



HAL
open science

Milk performance and oxidative status responses to rumen protected methionine supplementation in genotyped α -S1 casein lactating dairy goats fed two levels of metabolizable protein diets

Philippe Schmidely, Laurent Bahloul

► To cite this version:

Philippe Schmidely, Laurent Bahloul. Milk performance and oxidative status responses to rumen protected methionine supplementation in genotyped α -S1 casein lactating dairy goats fed two levels of metabolizable protein diets. *Small Ruminant Research*, 2022, 209, pp.106638. 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2022.106638 . hal-03737750

HAL Id: hal-03737750

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03737750>

Submitted on 10 Jul 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Title: Milk performance and oxidative status responses to rumen protected methionine**
2 **supplementation in genotyped α -S1 casein lactating dairy goats fed two levels of**
3 **metabolizable protein diets.**

4

5 **Ph. Schmidely^{a,*}, L. Bahloul^b**

6 ^a Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR Modélisation Systémique Appliquée
7 aux Ruminants, 16 rue C. Bernard, 75231, Paris Cedex 05, France.

8 ^b Centre of Expertise and Research in Nutrition, Adisseo France S.A.S., 2 Rue Marcel Lingot,
9 03600, Commentry, France.

10

11 * Corresponding author.

12 *E-mail address:* philippe.schmidely@groparistech.fr (Ph. Schmidely).

13

14 **Highlights**

- 15 • Rumen-protected methionine (RPM) increased raw milk production in goats fed low
16 protein diets (14% CP), and milk protein content in goats fed high protein diets (16%
17 CP).
- 18 • These differences in productive responses were affected by the genetic variant for
19 alpha-S1 casein content in goat milk.
- 20 • Goats fed RPM tended to have a higher plasma oxidative status than those fed no
21 RPM.
- 22 • Specific requirement for dietary methionine have to be studied for dairy goats
23 relatively to their genetic variant for alpha S1 casein in milk.

24

25 **Abstract**

26 In dairy goats, responses to rumen-protected Methionine (RPM) supplementation on milk
27 performance and milk protein synthesis were inconsistent. The objective of the study was to
28 evaluate the effects of supplemental RPM in diets differing in CP content on milk
29 performance, milk composition, plasma concentration of amino-acids (AA) and parameters of
30 oxidative status in goats genotyped for their genetic variant for alpha-S1 casein (CNS1).
31 Sixteen goats (Body weight = 66.6 ± 8.5 kg, days in milk = 89 ± 5) were grouped into 2
32 blocks (High vs Low) according to their secretion of CNS1 in milk, and within each CNS1
33 block, goats were selected to make blocks comparable for milk yield, milk protein content,
34 body weight and days in milk. Goats within CNS1 blocks were allotted to 2 periods cross-
35 over (4 wks for each period with one washout week) nested in 2 x 2 factorial design with
36 dietary CP (LP vs HP, 14 vs 16% CP in TMR fed ad libitum) and RPM supplementation
37 (CTL vs RPM, 0 vs 4 g/d). Dry matter intake and milk yield were individually recorded daily,
38 whereas milk samples for milk composition determination, and blood samples were obtained
39 individually twice weekly, and once weekly, respectively. Blood was sampled for glucose,
40 NEFA, BHB, urea, insulin, total or oxidized glutathion, superoxide dismutase, catalase,
41 malondialdehyde, and protein carbonyl. At the end of each period, blood was sampled during
42 two consecutive wks to determine plasma AA profile. Goats fed HP diet had higher CP,
43 PDIE, and LysDi (lysine digestible in intestine) intake than those fed LP diet, whereas goats
44 supplemented with RPM had higher MetDi (methionine digestible in intestine) intake ($P <$
45 0.001) than those fed the CTL diet. Goats fed HP diet had similar milk performance, milk
46 composition and yields, and similar feed, energy and PDI efficiencies, but higher milk urea
47 concentration than those fed LP diet. Goats supplemented with RPM had higher milk yield
48 only when fed the LP diet (RPM x dietary CP: $P < 0.02$). Compared to goats fed CTL diet,
49 those supplemented with RPM had higher milk protein content, but this was observed only in

50 those fed HP diet (RPM x dietary CP: $P < 0.008$), especially for Low CNS1 goats (RPM x
51 CNS1: $P < 0.05$; RPM x dietary CP x CNS1: $P < 0.11$). Efficiencies of PDI, feed and energy
52 were not altered by RPM supplementation, but CNS1 x dietary CP x RPM interaction was
53 significant for these efficiencies. Goats supplemented with RPM tended to have higher plasma
54 MDA ($P < 0.07$) and total glutathione concentrations ($P < 0.09$). In conclusion, increasing
55 dietary CP failed to improve DMI, milk performance, milk protein content and protein yield,
56 which suggest that Net Energy for lactation was a limiting factor of our experimental diets.
57 With RPM supplementation, increase in milk production in the low CP group, and increase in
58 milk protein content in the high CP group suggests that changes in metabolic partition of
59 nutrients between milk secretion vs milk protein synthesis occurs according to the level of
60 limitation of methionine in diet, which may affect the methionine requirement for goat milk
61 production.

62

63 ***Key words:***

64 Dairy goat, Rumen protected methionine, Metabolizable protein.

65

66 1. Introduction

67 Dietary nitrogen (N) is used inefficiently in lactating ruminant for milk protein secretion, with
68 substantial N excretion in manure which leads to negative environmental effects, such as
69 contribution to greenhouse gas emissions through NH₃ release, and water pollution leading to
70 eutrophication (Reed et al. 2015). Balancing essential amino-acids (AA) in diets and
71 decreasing crude protein (CP) concentration may constitute appropriate ways to increase milk
72 performance, protein yield, and protein efficiency while lowering N excretion (Spek et al.,
73 2013). Methionine (Met) is usually considered as a limiting AA for milk protein synthesis
74 (Rulquin et al., 2007; Schwab and Broderick, 2018) and recommendation for that AA has
75 been recently reviewed (INRA, 2018). In dairy cows, balancing diets through rumen-
76 protected Met (RPM) improved the efficiency of dietary CP for milk protein secretion
77 (Zanton et al., 2014), with marginal or no changes in the N excretion to the environment
78 (Broderick et al., 2008). Beneficial effects of Met supply is not restricted to milk performance
79 but also to animal health (Sun et al 2016). Indeed, Met is a regulator of protein synthesis and
80 is a precursor in trans-sulfuration and methylation reactions, to provide cysteine and choline
81 (Zhou et al, 2016b). Met has also been implicated in liver lipoprotein secretion (Bauchart et
82 al. 1998), glutathione synthesis, and synthesis of compounds implicated in the management of
83 oxidative stress and inflammation in cows (Osorio et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2016a). In dairy
84 ewes, supplementation with Met improved oxidative status, with a reduction of the plasma
85 malondialdehyde concentration and an increase in plasma glutathione transferase activity
86 (Mavromatis et al., 2021), but no data on oxidative status are available for dairy goats
87 supplemented with Met.

88 In high producing dairy goats, a limited set of publications validates the use of RPM or
89 RP-Lys on milk performance. Some studies reported the combination of both AA making
90 impossible to attribute the observed effects to a specific AA (Foda et al., 2009; Madsen et al.,

91 2005). When only RPM was supplied, inconsistent responses were observed with positive
92 effects on milk protein yield (MPY) or milk protein contents (MPC) (Flores et al., 2009;
93 Piccioloi-Capelli et al., 2016; Boutinaud et al., 2020; Lemosquet et al. 2020), but also with no
94 effects on MPY or MPC (Alonso-Melendez et al. 2016; Al-Qaisi and Titi, 2014). These
95 discrepancies may be related to physiological stage of animals, duration of supplementation,
96 form of protection of Met, energy or CP content of diet, or co-limiting dietary AA. In cows,
97 the interaction between Met supplementation and dietary CP appears to be inconsistent, with
98 no (Leonardi et al., 2003; Haque et al., 2012) or significant interaction on milk protein yield
99 (Cabrita et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). Recently, Lemosquet et al. (2020) reported that Met
100 supplementation in dairy goats increased milk yield only when fed adequate amount of
101 energy, and increased MPC, MPY and casein content at low and adequate net energy supply,
102 but to our knowledge, there is no study on the interaction between diet CP content and Met
103 supplementation on milk performance in dairy goats. Moreover, goats have been
104 characterized by a high variability in milk protein content according to their genotype for
105 casein-alpha S1 (CNS1, Grosclaude et al., 1987), and this have been demonstrated to affect
106 their response to dietary CP levels (De la Torre et al., 2008; Schmidely et al., 2002). The
107 MPY response to RPM supplementation of dairy goats exhibiting low secretion of CNS1 have
108 been shown to be low in relation with low Met requirement (Jacobsen, 2015), but no study is
109 available for goats exhibiting alleles associated with a high secretion of CNS1.

110 Therefore, the first objective of the present study was to determine the effects of
111 supplementing diets differing in CP content (LP=14 vs HP =16% CP) with RPM on milk
112 performance, milk composition, and N and net energy feed efficiencies in Alpine goats that
113 have been genotyped for their genetic variant for CNS1. We hypothesized firstly that milk
114 performance or MPY responses to RPM supplementation could be more efficient in low CP
115 diets, and secondly more efficient in goats with high milk protein yield. A secondary

116 objective was to characterize plasma biomarkers to assess oxidative status response to RPM
117 supplementation.

