



HAL
open science

Authors' Reply to: Learning More About the Effects of Gamification on Physical Activity. Comment on "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Gamification on Physical Activity: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials"

Alexandre Mazeas, Martine Duclos, Bruno Pereira, Aïna Chalabaev

► To cite this version:

Alexandre Mazeas, Martine Duclos, Bruno Pereira, Aïna Chalabaev. Authors' Reply to: Learning More About the Effects of Gamification on Physical Activity. Comment on "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Gamification on Physical Activity: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials". *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 2022, 24 (5), pp.e38212. 10.2196/38212 . hal-03884382

HAL Id: hal-03884382

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03884382>

Submitted on 5 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

Letter to the Editor

Authors' Reply to: Learning More About the Effects of Gamification on Physical Activity. Comment on "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Gamification on Physical Activity: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials"

Alexandre Mazeas^{1,2,3}, MSc; Martine Duclos^{2,4}, PhD; Bruno Pereira⁵, PhD; Aïna Chalabaev¹, PhD

¹Univ Grenoble Alpes, SENS, 38000 Grenoble, France

²National Research Institute for Agriculture, Food and Environment (INRAE), Clermont-Ferrand, France

³Kiplin, Nantes, France

⁴Department of Sport Medicine and Functional Exploration, University Hospital Clermont-Ferrand, Hospital G Montpied, Clermont-Ferrand, France

⁵Department of Biostatistics Unit, Clermont-Ferrand University Hospital, Clermont-Ferrand, France

Corresponding Author:

Alexandre Mazeas, MSc

Univ Grenoble Alpes

SENS

1741 rue de la Piscine

38000 Grenoble

France

Phone: 33 476635081

Email: alexandre.mazeas@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Related Articles:

Comment on: <http://www.jmir.org/2022/5/e36396/>

Comment on: <http://www.jmir.org/2022/1/e26779/>

(*J Med Internet Res* 2022;24(5):e38212) doi: [10.2196/38212](https://doi.org/10.2196/38212)

KEYWORDS

behavior change; eHealth; gamification; health behavior; intervention; meta-analysis; mobile phone; physical activity; systematic review; elderly; old adults

We appreciated and read with attention Hung and Kao's [1] feedback on our recent systematic review and meta-analysis [2], which examined the effectiveness of gamified interventions on physical activity. These authors have pointed out 3 aspects that we will discuss in this letter.

First, they suggest that Paul et al [3] should not have been included in our review as this study is a nonrandomized clinical controlled trial. We agree that this study was nonrandomized. We have in fact mentioned this issue under the Risk of Bias subheading of our Results section: "Overall, 1 study [28] was rated as high risk for sequence generation because assignments were based on recruitment order," where reference 28 points to Paul et al [3]. This statement was also reported in Multimedia Appendix 2 and was taken into consideration in the summary of findings following the GRADE (grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation) framework, where the quality of evidence for some meta-analyses was downgraded because of the risks of bias in the included studies. Thus, these limitations have been taken into account in our review. Moreover, we would like to emphasize that Paul et al's [3] study

did not have a large heterogeneity contribution and effect size influence as highlighted by our leave-one-out analyses and Baujat plot available in Multimedia Appendix 1. As an example, when omitting this study from the final sample (ie, after sensitivity analyses), we obtained a Hedges g of 0.40 (95% CI 0.11-0.75).

Second, Hung and Kao [1] suggest that the total number of hours of gamification performed can have a significant influence and could explain heterogeneity. We cannot agree more on this point since we are convinced that engagement with digital behavior change interventions is necessary to enable an effective intervention. Gamification has often been assimilated into a self-fulfilling process permitting automatic engagement of participants into an eHealth service. However, this is not always the case, which can influence the effect of the intervention. Nevertheless, very few studies measured both engagement and behavioral outcomes in the included studies, preventing us from examining the possible existence of a dose-response. Therefore, we would recommend that future trials should systematically combine measures of engagement in addition to other outcomes.

Engagement with the gamified service can be objectively recorded using data from apps and websites (eg, number of logins, time spent per login, number of components accessed), measured via self-report questionnaires (eg, the DBCI Engagement Scale [4]), psychological measures of attention, and qualitative or observational methods.

Finally, Hung and Kao [1] also noted that the results of this meta-analysis may not apply to older adults. If through our

meta-regression, the age of participants was not statistically significantly associated with the intervention effect, it is clear that our conclusions cannot be generalized to participants outside the age scope of our review (9-73 years). As they pointed out, few studies have evaluated the effect of gamified interventions on older adults. Future studies should focus on this specific population with specific characteristics.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Hung CH, Kao YS. Learning More About the Effects of Gamification on Physical Activity. Comment on "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Gamification on Physical Activity: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials". *J Med Internet Res* 2022 May;24(5):e36396 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/36396](https://doi.org/10.2196/36396)]
2. Mazeas A, Duclos M, Pereira B, Chalabaev A. Evaluating the effectiveness of gamification on physical activity: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Med Internet Res* 2022 Jan 04;24(1):e26779 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/26779](https://doi.org/10.2196/26779)] [Medline: [34982715](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34982715/)]
3. Paul L, Wyke S, Brewster S, Sattar N, Gill JMR, Alexander G, et al. Increasing physical activity in stroke survivors using STARFISH, an interactive mobile phone application: a pilot study. *Top Stroke Rehabil* 2016 Jun;23(3):170-177. [doi: [10.1080/10749357.2015.1122266](https://doi.org/10.1080/10749357.2015.1122266)] [Medline: [27077973](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27077973/)]
4. Perski O, Blandford A, Garnett C, Crane D, West R, Michie S. A self-report measure of engagement with digital behavior change interventions (DBCI): development and psychometric evaluation of the "DBCI Engagement Scale". *Transl Behav Med* 2020 Feb 03;10(1):267-277 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1093/tbm/ibz039](https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibz039)] [Medline: [30927357](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30927357/)]

Abbreviations

GRADE: grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation

Edited by T Leung; this is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 24.03.22; accepted 26.04.22; published 03.05.22.

Please cite as:

Mazeas A, Duclos M, Pereira B, Chalabaev A

Authors' Reply to: Learning More About the Effects of Gamification on Physical Activity. Comment on "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Gamification on Physical Activity: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials"
J Med Internet Res 2022;24(5):e38212

URL: <https://www.jmir.org/2022/5/e38212>

doi: [10.2196/38212](https://doi.org/10.2196/38212)

PMID:

©Alexandre Mazeas, Martine Duclos, Bruno Pereira, Aïna Chalabaev. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<https://www.jmir.org>), 03.05.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://www.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.