



**HAL**  
open science

## Microbial colonisation of tannin-rich tropical plants: Interplay between degradability, methane production and tannin disappearance in the rumen

M. Rira, Diego Morgavi, Milka Popova, Gaëlle Maxin, M. Doreau

### ► To cite this version:

M. Rira, Diego Morgavi, Milka Popova, Gaëlle Maxin, M. Doreau. Microbial colonisation of tannin-rich tropical plants: Interplay between degradability, methane production and tannin disappearance in the rumen. *Animal*, 2022, 16 (8), pp.100589. 10.1016/j.animal.2022.100589 . hal-03839945

**HAL Id: hal-03839945**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03839945>**

Submitted on 27 Jan 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# Animal

## The international journal of animal biosciences



### Microbial colonisation of tannin-rich tropical plants: Interplay between degradability, methane production and tannin disappearance in the rumen



M. Rira<sup>a,b</sup>, D.P. Morgavi<sup>a,\*</sup>, M. Popova<sup>a</sup>, G. Maxin<sup>a</sup>, M. Doreau<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> INRAE, VetAgro Sup, Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France

<sup>b</sup> Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Biotechnologie, Constantine, Algeria

#### ARTICLE INFO

##### Article history:

Received 4 February 2022

Revised 12 June 2022

Accepted 13 June 2022

Available online 12 July 2022

##### Keywords:

Condensed tannins  
Hydrolysable tannins  
*In situ* degradability  
Microbiota  
Rumen fermentation

#### ABSTRACT

Condensed tannins in plants are found free and attached to protein and fibre but it is not known whether these fractions influence rumen degradation and microbial colonisation. This study explored the rumen degradation of tropical tannin-rich plants and the relationship between the disappearance of free and bound condensed tannin fractions and microbial communities colonising plant particles using *in situ* and *in vitro* experiments. Leaves from *Calliandra calothyrsus*, *Gliricidia sepium*, and *Leucaena leucocephala*, pods from *Acacia nilotica* and the leaves of two agricultural by-products: *Manihot esculenta* and *Musa* spp. were incubated *in situ* in the rumen of three dairy cows to determine their degradability for up to 96 h. Tannin disappearance was determined at 24 h of incubation, and adherent microbial communities were examined at 3 and 12 h of incubation using a metataxonomic approach. An *in vitro* approach was also used to assess the effects of these plants on rumen fermentation parameters. All plants contained more than 100 g/kg of condensed tannins with a large proportion (32–61%) bound to proteins. *Calliandra calothyrsus* had the highest concentration of condensed tannins at 361 g/kg, whereas *Acacia nilotica* was particularly rich in hydrolysable tannins (350 g/kg). Free condensed tannins from all plants completely disappeared after 24-h incubation in the rumen. Disappearance of protein-bound condensed tannins was variable with values ranging from 93% for *Gliricidia sepium* to 21% for *Acacia nilotica*. In contrast, fibre-bound condensed tannin disappearance averaged ~ 82% and did not vary between plants. Disappearance of bound fractions of condensed tannins was not associated with the degradability of plant fractions. The presence of tannins interfered with the microbial colonisation of plants. Each plant had distinct bacterial and archaeal communities after 3 and 12 h of incubation in the rumen and distinct protozoal communities at 3 h. Adherent communities in tannin-rich plants had a lower relative abundance of fibrolytic microbes, notably *Fibrobacter* spp. whereas, archaea diversity was reduced in high-tannin-containing *Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Acacia nilotica* at 12 h of incubation. Concurrently, *in vitro* methane production was lower for *Calliandra calothyrsus*, *Acacia nilotica* and *Leucaena leucocephala* although for the latter total volatile fatty acids production was not affected and was similar to control. Here, we show that the total amount of hydrolysable and condensed tannins contained in a plant govern the interaction with rumen microbes affecting degradability and fermentation. The effect of protein- and fibre-bound condensed tannins on degradability is less important.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Animal Consortium. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

#### Implications

In the tropics, leguminous trees are an alternative for increasing the availability and nutritive value of ruminants' diets. Leguminous trees are also rich in tannins that, depending

on the concentration, can have antinutritional or beneficial effects, e.g. reduced methane emissions. We evaluated the effect of tannin-rich plants on rumen processes and found that the rumen degradation was not associated with specific tannin fractions but that the total amount of tannins affected colonisation by fibrolytic bacteria and methanogens. This work can be used to inform on ways to use tannin-rich forages for improving diets and production sustainability in ruminants.

\* Corresponding author at: INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR1213 Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France.

E-mail address: [diego.morgavi@inrae.fr](mailto:diego.morgavi@inrae.fr) (D.P. Morgavi).

## Introduction

Leaves from leguminous trees are a high-protein feed resource that balances the nutrition of ruminants grazing tropical grasses low in protein content (Roothaert and Paterson, 1997). However, they remain underutilised because of the presence of tannins that are often found at high concentrations. High concentrations of tannins can reduce voluntary feed intake and nutrient digestibility (Frutos et al., 2004). The decrease in nutritive value is associated with the property of tannins to bind to proteins, both from the diet and digestive enzymes. Tannins also bind to structural carbohydrates present in plant cell walls (Mueller-Harvey et al., 2019). Despite these adverse effects, a low concentration of tannins in the diet can improve nitrogen (N) utilisation efficiency and has a positive enteric methane-reduction effect (Goel and Makkar, 2012). This latter effect is because tannins may reduce organic matter digestibility in the rumen even when total-tract digestibility is unchanged, or because they inhibit microbial populations, or both (Frutos et al., 2004).

Tannins are conventionally classified into two major groups: hydrolysable (HT) and condensed tannins (CT). Hydrolysable tannins consist of polyphenols (gallic acid and/or hexahydroxydiphenic acid) ester linked to a hexose moiety. They are categorised according to their structural characteristics into two subgroups: gallotannins and ellagitannins. In contrast, CTs are polymers of varying molecular weight composed of flavan-3-ol (e.g. catechin) or flavan-3,4-diol (proanthocyanidins) linked by C–C or C–O–C bonds. Condensed tannins are found in different fractions in plants: free, protein-bound, and fibre-bound (Mueller-Harvey and McAllan, 1992, Terrill et al., 1992, Schofield et al., 2001). A better understanding of the effects of HT-rich and CT-rich forages on nutrient digestibility and methane mitigation properties would improve the management of such resources. For CT fractions, there is scarce information on the disappearance of free and bound fractions and whether they affect the degradation of tannin-rich forages. We hypothesised that rumen microbial degradation of tannin-rich forages is influenced not only by the abundance of tannins but also by their chemical form and binding to structural components of plants. Although several studies reported changes in the rumen microbiota of ruminants receiving tannins in their diets (e.g. Diaz Carrasco et al., 2017, Harun et al., 2017, Salami et al., 2018), the adherent microbiota colonising tannin-rich forages has not been explored. Information on the structure and dynamics of colonising microbial communities will bring new insights that could be used to improve the utilisation of these forages in the tropics.

Our objective was to study the degradation of tannin-rich forages in the rumen and establish the relationship with the amount and disappearance of their different tannin fractions. We connected these effects on forage degradation and tannin disappearance with the microbial communities colonising feed particles in the rumen and with fermentation parameters. We used six tropical forages from leguminous shrubs and agricultural by-products with differing amounts and nature of tannins. We carried out 1) an *in situ* experiment, in order to determine the ruminal degradation of forage components, including different tannin fractions, and the colonisation of feed particles by microbes, and 2) an *in vitro* experiment, in order to measure methane production and feed fermentation.

## Material and methods

The use of experimental animals followed the guidelines for animal research of the French Ministry of Agriculture and other applicable guidelines and regulations for animal experimentation

in the European Union. Animals were housed at the INRAE UE1414 Herbipôle Unit (Saint Genès Champanelle, France; <https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5572318050509348E12>). Procedures were approved by French Ministry of Education and Research (APAFIS #8218-20161151782412).

### Plant material

Four browse species and two crop by-products rich in tannins and available in the tropics were selected. The browse species were leguminous shrubs: *Acacia nilotica* pods, *Calliandra calothyrsus*, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Leucaena leucocephala* leaves. The by-products were cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) leaves and banana (*Musa* spp.) leaves. These species are consumed by ruminants and have a large range of CT and HT content (<https://www.feedipedia.org>); for instance, up to 175 g/kg DM of CT in *Calliandra calothyrsus* (Tiemann et al., 2008) and up to 190 g/kg DM of HT in *Acacia nilotica* (Goel et al., 2015).

*Acacia nilotica* samples were collected from the Ferlo region of Senegal (15°N, 15°W) having a mean annual rainfall of 200–400 mm and a mean temperature of 28–30 °C. *Gliricidia sepium*, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Manihot esculenta* and *Musa* spp. were collected in Guadeloupe, Basse-Terre Island, France (16°N, 61°W), having a mean annual rainfall of 1 500–2 000 mm and a mean temperature of 24–28 °C. *Calliandra calothyrsus* was collected from native shrubs in the south of the Réunion Island, France (21°S, 55°E) having a mean annual rainfall of 1 000–1 500 mm and a mean temperature of 20–24 °C. For *Calliandra calothyrsus*, leaves were harvested at a late vegetative stage. For other plants, information on the vegetative stage at the time of collection was not available. Following collection, fresh material was dried at 40 °C to avoid degradation or modification of tannins, ground to pass through a 1-mm grid and placed in air-tight plastic bags. Upon reception at the laboratory in Metropolitan France, samples were stored at room temperature until use for *in situ* and *in vitro* measurements. For studying microbial colonisation of feed particles *in situ*, we additionally used as a control the natural grassland hay, poor in tannins, used for feeding animals. For studying changes in methane production and feed fermentation *in vitro*, we used as control a tannin-poor hay from a 75-d regrowth of natural grassland based on *Dichanthium* spp. harvested in Guadeloupe, Grande-Terre Island, France.

