00 \pm 47.85$	4,015.00 ± 59.26					

305 **Table 4** Dissolved elements in raw SLS and DSLS10 from ICP-OES technique.

307 Under anaerobic conditions, sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) use sulfate as a terminal 308 electron acceptor to suppress organic compounds (Mu et al., 2019). The SRB is not only competing with methane-producing bacteria (MPB) to use organic substances resulting 309 310 in lower methane production yield (Gustavsson et al., 2013), but SRB also could 311 produce a significant amount of H<sub>2</sub>S from sulfate in SLS, which inhibits MPB, causing 312slowing or stop of the methane production. Fig. 3 shows the cumulative H<sub>2</sub>S from 313 TSAD and SSAD processes. As expected, the more sulfate was in the substrate, the more H<sub>2</sub>S was produced. In both TSAD and SSAD processes, the raw SLS digestion 314 315produced the most H<sub>2</sub>S by cumulative volume and its concentration in biogas ranged within 0.21-3.57%. The lowest cumulative H<sub>2</sub>S was given by DSLS10 digestion and the 316 317concentration in biogas ranged within 0.22-1.56%. The TSAD started to generate H<sub>2</sub>S on the  $2^{nd}$  day of fermentation (Fig. 3a), whereas H<sub>2</sub>S was detected after the  $3^{rd}$  day 318 from SSAD, as illustrated in Fig. 3b. This is because sulfates are consumed in the first 319

stage and converted to hydrogen sulfide. Organic substrates in H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD are also utilized by SRB and produce HS<sup>-</sup>. Thus, the effluent of H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, which was the feed to CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, was rich in SRB and HS<sup>-</sup> causing H<sub>2</sub>S detection early on from this stage. In contrast, SRB in SSAD took the time for favorable growth in the methane production processes, hence H<sub>2</sub>S was generated later than by TSAD. This investigation found that the TSAD produced 6% less cumulation of H<sub>2</sub>S than the SSAD process.

RWA does not reduce the sulfate to lower than the inhibition level (500 mg/L) due to the equilibrium limit and because sulfate contained in RWA was released into

328 SLS (Raketh et al., 2021). However, RWA clearly enhanced the biogas production from

329 DSLS which was higher than from raw SLS both in TSAD and SSAD processes.

330 Sulfate concentrations and sulfate mass distribution during H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, and

331 CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD runs were also observed and are shown in Table 3. It was found that the

overall sulfate removal efficiencies (83.94-88.00%) achieved were similar for TSAD
and SSAD processes in SLS digestion.

334





**Fig. 3** Cumulative hydrogen sulfide production from (a) TSAD, and (b) SSAD.

354 3.2 Kinetics study

H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> production rates are also important for further process design, so it 355is necessary to simplify and accurately explain the mechanisms and metabolic pathways 356 related to AD of the substrates under varied operating conditions, for predicting the 357performance of an individual digester (Nguyen et al., 2019). The kinetic parameters of 358359the models used to explain the rates of substrate degradation and H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, CH<sub>4</sub>-360 TSAD, and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD were determined by model fits to the experimental data. Table 5 summarizes the results of kinetic study of TSAD and SSAD processes using the first-361362order kinetic model and the modified Gompertz model. Using the first-order kinetic model, H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD ( $R^2 > 0.91$ ), and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD ( $R^2 >$ 363 0.96) data were fitted better than the CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD data ( $R^2 > 0.90$ ). This is probably due 364 365to high VFAs in substrate loaded into CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, which could slow down the methanogen activity. Moreover, the first-order kinetic model provided higher  $R^2$  than 366 367 0.90 and was appropriate in all these cases. This is reconfirmed by plots of measured

and model predicted H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD in Fig. 4. The predicted
H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD by the model was slightly below the experimental results. For CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD,
both of models slightly overestimated the experiments, in contrast to the CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD for
which model predictions were below the values from experiments. The rate constants
(*k*) of first-order models varied in the range 0.12-0.31 (1/h) for H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD and 0.11-0.51
(1/d) for CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD.

374Theoretically, methane production rate in CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD should faster than in CH<sub>4</sub>-375SSAD because some organic matter, especially carbohydrates, is degraded during the first stage before feeding to the second. It can be observed that most studies reporting 376 377 faster methane production rate in the CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD used difficult to digest substrates. Sani 378 et al. (2021) report on biohydrogen and biomethane potential of palm oil mill effluent 379(POME). The POME is a poorly digestible substrate, and the k for CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD (0.12-380 (0.39) is higher than for CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD ((0.08-0.27) (Sani et al., 2021). On the other hand, in this study the k of first-order kinetic model for  $CH_4$ -SSAD (0.24-0.51) was higher than 381for  $CH_4$ -TSAD (0.11-0.14) as shown in Table 5. 382

For the modified Gompertz model, different interpretable parameters of  $M_m$ , 383  $R_{max}$ , and  $\lambda$  were obtained by fitting with cumulative production of hydrogen and 384methane (Fig.4). The R<sup>2</sup> values were in range 0.92-0.99 of H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, 0.96-0.99 of CH<sub>4</sub>-385TSAD, and 0.94-0.9789 of CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD. The  $R^2$  of the modified Gompertz model fits 386 was excellent indicating good suitability of this model type, and good correlation to 387 experimental data to explain cumulative production of hydrogen and methane from AD 388 389 process.  $\lambda$  of H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD were shorter than for CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, indicating microorganisms in an inoculum used are rapidly starting AD of the substrate. The lag 390 391time of raw SLS of H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD was shorter than of DSLS, while in contrast DSLS10 of

