



HAL
open science

Effect of live yeast supplementation in sow diet during gestation and lactation on sow and piglet fecal microbiota, health, and performance

Nathalie Le Floch, Caroline Stéphanie Achard, Francis Amann Eugenio, Emmanuelle Apper, Sylvie Combes, Hélène Quesnel

► To cite this version:

Nathalie Le Floch, Caroline Stéphanie Achard, Francis Amann Eugenio, Emmanuelle Apper, Sylvie Combes, et al.. Effect of live yeast supplementation in sow diet during gestation and lactation on sow and piglet fecal microbiota, health, and performance. *Journal of Animal Science*, 2022, 100 (8), 10.1093/jas/skac209 . hal-03760247

HAL Id: hal-03760247

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03760247>

Submitted on 6 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

1 Running title: Yeast supplementation in sow diet and piglet performance

2

3 **Effect of live yeast supplementation in sow diet during gestation and lactation on sow**

4 **and piglet fecal microbiota, health and performance¹**

5

6 **Nathalie Le Floc'h^{*2}, Caroline Stéphanie Achard[†], Francis Amann Eugenio^{*},**

7 **Emmanuelle Apper[†], Sylvie Combes[‡], and Hélène Quesnel^{*}**

8

9 * PEGASE, INRAE, Institut Agro, 35590, Saint Gilles, France.

10 [†]Lallemand SAS, 19 rue des Briquetiers, BP 59, 31702 Blagnac cedex, France.

11 [‡]INRAE, Université de Toulouse, ENVT, GenPhySE, 31326 Castanet Tolosan, France

12

13

14 ¹The authors gratefully acknowledge D. Boutin, Y. Surel, F. Guérin, J. Delamarre, G.
15 Guillemois, H. Demay (UE3P, INRAE, Saint-Gilles, France:
16 doi.org/10.15454/1.5573932732039927E12) for animal care, R. Comte, S. Daré, S. Philau, F.
17 Thomas (PEGASE, INRAE, Saint-Gilles, France) for their technical assistance and Lallemand
18 for financial support.

19 ²Corresponding author: Nathalie.lefloch@inrae.fr

20 ORCID number: 0000-0001-9858-1584 (N Le Floc'h)

21

22

23 **Lay Summary**

24 Feeding live yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (SB) in pig diets is recommended
25 to promote a better health and reduce antibiotic use during critical periods like weaning. Our
26 study was conducted to determine if SB added in the diet of sows during the last 2 mo of
27 gestation and the 4 wk of lactation may contribute to supporting health and performance of
28 their piglets before and after weaning. We hypothesized that live SB supplementation to the
29 sows may help improve the health and metabolic status of the sows, and consequently the
30 quality of milk and microbiota provided to the piglets. Supplementation of sow diet with SB
31 during gestation and lactation induced modifications in the fecal microbiota of sows and their
32 piglets. For piglets, the effects of SB fed to their mother were still observed 5 days after
33 weaning. These modifications were however associated with changes neither in piglet ability
34 to cope with the stress of weaning, nor in milk nutritional and immune composition.

35 **Teaser Text**

36 Feeding sows with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* during gestation and lactation
37 impacted the fecal microbiota of piglets up to weaning but changed neither performance, nor
38 health of piglets around weaning.

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 **Abstract**

46 Feeding probiotics like live yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* (SB) in pig diets
47 has been suggested to preserve health and reduce antibiotic use during critical periods like
48 weaning. This study was conducted to determine whether SB added in the diet of sows during
49 the last 2 mo of gestation and the 4 wk of lactation may contribute to supporting health and
50 performance of piglets before and after weaning through changes in sow physiology, milk
51 composition and fecal microbiota. Crossbred sows (n=45) from parity 1 to 9 were allocated to
52 two dietary treatments, Control (n=23) and SB (n=22). Sows in the SB group were fed the
53 same standard gestation then lactation diet as the Control sows but with the addition of SB at
54 1×10^9 colony forming units/kg of feed. Piglets were weaned under challenging conditions
55 consisting in mixing of litters, no pen cleaning and a 2-h period of non-optimal temperature
56 exposure. Blood and feces were collected from sows on d 28 and 113 of gestation and d 6
57 (feces only) and 28 of lactation, and from piglets on d 6 (feces) and 28 of lactation and d 5
58 after weaning. Colostrum was collected during parturition and milk on d 6 of lactation.
59 Supplementation of sow diets with SB influenced the fecal microbiota of the sows and their
60 piglets. Five days after weaning, the alpha-diversity was lower ($P < 0.05$) in piglets from SB
61 sows than in piglets from Control sows. Analysis of microbiota with Partial Least Square
62 Discriminant Analysis discriminated feces from SB sows from that of Control sows at 110 d
63 of gestation (29.4% error rate). Piglet feces could also be discriminated according to the diet
64 of their mother, with a better discrimination early after birth (d 6 of lactation) than after
65 weaning (d 5 post-weaning, 3.4% vs 12.7% error rate). Five d after weaning, piglets had
66 greater white blood cell count, plasma haptoglobin concentration, and oxidative stress than

67 before weaning ($P < 0.001$). Nevertheless, SB supplementation in sow diets had no effect ($P >$
68 0.05) on most of health criteria measured in blood and growth performance of piglets during
69 lactation and the post-weaning period. Moreover, dietary supplementation of SB to sows did
70 not elicit any changes ($P > 0.05$) in their reproductive performance, metabolic and health
71 status, nor in the immunoglobulin and nutrient concentration of colostrum and milk. In the
72 present experimental conditions, feeding SB to sows influenced sow and piglet microbiota
73 with no consequences on their health and performance.

74 **Key words:**

75 colostrum, milk, probiotic, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii*, weaning

76

77 **Abbreviations:** ADFI, average daily feed intake; ADG, average daily gain; BAP, biological
78 antioxidant power; BW, body weight; CFU, colony forming units; dROM, reactive
79 oxygen metabolites-derived compounds; FCR, feed conversion ratio; FFA, free fatty acid;
80 G28, d 28 of gestation; G110, d 110 of gestation; G113, d 113 of gestation; IgG,
81 immunoglobulins G; IgA, immunoglobulins A; L0, d 0 of lactation; L6, d 6 of lactation; L28,
82 d 28 of lactation; OTU, operational taxonomic unit; PLS-DA, partial least square discriminant
83 analysis; SB, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii*; sPLS, sparse partial least squares
84 regression; W5, d 5 after weaning; W35, d 35 after weaning.

85

INTRODUCTION

87 In most pig farms, piglets are usually weaned between 3 and 5 wk of age, an age at which
88 their immune and digestive systems are still immature (Lallès et al., 2007; Campbell et al.,
89 2013). At weaning, piglets cope with dietary change, separation from the sow, new
90 environment and counterparts. All these changes cause a transient decrease in feed
91 consumption, intestinal inflammation, and unbalanced and dysbiotic gut microbiota (Pie et al.,
92 2004) and are risk factors for enteric disease and diarrhea (Gresse et al., 2017). Antibiotics
93 have been overused for a long time to prevent and treat any kind of digestive disorders
94 occurring after weaning regardless of their origin, bacterial or not. To face the risk of
95 spreading of antibiotic resistance, biotechnical tools, such as biosecurity, vaccine, feeding
96 strategies and additives, have been successfully implemented at weaning to improve digestive
97 and immune capacities of weaned piglets (Kil and Stein, 2010; Heo et al., 2013).

98 The proper development and growth of piglets during lactation depend mostly on the sow and
99 are determinant to strengthen the capacity of piglets to cope with the challenge of weaning
100 (Blavi et al., 2021). Nutritional strategies applied to sows during gestation and lactation may
101 improve the metabolic and health status of the sows as well as the immune and nutritional
102 quality of their colostrum and milk they transfer to their piglets (Quesnel and Farmer, 2019)
103 and might be relevant to improve health and performance of their litter before weaning. Feed
104 supplementation with live yeast like *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (**SB**) during late gestation and
105 lactation has been shown to increase sow voluntary feed intake during lactation and litter
106 weight at weaning (Tan et al., 2015; Domingos et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2021). Furthermore,
107 positive effects of SB supplementation in feed of the sows were reported on milk production

108 (Domingos et al., 2021) and on colostrum immunoglobulin A and G contents (Guillou et al.,
109 2012).

110 For a decade, there is an increasing interest to consider the microbiota as a major determinant
111 of health and development of piglets before and after weaning (Gresse et al., 2017; Guevara et
112 al., 2019). During the early stage of life, the digestive microbiota of piglets grows and matures
113 in connection with its neonatal environment and the sow is probably a main vector of early
114 colonization of the gut of its progeny. Feed supplementation with SB has been successfully
115 used in nursed or weaned piglets to modulate digestive microbiota (Daudelin et al., 2011;
116 Brousseau et al., 2015). However, there is no data describing the influence of SB in sow diet
117 on the digestive microbiota of the piglets.

118 The main objective of this study was to determine whether live SB added in the diet of sows
119 during gestation and lactation may contribute to support the health and performance of the
120 piglets around weaning. Our hypothesis was that live SB supplementation to the sows may
121 help improve the health and metabolic status of the sows, and consequently the quality of
122 milk and microbiota provided to the piglets. To our knowledge, our study is the first one
123 reporting conjointly the effects of SB supplementation in sow diet on sow and piglet
124 performance, physiology and microbiota.

125

126 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

127 The experiment was carried out at INRAE (UE3P, Saint-Gilles, France), in compliance with
128 the Directive 2010/63/UE on animal experimentation. The experimental protocol was
129 approved by the regional Ethics Committee in Animal Experiment of Rennes (France) and by

130 the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation (authorization
131 APAFIS#11015-2017080716549316).

