

# How meso shear chains bridge multiscale shear behaviors in granular materials: a preliminary study

Jiaying Liu, Antoine Wautier, François Nicot, F. Darve, Wei Zhou

# ▶ To cite this version:

Jiaying Liu, Antoine Wautier, François Nicot, F. Darve, Wei Zhou. How meso shear chains bridge multiscale shear behaviors in granular materials: a preliminary study. International Journal of Solids and Structures, 2022, 252, 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2022.111835. hal-03770696

# HAL Id: hal-03770696 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03770696v1

Submitted on 6 Sep 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# How meso shear chains bridge multiscale shear behaviors in granular materials: a preliminary study

Jiaying Liu<sup>a,b</sup>, Antoine Wautier<sup>c</sup>, François Nicot<sup>d,e</sup>, Félix Darve<sup>f</sup>, Wei Zhou<sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Zhejiang University City College, Hangzhou 310015, China <sup>b</sup>Zhejiang Engineering Research Center of Intelligent Urban Infrastructure, Hangzhou, 310015, China <sup>c</sup>INRAE UR RECOVER, 3275 Rte Cézanne, CS 40061, 13182 Aix-en-Provence Cedex 5, France <sup>d</sup>Université Grenoble Alpes, INRAE, UR ETGR, F-38402 St-Martin-d'Hères, France</sup>

<sup>e</sup>Laboratoire EDYTEM – USMB/CNRS, UMR 5204, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, Le Bourget-du-Lac 73376,France

<sup>f</sup>Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, G-INP, Laboratoire 3SR UMR5521, F-38000 Grenoble, France

<sup>g</sup>State Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Hydropower Engineering Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

## Abstract

The "incremental shear strain chain" concept (simply called "shear chain") has been proposed recently to quantitatively account for local kinematic features of granular materials. At the microscopic scale, contacts can slide and particles can rotate; while at the macroscopic scale, shear bands appear as a typical localized failure mode. Despite visual spatial distribution features, the direct links from microscopic to macroscopic shear behaviors are still missing. This paper investigates shear characteristics appearing at the micro, meso and macro scales in granular materials, and tries to elucidate how they can be correlated by adopting the shear chain concept. Based on the spatial statistics tools, the shear chain and the shear band orientations are compared by demonstrating that the shear band is influenced by the sample aspect ratio while shear chain orientation only depends on the stress state. Shear chains experience a relative steady and high fabric anisotropy, irrespective to the stress state. Micro contact sliding and particle rotation mainly exist in the shear chain connection positions, which gives possible clues on shear chain forming. In conclusion, the shear band is eventually conjectured to be formed of a collection of crossing shear chains at meso scale, according to detailed analysis and discussion on the correlations of shear behaviors across scales.

*Keywords:* granular materials, DEM, contact sliding, shear chain, shear band, particle rotation

*Email addresses:* liujy@zucc.edu.cn (Jiaying Liu), antoine.wautier@inrae.fr (Antoine Wautier), francois.nicot@inrae.fr (François Nicot), felix.darve@3sr-grenoble.fr (Félix Darve), zw\_mxx@whu.edu.cn (Wei Zhou)

#### 1 1. Introduction

For frictional granular materials, such as sands or coarse-grained soils, shear behaviors have 2 been attracting much scientific attention for a long time. Indeed, the failure in geomaterials 3 is closely related to the shear behavior, giving rise to mass-driven natural hazards [1, 2]. Un-4 der shearing loads, complex mechanical features are found in granular materials due to the 5 disordered discrete structures and various components. The critical state [3, 4, 5], dilatancy 6 [6, 7, 8], failure patterns [9, 10, 11] and many other phenomena are triggered by shearing but 7 not well described in constitutive models, while multiscale investigations provide new clues for 8 describing this constitutive complexity [12]. Shear banding, which relates to the macroscopic 9 strain localization of a narrow band, is one of the typical failure patterns under shearing for 10 granular materials. Although shear band orientation and width have been studied for a long 11 time [13, 14], the intrinsic origin of this macroscopic strain localization still remains to be solved 12 from the micro or meso scale explanations [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Among the established re-13 sults, the local meso slip structures have been observed within the granular assembly under 14 shearing. They are suspected to play a major role in linking the local rearrangement and the 15 macroscopic shear banding. 16

The granular micro-mechanics have been investigated from both numerical and experimen-17 tal explorations during decades [21, 22, 23, 24], and then micromechanical models have been 18 proposed [25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. Contacts between particles give rise to forces with or without the 19 consideration of friction or cohesion, and the statistical characterization of forces and contact 20 network finally result in the stress of the overall assembly. Microscopic features, such as particle 21 size[30, 31, 32], particle shape [33, 34, 35] and particle breakage [36, 37, 38, 39], are also recog-22 nized to influence the macroscopic mechanical responses. For frictional granular materials, each 23 contact is commonly assumed as elastoplastic, and the sliding is limited by the micro Coulomb 24 friction at the contact scale. When one contact is sliding, i.e., two particles have relative tan-25 gential displacement, then it could be regarded as micro failure under shearing and related to 26 local rearrangement [40]. Besides, at the microscopic scale, the rotation of particles will be 27 triggered by the shear banding and concentrate within the localized area [41, 20, 42, 16]. So 28 far, micromechanical ingredients like contact sliding and particle rotation have been involved in 29 many researches on shear band forming, however, no direct links have been built to demonstrate 30 that microscopic contact sliding or particle rotation trigger the macroscopic shear banding. Be-31

fore shear band develops, the incremental deviatoric strain field already experiences a strain localization pattern but at a local scale, where sliding and rotation also reflect some spatial correlations to the incremental strain field [43]. It is therefore tempting to examine whether a bridge exists between microscopic shear behaviors and macroscopic shear banding.

Between the grain scale and the sample scale, there is an intermediate scale referred to 36 as mesoscopic scale, where a few particles and relative voids form so-called mesostructures. 37 For example, force chains and contact-based loops (or cycles, in 2D) are examples of such 38 mesostructures. They have been defined to explore the force transmission and deformation 39 mechanisms of granular materials under various loading conditions [44, 45, 46, 11]. Small 40 localized patterns of the strain field before shear banding can be detected at the mesoscopic 41 scale, which are called "microbands" in some publications [41, 47]. They have been analysed 42 as meso-slip lines according to the solution of hyperbolic equations of plasticity theory [48]. In 43 recent work of authors [49, 48], this mesoscopic shear structure has been defined as "incremental 44 shear strain chain" (briefly "shear chain") by connecting cells with large deviatoric strain in 45 the loop tessellation, which is inspired by force chain definition [44, 50]. The shear chain can 46 well describe the orientated meso slip features, as well as the plasticity generation of granular 47 materials during shearing. From a comprehensive comparisons of shear behaviors at micro, 48 meso and macro scale, it should be meaningful to correlate the shear chain distribution and 49 evolution to the contact sliding, grain rotation and shear banding. Once the link among the 50 shear behaviors of the three scales is specified, a door will be opened to meticulously investigate 51 the origin of shear banding. 52

Therefore in this paper, we try to identify the correlations from micro, meso to macroscopic 53 shear behaviors at the primary stage. The Discrete Element Method (DEM) is used to perform 54 the numerical tests, offering the advantage to obtain micro- and mesoscopic information inside 55 granular assemblies. Section 2 briefly introduces shear behaviors at micro, meso and macro 56 scales, particularly the shear chain structure which is defined in Liu et al. [49]. In Section 3, 57 the spatial distribution patterns of incremental strains, particle rotations and contact sliding 58 are compared for a typical biaxial test undergoing shear band formation. Then the orientation 59 features of shear chain and shear band are compared in Section 4, demonstrating that they are 60 different shear structures operating of different scales. The microscopic sliding and rotation 61 have a preferred location in the shear chain connection positions, as investigated in Section 62 5. Combined with the results gained in this paper and conclusions in other publications (e.g., 63

[43, 47]), the connecting role of meso shear chains between micro and macro shear behaviors
is tried to be established in Section 6. The intermediate mesoscale shear strain chain is proved
to be the key bridge from the micro to macro scales for granular materials under shearing.

#### <sup>67</sup> 2. Shear behaviors at micro, meso and macro scales

At the micro scale of granular materials, the basic elements, i.e., particles and contacts, are considered. By adopting the Coulomb friction criterion at micro scale, the contact sliding should be regarded as the shear behaviors at micro scale. As for a single particle, its rotation will be triggered by the tangential forces, then the particle rotation could be another sign of shearing at the micro scale.