392	both CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD and CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD achieved a shorter lag time than others. Therefore, the
393	RWA loading affects lag time. Nonetheless, the modified Gompertz model is not
394	directly related to biogas or other kinds of accumulated product data in a context of
395	enzymatic kinetics (Siripatana et al., 2016), hence using kinetic constant obtained from
396	the first-order kinetic model in combination with those interpretable parameters attained
397	from the modified Gompertz model could be further used, possibly for optimizing the
398	design, start-up, and functioning of a continuous anaerobic digester.
399	
400	
401	
402	
403	
404	
405	
406	
407	
408	
409	
410	
411	
412	
413	
414	
415	

416	Table 5 Kinetic	parameters according	to model fits for	or TSAD ar	nd SSAD processes.
					1

	H <sub>2</sub> -TSAD					CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD				CH₄-SSAD								
	rawSLS	DSLS5	DSLS10	DSLS15	DSLS20	DSLS30	rawSLS	DSLS5	DSLS10	DSLS15	DSLS20	DSLS30	rawSLS	DSLS5	DSLS10	DSLS15	DSLS20	DSLS30
Experimental $M_m$ (mL/g-COD)	44.29	90.64	73.04	56.02	56.16	55.11	261.68	269.35	294.53	271.48	283.38	281.62	263.28	292.77	305.10	297.17	279.86	290.42
First order model																		
$M_m$ (mL/g-COD)	41.54	79.44	67.69	46.42	52.26	48.22	281.24	270.06	305.80	293.96	293.45	296.86	263.74	290.93	301.34	293.57	278.63	288.06
$k^*$	0.12	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.26	0.31	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.24	0.35	0.45	0.39	0.51	0.49
R-Squared	0.9514	0.9343	0.9927	0.9442	0.9169	0.9887	0.9571	0.9352	0.9373	0.9002	0.9296	0.9402	0.9626	0.9793	0.9913	0.9855	0.9956	0.9892
Modified Gomper	tz model																	
$M_m$ (mL/g-COD)	44.13	88.00	73.00	50.09	54.64	53.25	271.66	263.32	316.97	279.61	307.83	309.32	243.04	278.12	296.49	283.83	274.92	283.32
Lag time <sup>**</sup>	1.01	3.98	2.50	1.95	2.89	2.85	2.60	2.69	1.93	3.15	3.20	2.25	0.63	0.43	0.15	0.39	0.51	0.55
$R_{max}$ ***	3.28	15.02	8.39	4.93	11.21	7.63	31.01	33.01	32.34	35.92	32.39	28.44	66.37	97.86	93.77	101.36	114.56	119.80
R-Squared	0.9248	0.9591	0.9908	0.9372	0.9818	0.9766	0.9904	0.9908	0.9784	0.9616	0.9902	0.9891	0.9404	0.9470	0.9768	0.9556	0.9629	0.9602

<sup>\*</sup>*k* in (1/h) for H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD; and k in (1/d) for CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD. <sup>\*\*</sup>Lag time in (h) for H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD; and in (d) for CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD. <sup>\*\*\*</sup> $R_{max}$  in (mL/g-COD-h) for H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD; and in (mL/g-COD-d) for CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD.

### 





- 444 Gompertz model of H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, (c) First order model of CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, (d) Modified
- 445 Gompertz model of CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, (e) First order model of CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD, and (f) Modified
- 446 Gompertz model of CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD.

448	Table 6 shows VFA (acetic, propionic, and butyric acid) profiles of all
449	experiments. Rich VFAs of 1.32-2.90 g/L acetic, 2.05-3.47 g/L propionic, and 6.21-7.60
450	g/L butyric acid were observed from $H_2$ -TSAD. Wang et al. (2009) reported the
451	optimum conditions for acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid at concentrations of
452	1.6, 0.3, and 1.8 g/L, respectively, as those giving the maximum cumulative methane
453	yield. Nevertheless, the inhibiting levels of VFAs are 2.0 g/L of acetic acid (Demirel
454	and Yenigün, 2002), 0.9 g/L of propionic acid (Wang et al., 2009) and, 4.5 g/L of butyric
455	acid (Aguilar et al., 1995). SLS has a high carbohydrate content in the form of
456	fermentable sugar. Danwanichakul et al. (2019) reported that SLS contained 1.2 g/L L-
457	Quebrachitol. Thus, the sugar can be mostly consumed during acidogenesis step and this
458	produces high VFAs. It can be seen from Table 6 that initially CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD contained
459	propionic acid at a concentration near the inhibition level, and contained VFAs at a
460	higher level than CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD. This made CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD give a lesser CH <sub>4</sub> production in the
461	first period. Even though the microorganisms in CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD needed more time for
462	acclimation, the final methane yield achieved was similar to CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD.
463	Feng et al., 2017 and Lyberatos and Pullammanappallil, 2010 present reactions
464	that can take place in hydrogen production. The pathways of acetic and butyric
465	production shown in equations (3) and (4) are the main pathways of hydrogen
466	production during acidogenesis stage. The stoichiometrically calculated $H_2$ production
467	yields based on equations (3) and (4) are compared to the experimental yields in Table
468	7. It was found that the experimental $H_2$ production yields are lower than the calculated
469	theoretical $H_2$ production yields in all AD process cases. This can be explained by other
470	pathways generating acetate, propionate, and butyrate but without hydrogen production,
471	as shown in equations (5) and (6). Moreover, propionate producing during AD process