132

133 *Animals and Experimental Design*

134 To test our hypothesis on an adequate number of piglets at weaning, forty-eight Landrace x
135 Large White sows from parity 1 to 9 and their litter were used in 4 batches of 12 females.
136 Sows were inseminated with semen from Piétrain boars. At 28 d of gestation (**G28**), sows
137 were distributed into 2 dietary treatments, **Control** and **SB**. Sows in the SB group were fed
138 the same standard gestation then lactation diet as the Control sows but with the addition of
139 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* CNCM I-1079 (Levucell SB®; Lallemand SAS,
140 France) as live yeast cells (minimum concentration of 1×10^{10} colony-forming unit (**CFU/g**)
141 added at 100 g/ton (1×10^9 CFU/kg of feed). The inclusion level was chosen according to the
142 manufacturer recommendations when SB is supplied during gestation and lactation. Parities
143 were balanced across treatments and batches.

144 From G28, sows were housed in groups of 6 in a pen with concrete floor (5 x 3.5 m) covered
145 with wood hulls. Cleaning and replenishment of bedding were done 4 times a week. The room
146 was equipped with individual feeding stalls and cup drinkers. Sows in the same pen were fed
147 the same experimental diet, SB or Control. At 106 d of gestation (G106), sows were moved to
148 the farrowing room and were kept in individual farrowing crates (1.77 x 2.4 m) thereafter.
149 The floor of the farrowing pens was made of slatted plastic. The farrowing crates were
150 equipped with 2 infrared heat bulbs. The ambient temperature was kept between 18 and 24 °C
151 in the gestation rooms while it was kept between 24 and 25 °C in the lactation rooms.

152 During gestation, and until the day of farrowing, sows were fed a conventional gestation diet
153 (as-fed basis: 9.62 MJ.kg⁻¹ net energy, 13.6% crude protein, 0.5% digestible lysine, and 5.0%
154 crude fiber, supplementary table 1). Feed allocation depended on sow body condition and
155 backfat thickness and was between 2.0 and 2.2 kg/d, 2.5 and 2.7 kg/d, and 2.8 and 3.2 kg/d in
156 early, mid, and late gestation (d 0 to 35, 36 to 80, and 81 to the day of farrowing,
157 respectively). Feed was provided in 2 equal meals at 0900 h and 1500 h. From d 1 of lactation
158 (d 0 of lactation being the day of farrowing), sows were fed a conventional lactation diet
159 providing 9.80 MJ.kg⁻¹ net energy, 16.5% crude protein, 0.8% digestible lysine and 3.9%
160 crude fiber (as-fed basis). They received between 2.7 and 3.3 kg on d 1 and then feed
161 allowance was increased by 1 kg/d until *ad libitum* feeding, which was reached approximately
162 on d 4 or 5 of lactation. During *ad libitum* feeding, feed troughs were filled 3 times a day, so
163 that feed was always available. From G106 and throughout lactation, feed refusals were
164 weighed daily, and actual feed intakes were calculated. Water was available *ad libitum*
165 throughout the experiment.

166 Farrowing was induced by an intramuscular injection of prostaglandin F2 α (2 mL of
167 Dinolytic, Zoetis, France) at 114 d of gestation. Usual farm practices were performed for
168 newly farrowed piglets, i.e., individual identification by tagging, iron injection, tail docking,
169 and castration. Cross-fostering, if needed, was performed intra-treatment within 2 d after birth
170 and only for male piglets because female piglets were used for blood and feces sampling. The
171 piglets were offered a conventional prestarter feed (providing as-fed basis: 10.5 MJ.kg⁻¹ net
172 energy, 19.2% crude protein, 1.3% digestible lysine, 3.0% crude fiber) during the fourth week
173 of lactation. They were weaned at 28 d of lactation (**L28**) and vaccinated against porcine type

174 2 circovirus (PCV2) and *Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae* (Porcilis PCV M Hyo, MSD Santé
175 Animale, France). No antibiotics were preventively provided through the diet or water.
176 At weaning, piglets were transferred into a postweaning unit and group-housed in pens of 9 to
177 11 piglets. Each pen housed piglets from 1 experimental treatment only (Control or SB).
178 Because weaning conditions may be more challenging in commercial farms than in
179 experimental units, piglets were weaned in challenging conditions. For that purpose, piglets
180 with similar range of body weight (**BW**) from at least 4 litters were mixed in the same pen.
181 Piglets were assigned to a pen using the weaning weight as main factor, and litter as second
182 factor. Moreover, piglets were transferred into pens that were not cleaned after the departure
183 of the previous batch. Lastly, the ambient temperature was set at 24 °C at the pig arrival in the
184 postweaning building before being progressively increased until 28 °C in 4 to 6 h. The piglets
185 stayed in the postweaning facilities until d 35 after weaning (**W35**) that corresponded to the
186 end of the experiment. They were offered the prestarter feed for the first 5 d and then the
187 starter diet until W35, with a 3 d-transition period between the 2 diets. The starter diet
188 provided 9.3 MJ.kg⁻¹ net energy, 17.8% crude protein, 1.07% digestible lysine, 2.9% crude
189 fiber as-fed basis (Supplementary Table 2). Feed and water were available ad libitum during
190 this period.

191 *Measurements on Animals*

192 Sow BW and backfat thickness were recorded on G28, G106 and L28. Sows were also
193 weighed just after parturition (**L0**). Backfat thickness was measured ultrasonically at the P2
194 site of the sow on both left and right flanks 6.5 mm away from the spine. All piglets were
195 weighed and identified within 24 h after birth. Piglets were weighed on d 6 of lactation, L28

196 and the last day of the post-weaning period (W35). The date and most probable cause of piglet
197 death were recorded daily. After weaning, feed refusals were daily recorded at the pen level to
198 estimate feed intake.

199

200 *Blood Sampling and Plasma Analyses*

201 Blood samples were collected by jugular vein puncture from all sows after an overnight
202 fasting on G28 before SB supplementation started, **G113** (i.e., d 113 of gestation, the term
203 being around 115 d of gestation), and L28 before piglets were weaned. Blood was collected
204 from 2 females per litter on L28 and at 5 d after weaning (**W5**). Females were chosen with
205 birth weights closest to the average birth weight of the litter. Samples (9 mL for sows and 4
206 mL for piglets) were collected from the jugular vein into vacutainers containing EDTA (for
207 blood cell formula and haptoglobin analyses) or heparin (for other parameters). Collection
208 period was limited to 2 min from the restraining of the sows to limit excessive stress and pain.
209 Piglets were manually maintained on the back during blood collection.

210 Whole blood from the EDTA tubes was immediately analyzed for blood cell count using an
211 automatic cell counter MS 9.5 (Melet Schloesing Laboratories, Osny, France). Samples from
212 both anti-coagulants were then centrifuged immediately at 3,000 x g at 4°C for 15 min.
213 Plasma samples were frozen at either -20°C or -80°C. Concentrations of glucose, lactate, free
214 fatty acids (**FFA**), creatinine, and urea were determined in sow plasma using an automated
215 colorimetric analyzer, KonelabTM 20i (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Courtaboeuf, France)
216 and commercial kits (from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland, references 981304,
217 981811, and 981818 for glucose, creatinine, and urea; Horiba ABX SAS, Montpellier, France,

218 reference A11A01721 for lactate; and Sobodia, Montbonnot, France, references W1W434-
219 91795 and W1W436-91995 for FFA). Other parameters were analyzed in plasma of sows and
220 piglets. Haptoglobin, an acute phase protein used as an indicator of inflammatory status, was
221 assayed by using a commercial kit (TP-801, Tridelta Ltd, Maynooth, Ireland).
222 Hydroperoxides (**dROM**) and antioxidant capacity of plasma (Biological Antioxidant Power
223 test, **BAP**) were quantified using commercial kits (references MC-003 and MC-437, Diacron,
224 Grosseto, Italy). The intra-assay CV was 10% for haptoglobin, 6% for dROM and 2% for
225 BAP.

226

227 *Feces Sampling and Scoring*

228 Feces were collected from sows on G28, **G110** (d 110 of gestation), L6, and L28 and from
229 piglets on L6, L28, and W5 after rectal stimulation. Feces were collected from all sows and
230 from 3 female piglets per litter. These piglets were those selected for blood sampling and a
231 third one whom birth weight was also close to the average within-litter birth weight. Fecal
232 samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at -80 °C. After weaning,
233 piglet feces consistency was scored daily at the pen level using a scale of 0 to 2: 0 for normal
234 or solid feces; 1 for soft feces and 2 for liquid feces or diarrhea.

235

236 *Milk and Colostrum Sampling and Analyses*

237 Colostrum was collected between 1 and 2 h after the birth of the first piglet while milk was
238 collected on L6. For milk collection, piglets were isolated from the sow for 45 min before
239 collection and 20 IU of oxytocin (Ocytovem®, CEVA Santé Animale) were injected

240 intramuscularly 10 min before collection. Around 60-70 mL of colostrum and milk were
241 collected by manual collection from all functioning teats. Samples were immediately filtered
242 through a gauze and stored at -20°C. Dry matter, ash, protein, fat, lactose, and gross energy
243 were assayed as previously described by Loisel et al. (2013). Immunoglobulins G (**IgG**) and
244 A (**IgA**) were assayed in triplicate, IgG and IgA were assayed in colostrum while only IgA
245 were assayed in milk. Both IgG and IgA were analyzed by ELISA using commercial
246 quantification kits for porcine IgG and IgA (references A100-104 and A100-102, respectively,
247 Bethyl Laboratories, Montgomery, Texas, USA). The intra and interassay CV were,
248 respectively, 2.9 and 7.0% for IgG and 4.0 and 5.8% for IgA.

249

250 *Fecal Microbiota Analyses*

251 All fecal samples from sows were analyzed. For some litters on L6, only 2 piglets could be
252 sampled due to the difficulty to collect feces at this young age. Fecal samples from 2 out of 4
253 batches of piglets were analyzed because of technical issues. Microbial DNA was extracted
254 from 40-60 mg of feces using ZR-96 Soil Microbe DNA Kit (Zymo Research, Freiburg,
255 Germany) according to the manufacturer's instruction. A 15 min bead beating step at 30 Hz
256 was applied using a Retsch MM400 Mixer Mill. The V3 and V4 hypervariable regions of the
257 16S rRNA gene were amplified using the primers F343
258 (CTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCTACGGRAGGCAGCAG) and R784
259 (GGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCTTACCAGGGTATCTAATCCT). High-
260 throughput sequencing was performed on a MiSeq sequencer using the Reagent Kit v3,
261 according to the manufacturer's instruction (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) in the Genomic

262 and Transcriptomic Platform (INRAE, Toulouse, France) and as previously described
263 (Drouilhet et al., 2016). Sequences were deposited in Sequence Read Archive: accession
264 number is PRJNA821692. Extracted DNA samples that failed to be amplified were not
265 submitted to sequencing and excluded.

