



HAL
open science

Rumen microbial genomics: from cells to genes (and back to cells)

Milka Popova, Ibrahim Fakih, Evelyne Forano, Anne Siegel, Rafael Muñoz-Tamayo, Diego Morgavi

► To cite this version:

Milka Popova, Ibrahim Fakih, Evelyne Forano, Anne Siegel, Rafael Muñoz-Tamayo, et al.. Rumen microbial genomics: from cells to genes (and back to cells). CAB Reviews Perspectives in Agriculture Veterinary Science Nutrition and Natural Resources, 2022, 2022, 10.1079/cabireviews202217025 . hal-03929845

HAL Id: hal-03929845

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03929845>

Submitted on 12 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Rumen microbial genomics: from cells to genes (and back to cells)**

2
3 Milka Popova^{1*}, Ibraim Fakh^{2,3}, Evelyne Forano², Anne Siegel⁴, Rafael Muñoz-Tamayo³, Diego P.
4 Morgavi¹

5
6 ¹Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UMR Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France

7 ²Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, UMR 454 MEDIS, Clermont-Ferrand, France

8 ³Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR Modélisation Systémique Appliquée aux Ruminants, 75005, Paris, France

9 ⁴Université Rennes, Inria, CNRS, IRISA, Dyliss team, Rennes, France

10
11 * Corresponding author milka.popova@inrae.fr

13 **Abstract**

14 The rumen harbours countless bacteria, archaea, ciliated protozoa, fungi, and viruses: various microorganisms
15 that have established multiplicity of relationships to efficiently digest complex plant fibres and polysaccharides to
16 produce volatile fatty acids (VFAs), microbial proteins, and vitamins, essentials for the host's health, growth and
17 performances. Recent studies using omics-based techniques have revealed that changes in rumen microbiota are
18 associated with changes in ruminants' production and health parameters such as feed efficiency, methane yield, milk
19 composition and ruminal acidity. However, traditionally, rumen microbes were unveiled using anaerobic culture-
20 based techniques, which are at the origin of most of the basic concepts and understanding of the rumen functioning.
21 Isolating and culturing microbes is frequently more difficult, time-consuming and requires more training than
22 molecular techniques, which explains why culture seems to be abandoned in favour of sequencing. Microbial
23 cultures enable the study of substrate preferences and product output, essential growth requirements, biocide
24 production, and susceptibilities; obtaining a pure culture also enables genome sequencing of these strains. We
25 propose here, after a brief report of published rumen isolates, a comprehensive review of current advances in
26 molecular methods to identify novel rumen microbes and discuss how culturing and mathematics could enhance our
27 understanding of rumen microbiology.

28 Key words: ruminants, microbiota, pure cultures, modelling, genomics

29 **Review methodology**

30 This review is reported under the PRISMA 2020 guidelines for a systematic review. We conducted a comprehensive
31 Web of Science search, using search terms "rumen" and "isolate" spanning the period 1945-2022 for an overview of

32 culture-based studies exploring rumen microbiota. Further, we searched academic databases (PubMed, Scopus,
33 Web of Science) to identify articles reporting enhanced genomic and mathematic evaluation of rumen microbiota.

34 35 **Introduction**

36 Ruminant livestock systems make both positive and negative contributions to the development of sustainable
37 agriculture. Ruminants convert roughages into high-quality proteins for human consumption while adding value to
38 poor or erodable lands. At the same time, ruminant production is criticised for its negative impact on the
39 environment and feeds that can be used for human consumption. Under the menace of climate change, ruminants
40 face the challenges of feed scarcity, reduced productivity and increased health burden.