118 **2. Material and methods**

119 The entire experiment was conducted in accordance with the National Legislation on
120 Animal Care (certified by the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation,
121 Ethic Committee COMETHEA, authorization APAFIS #9833).

122 *2.1. Animals, diets and experimental design*

123 Forty-four multiparous alpine goats were characterized for their genotype of milk CNS1
124 from blood DNA extraction (Labogena, 78352 Jouy-en-Josas, France) before the start of the
125 trial. Goats were grouped into 2 blocks with block **High** for the goats expressing Ab/Ab,
126 Ab/Ac, Ac/Ad, Ab/B, Ac/B allelic genotypes, and block **Low** for those expressing Ab/E,
127 Ac/E, Ad/E and E/E allelic genotypes (Grosclaude et al., 1987). Within each block, eight
128 goats were selected and balanced for raw milk yield (~~RM~~MY), MPC, BW and DIM. At the
129 beginning of the trial, ~~RM~~MY was 4.33 ± 0.43 vs 4.36 ± 0.77 kg/d, MP) was 31.3 ± 1.9 vs
130 35.9 ± 1.5 g/kg, BW was 67.5 ± 7.5 and 66.7 ± 9.2 kg, DIM was 90 ± 5 and 87 ± 6 days in
131 High CNS1 vs Low CNS1 blocks, respectively. During the whole trial (11 wks including a 2-
132 wk adaptation period and 9-wk cross-over experimental period), goats were housed in
133 individual pens and had free access to water and trace-mineralized salt blocks. Goats were fed
134 twice a day with one-third in of their respective diet distributed in the morning (0730 h) and
135 two-thirds in the afternoon (1630 h).

136 The experimental design is presented at the figure 1. During the 1st wk of the adaptation
137 period, goats were progressively switched from their previous grass hay-based diet (Forage:
138 concentrate ratio 70:30, 13% CP) to the experimental low-protein diet (LP, table1). At the end
139 of the 1st week, goats within CNS1 blocks were assigned to their respective experimental diets

140 LP vs HP (14 vs 16% CP, table 1) according to DMI, milk yield, and MPC and progressively
141 switched during the 2nd wk from the LP diet to the LP or HP diets. At the end of the 2nd wk,
142 half of goats in each experimental diet received 2.4 g metabolizable methionine /d for 4 wks,
143 whereas the others were considered as control. Assigned Methionine (**RPM**) or control
144 treatments (**CTL**) will be pursued for 4 wks (Period 1), and crossed-over for 4 additional wks
145 (Period 2) after one wk washout period. Methionine supplementation was realized by hand
146 mixing daily 4 g/d of Smartamine (Adisseo, Commentry, France) in the morning feeding,
147 representing an amount of 2.4 g/d of metabolizable methionine (**RPM**), assuming that DL-
148 Methionine content in Smartamine is 75%, with a pH sensitive coating considered to have
149 80% bioavailability (Graulet et al., 2005). This amount was supplied to meet calculated
150 concentration of Methionine digestible in intestine (MetDi) relatively to PDIE for dairy cows
151 of 2.5 g metabolizable Methionine/100 g PDIE (Protein Digested in the small Intestine
152 supplied by RUP and by microbial protein from rumen-fermented OM) in the RPM group
153 (INRA, 2007) whereas CTL group were below that recommendation (90%) assuming an
154 average DMI of 3.5 kg DM/d. Both adaptation and experimental diets were fed as TMR and
155 distributed to have at least 10% orts.

156 2.2. *Experimental measurements and sampling*

157 From wk 1 onwards, feed distributed and orts were recorded daily. One diet sample was
158 collected at wk 2, 6, and 11 for the determination of feed and orts composition. Goats were
159 weighed twice a day at milking parlor and data were averaged individually by wk. During the
160 whole trial, 2 milk samples of 30 mL (one at the evening milking and one at the following
161 morning milking) were obtained individually twice a week. Samples were preserved with the
162 addition of bronopol (Grosseron SA, Saint-Herblain, France) and stored at 4°C until analysis.
163 ~~Total protein, fat, lactose, SCC and urea were analyzed by infrared spectrophotometry in a~~
164 ~~Milk Recording Organisation laboratory (Syndicat Interdépartemental de l'Élevage, Le Mée,~~

165 ~~France~~). At wk6 and 11, 2 milk samples were individually collected and frozen at -18°C for
166 analysis of milk total casein.

167 From wk 3 to 11 (except during the washout wk), blood was sampled once weekly by
168 jugular venipuncture into heparin vials in the morning (0700 h), before the milking and before
169 the distribution of the diet. Blood samples (2 x 10 mL) were centrifuged at $1,780 \times g$ for 10
170 min at 4°C immediately after collection. Plasma was removed and stored at -18°C until
171 glucose, NEFA, BHB, urea, insulin, total glutathione (GSH), oxidized GSH, superoxide
172 dismutase (SOD), catalase, malondialdehyde (MDA), and protein carbonyl was analyzed.
173 Two additional blood samples were collected during the last two wks of each cross-over
174 period (wk5 and 6, and wk 10 and 11) to analyze plasma free AA concentrations, plasma non-
175 proteinogenic AA and AA derivatives.

176 2.3. *Laboratory analysis*

177 Dietary feed values (Table 1) were calculated according to INRA feeding system
178 (INRA, 2007) from analyzed chemical composition and in vitro digestibility measurements
179 (Upscience-Labs, Saint-Nolf, France). Feed and orts samples were dried in a forced-air oven
180 at 90°C for 24 h to determine DM content and then ground and stored at room temperature
181 until analysis of OM, NDF, ADF (Van Soest et al., 1991), and starch (Gluco-sequant
182 glucose/HK; Roche/Hitachi Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) content. Feed DM, ash, and
183 enzymatic starch were analyzed following International Organization for Standardization
184 (ISO) standards: ISO (1999; 6496), ISO (2002; 5984), and ISO (2004; 15914), respectively.
185 Feed and orts were ground at 0.5-mm screen and analyzed for AA content by HPLC (Adisseo,
186 Commentry, France) after protein hydrolysis with 6 N HCl at 110°C for 24 h under reflux
187 (Commission Regulation (EC) No 152/2009). Sulfur AA (Met and Cys) were analyzed
188 separately by oxidation using performic acid before the protein hydrolysis. For DL-Trp
189 analysis, samples were hydrolyzed in an autoclave using Barium Hydroxide in the absence of

190 oxygen. After the acidification of an aliquot fraction, the tryptophan was separated by HPLC
191 using a flurometric detector (Commission Regulation (EC) No 152/2009).

192 Plasma glucose, NEFA, and BHBA were analyzed by an autoanalyzer (Cobas-Mira
193 Roche/Hitachi, Mannheim, Germany) with enzymatic assay-kits (Gluco-sequant glucose/HK,
194 Roche/Hitachi Diagnostics; NEFA-HR(2), Wako Chemicals GmbH, Neuss, Germany).
195 Plasma β -Hydroxybutyrate was analyzed by the method of Barnouin et al. (1986). Plasma
196 insulin was analyzed using an ELISA kit (10-1202-01; Mercodia AB, Uppsala, Sweden).
197 Plasma AA, non-proteinogenic AA and AA derivatives concentrations were analyzed by
198 UPLC/MS (Waters Acquity Ultra Performance LC system, Waters Corp.) as described by
199 Haque et al. (2012). Enzymatic assay kits were used for colorimetric determination of plasma
200 SOD (Ransod, SD 125, RANDOX, Cremmlin, GB), total GSH and oxidized GSH
201 (glutathione (GSSG/GHS), ref ADI-900-160, ENZO, Farmingdale, USA), catalase
202 (EnzyChrom™ Catalase Assay kit, ref ECAT-100MDA, GenPrice Inc, San José, USA),
203 MDA (OxiSelect™ TBARS, STA-330, Cell Biolabs Inc, San Diego, USA), and PC
204 (OxiSelect™ Protein Carbonyl, STA-307, Cell Biolabs Inc, San Diego, USA).

205 Total protein, fat, lactose, SCC and urea in milk were analyzed by infrared
206 spectrophotometry in a Milk Recording Organisation laboratory (Syndicat Interdépartemental
207 de l'Élevage, Le Mée, France). Milk casein N content was determined by difference between
208 total N and non-casein N according to method ISO 17997-2 (IDF 29-2/2004).

209 2.4. *Calculations and statistical analysis*

210 The PDI gross efficiency for milk protein synthesis was evaluated by the ratio between
211 MPY (g/d) and dietary intake of PDIE (g/d). The PDI metabolic efficiency was evaluated by
212 the ratio between MPY and the difference between PDIE intake minus the PDIE requirement
213 (g/d, INRA (2007)). Data were analyzed using PROC MIXED of SAS (SAS Institute, 2004)
214 according to a cross-over design (including RPM (CTL vs RPM), Period (1 vs 2), and

215 sequence (SEQ) of RPM supplementation as factors) nested within a factorial design with
216 CNS1 Block (Low vs High) and dietary CP (LP vs HP) as factors. Period (1 vs 2), Seq
217 (RPM/CTL vs CTL/RPM), RPM supplementation (CTL vs RPM), Genotype (Low vs High),
218 dietary CP (LP vs HP), dietary CP x RPM interaction, Genotype x RPM interaction, dietary
219 CP x Genotype and dietary CP x Genotype x RPM interaction were considered as fixed
220 factor. When data were repeated within each period, time, time x RPM, and time x dietary CP
221 were also included as fixed factors in the model, with goat(Seq) as a random effect and a
222 compound-symmetric covariance structure as proposed by Choi et al. (2014). Least squares
223 means are reported and treatment effects were declared significant at $P < 0.05$ and tendencies
224 at $P \leq 0.10$.