When shearing loads are applied for granular materials, a number of mesoscopic slip structures will appear within the sample, which have been observed from numerical analysis and experimental tests [41, 47]. In Liu et al. [49], these meso slip structures have been defined as "incremental shear strain chains" (briefly "shear chains"), providing a way to describe the mesoscopic shear behaviors concretely. The shear chain, as shown in Figure 1, is captured by searching connecting meso loops <sup>1</sup> with large meso deviatoric strains by some assumptions. Here are the simplified steps for shear chain definition and obtaining<sup>2</sup>:

Compute the incremental strain tensor for each meso loop cell, then collect meso loops
 with incremental deviatoric strains larger than the average value (the given threshold).

- 2. Within the group of loops of larger incremental deviatoric strains, search loop chains by judging whether the deviation ( $\beta$  in Figure 1) between the maximum shearing directions of two connecting loops (dash-dotted line in Figure 1) and the geometric directions joining the 2 barycenters (black solid lines in Figure 1) are close. The connection between the two loops are built if  $\beta$  fulfills a given limitation, in this paper it is set as  $\beta \leq \beta_{th} = 22.5^{\circ}$ .  $\beta_{th}$  denotes the threshold angle between these two directions.
- 3. If a chain of loops is composed of at least three loops, then it is regarded as a shear chain.

In Figure 1, there are key contacts and particles in forming a shear chain. The contact connecting two loops (red line in Figure 1) is called shear chain connection contact ("SCC" in Section 5.2), may exhibit significant features of sliding; the particles connected by shear chain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>polygons tessellated from the contact network, more details can be found in [51, 52]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>more details can be found in Liu et al. [49]



Figure 1: Schematic drawing of an incremental shear strain chain.  $\beta$  denotes the angle deviation between shear strain direction and the geometrical line connecting loop centers. Red lines are shear chain connection contacts, and their relative particles are marked in green.

<sup>92</sup> contact (green sphere in Figure 1, "SC connex" in Section 5.3), could be imagined easier to <sup>93</sup> rotate compared to other particles. In Section 5, these key micro matters will be carefully <sup>94</sup> investigated.

The shear chain is a line-like structure, and we need to obtain its orientation angle  $\theta$ . A representation of shear chains is achieved by joining the geometric barycenters of adjacent cells (dark thick line in Figure 1). For a shear chain of m adjacent mesoloops, the least square method defines the mean direction  $\theta$  as:

$$\theta = \arctan\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right)$$
(1)

<sup>99</sup> where  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  corresponds to the x and y coordinates of the geometric barycenter of loop <sup>100</sup> cell i, and  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  are the averages of the  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ , respectively. Within a sheared granular <sup>101</sup> assembly, there should be a number of shear chains. Then we choose the average absolute value <sup>102</sup> of  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $\langle |\theta| \rangle$ , to be an indicator for assessing the shear chain orientation of an assembly at <sup>103</sup> a given state [49].

At the macro scale, shear bands are one of the typical failures for granular materials under shearing. The orientation and width of shear bands are usually focused on by researchers. Shear bands and shear chains are different but correlated somehow, which will be shown in Section 4 and 6.

### <sup>108</sup> 3. Spatial distribution patterns during shear band forming

During deviatoric loading, dense granular materials usually experience a transition from a homogeneous to a heterogeneous kinematic pattern with respect to the commonly considered incremental deviatoric strain field. Besides, microscopic characterizations, such as particle rotation and contact sliding, demonstrate corresponding distribution features to the strain field. In this section, an example of biaxial drained test conducted by DEM is chosen, to show the visual features of shear band forming and the related microscopic features.

#### 115 3.1. An example of DEM biaxial test

A series of biaxial tests are performed for dense assemblies by using the open-source DEM 116 platform YADE [53]. Details of each DEM test are displayed in Appendix A. Here we select one 117 of the samples, Sample DP<sup>3</sup>, as an example to investigate the visual patterns of kinematic field. 118 Initially, 33 333 spherical particles are generated within a rectangle of aspect ratio L/W=2.5, 119 where L denotes the length and W denotes the width(the sketch of the sample is shown in 120 Figure 2); then the rectangle sample is densely packed to reach an isotropic stress state of 121 100 kPa by the combining method of particle growing and boundary compaction [40]. After 122 reaching the isotropic stress state, deviatoric loads are applied by compressing the upper and 123 lower boundaries with the strain rate of -0.01/s while keeping the lateral stress constant to 124 100 kPa. Rigid walls are used for sample boundaries. The uniform distribution is chosen with 125 the ratio of the maximum diameter to the minimum  $D_{max}/D_{min} = 1.98$  and the average size 126  $D_{50} = 8.4$  mm. Other parameters in the DEM simulations: the material density is set to 127  $\rho = 3,000 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}; k_n/D_s \text{ is set to 300 MPa}, \text{ where } D_s = 2R_1R_2/(R_1 + R_2) \text{ and } R_1, R_2 \text{ are}$ 128 the radii of particles in a given contact;  $k_t/k_n$  is set to 0.5. The information of other samples 129 are shown in Appendix A, and the only difference between all 16 samples is the sample aspect 130 ratio. 131

Figure 3 presents the curves of deviatoric stress and volumeteric strain evolutions along the biaxial drained test. Correspondingly, the incremental deviatoric and volumetric strain fields are shown in Figure 4 for five key states (A, B, C, D, E in Figure 3). The local strain is defined based on the contact loop tessellation. At the scale of a loop, incremental grain displacements are adopted to define an incremental strain tensor of the given loop. Detailed information of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We choose this sample because two bands form with different orientations at the final stage, which make convenience for analyzing the distribution features of micro characterizations



Figure 2: Schematic plot of DEM samples under the biaxial loading condition.

incremental strain definition used in this paper can be found in Liu et al. [52]. In Figure 3 and 137 Figure 4, State D denotes the stress peak during biaxial loading, where several crossing shear 138 bands firstly appear. Before State D, a strain structurization is already evident at small scale, 139 and small crossing structures of relatively high incremental strain evenly distribute within the 140 sample domain. These meso structures are observed in both experimental and numerical tests 141 [41, 54, 47], and could be denoted as "incremental shear strain chain" from our previous work 142 [49, 48]. After State D, two chronic shear bands finally form and the reflection is found at the 143 left boundary, as shown in State E of Figure 4. Generally, the incremental deviatoric strain field 144 is concerned to identify the strain localization of materials, and in Figure 4, the incremental 145 volumetric strain field is also compared at the same stage. It can be seen that the incremental 146 volumetric strain field demonstrates the same localized patterns as the incremental deviatoric 147 strain field, and both the local dilation <sup>4</sup> (high negative value) and the local contraction (high 148 positive value) concentrate within the local shear structures or shear bands. Therefore, not 149 only shear deformation is triggered within the localization area, but also significant volumetric 150 changes occur. 151

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>in soil mechanics, the compression direction denotes the positive direction of strain, so the negative value of volumetric strain demonstrates dilation, and vice versa.



Figure 3: Deviatoric stress and volumetric strain evolutions of an example of the biaxial test (Sample DP in Appendix A). For the volumetric strain, the positive value denotes the compression and the negative value denotes the dilation of the sample.

#### <sup>152</sup> 3.2. Spatial distributions of contact sliding and particle rotation

In Section 3.1, the evolution of the incremental strain field of granular sample from homo-153 geneous to heterogeneous patterns has been highlighted under biaxial loading. This evolution 154 could be compared with the microscopic failures such as contact sliding and particle rotation. 155 The sliding behavior between two connected particles could be simply identified by Coulomb 156 friction criterion in contacts in DEM simulations. When the tangential contact force  $|f_t|$  reaches 157 the limit of  $\mu f_n$  ( $\mu = \tan \phi_g$  denotes the friction coefficient and  $f_n$  is the normal contact force), 158 the two connecting particles will experience relative sliding at the tangential direction. Figure 159 5 presents the sliding contact distribution for the 5 key states shown in Section 3.1. Initially 160 sliding contacts are scarce at State A; then the sliding distribution becomes denser and prone to 161 give rise to the meso shear features (micro bands or shear chains); finally the sliding contacts 162 concentrate evidently within the shear band. Visually, the clustered sliding behaviors are 163 strongly correlated to the macro shear band at State E, however they show less significant 164 features relative to meso shear structures before the stress peak, especially for States A and 165 B. At State C and D, the sliding behaviors already exhibit some clustering features, and their 166 distribution features should be further explored by adopting the shear chain concept. 167

Besides contact sliding, the incremental rotation of particles is proved to be related to the incremental strain field, especially for the period before stress peak and when the meso shear structures are fluctuating [43]. In this study, the positive rotation represents counterclockwise

### Deviatoric strain



Figure 4: Spatial distributions of the incremental deviatoric and volumetric strain for different loading states in Figure 3. For the volumetric strain, the positive value denotes the compression and the negative value denotes the dilation.

direction and the negative rotation means the clockwise direction, and no background rotation is 171 involved. Figure 6 shows the distributions of positive and negative particle rotation increments 172 for the 5 states of Sample DP. Grey points shown in this figure denote particles with relative 173 large absolute rotation increment ( $\geq 0.0005$  rad, details of the threshold consideration are shown 174 in Appendix B) between two steps (strain increment  $d\varepsilon_1 = 0.0005$ ), which helps to distinguish 175 the typical patterns of the distributions. Before the shear bands form (State A D), it can be 176 seen that large positive and negative incremental rotation points are clustered with opposite 177 preferred inclinations, which is also observed by other publications [43, 55, 56, 57]; however at 178 State E, the patterns of both positive and negative rotation increments show accordance with 179



Figure 5: Spatial distributions of the contact sliding positions for different loading states.

the shear band area.