41 Ruminants have adapted their digestive systems to eat only plants, and they are most efficient to retrieve energy
42 from the plant cell walls than monogastric herbivores. Their stomach has four separate compartments with a
43 distinctive function, allowing them to digest without thoroughly chewing. The partially chewed plant material is
44 further processed by microbes in the rumen section of the stomach. Microbes break down organic matter and
45 ferment it to produce volatile fatty acids, the ruminants' primary energy source. Rumen microorganisms have a
46 critical role in ruminant nutrition and health, but, on the downside, they generate methane – a potent greenhouse gas
47 and contribute to ammonia emissions. Research in rumen microbiota started with the pioneering work of Robert
48 Edward Hungate more than 50 years ago [1, 2]; the growing evidence of the importance of rumen microbes for
49 sustainable livestock brought researchers to consolidate efforts in 2011 by forming [The Rumen Microbial Genomics](#)
50 [Network](#). The network serves as a global collaborative platform for researchers, employing microbial genomics
51 methodologies to understand the rumen microbiome better to address global agricultural concerns. Several
52 international projects underpin the network: Hungate 1000 [3, 4], Global Rumen Census [5], [RuminOmics](#) [6, 7],
53 [RumenPredict](#) [8], [MASTER](#), and [HoloRuminant](#). These projects contributed to our enhanced comprehension of the
54 rumen microbial ecosystem.

55 The rumen contains representatives of all domains of life: Bacteria, Archaea, Eucarya. Bacteria are the most
56 abundant and diverse; however, a diverse range of protozoa can also be found. Anaerobic fungi are also widely
57 distributed, and bacteriophages are integral to the microbiota. Archaea are the only group producing methane.
58 Numerous reviews address the composition of rumen microbiota in different ruminant species and at different
59 physiological stages [4, 6-12], with the main focus being on Bacteria and Archaea as of their implication in feed
60 digestion and methane production. But scientists agree that gaps of knowledge remain. This review stresses the
61 limited understanding of individual microbes, as specific species could be the key to improving the sustainability of
62 ruminant production systems. We also discuss research challenges to improve rumen microbial understanding,
63 including opportunities for developing enhanced predictive models of rumen metabolism.

64 **Cultured rumen microbes**

65 In 1959 [13] and later in 1964 [14], it was suggested that most of the functionally important bacterial
66 groups had already been described. Rumen bacteria (and archaea — classified at the time within bacteria) were
67 initially classified according to specific wall staining tests into Gram-negative or Gram-positive species and their
68 ability to grow on certain substrates or produce specific metabolites. Hungate [15] proposed to divide them into
69 cellulolytic, amylolytic, hemicellulolytic, saccharolytic, proteolytic, methanogenic, lipolytic, and bacteria that use
70 the products formed by other microbes. This classification was recently brought back in the spotlight by the
71 proposal of the functional group concept [16], dividing microorganisms into groups based on metabolic inputs and
72 outputs and irrespective of the taxonomy. However, this implies that an in-depth knowledge of microbial
73 physiology is accumulated. The time and effort required to isolate and identify pure rumen microbes in cultures
74 preclude this technique as a tool for cataloguing the complex rumen microbiota; still, the culture of isolates alone or
75 in defined mixtures remain essential for the understanding of critical mechanistic factors governing microbial
76 functioning. Through the growth of pure cultures under conditions similar to those of the rumen, it is possible to
77 infer information on the role of these strains in their complex natural environment. This information derived from
78 pure culture alone or simplified combinations can be used to formulate a hypothesis to be tested in the diverse
79 community of the rumen.

80 In this context, we conducted a systematic literature survey for microbial isolates from the rumen (Table1).
81 The survey was performed in Web of Science (WoS) (accessed January 2022) with the search terms "rumen" and
82 "isolate", spanning 1945-2022. We examined the resultant titles, abstracts, and full-text for relevance to the topic
83 and extracted the relevant information from each study. However, the WoS search missed some important
84 documents. Therefore, we reviewed the cited references in all relevant publications and journal articles not listed in
85 WoS and extra references known to the authors. In addition, we included all ruminant animal species but excluded
86 isolates from faecal contents.