225 **3. Results**

226 Most of the interactions between time and RPM supplementation, or time and dietary
227 CP were not significant. They were removed in the presentation of the results to focus on the
228 main factors (RPM supplementation, dietary CP, and genotype) and on their interactions.

229 *3.1. Milk performance*

230 DM and nutrient intake are presented in table 2. Goats fed HP diet had higher CP ($P <$
231 0.02), PDIE ($P < 0.005$), LysDi ($P < 0.001$), Histdi ($P < 0.002$) and LeuDi ($P < 0.003$) intake
232 than those fed the LP diet. As expected, goats supplemented with RPM had higher MetDi
233 intake (+2.2 g/d in average, $P < 0.001$) than those fed the CTL diet, with no other difference
234 in DMI or nutrients intake.

235 Milk performance and milk composition are presented in table 3. Goats fed HP diet
236 had similar milk performance, milk composition and yields, similar PDI efficiencies, similar
237 feed and energetic efficiencies, but higher milk urea concentration than those fed LP diet ($P <$
238 0.01). Goats supplemented with RPM had higher raw milk yield (+0.1 kg/d) only when fed

239 LP diet (RPM x dietary CP interaction: $P < 0.02$). Compared to goats fed CTL diet, those
240 supplemented with RPM had higher MPC, but this was observed only in goats fed HP diet
241 (interaction RPM x dietary CP: $P < 0.008$), and this effect was more pronounced in Low
242 CNS1 block (interaction RPM x CNS1: $P < 0.05$; interaction RPM x dietary CP x CNS1: $P <$
243 0.11): in the Low CNS1 block, difference in MPC and MPY between goats fed LP x CTL diet
244 and those fed HP x RPM diet was 2.7 g/l and 17.7 g/d, whereas it was only 0.8 g/l and 11 g/d
245 in the High CNS1 block, respectively. Similarly, in Low CNS1 block, difference in milk
246 casein concentration in goats fed LP x CTL diet and those fed HP x RPM diet was 3 g/L,
247 whereas it was only 0.2 g/l in the High CNS1 block (interaction RPM x dietary CP x CNS1: P
248 < 0.04). In High CNS1 block, goats supplemented with RPM had higher feed efficiency and
249 energy efficiency than those fed CTL diet whatever the CP content, whereas in the CNS1
250 Block, those supplemented with RPM had lower feed efficiency and energy efficiency than
251 those fed CTL diet, but this was observed only for goats fed HP x CTL diet (interaction RPM
252 x Dietary CP: $P < 0.04$ and $P < 0.003$ for feed or energy efficiency, respectively; interaction
253 RPM x CNS1: $P < 0.01$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively; interaction RPM x dietary CP x CNS1: P
254 < 0.001 and $P < 0.02$, respectively). Efficiencies of PDI were not affected by RPM
255 supplementation, but interaction between CNS1 x dietary CP x RPM was significant ($P <$
256 0.001 for PDI gross efficiency and $P < 0.002$ for PDI metabolic efficiency). Indeed, in goats
257 fed HP diet (but not LP diet), those supplemented with RPM in the Low CNS1 block had
258 lower PDI gross and metabolic efficiencies (-0.03 g MPY/g PDIE intake, and -0.04 g MPY/g
259 (PDIE intake-PDIE requirement) than the CTL ones; conversely, when fed HP (but not LP
260 diet) goats supplemented with RPM had higher PDI gross and metabolic efficiencies ($+0.02$,
261 and $+0.02$ unit). Compared to goats fed CTL diet, those supplemented with RPM had higher
262 milk urea concentration, without any interaction with other factors.

263 Goats of High CNS1 block had higher raw milk yield, higher MPY (+18 g/d, $P < 0.05$)
264 and MPC (+2.8 g/kg, $P < 0.02$), and higher milk casein content (+ 3.3 g/kg, $P < 0.02$) than
265 those of Low CNS1 block. They also had higher PDI gross efficiency (+0.06 unit, $P < 0.03$)
266 or PDI metabolic efficiency (+0.05 unit, $P < 0.05$) than those of Low CNS1 block.

267 3.2. *Plasma characteristics and oxidative status*

268 Plasma characteristics and parameters of oxidative status are presented in table 4.
269 Goats fed HP diet had higher plasma urea than those fed LP diet ($P < 0.006$), with no effect
270 on other plasma characteristics. Goats supplemented with RPM had higher plasma BHB
271 concentration ($P < 0.05$), and a trend to have higher plasma urea concentration ($P < 0.07$) than
272 CTL. Interaction between RPM and dietary CP was significant with an increase in plasma
273 insulin in goats fed HP x RPM diet but a decrease in those fed LP x RPM diet. Parameters
274 characterizing oxidative status were weakly altered by CP content except for an increase in
275 total GSH in the HP diet. Goats in the High CNS1 block had higher MDA plasma
276 concentration ($P < 0.02$). Goats supplemented with RPM tended to have higher plasmatic
277 MDA ($P < 0.07$) and total GSH concentrations ($P < 0.09$).

278 3.3. *Plasma Amino-acids and derivatives*

279 Plasma AA data are presented in table 5. Dietary CP did not affect plasma
280 concentrations of non-essential (NEAA) or essential AA (EAA), except for Arg ($P < 0.05$).
281 Compared to CTL goats, those supplemented with RPM tends to have higher plasma
282 methionine ($P < 0.10$) and they had lower Ser ($P < 0.03$) and Tyr ($P < 0.04$) concentrations.
283 Compared to Low CNS1 goats, High CNS1 goats had higher plasma concentration for all
284 EAA (except His and Lys) and for numerous NEAA. Interactions between dietary CP, RPM
285 and genotype for CNS1 were not significant on plasma EAA concentrations, except for Lys

286 with a trend to a decrease in plasma Lys after RPM supplementation in LP diet, but an
287 increase in goats fed HP diet ($P < 0.06$).

288 Plasma non-proteinogenic AA and AA derivatives data are presented in table 6.
289 Compared to goats fed LP diet, those fed HP diet had lower plasma concentrations of α -
290 aminoadipic ($P < 0.003$) and α -aminobutyric acid ($P < 0.05$). Compared to CTL goats, those
291 supplemented with RPM had lower plasma concentration of α -aminoadipic acid ($P < 0.02$)
292 and higher α -aminobutyric acid ($P < 0.03$) and cystine ($P < 0.001$).

293 **4. Discussion**

294 *4.1. Diet formulation*

295 We have planned 2 different CP contents in the experimental diets to test the
296 hypothesis that supplementation with RPM improved performance through higher efficiency
297 in dairy goats fed low CP than high CP diets, as observed in dairy cows. As expected, LP and
298 HP diets differed for their CP content (13.9 vs 15.8 % CP), PDIE content (100 vs 115 g/kg
299 DM, where PDIE is the protein digested in the small intestine supplied by dietary RUP and by
300 microbial protein synthesized from rumen-fermented OM) and PDIN content (92 vs 109 g/kg
301 DM, where PDIN is the protein digested in the small intestine supplied by dietary RUP and by
302 microbial protein synthesized from rumen NH_3). In these conditions, PDIN appears to be a
303 limiting factor for milk performance in LP diets as dietary recommendations (INRA, 2007)
304 suggest a minimal content of 100 g PDI /kg DM to meet animal requirement. However, a
305 recommended deficit in dietary degradable protein ((PDIN -PDIE) / UFL between -7 and 0
306 g/UFL with 1 UFL = 1 Feed Unit for Lactation corresponding to 7.11 MJ of Net energy for
307 lactation) is acceptable to account for the use of ruminal NH_3 recycled via the salivary urea
308 (INRA, 2007). In LP diet, this deficit was between -8 and -9 g/UFL, which is slightly below
309 the recommended deficit for goats producing 3.9 to 4.4 kg milk as in our trial. Consequently,

310 it cannot be excluded that the lack of MPC and MPY responses to RPM supplementation,
311 especially in LP diet (see below), could be related to a lack of dietary fermentable N.

312

313 4.2. *Effect of CP and PDI on milk performance and composition, and plasma parameters*

314 Increasing CP content from 14% to 16% and consequently PDIE/UFL ratio from 65
315 to 76 g /UFL increased numerically DMI (+0.1 kg DMI/d, i.e. +2.9%). This numerical
316 increase is however in line with that predicted by INRA (2018): increasing dietary CP content
317 by 18 g/kg DM would result in an 3% increase in intake capacity. In cows, several studies
318 have shown a similar positive effect of PDIE/UFL on the intake capacity (review of Daniel et
319 al., 2016), independently of any impact on ruminal digestion, indicating that a shortage in
320 PDIE supply compared to energy availability limits intake capacity. However, response of
321 DMI to an increase in PDIE/UFL ratio may be partially limited by the availability of
322 fermentable N, especially when (PDIN-PDIE)/UFL ratio is decreased (Rico-Gomez and
323 Faverdin, 2001; Huthanen and Hetta 2012). Therefore, despite the increase in PDIE/UFL in
324 HP diet compared to LP diet, the relative decrease in PDIN-PDIE / UFL (2 g (PDIN-PDIE) /
325 UFL between HP and LP diet) may have limited the potential increase in DMI. Consequently,
326 goats fed LP or HP diets had similar intakes of NEL, starch and fat, but different CP and
327 PDIE intake by design. Moreover, as HP diet had slightly higher LysDi and HistDi
328 concentration than LP diet, goats fed HP diet had significantly higher LysDi and HistDi intake
329 than those fed LP diets.

