

Sample preparation for shotgun proteomics: comparison of stacking gel, tube-gel, FASP, S-TRAP, SPE and liquid methods

R. Maillet, C. Chambon, Thierry Sayd, Arnaud Delavaud, Michel Hébraud, Viala Didier

▶ To cite this version:

R. Maillet, C. Chambon, Thierry Sayd, Arnaud Delavaud, Michel Hébraud, et al.. Sample preparation for shotgun proteomics: comparison of stacking gel, tube-gel, FASP, S-TRAP, SPE and liquid methods. Analytics, Sep 2022, Nantes, France. hal-03737959

HAL Id: hal-03737959 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03737959v1

Submitted on 27 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.









Sample preparation for shotgun proteomics: comparison of stacking gel, tube-gel, FASP, S-TRAP, SPE and liquid methods

Robin MAILLET¹, Christophe CHAMBON^{1,2}, Thierry SAYD^{1,2}, Arnaud DELAVAUD³ Michel HEBRAUD^{1,4}, Didier VIALA^{1,3}

¹ INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, Plateforme d'Exploration du Métabolisme, F-63122 Saint-Genès Champanelle, France.

² INRAE, UR QuaPA, F-63122 Saint-Genès Champanelle, France

³ INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, VetAgro Sup, UMRH, 63122, Saint-Genès Champanelle, France

⁴ INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, UMR MEDiS, F-63122 Saint-Genès Champanelle, France

Objective

- Sample preparation is a crucial step in high-throughput shotgun proteomics, challenged with detergent incompatibility that has a strong influence on the accuracy and robustness of MS analyses. Classical approaches using stacking-gel (SG), Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) or liquid digestion (LD) have been developed but show limitations due to the time-consuming and repetitive sample processing, their recovery efficiency and overall yield. In recent years, strategies by filtration such as filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) based on a molecular weight cut-off (MWCO), and its new alternative, the suspension traps (S-TRAP) confining particulate protein suspensions with the subsequent depletion of interfering substances, have tried to overcome these drawbacks.
- The objective of this work was to compare for the first time all these preparation methods, *i.e.* FASP, S-TRAP, SPE, SG, TG (tube-gel) and LD before subjecting the samples to a label-free semi- \checkmark quantitative proteomic analysis (shotgun proteomics). A soluble fraction of muscle proteins (100 µg), spiked with 1.5 µg of casein, was used to assess sample preparation methods. Ten replicates were prepared for each method.

Materials & methods

Sample preparation

Quantitative analysis



 \checkmark

centrifugation

muscle proteins prepared was as The quality of sample was



- The originality of this study lay in the comparison of proteins identified by LC-MS/MS from the same sample by implementing several preparation methods based on different principles: gel, liquid an filtration.
- The analysis of the results by Venn diagram, principal-component analysis, hierarchical clustering and the abundance ranking of quantitative proteins highlights significant differences in identified proteins, according to the sample preparation method. Moreover, there is a specificity in the nature of extracted proteins according to the method.
- A total of 418 proteins were identified combining all the methods and the largest number of identified proteins was obtained by S-TRAP (366), followed by SG (283) ant TG (278) methods.
- Statistical results and the qualitative analyses of significant proteins indicate that S-TRAP method outperforms SG method.
- S-TRAP would purify the majority of the proteins in a sample rapidly and with the greatest intensity.
- The faster and easier S-TRAP method turns out to be the best alternative to replace classical in-gel and in-solution methods, resulting in an ultrafast sample-preparation approach for shotgun proteomics.

Balliau T., Blein-Nicolas M., Zivy M. - Evaluation of Optimized Tube-Gel Methods of Sample Preparation for Large-Scale Plant Proteomics - Proteomes 2018, 6, 6; doi:10.3390/proteomes6010006 References : Colgrave ML*, Stockwell S., Grace A., McMillan M., Davey R., Lehnert S., Schmoelzl S. Global proteomic profiling of the membrane compartment of bovine testis cell populations - JIOMICS, 3, 2, 2013, 99-111

Corresponding author : didier.viala@inrae.fr