266 Generated paired-end 250 bp sequences were assembled using Flash software, with 10bp
267 minimum overlap and 10% maximum mismatch (Magoč et al., 2011). Assembled sequences
268 were processed using FROGS pipeline (Escudié et al., 2018). First, sequences were
269 preprocessed: cutadapt was used to remove sequences in which the two primers were not
270 present, with a 10% tolerated mismatch, and to trim the primers (Martin, 2011), sequences
271 between 350 and 480bp and without ambiguous base were kept. Preprocessed sequences were
272 then clustered in Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) using SWARM algorithm (Mahé et
273 al., 2014). Chimeric sequences detected by samples using UCHIME algorithm (Edgard et al.,
274 2011), as well as singletons (i.e., OTU represented by only 1 read) were removed from all
275 samples. A rarefaction step (12,183 reads kept per samples) was then applied. Taxonomic
276 annotation of the OTUs was performed using the SILVA SSU Ref NR 132 database
277 (Glöckner et al., 2017) and BLAST+ (Qi et al., 2005) and RDP (Wang et al., 2007)
278 algorithms. BLAST hits with identity and coverage alignments higher than 99% were kept for
279 annotation. Otherwise, species were annotated as unknown and RDP classifier results were
280 used for higher rank. Bootstrap thresholds were set to 0.9 and 0.8 respectively for annotation
281 at the genus rank and higher ranks. The alpha-diversity, i.e., the diversity within sample, was
282 estimated using richness and Shannon index calculated with Vegan R package.

283

284 *Statistical Analyses*

285 Data, except for mortality rate and piglet fecal scoring, were analyzed using the MIXED
286 procedure of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC). For sow and litter data, the sow or the litter
287 represented the experimental unit. For sow performance, milk composition, and litter
288 performance during lactation, the model included treatment (Control or SB), sow parity
289 (primiparous or multiparous) and the interaction as main effects, and the batch (1, 2, 3, or 4)
290 as random. After weaning, pig BW, average daily gain (**ADG**), and feed intake at the pen
291 level were analyzed. The model included the treatment (Control or SB) and the batch as
292 random effect. For sow and piglet blood and plasma data, time-related variations in
293 concentrations were analyzed using the REPEATED statement. The model included the
294 effects of treatment, sampling day, and their interaction. Differences between treatments were
295 considered significant if $P < 0.05$. The PDIFF option of SAS adjusted to TUKEY
296 comparisons test (for performance and milk data) or to BONFERRONI test (for blood
297 repeated data) was used when significant differences were detected. Results are reported as
298 adjusted least square means (**LSMEANS**) \pm SEM.

299 Mortality rates were analyzed by the GENMOD procedure using a binomial error distribution
300 and a logit-transformation, in a model that included the effects of treatment, parity, batch, and
301 the interactions. The PDIFF option was used when a significant interaction was detected.
302 Daily fecal scores were analyzed with the FREQ procedure to determine the daily prevalence
303 of pens within each level of scores for each treatment (0, 1 and greater than 1).

304 Microbiota statistical analyses were carried out using R software (version 3.6.1). Vegan
305 package was used to calculate OTUs Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix and dissimilarities in
306 microbial composition were tested using multivariate ADONIS function. The beta-diversity,
307 i.e., diversity between samples, was visualized using non Metric Dimensional Scaling
308 (nMDS) ordination on OTUs Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix. A mixed linear model
309 accounting for the fixed effect of the sampling day, the treatment and their interaction, as well
310 as the random effect of the animal was applied to analyze the alpha-diversity. ANOVA with
311 Satterthwaite correction of degree of freedom and Tukey's multiple comparisons of means
312 were performed using lmerTest and emmeans R packages.

313 Differential analyses were applied on taxa detected at least in half of the samples of at least 1
314 group. A centered log-ratio transformation was applied to the relative abundance data as
315 advised for compositional data (Aitchison, 1982). A mixed linear model accounting for the
316 sampling day, the treatment and their interaction as fixed effects, and the animal as the
317 random effect was applied. Normality of the model residues was assessed with a Shapiro test.
318 Normality was considered acceptable when $P < 0.01$ and ANOVA was performed.
319 Alternatively, non-parametric tests were applied to test the effects of sampling day and
320 treatment (Prentice test) and the combination of sampling day and treatment (Kruskal-Wallis
321 test). P -values were adjusted using Benjamin-Hochberg procedure.

322 Sparse Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis (sPLS-DA), a supervised classification
323 method, was applied for each sampling day, and for sows and piglets separately. That
324 multivariate statistical method allows identifying the OTUs that contribute the most to
325 discriminate the samples according to the treatment of the sows (MixOmics package, Rohart

326 et al., 2017). First, the OTU count table was normalized by total sum scaling after the addition
327 of a pseudo count (0.001), filtered to keep only OTUs that represent at least 0.01% of the total
328 sequences and subjected to centered log-ratio transformation. To assess whether the 2
329 treatment groups could be discriminated, PLS-DA was first performed. An iterative cross-
330 validation (perf function with leave-one-out option) was used to assess the robustness of the
331 discrimination. The calculated error-rate was used to validate the discrimination, an error-rate
332 lower than 45% was considered acceptable. Sparse PLS-DA was then applied to select the
333 most discriminant OTUs. The number of components, i.e., new variables created as linear
334 combination of OTUs, and of OTUs to keep in the sPLS-DA model was optimized based on
335 calculated error rate. A Wilcoxon Rank Sum test was finally used to test whether the relative
336 abundances of the discriminant OTUs were significantly affected by the treatment when
337 examined using a univariate approach.

338

339

RESULTS

340

341 *General observations*

342 Three sows were excluded from the experiment: 1 sow from the SB group aborted midway
343 through gestation and 2 sows had a high number of stillborn piglets (1 in each experimental
344 group). In total, 280 and 255 piglets born from Control and SB sows were included in the
345 postweaning trial and were allotted in 27 pens of 10.4 piglets per pen on average for piglets
346 born from Control sows and 26 pens of 9.8 piglets per pen for piglets born from SB sows.

347 During the 35 d of the postweaning period, three piglets from the SB group died because of
348 digestive disorders.

349

350 *Sow Body Condition, Reproductive and Lactation Performance*

351 Sows of the 2 experimental groups had similar average parity (3.1 ± 0.3 , $P > 0.10$). They had
352 similar BW and backfat thickness at the different physiological stages (226.7 ± 6.6 kg and
353 16.4 ± 0.4 mm, 272.1 ± 6.2 kg and 17.7 ± 0.4 mm, and 243.0 ± 6.4 kg and 14.2 ± 0.4 mm on
354 G28, G106, and L28 respectively). Their gain of body weight (45.4 ± 1.8 kg) and backfat
355 thickness (1.4 ± 0.3 mm) during gestation and loss of body weight (-11.5 ± 2.1 kg) and
356 backfat (-3.5 ± 0.2 mm) during lactation did not differ between the two groups of sows ($P >$
357 0.05). Treatment did not influence ($P > 0.10$) average daily feed intake (**ADFI**) of sows
358 during gestation (from G28 to the d of parturition: 2.80 ± 0.03 kg/d) and lactation (from L1 to
359 L28: 7.77 ± 0.17 kg/d). Litter sizes at birth, after cross-fostering (L2), on L6 and L28 did not
360 differ between treatments ($P > 0.10$, Table 1). The proportion of piglets born alive that died
361 before weaning was greater ($P < 0.05$) in litters born from SB sows than in those from Control
362 sows (Table 1). Nevertheless, part of the extra mortality in SB litters was due to a great
363 number of splayleg piglets in 2 of these litters (4 and 5 splayleg piglets, respectively). The
364 difference in mortality rates was no longer significant after exclusion of these 2 litters from
365 the analysis (Table 1).

366 Daily litter weight gain during lactation did not significantly differ between treatments
367 ($P > 0.05$, Table 1). Composition of colostrum and milk, in terms of nutrients, energy and
368 immunoglobulins was not significantly influenced by treatment ($P > 0.05$, Table 2). A

369 significant treatment x parity interaction was observed for the total amount of minerals (ash)
370 in milk, with ash concentrations being lower ($P < 0.05$) in milk from Control primiparous
371 sows than in milk from the 3 other groups of sows ($0.70 \pm 0.02\%$, $0.78 \pm 0.01\%$, $0.78 \pm$
372 0.02% and $0.77 \pm 0.01\%$ in Control primiparous, Control multiparous, SB primiparous, and
373 SB multiparous sows, respectively).

374

375 ***Health and Metabolic Status of Sows***

376 No significant treatment x day interaction was observed for the criteria presented in Tables 2
377 and 3, except for lactate. Hematological variables of sow blood markedly fluctuated over time
378 ($P < 0.001$) without significant treatment effect ($P > 0.10$, Table 3). White blood cell count,
379 including lymphocytes and neutral granulocytes, were greater ($P < 0.05$) on G28 than on
380 G113 and L28. When expressed as percentages of white blood cells, the proportion of
381 lymphocytes decreased during gestation and further decreased during lactation. The count of
382 red blood cells and the blood concentration in hemoglobin also decreased during gestation and
383 then during lactation ($P < 0.05$, Table 3).

384 Haptoglobin showed greater concentrations in plasma at the end of gestation and lactation
385 than on G28 ($P < 0.05$) but no variation in response to treatment (Table 3). Plasma antioxidant
386 capacity (BAP) was lower ($P < 0.05$) on G113 than on L28 and was not influenced by
387 treatment ($P > 0.10$, Table 3). In contrast, dROM concentrations did not show significant
388 variation over time but they were greater in SB than in Control sows across sampling days
389 (1234 ± 24 vs 1108 ± 24 CarrU, $P < 0.05$, respectively). This difference including G28, before
390 the treatment began, it is not due to the supplementation with living yeasts.