is probably generated via the pathway showing in equations (7) which the produced  $H_2$ 472and sugar are consumed. (Feng et al., 2017; Lyberatos and Pullammanappallil, 2010; 473474Sani et al., 2021). 475 $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2O \longrightarrow 2CH_3COOH + 2CO_2 + 4H_2$ 476(3)  $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2O \longrightarrow CH_3CH_2COOH + 2CO_2 + 2H_2$ 477(4)  $3C_6H_{12}O_6 \longrightarrow 4CH_3CH_2COOH + 2CH_3COOH + 2CO_2 + 2H_2O$ 478(5)  $4C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2O \longrightarrow 2CH_3COOH + 3CH_3CH_2CH_2COOH + 8CO_2 + 10H_2O$ (6) 479 $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2 \longrightarrow 2CH_3CH_2COOH + 2H_2O$ 480 (7) 481 482The optimum pH in acidogenesis and methanogenesis stages is 5-6.7 and 7-8, respectively (Kongjan et al., 2018). In H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, pH slightly dropped due to the 483addition of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to maintain the pH and buffer capacity of the system at their initial 484 levels. All of the cases H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD, CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD and CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD had a suitable initial pH 485486 in the ranges 6.98-7.12 and 7.31-7.68, respectively, as presented in Table 3. 487488 489 490 491492493494

### **Table 6** Volatile fatty acids on TSAD and SSAD.

				H <sub>2</sub> -T	SAD				CH <sub>4</sub> -TSAD	)		CH <sub>4</sub> -SSAD	)
	Sample		Initial (g/L)			Final (g/L)			Initial (g/L)	)		Initial (g/L	()
		Acetic	Propionic	Butyric	Acetic	Propionic	Butyric	Acetic	Propionic	Butyric	Acetic	Propionic	Butyric
	Raw SLS	0.88	0.81	0.62	1.32	2.05	6.94	0.40	0.62	2.08	0.26	0.24	0.19
	DSLS5	0.91	0.83	0.64	2.90	3.47	7.25	0.87	1.04	2.18	0.27	0.25	0.19
	DSLS10	0.92	0.81	0.66	2.34	2.79	7.60	0.70	0.84	2.28	0.28	0.24	0.20
	DSLS15	0.96	0.85	0.69	1.79	2.38	6.72	0.54	0.71	2.02	0.29	0.26	0.21
	DSLS20	1.01	0.89	0.73	1.77	2.35	6.21	0.53	0.71	1.86	0.30	0.27	0.22
	DSLS30	1.06	0.94	0.76	1.76	2.34	6.17	0.53	0.70	1.85	0.32	0.28	0.23
497													
498													
499													
500													
501													
502													
503													
504													

### **Table 7** Stoichiometric calculation of H<sub>2</sub> generation yield from produced VFAs.

### 

	VFAs appeared in H <sub>2</sub> -TSAD				S	Experimental H <sub>2</sub> production				
Substrate	Acetic		Butyric		H <sub>2</sub> from acetic		H <sub>2</sub> from butyric		Total H <sub>2</sub>	Total H <sub>2</sub>
	(g/L)	(mmol) <sup>a</sup>	(g/L)	(mmol) <sup>a</sup>	(mmol)	mL(STP)	(mmol)	mL(STP)	mL (at 55°C)	mL (at 55°C)
Raw SLS	0.44	0.44	6.32	4.31	0.88	19.71	8.62	193.05	255.62	75.48
DSLS5	1.99	1.99	6.61	4.51	3.99	89.30	9.01	201.91	349.87	154.48
DSLS10	1.42	1.42	6.94	4.73	2.84	63.62	9.46	211.99	331.13	124.48
DSLS15	0.83	0.83	6.03	4.11	1.66	37.18	8.22	184.09	265.85	95.48
DSLS20	0.76	0.76	5.48	3.74	1.53	34.20	7.48	167.49	242.32	95.77
DSLS30	0.70	0.70	5.41	3.69	1.40	31.36	7.38	165.25	236.22	93.93

<sup>a</sup> using working volume = 0.06 L for H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD

513 3.3Anaerobic biodegradability

514After 14 days of the CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD and 12 days of the CH<sub>4</sub>-SSAD runs, a nearly 515steady methane production rate was observed. The overall COD distributions for the 516TSAD and SSAD processes are presented in Table 8. It was found that both systems gave an overall COD removal efficiency exceeding 65 % and the difference between the 517518two systems was not significant, although % COD removal efficiency of the TSAD was slightly higher. 519520In TSAD, the highest COD removal efficiency of 78.02 % was achieved with DSLS10 while raw SLS gave the lowest COD removal efficiency of 68.10%. In the 521522SSAD process, more than 70 % of COD removal was attained in DSLS digestion. 523DSLS10 gave the maximum COD removal (76.37%), whereas 65.90 % COD removal 524was attained with raw SLS. 525An enhancement in the COD removal efficiency from using DSLS demonstrates that the substrate was more suited to the microorganisms metabolizing it than raw SLS 526was. DSLS had a lower initial sulfate concentration than raw SLS, resulting in less 527528competition of SRB and MPB, and MPB can digest the organic compounds in DSLS better than in raw SLS, in the lower H<sub>2</sub>S environment. 529

530

Somula	COD con gaseous co	verted to mpound (g)	COD in phas	Overall COD	
Sample	H <sub>2</sub> production	CH <sub>4</sub> production	Initial <sup>a</sup>	Final <sup>b</sup>	removal (%)
		Two stage	es AD		
Raw					
SLS	0.04	1.13	1.72	0.55	68.10
DSLS5	0.09	1.16	1.72	0.47	72.75
DSLS10	0.07	1.27	1.72	0.38	78.02

531 **Table 8** COD balance for the TSAD and the SSAD processes.

DSLS15	0.06	1.17	1.72	0.50	71.25
DSLS20	0.06	1.22	1.72	0.45	74.19
DSLS30	0.06	1.22	1.72	0.45	73.73
		Single sta	nge AD		
Raw					
SLS	-	1.14	1.72	0.59	65.90
DSLS5	-	1.26	1.72	0.46	73.28
DSLS10	-	1.32	1.72	0.41	76.37
DSLS15	-	1.28	1.72	0.44	74.38
DSLS20	-	1.21	1.72	0.52	70.05
DSLS30	-	1.25	1.72	0.47	72.70

<sup>a</sup> COD in substrate.