Positive rotation (counterclockwise)

Figure 6: Spatial distributions of positive and negative particle rotation increments before stress peak for Sample DP in Appendix A.

To better exhibit the rotation distribution features, we define the mean incremental rotation of the particles belonging to a particular meso loop  $k^{-5}$ :

$$R_k = \frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=1}^{P_k} R_i A_i^{partial} \tag{2}$$

where  $A_k$  is the area of k,  $P_k$  means the particle number forming loop k,  $R_i$  is rotation increment of particle i, and  $A_i^{partial}$  denotes the partial area of particle i included in loop k.  $R_k$  gives the information of the mean rotation increment at mesoscale and smooth the rotation increment fluctuating at the grain scale.

Figure 7 gives the distributions of the incremental rolling of loops for the 5 corresponding 187 states. Similar but clearer than the pattern shown in Figure 6, the distribution of incremental 188 rotation before State C not only corresponds to the strain field features shown in Section 3.1, but 189 also exhibits the direction preference. In what follows, we name the shear structures " $\$ " as left 190 slip structures, and the shear structures "//" as right slip structures. It is clear that left shear 191 structures contain most clockwise particle rotation while right shear structures contain most 192 counterclockwise particle rotation. As the biaxial loading processes, the local slip structures are 193 no more diffusely distributed but more and more clustered until the final shear band emerges, 194 which is clearly illustrated in Figure 7 from State C to State E. When the shear band finally 195 forms, it can be observed that the average incremental rotation direction is consistent with the 196 shear band orientation: at State E, two bands of right and left orientations contains mostly 197 clockwise (red points) and counterclockwise (blue points) rotations respectively. Moreover, 198 Figure 7 indicates that right shear bands also contain a noticeable fraction of counterclockwise 199 rotation increment and vice versa. This highlights the fact that mesoscale rotations are not 200 limited in one direction of a single band, which may be a sign that shear bands are composed 201 of a collection of smaller mesostructures with different orientations (discussion in Section 6.2). 202 Based on those observations, we can preliminarily conclude that contact sliding and particle 203 rotations are related to the meso shear structures and probably control the shear band initiation 204 from the meso scale. As we have defined the "incremental shear strain chain" (briefly "shear 205 chain") as the specific meso representative shear structures, in the following sections, we will 206

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Note that here the average rotation increment of one loop does not correspond to the rotation increment of the whole structure including void,  $R_k$  is considered for better showing the rotation features of meso slip structures with different directions.



Figure 7: Spatial distributions of the large positive and negative incremental rotations of particles within Sample DP for different loading states (Positive: counterclockwise; Negative: clockwise).

<sup>207</sup> use the shear chain concept to investigate the inmost mechanism.

### 208 4. Orientations of shear chains and shear bands

Inspired by force chain detection method [44, 45, 58, 50], the meso slips within granular materials have been defined as "incremental shear strain chains" in Liu et al. [49], which can basically describe the meso slip features within granular assemblies under shearing. Briefly, "incremental shear strain chain" is called "shear chain", denoting that meso loops with large incremental deviatoric strain are connected to form a single shear chain structure with the consideration of the shear and geometrical directions. The precise definition of shear chain is shown in Section 2, and more details can be found in Liu et al. [49].

Under deviatoric loading, the spatial diversity of shear chains are initially homogeneous and 216 random in space before the development of shear bands. In Figure 8, a large number of shear 217 chains at State B and C of the sample are presented with different colors. At States B and 218 C, the stress peak is not reached yet and the kinematic pattern is still diffuse and fluctuating 219 (Figure 4). Shear chains detected in Figure 8 denote the areas of large incremental deviatoric 220 strain triggered by local shearing, which reflects the main features of the meso slip structure. 221 The preferred orientation  $\langle |\theta| \rangle^6$  of shear chains (proposed in Section 2) is near to  $\pm 45^\circ$  to 222 the horizontal plane from the beginning of the loading, and State C seems to show a little larger 223

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>When we talk about the orientation for shear chains or shear bands, the acute angle to the plane of major compression is considered.

value of this angle than that in State B since the shear band is becoming initiated. In fact, the
orientation of shear chains corresponds to the stress ratio at the macro scale and is independent
from the loading path, boundary conditions and void ratios of the granular material, which has
been carefully investigated in our previous study [49, 48]. This is a material property ruled by
the continuum mechanics principles.



Figure 8: Shear chain distributions within the sample for States B and C.

228

Unlike mesoscopic shear chains, shear bands denote a macroscopic band-like zone of finite thickness where large shear deviatoric strains are intensely distributed. In a continuum framework, this zone is delimited by strain discontinuity surfaces with continuous strain displacements.

Based on the classical theory, the inclination of shear bands is related to the internal friction angle  $\varphi$  and the dilatancy angle  $\psi$  of the material considered. The angle of shear band to the direction of minor principal stress is usually expressed as  $\theta_{sb} = 45^{\circ} + \frac{\varphi + \psi}{4}$ . However, the boundary conditions may also have a strong influence on the shear band inclination, especially when the boundary is rigid. Then in a discrete framework with a piecewise constant strain field, characterizing the shear band domain is a challenging task which is too often achieved only by naked eyes.

In order to determine the orientation of the shear band in an objective way, the Standard 240 Deviational Ellipse method can be used. This method has been widely applied in geography 241 sciences [59], and defines an ellipse with a preferred orientation based on the local gathering 242 data in space. Lehoucq et al. [60] used the similar method to detect the cracks of rocks. In 243 this study, we firstly select loop cells with incremental deviatoric strains larger than a given 244 threshold (in this paper it is set as the average value of deviatoric strain) with the group size 245 n, i.e., the number of loop cells which are selected; then examine the distribution pattern of 246 these selected cells by observation roughly. If the observed pattern of selected cells show the 247 existence of a single shear band in a particular zone, we can identify the orientation of this 248 shear band by the following method: 249

Get positions of n selected loop cells with large incremental deviatoric strain, and compute
 the following matrix:

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} var(x) & cov(x,y) \\ cov(x,y) & var(y) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{x}_{i}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{x}_{i} \widetilde{y}_{i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{y}_{i} \widetilde{x}_{i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{y}_{i}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

where  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  are the coordinates for each cell center,  $\tilde{x}_i = x_i - \bar{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}_i = y_i - \bar{y}$ . 253 2. Compute the rotation angle of the ellipse  $\gamma$ 

$$\tan\gamma = \frac{A+B}{C} \tag{4}$$

<sup>254</sup> where

$$A = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{x}_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{y}_{i}^{2}\right)$$
(5)

255

$$B = \sqrt{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{x}_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{y}_{i}^{2})^{2} + 4(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{x}_{i} \widetilde{y}_{i})^{2}}$$
(6)

256

$$C = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{x}_i \widetilde{y}_i \tag{7}$$

<sup>257</sup> 3. The equation of the standard deviational ellipse is then

$$(\frac{x}{r_x})^2 + (\frac{y}{r_y})^2 = s$$
(8)

258 where

$$r_{x} = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\tilde{x}_{i} \cos\gamma - \tilde{y}_{i} \sin\gamma)^{2}}{n}} r_{y} = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\tilde{x}_{i} \sin\gamma + \tilde{y}_{i} \cos\gamma)^{2}}{n}}$$
(9)

and s > 0 denotes the size of the ellipse <sup>7</sup>.

In the above equations  $\gamma$  relates to the shear band direction when *n* selected cells belong to the shear band domain. The absolute value of shear band angle  $\theta_{sb}$  is considered here: when  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\theta_{sb} = 90^{\circ} - \gamma$ ; when  $\gamma < 0$ ,  $\theta_{sb} = 90^{\circ} + \gamma$ . An example of the application of the Standard Deviational Ellipse can be found in the left side of Figure 9. For samples with multiple shear bands, this method can be used for different segments of selected zones, which is shown in Figure 10.



Figure 9: Example of single shear band (example of aspect ratio L/W = 1.5 in Appendix A) with the orientation determination based on the Standard Directional Ellipse method.

In Figure 9, the sample DF in Appendix A with a different aspect ratio L/W = 1.5 was selected to give an example of shear band orientation detection on the left side <sup>8</sup>. The evolutions

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>s$  (s > 0) relates to the domain and density of data, and in this paper the value of s is not so important.