87 Following the development of anaerobic techniques for the culture of strictly anaerobic bacteria in the
88 1950s [17], a renewed interest can be observed in the isolation of representative rumen microbes from the beginning
89 of the 90s (Figure 1). Most of the studies included in the survey employed the classical "most probable number"
90 [18] or the "roll-tube method" developed by R.E. Hungate [19]. In our survey, we counted more than 14 000
91 isolates. More than 80% of the articles and the large majority of the isolates were Bacteria (Figure 2), anaerobic
92 fungi of the Neocallimastigomycota phylum were the second most reported (348 isolates). In addition, we
93 enumerated 25 Archaea strains, 18 bacteriophages isolated from rumen contents and 15 protozoa.

94 The most frequently studied bacterial strains belong to the Firmicutes phylum, 22% of all isolates (15%
95 were lactic acid bacteria characterised only by phenotypic screening), Fibrobacter far behind, represented 0.3%. The
96 large majority, 65%, of the bacterial strains remained unclassified compared to only 0.4% of isolated fungi. This can
97 be easily explained by the research strategy adopted in papers reporting many initial bacterial isolates. These pure
98 strains were further screened for relevant phenotypic properties, as enhanced fibre degradation activity,
99 detoxification potential (mainly tannins metabolism), fatty acids metabolism (mainly CLA-related), lactic acid

100 production or consumption or acetogenesis; from this initial screening, only the best performers were retained and
101 further characterised morphologically and taxonomically. Regretfully, non-selected isolates have probably been lost,
102 and the selected strains' fate is also uncertain. In addition, not all the isolated strains in "rumen" labs worldwide have
103 been mentioned in publications, and many strains may still reside in freezers. Nevertheless, such a large panel of
104 deeply characterised microbes constitutes an excellent point of departure for exploring fundamental microbial
105 interactions. To improve our understanding of cultured rumen microbes, in 2011, the Hungate1000 project was
106 launched. Coordinated and led by the RMG network, this initiative aimed at generating a reference set of 1000
107 microbial genomes cultivated from various ruminant host species [3, 4]. At that time, only 14 bacteria and one
108 methanogen from the rumen had their genomes sequenced. The project so far has produced more than 500
109 sequenced genomes, constituting the Hungate genome catalogue [3] (480 are bacterial, 21 are Archaea and 7
110 Viruses). Additionally, 221 cultured genomes were produced within independent studies [12].

111 As for the identified isolates, the Hungate1000 database is dominated by bacteria from the Firmicutes
112 phylum, while Bacteroidetes members are under-represented (Figure 3) [3]. Additionally, there is only one
113 Fibrobacteres-related strain, *Fibrobacter succinogenes* HM2, while in our survey, we numbered more than 50 strains
114 isolated from bovine or sheep rumen, 38 of them having already their genomes sequenced [20]. *F. succinogenes* is a
115 remarkably proficient fibre degrading bacterium whose enzymatic system has been extensively studied [20-24]. *F.*
116 *succinogenes* S85 has been shown as an excellent model for exploring the species' fibrolytic traits, as the strain has
117 preserved its enzymatic characteristics compared to numerous phylogenetically close isolates [23]. In a comparative
118 pure culture assay, *F. succinogenes* strains S85 and A3C digested more cellulose than the other two prominent
119 rumen cellulolytic bacteria: *Ruminococcus albus* and *R. flavefaciens* [25]. Furthermore, a recent *in vivo* work
120 showed that *F. succinogenes* S85 was outcompeted by *Ruminococcus* strains in gnotobiotic model lambs [26].
121 However, the authors noticed a significant decrease in *F. succinogenes* numbers and transcriptional activity using
122 classical microbiological tools and high throughput sequencing techniques and highlighted different enzymatic
123 strategies for cellulose degradation. This work was built on previously whole sequenced genomes and the
124 characterisation, using pure cultures, of genes essential to fibre digestion. Therefore, it remains decidedly clear that
125 the pure culture approach generates valuable data for precise phylogenetic and phenotypic characterisation of rumen
126 microbes and for exploring microbial interaction in fully-controlled conditions.