330 Goats fed HP diet had similar milk performance, PDI efficiencies, MPC and MPY
331 than those fed LP diet, in contrast to studies in goats (Schmidely et al. 2002; De la Torre et al.,
332 2009) or in cows (Hacque et al. 2012) fed similar changes in dietary CP contents. In these
333 trials, increase in milk yield or MPY with higher dietary CP content was associated with an
334 increase in DMI, that provided more energy and amino-acid available for mammary milk

335 production or protein synthesis. In our experiment, despite the increase in CP, PDI and AA
336 intake, no increase in milk yield, MPC or MPY was observed suggesting that NEI intake was
337 the first limiting factor of HP and LP diet especially for lactose synthesis (Lemosquet et al.,
338 2010), and lead to inefficient use of dietary protein for milk synthesis. As previously reported
339 in goat, PDI gross and metabolic efficiencies were reduced as dietary CP content increased,
340 but this was observed only in goats expressing the high genetic variant for CNS1 (Schmidely
341 et al., 2002; De La Torre et al., 2009) that also add the highest plasma urea. As the plasma
342 concentration of total AA or individual AA was not affected by dietary CP content despite a
343 higher AA intake with no change in protein yield, the higher plasma urea concentration
344 reflects partly an increase of AA catabolism in the liver and/or a greater use of some or all AA
345 for protein synthesis in the peripheral tissues. It also reflects possibly a less efficient use of
346 ruminal NH₃ by rumen microbes at the higher CP intake in the goats fed HP diet (Schwab and
347 Broderick, 2018).

348 Plasma parameters related to oxidative status were not affected by dietary CP content,
349 except for an increase in plasma oxidized glutathione in goats fed HP diet. To our knowledge,
350 no data are available in ruminant on the effect of dietary CP content on oxidative status and
351 especially on glutathione and its oxidized derivatives. In our study, feeding more CP was
352 associated with a trend in a higher intake of methionine and cysteine, 2 amino acids with
353 sulfur atoms that are particularly prone to oxidative damage (Celi and Gabai, 2015). However,
354 this increase in oxidized glutathione in goats fed HP diets was associated in our trial with no
355 change in Protein-Carbonyl plasma concentration which is considered as a marker of protein
356 oxidation, and even a decrease in alpha-amino adipic acid which is a marker of lysine
357 oxidation (Estevez et Jiong, 2019). We also found a significant decrease in α -amino-butyric
358 acid (a non-proteinogenic AA) plasma concentration, that is a product of methionine,
359 threonine, serine, and glycine metabolism, finally deriving from alpha-ketobutyrate (Chiarla

360 et al., 2011). In human, higher plasma α -amino-butyric acid concentration reflects liver
361 dysfunction, and increased protein catabolism and oxidation (Chiarla et al. 2011). In dairy
362 ruminants, data on α -ketobutyrate are scarce and inversely related to DMI and energy/protein
363 catabolism (Klein et al 2010). Taken all together, these data do not provide a clear figure on
364 oxidative status of the effect of feeding high CP level in the ruminant. Moreover, our trial was
365 conducted in healthy goats at established lactation period, which probably do not constitute
366 factors to alters oxidative status (Abuelo et al, 2013).

367

368 *4.3. Effect of Methionine supplementation on milk Performance and composition, and*
369 *plasma parameters*

370 Balancing diets for metabolizable methionine through supplementation with RPM did
371 not result in an increase in DM intake as previously observed in goats fed different forms of
372 RPM (Alonso-Melendez et al 2016; Al Qaisi and Titi, 2014; Flores et al., 2009) or in cows
373 (Haque et al., 2012). This lack in DMI response to supplementation with RPM was observed
374 independently of the dietary CP content, whereas Madsen et al. (2005) reported an increase in
375 DMI after supplementation with RPM in goats fed high CP (18% CP/DM) vs low CP
376 (13%CP/DM) diets. In cows, inconsistent responses in DMI to supplementation with RPM
377 have been observed that could be due to the form of methionine protection, deficiency of Met
378 in the diet, and the availability of other co-limiting AA (Zanton et al., 2014). As expected, LP
379 and HP diets in our trial were methionine deficient as their MetDi/PDIE ratio was 2.05 and
380 1.90 % PDIE respectively, which is below the value of 2.50 % to cover methionine
381 requirement for mammary protein synthesis in cow (Rulquin et al., 2007). In these conditions,
382 supplementation with RPM alleviates the deficiency of LP and HP diet for their methionine /
383 PDIE ratio, but both diets remained deficient for lysine (see below). Consequently, goats
384 supplemented with RPM had higher intake of Methionine, but similar intake of NEL, and

385 other EAA than the CTL goats, and this was independent of dietary CP content. This probably
386 was reflected from the lack of response of raw milk yield and MPY to RPM, even though an
387 interaction was observed between supplementation with RPM and dietary CP content,
388 indicating that supplemental RPM was partitioned toward raw milk synthesis in low CP diets,
389 and to a lower extent, toward MPY in the high CP diet resulting in an increase in MPC (see
390 below). Raw Milk yield was not increased with different forms and doses of RPM
391 supplementation when DMI and consequently NEI intake were not altered by supplementation
392 with RPM in goats (Alonso-Melendez et al 2016; Al Qaisi and Titi, 2014; Flores et al., 2009),
393 whereas when DMI was increased, a positive response of milk yield, and MPY was observed
394 (Madsen et al., 2005), with no changes in MPC. However, in our trial, MPC was increased
395 with RPM supplementation in goats fed high CP, but not in those fed low CP (significant
396 RPM x CP interaction) which was at the opposite of our initial hypothesis. This is probably
397 related to the fact that Met in high CP diet was more limiting than in low CP diet, which
398 resulted in a more efficient use of RPM in high CP than in low CP diet. This is in line with the
399 lower increase in milk and plasma urea associated with RP supplementation in high CP than
400 in low CP diet. The lack in MPY and MPC responses could also be due to the possible
401 limitation of Lys as first limiting AA in our experimental diets. Indeed, contrarily to what was
402 expected, LP and HP diets were also slightly deficient for lysine as their digestible
403 LysDi/PDIE ratio was 6.4% for both diets which is below the recommendation of 7.3% PDIE
404 (Rulquin et al., 2007). That could have also contributed to prevent any increase in DM intake
405 and any increase in MPC, even though in both diets, the ratio of lysine to methionine was near
406 2.9 which have shown to be the optimal ratio for mammary milk protein synthesis (IINRA,
407 2007; 2018). Madsen et al., (2005) also reported an increase in DMI, milk yield and MPC in
408 goats fed rumen protected lysine and methionine in combination with a Met/Lys ratio equal to
409 2.5. These data suggest that in our trial, the low responses of MPY and MPC were due to

410 limiting supply of these two AA (possibly Lysine as the first limiting amino acids) for
411 mammary milk production when the goats were fed high or low CP diets. The lack of
412 response in MPY and MPC may be also due to limiting NEL supply by both diets to optimize
413 mammary protein synthesis. Indeed, Boutinaud et al (2020) reported an increase in MPY and
414 mRNA S1 casein to supplementation with RPM only in dairy goats fed adequate NEL supply
415 (1.84 Mcal/kg DM) but not in those fed low NEL supply (1.48 Mcal/kg DM). The energetic
416 contents of the experimental diets were only 1.52 to 1.55 Mcal/kg which may have limited the
417 increase in protein synthesis after RPM supplementation. Finally, it cannot be excluded that
418 the low response in milk yield and/or MPY was due to the short time of each experimental
419 period of the cross-over design.