391 Sow metabolic status was assessed by plasma concentrations of various metabolites and
392 cortisol in samples collected from fasted sows (Table 4). Independently of sampling day, SB
393 sows had greater concentrations of plasma urea (225.7 ± 5.7 vs 208.8 ± 5.8 mg/L, $P < 0.05$).
394 As for dROM, the difference included G28 and thus is not due to the supplementation with
395 living yeasts. Another treatment-independent difference between the 2 groups of sows was
396 observed for lactate concentrations on G28, with Control sows having lower concentrations
397 ($P < 0.05$). Neither glucose, FFA and creatinine, nor cortisol were influenced by treatment
398 ($P > 0.05$, Table 4). Unsurprisingly, variations over time were observed for glucose, FFA,
399 creatinine and urea. Glucose concentrations were lower at the end of lactation than during
400 gestation whereas concentrations of FFA and urea concentrations were greater ($P < 0.05$).
401 Creatinine concentrations were greater at the end of gestation than on G28 or L28 (Table 4).

402

403 ***Pig Performance and Fecal Scoring after Weaning***

404 On L28 and W35, the pen weight (Table 5) did not differ between the 2 treatments ($P > 0.05$).
405 Average daily feed intake (**ADFI**), average daily gain (**ADG**) and feed conversion ratio
406 (**FCR**) calculated at the pen level between L28 and W35 did not differ ($P > 0.05$). The
407 percentage of pens observed with a score value of 0, 1 or greater than 1 throughout the post-
408 weaning period did not differ between treatments (Khi-2 test, $P > 0.95$).

409

410 ***Pig Blood Variables Before and After Weaning***

411 Neither the effect of treatment nor the interaction between treatment and sampling day were
412 significant ($P > 0.09$) on any blood variables. Weaning induced dramatic changes in nearly all

413 the variables measured in our study (Table 6). It induced changes in the white blood cell
414 population ($P < 0.001$) with greater counts of total white blood cells, lymphocytes and neutral
415 granulocytes on W5 ($P < 0.001$, Table 6) than on L28. On W5, the proportion of lymphocytes
416 was lower whereas that of neutral granulocytes was greater than on L28 ($P < 0.001$). The
417 count of red blood cells was greater while hemoglobin concentrations were lower ($P < 0.001$)
418 on W5 than L28. Piglets born from Control sows had lower hemoglobin concentrations
419 irrespective of the day of blood sampling ($P = 0.04$) and hemoglobin concentrations were
420 lower after than before weaning ($P = 0.04$). Haptoglobin and dROM plasma concentrations
421 were greater on W5 irrespective of the treatment ($P < 0.001$, Table 6) whereas BAP did not
422 differ between L28 and W5. The treatment had no effect on these 3 variables before and after
423 weaning.

424

425 ***Sow and Piglet Fecal Microbiota***

426 A total of 164 samples from sows (21 control and 20 SB, 4 timepoints) and 165 samples from
427 piglets (between 24 and 30 per treatment and timepoint group) were analyzed. After quality
428 filtering and chimera removal, 28478 ± 6143 reads were kept per sample. After rarefaction,
429 OTUs represented by less than 10 reads were discarded, an abundance table containing
430 5860 OTUs was generated and taxonomic binning was performed. As expected, a strong
431 effect of the day of sampling on the fecal microbiota composition was evidenced from the
432 beta-diversity for sows and piglets (Supplementary Fig.1). In sows, the relative abundances of
433 *Firmicutes* and *Actinobacteria* phyla were lower whereas those of *Bacteroides* were higher
434 during lactation than during gestation. The abundance of *Spirochaetes* decreased between

435 G110 and L6 (supplementary Table 1, phylum table). The relative abundance of the
436 *Epsilonbacteraeota*, mainly represented by bacteria belonging to *Campylobacteraceae* family,
437 was lower on L6 in both groups. Interestingly, on L28, it did not differ anymore from the
438 relative abundance measured on G110 in the Control sows whereas it was still lower in the SB
439 sows. Compared to gestation, *Proteobacteria* relative abundance was greater on L6 and L28
440 in the SB sows and only on L28 for the Control sows. Among the 145 genera tested
441 (supplementary Table 1, genus table), 84 were significantly affected by the physiological
442 status of the sows ($P < 0.05$). Major changes in relative abundance (absolute value of log2
443 fold change > 2) were observed for *Mitsuokella*, *Lachnoclostridium 10* and *Olsenella*, which
444 increased between G28 and G110 and decreased after farrowing. *Blautia*, *Sarcina*,
445 *Coprococcus 3*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Lachnospiraceae UCG-007* and *Anaerostipes* were more
446 abundant during lactation than during gestation (supplementary Table 1, genus table). As
447 expected, major modulation of the feces microbiota occurred as the piglets aged. The relative
448 abundances of almost all tested taxa (phylum, family and genus levels) were shifted between
449 L6 and L28 (Supplemental table 2). In sows, richness of fecal microbiota (Table 7) was not
450 affected by the day of sampling ($P = 0.68$) while Shannon diversity index slightly decreased
451 between G28 and G110 ($P < 0.05$) and did not differ between L6 and L28 ($P > 0.05$). The
452 richness was lower ($P < 0.05$) on L28 in SB sow compared with Control sows (Table 7). In
453 piglets (Table 8), as expected, fecal microbiota richness and Shannon index increased
454 between L6 and L28. Five days after weaning, richness and shannon index were lower ($P <$
455 0.05) than before weaning in the SB group only.

456 To assess the effect of SB supplementation on fecal microbiota composition at OTU level, a
457 PLS-DA analysis was carried out separately on sow and piglet data, for each day of sampling.
458 This multivariate approach allowed to consider the combined effect of all OTUs. For sows, a
459 validated PLS-DA model allowed to discriminate between SB and Control groups on G110
460 and L28, whereas the model was not validated on G28 and L6 (error rate > 45%, Table 9).
461 Interestingly, piglet samples could be discriminated according to the group of their mother for
462 all days of sampling, including after weaning. Nevertheless, the performance of the PLS-DA
463 was better on L6 than on W5 (3.8% vs 17% error rate). The discrimination between treatment
464 groups was noticeably more robust when considering the piglets than when considering the
465 sows (3.8% vs 49% and 7% vs 36.7% error rate, respectively for piglets and sows on L6 and
466 L28, Table 9). The most discriminative OTUs were then identified using sPLS-DA.
467 Depending on the day of sampling, 39 to 61 OTUs were selected to optimize the performance
468 of the sPLS-DA model (Table 9). The median relative abundance of the 15 most
469 discriminative OTUs is presented in Figures 1 and 2. On G110, compared to the microbiota of
470 the Control sows, the fecal microbiota of the SB sows were mainly characterized with higher
471 abundance of OTUs belonging to *Ruminococcus*, *Coprostanoligenes* group, *Prevotellaceae*
472 *NK3B31* group, *Subdoligranulum*, *Blautia*, *Lachnoclostridium* and *Marvinbryantia*.
473 Discriminant OTUs belonging to *Ruminococcaceae* *UGC014* and *NK4A214* groups,
474 *Cellulolyticum* and *Fusobacterium* were otherwise more abundant in control sows. At
475 weaning, the most discriminative OTUs for the microbiota of the SB sows belong to
476 *Roseburia*, *Bacteroides*, *Alloprevotella* genera and *Bradymonadales* family, whereas OTUs

477 affiliated to *Campylobacter* and *Prevotellaceae UCG004* group were less abundant in control
478 sows.

479 In piglets, amongst the 15 most discriminant OTU, relative abundances of OTUs belonging to
480 *Lachnospirillum* (2 OTUs), *Christensenellaceae R7* group, *Lactobacillus* (2 OTUs),
481 *Helococcus* and *Bacteroides* genera were higher on L6 in feces of piglets born from SB sows
482 compared with Control group. Conversely, OTUs belonging to *Erysipelotrichaceae* family (2
483 OTUs) and to *Fusobacterium* (2 OTUs), *Tyzzerella* and *Coprococcus* genera were less
484 abundant in SB piglets when compared to Control piglets. On L28, the fecal microbiota of the
485 piglets from SB group could be discriminated from the control group with higher abundances
486 of OTUs affiliated to *Catenisphaera*, *Rikenellaceae RC9* group, *Blautia*, *Solobacterium* and
487 lower abundances of *Ruminococcaceae* (3 OTUs), *Bacteroides*, *Flavonifractor* and
488 *Peptococcus*. After weaning and compared to piglets born from Control sows, out of the 15
489 most discriminative OTUs, OTUs belonging to *Mitsuokella* genus (4 OTUs) and
490 *Ruminococcus 1* (2 OTUs) genera were less abundant, while OTUs annotated as
491 *Alloprevotella*, *Lactobacillus* (2 different OTUs from those selected on L6) and
492 *Faecalibacterium* were more abundant in the piglets born from SB sows.

493

494

DISCUSSION

495

496 Our study showed that SB supplementation in sow diet during gestation and lactation induced
497 modifications in the fecal microbiota of sows and their piglets during lactation and after

498 weaning. These modifications were however associated with changes neither in piglet ability
499 to cope with the stress of weaning, nor in milk nutritional and immune composition.

500 To assess the potential benefit of SB supplementation in the maternal feed on robustness of
501 piglets at weaning, piglets were weaned in non-optimal conditions. This consisted in
502 transferring pigs in uncleaned pens, at a non-optimal temperature for a short period of time
503 and mixing pigs from different litters. Such non-optimal housing conditions have been tested
504 to induce a systemic inflammatory response and an oxidative stress at weaning (Buchet et al.,
505 2017) and during the growing period (Chatelet et al., 2018). Accordingly, in the present
506 experiment, weaning successfully induced a systemic inflammation in piglets confirmed by
507 greater white blood cell count and plasma concentrations in haptoglobin and dROM. Piglets
508 born from sows fed SB during both gestation and lactation did not grow faster before and
509 after weaning. Our results did not confirm previous findings (Tan et al., 2015) showing that
510 piglets born from sows fed the same dose of SB during 2 consecutive reproductive cycles
511 were heavier at weaning. In that study, the authors did not report any positive effect on piglets
512 born after the first gestation suggesting that a longer period of distribution might be necessary
513 to induce effects that sows could transfer to their litter. To our knowledge, our study is the
514 first one that investigates the effect of SB supplemented in sow diet on postweaning pigs.
515 However, after weaning either, SB supplementation in the maternal feed did not improve the
516 piglet capacity to cope with the stressful conditions of weaning when considering the
517 prevalence and severity of diarrhea, and blood indicators of inflammation and oxidative
518 status, that did not differ in piglets born from SB and Control sows.