### Experiment 1: *In situ* rumen degradation

Rumen degradation of plants was estimated using the nylon bag technique (Ørskov and McDonald, 1979). Three rumen-cannulated Holstein non-lactating cows were used for the study with an average BW of 737 ± 40 kg. Cows were housed in individual pens and fed natural grassland hay, the first cycle harvested in Auvergne, France. Cows were given 10 kg of hay as fed (~90% DM) during 15 days of adaptation. Two days before and during the incubation period, cows were given 9 kg of hay as fed to facilitate the placement of bags in the ventral sac and to avoid bag losses due to rumen filling. Hay was offered twice a day: 2/3 at 0900 h, 1/3 at 1600 h. Water was on free access. The amount of hay was slightly below the maintenance requirement (Inra, 2010).

Feeds were incubated in the rumen for 3, 6, 12, 24, 48 and 96 h. Three grams of ground samples were put into 5.5 × 12 cm polyester bags (pore size ca. 50 µm, model R1020, Ankom, Fairport, NY). Bags were hooked to a stainless-steel weight and inserted in the ventral sac of the rumen at 0800 h. Two successive series of incubations were performed for each cow. Each series had one bag per feed and incubation time for measurements of DM, N and NDF degradation, two bags per feed for measurements of CT

disappearance at 24 h of incubation to get enough residues for further tannin analyses and two additional bags per feed for measurements of microbial colonisation at 3 h and at 12 h based on previous studies (Mayorga et al., 2016, Elliott et al., 2018). At each designated incubation time, bags for measurements of DM, N and NDF degradation and tannin disappearance were removed from the rumen, immersed in cold water and then washed under running tap water until the water became clear. Zero-time disappearance was obtained by washing two non-incubated bags per feed as described above. Bags were kept at 4 °C for 48 h then washed in a washing machine without detergent until clean water was obtained (four cycles of 10 min), dried at 40 °C for 96 h and weighed to determine rumen residual DM content. Bags for microbial analysis, taken out after 3 and 12 h of incubation, were processed to remove loosely attached microbes (Liu et al., 2016, Mayorga et al., 2016). Bags were gently squeezed, put on ice and immediately transferred to the laboratory where they were washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline at 4 °C for 5 min on a rocking shaker at ~ 40 cycles per min. Bags were then snap-frozen in liquid N and stored at -80 °C until gDNA extraction. Bags from the two series were pooled for each cow and each type of analysis. The total number of observations was 6 (forages) × 3 (cows) = 18 observations that were used in the statistical analysis (see below).

#### Experiment 2: *In vitro* fermentation

Fermentations were performed using a batch technique (Rira et al., 2019). The donor animals were four Texel wethers fitted with a ruminal cannula and weighed on average 80.7 ± 6.9 kg. Wethers were fed daily 900 g hay (natural grassland based on *Dichanthium* spp.) divided into equal amounts at 0700 and 1900 h. Wethers were adapted to the diet for 2 weeks before being used as donors. Four series of 24-h incubations were performed, one per wether. In each series, control *Dichanthium* and the six tannin-rich forages were anaerobically incubated in duplicate as described (Rira et al., 2019). Briefly, ruminal contents were obtained through the cannula before morning feeding and strained through a polyester monofilament cloth (250-µm mesh aperture). Fifteen ml of strained rumen fluid was inoculated in 100-ml vials containing 400 mg of feed sample and 25 ml of anaerobic buffer. Vials were gassed with O<sub>2</sub>-free CO<sub>2</sub> gas, sealed to ensure anaerobic conditions, and then placed in a water bath at 39 °C for 24 h.

Gas production was measured at 24 h using a pressure transducer. After recording pressure, a gas sample (5 ml) was taken for methane analysis. Gas composition was determined by gas chromatography (Micro GC 3000A; Agilent Technologies, Les Ulis, France) within 2 h after sampling. Gas molar concentration was calibrated using a certified gas standard mixture (Messer, France) containing CH<sub>4</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>. For VFA determination, 0.8 ml of filtrate was mixed with 0.5 ml of 4 mg/ml crotonic acid and 20 mg/ml metaphosphoric acid in 0.5 M HCl and frozen at -20 °C until analysis. Volatile fatty acids (VFA) were analysed by gas chromatography using crotonic acid as the internal standard on a Perkin Elmer Clarus 580 GC (Perkin Elmer, Courtaboeuf, France) equipped with a Stabilwax-DA column (30 m by 0.53 mm i.d.; (Morgavi et al., 2013)). The total number of observations was 7 (forages) × 4 (wethers) × 2 (duplicates) = 56. Duplicates were averaged, and 28 observations were used in the statistical analysis (see below).

#### Chemical analyses

Feeds and feed residues in bags were analysed according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2005). Organic matter in feeds was determined by ashing at 550 °C for 6 h (AOAC

method number 923.03). Nitrogen in feeds and feed residues was determined by the Dumas method (CP = N × 6.25, AOAC method number 992.15). For residues at 24, 48 and 96 h, residues of the three cows were pooled to get enough residue for analysis. Cell wall components in feeds (NDF, ADF, and ADL) were determined using sodium sulphite, without heat-stable amylase and including residual ash (AOAC methods number 200.04 and 973.18). Enzymatic DM digestibility was estimated in feeds by hydrolysis with pepsin in 0.1 N HCl and then with fungal cellulose (Aufreere and Michalet-Doreau, 1988).

Soluble CT, protein-bound CT and fibre-bound CT fractions in tannin-rich plant samples were extracted and analysed according to Terrill et al. (1992) as previously detailed (Rira et al., 2019). The concentration of CT in soluble, protein-bound and fibre-bound fractions was calculated with a standard calibration curve of purified quebracho tannins.

For HT, the rhodanine method was used for the determination of gallotannins in feeds (Inoue and Hagerman, 1988). The potassium iodate (KIO<sub>3</sub>) method was used to estimate total HT (gallotannins and ellagitannins) in feeds (Hartzfeld et al., 2002). Details of these two methods are mentioned in (Rira et al., 2019). Ellagitannins were calculated using the difference between total HT and gallotannins.

After *in vitro* fermentation, gas composition was determined by gas chromatography (Micro GC 3000A; Agilent Technologies, Les Ulis, France) within 2 h after sampling. Gas molar concentration was calibrated using a certified standard. Volatile fatty acids were analysed by gas chromatography using crotonic acid as the internal standard on a Perkin Elmer Clarus 580 GC (Perkin Elmer, Courtaboeuf, France) equipped with a Stabilwax-DA column (30 m by 0.53 mm i.d.) (Morgavi et al., 2013).

#### Microbial analysis: DNA extraction and sequencing strategy

DNA was extracted following the protocol described by Yu and Morrison (2004). Total genomic DNA was sent to Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center (Illinois, USA) for fluidigm amplification and Illumina sequencing using primers targeting bacterial 16S rRNA gene (V3-V5 region), archaeal 16S rRNA gene, fungal ITS2 and 18S rRNA gene for protozoa, as described (Saro et al., 2018, Popova et al., 2019).

#### Microbial bioinformatics analyses.

Raw sequencing data were trimmed for quality (Phred score > 25), expected amplicon length (570 nt for bacteria 16S rRNA gene, 457 nt for archaeal 16S rRNA gene, 660 nt for 18S rRNA gene and 356 nt for ITS) and maximum 5 primer mismatches. Sequences were analysed using computational pipelines as described (Saro et al., 2018). On average per sample, we obtained 28 045 (±6 457) reads of bacterial 16S rRNA gene, 23 718 (±4 726) for archaeal 16S rRNA gene and 18 645 (±2 696) for eukaryotic 18S rRNA gene. For fungi, most samples had a low number of reads, precluding further comparative analysis between samples. As forward and reverse reads for bacterial 16S rRNA gene amplicon reads and eukaryotic 18S rRNA gene amplicon reads were not overlapping, sequence data were analysed using IM Tornado pipeline version 2.0.3.3 (Jeraldo et al., 2014) and taxonomy assigned according to Silva v128. Archaeal sequences were analysed following the standard QIIME 1 pipeline (Caporaso et al., 2010) and taxonomy assigned with RIM DB (Seedorf et al., 2014). Bioinformatic analysis metrics are summarised in Supplementary Table S1.