 $<sup>^{8}\</sup>mathrm{It}$  should be noted that the macro strain increment does not influence the evolution of shear chain and shear band orientations

of the average direction of shear chains  $\langle |\theta| \rangle$  (blue points) and the shear band  $\theta_{sb}$  (red points) 268 are compared along the shearing process in the right side. Since the final shear band seems 269 to connect the diagonal corners of the sample, the diagonal angle  $\theta_{ar}$  evolution is also shown 270 in Figure 9. It can be seen that  $\theta_{sb}$  and  $\theta_{ar}$  is close for this condition. Before the shear band 271 forms, the shear chain direction  $\langle |\theta| \rangle$  increases slightly from the beginning until the stress 272 peak. After this stage, the shear chains are less easy to identify but the shear band orientation 273 can be captured. The shear band orientation  $\theta_{sb}$  is different from the initial mesoscopic shear 274 chain direction  $\langle |\theta| \rangle$  dictated by continuum mechanics principles. 275

If we consider biaxial tests of different aspect ratios (see details in Appendix A), the shear 276 band orientations seem not unique although the particle size distribution, the density and 277 the loading path are almost the same. For example, the granular assembly of aspect ratio 278 L/W = 2.5 (Sample DP) gives rise to two shear bands of different orientations, as shown in 279 Figure 10. The upper shear band and the lower shear band show reflecting features on the left 280 boundary, while the orientations of them exhibit some differences during whole loading process 281 (Figure 10): on the left of the figure, the crossing position of the two bands is not at the 282 midpoint of the boundary; on the right of the figure,  $\theta_{sb-up}$  and  $\theta_{sb-down}$  evolve with different 283 angles to the horizontal plane. Comparing to Figure 9, Figure 10 and the shear band patterns 284 of all the samples in Appendix A, it should be concluded that the shear band orientation is 285 not unique for a sample with given initial density and particle size distribution. The shear 286 band orientation is then influenced by the sample aspect ratio, as also observed for Sample DI, 287 DK and DL in Appendix A (shear band orientation evolution curves are not shown here). It 288 turns out from the above findings that the shear band orientation is not a material property as 289 such, although intrinsic properties still have some impacts [61]. Even for a single shear band 290 of a given sample, the shear band orientation is not uniform and locally some kinks may occur 291 [62]. We can see that in Figure 9 and Figure 10, the boundary of shear bands is not smooth 292 but involves small crossing structures, and during softening stage these crossing structures are 293 evolving. Then the macroscopic shear band might be a mixture of shearing behaviors at a 294 lower scale, and the proposed shear chains could be regarded as a proper material body with 295 an intrinsic orientation independent of the sample aspect ratios [49]. 296



Figure 10: Granular sample with multiple shear bands of shear banding and evolutions of shear band and shear chain orientations (L/W = 2.5).

#### <sup>297</sup> 5. Microscopic fabric, sliding and rotation in shear chain

#### <sup>298</sup> 5.1. Fabric anisotropy within shear chains

Within the granular assembly, particles are connected by contact with forces, forming a fabric network on the whole. The fabric tensor  $\Phi$ , defined from the normal directions to the contact planes between particles, has been widely used to describe the contact orientation density distribution in granular materials [27, 63, 64]:

$$\Phi_{ij} = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} n_i n_j \tag{10}$$

where  $N_c$  is the total number of contacts within the granular system, and  $\Phi_{ii} = 1$ .

As shown in Figure 1, shear chains are defined based on loop tessellation where a part of contact network is involved. Then each contact loop is eligible to be described by its own fabric tensor, similarly to Eq. 10:

$$\Phi_{ij}^{l} = \frac{1}{N_c^{l}} \sum_{c=1}^{N_c} n_i n_j \tag{11}$$

where  $N_c^l$  is the total number of contacts within a given loop. Under 2D conditions, the fabric anisotropy of each loop  $a^l$  is related to the deviations between the major and minor principal values of the fabric tensor  $\Phi_{ij}^l$ , i.e.,  $a^l = \Phi_1^l - \Phi_2^l$ , where  $\Phi_1^l = 0.5(\Phi_{11}^l + \Phi_{22}^l) + ((0.5(\Phi_{11}^l - \Phi_{22}^l)))$   $\Phi_{22}^{l})^{2} + (\Phi_{12}^{l})^{2})^{0.5}$  and  $\Phi_{2}^{l} = 0.5(\Phi_{11}^{l} + \Phi_{22}^{l}) - ((0.5(\Phi_{11}^{l} - \Phi_{22}^{l}))^{2} + (\Phi_{12}^{l})^{2})^{0.5}$ . In fact  $a^{l}$  describes the elongation of a given loop, the higher the value of  $a^{l}$ , the more elongated the shape of the loop [65].

In Figure 11, the evolutions of average fabric anisotropy for loops in shear chains  $A_{sc}$  and 313 overall system  $A_{all}$ <sup>9</sup> are compared during biaxial loading (before shear banding). The 5 samples 314 presented in Appendix A are selected for this analysis. It can be found that the average fabric 315 anisotropy within shear chains shows mostly the unchanged trend along the deviatoric loading, 316 while the average fabric anisotropy for the 5 samples overall stay increasing but lower than the 317 shear chain anisotropy. The shear chain could be regarded as particular elongated zone with 318 high average anisotropy in the granular assembly, irrespective to stress states and boundary 319 conditions. In other words, the contacts in shear chain loops organized anisotropically in order 320 to constitute meso shear structures. 321



Figure 11: Average anisotropy comparisons for shear chain loops and overall system of 5 samples. Scatters are the anisotropy values for shear chains  $A_{sc}$ , and lines correspond to the anisotropy values of the overall system  $A_{all}$ . It should also be noted that nearly no difference can be found in  $A_{all}$  evolutions for the 5 samples.

#### 322 5.2. Contact sliding statistics

At the macroscopic scale, failure occurs along a given loading path when a limit state is reached [46]. This means that for a particular choice of the loading parameters, a transition

 $<sup>\</sup>overline{{}^{9}A_{sc}} = \sum a^{l}/N_{SCL}$  and  $A_{all} = \sum a^{l}/N_{ALL}$ , where  $N_{SCL}$  is the number of loops in all shear chains and  $N_{ALL}$  is the number of loops within the whole granular assembly

from a quasi-static to a dynamic regime can occur [66]. At the microscale, contact sliding corresponds to the microscopic failures between two grains with relative tangent displacement. Being able to correlate microscopic and macroscopic failures is the corner stone to explain the origin of strain localization. Liu et al. [40] pointed out that contact sliding can be correlated with force chain bending, and when strain localization occurs, sliding contacts only exist within the shear band.

Since the shear chain can represent the slip lines, it is tempting to check whether the correlation exists between the contact sliding and shear chain structures. Based on the shear chain definition, contacts can be grouped as follows:

- connection contacts (marked red in Figure 1) connecting two adjacent loops in shear
   chains, named "SCC"
- contacts in shear chains but not belonging to connection contacts (dashed lines connecting
   particles in Figure 1), named "SC"
- <sup>338</sup> ► contacts not in shear chains, named "nonSC"

It should be noted that these 3 groups of contacts are mutually exclusive.

Evolutions of the average sliding index  $I_p$   $(I_p = \langle |f_t|/(\mu f_n) \rangle)$  for these 3 groups are 340 shown in Figure 12, and 3 samples of different aspect ratios in Appendix A are considered. 341 Connection contacts "SCC" hold the highest magnitude of sliding index  $I_p$ , followed by the shear 342 chain contact group "SC" and non-shear chain contact group "nonSC" respectively. Therefore 343 connection contacts are like *bridges* in shear chains, their higher probability of sliding indicating 344 the important role of sliding contacts in mesoscopic shear structure forming. Although sliding 345 contacts have a preferred direction close to the lateral confining direction [49, 67], their influence 346 on the incremental strain field involves geometric rearrangements captured at the mesoscale by 347 shear chains. This observation strengthens the interpretation of shear chains as slip lines in 348 continuum materials [48]. 349

#### 350 5.3. Particle rotation within shear chains

In Section 3.2, the incremental particle rotation distribution has been compared with the incremental deviatoric strain field. It has been proved that clockwise rotation and counterclockwise rotation will concentrate along different (or opposite) orientations. Statistically, Figure 13



Figure 12: Average sliding index evolutions for connection contacts "SCC", shear strain contacts "SC" and non shear chain contacts "nonSC": (a) sample of aspect ratio L/W = 1.3;(b) sample of aspect ratio L/W = 2.0;(c) sample of aspect ratio L/W = 2.5.