519 Blood concentration in hemoglobin is an indicator of iron status and a key parameter to
520 evaluate iron deficiency anemia in young piglets (Szudzik et al., 2018). Weaning induced a
521 slight decrease in hemoglobin concentrations but these concentrations remained greater than 9
522 g/dL, the threshold value for anemia and considered as a level at which optimal performance
523 may occur (Knight and Dilger, 2018). However, piglets born from SB sows had greater
524 hemoglobin blood concentrations than Control piglets. In young pigs, an increase in
525 *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* populations caused by inulin supplementation was
526 associated with increased expression of genes coding for iron transporters in the intestine and
527 blood hemoglobin concentration (Tako et al., 2008). Interestingly, our study showed that
528 some *Lactobacillus* OTUs were more abundant in piglets born from SB sows, on L6 and W5.
529 The effects of SB on microbiota composition, specifically on *Lactobacillus* species, and iron
530 absorption and status would deserve attention.

531 Regarding the maternal side, the SB supplementation also had no effect on performance
532 and physiological traits. Parameters measured to estimate sow body condition and metabolic
533 status did not differ in response to SB supplementation, neither at the end of gestation nor at
534 the end of lactation. In our study, sow feed intake during lactation also was not influenced by
535 SB supplementation. Our results contrasted with those from Sun et al. (2021) who reported
536 greater feed intake during the first week of lactation in sows fed SB from the late gestation.
537 Similarly, in tropical humid climate, sows fed the same SB strain as in the present study, from
538 late gestation and throughout lactation, presented a greater feed intake during lactation and a
539 trend for less fat tissue mobilization (Domingos et al., 2021). The impact of SB
540 supplementation might therefore depend on the environmental conditions of the sows. In

541 addition, the ADFI of the Control sows during the lactation in our study was higher than the
542 ADFI reported in the other studies, suggesting that the Control sows already expressed their
543 full intake potential. As with metabolic status, the health level of sows did not appear to be
544 affected, since markers of the inflammatory, oxidative and immune status did not respond to
545 SB supplementation. The metabolic status and health level of the sows being not affected, it is
546 not really surprising that colostrum and milk composition was not affected either. The only
547 difference was the greater concentrations of ash, therefore of minerals, in milk of primiparous
548 sows that received SB than in milk from Control primiparous sows. Because of the low
549 number of primiparous sows in the experiment (7/treatment), this effect needs to be
550 substantiated before any interpretation. More surprising, however, was the lack of impact of
551 SB supplementation on immunoglobulin concentration in colostrum or milk. A
552 supplementation of the same strain of SB during the last 3 weeks of gestation significantly
553 increased colostrum concentration of IgG by 21% and those of IgA by 18% (Guillou et al.,
554 2012). The dose of SB provided to sows was much greater in their study than in the present
555 one (5×10^{10} CFU/d vs between 2.5 and 3.2×10^9 CFU/d). Supplementation with other
556 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains were also shown to increase IgG concentrations in
557 colostrum (Zanello et al., 2013) or in piglet plasma 24 h after birth (Jang et al., 2013). In the
558 present experiment, piglet and litter performance during lactation was assessed through
559 survival and growth rate. Supplementation of sow diet with SB affected neither rates of
560 mortality between cross-fostering and weaning, nor piglet and litter growth rate, which
561 reflected no effect on milk production. In tropical humid climate, SB supplementation during
562 late gestation and lactation increased milk production by 9% (Domingos et al., 2021). In

563 temperate climate, however, both positive and no effect was observed on piglet or litter
564 growth rate (Di Giancamillo et al., 2007; Bravo de Laguna et al., 2020). These results would
565 suggest that SB would exert positive effects on sows in non-optimal conditions. Overall, the
566 performance and health of sows and piglets included in our study were good and may have
567 hidden any improvement of these phenotypes by SB.

568 The gut microbiota composition in sows is affected by various environmental factors
569 including physiological stage and parity, diet fiber content or environmental stress (Leblois et
570 al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019a; Gaukroger et al., 2021; Lührmann et al., 2021). In accordance
571 with these studies, we observed over-time variations in the gut microbiota of sows during
572 gestation and lactation. In our study, SB supplementation slightly altered fecal microbiota
573 composition of the sows at the end of the gestation (G110) and at the end of lactation (L28).
574 Energy requirement for fetus growth at the end of gestation and for milk production is high
575 during these periods. The interaction between host (sow) and its microbiota might be altered
576 by this high physiological demand, which could lead to microbiota permissiveness for SB
577 action. Supplementation with SB mostly modified the balance of well-known fiber degrader
578 commensal bacteria (i.e. *Ruminococcus*, *Lachnospiraceae*, *Blautia*, *Cellulosylyticum*,
579 *Bacteroides*...). Species belonging to beneficial bacteria such as *Subdoligranulum* and
580 *Christensenellaceae R7* group were more abundant in SB sows, while potential pathogens
581 such as *Fusobacterium* and *Campylobacter* were found in higher abundance in Control sows.
582 Strikingly, despite no effect on milk immune and nutritional composition, and only slight
583 effects on sow feces microbiota composition, sow diet supplementation with SB elicited
584 strong effects on the piglet gut microbiota at every age of feces sampling as evidenced by the

585 discriminant analyses error rates. This may be explained by the high plasticity of piglet
586 microbiota compared to adults (Derrien et al., 2019). Indeed, during lactation, the piglet gut
587 microbiota is colonized by bacteria from its environment and the sow feces (Liu et al.,
588 2019b). Its composition is also influenced by the composition of the milk from the nursing
589 mother (Bian et al., 2016). To our knowledge, the effect of supplementation of sow diets with
590 SB during gestation and lactation on offspring gut microbiota had never been reported before.
591 For instance, the *Lactobacillus* genus was more abundant in SB piglets on W5. Members of
592 *Lactobacillus* genus are known as favorable for host. Indeed, *L. frumenti* and *gasseri* have
593 been associated with lower incidence of diarrhea in weaned piglets (Hu et al., 2018).
594 Moreover, the lowest enrichment or absence of members of *Clostridium innocuum*,
595 *Fusobacterium* and *Tyzzarella* genera on L6 in piglets born from SB sows are interesting
596 since members of these genera have high proteolytic activity and may be responsible for
597 piglet neonatal diarrhea (Hermann-Bank et al., 2015; Chia et al., 2017). The effect of SB
598 supplementation on piglet microbiota was not only maintained during lactation, but also 5 d
599 after weaning. This shows the persistence of the effect of the sow diet supplementation on
600 piglet microbiota, although SB supplementation in sow diet had a lower impact around
601 weaning than during the first week of lactation. In weaned piglets, SB signature on fecal
602 microbiota included an enrichment of members of the *Lactobacillus* and *Faecalibacterium*
603 genus associated with low abundance of several *Mitsuokella* members when compared to
604 Control group. Of note the *Lactobacillus* OTUs enriched 5 d after weaning were not the same
605 than the ones enriched in piglets on L6. This result is consistent with previous observation
606 (Wang et al., 2019) that described a *Lactobacillus* abundant after weaning but that was

607 undetectable during suckling period. *Faecalibacterium* has been associated with late weaning
608 and has potentially beneficial effect on health and growth (Massacci et al., 2020).

609 In conclusion, dietary supplementation of SB to sows did not elicit any changes on piglet
610 performance and health before and after being challenged at weaning. It did change neither
611 sow's reproductive performance, metabolic and health status, nor in the immunoglobulin and
612 nutrient content of colostrum and milk. In our experimental conditions, feeding SB to sows
613 favored the development of beneficial microbes in sows and piglets. Further studies would be
614 necessary to examine if and how these beneficial microbes would confer an advantage to the
615 piglets. Moreover the transmission of the sow microbiota to the piglets and how it could be
616 modulated by the feed and probiotic supplementation would deserve a specific attention.

617

618 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

619

620 LITERATURE CITED

621

622 Aitchison, J. 1982. The Statistical Analysis of Compositional Data. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B
623 Methodol. 44:139- 77. doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1982.tb01195.x.

624 Bian, G., S. Ma, Z. Zhu, Y. Su, E. G. Zoetendal, R. Mackie, J. Liu, C. Mu, R. Huang, H.

625 Smidt, and W. Zhu. 2016. Age, introduction of solid feed and weaning are more important

626 determinants of gut bacterial succession in piglets than breed and nursing mother as revealed

627 by a reciprocal cross-fostering model. Environ. Microbiol. 18:1566-1577. doi :10.1111/1462-

628 2920.13272.

629 Blavi, L., D. Solà-Oriol, P. Llonch, S. López-Vergé, S. M. Martín-Orúe, and J. F. Pérez. 2021.
630 Management and Feeding Strategies in Early Life to Increase Piglet Performance and Welfare
631 around Weaning: A Review. *Animals*. 11:302. doi:10.3390/ani11020302.

632 Bravo de Laguna, F., D. Saornil, E. Chevaux, M. J. Carrion, M. Lacal, and A. Vargas. 2020.
633 Effet de *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii* CNMCI-1079 sur les performances de la
634 truie pendant un cycle complet. *Journ. Rech. Porcine*. 52:191-192.

635 Brousseau, J. P., G. Talbot, F. Beaudoin, K. Lauzon, D. Roy, and M. Lessard. 2015. Effects of
636 probiotics *Pediococcus acidilactici* strain MA18/5M and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* subsp.
637 *boulardii* strain SB-CNCM I-1079 on fecal and intestinal microbiota of nursing and weanling
638 piglets. *J. Anim. Sci.* 93:5313-5326. doi:10.2527/jas.2015-9190.