Final OTU tables containing ≥ three sequences present in at least three samples were analysed in R using the package 'vegan' version 2.5-7 (Oksanen et al., 2020). Diversity indices (Shannon,

Simpson, Richness and Evenness) were computed using implemented functions, and statistical differences were tested using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test to evaluate the effect of plant at each incubation time. For  $\beta$ -diversity analysis, OTU tables were rarefied to an even depth based on the lowest count of filtered raw reads. These were 2 846 and 1 209 reads for bacteria, at time points 3 h and 12 h, respectively; 316 and 848 for Archaea at 3 h and 12 h, respectively, and 1 000 for protozoa for both sampling times. Bray-Curtis method was used for computing dissimilarity indices with the `vegdist` function. Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was performed on dissimilarity matrices with `prcomp` function. Permutational multivariate ANOVA was performed using `Adonis` function. Correlations were computed using the function `'corr'` of `vegan`, and `'Hmisc'` and `'corrplot'` packages were used for plotting correlation matrices. Differential abundance analysis to evaluate the effect of plant at each incubation time was done with `MicrobiomeAnalyst` (Chong et al., 2020) using default data filtering (four minimum count, 20% prevalence, low variance filter: 10% interquartile range), relative log expression (RLE) for normalisation and the `metagenomeSeq` package (Paulson et al., 2013).

#### Degradation and fermentation data: Calculations and statistical analyses

Rumen degradability (D) of DM and N were calculated using an exponential model with lag time (Denham et al., 1989):

$$D(t) = a + b(1 - e^{-c(t-L)})$$

where D is the degradation after t hours of rumen incubation; t = hours of rumen incubation (0, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48 and 96 h); a = rapidly degradable fraction (%); b = slowly degradable fraction (%); c = degradation rate constant of the b fraction (per hour); L = lag time before the beginning of degradation of the b fraction (h).

The non-linear procedure (PROC NLIN) of SAS v9.4 (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to fit degradation data to the model. This model was chosen after a preliminary comparison of models with or without lag time, because lag time was higher than 3 h for four of the six feeds, and because the model with lag time globally led to a lower sum of squares than the model without lag time. The theoretical degradability in the rumen derived from the model (TDm) was calculated from the equation:

$$TDm = a + [b \times c / (c + kp)]$$

where kp is the passage rate of solid contents out of the rumen. A unique value of 0.04 per hour was used for all feeds. Rumen degradability of NDF did not fit to the exponential model due to a linear degradation rate for *Calliandra calothyrsus* and to erratic variations for the other two forages. For NDF calculations of degradability, we used instead a stepwise method (Kristensen et al., 1982):

$$TDs = \sum_{(i=0 \text{ to } n)} (Dt_{i+1} - Dt_i) \times p(t_i, t_{i+1})$$

where  $(Dt_{i+1} - Dt_i)$  is the amount of feed degraded between times  $t_{i+1}$  and  $t_i$ , and  $p(t_i, t_{i+1})$  is the proportion of feed remaining in the rumen between times  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$  with  $p_t = e^{-kpt_i}$ .

From VFA production in the *in vitro* experiment, fermented organic matter (FOM) was calculated by the stoichiometric equation of Demeyer and Van Nevel (1975):

$$FOM = 162 (0.5 \text{ acetate} + 0.5 \text{ propionate} + \text{butyrate} + \text{valerate}) \text{ where FOM is expressed in mg and VFA in mmol.}$$

Data of both experiments were submitted to the same mixed model using the MIXED procedure of SAS including feed ( $n = 6$  for *in situ* experiment and  $n = 7$  for *in vitro* experiment) as fixed effect and animal ( $n = 3$  for *in situ* experiment and  $n = 4$  for

*in vitro* experiment) as random effect. Differences between feeds were analysed using the Tukey *t*-test. Effects were declared significant when  $P < 0.05$ . Principal component analyses were performed using Minitab® version 17 software (Minitab Inc., State College, PA). Average values for each of the 6 tannin-rich plants were included for 19 variables: seven from chemical composition, five from experiment 1 and seven from experiment 2.

## Results

### Forage characteristics

The chemical composition of forages is shown in Table 1. Tannin-containing plants had higher concentration of CP and lower concentration of fibre than the control forage, except *Musa* spp. The enzymatic DM digestibility test showed high values ( $\sim 700$  g/kg DM) for *Acacia nilotica* pods, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Manihot esculenta* leaves, whereas digestibility of *Musa* spp. and *Calliandra calothyrsus* leaves was much lower (400 g/kg DM or less). There were marked differences among forages in both the total amount of CT and the fraction that tannins were associated with (Table 1). For all forages, bound CTs were predominantly ( $\geq 60\%$ ) linked to protein compared to fibre. The proportion of free CT was particularly large in *Calliandra calothyrsus* leaves (54% of total CT) and *Acacia nilotica* pods (58% of total CT). In addition, *Acacia nilotica* pods were particularly rich in HT (350 g/kg DM vs less than 33 g/kg DM for the other plants). For the other forages consisting in plant leaves, the HT content was minor. For all forages, ellagitannins were predominant representing  $\geq 80\%$  of total HT.

### In situ DM, N and NDF degradability

Dry matter degradability was similar for *Acacia nilotica* pods, *Gliricidia sepium*, *Manihot esculenta*, and *Leucaena leucocephala* with values around 65%, whereas only a third of *Musa* spp. and *Calliandra calothyrsus* leaves were degraded (Table 2). However, for forages presenting similar degradability, there were differences in the proportion of degraded fractions and rate of degradation. *Acacia nilotica* pods and *Gliricidia sepium* had a higher proportion of soluble fraction (a), whereas *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Manihot esculenta* leaves had a higher proportion of potentially degradable fraction (b). *Musa* spp. and *Calliandra calothyrsus* had lower values for the (a) and (b) fractions than the other forages. Although they have similar degradability, *Musa* spp. leaves had a low proportion of slowly degraded fraction (b) at 14%, whereas *Calliandra calothyrsus* leaves were the most slowly degraded (0.022 % per h).

The N degradability showed similar values and ranking than DM degradability. Notwithstanding, there was a higher variation among forages with *Manihot esculenta* leaves presenting the highest overall degradability due to its high proportion of soluble fraction (a) and high rate of degradation (c). For both DM and N, the theoretical degradability calculated according to the model was similar to values obtained by a stepwise calculation. The rumen degradability of NDF was calculated with the stepwise method only because the exponential method with lag time failed to produce suitable models for most forages. Compared to N, NDF was generally less degraded. In most plants, around one-third of NDF was degraded in the rumen; notable exceptions were *Acacia nilotica* pods with 14% disappearance and *Calliandra calothyrsus* with only 6%.

### Condensed tannins, N and NDF disappearance

Results of 24-h disappearance of tannins are presented in Table 3, together with N and NDF disappearance to assess if there

**Table 1**  
Chemical composition and enzymatic digestibility, in g/kg DM, of plants used in *in vitro* and *in situ* experiments with cows.

Item	Control hay Exp. 1	Control hay Exp. 2	<i>Acacia nilotica</i> pods	<i>Calliandra calothyrsus</i> leaves	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i> leaves	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> leaves	<i>Manihot esculenta</i> leaves	<i>Musa spp</i> leaves
Organic matter	915	927	956	956	891	897	914	895
CP	83	84	140	217	250	336	294	69
NDF	625	701	227	333	240	223	285	653
ADF	351	326	152	228	147	125	202	349
ADL	44	20	38	63	57	46	69	63
Total condensed tannins	ND <sup>1</sup>	ND	157	361	112	180	166	128
Free	ND	ND	91	194	5	54	59	38
Linked to protein	ND	ND	51	125	69	83	95	57
Linked to fibre	ND	ND	15	42	38	43	12	33
Hydrolysable tannins	ND	ND	350	33	9	13	12	4
Gallotannins	ND	ND	84	6	Traces	Traces	Traces	Traces
Ellagitannins	ND	ND	266	27	9	13	12	4
Enzymatic DM digestibility	— <sup>2</sup>	529	720	406	714	745	668	359

Control hay Exp. 1: Natural grassland hay harvested in Auvergne, France.

Control hay Exp. 2: Natural grassland hay based on *Dichanthium* spp. harvested in Guadeloupe, French West Indies.

<sup>1</sup> ND: not detected.

<sup>2</sup> Not measured.

