



HAL
open science

Evolution in performances of French dairy cattle herds transitioning towards 3-breed crossbreeding

Julien Quenon, Stéphane Ingrand, Marie-Angéline Magne

► To cite this version:

Julien Quenon, Stéphane Ingrand, Marie-Angéline Magne. Evolution in performances of French dairy cattle herds transitioning towards 3-breed crossbreeding: Farmers' crossbreeding practices matter as much as changes in general farming management and initial situation of performances. 73rd Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science, EAAP, Sep 2022, Porto, Portugal. hal-03774962

HAL Id: hal-03774962

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03774962>

Submitted on 20 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Analysis of the explanatory factors of performance trends in French dairy herds transitioning towards rotational crossbreeding



***Farmers' crossbreeding practices matter as much as
changes in general farming management and initial situation of performances***

J. Quénon¹, S. Ingrand², and M.-A. Magne³

¹ Université de Toulouse, INPT, INP-PURPAN, INRAE, AGIR, F-31320 Castanet-Tolosan, France

² Université Clermont Auvergne, AgroParisTech, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR Territoires, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

³ Université de Toulouse, ENSFEA, INRAE, UMR AGIR, F-31320 Castanet-Tolosan, France


Introduction

- **Decrease in functional performances** (i.e. fertility, health, longevity) in purebred Holstein dairy cattle herds

(Kerlake et al. 2018; Brito et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2021)

- **Functional performances are main determinants of the profitability of dairy cattle systems**

(Buckley et al., 2014; De Vries, 2017; Dezetter et al., 2017)




Brilo et al. (2021)

Introduction

Rotational crossbreeding?

- Crossbreeding to benefit from heterosis effect (*Penasa, 2010*) and complementarity of dairy cattle breeds (*Magne et al., 2016*)
- Three-breed rotational crossbreeding (3BC) : compromise to ensure high heterosis (83% in 3rd generation) while keeping management of crossbreeding programme simple
- Uncommon in Western countries (*Dezetter et al., 2015; Clasen et al., 2017; Magne and Quénon, 2021*)



Introduction

- Few studies assessing performances of rotational crossbreeding:
 - At the herd level
 - In the long run (post-F₁ generations)
- Mostly based on modelling (*Dezetter et al., 2017; Clasen et al., 2020*)

Modelling hypotheses



Actual technical pathways to introduce
and manage rotational crossbreeding
(*Quénon et al., 2020*)

Introduction


- Few studies assessing performances of rotational crossbreeding:
 - At the herd level
 - In the long run (post-F₁ generations)
- Mostly based on modelling (*Dezetter et al., 2017; Clasen et al., 2020*)

Modelling hypotheses

- One crossbreeding programme



No. of crossbreeding programmes
managed in 13 French dairy cattle herds



Introduction


- Few studies assessing performances of rotational crossbreeding:
 - At the herd level
 - In the long run (post-F₁ generations)
- Mostly based on modelling (*Dezetter et al., 2017; Clasen et al., 2020*)

Modelling hypotheses

- *One crossbreeding programme*
- Introduced and managed on the entire dairy herd
- At a regular and/or linear pace



Stable crossbred mating ≥ 90%
Crossbred mating peaked ≥ 90% then decrease ≥ 50%



Introduction


- Few studies assessing performances of rotational crossbreeding:
 - At the herd level
 - In the long run (post-F₁ generations)
- Mostly based on modelling (*Dezetter et al., 2017; Clasen et al., 2020*)

Modelling hypotheses

- *One crossbreeding programme*
- *Introduced and managed on the entire dairy herd*
- *At a regular and/or linear pace*
- **Without considering other farm-level changes**



Crossbreeding
fit farm-scale
changes




Introduction

- Few studies assessing performances of rotational crossbreeding:
 - At the herd level
 - In the long run (post-F₁ generations)
- Mostly based on modelling (*Dezetter et al., 2017; Clasen et al., 2020*)



*What are the empirical **trends in the performances of herds** in which rotational crossbreeding is introduced?*



Introduction


- Few studies assessing performances of rotational crossbreeding:
 - At the herd level
 - In the long run (post-F₁ generations)
- Mostly based on modelling (*Dezetter et al., 2017; Clasen et al., 2020*)



*What are the empirical **trends in the performances of herds** in which rotational crossbreeding is introduced?*