420 Supplementation with RPM did not affect the milk fat yield, even though an
421 interaction was observed between RPM and dietary CP: goats supplemented with RPM in low
422 CP diet had higher milk fat yield than those fed high CP diet. This is essentially due to the
423 changes in raw milk yield (cf. above) as no change in milk fat content was observed with
424 RPM supplementation whatever the dietary CP content. Inconsistent responses in goats were
425 observed for milk fat content and milk fat yields (Alonzo-Melendez et al. 2016; Al Quaizi et
426 al., 2014; Flores et al., 2009; Madsen et al., 2005). These variations may be associated with
427 the partition of methionine between different functions and biochemical pathways. Indeed, in
428 the liver, methionine is implied as an intermediate in methyl group transfer for choline and
429 phosphatidylcholine synthesis, that are constituents of lipoprotein that delivers triglyceride to
430 the mammary gland (Bauchard et al., 1998). However, methionine is also involved through
431 transulfuration pathway into the synthesis of cysteine, taurine and glutathione synthesis in the
432 liver (Martinov et al., 2010). In association with the greater use of methionine for milk protein
433 synthesis, it may be suggested that most of the available supplemental methionine was
434 partitioned to mammary protein synthesis, glutathion and taurine synthesis rather than liver

435 lipoprotein synthesis in the mid-lactating goats used in our trial. Consequently, no
436 supplemental lipoprotein was available to deliver TG to the mammary gland after RPM
437 supplementation. ~~This is on line with the lack of change in the proportion milk fat proportion~~
438 ~~of stearic acid and oleic acids (data not shown), that are the 2 main FA transported by the liver~~
439 ~~VLDL.~~

440 To our knowledge, there is no data reporting the effect of RPM supplementation on
441 plasma parameters of oxidative status in dairy goats. Supplementation with RPM increased
442 plasma methionine concentration in our study, confirming the bioavailability observed in
443 cows (Graulet et al., 2005). This may explain the increase in hepatic glutathione production
444 (Zhou et al., 2016b; Batistel et al. 2018), that is the most abundant thiol compounds against
445 oxidative stress (Osorio et al., 2014). Methionine may also have been used for taurine
446 synthesis, another potent antioxidant (Martinov et al., 2010), as its plasma concentration
447 tended to increase with RPM supplementation. However, increase in plasma total glutathione
448 concentration and the numerically higher plasma taurine concentration with RPM
449 supplementation were not reflected in lower plasma concentration of MDA and PC that
450 reflects lipid peroxidation and protein damage respectively, nor in increase in SOD or
451 catalase. Similar increase in plasma GSH, taurine and cysteine concentrations have been also
452 observed in lactating cows supplemented with RPM during the transition period, thus
453 increasing antioxidant and β -oxidation capacities (Osorio et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2016a).

454

455 *4.4. Effect of genetic variant for S1-casein on milk performance and composition, and*
456 *plasma parameters.*

457 Similarly to previous studies (De La Tore et al. 2008; Schmidely et al. 2002) we
458 observed higher MPY and MPC associated with a higher milk casein concentration, and a
459 higher PDI gross efficiency in High CNS1 block goats compared to those in Low CNS1

460 block. Goats in High CNS1 block expressing a majority of Ab, Ac Ad and B alleles has been
461 shown to induce a higher secretion of S1-casein relatively to those expressing the intermediate
462 variant (Grosclaude et al., 1987), which was the E allele in that study. This was surprisingly
463 associated with an increase in plasma concentration of EAA (except for Lys and Hist), and in
464 some case with the increase in plasma concentration of some NEAA. Polymorphism of CNS1
465 has been shown to be involved in the cellular mechanisms of transport and secretion of
466 protein in the mammary gland, with a perturbed intracellular transport of those casein in the
467 defective or low variants (Neveu et al., 2002; Le Parc et al., 2010). Paradoxically, despite a
468 lower protein yield, this could be due to a higher AA requirement in the Low CNS1 block,
469 associated with a lower efficiency use of AA than in the High CNS1 block as we observed in
470 the present study. This could also explain the interaction between the CNS1 blocks and
471 supplementation with RPM for MPY, MPC, milk casein content, and PDI efficiencies in line
472 with our second hypothesis that RPM could be more efficient in goats exhibiting high protein
473 synthesis. This is also in line with the data of Jacobsen (2015) who reported a weak response
474 to RPM supplementation of dairy goats exhibiting low secretion of CNS1 in relation with low
475 Met requirement.

476

477 **5. Conclusions**

478 Goats fed high CP diet had similar milk production, milk protein content and milk protein
479 yield, efficiency for feed, energy and PDI use than those fed low CP diet, and this was
480 associated with a lack of response in DMI to dietary CP contents, which suggests that Net
481 Energy for lactation was a limiting factor in our experimental diets. Goats fed rumen
482 protected methionine had higher intake of Methionine, but similar intake of Net Energy for
483 lactation and similar energy efficiency, that was reflected into the lack of response of raw
484 milk yield and protein yield to rumen-protected methionine. However, with methionine

485 supplementation, milk protein content was increased in goats fed high CP diet, but not in
486 those fed low CP diet that had higher milk yield, suggesting changes in metabolic partition of
487 nutrient between milk secretion vs milk protein synthesis according to the level of limitation
488 of methionine in diet. Simultaneously, oxidative status was improved in goats fed rumen
489 protected methionine, as reflected by the increase in plasma glutathion and taurine
490 concentrations. In conclusion, our data suggest that further research are needed to determine if
491 specific dietary requirements for methionine can be quantified in goats exhibiting high protein
492 secretion to optimize milk performance response to methionine supplementation.

493 **Funding**

494 This research was partially funded by a grant from Adisseo S. A. S. (France).

495

496 **Acknowledgements**

497 We are grateful to J. Teissier and A. Eymar (INRAe, UMR791 Modélisation Systémique
498 Appliquée aux Ruminants, Paris, France) and his team for obtaining research data on
499 goats. We thank O. Dhumez, (INRAe, UMR791) for the analysis of the blood
500 samples. S. Lemosquet (INRA, UMR1348 Pegase, F-35590 Saint-Gilles, France) for the
501 determination of plasma amino-acids.

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509 **References**

510

511 Abuelo, A., Hernández, J., Benedito, J.L, Castillo, C., 2013. Oxidative stress index (OSi) as a
512 new tool to assess redox status in dairy cattle during the transition period. *Animal* 7,
513 1374–1378. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731113000396>.

514 Alonso-Melendez, E., Mendoza, G.D., Castrejón-Pineda, F.A., Ducoing-Watty, A.E., 2016.
515 Milk production in dairy goats supplemented with different levels of ruminally
516 protected methionine. *J. Dairy Res.* 83, 148-150.
517 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002202991600011X>.

518 Al-Qaisi, M.A., Titi, H.M., 2014. Effect of rumen-protected methionine on production and
519 composition of early lactating Shami goats milk and growth performance of their kids.
520 *Archiv. Tierzucht* 57, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.7482/0003-9438-57-001>.

521 Boutinaud, M., Chanat, E., Leduc, A., Wiart, S., Debournoux, P., Balhoul, L., Lemosquet, S.,
522 2020. Methionine supplementation impacts casein gene expression and cell death in the
523 mammary tissue of lactating dairy goats fed low and adequate net energy supplies. 2020
524 American Dairy Science Association (ADSA) Annual Meeting, Jun 2020, Virtual
525 Meeting, United States. pp.22. <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02969329>.

526 Batistel, F., Arroyo, J.M., Garces, C.I.M., Trevisi, E., Parys, C., Ballou, M.A., Cardoso, F.C.,
527 Loor, J.J., 2018. Ethyl-cellulose rumen-protected methionine alleviates inflammation
528 and oxidative stress and improves neutrophil function during the periparturient period
529 and early lactation in Holstein dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 101, 480–490.
530 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13185>.

531 Bauchart, D., Durand, D., Gruffat, D., Chilliard, Y., 1998. Mechanism of liver steatosis in
532 early lactation cows—Effects of hepatoprotector agents. Pages 27-37 in *Proc. Cornell*

533 Nutr. Conf. Feed Manuf. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. [https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-](https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02698233)
534 [02698233](https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02698233).

535 Broderick, G.A., Stevenson, M.J., Patton, R.A., Lobos, N.E., Olmos Colmenero J.J., 2008.
536 Effect of supplementing rumen-protected methionine on production and nitrogen
537 excretion in lactating dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 91, 1092–1102.
538 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0769>.

539 Cabrita, A.R.J., Dewhurst, R.J., Melo, D.S.P., Moorby, J.M., Fonseca, A.J.M., 2011. Effects
540 of dietary protein concentration and balance of absorbable amino acids on productive
541 responses of dairy cows fed corn silage-based diets. J. Dairy Sci. 94, 4647–4656.
542 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2010-4097>.

543 Celi, P., Gabai, G., 2015. Oxidant/antioxidant balance and animal nutrition and health: the
544 role of protein oxidation. Frontiers Vet. Sci. 2:48. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2015.00048.

545 Chiarla, C., Giovanni, I., Siegel, J.H., 2011. Characterization of alpha-amino-n-butyric acid
546 correlations in sepsis. Translational Res. 158, 328-333.
547 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2011.06.005>.

548 Choi, K., Hong, T., Lee, J., 2014. On comparison of SAS codes with GLM and MIXED for
549 the crossover studies with QT interval data. Transl. Clin. Pharmacol. 22, 78-82.
550 <https://doi.org/10.12793/tcp.2014.22.2.78>.

551 Commission Regulation (EC) No 152/2009, 27/1/2009, laying down the methods of sampling
552 and analysis for the official control of feed. Official J. European Union, L 54/1-125

553 Daniel, J.B., Friggens, N.C., Chapoutot, P., Van Laar, H., Sauvant, D., 2016. Milk yield and
554 milk composition responses to change in predicted net energy and metabolizable
555 protein, a meta-analysis. Animal 1, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731116001245>.

556 De la Torre, G., Serradilla, J.M., Gil-Extremera, F., Sanz Sampelayo, M.R., 2008. Nutritional
557 utilization in Malaguena dairy goats differing in genotypes for the content of α S1-
558 Casein in milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91, 2443–2448. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0278>.

559 Estevez, M., Jiong, Y., 2019. Intake of oxidized proteins and amino acids and causative
560 oxidative stress and disease: Recent scientific evidences and hypotheses. *J. Food Sci.*
561 84, 387-396. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14460>.