<sup>b</sup> Remaining COD in fermentation broth was calculated from COD balance.

532

533 3.4 Hydrogen and methane energy yields

Hydrogen and methane production yields together with overall energy 534production yields from each substrate in TSAD and SSAD processes were calculated 535536and are shown in Table 9. For the TSAD, energy production in H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD ranged in 0.47-0.97 kJ/g-COD<sub>added</sub> and the DSLS5 fermentation gave the highest 90.64 mL-H<sub>2</sub>/g-537538COD<sub>added</sub> yield (0.97 kJ/g-COD<sub>added</sub>), which is 16.15% of the theoretical yield (467 mL-539 $H_2/g$ -COD<sub>added</sub>). 540A low substrate conversion was obtained in the first stage because only short 541chain carbohydrates were digested (Liu et al., 2013). The comparatively low hydrogen

542 yields of raw SLS relative to DSLS were due to the higher sulfate content in raw SLS.

The CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD generated energy in the range  $8.30-9.35 \text{ kJ/g-COD}_{added}$ . The maximum yield was from DSLS10 at 294.53 mL-CH<sub>4</sub>/g-COD<sub>added</sub> (9.35 kJ/g-COD<sub>added</sub>) which is 74.59% of the theoretical yield (350 mL-CH<sub>4</sub>/g-COD<sub>added</sub>). The SSAD process produced more methane corresponding to energy range  $8.35-9.68 \text{ kJ/g-COD}_{added}$ , and the DSLS10 gave the highest yield of 305.09 mL-CH<sub>4</sub>/g-COD<sub>added</sub> (9.68 kJ/g-COD<sub>added</sub>), which is 77.26 % of the theoretical yield. The lower yield of methane from TSAD was because some COD was converted to  $H_2$  in the first stage of AD. However, when the

overall energy production was assessed, it was 5% higher for TSAD than for SSAD

- 551 process.
- 552

553	Table 9 Summa	ry of hydrogen a	and methane yiel	lds from TSAD	and SSAD processes.
					1

		Hydrogen production		Methane	Overall energy production yield ( kJ/g-COD <sub>added</sub> )				
		Yield	% of theoretical	Yield	% of theoretical	П.	СЧ	Total	
		( mL/g- COD <sub>added</sub> )	Yield*	( mL/g- COD <sub>added</sub> )	Yield*	112	CII4	Tutal	
	Raw SLS	44.29	7.89	261.68	66.27	0.47	8.30	8.78	
	DSLS5	90.64	16.15	269.35	68.21	0.97	8.55	9.51	
Two- stage AD	DSLS10	73.03	13.02	294.53	74.59	0.78	9.35	10.12	
	DSLS15	56.02	9.98	271.48	68.75	0.60	8.61	9.21	
	DSLS20	55.43	9.88	283.38	71.77	0.59	8.99	9.58	
	DSLS30	55.11	9.82	281.62	71.32	0.59	8.94	9.52	
	Raw SLS	-	-	263.28	66.68	-	8.35	8.35	
	DSLS5	-	-	292.77	74.14	-	9.29	9.29	
Single	DSLS10	-	-	305.09	77.26	-	9.68	9.68	
-stage AD	DSLS15	-	-	297.17	75.26	-	9.43	9.43	
	DSLS20	-	-	279.86	70.87	-	8.88	8.88	
	DSLS30	-	-	290.42	73.55	-	9.22	9.22	

\* H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> theoretical yields of 467 mL/g-COD glucose at STP and 350 mL/g-COD at STP.

554

In most cases the TSAD was more productive than the SSAD process, because TSAD offers several advantages. The TSAD is split in stages that allow separately optimizing hydrolysis/acidogenesis and methanogenesis, to improve the overall reaction rate, maximize biogas yields, and make the process easier to control, both in meso- and thermophilic states. In addition, TSAD is enriching different microorganisms in each anaerobic digester (Schievano et al., 2012). Similarly, better process conversion efficiency and maximum overall energy yield were reported previously for TSAD, it 562 being more productive than SSAD.

Table 10 has a comparison of TSAD and SSAD process performances on 563564using several substrates, in the form of total energy recovery. Sani et al. (2021) reported 565a comparative evaluation of SSAD and TSAD using palm oil mill effluent. The results 566showes a 38.95% higher total energy production for TSAD compared to SSAD. Similar 567results were reported by Massanet-Nicolau et al. (2013) and Akobi et al. (2016), who observed over 30% increases in overall energy recovery for TSAD compared to SSAD, 568569with wheat pellet and poplar wood substrates, respectively. Arreola-Vargas et al. (2016) 570reported similarly a 22% increase when bagasse was used as the substrate. Moreover, food waste was also used as the substrate in an investigation of overall energy recovery, 571572and De Gioannis et al. (2017) and Nathao et al. (2013) reported 20 and 18 % higher energy recoveries for TSAD. 573

Aside from that, there is a case that reported no significant differences in overall 574energy recovery for TSAD and SSAD systems using a mixture of swine manure and 575576market biowaste as the substrate (Schievano et al., 2012). This suggests the hypothesis 577that TSAD may in some cases have no advantage in terms of the overall energy 578recovery. This is somewhat conflicting with various prior studies. The listing in Table 57910 was arranged starting from more difficult to digest substrates. Therefore, it is in a 580good agreement with our study, in which DSLS is easier to biodegrade compared to the 581other substrates, and only a 5% increase in overall energy recovery was obtained. Thus, 582the total energy recovery depends strongly on both substrate and operating conditions. 583The various substrates gave different total energy recoveries, as mentioned above, and 584different operating conditions also affected energy recovery.