127 The Hungate collection is far from complete [3]. However, in a comparative study based on the 16S rRNA
128 gene sequences, the authors estimated that the Hungate dataset covered 75% of the microbial genera retrieved in the
129 rumen [3]. This is a pretty good achievement regarding that the estimated percentage of rumen prokaryotes that can
130 be cultured is only 40% [27]. Despite that, Zehavi *et al.* noticed that less than 4% of their isolates were represented
131 in the Hungate collection in their considerable isolation effort. In this regard, pursuing the isolation of rumen
132 microbes for enriching culture collections is a challenge in the domain. Culture collections are critical for the
133 conservation and long term utilisation of biological resources; they also support research by supplying biological
134 material. High throughput culturomics approaches could help isolate new bacteria belonging to the rare biosphere or
135 poorly represented taxa [27].

136 **Metataxonomics of rumen microbes**

137 Amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene is the most widely used approach to study rumen microbiota.
138 However, scientists employed various primers (targeting variable regions V1, V3, V4, V5) and assigned taxonomy
139 using various databases (GreenGenes, Ribosomal Database Project (RDP), SILVA), and various pipelines (mothur,
140 QIIME, DADA2 ...). In 2018, Denman *et al.* reviewed the limitations of these techniques, from the primer selection
141 through the sequencing error removal and the OTU-clustering step to the accuracy of the databases for taxonomic
142 affiliation. They argued that some of the weaknesses could be explained by the incorrect use of bioinformatics
143 tools. Redoubling our efforts with a more precise application of these technologies will result in a complete and deep
144 understanding of rumen microbes' compositional and functional capability [28]. The response was not long in
145 coming, as since several research groups undertook the assessment of protocols for sample processing [29] and data
146 analysis [30, 31]. Establishing standard operating procedures for analysing rumen microbiota is also embedded in
147 collaborative projects such as [RumenPredict](#), [MASTER](#), [HoloRuminant](#). A guide for database choice in rumen
148 amplicon studies [31] employed a rumen-specific reference standard to compare 16S rRNA database classifications.
149 This rumen reference standard comprised 16 bacterial and archaeal full-length 16S rRNA and 9 protozoal 18S
150 rRNA, and libraries were prepared following a previously described sample preparation guide [29] with primers
151 targeting the V4 region [32]. Taxonomic classification was performed against RDP (version 11.5), the Genome
152 Taxonomy Database (GTDB; release date 20/11/2018), SILVA (version 132) and RefSeq + RDP (release date
153 14/05/2018); the latter two giving the more accurate classification at the genus level.

154 Meanwhile, amplicon sequencing enriched our knowledge of rumen microbial diversity. A meta-analysis of
155 curated 16S rRNA gene sequences showed that Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria are the dominant phyla
156 (93% of all sequences) out of 19 detected [33]. For Archaea, the Methanobacteria were the most abundant. The
157 Rumen Microbial Census network conducted an extensive investigation of the rumen microbial community (742
158 samples from 32 ruminant species from 35 countries) to identify the core microbiota and elucidate variations in the
159 rumen microbiome linked to ruminant species, diet, and geographical location [5]. This large scale survey confirmed
160 the crucial role of the diet in shaping rumen microbial profile, undoubtedly explained by the amount, physical and
161 chemical nature of feeds supplied.