562 Flores A., Mendoza, G., Pinos-Rodriguez, J.M., Plata, F., Vega, S., Bárcena, R., 2009. Effects
563 of rumen-protected methionine on milk production of dairy goats. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 8,
564 271-275. <https://doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2009.271>.

565 Foda, M.I., Kholif, S.M., Kholif, A.M., 2009. Evaluation of goat milk containing galacto-
566 oligosaccharides after supplementing the ration with amino acids. *Intern. J. Dairy Sci.* 4,
567 27-33. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijds.2009.27.33>.

568 Graulet, B., Richard, C., Robert, J., 2005. Methionine availability in plasma of dairy cows
569 supplemented with methionine hydroxyl analog isopropyl ester. *J. Dairy Sci.* 88, 3640–
570 3649. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(05\)73049-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(05)73049-6).

571 Grosclaude, F., Mahé M.F., Brignon, G., Di Stasio, L., Jeunet R., 1987. A mendelian
572 polymorphism underlying quantitative variations of goat α S1-casein. *Genet. Sel. Evol.*
573 19, 399–412. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9686-19-4-399>.

574 Haque, M.N., Rulquin, H., Andrade, A., Faverdin, P., Peyraud, J.L., Lemosquet, S., 2012.
575 Milk protein synthesis in response to the provision of an “ideal” amino acid profile at
576 two levels of metabolizable protein supply in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 95, 5876–5887.
577 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-5230>.

578 Huhtanen, P., Hetta, M., 2012. Comparison of feed intake and milk production responses in
579 continuous and change-over design dairy cow experiments. *Livest. Sci.* 143, 184-194.
580 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2011.09.012>.

581 INRA, 2007. Alimentation des Bovins, Ovins, Caprins. Besoins des animaux. Valeur des
582 Aliments. Éditions Quæ, c/o Inra, Versailles, France. <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02811519>.

583 INRA, Pierre Nozière, P. Sauvant, D., Delaby, L., 2018. Alimentation des ruminants :
584 Apports nutritionnels - Besoins et réponses des animaux - Rationnement - Tables des
585 valeurs des aliments. Editions Quæ, 728 p., 2018, ISBN 978-2-7592-2867-6.
586 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02789908>.

587 Jacobsen, K.L., 2015. Use of methionine supplements to enhance the protein fraction of goat
588 milk. PhD Thesis, University of California, Davis, USA, pp 185.

589 Klein, M.S., Almstetter, M.F., Schlamberger, G., Nürnberger, N., Dettmer, K., Oefner, P.J.,
590 Meyer, H.H.D., Wiedemann, S., Gronwald, W., 2010. Nuclear magnetic resonance and
591 mass spectrometry-based milk metabolomics in dairy cows during early and late
592 lactation. *J. Dairy Sci.* 93,1539–1550. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2563>.

593 Lemosquet, S., Guinard-Flament, J., Raggio, G., Hurtaud C., Van Milgen, J., Lapiere H.,
594 2010. How does increasing protein supply or glucogenic nutrients modify mammary
595 metabolism in lactating dairy cows? Pages 175–187 in *Energy and Protein Metabolism*
596 *and Nutrition*. EAAP Publ. No. 127. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen,
597 the Netherlands. <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02754853>.

598 Lemosquet, S., Boutinaud, M., Leduc, A., Binggeli, S., Chanut, E., Bahlou, L., 2020.
599 Methionine supplementation at low and adequate net energy supply in lactating dairy
600 goats. 2020 American Dairy Science Association (ADSA) Annual Meeting, Jun 2020,
601 Virtual Meeting, United States. *J. Dairy Sci.* 103 (Suppl. 1), pp.303-304.

602 Le Parc, A., Leonil, J., Chanut, E., 2010. α S1-casein, which is essential for efficient ER-to-
603 Golgi casein transport, is also present in a tightly membrane-associated form. *BMC Cell*
604 *Biology* 11, 65-80. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2121-11-65>.

605 Lee, C., Hristov, A.N., Cassidy, T.W., Heyler, K.S., Lapierre, H., Varga, G.A., de Veth, M.J.,
606 Patton, R.A., Parys, C., 2012. Rumen-protected lysine, methionine, and histidine
607 increase milk protein yield in dairy cows fed a metabolizable protein-deficient diet. *J*
608 *Dairy Sci.* 95, 6042-6056. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-5581>.

609 Leonardi, C., Stevenson M., Armentano, L.E., 2003. Effect of two levels of crude protein and
610 methionine supplementation on performance of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86, 4033–
611 4042. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(03\)74014-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)74014-4).

612 Madsen, T.G., Nielsen, L., Nielsen, M.O., 2005. Mammary nutrient uptake in response to
613 dietary supplementation of rumen protected lysine and methionine in late and early
614 lactating dairy goats. *Small Rumin. Res.* 56, 151-16 4.
615 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2004.04.010>.

616 Martinov, M.V., Vitvitsky, V.M., Banerjee, R., Ataulakhanov, F.I., 2010. The logic of the
617 hepatic methionine metabolic cycle. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1804, 89–96.
618 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2009.10.004>.

619 Mavrommatis, A. Mitsiopolou, C., Christodoulou, C., Kariampa, P., Simoni, M., Righi, F.,
620 Tsiplakou, E., 2021. Effects of supplementing rumen-protected methionine and lysine
621 on milk performance and oxidative status of dairy ewes. *Antioxidants*, 10, 654-670.
622 <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10050654>.

623 Neveu, C. Riaublanc, A., Miranda, G., Chich, J.F., Martin, P., 2002. Is the apocrine milk
624 secretion process observed in the goat species rooted in the perturbation of the
625 intracellular transport mechanism induced by defective alleles at the alpha_s1-Cn locus
626 ?. *Repr. Nutr. Develop.* 42, 163-172. <https://doi.org/10.1051/rnd:2002015>.

627 Osorio, J.S., Trevisi, E., Ji, P., Drackley, J.K., Luchini, D., Bertoni, G., Looor, J.J., 2014.
628 Biomarkers of inflammation, metabolism, and oxidative stress in blood, liver, and milk
629 reveal a better immunometabolic status in periparturient cows supplemented with

630 Smartamine. M or MetaSmart. J. Dairy Sci. 97,7437–7450.
631 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7679>.

632 Piccioloi-Cappelli, F., Minuti, A., Maiocchi, M., Mezzetti, M., and Trevisi, E., 2016. Effects
633 of protected methionine supplementation during dry period of seasonally synchronized
634 goats on blood parameters and the subsequent lactation. J. Anim. Sci. 94 (suppl5), 836.
635 <https://doi.org/10.2527/jam2016-1716>.

636 Reed, K.F., Moraes, L.E., Casper, D.P., Kebreab E., 2015. Predicting nitrogen excretion from
637 cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 98, 3025–3035. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8397>.

638 Rico-Gomez, M., Favardin, P., 2001. La nutrition protéique modifie l’ingestion des vaches
639 laitières : analyse bibliographique. Renc. Rech. Ruminants 8, 285-288.

640 Rulquin, H., Raggio, G., Lapierre, H., Lemosquet, S., 2007. Relationship between intestinal
641 supply of essential amino acids and their mammary metabolism in the lactating dairy
642 cow. In: Ortigues-Marty I. (ed.), Energy and Protein Metabolism and Nutrition, pp 587–
643 588 EAAP Publ. No. 124. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, the
644 Netherlands. <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02755923>.

645 Schmidely Ph., Meschy, F., Tessier, J., Sauvant, D., 2002. Lactation response and nitrogen,
646 calcium, and phosphorus utilization of dairy goats differing by the genotype for α S1-
647 casein in milk. and fed diets varying in crude protein concentration. J. Dairy Sci. 85,
648 2299–2307. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(02\)74310-5](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74310-5).

649 Schwab, C.G., Broderick, G.A., 2018. A 100-Year Review: Protein and amino acid nutrition
650 in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 100, 10094–10112. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13320>.

651 Spek, J.W., Dijkstra, J., van Duinkerken, G., Hendriks, W.H., Bannink, A., 2013. Prediction
652 of urinary nitrogen and urinary urea nitrogen excretion by lactating dairy cattle in
653 northwestern Europe and North America: a meta-analysis. J. Dairy Sci. 96: 4310-4322.
654 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-6265>.

655 Sun, F., Cao Y., Cai, C., Li, S., Yu, C., Yao, J., 2016. Regulation of nutritional metabolism in
656 transition dairy cows., energy homeostasis and health in response to post-ruminal
657 choline and methionine. PloS ONE 11, e0160659.
658 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160659>.

659 Zanton, G.I., Bowman, G.R., Vazquez Añón, M., and Rode, L.M., 2014. Meta-analysis of
660 lactation performance in dairy cows receiving supplemental dietary methionine sources
661 or postruminal infusion of methionine. J. Dairy Sci. 97, 7085-7101.
662 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8220>.

663 Zhou, Z., Bulgari, O., Vailati-Riboni, M., Trevisi, E., Ballou, M.A., Cardoso, F.C., Luchini
664 D.N., Loor J.J., 2016a. Rumen-protected methionine compared with rumen-protected
665 choline improves immunometabolic status in dairy cows during the peripartal period. J.
666 Dairy Sci. 99, 8956–8969. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-10986>.