<sup>10</sup> shows the average rotation evolutions of particles within left shear chain (oriented like " $\backslash$ "),

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$ The green fluctuation points are due to some free particles of large rotations within the whole sample

right shear chain (oriented like "//") and the overall system respectively for the sample of 355 aspect ratio L/W = 1.5 (Sample DF in Appendix A). Particles in left shear chains almost own 356 the negative value of rotation (clockwise) while particles in right shear chains have the mean 357 rotation of positive value (counterclockwise), which corresponds to the observations in Section 358 3.2 and also reported in Wang et al. [43]. For the overall system, the average rotation is nearly 359 zero due to the offset of positive and negative rotations in general. The defined shear chain 360 is proved to be able to capture the rotation features of meso shear behaviors. This observa-361 tion highlights the analogy between shear chains and slip lines where the slip motion generates 362 rotation along the slip surface. 363



Figure 13: Average rotations for right shear chains "//", left shear chains "\\" and all shear chains. "SC" means "shear strain chain" for simplification.

Like different groups of contacts analysed in Section 5.2, particles can also be divided to 364 different groups to investigate the particular rotation features. As shown Figure 1, all the 365 particles involved in shear chains could be the "SC" particles; the particles colored in green 366 which are in the connection positions in shear chains, they can be labeled as "SC connex" 367 particles; while particles in shear chains but excluded by "SC connex" could be the "SC non-368 connex" particles which are not colored in the figure. For left shear chains and right shear 369 chains, we plot the evolutions of average incremental rotation for "SC connex" contacts, "SC 370 non-connex" contacts and "SC" contacts in Figure 14 for sample DF, respectively. Although 371 the magnitudes of the 3 groups do not diverge significantly, the absolute values of incremental 372 rotations are larger at shear chain connection positions. In other words, the grains undergoing 373 different incremental rotation direction (clockwise and counterclockwise) could also play an 374 important role in forming the meso shear structures, i.e., shear chains. 375



Figure 14: Average incremental rotation for particles in connection contacts (SC connex), not in connection contacts (SC non-connex) and in shear chains (SC): (a) right shear chains; (b) left shear chains.

In Figure 15, we select several shear chains near the initial state of biaxial loading, and par-376 ticles of large absolute incremental rotation are highlighted together. The threshold 0.0005 rad 377 (threshold details in Appendix B) is used to highlight large incremental rotations, and different 378 colors denote the sense of rotation. Shear chains (a) and (e) are right shear chains, where pos-379 itive incremental rotations (counterclockwise) frequently appear; on the contrary, shear chains 380 (b)-(d) correspond to left shear chains where negative rotations (clockwise) mainly occupy the 381 center in connection positions. Particles with large incremental rotations (both positive and 382 negative) can be found for a particular shear chain, although the proportions and positions 383 diverge. That explains why in Figure 14, the difference between the 3 groups is not very large. 384 The shear chain (f) in Figure 15 is left chain with blue particles (clockwise rotation), moreover, 385 several particles excluded by the shear chain (f) are also shown with counterclockwise rotation 386

(orange color). The particles of orange color are connected along the opposite direction of 387 shear chain (f) with quasi-linear feature, then the meso loops related to these particles could 388 also form a shear chain but not detected in our current algorithm [49] since chain branches are 389 not considered <sup>11</sup>. Since the crossing slip structures are obvious in the incremental deviatoric 390 strain field (Figure 4), a given left shear chain is prone to be crossed by one or more right shear 391 chains. Then the rotation near the crossing place might be mixed with both large positive and 392 negative values, which causes the co-existence of counterclockwise and clockwise rotations for 393 one shear chain. 394



Figure 15: Examples of particles with large rotation (absolute value, orange denotes counterclockwise direction and blue denotes clockwise direction) and their spacial relations to the shear chains.

#### <sup>395</sup> 6. Discussion: links between multiscale shear behaviors

#### 396 6.1. Shear chains and shear bands at different scales

Shear chains at meso scale can represent the meso slips during deviatoric loading. They relate to the concept of slip lines in plasticity (discontinuities in the displacement field), which is different from shear band (discontinuities in the strain field) [49, 48].

In Section 4, the orientation features are compared for shear chains and shear bands. Furthermore, the width and length are also important factors for comparison. In Figure 16, the zooming images of the shear strain field for shear chain area and shear band area are given for Sample DF. It can be observed that thin and quasi-linear shear chains are composed of loops with large incremental deviatoric strain, resulting in the width around a few times the particle diameter. While for the width of shear bands, it should be dozens of particle diameters

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>In Liu et al. [49], we developed the method for searching shear chains. Similar to the definition of force chains [44], the current algorithm for capturing shear chains is not perfect because only one branch can be extracted when the crossing point is met. Despite all this, significant features of shear chains are already well described by using the current method [49]. In our future work, the shear chain detection method will be improved from a topological perspective.

according to some publications [40, 68]. Besides, the direction of the incremental displacement of the particles is shown with unit arrows in Figure 16. It can be noted that the direction of the displacement field is slightly tilted when crossing the shear chain. As for the shear band, the incremental deviatoric strain field exhibits large fluctuations, and vortices exist as highlighted by the incremental displacement directions in Figure 16(b). These observations are consistent with results reported in [19, 69], indicating that shear chain and shear band are involved with different micro-structural features.



Figure 16: Zoomed images of incremental strain fields compared to the direction of the displacement field: (a) domain of a meso shear structure; (b) part domain of shear band.

According to the investigations till now, Table 1 reports the differences between these two structures. The length and width of shear chains and shear bands are described by the average diameter  $D_{50}$  of the granular samples. The shear chain length is evolving during the biaxial shearing, with a slight increasing trend.

Content	Shear band	Shear strain chain
Width	8-40 $D_{50}$	1-3 $D_{50}$
Length	Size of specimen	Several $D_{50}$ , evolving
Direction	Affected by slip	Unique, maybe related
	direction and boundary	to plastic theory
Displacement field	Evident vortex	Small rotation

Table 1: Comparisons of the shear band and the shear strain chain.

Although shear band and shear strain chain are different in terms of scales, they can be correlated under some reasonable assumptions. Shi and Horii [70] firstly proposed a simple microslip model to describe the origin of shear banding in sand deformations by assuming

internal defects within the specimen. In recent years, meso Mohr-Coulomb theory has been 420 adopted in describing the mesoscopic shear behaviors of amorphous materials [54, 71]. Houdoux 421 et al. [47] used two incremental scales (fast and slow temporal correlations) to explain the 422 evolutions of shear banding and micro-bands. Darve et al. [48] demonstrated that meso slip 423 lines and shear bands are distinct localized objects and discussed why and how the network of 424 meso-slip lines is bifurcating into a set of few macro-shear bands. The literature agrees that the 425 mesoscopic shear structure (micro-band or shear chain) and the ultimate chronic shear band 426 exhibit different geometrical and mechanical features, and it is often proposed that the meso 427 shear structures may aggregate in a dense distribution within the shear band to create this 428 larger structure. Therefore, we believe that shear band and shear chain should be strongly 429 correlated. Combining the micro failure distribution features analysed in Section 5, we can 430 assume the shear chain concept as the bridge connecting shear behaviors of multiscales. 431

#### 432 6.2. Bridging role of shear chains

In Section 3, the microscopic failures are shown to concentrate within the shear band; 433 and in Section 5, the microscopic failures are proved to be correlated to the meso shear chain 434 formation. Therefore we can conjecture that meso shear chains triggered by sliding and rotation 435 are concentrated within shear bands. According to the present analysis and some literature 436 review (such as Houdoux et al. [47]), the possible relations between shear chains and shear 437 bands are drawn in Figure 17. Figure 17(a) corresponds to a regular arrangement of shear 438 chains and Figure 17(b) corresponds to a collection of shear chains of symmetric orientations 439 (with respect to the axial direction) in shear bands. 440

Figure 18 shows an example of the spatial distribution of large counterclockwise and clock-441 wise incremental rotations for a shear band within a granular sample (Sample DF in Appendix 442 A). Within the shear band, particles with large rotation increments of different directions are not 443 arranged in order by two preferred orientations in Figure 18. At the stages before shear band-444 ing, the incremental rotation fields are corresponding to shear chains of opposite orientations, 445 as shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7; examples in Figure 15 further demonstrate the correlation 446 between shear chains and particle rotations. Therefore, a stochastic-structured pattern of shear 447 chains in shear bands could be proposed from the perspective of kinematic rotation features, 448 which demonstrates that Figure 17(b) could approach the real situation. This hypothesis is 449 also supported by the fact that inside the shear band, the normal displacement field shows large 450



Figure 17: Schematic representation of the relationship between shear band and shear chains. Shear chains are denoted by dark straight short lines and the shear band zone is between the two yellow lines. Case (b) is conjectured to approach the actual situation compared to Case (a).

variations even vortices. As shown in Figure 16(b) and reported in literature [69], shear chains
(or microbands) oriented in only one preferred direction could not induce large fluctuations like
vortices. Houdoux et al. [47] also reported the same observation according to the spatial and
temporal correlation analysis.