639 Buchet, A., C. Belloc, M. Leblanc-Maridor, and E. Merlot. 2017. Effects of age and weaning
640 conditions on blood indicators of oxidative status in pigs. *PLoS One*. 12:e0178487. doi:
641 10.1371/journal.pone.0178487.

642 Campbell, J. M., J. D. Crenshaw, and J. Polo. 2013. The biological stress of early weaned
643 piglets. *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol.* 4:19. doi:10.1186/2049-1891-4-19.

644 Chatelet, A., F. Gondret, E. Merlot, H. Gilbert, N. C. Friggens, and N. Le Floc'h. 2018.
645 Impact of hygiene of housing conditions on performance and health of two pig genetic lines
646 divergent for residual feed intake. *Animal*. 12:350-358. doi:10.1017/S1751731117001379.

647 Chia, J. H., Y. Feng, L. H. Su, T. L. Wu, C. L. Chen, Y. H. Liang, and C. H. Chiu. 2017.
648 *Clostridium innocuum* is a significant vancomycin-resistant pathogen for extraintestinal
649 clostridial infection. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 23:560-566. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2017.02.025.

650 Daudelin, J.-F., M. Lessard, F. Beaudoin, E. Nadeau, N. Bissonnette, Y. Boutin, J.-P.
651 Brousseau, K. Lauzon, and J. M. Fairbrother. 2011. Administration of probiotics influences
652 F4 (K88)-positive enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* attachment and intestinal cytokine
653 expression in weaned pigs. *Vet. Res.* 42:69. doi:10.1186/1297-9716-42-69.

654 Derrien, M., A.-S. Alvarez, and W. M. de Vos. 2019. The Gut Microbiota in the First Decade
655 of Life. *Trends in Microbiol.* 27:997-1010. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2019.08.001.

656 Di Giancamillo, A., V. Bontempo, G. Savoini, V. Dell'Orto, F. Vitari, and C. Domeneghini.
657 2007. Effects of live yeast dietary supplementation to lactating sows and weaning piglets. *Int.*
658 *J. Probiotics Prebiotics.* 2:55-66.

659 Domingos, R. L., B. A. N. Silva, F. Bravo de Laguna, W. A. G. Araujo, M. F. Gonçalves, F. I.
660 G. Rebordões, R. P. Evangelista, T. C. C. de Alkmim, H. A. F. Miranda, H. M. C. Cardoso,
661 L.A. Cardoso, S. R. Habit, and S. A. B. da Motta. 2021. *Saccharomyces Cerevisiae* var.
662 *Boulardii* CNCM I-1079 during late gestation and lactation improves voluntary feed intake,
663 milk production and litter performance of mixed-parity sows in a tropical humid climate.
664 *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 272:114785. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2020.114785.

665 Drouilhet, L, C.S. Achard, O. Zemb, C. Molette, T. Gidenne, C. Larzul, J. Ruesche, A.
666 Tircazes, M. Segura, T. Bouchez, M. Theau-Clément, T. Joly, E. Balmisse, H. Garreau, and
667 H. Gilbert. 2016. Direct and correlated responses to selection in two lines of rabbits selected
668 for feed efficiency under ad libitum and restricted feeding: I. Production traits and gut
669 microbiota characteristics. *J. Anim. Sci.* 94:38-48. doi:10.2527/jas.2015-9402.

670 Edgar, R. C., B. J. Haas, J. C. Clemente, C. Quince, and R. Knight. 2011. UCHIME improves
671 sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics*. 27:2194-2200.
672 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381.

673 Escudié, F., L. Auer, M. Bernard, M. Mariadassou, L. Cauquil, K. Vidal, S. Maman, G.
674 Hernandez-Raquet, S. Combes, and G. Pascal. 2018. FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with
675 Galaxy Solution. *Bioinformatics* 34:1287-1294. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791.

676 Gaukroger, C. H., S. A. Edwards, J. Walshaw, A. Nelson, I. P. Adams, C. J. Stewart, and I.
677 Kyriazakis. 2021. Shifting sows: longitudinal changes in the periparturient faecal microbiota
678 of primiparous and multiparous sows. *Animal*. 15:100135. doi:10.1016/j.animal.2020.100135.

679 Glöckner, F. O., P. Yilmaz, C. Quast, J. Gerken, A. Beccati, A. Ciuprina, G. Bruns, P. Yarza,
680 J. Peplies, R. Westram, and W. Ludwig. 2017. 25 years of serving the community with
681 ribosomal RNA gene reference databases and tools. *J. Biotechnol*. 261:169-176.
682 doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2017.06.1198.

683 Gresse, R., F. Chaucheyras-Durand, M. A. Fleury, T. Van de Wiele, E. Forano, and S.
684 Blanquet-Diot. 2017. Gut Microbiota Dysbiosis in Postweaning Piglets: Understanding the
685 Keys to Health. *Trends Microbiol*. 25:851-873. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2017.05.004

686 Guevarra, R. B., J. H. Lee, S. H. Lee, M.-J. Seok, D. W. Kim, B. N. Kang, T. J. Johnson, R.
687 E. Isaacson, and H. B. Kim. 2019. Piglet gut microbial shifts early in life: causes and effects.
688 *J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol*. 10:1. doi:10.1186/s40104-018-0308-3.

689 Guillou, D., A. Sacy, D. Marchand, Y. Le Treut, and J. Le Dividich. 2012. Influence de
690 l'apport alimentaire de *Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii* sur les immunoglobulines du
691 colostrum et du lait de truie. *Journ. Rech. Porcine*. 44:189-190.

692 Heo, J. M., F. O. Opapeju, J. R. Pluske, J. C. Kim, D. J. Hampson, and C. M. Nyachoti. 2013.
693 Gastrointestinal health and function in weaned pigs: a review of feeding strategies to control
694 post-weaning diarrhoea without using in-feed antimicrobial compounds. *J. Anim. Physiol.*
695 *Anim. Nutr.* 97:207-237. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0396.2012.01284.x.

696 Hermann-Bank, M. L., K. Skovgaard, A. Stockmarr, M. L. Strube, N. Larsen, H. Kongsted,
697 H.-C. Ingerslev, L. Mølbak, and M. Boye. 2015. Characterization of the bacterial gut
698 microbiota of piglets suffering from new neonatal porcine diarrhoea. *BMC Vet. Res.* 11:139.
699 doi:10.1186/s12917-015-0419-4.

700 Hu, J., L. Ma, Y. Nie, J. Chen, W. Zheng, X. Wang, C. Xie, Z. Zheng, Z. Wang, T. Yang, M.
701 Shi, L. Chen, Q. Hou, Y. Niu, X. Xu, Y. Zhu, Y. Zhang, H. Wei, and X. Yan. 2018. A
702 Microbiota-Derived Bacteriocin Targets the Host to Confer Diarrhea Resistance in Early-
703 Weaned Piglets. *Cell Host Microbe.* 24:817-832.e818. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2018.11.006.

704 Jang, Y. D., K. W. Kang, L. G. Piao, T. S. Jeong, E. Auclair, S. Jonvel, R. D’Inca, and Y. Y.
705 Kim. 2013. Effects of live yeast supplementation to gestation and lactation diets on
706 reproductive performance, immunological parameters and milk composition in sows. *Livest.*
707 *Sci.* 152:167-173. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2012.12.022.

708 Kil, D. Y., and H. H. Stein. 2010. Board Invited Review: Management and feeding strategies
709 to ameliorate the impact of removing antibiotic growth promoters from diets fed to weanling
710 pigs. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 90:447-460. doi:10.4141/cjas10028.

711 Knight, L. C., and R. N. Dilger. 2018. Longitudinal Effects of Iron Deficiency Anemia and
712 Subsequent Repletion on Blood Parameters and the Rate and Composition of Growth in Pigs.
713 *Nutrients.* 10:632. doi:10.3390/nu10050632

714 Lallès, J.-P., P. Bosi, H. Smidt, and C. R. Stokes. 2007. Nutritional management of gut health
715 in pigs around weaning. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 66:260-268. doi:10.1017/s0029665107005484.

716 Leblois, J., S. Massart, H. Soyeurt, C. Grelet, F. Dehareng, M. Schroyen, B. Li, J. Wavreille,
717 J. Bindelle, and N. Everaert. 2018. Feeding sows resistant starch during gestation and
718 lactation impacts their faecal microbiota and milk composition but shows limited effects on
719 their progeny. *PLoS One.* 13:e0199568. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0199568.

720 Liu, H., C. Hou, N. Li, X. Zhang, G. Zhang, F. Yang, X. Zeng, Z. Liu, and S. Qiao. 2019a.
721 Microbial and metabolic alterations in gut microbiota of sows during pregnancy and lactation.
722 *FASEB J.* 33:4490-4501. doi:0.1096/fj.201801221RR

723 Liu, H., X. Zeng, G. Zhang, C. Hou, N. Li, H. Yu, L. Shang, X. Zhang, P. Trevisi, F. Yang, Z.
724 Liu, and S. Qiao. 2019b. Maternal milk and fecal microbes guide the spatiotemporal
725 development of mucosa-associated microbiota and barrier function in the porcine neonatal
726 gut. *BMC Biology.* 17:106. doi:10.1186/s12915-019-0729-2.

727 Loisel, F., C. Farmer, P. Ramaekers, and H. Quesnel. 2013. Effect of high dietary fiber during
728 late pregnancy on sow physiology, colostrum production, and piglet performance. *J. Anim.*
729 *Sci.* 91:5269-5279. doi:10.2527/jas2013-6526

730 Lührmann, A., K. Ovadenko, J. Hellmich, C. Sudendey, V. Belik, J. Zentek, and W. Vahjen.
731 2021. Characterization of the fecal microbiota of sows and their offspring from German
732 commercial pig farms. *PLoS One.* 16:e0256112. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0256112.