**Table 2**  
Dry matter, N and NDF degradability<sup>1</sup> of tropical tannin-rich plants in the rumen of cows.

Item	<i>Acacia nilotica</i>	<i>Calliandra calothyrsus</i>	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	<i>Musa spp</i>	SEM	P Value
DM degradability								
a (%)	53.5 <sup>a</sup>	22.1 <sup>e</sup>	49.5 <sup>b</sup>	37.3 <sup>c</sup>	39.2 <sup>c</sup>	27.7 <sup>d</sup>	0.88	< 0.001
b (%)	30.4 <sup>b</sup>	25.2 <sup>b2</sup>	27.6 <sup>b</sup>	45.7 <sup>a</sup>	41.4 <sup>a</sup>	14.0 <sup>c</sup>	1.86	< 0.001
c (per h)	0.038 <sup>ab</sup>	0.022 <sup>b</sup>	0.170 <sup>ab</sup>	0.075 <sup>ab</sup>	0.189 <sup>a</sup>	0.027 <sup>b</sup>	0.0337	0.013
L (h)	4.24 <sup>ab</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.84 <sup>a</sup>	2.68 <sup>ab</sup>	5.95 <sup>a</sup>	4.90 <sup>ab</sup>	1.595	0.026
TDM <sup>2</sup> (%)	65.2 <sup>a</sup>	30.9 <sup>c</sup>	65.6 <sup>a</sup>	63.3 <sup>b</sup>	66.0 <sup>a</sup>	32.2 <sup>c</sup>	0.46	< 0.001
TDS <sup>2</sup> (%)	65.7 <sup>a</sup>	32.0 <sup>b</sup>	65.1 <sup>a</sup>	63.8 <sup>a</sup>	65.5 <sup>a</sup>	33.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.70	< 0.001
N degradability								
a (%)	40.1 <sup>b</sup>	24.7 <sup>e</sup>	37.8 <sup>bc</sup>	35.6 <sup>c</sup>	47.5 <sup>a</sup>	29.7 <sup>d</sup>	0.74	< 0.001
b (%)	58.6 <sup>a</sup>	45.1 <sup>ab</sup>	47.3 <sup>a</sup>	54.6 <sup>a</sup>	45.0 <sup>ab</sup>	13.4 <sup>b</sup>	6.29	0.004
c (per h)	0.031 <sup>bc</sup>	0.013 <sup>c</sup>	0.076 <sup>b</sup>	0.054 <sup>bc</sup>	0.155 <sup>a</sup>	0.025 <sup>bc</sup>	0.0115	< 0.001
L (h)	1.88 <sup>bc</sup>	0.00 <sup>c</sup>	5.95 <sup>a</sup>	0.52 <sup>c</sup>	5.40 <sup>ab</sup>	8.54 <sup>a</sup>	0.912	< 0.001
TDM <sup>2</sup> (%)	63.7 <sup>c</sup>	33.2 <sup>d</sup>	61.8 <sup>c</sup>	65.7 <sup>b</sup>	76.2 <sup>a</sup>	33.3 <sup>d</sup>	0.57	< 0.001
TDS <sup>2</sup> (%)	64.1 <sup>c</sup>	34.7 <sup>e</sup>	61.1 <sup>d</sup>	66.2 <sup>b</sup>	75.7 <sup>a</sup>	34.1 <sup>e</sup>	0.64	< 0.001
NDF degradability								
TDS <sup>2</sup> (%)	14.5 <sup>c</sup>	6.3 <sup>d</sup>	28.4 <sup>b</sup>	30.0 <sup>b</sup>	35.8 <sup>a</sup>	30.7 <sup>ab</sup>	1.27	< 0.001

<sup>a-e</sup> Values within a row with different superscripts differ significantly at  $P < 0.05$ ,  $n = 3$ .

<sup>1</sup> Degradation D was modelled according to the equation  $D(t) = a + b(1 - e^{-c(t+L)})$  where a is the rapidly degraded fraction, b the slowly degraded fraction, c the rate of degradation of fraction b, t the time of incubation in the rumen and L the lag time.

<sup>2</sup> TDM: theoretical degradability calculated according to the model; TDS: theoretical degradability calculated according to a stepwise calculation.  $n = 2$ .

**Table 3**  
Disappearance (%) of condensed tannins, N and NDF from tropical tannin-rich plants after 24 h of incubation in the rumen of cows.

	<i>Acacia nilotica</i>	<i>Calliandra calothyrsus</i>	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	<i>Musa spp</i>	SEM	P Value
Condensed tannins	73.0 <sup>d</sup>	58.2 <sup>e</sup>	94.9 <sup>a</sup>	77.8 <sup>cd</sup>	88.6 <sup>ab</sup>	84.3 <sup>bc</sup>	2.10	< 0.001
Free	98.3	97.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	-	-
Linked to protein	21.3 <sup>d</sup>	-7.8 <sup>e</sup>	92.9 <sup>a</sup>	58.0 <sup>c</sup>	83.0 <sup>ab</sup>	72.7 <sup>bc</sup>	3.24	< 0.001
Linked to fibre	83.0	60.8	98.0	87.9	76.4	86.4	8.23	0.10
N	65.3 <sup>b</sup>	31.7 <sup>c</sup>	68.8 <sup>b</sup>	73.9 <sup>b</sup>	89.1 <sup>a</sup>	33.8 <sup>c</sup>	2.93	0.001
NDF	11.5 <sup>ab</sup>	5.1 <sup>b</sup>	31.6 <sup>ab</sup>	33.8 <sup>ab</sup>	43.4 <sup>a</sup>	27.8 <sup>ab</sup>	8.21	0.012

<sup>a-e</sup> Values within a row with different superscripts differ significantly at  $P < 0.05$ .

is a relationship between these parameters. The disappearance of N and NDF at 24 h was calculated from the degradability values above to have the same point in time as tannins. After 24 h in the rumen, free CT disappeared completely or almost completely (~98%) in all forages. Among those plants exhibiting a high proportion of protein-bound CT, the disappearance of this fraction was variable with up to 93% loss in *Gliricidia sepium* and no disappearance in *Calliandra calothyrsus*. For this latter forage, it is noted that

N disappeared at 24 h was ~ 32% but it had also the highest amount of CT linked to protein (Table 1). For fibre-bound CT, the average disappearance at 24 h was ~ 80% and, despite numerical differences, did not differ significantly between forages ( $P > 0.05$ ). The disappearance of total CT reflected the differences observed in the disappearance of the various fractions and ranged from 58% for *Calliandra calothyrsus* leaves to 95% for *Gliricidia sepium*.

### Microbial community attached to tannin-rich plants

We studied ruminal microbial communities attached to plants after 3 and 12 h of incubation in the rumen. Incubation times were chosen in order to catch the biphasic primary and secondary colonisation process described in temperate plants (Elliott et al., 2018). Based on the known differences in communities between these two phases (Mayorga et al., 2016, Elliott et al., 2018), most results are presented by incubation time to better identify the effect of plants.

For anaerobic fungi, most samples had a low number of reads and no downstream analysis was made. However, it is noted that the only plant that was consistently colonised by anaerobic fungi was *Musa* with a threefold increase from 3 to 12 h reaching more than 4 000 reads on average (Supplementary Figure S1). For protozoa, *Leucaena leucocephala* had low numbers of reads but it was considered a characteristic of the plant and these samples were included in downstream analysis.

Changes in alpha diversity indices were more marked for bacteria at 3 h (Supplementary Table S2). *Calliandra calothyrsus* had high alpha diversity values that remained numerically higher than for other plants at 12 h. Whereas, archaeal indices differed more at 12 h than at 3 h, *Acacia nilotica* and, to a lesser degree, *Calliandra calothyrsus* were the plants with the lowest values.

Principal coordinate analysis plots showed differences in community structure that were influenced by the type of plant (Fig. 1). Permanova analyses highlighted significant differences between plants for all microbial communities at 3 h (Adonis  $P < 0.001$ ;  $R^2 = 0.53, 0.43$  and  $0.59$  for bacteria, archaea and protozoa, respectively). These differences remained at 12 h for bacteria (Adonis  $R^2 = 0.69, P < 0.001$ ) and archaea (Adonis  $R^2 = 0.48, P < 0.001$ ). At 3 h, the first component separated bacterial communities attached to *Acacia nilotica*, *Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Leucaena leucocephala* from *Musa*, *Manihot esculenta*, and the control hay (same hay fed to cows). However, at 12 h, only *Musa* and control hay grouped together and were clearly separated from the other plants. For archaea, *Acacia nilotica* and *Calliandra calothyrsus* were separated from other plants both at 3 and 12 h of incubation. Whereas, for protozoa, *Leucaena leucocephala* was clearly separated from all other plants at 3 h, undoubtedly due to the low number of reads recovered from this plant; and, at 12 h, no clear grouping of plants was observed in agreement with permanova results. The bacterial and archaeal communities were correlated with some chemical features of plants; NDF and ADF contents influenced the bacterial community structure, and total concentration of tannins, both CT and HT, had a stronger influence on the archaeal community structure (Supplementary Figure S2).

Differential abundance analyses showed a numerically higher proportion of Proteobacteria for plants richer in tannins at 3 h of incubation (Table 4). At lower taxonomical levels, the family *Rhodospirillaceae* from the Proteobacteria was particularly abundant in *Calliandra calothyrsus*, whereas the  $\gamma$ -proteobacterium *Pantoea* sp. was more abundant in *Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Leucaena leucocephala* (Supplementary Table S3). In contrast, the phylum Fibrobacteres was more abundant in *Musa* and control hay, which were the plants containing a higher amount of fibre and less tannins. The differences were particularly striking at 12 h of incubation with ~ 25% of sequences belonging to Fibrobacteres in these two plants compared to values as low as 3% for *Acacia nilotica* (Table 4). Results for families and genera levels are shown in Supplementary Tables S3 to S6. *Lachnospiraceae* were proportionally more abundant in *Calliandra calothyrsus*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Gliricidia sepium* at 3 h of incubation and generally more abundant in tannin-rich plants at 12 h of incubation. Representative genera of this family such as *Butyrivibrio* and *Oribacterium* showed the same trend. There were no marked differences in the relative

abundance of archaea (Supplementary Tables S7 and S8) and, for protozoa, the main change was observed in the relative abundance of *Isotricha* spp. that was higher at 3 h in *Acacia nilotica*; differences were less marked at 12 h. In accord with these results, there was a positive correlation between *Isotricha* spp. and the levels of HT and TCT (Supplementary Tables S9 and S10, and Supplementary Figure S2).