Figure 18: Particles of large incremental rotations (the orange denotes counterclockwise direction and the blue denotes clockwise direction) for a state when the shear band forms.

As shear banding has been a concerned issue in geomechanics for a long time, microscopic or mesoscopic representations inside the shear band have been studied from various perspectives.

For example, it has been shown that force chains rotate and bend inside shear band [52], 457 sliding contacts and rotations accumulate inside the shear band [40, 62], and meso loops of 458 large size appear inside shear band [11]. We define the shear chains as meso slips to represent 459 the strain localization phenomena before shear band occurs [49], and manage to correlate 460 this meso shear structure to shear behaviors at macro and micro levels. According to the 461 analysis in this paper, the difference between shear band and shear chain has been clarified 462 and the roles of microscopic shear behaviors on shear chains are preliminarily identified. Since 463 microscopic failures commonly occur inside the shear band and they may trigger the shear chain 464 formation, we assume that shear chains should be randomly distributed within the shear band, 465 as illustrated in Figure 19. Microscopic failures such as sliding and particle rotation play key 466 roles in forming shear chain structure (on the right of Figure 19), since the connection positions 467 are commonly controlled by sliding contacts and particles of large rotation. The bridge from 468 micro to macro shear behaviors could therefore be related to the meso shear chains. Shear 469 chains could provide a missing link between micro to macro shear behaviors. 470



Figure 19: The bridge role of meso shear strain chains from microscopic to macroscopic shear failures.

This is our preliminary study and assumption of the bridge role of shear chains in linking macro and micro behaviors, and future work is necessary in terms of theory development and verification in 3D real cases, for example. Besides, we only considers the pure rotation of particles in this paper, the adaption of shear chains on the system with background rotation, as discussed in Singh et al. [72], should be further investigated.

#### 476 7. Conclusion and outlook

This paper deals with the shear behaviors at micro, meso and macro scales in granular 477 materials and relates them thanks to the concept of shear strain chain defined in our previous 478 studies [49, 48]. A series of DEM biaxial tests are considered, providing rich detailed information 479 on the multiscale shear features. Shear chains are proved to be influenced by the concentration 480 of contact sliding and particle rotation, and they generally keep a steady anisotropy. Shear 481 bands are failure zones of a higher scale, and are shown to be very sensitive to sample aspect 482 ratios. Results presented in this paper support the idea that shear bands forms as a collection 483 of shear chains in a given domain driven by boundary effects. Meticulously, we conclude as 484 follows: 485

During the biaxial loading process of densely packed granular materials, both the incre mental deviatoric and volumetric strain fields experience the transition from meso-scale
 diffuse localization to the final shear band. The spatial distributions of microscopic con tact sliding and particle rotation also confirm this trend.

- The method based on the Standard Deviation Ellipse is used to measure the shear band
   orientation. The orientations of shear chains and shear band are different, as shear chains
   are mesoscopic features governed only by material properties while shear band orientation
   are even more influenced by the aspect ratios of the rigid boundary.
- In shear chains, average contact fabric anisotropy is kept almost steady and higher than
   the anisotropy of the overall system. Contact sliding mostly occurs in the connection
   contacts of shear chains. Particles of large rotation increment are frequent inside shear
   chains, and these particles of opposite rotating directions are associated with shear chains
   of opposite orientations, behaving as the main kinematic mechanism within shear chains.
- 499
  4. Shear chains are different from the macro shear band in terms of thickness, orientation,
  theoretical background and relations to displacement field. As contact sliding and particle
  rotation are at the connection positions in shear chains and they also have a strong spatial
  correlation to the shear bands, we conjecture that shear chains may be included inside the
  developing shear band with the disordered distribution and shear chains appear therefore
  as a bridge between micro and macro shear behaviors.

This paper presents the preliminary study on the bridging role of shear chains, which have 505 been defined as the shear structures at the meso scale [49]. Although qualitative findings are 506 obtained for 2D granular systems under biaxial loading, we still need to extend our conclusions 507 to more general conditions, for example, the 3D case and the complex loading path. The 508 existence of shear chains for critical conditions, e.g., the minimal sample size and the minimal 509 strain increment, should be clarified. The projection method [73] can be considered in the 510 future work, to better discern the shear band orientation differences. In addition, we need to 511 develop the theory for shear chains in granular materials, and reveal the mysterious origin of 512 shear banding by combining other characterizations such as the vortex and force chain analysis. 513 There are some cases where shear bands are prevented but shear chains emerges, e.g., periodic 514 boundary and loose sample, how the meso shear chains will transfer during this failure process 515 should also be the interesting future work. 516

#### 517 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. LY22E090002 and National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.51909194 and No.51808193. The authors express their sincere thanks to the International Research Network GeoMech (IRN CNRS) for promoting positive and convivial interations among the authors of the present paper. The helpful suggestions from editors and reviewers on the quality improvement of our paper are really appreciated.

#### <sup>524</sup> Appendix A. Biaxial tests of different boundary conditions

For this study, a set of quasi-2D<sup>12</sup> biaxial drained tests of samples with different aspect 525 ratios (length vs. width) are conducted. Firstly, all specimens were randomly generated within 526 large rectangular domains of prescribed aspect ratios, before being compacted by moving the 527 bounding boundaries to a prescribed isotropic confinement. As shown in Figure 2, the aspect 528 ratio is represented as L/W, where L denotes the length and W denotes the width. Rigid walls 529 were set as boundaries. Although the specimen sizes are different, the particle size distribution 530 is kept almost the same. The unique uniform distribution is chosen for each specimen, and the 531 ratio of the maximum diameter to the minimum  $D_{max}/D_{min}$  is nearly 1.98 with the average 532

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ by considering a single layer of 3D particles

size  $D_{50} = 8.4$  mm. For other parameters in the DEM simulations, the material density is 533 set to  $\rho = 3,000 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}, k_n/D_s$  is set to 300 MPa, where  $D_s = 2R_1R_2/(R_1 + R_2)$  and  $R_1$ , 534  $R_2$  are the radii of particles in a given contact, and  $k_t/k_n$  is set to 0.5. All the specimens are 535 compacted to a desired isotropic stress state with confining pressure of  $\sigma_0 = 100$  kPa. During 536 compression process, the contact friction angle between particles for each sample is set as  $2^{\circ}$ 537 to reach a relative dense state. Gravity is not considered, and the boundary conditions are set 538 as rigid frictionless walls. After this isotropic compression, the friction angle  $\phi$  is set to 35° for 539 the biaxial deviatoric loading. During the biaxial loading, the inertial number  $I = D_{50} \dot{\gamma} \sqrt{\rho/p_0}$ 540 <sup>13</sup> is lower than  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  which is small enough to meet quasi-static requirement. 541

The sample parameters for the drained biaxial tests are summarized in Table A.1. For those 16 samples, only the aspect ratio L/W and the particle number are different at the initial compacted states. The slight changes in void ratio  $V_r$  can be neglected. The sample chosen in Section 2 is Sample DP. When conducting the drained biaxial loading, a compression is imposed in the vertical direction with a constant strain rate of  $\dot{\varepsilon}_1 = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and the lateral pressure is maintained constant to  $\sigma_2 = 100 \text{ kPa}$ .

Sample	L/W	$N_p$	$D_{max}/D_{min}$	$D_{50}$	Initial void ratio $V_r$
DA	1.0	13333	1.98	8.4 mm	0.0162
DB	1.1	14667	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0161
DC	1.2	16000	1.98	$8.4 \mathrm{mm}$	0.0161
DD	1.3	17333	1.98	$8.4 \mathrm{mm}$	0.0161
DE	1.4	18667	1.98	$8.4 \mathrm{mm}$	0.0161
DF	1.5	20000	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0161
DG	1.6	21333	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0161
DH	1.7	22667	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0160
DI	1.8	24000	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0161
DJ	1.9	25333	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0160
DK	2.0	26667	1.98	$8.4 \mathrm{mm}$	0.0160
DL	2.1	28000	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0160
DM	2.2	29333	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0160
DN	2.3	30667	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0160
DO	2.4	32000	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0159
DP	2.5	33333	1.98	8.4  mm	0.0159

Table A.1: Sample parameters of drained DEM tests.

Under biaxial loading, the overall stress-strain responses of the drained biaxial tests of different L/W are shown in Figure A.1. It can be observed that nearly no differenct can be found for the deviatoric stress evolution curves before the stress peak state (the onset of

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>D_{50}$  denotes the average diameter; $\dot{\gamma}$  is the shearing rate;  $p_0$  means the average stress.