733 Massacci, F. R., M. Berri, G. Lemonnier, E. Guettier, F. Blanc, D. Jarret, M. N. Rossignol,
734 M.-J. Mercat, J. Doré, P. Lepage, C. Rogel-Gaillard, and J. Estellé. 2020. Late weaning is

735 associated with increased microbial diversity and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* abundance in
736 the fecal microbiota of piglets. *Animal Microbiome*. 2:2. doi:10.1186/s42523-020-0020-4.

737 Magoč, T., and S. L. Salzberg. 2011. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to
738 improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. 27:2957-2963.
739 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507.

740 Mahé, F., T. Rognes, C. Quince, C. de Vargas, and M. Dunthorn. 2014. Swarm: robust and
741 fast clustering method for amplicon-based studies. *PeerJ*. 2:eE593. doi:10.7717/peerj.593

742 Martin, M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
743 reads. *EMBnet.journal*, 17:10-12. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200.

744 Pie, S., J. P. Lalles, F. Blazy, J. Laffitte, B. Sève, and I. P. Oswald. 2004. Weaning is
745 associated with an upregulation of expression of inflammatory cytokines in the intestine of
746 piglets. *J. Nutr.* 134:641-647. doi:10.1093/jn/134.3.641.

747 Quesnel H., and C. Farmer. 2019. Review: nutritional and endocrine control of
748 colostrogenesis in swine. *Animal*. 13:s26-s34. doi:10.1017/S1751731118003555.

749 Qi, M., K. E. Nelson, S. C. Daugherty, W. C. Nelson, I. R. Hance, M. Morrison, and C. W.
750 Forsberg. 2005. Novel molecular features of the fibrolytic intestinal bacterium *Fibrobacter*
751 *intestinalis* not shared with *Fibrobacter succinogenes* as determined by suppressive
752 subtractive hybridization. *J. Bacteriol.* 187:3739-3751. doi:10.1128/JB.187.11.3739-
753 3751.2005.

754 Rohart, F., B. Gautier, A. Singh, and K.-A. Lê Cao. 2017. mixOmics: An R package for
755 'omics feature selection and multiple data integration. *PLoS Comput Biol* 13:e1005752.
756 doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005752.

757 Sun, H., F. Bravo de Laguna, S. Wang, F. Liu, L. Shi, H. Jiang, X. Hu, P. Qin, and J. Tan ;
758 2021. Effect of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae boulardii* CNCM I-1079 on sows' farrowing
759 duration, reproductive performance, and weanling piglets' performance and IgG
760 concentration. *J. Anim. Sci. Technol.* 64:1-13. doi:10.5187/jast.2021.e106.

761 Szudzik, M., R. R. Starzyński, A. Jończy, R. Mazgaj, M. Lenartowicz, and P. Lipiński. 2018.
762 Iron Supplementation in Suckling Piglets: An Ostensibly Easy Therapy of Neonatal Iron
763 Deficiency Anemia. *Pharmaceuticals* 11:128. doi:10.3390/ph11040128.

764 Tako, E., R. P. Glahn, R. M. Welch, X. Lei, K. Yasuda, and D. D. Miller. 2008. Dietary inulin
765 affects the expression of intestinal enterocyte iron transporters, receptors and storage protein
766 and alters the microbiota in the pig intestine. *Br. J. Nutr.* 99:472-480.
767 doi:10.1017/S0007114507825128.

768 Tan, C. Q., H. K. Wei, H. Q. Sun, G. Long, J. T. Ao, S. W. Jiang, and J. Peng. 2015. Effects
769 of supplementing sow diets during two gestations with konjac flour and *Saccharomyces*
770 *boulardii* on constipation in peripartal period, lactation feed intake and piglet performance.
771 *Anim. Feed Sci. Tech.* 210:254-262. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.10.013.

772 Wang, Q., G. M. Garrity, J. M. Tiedje, and J. R. Cole. 2007. Naive Bayesian classifier for
773 rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl. Environ.*
774 *Microbiol.* 73:5261-5267. doi:10.1128/AEM.00062-07.

775 Wang, X., T. Tsai, F. Deng, X. Wei, J. Chai, J. Knapp, J. Apple, C. V. Maxwell, J. A. Lee, Y.
776 Li, and J. Zhao. 2019. Longitudinal investigation of the swine gut microbiome from birth to
777 market reveals stage and growth performance associated bacteria. *Microbiome.* 7:109.
778 doi:10.1186/s40168-019-0721-7.

779 Zanello, G., F. Meurens, D. Serreau, C. Chevaleyre, S. Melo, M. Berri, R. D'Inca, E. Auclair,
780 and H. Salmon. 2013. Effects of dietary yeast strains on immunoglobulin in colostrum and
781 milk of sows. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* 152:20-27. doi:10.1016/j.vetimm.2012.09.023.
782

783 **Table 1.** Performance of litters born from sows fed no dietary supplementation (Control) or
 784 living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d 28 of lactation.¹

Item	Treatment		SEM	T	P-value	
	Control	SB			Parity	T x P ²
No. of litters	23	22				
Number of piglets/litter						
Born (total)	16.1	16.2	0.8	0.92	0.23	0.65
Born alive	15.5	15.7	0.7	0.80	0.76	0.98
After cross-fostering	15.5	15.9	0.6	0.66	0.73	0.75
At weaning	12.5	11.6	0.7	0.15	0.23	0.22
Litter weight, kg						
At birth (all piglets)	21.5	21.8	1.1	0.81	<0.001	0.92
After cross-fostering	21.6	22.0	1.0	0.74	0.001	0.93
At weaning	105.9	97.9	5.1	0.08	0.01	0.18
Litter weight gain						
during lactation, kg/d	2.93	2.65	0.16	0.10	0.11	0.24
Mortality rates, %						
At birth	5.3	3.5	1.4	0.20	<0.001	0.05
Cross fostering-weaning	20.2	25.6	3.0	0.03	0.17	0.06
Cross fostering-weaning ³	20.2	24.0	3.0	0.15	0.06	0.24

785 ¹Data are expressed as least-squares means and the greatest SEM, except for mortality rates
 786 (raw data).

787 ² T x P: treatment x parity (primiparous vs multiparous) interaction.

788 ³ Mortality rates after excluding 2 SB litters with 4 and 5 splayleg piglets.

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799 **Table 2.** Colostrum and milk composition in sows fed no dietary supplementation (Control)
 800 or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d 28 of lactation.¹

Item	Treatment		SEM	T	P-value	
	Control	SB			Parity	T x P ²
Colostrum						
Dry matter ³ , %	27.5	27.4	1.0	0.92	0.19	0.30
Ash ³ , %	0.63	0.65	0.01	0.39	0.85	0.94
Protein ³ ,%	16.32	16.67	0.55	0.61	0.44	0.63
Fat ³ , %	5.29	5.09	0.49	0.78	0.34	0.36
Lactose ³ , %	2.73	2.54	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.37
Gross energy, kJ/g	6.78	6.80	0.30	0.95	0.08	0.24
IgG, mg/mL	63.33	64.57	5.40	0.87	0.28	0.92
IgA, mg/mL	12.05	11.10	1.97	0.48	0.06	0.77
Milk on d 6 of lactation						
Dry matter ³ , %	19.00	18.87	0.34	0.77	0.40	0.53
Ash ³ , %	0.74	0.78	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.01
Protein ³ ,%	5.24	5.29	0.11	0.69	0.002	0.54
Fat ³ , %	7.40	7.11	0.29	0.49	0.05	0.51
Lactose ³ , %	5.15	5.10	0.09	0.53	0.87	0.91
Gross energy,	5.02	4.82	0.11	0.22	0.37	0.87

kJ/g

IgA, mg/mL	1.28	1.65	0.20	0.18	0.04	0.89
------------	------	------	------	------	------	------

801 ¹ Data are expressed as least-squares means, and the greatest SEM.

802 ² T x P: treatment x parity (primiparous vs multiparous) interaction.

803 ³ Grams per 100 g of whole colostrum or milk.

804

805 **Table 3.** Blood hematological variables and plasma markers of inflammation and oxidative
 806 stress in sows fed no dietary supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of
 807 gestation until d 28 of lactation.¹

Item ³	Treatment						SEM	P-value ²	
	Control			SB				T	Day
	G28	G113	L28	G28	G113	L28			
White blood cells, 1,000/ μ L	13.4 ^A	10.7 ^B	11.1 ^C	13.9 ^A	10.7 ^B	12.3 ^C	0.50	0.33	< 0.001
Lymphocytes, 1,000/ μ L	7.6 ^A	5.0 ^B	4.0 ^C	7.7 ^A	4.7 ^B	4.4 ^C	0.25	0.76	< 0.001
Granulocytes, 1,000/ μ L	5.1 ^A	4.8 ^A	6.1 ^B	5.5 ^A	5.0 ^A	6.4 ^B	0.34	0.27	< 0.001
Lymphocytes, %	57.0 ^A	47.1 ^B	35.5 ^C	55.6 ^A	44.0 ^B	35.6 ^C	1.55	0.20	< 0.001
Granulocytes, %	38.1 ^A	44.0 ^B	52.6 ^C	39.9 ^A	46.8 ^B	52.5 ^C	1.55	0.24	< 0.001
Red blood cells, 1,000,000/ μ L	6.6 ^A	5.8 ^B	5.2 ^C	6.8 ^A	5.6 ^B	5.1 ^C	0.14	0.75	< 0.001
Hemoglobin, g/dL	13.6 ^A	11.4 ^B	10.7 ^C	13.6 ^A	11.2 ^B	10.1 ^C	0.20	0.09	< 0.001

Haptoglobin,	0.91 ^A	1.76 ^B	1.97 ^B	0.75 ^A	1.73 ^B	1.99 ^B	0.13	0.58	< 0.001
mg/mL									
BAP, μ M Eq	2529 ^{AB}	2458 ^A	2529 ^B	2461 ^{AB}	2443 ^A	2563 ^B	23	0.37	< 0.001
vitamin C									
dROM, CarrU	1151	1141	1033	1253	1247	1202	43	< 0.001	0.08

808

809 ¹ Data are expressed as least-squares means, and the greatest SEM.

810 ² T: Treatment effect; Day: sampling day effect. Irrespective of the treatment group, values
811 with different superscripts A, B, C differed ($P < 0.05$, sampling day effect).