586 **Table 10** Total energy recovery in forms of hydrogen and methane production by TSAD

and by SSAD processes.

Substrate	Total Ener	gy Recovery	%	Doforonao
Substrate	Single-stage	Two-stage	increase	Kelerence
Palm oil mill effluent	9.79 kJ/gCOD <sub>feedstock</sub>	13.61 kJ/gCOD <sub>feedstock</sub>	38.95	(Sani et al., 2021)
Wheat feed pellets	8.73 MJ/kg-VS	12.09 MJ/kg-VS	37	(Massanet-Nicolau et al., 2013)
Poplar wood	8.70 kJ/gCOD <sub>feedstock</sub>	11.60 kJ/gCOD <sub>feedstock</sub>	33	(Akobi et al., 2016)
Bagasse	12.80 kJ/L	35.80 kJ/L	22	(Arreola-Vargas et al., 2016)
Food waste	11.60 MJ/kg-VS	14.50 MJ/kg-VS	20	(De Gioannis et al., 2017)
Food waste Mixture of swine	$3.40 \times 10^{-3}$ kW-h	$4.00 \times 10^{-3}$ kW-h	18	(Nathao et al., 2013)
manure and market biowaste	14.21 kJ/kg-VS <sub>added</sub>	14.13 kJ/kg-VS <sub>added</sub>	No sd*	(Schievano et al., 2012)
DSLS10	9.68 kJ/g-COD <sub>added</sub>	10.12 kJ/g-COD <sub>added</sub>	5	This study
*No sd means "not signifi	cant difference"			

590

589 3.5 Cost assessment and energy outcome from AD process

591 The costs assessment with DSLS10 substrate for SSAD and TSAD was based on

 $592 \quad 400 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$  wastewater production of the concentrated latex factory in Songkhla province,

593 Thailand. Continuous stirred tank reactors (CSTR) were chosen for both SSAD and

594 TSAD processing of DSLS10. Firstly, Organic loading rate (OLR) (g-COD/L·d) was

595 calculated from equation (8) (Kongjan et al., 2018)

596

597 
$$OLR = \frac{kC_o}{y/(y_m - y)}$$
(8)

598

Here  $C_0$  is the initial concentration of substrate equaling 8 g-COD /L, y is the required hydrogen/methane yield (mL/g-COD<sub>added</sub>), and y<sub>m</sub> is the ultimate 601 hydrogen/methane yield at the end of hydrogen/methane production assay (mL/g-

602  $COD_{added}$ ). Then the working volume of CSTR (V<sub>R</sub>) can be calculated from equation (9) 603

$$V_R = Q \cdot C_0 / OLR \tag{9}$$

605

604

Here, Q is influent flow rate  $(m^3/d)$ . The purchase cost, variable cost, and 606 607 revenues were calculated and are summarized in Table 11. The total purchase cost will 608 vary depending on the type of reactor and its size, and the mixing system. The results 609 show that the purchase cost of TSAD is higher than of SSAD, and these are estimated as 610 707,172 and 1,131,693 USD, respectively. TSAD needs more investment because it 611 includes 2 digesters for H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD and CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD, has a lower digestion rate, and operates at the higher temperature of 55°C. There are very few studies that report a 612 613 comparison of purchase costs between SSAD and TSAD. 46% higher purchase cost of 614 SSAD required for DSLS in this study is much higher than the 3% more expensive SSAD for food waste reported by Rajendran et al. (2020). 615 616 The variable costs consisted of labor, operating costs (electricity cost for 617 pumping and digester, upgrading costs, and power generation costs), and maintanance 618 costs. The total variable costs of TSAD were 239,145 USD/year while for SSAD they 619 were 177,397 USD/year. The revenues were calculated from the electricity produced 620 from the purified biogas. The revenue of gas from TSAD was comparatively higher than that from SSAD by 9%, due to the higher energy yield described in a previous 621 622 section.

623 The payback time was evaluated for purchase cost using the profit difference of624 revenues and variable costs. Even though the revenue was higher for TSAD than for

625 SSAD, TSAD had a larger investment, so its payback time at 4.36 years was longer than
626 for SSAD at 2.52 years.

627 There is a lack of reports on payback time of electricity production from biogas 628 generated from TSAD, while some reports on SSAD are accessible. Chungchaichana 629 and Vivanpatarakij (2012) reported a 3 years payback time for 94.19 ton/day fresh-food market waste treatment for biogas production (17,807  $m^3/day$ ) used to produce 630 631electricity (12,643 - 24,929 kWh). An AD plant for animal manure, built and operated 632 by Ilci Agricultural, Livestock & Biogas Company in Turkey, has a reasonable energy based payback time of 3.7 years when using the SSAD process (Akbulut, 2012). In 633 634 addition, the mature technology that uses dairy cattle manure and corn insilate co-635 digestion to produce biogas and convert it to electricity via Solid Oxide fuel cells, has 636 the reasonable 4 years payback time for large-sized plants (manure availability 103.5 637 tons/day) (Baldinelli et al., 2018).