Correlation analyses considering the chemical composition of plants, including the various fractions of tannins, and the most abundant ( $\geq 1\%$ ) OTUs only show a few highlighted negative and positive associations. At 3 h, a *Succiniclasticum\_uncl.* OTU was negatively correlated to TCT and HT and a *Chistensenellaceae* R7 OTU was positively associated to the fibre-linked fraction of CT. However, at 12 h, the same *Chistensenellaceae* R7 OTU and a *Prevotella* OTU were negatively correlated to the protein-linked fraction of CT. A *Fibrobacter* OTU was negatively correlated to HT, whereas *Ruminococcaceae*\_NK4A214\_group, *Rikenellaceae*\_RC9\_gut\_group\_uncl. and a *Butyrivibrio\_2\_uncl.* were positively correlated to CT (total, free and protein linked) (Fig. 2).


### In vitro rumen fermentation of forages

The *in vitro* incubation of each tannin-rich plant was separately allowed to obtain additional information on their effects on rumen function and methane production. All tannin-rich plants had lower production of gas than control (natural grassland hay based on *Dichanthium* spp.; Table 5). *Musa* spp. and *Calliandra calothyrsus* were those that produced less gas. Only *Musa* spp., *Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Acacia nilotica* had lower VFA production and FOM than control ( $P < 0.05$ ). All tannin-rich plants produced more acetate and less butyrate than control, resulting in the absence of difference in the ratio of acetate or acetate + butyrate to propionate between control and tannin-rich plants. Compared to control, methane production when expressed as ml/24 h was reduced for *Leucaena leucocephala*, and to a greater extent for *Musa* spp., *Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Acacia nilotica*. When methane production was expressed per 100 mM of VFA produced, only *Acacia nilotica* and *Musa* spp. decreased production ( $P < 0.05$ ) compared to control.

The relationships between the content and type of tannins in plants and the *in vitro* and *in situ* parameters were further explored through a principal component analysis (Supplementary Figure S3) that showed that indicators of the extent of ruminal degradation and fermentation, including methane, were opposed on the first axis to total CT content and CT fractions, but not to HT.

### Discussion

All plant species used in this experiment were rich in CT. The amount of CT was within those reported in the literature although mostly on the higher end. The higher CT values in this work can be explained by the analytical method, involving a double-extraction procedure and different solvents for different fractions, potentially yielding a higher amount of extracted tannins than faster methods. Four plants had a higher amount of protein-bound tannins than free tannins whereas two plants (*Acacia nilotica* and *Calliandra calothyrsus*) had a higher amount of free tannins. It is generally reported, even for plants used in this study such as *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Calliandra calothyrsus* that free CTs are higher than protein-bound CT that in turn is higher than fibre-bound CT (Terrill et al., 1992, Jackson et al., 1996, Dentinho and Bessa, 2016). However, similar to total CT, there are important divergences in the literature (Perez-Maldonado and Norton, 1996, Rubanza et al., 2005).



**Fig. 1.** Distribution of bacterial, archaeal and protozoal communities associated to tropical tannin-rich plants after 3 and 12 h of *in situ* incubation in the rumen of cows (PCoA). ● = control hay (no tannin); ● = *Acacia nilotica*; ● = *Calliandra calothyrsus*; ● = *Gliricidia sepium*; ● = *Leucaena leucocephala*; ● = *Manihot esculenta*; ● = *Musa spp.*

In animal nutrition studies, the HT content of plant species is seldom measured and when done it is often through non-specific methods, e.g. calculated by the difference between total tannins and CT. We used methods that specifically measured the content

of total HT and gallotannins. Among the plants used in our study, only *Acacia nilotica* was rich in HT. [Goel et al. \(2015\)](#) also reported high values of HT for this plant (186 g/kg DM, estimated by the difference between total tannins and CT). The other plants used in our

**Table 4**  
Relative abundance of rumen bacterial phyla colonising tropical tannin-rich plants incubated in the rumen of cows ( $n = 3$ ) for 3 and 12 h.

Item	Control <sup>1</sup>	<i>Acacia nilotica</i>	<i>Calliandra calothyrsus</i>	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	<i>Musa spp</i>	P Value	FRD <sup>2</sup>
After 3 h of incubation									
<i>Firmicutes</i>	47.61	41.16	43.47	57.90	60.08	43.58	50.26	0.554	0.727
<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	36.59	37.89	42.87	32.39	29.41	42.71	32.71	0.375	0.687
<i>Fibrobacteres</i>	11.53	2.12	3.87	5.19	3.50	8.13	12.88	0.089	0.327
<i>Spirochaetae</i>	1.53	1.68	3.24	1.47	2.30	3.39	1.57	0.464	0.727
<i>Actinobacteria</i>	1.52	6.46	2.52	1.75	1.25	0.92	1.84	0.221	0.607
<i>Proteobacteria</i>	0.52	9.77	3.15	0.70	2.95	0.43	0.33	0.015	0.083
<i>Tenericutes</i>	0.46	0.84	0.74	0.40	0.34	0.63	0.22	0.868	0.868
<i>Chloroflexi</i>	0.25	0.08	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.21	0.19	0.595	0.727
After 12 h of incubation									
<i>Firmicutes</i>	38.54	55.98	45.32	54.81	43.48	35.15	40.42	0.592	0.609
<i>Bacteroidetes</i>	30.78	29.22	32.76	27.63	34.39	33.63	28.41	0.093	0.249
<i>Fibrobacteres</i>	26.76 <sup>a</sup>	2.72 <sup>d</sup>	5.88 <sup>c</sup>	11.09 <sup>b</sup>	13.93 <sup>b</sup>	11.02 <sup>b</sup>	23.15 <sup>a</sup>	0.006	0.045
<i>Spirochaetae</i>	1.94	5.17	7.53	3.20	5.37	13.89	7.13	0.609	0.609
<i>Tenericutes</i>	0.93	5.31	7.50	2.57	2.45	5.93	0.38	0.076	0.249
<i>Actinobacteria</i>	0.65	0.32	0.37	0.36	0.10	0.19	0.31	0.550	0.609
<i>Proteobacteria</i>	0.25	1.24	0.46	0.22	0.20	0.11	0.11	0.155	0.309
<i>Chloroflexi</i>	0.16	0.05	0.18	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.484	0.609

<sup>a-d</sup> Values within a row with different superscripts differ significantly at  $q < 0.05$ .

<sup>1</sup> Control: Natural grassland hay harvested in Auvergne, France.

<sup>2</sup> FDR = false discovery rate posthoc adjustment ( $q$ -value).

study are recognised sources of CT, but their HT content is seldom reported. Our results show that these plants rich in CT are also a source of HT, which albeit minor can also have a biological effect.

#### Degradation of tannin-rich plants in the rumen - relationship with tannin content

*Calliandra calothyrsus* and *Musa spp.* had a lower DM and N degradability (~33%) than the other plants (~65%). However, there is no single reason that may explain these differences. *Calliandra calothyrsus* had a markedly low NDF degradability at 6% but it was the disappearance of CT, representing one-third of plant weight that affected the calculation of DM degradation, whereas the low DM degradability of *Musa spp.* is mainly explained by the high proportion of NDF (65% on a DM base). In both cases, the rate constant  $c$  was low. In contrast, the high degradability of *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Gliricidia sepium*, *Acacia nilotica*, and *Manihot esculenta* was due to the high N degradability, to the extensive disappearance of CT, and to the total disappearance of HT from bags. Disproving our hypothesis, we did not observe any relationship either between N degradability and total or protein-bound tannin content, or between NDF degradability and total or fibre-bound tannin content. Similarly, the absence of relationship between total extractable tannins of plants and DM disappearance *in situ* of seven temperate browses was reported by Khazaal et al. (1993). This contrasts with *in vitro* degradation results obtained with 72 African browses where protein and NDF degradability were negatively correlated with soluble CT but not with insoluble CT (Rittner and Reed, 1992). The difference in our results may be explained either by the low number of forages in our experiment or by the methodology (*in vitro* vs *in situ*).

#### Rumen disappearance of tannins from plants

Information on tannin disappearance in the digestive tract of ruminants is scarce. Hydrolysable tannins can be degraded by rumen microbes (Brooker et al., 1994, Goel et al., 2005). Based on information from extraction procedures (Mueller-Harvey, 2001), solubilisation of HT under rumen conditions would be gradual and may potentially influence forage degradation and microbial colonisation. This information, missing in this work, should be considered in future studies.


Most authors agree that there is no evidence for free CT degradation by microbes in the rumen (McSweeney et al., 2001b, Patra et al., 2012). Notwithstanding, the complete rumen disappearance of free CT observed is logical because these compounds are water-soluble and are washed out of the bags. A nearly complete (99%) disappearance of free CT of *Calliandra calothyrsus* between mouth and faeces was reported by Perez-Maldonado and Norton (1996).

The disappearance of protein-bound CT varied largely between plants from 21 to 98%. This variation may be due to differences in strength of binding between proteins and tannins (Le Bourvellec and Renard, 2019). As stated above, no relationship was observed between the disappearance of proteins and protein-bound CT except for *Calliandra calothyrsus* that had a low N degradation and no disappearance of protein-bound tannins. For this plant, a negative value for disappearance was even obtained. This may be due to a technical problem as interactions with the insoluble matrix, proteins, polysaccharides, and other plant polymers can decrease the solubility of tannins in the extractant, resulting in an underestimation of tannin content in feeds (Dentinho and Bessa, 2016). Another possible reason is a linkage of dietary-free CT with proteins. According to Hagerman (1989), if CTs are present in excess, all proteins available are bound to tannins, leading to insoluble complexes. This is the case for *Calliandra calothyrsus* which contains more tannins than proteins (361 vs 217 g/kg, respectively).