667 Zhou, Z., Vailati-Riboni, M., Luchini, D.N., Loor, J.J., 2016b. Methionine and choline supply
668 during the periparturient period alter plasma amino acid and one-carbon metabolism
669 profiles to various extents., potential role in hepatic metabolism and antioxidant status.
670 Nutrients 9,10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9010010>.

671

672 **Table 1.**

673 Ingredients, chemical composition, and predicted nutritive values of the s experimental diets.

Experimental diet ^a	LP	HP
Ingredients, % of DM		
Grass hay	15.0	10.0
Dehydrated alfalfa	18.0	23.0
Pressed beet pulp	40.0	35.0
Soybean meal	5.0	10.0
Barley,	5.0	5.0
Concentrate ^b	15.0	15.0
Mineral and vitamins ^c	2.0	2.0
Chemical composition, % DM ^d		
CP	13.9	15.8
NDF	47.5	44.8
ADF	26.0	25.3
Crude Cellulose	23.0	21.9
Starch	6.60	6.25
EE	2.20	2.25
Lysine	0.64	0.76
Methionine	0.22	0.23
Histidine	0.32	0.38
Leucine	0.93	1.10
Predicted nutritive value ^e		
PDIA, g/kg DM	37.0	53.0
PDIE, g/kg DM	100.0	115.0
PDIN, g/kg DM	92.0	109.0
NE _L , Mcal/kg of DM	1.55	1.52
PDIE/NE _L , g/Mcal	64.5	75.7

674 ^a LP = diet with 14% CP; HP = diet with 16% CP.675 ^b Concentrate contained 30% soybean meal, 15% sunflower meal, 10% wheat, 10% rapeseed
676 meal, 9% dehydrated alfalfa, 7% soybean seed, 5% corn, 4% beet pulp, 4% wheat bran, 3%
677 molasses, 2% linseed, 1% sodium bicarbonate.678 ^c Mineral contained 30% dicalcium phosphate, 20% sodium bicarbonate, 20% trace-
679 mineralized salt, 20% limestone, 4% CaSO₄, 4% MgO₃, 2% urea, 650,000 IU/kg of vitamin
680 A, 350,000 IU/kg of vitamin D, and 4000 IU/kg of vitamin E.681 ^d OM, Organic matter; CP, Crude protein; EE, Ether extract; NDF, Neutral detergent fiber;
682 ADF, Acid detergent fiber.683 ^e PDIA = dietary CP undegraded in the rumen but truly digestible in the small intestine; PDIN
684 = protein digested in the small intestine supplied by dietary RUP and by microbial protein

685 from rumen NH_3 ; PDIE = protein digested in the small intestine supplied by dietary RUP and
686 by microbial protein from rumen-fermented OM (INRA, 2007).

687 **Table 2.**

688 Body weight, dry matter intake, Net energy of lactation, and selected nutrient intake in alpine goats differing by the genetic variant for CNS1
 689 casein (High vs Low) and fed low (LP) vs high (HP) CP diet supplemented (+) or not (-) with rumen protected Methionine (RPM).

CNS1 Diet CP RPM	Treatment								RMSE	P					
	Low				High					RPM	Diet	CN S1	RPM x Diet	RPM x CNS1	Diet x CNS1
	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+							
Body weight, kg	66.8	65.2	64.3	64.2	64.9	64.0	64.2	63.4	1.43	0.10	0.50	0.57	0.10	0.94	0.75
DMI, kg DM/d	3.20	3.19	3.10	3.36	3.36	3.31	3.59	3.39	0.83	0.96	0.55	0.20	0.55	0.006	0.55
NEI, Mcal/d	5.01	4.93	4.80	5.07	5.27	5.10	5.54	5.15	0.08	0.38	0.79	0.21	0.77	0.10	0.68
Starch, g/d	204.6	199.6	188.4	200.0	216.1	208.8	223.7	203.2	15.0	0.34	0.76	0.20	0.88	0.14	0.69
Fat, g/d	68.4	66.8	68.6	72.6	72.2	69.8	80.7	73.9	5.1	0.36	0.24	0.20	0.88	0.14	0.68
CP, g/d	448.5	439.9	486.2	514.2	473.1	456.9	570.7	523.3	36.9	0.42	0.02	0.20	0.92	0.14	0.61
PDIE, g/d	315.5	308.4	355.1	374.8	331.6	321.8	414.8	381.8	22.3	0.36	0.005	0.20	0.91	0.11	0.61
LysDi, g/d	23.4	23.3	24.2	26.2	24.6	24.2	28.0	26.5	1.4	0.38	0.001	0.20	0.90	0.10	0.59
MetDi ^a , g/d	6.4	8.5	6.4	9.2	6.7	8.8	7.4	9.2	0.61	0.001	0.10	0.20	0.91	0.17	0.63
HistDi, g/d	7.6	7.5	7.9	8.6	7.9	7.8	9.2	8.7	0.72	0.36	0.002	0.20	0.90	0.10	0.60
LeuDi, g/d	27.2	27.1	28.9	31.3	28.6	28.2	34.5	33.6	2.3	0.39	0.003	0.20	0.94	0.12	0.60

690 ^a MetDi intake: digestible Met including supplementation in RPM (2.4 g/d). LysDi, HistDi, LeuDi = lysine, histidine, leucine, digestible in the
 691 intestine, respectively.
 692

693 **Table 3**

694 Milk yield and composition, body weight, and efficiencies in alpine goats differing by the genetic variant for CNS1 casein (High vs Low) and fed
 695 low (LP) vs high (HP) CP diet supplemented (+) or not (-) with rumen protected Methionine (RPM).

CNS1 Diet CP RPM	Treatment								RMSE	P					
	Low				High					RPM	Diet	CNS1	RPM x Diet	RPM x CNS1	Diet x CNS1
	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+							
Milk yield, kg/d	3.86	3.98	4.21	4.04	4.10	4.17	4.39	4.29	8.4	0.75	0.22	0.18	0.02	0.92	0.98
Protein															
Yield, g/d	109.5	111.9	127.7	127.2	130.9	132.8	142.4	142.0	8.21	0.59	0.13	0.05	0.40	0.96	0.72
Content, % ^a	2.85	2.85	2.98	3.12	3.21	3.21	3.23	3.29	0.77	0.008	0.26	0.02	0.008	0.05	0.42
Fat															
Yield, g/d	114.6	126.6	118.6	121.35	120.3	126.5	125.9	120.4	13.8	0.12	0.92	0.46	0.05	0.16	0.98
Content, %	3.11	3.08	2.83	2.92	2.93	2.98	2.98	2.93	0.62	0.74	0.19	0.71	0.84	0.67	0.22
Lactose															
Yield, g/d	174.9	179.9	190.7	184.9	180.2	186.3	197.1	193.3	12.0	0.88	0.21	0.45	0.02	0.74	0.93
Content, %	4.50	4.47	4.51	4.51	4.46	4.50	4.51	4.51	0.26	0.90	0.21	0.87	0.82	0.31	0.84
Casein, g/L ^a	21.1	24.7	24.6	24.0	27.1	26.9	26.3	27.3	0.22	0.14	0.67	0.02	0.22	0.36	0.48
Fat content / protein content, g/g	1.09	1.08	0.93	0.91	0.92	0.94	0.95	0.93	0.06	0.68	0.07	0.10	0.19	0.75	0.05
SCC, log	6.38	6.45	6.61	6.82	6.44	6.46	6.44	6.49	0.42	0.30	0.36	0.52	0.59	0.49	0.40
Milk urea, g/L	0.47	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.44	0.47	0.55	0.56	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.80	0.40	0.68	0.38
Feed efficiency, kg milk/kg DM intake ^b	1.17	1.18	1.33	1.22	1.23	1.26	1.26	1.33	0.09	0.31	0.20	0.64	0.04	0.001	0.56

Energy efficiency, Mcal in milk/Mcal intake ^b	0.52	0.52	0.57	0.54	0.53	0.57	0.57	0.59	0.04	0.25	0.12	0.67	0.003	0.001	0.61
PDI gross efficiency ^c	0.33	0.33	0.36	0.33	0.40	0.40	0.36	0.38	0.02	0.95	0.62	0.03	0.22	0.001	0.30
PDI metabolic efficiency ^c	0.39	0.39	0.42	0.38	0.47	0.48	0.41	0.43	0.03	0.96	0.26	0.05	0.23	0.001	0.20

696 ^a Interaction RPM x dietary CP x Genetic variant of CNS1: $P < 0.11$ for milk protein content, and $P < 0.04$ for milk casein content.

697 ^b. Interaction RPM x dietary CP x Genetic variant of CNS1: $P < 0.001$ for feed efficiency, and $P < 0.02$ for energy efficiency

698 ^c Interaction RPM x dietary CP x Genetic variant for CNS1: $P < 0.001$ for PDI gross efficiency and $P < 0.002$ for PDI metabolic efficiency.

699 **Table 4**

700 Plasma characteristics, antioxidant enzymes activities, malondialdehyde, total and oxidized glutathion, MDA and protein carbonyls
 701 concentrations in alpine goats differing by the genetic variant for CNS1 casein (High vs Low) and fed low (LP) vs high (HP) CP diet
 702 supplemented (+) or not (-) with rumen protected Methionine (RPM)^a.