As a practical assessment, the advantages of TSAD include providing optimum 638 operating conditions by stage separation, faster degradation of substrates, and higher 639 640 loading. On the other hand, the disadvantages include ammonia accumulation due to 641 recirculation that might be toxic to the microbes, uncertainty around reactor 642configuration for several feedstock types, and poor economic viability (Rajendran et al., 643 2020). Therefore, the disadvantages of the TSAD need considerable research. The calculations indicate that TSAD is not economically attractive for DSLS10. Hence, 644 645there is low potential for replacing SSAD with TSAD in treatment of DSLS10. 646 However, studies on continuous AD production in pilot-scale with variations of OLRs 647 are required for evaluating the real kinetic parameters needed in digester sizing. 648

Category	SSAD	TSAD	Units	References	
----------	------	------	-------	------------	--

**Table 11** Cost assessment and energy outcomes for SSAD and TSAD processes.

Design parameter				
Wastewater production (8 g-COD/L)	400	400	m <sup>3</sup> /d	Based on Top Glove Technology factory data
OLR (Estimate from equation (3)) <sup>a</sup>	0.60	0.60 and 0.39	g-COD/L·d	(Kongjan et al., 2018)
HRT	13	0.56 and 21	d	(Kongjan et al., 2018)
Size of CSTR reactor	5 010	245 10.026	3	$(\mathbf{D}_{1}; \mathbf{u}_{1}; \mathbf{u}_{2}; u$
(Estimate from equation (4))	5,910	245 and 9,026	m	(Rajendran et al., 2020)
Investment costs				
Digester				
CSTR reactor (assumed based on	704 822	1 111 993	USD	(Rajendran et al., 2020;
literature)	704,022	1,111,995	CDD	Vo et al., 2018)
Mixer pump <sup><math>b</math></sup> (4kW)	1,000	2,000	USD	(Alibaba., 2021)
Total facility investment				
Pump Power:2 pumps in each stage <sup>c</sup>	1 350	2 700	USD	(Kreng thai wattana.,
(1.5 kW)	1,550	2,700	CDD	2021)
Heat Exchanger	-	15,000	USD	(Han et al., 2016)
Total	707,172	1,131,693	USD	
Variable costs				
Labor wages (gross)				
2 operators in each AD process	7,091	7,091	USD/year	(Vo et al., 2018)
Operating				
Electricity cost of pump power and digester <sup>d</sup>	8,640	17,512	USD/year	
Upgrading cost <sup>e</sup>	118,732	151,300	USD/year	(Patterson et al., 2011)
Power generation cost <sup>f</sup>	16,770	21,370	USD/year	(Department of alternative energy development and efficiency., 2013)
Maintenance costs				
3% equipment purchase cost	21,215	33,951	USD/year	(Vo et al., 2018)
0.7% insurance	4,950	7,922	USD/year	(Vo et al., 2018)
Total	177,397	239,145	USD/year	
Revenues				
Cumulative biogas production <sup>g</sup>	670,802	854,801	m <sup>3</sup> - biogas/year	This study
Electricity production <sup>h</sup>	1,992,282	2,167,786	kWh/year	(Abdelsalam et al., 2019)
Revenues from gas <sup>i</sup>	458,225	498,591	USD/year	(Kavitha et al., 2015)
Payback time	2.52	4.36	year	(Sritrakul, 1995)

<sup>a</sup> k constant was chosen from first order kinetic model for calculation OLRs, <sup>b</sup> QJB Series, QJB4/12-620/3-480/S, <sup>c</sup> EBARA, DVSA Series, 80DVS 51.5, <sup>d</sup> 0.18USD/kWh, <sup>e</sup> 0.18 USD/m<sup>3</sup>-biogas (water scrubbing method), <sup>f</sup> 0.025 USD/m<sup>3</sup>-biogas, <sup>g</sup> 85% of maximum yield in this study, <sup>h</sup> energy conversion efficiency 45% ; energy content 6.60 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>-produced gas (SSAD); 1.15 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>-produced gas (H<sub>2</sub>-TSAD); 6.80 kWh/m<sup>3</sup>-produced gas (CH<sub>4</sub>-TSAD), and <sup>i</sup> estimation of output from energy was obtained from 1 kWh = 0.23USD.

### 651 **4. Conclusions**

652This study indicated that RWA can reduce sulfate content in SLS and thereby improve biogas production and biogas quality from both TSAD and SSAD processes. 653 654The methane yields in second stage of TSAD and SSAD were found not significantly 655 different. However, hydrogen was also collected in the first stage of TSAD, so that the total energy recovery from TSAD (in forms of H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) was improved by 5% 656 657 compared with the SSAD process. Furthermore, the cost assessment of continuous AD process was based on kinetics and yields in batch experiments, and it suggested that the 658payback time of TSAD (4.36 years) is longer than that of SSAD (2.52 years), because 659660 methane production in the second stage of TSAD is slower than in SSAD so that a 661 larger digester is needed. Based on information from batch experiments, there is low 662 potential for replacing SSAD with TSAD to treat DSLS10, according to this cost 663 assessment. Further work is necessary to study continuous AD production on a pilotscale, with variation of OLRs, to investigate the kinetic parameters further for digester 664 665 sizing.

666

#### 667 Acknowledgements

668 This research was financially supported by the Thailand Research Fund through 669 the Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Program (Grant No. PHD/0216/2559). The authors 670 would like to thank the concentrated latex factory and the glove factory in Songkhla 671 Province, Thailand for providing materials to our research. The fifth author is supported 672 by the Thailand Research Fund under Grant No. RTA 6280014. In addition, the authors 673 are also grateful to Assoc. Prof .Seppo Karrila, Prince of Songkla University, Surat 674 Thani campus, for proofreading a manuscript draft.