<sup>551</sup> softening) of 16 samples, and the large divergences after the stress peak could be attributed to <sup>552</sup> the bifurcation development.



Figure A.1: Evolutions of deviatoric stress q (a) and volumetric strain  $\varepsilon_v$  (b) during drained biaxial tests with axial strain rate  $\dot{\varepsilon}_1 = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and lateral confinement  $\sigma_2 = 100 \text{ kPa}$ . Different curves correspond to different aspect ratios L/W. We ignore the labels of the samples since all the curves share the same period before the stress peak.

For all the drained biaxial tests of dense samples, chronic shear band appears from the point near stress peak to the final state. The patterns of shear bands are diverse, as shown in Figure A.2. Under some conditions, a single shear band is identified (aspect ratio L/W=1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 2.0 and 2.1); while multiple shear bands with reflections can be found for other cases. It is clear that the shear band event does not hold unique features when the aspect ratio is changed.



Figure A.2: Shear band patterns for specimens of different aspect ratios: (a)L/W = 1.0; (b)L/W = 1.1; (c)L/W = 1.2; (d)L/W = 1.3; (e)L/W = 1.4; (f)L/W = 1.5; (g)L/W = 1.6; (h)L/W = 1.7; (i)L/W = 1.8; (j)L/W = 1.9; (k)L/W = 2.0; (l)L/W = 2.1; (m)L/W = 2.2; (n)L/W = 2.3; (o)L/W = 2.4; (p)L/W = 2.5.

#### <sup>558</sup> Appendix B. Threshold of large rotation increments in sheared granular assembly

In this paper, a number of quantities for meso or micro structures are calculated by the 559 incremental form. All the increments are compared by two successive states with the macro 560 strain increment of 0.0005, as well as the incremental rotation of particles. When defining 561 particles of large rotation increment (absolute value) in this paper, a threshold 0.0005 rad is 562 used. The chosen value results in clear images of featured patterns of rotation increment field. 563 Figure B.1 gives an example of rotation increment distributions by using different thresholds 564 for Sample DP in Appendix A at State B. When the threshold is too small (0.0001 rad) or too 565 large (0.01 rad), the featured pattern of rotation increment field can not be well captured. We 566 choose the value of 0.0005 rad to measure the large rotation increment in this paper, since the 567 field pattern is clear and a large number of particles are included. It should be noted that the 568 threshold 0.0005 rad is applicable for simulations of this study, when considering other cases a 569 new threshold should be given with the respect to the strain increment between two successive 570 states. 571



Figure B.1: Distribution maps of particles with large rotation increments. Different thresholds are set as: threshold 0.0001 rad for (a) positive and (e) negative rotation increment; threshold 0.0005 rad for (b) positive and (f) negative rotation increment; threshold 0.001 rad for (c) positive and (g) negative rotation increment; threshold 0.005 rad for (d) positive and (h) negative rotation increment.

### 572 **References**

- <sup>573</sup> [1] S. Taylor, E. Brodsky, Reversible compaction in sheared granular flows and its significance
- <sup>574</sup> for nonlocal rheology, Geophysical Research Letters 47 (2020) e2020GL087137.
- 575 [2] Y. Jiang, G. Wang, T. Kamai, M. J. McSaveney, Effect of particle size and shear speed

- on frictional instability in sheared granular materials during large shear displacement,
   Engineering Geology 210 (2016) 93–102.
- <sup>578</sup> [3] A. Schofield, P. Wroth, Critical state soil mechanics, McGraw-hill, 1968.
- <sup>579</sup> [4] Z. Yang, Y. Wu, Critical state for anisotropic granular materials: a discrete element <sup>580</sup> perspective, International Journal of Geomechanics 17 (2017) 04016054.
- [5] W. Zhou, J. Liu, G. Ma, X. Chang, Three-dimensional dem investigation of critical state
   and dilatancy behaviors of granular materials, Acta Geotechnica 12 (2017) 527–540.
- [6] X. S. Li, Y. F. Dafalias, Dilatancy for cohesionless soils, Geotechnique 50 (2000) 449–460.
- [7] R. Wan, P. Guo, A simple constitutive model for granular soils: modified stress-dilatancy
   approach, Computers and Geotechnics 22 (1998) 109–133.
- [8] Y. Xiao, M. Meng, Q. Chen, B. Nan, Friction and dilatancy angles of granular soils
   incorporating effects of shearing modes, International Journal of Geomechanics 18 (2018)
   06018027.
- [9] P. V. Lade, Instability, shear banding, and failure in granular materials, International
   Journal of Solids and Structures 39 (2002) 3337–3357.
- [10] F. Nicot, F. Darve, Diffuse and localized failure modes: two competing mechanisms,
   International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 35 (2011)
   586–601.
- <sup>594</sup> [11] H. Zhu, H. N. Nguyen, F. Nicot, F. Darve, On a common critical state in localized and <sup>595</sup> diffuse failure modes, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 95 (2016) 112–131.
- <sup>596</sup> [12] F. Radjai, J.-N. Roux, A. Daouadji, Modeling granular materials: century-long research
   <sup>597</sup> across scales, Journal of Engineering Mechanics 143 (2017) 04017002.
- <sup>598</sup> [13] J. R. Rice, Localization of plastic deformation, Technical Report, Brown Univ., Providence,
   <sup>599</sup> RI (USA). Div. of Engineering, 1976.
- [14] H. B. Mühlhaus, I. Vardoulakis, The thickness of shear bands in granular materials,
   Géotechnique 37 (1987) 271–283.

35

- [15] D. Houdoux, A. Amon, D. Marsan, J. Weiss, J. Crassous, Micro-slips inside a granular
   shear band as nano-earthquakes, arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.02867 (2020).
- [16] H. Zheng, D. Wang, X. Tong, L. Li, R. P. Behringer, Granular scale responses in the shear
  band region, Granular Matter 21 (2019) 1–6.
- [17] G. Ma, R. A. Regueiro, W. Zhou, J. Liu, Spatiotemporal analysis of strain localization in
   dense granular materials, Acta Geotechnica 14 (2019) 973–990.
- [18] Y. Cao, J. Li, B. Kou, C. Xia, Z. Li, R. Chen, H. Xie, T. Xiao, W. Kob, L. Hong,
  et al., Structural and topological nature of plasticity in sheared granular materials, Nature
  communications 9 (2018) 1–7.
- [19] A. Tordesillas, S. Pucilowski, Q. Lin, J. F. Peters, R. P. Behringer, Granular vortices:
  Identification, characterization and conditions for the localization of deformation, Journal
  of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 90 (2016) 215 241.
- [20] M. Oda, K. Iwashita, Study on couple stress and shear band development in granular media
   based on numerical simulation analyses, International journal of engineering science 38
   (2000) 1713–1740.
- [21] W. H. Imseeh, A. M. Druckrey, K. A. Alshibli, 3d experimental quantification of fab ric and fabric evolution of sheared granular materials using synchrotron micro-computed
   tomography, Granular Matter 20 (2018) 24.
- <sup>620</sup> [22] Q. Sun, J. Zheng, Two-dimensional and three-dimensional inherent fabric in cross<sup>621</sup> anisotropic granular soils, Computers and Geotechnics 116 (2019) 103197.
- [23] Z. Hu, Y. Zhang, Z. Yang, Suffusion-induced deformation and microstructural change of
  granular soils: a coupled cfd-dem study, Acta Geotechnica 14 (2019) 795–814.
- <sup>624</sup> [24] C. O'Sullivan, L. Cui, Micromechanics of granular material response during load reversals:
  <sup>625</sup> combined dem and experimental study, Powder Technology 193 (2009) 289–302.
- [25] R. J. Bathurst, L. Rothenburg, Observations on stress-force-fabric relationships in idealized
  granular materials, Mechanics of materials 9 (1990) 65–80.
- [26] N. P. Kruyt, L. Rothenburg, Micromechanical Definition of the Strain Tensor for Granular
   Materials, Journal of Applied Mechanics 63 (1996) 706–711.