812 ³BAP = Biological Antioxidant Power; CarrU = “Carratelli Units”, where 1 CARRU is
813 equivalent to the oxidizing power of 0.08 mg H₂O₂/dL.

814

815 **Table 4.** Concentrations of metabolites and cortisol in plasma of sows fed no dietary
 816 supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d 28 of lactation.¹

Item ²	Treatment						SEM	<i>P</i> -value ³	
	Control			SB				T	Day
	G28	G113	L28	G28	G113	L28			
Glucose, mg/L	734.2 ^A	755.4 ^A	657.3 ^B	738.1 ^A	738.2 ^A	609.1 ^B	20.3	0.17	< 0.001
Lactate ⁴ , mM	1.8 ^a	2.3 ^b	2.4 ^b	2.3 ^b	2.0 ^b	2.2 ^b	0.1	0.88	0.26
FFA, μM	123.2 ^A	202.9 ^A	886.1 ^B	97.0 ^A	271.0 ^A	1155.0 ^B	72.5	0.06	< 0.001
Creatinine, mg/L	17.5 ^A	23.7 ^B	18.0 ^A	17.8 ^A	24.2 ^B	17.7 ^A	0.5	0.61	< 0.001
Urea, mg/L	162.7 ^A	176.6 ^A	287.1 ^B	178.1 ^A	181.4 ^A	317.6 ^B	10.2	0.04	< 0.001
Cortisol, mg/L	44.3	46.6	53.1	39.3	48.6	53.2	7.8	0.86	0.06

817 ¹Data are expressed as least-squares means, and the greatest SEM.

818 ² Free fatty acids.

819 ³T: Treatment effect; Day: sampling day effect. Irrespective of the treatment group, values
 820 with different superscripts A, B, C differed ($P < 0.05$, sampling day effect).

821 ⁴Treatment x Day interaction: $P = 0.02$ (values with different superscripts a, b differed ($P <$
 822 0.05)).

823

824

825

826 **Table 5.** Postweaning average performance per pen of piglets born from sows fed no dietary
 827 supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d 28 of lactation.¹

Item ²	Treatment		SEM	P-value ³
	Control	SB		
Weaning				
Pen average weight, kg	91.3	85.5	3.20	0.21
Piglet average weight, kg	8.84	8.77	0.34	0.89
35 d after weaning				
Pen average weight, kg	245.0	226.5	11.0	0.08
Piglet average weight, kg	23.7	23.2	1.2	0.64
Pen ADG, kg/(d.piglet)	0.436	0.424	0.02	0.57
Pen FI, kg	214.9	200.0	6.40	0.11
Pen ADFI, kg/(d.piglet)	0.743	0.734	0.04	0.81
pen FCR, kg/(d.piglet)	1.38	1.41	0.04	0.37

828 ¹Data are expressed as least-squares means, and the greatest SEM. The experimental unit is
 829 the pen.

830 ²ADG: average daily gain; FI: feed intake; ADFI: average daily feed intake; FCR: feed
 831 conversion ratio.

832 ³Treatment effect.

833

834 **Table 6.** Blood hematological variables and plasma markers of inflammation and oxidative
835 stress at weaning and 5 days after weaning in piglets born from sows fed no dietary
836 supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d 28 of lactation.¹

Item ³	Treatment				SEM	P-value ²		
	Control		SB			T	Day	T x Day
	L28 n = 45	W5 n = 44	L28 n = 43	W5 n = 43				
White blood cells, 1,000/ μ L	10.5	12.8	10.1	12.9	0.61	0.84	<0.001	0.50
Lymphocytes, 1,000/ μ L	6.59	7.62	6.25	7.20	0.26	0.24	<0.001	0.86
Lymphocytes, %	65.2	63.2	65.6	60.3	2.02	0.42	<0.001	0.09
Granulocytes, 1,000/ μ L	2.29	3.29	2.36	3.73	0.36	0.33	<0.001	0.29
Granulocytes, %	23.7	26.1	23.2	28.6	1.85	0.46	<0.001	0.12
Red blood cells, 1,000,000/ μ L	6.49	6.62	6.57	6.81	0.09	0.07	<0.001	0.22
Hemoglobin, g/dL	10.3	9.8	10.5	10.3	0.25	0.04	0.04	0.52
Haptoglobin, g/L	0.15	1.95	0.15	2.01	0.099	0.75	<0.001	0.76
BAP, μ M Eq vitamin C	2585	2562	2593	2600	39.7	0.39	0.74	0.51
dROM, CARRU	709	1089	732	1144	27.9	0.24	<0.001	0.44

837 ¹ Data are expressed as least-squares means, and the greatest SEM.

838 ² T: Treatment effect; Day: sampling day effect; T x Day: Treatment x Day interaction.

839 ³BAP = Biological Antioxidant Power; CarrU = “Carratelli Units”, where 1 CARRU is
840 equivalent to the oxidizing power of 0.08 mg H₂O₂/dL.

841

842

843 **Table 7.** Alpha-diversity in fecal microbiota of sows fed no dietary supplementation (Control)
 844 or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d 28 of lactation.¹

	Control (n = 21)				SB (n = 20)				SEM	<i>P</i> -value ²		
	G28	G110	L6	L28	G28	G110	L6	L28		T	Day	T x Day
richness	832 ^a	808 ^a	804 ^a	862 ^a	833 ^a	833 ^a	818 ^a	791 ^b	20.7	0.68	0.68	0.04
Shannon												
index	4.70 ^A	4.43 ^B	4.40 ^B	4.47 ^B	4.74 ^A	4.41 ^B	4.52 ^B	4.39 ^B	0.09	0.82	<0.001	0.54

845 ¹Data are expressed as least-squares means, and the greatest SEM.

846 ² T: Treatment effect; Day: sampling day effect; T x Day: Treatment x Day interaction.

847 Lowercase superscripts: Treatment effect tested by day, values with different superscripts are
 848 different ($P < 0.05$, Tukey adjustment).

849 Uppercase superscripts: overall sampling day effect, values with different superscripts are
 850 different ($P < 0.05$, Tukey adjustment, comparison of 3 estimates).

851

852

853 **Table 8.** Alpha-diversity in fecal microbiota of piglets from sows fed no dietary
 854 supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d 28 of lactation.¹

	Control			SB			SEM	<i>P</i> -value ²		
	L6	L28	W5	L6	L28	W5		T	Day	T x Day
N	29	30	30	24	27	25				
richness	289 ^a	695 ^b	683 ^b	320 ^a	761 ^b	562 ^c	25.7	0.68	<0.001	<0.001
Shannon										
index	3.57 ^a	4.66 ^b	4.57 ^b	3.65 ^a	4.63 ^b	4.09 ^c	0.09	0.03	<0.001	0.001

855 ¹Data are expressed as least-squares means, and the greatest SEM.

856 ² T: Treatment effect; Day: sampling day effect; T x Day: Treatment x Day interaction.

857 Values with different superscripts are different ($P < 0.05$, Tukey adjustment).

858

859

860

861

862 **Table 9.** Evaluation of the performance of the models to discriminate the fecal microbiota of
863 sows fed no dietary supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation
864 until d 28 of lactation and their piglets.

	n		OTU > 0.01% ¹	model performance ²		OTU selected ³			
	Control	SB		PLS-DA	sPLS-DA	comp 1	comp 2	comp 3	total
Sows									
G28	21	20	675	56.7%	-	-	-	-	-
G110	21	20	612	31.9%	36.7%	20	30	-	49
L6	21	20	588	49.0%	-	-	-	-	-
L28	21	20	631	36.7%	29.4%	20	15	10	44
Piglets									
L6	29	24	361	3.8%	3.4%	40	10	-	39
L28	30	27	649	7.0%	8.9%	45	10	10	61
W5	30	25	605	13.0%	12.7%	20	5	25	48

865 ¹ For each time point, only OTUs represented by more than 0.01% of the total sequences were
866 kept.

867 ²Best performance for up to 3 tested components; PLS-DA: Partial Least Square
868 Discriminant Analysis; sPLS-DA: Sparse Partial Least Square Discriminant Analysis.

869 ³Number of selected OTUs for each component (Comp); Component corresponds to a new
870 variable created as linear combination of OTUs.

871

872

873 **Figure captions:**

874 **Figure 1.** Selection of most discriminative OTUs to discriminate the fecal microbiota of sows
875 fed no dietary supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d
876 28 of lactation.

877 The median relative abundances of the 15 most discriminant OTUs in the fecal microbiota of
878 sows before parturition at 110 d of gestation (G110, a) and at 28 d of lactation (L28, b) are
879 shown. The selected OTUs are ranked according to their importance in the sPLS-DA model
880 (absolute values of the loading values). Taxonomic annotations are given at the family, the
881 genus or the species level when relevant. Star(s) indicate a significant difference according to
882 a Wilcoxon Rank Sumtest (* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$).

883

884 **Figure 2.** Selection of most discriminative OTUs of fecal microbiota of piglets born from
885 sows fed no dietary supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation
886 until d 28 of lactation.

887 The median relative abundances of the 15 most discriminant OTUs in the fecal microbiota of
888 piglets at 6 d of lactation (L6, a), 28 d of lactation (L28, b) and 5 d after weaning (W5, c) are
889 shown. The selected OTUs are ranked according to their importance in the sPLS-DA model
890 (absolute values of the loading values). Taxonomic annotations are given at the family, the
891 genus or the species level when relevant. Star(s) indicate a significant difference according to
892 a Wilcoxon Rank Sum test (* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$).

893

894

895 **Supplementary figure 1.** Beta-diversity of the fecal microbiota of sows fed no dietary
896 supplementation (Control) or living yeasts (SB) from d 28 of gestation until d 28 of lactation
897 and their piglets evaluated by nMDS ordination using Bray-curtis dissimilarities discriminate.
898 Sow samples were collected at 28 (G28) and 110 d (G110) of gestation and 6 (L6) and 28 d
899 (L28) of lactation; piglet samples were collected at 6 (L6) and 28 d (L28) of lactation and 5 d
900 after weaning (W5).

901

902

903