#### 675 **References**

- 676
- 677 Abdelsalam, E.M., Samer, M., Attia, Y.A., Abdel-Hadi, M.A., Hassan, H.E., Badr, Y.,
- 678 2019. Effects of Laser Irradiation and Ni Nanoparticles on Biogas Production from
- Anaerobic Digestion of Slurry. Waste and Biomass Valorization 10, 3251–3262.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-0374-y
- Aguilar, A., Casas, C., Lema, J.M., 1995. Degradation of volatile fatty acids by
   differently enriched methanogenic cultures: kinetics and inhibition. Water Res. 29,

683 505–509. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(94)00179-B

- Akbulut, A., 2012. Techno-economic analysis of electricity and heat generation from
  farm-scale biogas plant: Çiçekdaĝi{dotless} case study. Energy 44, 381–390.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2012.06.017
- Akobi, C., Yeo, H., Hafez, H., Nakhla, G., 2016. Single-stage and two-stage anaerobic
  digestion of extruded lignocellulosic biomass. Appl. Energy 184, 548–559.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.10.039
- Alibaba, 2021. Liquid Mixer agitator. https://thai.alibaba.com/product-detail/flow acceleration-submersible-mixers-biogas-digesters-biogas-post-digesters-
- 692 1600103512973.html?spm=a2700.details.0.0.48083ecfZqoXMd (accessed
  693 10.8.21).
- APHA., 2012. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 22th ed.
  Washington DC. USA.
- Angelidaki, I., Sanders, W., 2004. Assessment of the anaerobic biodegradability of
  macropollutants. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 3, 117–129.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-004-2502-3

- Arreola-Vargas, J., Flores-Larios, A., González-Álvarez, V., Corona-González, R.I., 699 700 Méndez-Acosta, H.O., 2016. Single and two-stage anaerobic digestion for 701 hydrogen and methane production from acid and enzymatic hydrolysates of Agave 702 bagasse. J. Hydrogen 897-904. tequilana Int. Energy 41, 703 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.11.016
- Baldinelli, A., Barelli, L., Bidini, G., 2018. On the feasibility of on-farm biogas-toelectricity conversion: To what extent is solid oxide fuel cells durability a threat to
  break even the initial investment? Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 43, 16971–16985.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.02.031
- Chaiprapat, S., Wongchana, S., Loykulnant, S., Kongkaew, C., Charnnok, B., 2015.
  Evaluating sulfuric acid reduction, substitution, and recovery to improve
  environmental performance and biogas productivity in rubber latex industry.
  Process Saf. Environ. Prot. 94, 420–429.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2014.10.002
- Chungchaichana, P., Vivanpatarakij S., 2012. Potential analysis of fresh-food market
  waste for biogas production to electricity; case study Talad-Thai. J. Energy Res. 9,
  715 73-83.
- Danwanichakul, P., Pohom, W., Yingsampancharoen, J., 2019. L-Quebrachitol from
  acidic serum obtained after rubber coagulation of skim natural rubber latex. Ind.
  Crops Prod. 137, 157–161. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.04.072
- De Gioannis, G., Muntoni, A., Polettini, A., Pomi, R., Spiga, D., 2017. Energy recovery
  from one- and two-stage anaerobic digestion of food waste. Waste Manag. 68,
  595–602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.06.013

722	Demirel, B., Yenigün, O., 2002. Two-phase anaerobic digestion processes: A review. J.
723	Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 77, 743–755. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.630
724	Department of Alternative Energy Development and Efficiency, 2013. Information
725	Center for Study Research Project of Prototype Community Enterprise Green
726	Energy from Energy Plants (Biogas from Energy Plants) :Investment Guide for
727	Biogas Power Plants from Energy Plants.
728	http://webkc.dede.go.th/testmax/sites/default/
729	files/%E0%B8%84%E0%B8%B9%E0%B9%88%E0%B8%A1%E0%B8%B7%E0
730	%B8%AD%E0%B8%81%E0%B8%B2%E0%B8%A3%E0%B8%A5%E0%B8%8
731	7%E0%B8%97%E0%B8%B8%E0%B8%99%E0%B9%82%E0%B8%A3%E0%B
732	8%87%E0%B9%84%E0%B8%9F%E0%B8%9F%E0%B9%89%E0%B8%B2%E
733	0%B8%81%E0%B9%8A%E0%B8%B2%E0%B8%8B%E0%B8%8A%E0%B8%
734	B5%E0%B8%A7%E0%B8%A0%E0%B8%B2%E0%B8%9E%E0%B8%88%E0
735	%B8%B2%E0%B8%81%E0%B8%9E%E0%B8%B7%E0%B8%8A%E0%B8%9
736	E%E0%B8%A5%E0%B8%B1%E0%B8%87.pdf (accessed 15.8.21).
737	Feng, L., Gao, Y., Kou, W., Lang, X., Liu, Y., Li, R., Yu, M., Shao, L., Wang, X., 2017.
738	Application of the initial rate method in anaerobic digestion of kitchen waste.
739	Biomed Res. Int. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3808521
740	Gustavsson, J., Yekta, S.S., Sundberg, C., Karlsson, A., Ejlertsson, J., Skyllberg, U.,
741	Svensson, B.H., 2013. Bioavailability of cobalt and nickel during anaerobic

- digestion of sulfur-rich stillage for biogas formation. Appl. Energy 112, 473–477.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.02.009
- Han, W., Hu, Y.Y., Li, S.Y., Li, F.F., Tang, J.H., 2016. Biohydrogen production from
  waste bread in a continuous stirred tank reactor: A techno-economic analysis.