The fibre-bound CT represented a small proportion of the total CT content of the forages used in our study. Their disappearance in the rumen varied between 61 and 98%, but differences between forages were not significant.

#### Tannin-rich plants and methane production

Tannin concentration is often considered a critical factor affecting ruminal fermentation (Patra and Saxena, 2011). Correspondingly, we generally observed that the CT content of plants was negatively associated to *in situ* rumen degradability and to *in vitro* fermentation parameters including methane production. However, the effect on methane of some plants could also be due to parameters other than CT. As protein concentration affects the volume of gas produced by the bicarbonate buffer in the *in vitro* fermentation system, we will discuss methane production normalised by VFA for assessing the impact of tannin-rich plants



**Fig. 2.** Correlations between microbial species and characteristics of tropical tannin-rich plants after 3 and 12 h of incubation of feeds in the rumen of cows. A: bacteria at 3 h of incubation; B: bacteria, 12 h of incubation; C: protozoa, 3 h of incubation; D: protozoa, 12 h of incubation. For bacteria, operational taxonomic units with abundance > 1% are shown. Green and red dots indicate positive and negative significant correlations ( $P < 0.05$ ), respectively. Dry matter degradability (Msdeg), Nitrogen degradability (Ndeg), Theoretical degradability of DM calculated according to a stepwise calculation (TDMspap), Theoretical degradability of nitrogen calculated according to a stepwise calculation (TDNfpap), Fibre-linked condensed tannin disappearance (FCTdisp), Theoretical degradability of NDF calculated according to a stepwise calculation (TDNDFpap), Free condensed tannin disappearance (freedisp), Total condensed tannin disappearance (TCTdisp), Protein-linked condensed tannin disappearance (PCTdisp), Fibre-linked condensed tannins (FCTs), Protein-linked condensed tannins (PCTs), Total condensed tannins (TCTs), Free condensed tannins (free), NDF degradability (NDFdeg), Hydrolysable tannins (HTs).

independently of the extent of gas production. Compared to control, *Acacia nilotica* and *Musa* spp. reduced methane production but probably not for the same reasons. For *Musa* spp., the effect cannot be ascribed to the amount of tannins as this plant has a low concentration compared to others. The effect could be due to the low protein content, the low protein to tannin ratio or to other secondary compounds such as polyphenols that are present in *Musa* spp. leaves in large amounts (Marie-Magdeleine et al., 2010). For *Acacia nilotica*, the low content of fermentable organic matter affected negatively overall methane production. Nonetheless, methane production normalised by VFA also decreased, suggesting that tannins are the most plausible cause of methane inhibition as this plant contains CT but is especially rich in HT. We previously showed that HT could be more efficient than CT for reducing methane (Rira et al., 2019). Other authors have also reported that HT can reduce methane production without compromising overall rumen fermentation, but to date, only extracts were studied (Bhatta et al., 2009, Hassanat and Benchaar, 2013, Jayanegara et al., 2015). It is likely that HTs do not interfere with

rumen fermentation because they do not bind to protein or fibre and do not have an inhibitory effect on microbes that can also degrade HT in the rumen (Patra et al., 2012).

In this study, *Gliricidia sepium* and *Manihot esculenta* did not decrease methane production. In previous studies, *Gliricidia sepium* was less effective than *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Manihot esculenta* for decreasing methane *in vitro* (Rira et al., 2015). The absence of effect of *Manihot esculenta* on methane production in this study is unexpected, but is consistent with the large variability of response of methane production to CT for a same plant (Piluzza et al., 2014).

*Tannin-rich plants modulate adherent rumen microbes*

The colonising microbial community differed between plants and was influenced by tannins as well as other chemical features such as fibre content. As plants were incubated in the same rumen environment and exposed to the same microbiota, there is no doubt that the plant itself is selecting for their adherent micro-

**Table 5**  
In vitro ruminal fermentation of tropical tannin-rich plants.

	Control <sup>1</sup>	<i>Acacia nilotica</i>	<i>Calliandra calothyrsus</i>	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	<i>Manihot esculenta</i>	<i>Musa spp</i>	SEM	P Value
Total gas production (ml / 24 h)	34.41 <sup>a</sup>	23.26 <sup>c</sup>	16.25 <sup>d</sup>	28.01 <sup>b</sup>	24.28 <sup>bc</sup>	27.95 <sup>b</sup>	11.90 <sup>d</sup>	1.630	< 0.001
Total VFA <sup>2</sup> production (mM / 24 h)	45.61 <sup>a</sup>	31.98 <sup>bc</sup>	27.14 <sup>c</sup>	52.49 <sup>a</sup>	41.53 <sup>ab</sup>	48.11 <sup>a</sup>	31.96 <sup>bc</sup>	3.251	< 0.001
VFA composition (%)									
Acetate	54.15 <sup>b</sup>	68.05 <sup>a</sup>	65.01 <sup>a</sup>	63.56 <sup>a</sup>	61.21 <sup>ab</sup>	64.92 <sup>a</sup>	62.79 <sup>ab</sup>	2.079	0.003
Propionate	19.08 <sup>ab</sup>	18.07 <sup>b</sup>	19.43 <sup>ab</sup>	18.34 <sup>b</sup>	23.67 <sup>a</sup>	17.20 <sup>b</sup>	18.20 <sup>b</sup>	1.084	0.010
Butyrate	19.92 <sup>a</sup>	6.28 <sup>bc</sup>	5.32 <sup>c</sup>	9.21 <sup>ab</sup>	6.50 <sup>bc</sup>	8.28 <sup>bc</sup>	6.77 <sup>bc</sup>	0.861	< 0.001
Isobutyrate	1.40 <sup>bc</sup>	0.92 <sup>c</sup>	2.24 <sup>ab</sup>	2.19 <sup>a b</sup>	1.78 <sup>bc</sup>	2.25 <sup>ab</sup>	2.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.319	< 0.001
Isovalerate	2.30 <sup>b</sup>	2.26 <sup>b</sup>	4.24 <sup>a</sup>	3.19 <sup>ab</sup>	3.13 <sup>ab</sup>	3.52 <sup>ab</sup>	4.28 <sup>a</sup>	0.761	0.005
Valerate	2.91 <sup>b</sup>	4.28 <sup>ab</sup>	3.46 <sup>ab</sup>	3.30 <sup>ab</sup>	3.52 <sup>ab</sup>	3.67 <sup>ab</sup>	4.64 <sup>a</sup>	0.469	0.010
Caproate	0.25 <sup>abc</sup>	0.13 <sup>c</sup>	0.29 <sup>ab</sup>	0.21 <sup>abc</sup>	0.20 <sup>abc</sup>	0.16 <sup>bc</sup>	0.35 <sup>a</sup>	0.045	0.003
Acetate:propionate	2.88 <sup>a</sup>	3.90 <sup>a</sup>	3.41 <sup>a</sup>	3.49 <sup>a</sup>	2.59 <sup>a</sup>	3.78 <sup>a</sup>	3.47 <sup>a</sup>	0.281	0.038
(Acetate + butyrate):propionate	3.94 <sup>ab</sup>	4.25 <sup>ab</sup>	3.69 <sup>ab</sup>	3.99 <sup>ab</sup>	2.86 <sup>b</sup>	4.27 <sup>a</sup>	3.84 <sup>ab</sup>	0.301	0.060
Fermented organic matter (mg/24 h)	177 <sup>a</sup>	112 <sup>bc</sup>	90 <sup>c</sup>	180 <sup>a</sup>	142 <sup>ab</sup>	165 <sup>a</sup>	105 <sup>bc</sup>	11.3	< 0.001
Fermented organic matter (% OM)	47.3 <sup>ab</sup>	28.8 <sup>cd</sup>	23.4 <sup>d</sup>	51.0 <sup>a</sup>	39.0 <sup>bc</sup>	44.9 <sup>ab</sup>	29.9 <sup>cd</sup>	2.95	< 0.001
Methane production (ml / 24 h)	3.92 <sup>a</sup>	1.75 <sup>c</sup>	1.66 <sup>c</sup>	3.54 <sup>a</sup>	2.59 <sup>b</sup>	4.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.41 <sup>c</sup>	0.201	< 0.001
Methane production (ml/100 mM VFA)	8.69 <sup>a</sup>	5.52 <sup>b</sup>	6.49 <sup>ab</sup>	6.75 <sup>ab</sup>	6.35 <sup>ab</sup>	8.56 <sup>a</sup>	4.45 <sup>b</sup>	0.700	0.003

<sup>a-d</sup> Values within a row with different superscripts differ significantly at  $P < 0.05$ .

<sup>1</sup> Control: Natural grassland hay based on *Dichanthium* spp. harvested in Guadeloupe, French West Indies.

<sup>2</sup> VFAs: volatile fatty acids.

biota, at least in the initial colonisation stages monitored in this work. The process is probably a combination of microbial tolerance to tannin toxicity (Frutos et al., 2004) and substrate preferences. Further studies should explore adherent microbial communities in animals adapted to tannin-rich diets as the tolerance to tannins and composition of the rumen microbiota might evolve in those animals. Notwithstanding, our results bring new insight on how methane production in the rumen is affected by tannin-rich plants and concurs with the reported absence of a relationship between chemical structure and biological effect of tannins including methane production (McAllister et al., 2005, Naumann et al., 2018).

