

Integrated Multitrophic Aquaculture: Ecological intensification of freshwater ponds

Christophe Jaeger, Joël Aubin

► To cite this version:

Christophe Jaeger, Joël Aubin. Integrated Multitrophic Aquaculture: Ecological intensification of freshwater ponds. Aquaculture Europe 2017, Oct 2017, Dubrovnik, Croatia. hal-03887108

HAL Id: hal-03887108 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03887108v1

Submitted on 6 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Integrated Multitrophic Aquaculture: Ecological intensification of freshwater ponds

Christophe Jaeger Joël Aubin

UMR SAS, INRA, France



Integrated Multi Trophic Aquaculture for Efficiency and Environmental Conservation

Cooperation in Fisheries, Aquaculture and Seafood Processing



Context

Multi goals for aquaculture :

- Aquaculture products more and more required
- To Produce in systems environmentally friendly
- Sustainable systems and less dependent in exogenous resources

How answer to fish production increase and limit impacts on environment at the same time?

That's the work scope of the IMTA effect project and of this work







Polyculture :

COFASE

Fingerlings of common Carp, target species, wide diet, burrowing behavior and ability to keep nutrients available for phytoplankton and macrophyte

> Adults of Roach, wide diet, use entire water column

Only male of Perch, carnivorous diet, to limit fry, crayfish and tadepole IMTA -EFFECT Integrated Multi Trophic Aquaculture for Efficiency and Environmental Conservation

Experimental design

- Experimentation lasted from March to December
- Ponds were filled with water from the nearby watershed river, 3 weeks before the beginning and during the experiment to counteract evaporation
- At stocking and harvesting fish were weighed and counted
- Quantity of pellets was daily recorded and supplied on the basis of 2.8% live weight
- Water quality :
 - Weekly, recorded for t°, pH, [O₂], %O₂, <u>water transparency</u>, conductivity,
 - Monthly, analyzed for Nitrogen and Phosphorus compounds,
- Chlorophyll : fluorometer analyzer (Phyto-PAM®),
- Nutrient budget for N and P







Water transparency

Water 375 Chloro (Bl) (µg/l) --- Extensive transparency, 350 cm Chloro (Br) (μ g/l) 100 325 Semi-intensive Chloro (Gr) (μ g/l) 90 300 275 80 coupled 250 70 225 60 200 175 50 150 40 125 30 100 75 20 50 10 25 0 0 February March April May June Extensive Coupled Extensive Coupled Coupled Coupled Coupled Coupled Extensive Semi-intensive Semi-intensive Extensive Semi-intensive Coupled Extensive Semi-intensive Extensive Semi-intensive Semi-intensive Extensive Semi-intensive VIIN August ember October November December July May September October November June August





Integrated Multi Trophic Aquaculture for Efficiency and Environmental Conservation

Chlorophyll concentrations



Mass balance for N

	Extensive 1	Extensive 2	Semi- intensive 1	Semi- intensive 2	Coupled 1 fish	Coupled 1 plants	Coupled 2 fish	Coupled 2 plants
N Inputs, g								
fish	108	82	164	165	161	0	160	0
feed	0	0	608	608	608	0	608	0
water	2104	2497	2527	2426	1155	1781	1930	2480
N Outputs, g								
fish	642	648	2628	2451	2173	8	2168	53
Proportion of N input recovered in fish biomass gain	25%	23%	79%	75%	57%		41%	
Water	490	605	1118	1034	1369	1192	544	798
Unaccounted for	1079	1325	-448	-287	-1036		1615	

No treatment well balanced

COFASP

- But, in every treatments, N quantity in outlet water<inlet water,
- N input (from feed and water) was used more efficiently for fish biomass production in semi-intensive treatment
- Feed seemed improving trophic web production but in a less extent in coupled treatment



Mass balance for P

	Extensive 1	Extensive 2	Semi- intensive 1	Semi- intensive 2	Coupled 1 fish	Coupled 1 plants	Coupled 2 fish	Coupled 2 plants	
P inputs, g									
fish	27	19	38	39	38	0	38	0	
feed	0	0	151	151	151	0	151	0	
water	50	68	30	33	31	84	28	92	
P outputs, g									
fish	147	145	578	535	477	2	477	14	
Proportion of P									
input recovered in	241%	184%	297%	270%	16	166%		167%	
fish biomass gain									
water	66	98	135	91	136	137	24	119	
Unaccounted for	-137	-155	-493	-403	-4	-447		-325	

- P outputs > P inputs in every treatments
- P quantity in outlet water > inlet water, in every treatments ⇒ role of senescence of plants?
- Proportion of P input recovered in fish biomass gain >100% ⇒ a large part of phosphorus came from environment ... sediments

uaculture for Efficiency and vironmental Conservation



Conclusion

- Feed clearly improved fish production
- In coupled treatment:
 - Phytoplankton development was limited to the benefit of Macrophytes
 - As a possible consequence, fish growth was limited too
 - Water concentration in N and P was buffered during the period observed
- ➡ coupled treatment improved fish production compared to extensive treatment and improved water quality compared to semi-intensive treatment





Perspectives

- Further investigations need to be carried out to :
 - well balance nutrient budget: sediments dynamic, macrophytes yield, gas emission
 - Evaluate potential of coupled ponds to support biodiversity and to produce plants of market value











Integrated Multi Trophic Aquaculture for Efficiency and Environmental Conservation