746	Bioresour. Technol. 221, 318–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.09.055
747	Jariyaboon, R., O-Thong, S., Kongjan, P., 2015. Bio-hydrogen and bio-methane
748	potentials of skim latex serum in batch thermophilic two-stage anaerobic digestion.
749	Bioresour. Technol. 198, 198–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.09.006
750	Kavitha, S., Yukesh Kannah, R., Yeom, I.T., Do, K.U., Banu, J.R., 2015. Combined
751	thermo-chemo-sonic disintegration of waste activated sludge for biogas
752	production. Bioresour. Technol. 197, 383–392.
753	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.08.131
754	Kongjan, P., Jariyaboon, R., O-Thong, S., 2014. Anaerobic digestion of skim latex
755	serum (SLS) for hydrogen and methane production using a two-stage process in a

757 Energy 39, 19343–19348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.06.057

756

Kongjan, P., Sama, K., Sani, K., Jariyaboon, R., Reungsang, A., 2018. Feasibility of biohythane production by co-digesting skim latex serum (SLS) with palm oil mill
effluent (POME) through two-phase anaerobic process. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy
43, 9577–9590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.04.052

series of up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor. Int. J. Hydrogen

- Kreng thai wattana, 2021. Submersible pump (waste water). https://www.ktw.co.th/
  (accessed 12.8.21).
- Liu, Z., Zhang, C., Lu, Y., Wu, X., Wang, Lang, Wang, Linjun, Han, B., Xing, X.-H.,
  2013. States and challenges for high-value biohythane production from waste
  biomass by dark fermentation technology. Bioresour. Technol. 135, 292–303.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.027
- Lyberatos, G., Pullammanappallil, P.C., 2010. Anaerobic digestion in suspended growth
  bioreactors, in: Environmental Biotechnology. Springer, pp. 395–438.

#### DOI:10.1007/978-1-60327-140-0\_9

- Massanet-Nicolau, J., Dinsdale, R., Guwy, A., Shipley, G., 2013. Use of real time gas
  production data for more accurate comparison of continuous single-stage and twostage fermentation. Bioresour. Technol. 129, 561–567.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.102
- Mu, T., Xing, J., Yang, M., 2019. Sulfate reduction by a haloalkaliphilic bench-scale
  sulfate-reducing bioreactor and its bacterial communities at different depths.
  Biochem. Eng. J. 147, 100–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2019.04.008
- Nathao, C., Sirisukpoka, U., Pisutpaisal, N., 2013. Production of hydrogen and methane
- by one and two stage fermentation of food waste. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 38,
  15764–15769. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.05.047
- Nguyen, D.D., Jeon, B.H., Jeung, J.H., Rene, E.R., Banu, J.R., Ravindran, B., Vu, C.M.,
  Ngo, H.H., Guo, W., Chang, S.W., 2019. Thermophilic anaerobic digestion of
  model organic wastes: Evaluation of biomethane production and multiple kinetic
  models analysis. Bioresour. Technol. 280, 269–276.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.02.033
- Patterson, T., Esteves, S., Dinsdale, R., Guwy, A., 2011. An evaluation of the policy and 786 techno-economic factors affecting the potential for biogas upgrading for transport 787 788 fuel in the UK. Energy policy. 39. 1806-1816. use https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.01.017. 789
- Rajendran, K., Mahapatra, D., Venkatraman, A.V., Muthuswamy, S., Pugazhendhi, A.,
  2020. Advancing anaerobic digestion through two-stage processes: Current
  developments and future trends. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 123, 109746.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.109746

794	Raketh, M., Jariyaboon, R., Kongjan, P., Trably, E., Reungsang, A., Sripitak, B.,
795	Chotisuwan, S., 2021. Sulfate removal using rubber wood ash to enhance biogas
796	production from sulfate-rich wastewater generated from a concentrated latex
797	factory. Biochem. Eng. J. 173, 108084. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2021.108084
798	Reungsang A., 2019. Thailand: Microbial-Derived Biofuels and Biochemicals, first ed.
799	Khon Kaen University, Thailand.
800	Rubber Intelligence Unit, 2018. Rubber in Thailand. http://rub ber.oie.go.th/ (accessed
801	25.4.18).
802	Sani, K., Kongjan, P., Pakhathirathien, C., Cheirsilp, B., O-Thong, S., Raketh, M.,
803	Kana, R., Jariyaboon, R., 2021. Effectiveness of using two-stage anaerobic
804	digestion to recover bio-energy from high strength palm oil mill effluents with
805	simultaneous treatment. J. Water Process Eng. 39, 101661.
806	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101661
807	Schievano, A., Tenca, A., Scaglia, B., Merlino, G., Rizzi, A., Daffonchio, D., Oberti, R.,
808	Adani, F., 2012. Two-stage vs single-stage thermophilic anaerobic digestion:
809	Comparison of energy production and biodegradation efficiencies. Environ. Sci.

810 Technol. 46, 8502–8510. https://doi.org/10.1021/es301376n

Siripatana, C., Jijai, S., Kongjan, P., 2016. Analysis and extension of Gompertz-type and
Monod-type equations for estimation of design parameters from batch anaerobic
digestion experiments, in: AIP Conference Proceedings. AIP Publishing LLC, p.
30079. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4965199

815 Sritrakul, N., (Master thesis) 1995. Pre-Feasibility Study on The System Design for Self

816 Drying Biogas-Sludge: A Case Study on Large Scale Livestock (Pigs) Farmming in

817 Nakhon Pathom Provience. Mahidol University, Thailand (in Thai).

- Vo, T.T.Q., Wall, D.M., Ring, D., Rajendran, K., Murphy, J.D., 2018. Techno-economic
  analysis of biogas upgrading via amine scrubber, carbon capture and ex-situ
  methanation. Appl. Energy 212, 1191–1202.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.12.099
- 822 Wang, S., Yuan, R., Liu, C., Zhou, B., 2020. Effect of Fe2+ adding period on the biogas
- production and microbial community distribution during the dry anaerobic
  digestion process. Process Saf. Environ. Prot. 136, 234–241.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2019.12.031
- Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Meng, L., 2009. Effects of volatile fatty acid
  concentrations on methane yield and methanogenic bacteria. Biomass and
  Bioenergy 33, 848–853. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2009.01.007