CNS1 Diet CP RPM	Treatment								RMSE	P					
	Low				High					RPM	Diet	CNS1	RPM x Diet	RPM x CNS1	Diet x CNS1
	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+							
Glucose, g/L	0.59	0.59	0.60	0.62	0.62	0.63	0.61	0.61	0.02	0.32	0.80	0.11	0.54	0.41	0.14
NEFA, µM/L	136	150	137	148	189	149	138	162	61.9	0.83	0.61	0.36	0.16	0.35	0.61
BHB, mM/L	0.64	0.71	0.58	0.57	0.54	0.60	0.61	0.63	0.11	0.05	0.75	0.66	0.12	0.66	0.32
Urea, g/L	0.48	0.53	0.59	0.60	0.46	0.48	0.64	0.67	0.10	0.07	0.006	0.79	0.61	0.77	0.33
Insulin, pM/L	56.0	48.0	54.0	72.0	78.0	74.0	77.0	102.1	20.0	0.12	0.60	0.31	0.006	0.80	0.92
SOD, U/mL	198.3	205.9	201.6	198.0	215.25	221.8	233.8	236.2	16.9	0.28	0.68	0.14	0.21	0.69	0.59
Catalase, U/L	23.2	21.9	24.3	24.7	25.0	24.2	27.7	27.1	4.8	0.49	0.23	0.21	0.53	0.89	0.83
MDA, µM/L	7.8	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.8	8.8	8.5	8.8	0.51	0.07	0.90	0.02	0.92	0.94	0.32
GSH total, pM/L	77.6	78.1	77.3	78.4	79.0	83.3	89.0	90.3	5.8	0.09	0.42	0.15	0.57	0.35	0.42
GSH oxidized, pM/L	14.7	14.0	15.8	16.7	12.1	13.6	16.5	15.4	3.4	0.84	0.03	0.44	0.73	0.85	0.62
GSH peroxidase, U/mL	84.7	81.3	78.6	83.0	82.9	84.7	82.3	8.2	7.5	0.63	0.65	0.81	0.29	0.91	0.96
PCs, nM/mg protein	20.1	22.5	20.4	21.8	23.7	24.2	24.5	25.3	2.9	0.27	0.24	0.001	0.72	0.84	0.70

703 ^a SOD = superoxide dismutase; MDA = Malondialdehyde; GSH = glutathion; PCs = protein carbonyls.

704

705 **Table 5**

706 Plasma free AA concentrations ($\mu\text{M/L}$) in alpine goats differing by the genetic variant for CNS1 casein (High vs Low) and fed low (LP) vs high
 707 (HP) CP diet supplemented (+) or not (-) with rumen protected Methionine (RPM).

CNS1 Diet CP RPM	Treatment								RMSE	P-value					
	Low				High					RPM	Diet	CNS1	RPM x Diet	RPM x CNS1	Diet x CNS1
	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+							
Essential Amino-Acids															
Arg	175.8	167.1	189.9	189.1	183.4	192.9	224.9	218.8	39.8	0.88	0.05	0.06	0.84	0.75	0.53
His	56.0	54.1	64.4	59.8	64.4	66.5	72.7	65.7	11.8	0.34	0.35	0.13	0.32	0.90	0.78
Ile	140.6	128.3	148.2	142.9	169.4	177.3	169.5	177.2	25.5	0.93	0.50	0.002	0.79	0.20	0.50
Leu	149.3	126.6	166.3	154.8	192.9	192.0	191.3	190.6	22.3	0.21	0.33	0.003	0.69	0.26	0.27
Lys	176.41	141.9	141.6	161.7	137.3	131.4	154.7	160.7	34.9	0.69	0.43	0.35	0.06	0.68	0.12
Met	29.2	31.3	29.2	31.0	37.5	43.0	36.4	40.2	8.2	0.10	0.67	0.001	0.81	0.53	0.71
Phe	56.4	48.7	59.1	48.4	71.1	74.1	76.4	70.5	13.3	0.12	0.79	0.001	0.38	0.26	0.97
Thr	76.0	72.0	101.6	89.5	105.5	111.6	112.1	103.3	22.5	0.41	0.20	0.006	0.31	0.56	0.17
Trp	52.8	49.3	63.8	55.1	63.9	67.2	69.3	63.2	11.2	0.18	0.27	0.001	0.20	0.41	0.35
Val	259.8	227.9	311.2	314.1	353.4	361.8	356.0	355.5	44.5	0.64	0.20	0.004	0.57	0.42	0.17
Non-essential amino-acids															
Asn	60.8	54.9	69.1	63.5	74.1	80.1	86.2	76.2	18.8	0.41	0.20	0.001	0.41	0.69	0.65
Asp	5.8	5.90	13.9	14.8	18.0	18.4	16.2	16.0	4.8	0.90	0.25	0.001	0.91	0.98	0.06
Ala	201.9	182.2	198.5	206.3	223.8	231.3	209.28	201.3	26.8	0.66	0.49	0.03	0.69	0.69	0.06

Gln	249.4	240.1	273.6	267.6	301.4	307.0	286.6	284.0	38.5	0.76	0.88	0.10	0.91	0.64	0.31
Glu	56.8	51.8	46.6	46.8	62.4	63.4	53.9	57.2	6.4	0.95	0.20	0.15	0.26	0.17	0.98
Gly	731	702	751	733	829	793	719	664	94	0.15	0.50	0.76	0.93	0.64	0.29
Pro	133.9	116.5	161.7	165.2	179.4	170.2	177.9	165.3	21.2	0.10	0.13	0.02	0.41	0.71	0.07
Ser	129.9	121.6	116.7	96.5	130.3	128.7	138.5	113.0	24.2	0.03	0.34	0.34	0.16	0.95	0.53
Tyr	65.7	56.1	65.4	54.7	79.6	77.7	90.2	77.9	15.6	0.04	0.69	0.001	0.47	0.70	0.60
Total Amino- Acids	3848	3584	4100	4021	4389	4459	4575	4419	532	0.43	0.23	0.002	0.92	0.64	0.44

708

709 **Table 6**

710 Plasma non-proteinogenic AA and AA derivatives concentrations ($\mu\text{M/L}$) in alpine goats differing by the genetic variant for CNS1 casein (High
711 vs Low) and fed low (LP) vs high (HP) CP diet supplemented (+) or not (-) with rumen protected Methionine (RPM).

CNS1 Diet CP RPM	Treatment								RMSE	P-value					
	Low				High					RPM	Diet	CNS1	RPM x Diet	RPM x CNS1	Diet x CNS1
	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+							
AA and derivatives															
1-CH3 histidine	68.7	70.2	71.3	59.2	65.1	67.8	68.7	62.9	10.1	0.19	0.79	0.90	0.04	0.46	0.85
3-CH3 histidine	5.3	5.1	5.2	4.8	6.0	6.2	5.5	5.2	0.8	0.31	0.19	0.09	0.37	0.70	0.37
α -aminoadipic acid	5.9	5.6	5.2	5.0	6.7	6.5	5.3	4.8	0.4	0.02	0.003	0.27	0.73	0.67	0.27
α -aminobutyric acid	9.2	9.2	7.2	8.5	8.6	9.9	6.5	7.7	1.2	0.003	0.05	0.70	0.33	0.30	0.65
β -alanine	5.5	5.3	6.3	5.5	5.9	6.2	5.2	5.9	0.9	0.94	0.99	0.77	0.77	0.03	0.27
γ -aminobutyric acid	5.1	5.7	5.5	5.1	5.9	5.9	6.6	6.0	0.9	0.73	0.93	0.55	0.09	0.40	0.82
Carnosine	44.0	42.5	47.0	46.1	50.1	51.9	56.4	47.1	9.21	0.29	0.67	0.17	0.27	0.58	0.79
Citrulline	181.1	188.6	199.5	200.7	181.7	180.7	222.4	221.2	38.1	0.87	0.21	0.68	0.87	0.78	0.56
OH-Proline	13.1	14.5	14.5	15.5	18.0	26.0	17.2	17.0	6.3	0.12	0.53	0.09	0.19	0.39	0.30
Sulfur containing compounds															
Cystine	2.8	3.4	3.0	3.6	3.4	4.1	3.5	3.9	0.6	0.001	0.88	0.18	0.62	0.99	0.83
Cysteine	25.1	26.0	33.0	33.8	36.7	37.8	38.3	34.9	6.8	0.93	0.23	0.02	0.50	0.58	0.17
Taurine	72.3	81.7	106.8	115.8	107.1	135.9	130.1	172.8	57.4	0.13	0.24	0.12	0.82	0.36	0.94

712 **Figure 1.**

713 Description of the experimental design.

Genotype of milk CNS1 ^a	Adaptation period (Week 1-Week 2)	Experimental period (Week 3 – Week 11)		
		Period 1 (Week 3 – Week 6)	WO ^b (Week 7)	Period 2 (Week 8 –Week 11)
	CP content of the diet (% DM)	Experimental treatment ^c		
High (n=2) vs Low (n=2)	13 -> 14	RPM	CTL	CTL
High (n=2) vs Low (n=2)	13 -> 14	CTL	CTL	RPM
High (n=2) vs Low (n=2)	13 -> 16	RPM	CTL	CTL
High (n=2) vs Low (n=2)	13 -> 16	CTL	CTL	RPM

714 ^a High vs Low = genetic variant with high vs low secretion of casein S1 in milk with n=
715 number of goats.

716 ^b WO = washout period.

717 ^c Experimental treatment: supplemented (RPM) or not (CTL) with rumen protected
718 Methionine.