- [27] X. Li, H.-S. Yu, On the stress-force-fabric relationship for granular materials, International
  Journal of Solids and Structures 50 (2013) 1285–1302.
- [28] F. Nicot, F. Darve, RNVO Group: Natural Hazards and Vulnerability of Structures, A
   multi-scale approach to granular materials, Mechanics of Materials 37 (2005) 980 1006.
- [29] H. Xiong, F. Nicot, Z. Y. Yin, A three-dimensional micromechanically based model,
  International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 41 (2017)
  1669–1686.
- [30] X. S. Shi, J. Zhao, Y. Gao, A homogenization-based state-dependent model for gap-graded
   granular materials with fine-dominated structure, International Journal for Numerical and
   Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 45 (2021) 1007–1028.
- [31] G. Ma, Y. Chen, F. Yao, W. Zhou, Q. Wang, Evolution of particle size and shape towards
  a steady state: Insights from fdem simulations of crushable granular materials, Computers
  and Geotechnics 112 (2019) 147–158.
- [32] J. Yang, X. Luo, The critical state friction angle of granular materials: does it depend on
  grading?, Acta Geotechnica 13 (2018) 535–547.
- [33] H. Xiong, H. Wu, X. Bao, J. Fei, Investigating effect of particle shape on suffusion by
  cfd-dem modeling, Construction and Building Materials 289 (2021) 123043.
- <sup>647</sup> [34] J. Yang, X. Luo, Exploring the relationship between critical state and particle shape for
  <sup>648</sup> granular materials, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 84 (2015) 196–213.
- [35] S. Zhao, T. M. Evans, X. Zhou, Shear-induced anisotropy of granular materials with rolling
  resistance and particle shape effects, International Journal of Solids and Structures 150
  (2018) 268–281.
- [36] C. Shen, S. Liu, L. Wang, Y. Wang, Micromechanical modeling of particle breakage of
  granular materials in the framework of thermomechanics, Acta Geotechnica 14 (2019)
  939–954.
- [37] Y. Zhang, G. Buscarnera, Breakage mechanics for granular materials in surface-reactive
   environments, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 112 (2018) 89–108.

- [38] W. Zhou, D. Wang, G. Ma, X. Cao, C. Hu, W. Wu, Discrete element modeling of particle
  breakage considering different fragment replacement modes, Powder Technology 360 (2020)
  312–323.
- [39] X. Shi, K. Liu, J. Yin, Effect of initial density, particle shape, and confining stress on the
   critical state behavior of weathered gap-graded granular soils, Journal of Geotechnical and
   Geoenvironmental Engineering 147 (2021) 04020160.
- [40] J. Liu, F. Nicot, W. Zhou, Sustainability of internal structures during shear band forming
  in 2d granular materials, Powder Technology 338 (2018) 458 470.
- [41] M. R. Kuhn, Structured deformation in granular materials, Mechanics of Materials 31
   (1999) 407 429.
- [42] H.-X. Zhu, Z.-Y. Yin, Grain rotation-based analysis method for shear band, Journal of
   Engineering Mechanics 145 (2019) 04019073.
- [43] Y. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, Connecting shear localization with the long-range correlated
   polarized stress fields in granular materials, Nature Communications 11 (2020) 4349.
- [44] J. F. Peters, M. Muthuswamy, J. Wibowo, A. Tordesillas, Characterization of force chains
  in granular material, Phys. Rev. E 72 (2005) 041307.
- [45] A. Tordesillas, M. Muthuswamy, On the modeling of confined buckling of force chains,
  Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 57 (2009) 706 727.
- [46] N. Deng, A. Wautier, Y. Thiery, Z.-Y. Yin, P.-Y. Hicher, F. Nicot, On the attraction power
  of critical state in granular materials, Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 149
  (2021) 104300.
- [47] D. Houdoux, T. B. Nguyen, A. Amon, J. Crassous, Plastic flow and localization in an
  amorphous material: experimental interpretation of the fluidity, Physical Review E 98
  (2018) 022905.
- [48] F. Darve, F. Nicot, A. Wautier, J. Liu, Slip lines versus shear bands: Two competing
   localization modes, Mechanics Research Communications 114 (2021) 103603. Special Issue
   in Honor of Prof. N. D. Cristescu.

- [49] J. Liu, A. Wautier, W. Zhou, F. Nicot, F. Darve, Incremental shear strain chain: a
   mesoscale concept for granular plasticity, ???? Under Review.
- [50] A. Wautier, S. Bonelli, F. Nicot, Scale separation between grain detachment and grain
   transport in granular media subjected to an internal flow, Granular Matter 19 (2017) 22.
- [51] H. Zhu, F. Nicot, F. Darve, Meso-structure evolution in a 2d granular material during
  biaxial loading, Granular Matter 18 (2016) 3.
- <sup>690</sup> [52] J. Liu, A. Wautier, S. Bonelli, F. Nicot, F. Darve, Macroscopic softening in granular
   <sup>691</sup> materials from a mesoscale perspective, International Journal of Solids and Structures
   <sup>692</sup> 193-194 (2020) 222 238.
- [53] V. Šmilauer, et al., Yade Documentation 2nd ed, The Yade Project, 2015. Http://yade dem.org/doc/.
- <sup>695</sup> [54] A. Le Bouil, A. Amon, S. McNamara, J. Crassous, Emergence of cooperativity in plasticity
   <sup>696</sup> of soft glassy materials, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014) 246001.
- <sup>697</sup> [55] M. R. Kuhn, K. Bagi, Contact rolling and deformation in granular media, International
   <sup>698</sup> journal of solids and structures 41 (2004) 5793–5820.
- <sup>699</sup> [56] S. Luding, J. Duran, E. Clément, J. Rajchenbach, Simulations of dense granular flow:
  <sup>700</sup> Dynamic arches and spin organization, Journal de Physique I 6 (1996) 823–836.
- <sup>701</sup> [57] S. Luding, M. Lätzel, W. Volk, S. Diebels, H. Herrmann, From discrete element simulations
  <sup>702</sup> to a continuum model, Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering 191 (2001)
  <sup>703</sup> 21–28.
- <sup>704</sup> [58] L. Zhang, N. G. H. Nguyen, S. Lambert, F. Nicot, F. Prunier, I. Djeran-Maigre, The
  <sup>705</sup> role of force chains in granular materials: from statics to dynamics, European Journal of
  <sup>706</sup> Environmental and Civil Engineering 21 (2017) 874–895.
- <sup>707</sup> [59] D. W. Lefever, Measuring geographic concentration by means of the standard deviational
  <sup>708</sup> ellipse, American Journal of Sociology 32 (1926) 88–94.
- [60] R. Lehoucq, J. Weiss, B. Dubrulle, A. Amon, A. Le Bouil, J. Crassous, D. Amitrano,
  F. Graner, Analysis of image vs. position, scale and direction reveals pattern texture
  anisotropy, Frontiers in Physics 2 (2015).

- [61] T. Stegmann, J. Toeroek, L. Brendel, D. E. Wolf, Minimal dissipation theory and shear
  bands in biaxial tests, GRANULAR MATTER 13 (2011) 565–572.
- <sup>714</sup> [62] M. Oda, H. Kazama, Microstructure of shear bands and its relation to the mechanisms of
  <sup>715</sup> dilatancy and failure of dense granular soils, Geotechnique 48 (1998) 465–481.
- <sup>716</sup> [63] P. Fu, Y. F. Dafalias, Relationship between void- and contact normal-based fabric tensors
  <sup>717</sup> for 2d idealized granular materials, International Journal of Solids and Structures 63 (2015)
  <sup>718</sup> 68 81.
- [64] N. Kruyt, Micromechanical study of fabric evolution in quasi-static deformation of granular
   materials, Mechanics of Materials 44 (2012) 120 129. Microstructures and Anisotropies.
- [65] N.-S. Nguyen, H. Magoariec, B. Cambou, A. Danescu, Analysis of structure and strain at
  the meso-scale in 2d granular materials, International Journal of Solids and Structures 46
  (2009) 3257 3271.
- [66] F. Nicot, L. Sibille, F. Darve, Failure in rate-independent granular materials as a bifurca tion toward a dynamic regime, International Journal of Plasticity 29 (2012) 136–154.
- [67] F. Alonso-Marroquín, S. Luding, H. J. Herrmann, I. Vardoulakis, Role of anisotropy in
  the elastoplastic response of a polygonal packing, Phys. Rev. E 71 (2005) 051304.
- [68] K. Hashiguchi, S. Tsutsumi, Gradient plasticity with the tangential-subloading surface
   model and the prediction of shear-band thickness of granular materials, International
   Journal of Plasticity 23 (2007) 767–797.
- [69] A. Tordesillas, S. Pucilowski, D. M. Walker, J. F. Peters, L. E. Walizer, Micromechanics
   of vortices in granular media: connection to shear bands and implications for continuum
   modelling of failure in geomaterials, International Journal for Numerical and Analytical
   Methods in Geomechanics 38 (2014) 1247–1275.
- [70] Z.-H. Shi, H. Horii, Microslip model of strain localization in sand deformation, Mechanics
  of Materials 8 (1989) 89 102.
- [71] K. Karimi, J. L. Barrat, Correlation and shear bands in a plastically deformed granular
   medium, Scientific Reports 8 (2018) 4021.

- [72] A. Singh, V. Magnanimo, K. Saitoh, S. Luding, Effect of cohesion on shear banding in
  quasistatic granular materials, Physical Review E 90 (2014) 022202.
- [73] T. B. Nguyen, A. Amon, Experimental study of shear band formation: Bifurcation and
  localization, EPL 116 (2016).