There is no equivalent published information on the attachment of rumen microbes to these plants for a straight comparison. In contrast, the effect of tannin-rich plants or extracts added to the diet has been reported in several studies (Diaz Carrasco et al., 2017, Harun et al., 2017, Salami et al., 2018).

The fungal community was targeted in our study but it was not further analysed as a low number of reads were recovered. Nevertheless, *Musa* spp. with one of the lowest amounts of tannins among the tested plants was the only one colonised by fungi. This result could be interpreted as an inhibitory effect of tannins on fungi as reported previously (Muhammed et al., 1995). For protozoa, it is noted that *Isotricha* spp. were more abundant on *Acacia nilotica* samples at 3 h of incubation suggesting that this genus was attracted to HT particularly abundant in this plant. This result is only comparable within this study as the pore size of the incubation bags may obstruct the free passage of protozoa but it can help explain the variable effect of different tannin sources on protozoa reported in the literature (Patra and Saxena, 2011).

The characteristics of plants clearly influenced the structure of the attached bacterial community. Initially, each plant seems to attract a distinct community of primary colonisers. Then, at 12 h when most of the soluble tannins are no longer interfering, the communities in tannin-rich plants became more similar but distinctly separated from communities found in the control forage without tannin and the low-tannin-containing *Musa*. For archaea, the pattern is different with only *Acacia nilotica* and *Calliandra calothyrsus* clearly separating from other plants. This indicates that the plant effect is more important on colonising bacteria than on archaea, which is logical as the latter rely on metabolic end products from other microbes. The different archaeal structure for tannin-rich *Acacia nilotica* and *Calliandra calothyrsus* could reflect a toxicity threshold attained at the biofilm microenvironment level that needs to be proved.

Our results bring new evidence indicating that some of the differences reported on rumen microbes when tannins are supplemented to the diet are due to changes in the colonisation and development of feed-attached communities. The low proportion of *Fibrobacter* attached to tannin-rich plants may explain why this fibrolytic bacterium is often affected in supplemented animals (McSweeney et al., 2001a, Diaz Carrasco et al., 2017, Harun et al., 2017, Salami et al., 2018). On the other hand, some bacteria that were positively associated to plants rich in tannins could provide new avenues of exploration for improving the nutritional value of these forages through modulation of the microbiota.

## Conclusion

We used an integrated approach for assessing the effect of tannin-rich plants on rumen processes. Tannin-rich plants have contrasting proportions of tannins bound to protein or fibre. However, there was no relationship between the amount and disappearance of bound tannins and the rumen degradability of protein or fibre of the plants studied in this work, except for *Calliandra calothyrsus* that was extremely rich in CT. Tannins present in plants restrained the colonisation of certain rumen microbial populations known to play a role in the degradation of recalcitrant feeds. These results expand our understanding of the effects of tannins in the rumen, opening the way to improve their use in the diet of ruminants.

## Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2022.100589>.

## Ethics approval

Procedures were approved by French Ministry of Education and Research (APAFIS #8218-20161151782412).

## Data and model availability statement

Sequencing data were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession ID PRJNA554299. Scripts and other data are deposited at [data.inrae.fr](https://data.inrae.fr), <https://doi.org/10.15454/8J205C> (<https://doi.org/10.15454/8J205C>).

## Author ORCIDs

**Moufida Rira:** 0000-0002-3303-5555  
**Diego Morgavi:** 0000-0002-3883-0937  
**Milka Popova:** 0000-0001-6695-5502  
**Gaelle Maxin:** 0000-0002-0379-4374

## Author contributions

**Moufida Rira:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft

**Diego Morgavi:** Conceptualization, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft,

**Milka Popova:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing

**Gaelle Maxin:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing – review & editing

**Michel Doreau:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft.

## Declaration of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the staff of UE 1414 Herpibôle for their technical collaboration, animal care and management, A. Torrent and L. Genestoux for their contribution in the experimental set-up and sample analysis, and A. Roche (intern student at INRAE) for her contribution in the analysis of condensed tannins. We are grateful to the INRAE MIGALE bioinformatics platform (<http://migale.jouy.inra.fr>) for providing computational resources and A. Bernard for initial bioinformatic analysis.

Version 3 of this preprint has been peer-reviewed and recommended by Peer Community In Animal Science (<https://doi.org/10.24072/pci.animsci.100009>).

## Financial support statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit section.

## References

- AOAC, 2005. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. AOAC International, Washington, DC, USA.
- Aufreere, J., Michalet-Doreau, B., 1988. Comparison of methods for predicting digestibility of feeds. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 20, 203–218.
- Bhatta, R., Uyeno, Y., Tajima, K., Takenaka, A., Yabumoto, Y., Nonaka, I., Enishi, O., Kurihara, M., 2009. Difference in the nature of tannins on in vitro ruminal methane and volatile fatty acid production and on methanogenic archaea and protozoal populations. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92, 5512–5522.
- Brooker, J.D., Odonovan, L.A., Skene, I., Clarke, K., Blackall, L., Muslera, P., 1994. *Streptococcus caprinus* sp nov, a tannin-resistant ruminal bacterium from feral goats. *Letters in Applied Microbiology* 18, 313–318.
- Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer, N., Pena, A.G., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J., Sevinsky, J.R., Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunencko, T., Zaneveld, J., Knight, R., 2010. Qiime allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods* 7, 335–336.
- Chong, J., Liu, P., Zhou, G., Xia, J., 2020. Using microbiomeanalyst for comprehensive statistical, functional, and meta-analysis of microbiome data. *Nature Protocols* 15, 799–821.
- Demeyer, D., Van Nevel, C., 1975. Methanogenesis, an integrated part of carbohydrate fermentation and its control. In: McDonald, I., Warner, A. (Eds.), *Digestion and metabolism in the ruminant*. The University of New England Publishing Unit, Armidale, Australia, pp. 366–382.
- Denham, S.C., Morantes, G.A., Bates, D.B., Moore, J.E., 1989. Comparison of two models used to estimate in situ nitrogen disappearance. *Journal of Dairy Science* 72, 708–714.
- Dentinho, M.T.P., Bessa, R.J.B., 2016. Effect of tannin source and ph on stability of tannin-protein and fibre complexes. *Revista de Ciências Agrárias* 39, 114–121.
- Diaz Carrasco, J.M., Cabral, C., Redondo, L.M., Pin Viso, N.D., Colombatto, D., Farber, M.D., Fernandez Miyakawa, M.E., 2017. Impact of chestnut and quebracho tannins on rumen microbiota of bovines. *BioMed Research International* 2017, 9610810.
- Elliott, C.L., Edwards, J.E., Wilkinson, T.J., Allison, G.G., McCaffrey, K., Scott, M.B., Rees-Stevens, P., Kingston-Smith, A.H., Huws, S.A., 2018. Using 'omic approaches to compare temporal bacterial colonization of lolium perenne, lotus corniculatus, and trifolium pratense in the rumen. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9, 2184.
- Frutos, P., Hervás, G., Giráldez, F.J., Mantecón, A.R., 2004. Review. Tannins and ruminant nutrition. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* 2, 191–202.
- Goel, G., Makkar, H.P., 2012. Methane mitigation from ruminants using tannins and saponins. *Tropical Animal Health and Production* 44, 729–739.
- Goel, G., Puniya, A.K., Aguilar, C.N., Singh, K., 2005. Interaction of gut microflora with tannins in feeds. *Naturwissenschaften* 92, 497–503.
- Goel, G., Raghav, M., Beniwal, V., Puniya, A.K., 2015. Anaerobic degradation of tannins in *acacia nilotica* pods by *enterococcus faecalis* in co-culture with ruminal microbiota. *Journal of General and Applied Microbiology* 61, 31–33.
- Hagerman, A.E., 1989. Chemistry of tannin-protein complexation. In: Hemingway, R.W., Karchesy, J.J., Branham, S.J. (Eds.), *Chemistry and significance of condensed tannins*. Springer, US, Boston, MA, USA, pp. 323–333.
- Hartzfeld, P.W., Forkner, R., Hunter, M.D., Hagerman, A.E., 2002. Determination of hydrolyzable tannins (gallotannins and ellagitannins) after reaction with potassium iodate. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 50, 1785–1790.
- Harun, N.L.A., Alimon, A.R., Jahromi, M.F., Samsudin, A.A., 2017. Effects of feeding goats with *leucaena leucocephala* and *manihot esculenta* leaves supplemented diets on rumen fermentation profiles, urinary purine derivatives and rumen microbial population. *Journal of Applied Animal Research* 45, 409–416.
- Hassanat, F., Benchaar, C., 2013. Assessment of the effect of condensed (acacia and quebracho) and hydrolysable (chestnut and valonea) tannins on rumen fermentation and methane production in vitro. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 93, 332–339.
- Inoue, K.H., Hagerman, A.E., 1988. Determination of gallotannin with rhodanine. *Analytical Biochemistry* 169, 363–369.
- Inra, 2010. *Alimentation des bovins, ovins et caprins: Besoins des animaux-valeurs des aliments tables inra 2010*. Editions Quae, Versailles, France.
- Jackson, F.S., Barry, T.N., Lascano, C., Palmer, B., 1996. The extractable and bound condensed tannin content of leaves from tropical tree, shrub and forage legumes. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 71, 103–110.
- Jayanegara, A., Goel, G., Makkar, H.P.S., Becker, K., 2015. Divergence between purified hydrolysable and condensed tannin effects on methane emission, rumen fermentation and microbial population in vitro. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 209, 60–68.
- Jeraldo, P., Kalari, K., Chen, X., Bhavsar, J., Mangalam, A., White, B., Nelson, H., Kochev, J.P., Chia, N., 2014. Im-tornado: A tool for comparison of 16s reads from paired-end libraries. *PLoS One* 9, e114804.
- Khazaal, K., Markantonatos, X., Nastis, A., Ørskov, E.R., 1993. Changes with maturity in fibre composition and levels of extractable polyphenols in greek browse: Effects on in vitro gas production and in sacco dry matter degradation. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 63, 237–244.
- Kristensen, E.S., Moller, P., Hvelplund, T., 1982. Estimation of the effective protein degradability in the rumen of cows using the nylon bag technique combined with the outflow rate. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica. Section A, Animal Science* 32, 123–127.
- Le Bourvellec, C., Renard, C.M.G.C., 2019. Interactions between polyphenols and macromolecules: Effect of tannin structure. In: Melton, L., Shahidi, F., Varels, P. (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of food chemistry*. Academic Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 515–521.
- Liu, J., Zhang, M., Xue, C., Zhu, W., Mao, S., 2016. Characterization and comparison of the temporal dynamics of ruminal bacterial microbiota colonizing rice straw and alfalfa hay within ruminants. *Journal of Dairy Science* 99, 9668–9681.
- Marie-Magdeleine, C., Boval, M., Philibert, L., Borde, A., Archimède, H., 2010. Effect of banana foliage (*musa x paradisiaca*) on nutrition, parasite infection and growth of lambs. *Livestock Science* 131, 234–239.
- Mayorga, O.L., Kingston-Smith, A.H., Kim, E.J., Allison, G.G., Wilkinson, T.J., Hegarty, M.J., Theodorou, M.K., Newbold, C.J., Huws, S.A., 2016. Temporal metagenomic and metabolomic characterization of fresh perennial ryegrass degradation by rumen bacteria. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7, 23.
- McAllister, T.A., Martinez, T., Bae, H.D., Muir, A.D., Yanke, L.J., Jones, G.A., 2005. Characterization of condensed tannins purified from legume forages: Chromophore production, protein precipitation, and inhibitory effects on cellulose digestion. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 31, 2049–2068.
- McSweeney, C.S., Palmer, B., Bunch, R., Krause, D.O., 2001a. Effect of the tropical forage calliandra on microbial protein synthesis and ecology in the rumen. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 90, 78–88.
- McSweeney, C.S., Palmer, B., McNeill, D.M., Krause, D.O., 2001b. Microbial interactions with tannins: Nutritional consequences for ruminants. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 91, 83–93.
- Morgavi, D.P., Martin, C., Boudra, H., 2013. Fungal secondary metabolites from *monascus* spp. Reduce rumen methane production in vitro and in vivo. *Journal of Animal Science* 91, 848–860.