162 On the other hand, the diet did not affect the methanogens population, with *Methanobrevibacter*
163 *gottschalkii* and *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* nearly ubiquitous and representing 74% of the archaeal
164 sequences [5]. This complies with the ecological niche that methanogens occupy in the rumen as they use
165 fermentation end products from other microbial species as an energy source. Reports on fungal and protozoal
166 metataxonomy are more occasional. Neocallimastigomycota actively take part in the plant biomass degradation
167 ingested by the host animal and their divergence time concurred with the shift of ancestral mammals from primarily
168 insectivory to herbivory [34]. Morphological features and complex life cycle have entangled taxonomic classification
169 of anaerobic fungi, but the use of marker genes made this task easier [35]. Kittelmann *et al.* [36, 37] used the
170 internal transcribed spacer (ITS1) as a taxonomic marker for describing the fungal community in New Zealand
171 ruminants. Neocallimastix, Piromyces and Orpinomyces accounted for 60% of the detected genera, 24% belonging

172 to novel clades. However, they highlighted that inter-animal variations are as high as 88%, pointing to the strong
173 effect of the host and the diet. The high variations in ITS1 size and sequence questioned its accuracy [38, 39]; the
174 potential of the large 28S rRNA subunit as a phylogenetic marker has also been explored [40].

175 Microbial profiling using the 16S rRNA amplicon approach provided valuable insights into the rumen
176 ecosystem composition and its relationship with diet, feed efficiency, enteric methane emissions, milk quality,
177 acidosis or its establishment in early life [6-8, 10, 28, 41-43]. A significant step forward in understanding the
178 influence of microbial community structure on animal phenotype was achieved by identifying ruminotypes
179 associated with methane emissions. Three independent studies, one in sheep [44] and two in dairy cattle [45, 46],
180 established the link between high-methane production and species belonging to *Ruminococcaceae*,
181 *Christensenellaceae* and *Lachnospiraceae*. At the same time, low methane emissions ruminotypes had higher
182 relative abundances of succinate producing bacteria [44-46]. More specifically, Ramayo-Caldas *et al.* highlighted
183 that an OTU classified as *Succinivibrionaceae_UCG-001* was only present in low emitting cows. In another study,
184 the same OTU increased in abundance after calving, and authors linked it to the observed enhanced fermentations
185 [47]. The abundance of *Succinivibrionaceae*-family was also positively associated with propionate concentrations,
186 feed efficiency [48] and milk protein [49]. Furthermore, strong positive and negative interactions at the
187 transcriptional level were reported between *Succinivibrionaceae* and other major bacterial and archaeal taxa from
188 the rumen [50]. All of the above studies support the idea that *Succinivibrionaceae* members play an essential role in
189 the rumen due to their ecological and metabolic functions. However, the Hungate genome catalogue has only two
190 strains from the *Succinivibrionaceae* family (*Ruminobacter amylophilus* and *Ruminobacter* sp. RM87), and in our
191 survey of cultured isolates, we found only one old article reporting the isolation of 7 *Succinivibrio* strains [51]. The
192 need arises to isolate more representatives of this taxon. The road is almost paved, as using binned metagenomic
193 data and metabolic predictions, Pope *et al.* in 2011 designed a defined medium for the for the culture of a
194 *Succinivibrionaceae* sp. that until then could not be grown axenically in the laboratory [52].

195 **Rumen Uncultured Genomes**

196 The amplicon sequencing approach requires prior knowledge for the design of primers and taxonomic
197 affiliation, but accumulated data in this field made it a highly discerning tool for the phylogenetic description of
198 microbial environmental samples [53]. Nevertheless, functional diversity cannot be directly predicted from
199 phylogenetic diversity in microbial communities. Arguably, the emergence and expansion of metagenomics have
200 been one of the most impressive achievements in microbial ecology during the last decade. Metagenomics refers to
201 the study of genomic material found in environmental samples and offers access to the functional gene content of
202 microbial communities, providing a considerably more comprehensive description than metataxonomics. A
203 significant breakthrough of metagenomics is building metagenomic assembled genomes (MAGs). In this approach,
204 sequences are assembled into scaffolds, which are subsequently categorised into potential MAGs based on
205 tetranucleotide frequencies, abundances, related marker genes, taxonomic alignments, and codon use [54]. In doing
206 so, we can identify novel species and get an insight into their contribution to microbial ecosystem dynamics.