*What are **the main factors that explain such trends**?*




Introduction

- Few studies assessing performances of rotational crossbreeding:
 - At the herd level
 - In the long run (post-F₁ generations)
- Mostly based on modelling (*Dezetter et al., 2017; Clasen et al., 2020*)

➔ *What are the empirical **trends in the performances of herds** in which rotational crossbreeding is introduced?*

➔ *What are **the main factors that explain** such trends?*


➔ *To what extent **do crossbreeding practices explain** such trends?*



Material and methods

- 13 French dairy farms
- Study period: 2009-2017
- Introduction of crossbreeding varied among sampled farms
 - Some introduced it **during the study period 2009-2017**


Herd #1 introduced crossbreeding during the period 2009-2017




Material and methods

- 13 French dairy farms
- Study period: 2009-2017
- Introduction of crossbreeding varied among sampled farms
 - Some introduced it **during the study period 2009-2017**
 - Some introduced crossbreeding **before 2009**

Herd #1 introduced crossbreeding during the period 2009-2017



Herd #2 introduced crossbreeding before 2009



Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING

Milk Record Organisation

5 Herds' performances
2009-2017

- **Milk productivity** (kg/cow)
- **Milk solids content** (g/kg/cow)
- **Fertility** (% of high fertile cows in the herd: Days Open < 117 days)
- **Somatic cell score** (% of low-SCS cows)
- **Longevity** (% of cows in 4th lactation)

Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING


Milk Record Organisation

5 Herds' performances
2009-2017

- Milk productivity (kg/cow)
- Milk solids content (g/kg/cow)
- Fertility (% of high fertile cows in the herd: Days Open < 117 days)
- Somatic cell score (% of low-SCS cows)
- Longevity (% of cows in 4th lactation)

French National System
of Genetic Information

Herds' compositions in purebred, F₁, G₂, etc.
2009-2017



Crossbreeding practices

Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING


Milk Record Organisation

5 Herds' performances
2009-2017

- Milk productivity (kg/cow)
- Milk solids content (g/kg/cow)
- Fertility (% of high fertile cows in the herd: Days Open < 117 days)
- Somatic cell score (% of low-SCS cows)
- Longevity (% of cows in 4th lactation)

French National System
of Genetic Information

Herds' compositions in purebred, F₁, G₂, etc.
2009-2017



Crossbreeding practices

Semi-directive interviews


Farming practices
& structure
2009-2017

- Herd size, total agricultural area, etc.
- Crop rotation: % of grassland in main fodder area, etc.
- Conversion to organic farming vs. stable conventional/organic farming system
- Technical pathway to manage crossbreeding (Quénon et al., 2020)

Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING


2. LINEAR REGRESSIONS



Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING


2. LINEAR REGRESSIONS



Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING

2. LINEAR REGRESSIONS



Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING

2. LINEAR REGRESSIONS

Milk Record Organisation

Herds' performances
2009-2017


French National System
of Genetic Information

Herds' compositions
2009-2017

Semi-directive interviews

Farming practices
& structure
2009-2017

$$\text{Variable} \sim t = \text{slope} \times t + \text{intercept}$$




Method from Martin et al. (2017)

Ev.Performance

I.Performance

I.Practice


Ev.Practice



Results

2009-2017 trends in herds performances (n=13)

No. of herds



Values of slope



■ Unequivocal trends:

- **Decrease** in milk productivity (12/13)
- **Increase** in fertility (11/13)
- **Increase** in solids contents (8/13)



consistent with:


- Crossbreeding performances at animal level (*Dezetter et al., 2015, 2017; Clasen et al., 2020*)
 - Farmers' drivers to introduce dairy crossbreeding (*Buckley et al., 2014; Magne and Quénon, 2021*)
- ### ■ More unclear trends for udder health and longevity

Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING

2. LINEAR REGRESSIONS

3. PARTIAL LEAST SQUARES REGRESSION




Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING

2. LINEAR REGRESSIONS

3. PARTIAL LEAST SQUARES REGRESSION



Material and methods

1. DATA COLLECTION & EDITING

2. LINEAR REGRESSIONS

3. PARTIAL LEAST SQUARES REGRESSION

Milk Record Organisation

Herds' performances
2009-2017

French National System
of Genetic Information

Herds' compositions
2009-2017

Semi-directive interviews

Farming practices
& structure
2009-2017

$$\text{Variable} \sim t = \text{slope} \times t + \text{intercept}$$

Ev.Performance

I.Performance

I.Practice

Ev.Practice

Response
Variable

Explanatory
Variable
#1

Explanatory
Variable
#2

Explanatory
Variable
#3

Association
Score
+ / -

Results

Component 1

Explanatory variables	Herd performance (response variable)		
	Ev. Milk productivity	Ev. Udder health	Ev. Longevity
I. F1	+0.58	+0.45	-0.53
Ev. G3_3b	+0.52	/	-0.47
TPG3	+0.44	/	/
Converting to OF	-0.50	/	+0.45
Ev. F1	-0.58	-0.44	+0.52
I. PB	-0.59	-0.45	+0.54

Results



Component 1

Explanatory variables	Ev. Milk productivity	Herd performance (response)	Ev. Udder health
I. F1	+0.58		+0.45
Ev. G3_3b	+0.52		/
TPG3	+0.44		/
Converting to OF	-0.50		/
Ev. F1	-0.58		-0.44
I. PB	-0.59		-0.45



No. of herds
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0

Ev. Milk productivity

Results



Results




Milk productivity decreased the most in herds...


- With initial high % of purebred cows
- In which % of F₁ crosses increased the most = **farmers introduced crossbreeding on a large share of the herd**
- **Following a transition to organic farming**

Results

Milk productivity was maintained in herds...



- In which **crossbreeding was introduced early** *i.e.* before the study period...
- ... and had reached the G₃ backcross-stage
- **In farms who remained in conventional farming**



Results

Component 1

Explanatory variables	Herd performance (response variable)		
	Ev. Milk productivity	Ev. Udder health	Ev. Longevity
I. F1	+0.58	+0.45	-0.53
Ev. G3_3b	+0.52	/	-0.47
TPG3	+0.44	/	/
Converting to OF	-0.50	/	+0.45
Ev. F1	-0.58	-0.44	+0.52
I. PB	-0.59	-0.45	+0.54

Component 2

Explanatory Variables	Herd performance (response variable)	
	Ev. Milk solids content	Ev. Fertility
Ev. All crosses	+0.45	-0.31
I. Fertility	+0.45	-0.30
TPG1	+0.35	-0.24
Ev. G2_3b	+0.28	/
I. Milk solids content	-0.32	/
I. Milk productivity	-0.40	+0,27

Results

Component 1


Explanatory variables	Herd performance (response variable)	
	Ev. Milk productivity	Ev. Fertility
I. F1	+0.58	+0.33
Ev. G3_3b	+0.52	+0.17
TPG3	+0.44	+0.15
Converting to OF	-0.50	+0.12
Ev. F1	-0.58	+0.02
I. PB	-0.59	+0.14

14	
12	
10	
8	
6	
4	
2	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	

Component 2


Explanatory Variables	Herd performance (response variable)	
	Ev. Milk solids content	Ev. Fertility
Ev. All crosses	+0.45	-0.31
I. Fertility	+0.45	-0.30
TPG1	+0.35	-0.24
Ev. G2_3b	+0.28	/
I. Milk solids content	-0.32	/
I. Milk productivity	-0.40	+0,27

Results




Fertility increased the most in herds...

- With **initial high milk** performances...
- ... and **initial low fertility** performances




Results

Fertility increased the most in herds...




- With **initial high milk** performances...
- ... and **initial low fertility** performances
- In farms transitioning **towards grassland-based farming systems**...




Results

Fertility increased the most in herds...



- With **initial high milk** performances...
- ... and **initial low fertility** performances
- In farms transitioning **towards grassland-based farming systems**...
- In which crossbreeding was introduced progressively



Conclusion

- Crossbreeding practices are a major factor on trends in herds performance but as much as :
 - Farm-scale changes (e.g. conversion to organic farming)
 - The initial performance situation: “margin for improvement” (e.g. fertility)
- ➔ Crossbreeding is one explanatory factor among others: specific management of dairy crossbreeding required to be consistent with general farm management practices

Conclusion


- The different generation of crosses (F_1 , G_2 , G_3 , *etc.*) have different performances: their relative % in the herd and the evolution of herd composition while managing crossbreeding induces contrasting trends in performance on the long run
 - ➔ Need to assess empirical trends in herd performances on longer period of time and on larger sample

Thank you for your attention
Any questions ?



Credits: Julien Quénon

Material and methods



No. of crossbred females