- Mueller-Harvey, I., 2001. Analysis of hydrolysable tannins. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 91, 3–20.
- Mueller-Harvey, I., McAllan, A., 1992. Tannins: Their biochemistry and nutritional properties. In: Morrison, I.M. (Ed.), *Advances in plant cell biochemistry and biotechnology*. JAI Press, London, UK, pp. 151–217.
- Mueller-Harvey, I., Bee, G., Dohme-Meier, F., Hoste, H., Karonen, M., Kolliker, R., Luscher, A., Niderkorn, V., Pellikaan, W.F., Salminen, J.P., Skot, L., Smith, L.M.J., Thamsborg, S.M., Totterdell, P., Wilkinson, I., Williams, A.R., Azuhwi, B.N., Baert, N., Brinkhaus, A.G., Copani, G., Desruets, O., Drake, C., Engstrom, M., Frygasas, C., Girard, M., Huyen, N.T., Kempf, K., Malisch, C., Mora-Ortiz, M., Quijada, J., Ramsay, A., Ropiak, H.M., Waghorn, G.C., 2019. Benefits of condensed tannins in forage legumes fed to ruminants: Importance of structure, concentration, and diet composition. *Crop Science* 59, 861–885.
- Muhammed, S., Stewart, C.S., Acamovic, T., 1995. Effects of tannic acid, ellagic acid, gallic acid and catechin on cellulose degradation by the rumen fungus *neocallimastix frontalis* strain re1. *Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science*, Scarborough, 20–22 March 1995, pp. 147–147.
- Naumann, H., Sepela, R., Rezaire, A., Masih, S.E., Zeller, W.E., Reinhardt, L.A., Robe, J. T., Sullivan, M.L., Hagerman, A.E., 2018. Relationships between structures of condensed tannins from texas legumes and methane production during in vitro rumen digestion. *Molecules* 23, 2123.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlenn, D., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2020. *Vegan: Community ecology package*. R package version 2.5-7. Retrieved on 28 November 2020 from <https://cran.R-project.org/package=vegan>.
- Ørskov, E.R., McDonald, I., 1979. The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 92, 499–503.
- Patra, A.K., Saxena, J., 2011. Exploitation of dietary tannins to improve rumen metabolism and ruminant nutrition. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 91, 24–37.
- Patra, A.K., Min, B.-R., Saxena, J., 2012. Dietary tannins on microbial ecology of the gastrointestinal tract in ruminants. In: Patra, A.K. (Ed.), *Dietary phytochemicals and microbes*. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 237–262.
- Paulson, J.N., Stine, O.C., Bravo, H.C., Pop, M., 2013. Differential abundance analysis for microbial marker-gene surveys. *Nature Methods* 10, 1200–1202.
- Perez-Maldonado, R., Norton, B., 1996. Digestion of 14 c-labelled condensed tannins from *desmodium intortum* in sheep and goats. *British Journal of Nutrition* 76, 501–513.
- Piluzza, G., Sulas, L., Bullitta, S., 2014. Tannins in forage plants and their role in animal husbandry and environmental sustainability: A review. *Grass and Forage Science* 69, 32–48.
- Popova, M., Guyader, J., Silberberg, M., Seradj, A.R., Saro, C., Bernard, A., Gérard, C., Martin, C., Morgavi, D.P., 2019. Changes in the rumen microbiota of cows in response to dietary supplementation with nitrate, linseed, and saponin alone or in combination. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 85, e02657–02618.
- Rira, M., Morgavi, D.P., Genestoux, L., Djibiri, S., Sekhri, I., Doreau, M., 2019. Methanogenic potential of tropical feeds rich in hydrolysable tannins. *Journal of Animal Science* 97, 2700–2710.
- Rira, M., Morgavi, D.P., Archimède, H., Marie-Magdeleine, C., Popova, M., Bousseboua, H., Doreau, M., 2015. Potential of tannin-rich plants for modulating ruminal microbes and ruminal fermentation in sheep. *Journal of Animal Science* 93, 334–347.
- Rittner, U., Reed, J.D., 1992. Phenolics and in-vitro degradability of protein and fibre in west african browse. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 58, 21–28.
- Roothaert, R.L., Paterson, R.T., 1997. Recent work on the production and utilization of tree fodder in east africa. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 69, 39–51.
- Rubanza, C., Shem, M., Otsyina, R., Bakengesa, S., Ichinohe, T., Fujihara, T., 2005. Polyphenolics and tannins effect on in vitro digestibility of selected *acacia* species leaves. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 119, 129–142.
- Salami, S.A., Valenti, B., Bella, M., O'Grady, M.N., Luciano, G., Kerry, J.P., Jones, E., Priolo, A., Newbold, C.J., 2018. Characterisation of the ruminal fermentation and microbiome in lambs supplemented with hydrolysable and condensed tannins. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 94. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy061>.
- Saro, C., Hohenester, U.M., Bernard, M., Lagrée, M., Martin, C., Doreau, M., Boudra, H., Popova, M., Morgavi, D.P., 2018. Effectiveness of interventions to modulate the rumen microbiota composition and function in pre-ruminant and ruminant lambs. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 9, 1273.
- Schofield, P., Mbugua, D.M., Pell, A.N., 2001. Analysis of condensed tannins: A review. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 91, 21–40.
- Seedorf, H., Kittelmann, S., Henderson, G., Janssen, P.H., 2014. Rim-db: A taxonomic framework for community structure analysis of methanogenic archaea from the rumen and other intestinal environments. *PeerJ* 2, e494.
- Terrill, T.H., Rowan, A.M., Douglas, G.B., Barry, T.N., 1992. Determination of extractable and bound condensed tannin concentrations in forage plants, protein concentrate meals and cereal grains. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 58, 321–329.
- Tiemann, T.T., Lascano, C.E., Wettstein, H.R., Mayer, A.C., Kreuzer, M., Hess, H.D., 2008. Effect of the tropical tannin-rich shrub legumes *calliandra calothyrsus* and *flemingia macrophylla* on methane emission and nitrogen and energy balance in growing lambs. *Animal* 2, 790–799.
- Yu, Z., Morrison, M., 2004. Improved extraction of pcr-quality community DNA from digesta and fecal samples. *BioTechniques* 36, 808–812.