207 Since 2011 with the first report of 446 rumen genome bins (and 15 draft genomes) [55], thousands of novel
208 MAGs were announced (251 by Parks *et al.* [56], 99 by Svartstrom *et al.* [57], 79 by Solden *et al.* [58], 5845 by
209 Stewart *et al.* [59, 60], 324 by Li *et al.* [61], 1200 by Wilkinson *et al.* [62], 391 by Glendinning *et al.* [63], 2809 by
210 Anderson & Fernando [64], 4960 in buffalos by Tong *et al.* [65], 719 by Peng *et al.* [66], 10373 by Xie *et al.* [12]
211 around the whole gastrointestinal tract and the list is not exhaustive). Close to 34 000 rumen MAGs were retrieved
212 from ten publicly available datasets [67]; 63% were seen in only one of the datasets, and no MAG was common in
213 all datasets. In this work, the author stressed the need to have standardized procedures for MAGs and corresponding
214 metadata description and a common repository for sharing data. This is a sensible comment which complies with the
215 collaborative efforts of the Hungate1000 project and the SOP for metataxonomic analysis for standardized databases
216 and tools for ruminants gut microbiota analysis.

217 In some of the studies mentioned above, authors mapped the retrieved MAGs to the Hungate1000 genome
218 database. Li *et al.* [61] reported a mapping rate of 5.4% (similarity $\geq 95\%$); 3% of the MAGs from Stewart *et al.*
219 [60] had $\geq 95\%$ protein identity with Hungate genomes, and this figure increased to 5.4% for similarity rates $\geq 90\%$;
220 3.5% of the MAGs from Anderson & Fernando [64] had a similarity rate $\geq 95\%$ with genomes from the
221 Hungate1000 database. Only eighteen from the 719 high-quality MAGs in Peng *et al.* [66] were classified as
222 eukaryotes and identified to belong to the fungal subphylum Neocallimastigomycota. Anyway, though this was the
223 first report of rumen fungal MAGs, the authors underlined that these MAGs are only 73% complete. Indeed, it is
224 particularly challenging to reconstruct eukaryotic genomes because of their size (>10 Mbp) and the presence of
225 frequent repeat region with high GC content. Zehavi *et al.* [27] accentuated that sequencing approaches
226 (metataxonomics was used in their study) target mainly abundant microbes. In contrast, culture can recover
227 abundant and rare microbes, as the ability to culture a microbe does on intrinsic characteristics and not on their
228 abundance [68]. Though this could partially explain the low coverage of the Hungate database by MAGs, the
229 question of whether these are real microbial species remains. In human faecal samples, MAGs recovered only 77%
230 of the core genes (shared by more than 90% of the present microbial species) and 50 % of the variable genes
231 (presents in more than 10%, but less than 90% of the population members) [69]. Moreover, human gut MAGs were
232 shown to be systematically depleted for genes encoding essential functions supporting life [70]. MAGs produced
233 from short-read metagenomic datasets do seldom contain 16S genes. The use of long reads sequencing platforms
234 will bridge the gap between MAGs and cultured species, also with metataxonomics and function. Notwithstanding,
235 to confirm the real biological existence of MAGs, returning to culturing seems necessary. This was already done
236 with the *Succinivibrionaceae* isolate of the wallaby [52], where the genome reconstruction allowed designing
237 appropriate culture media. Genomic analysis is particularly good at identifying genes and determining the functional
238 relationships between microbes. For validating phenotypic predictions based on genetic data, detecting novel
239 microbes, and investigating microbial interactions with precision, culture-based approaches are still required.

240 An alternative strategy to offset culture limitation is to isolate individual cells from fresh rumen contents as this was
241 recently done for rumen ciliates [71]. Cells were selected based on morphological traits using electron microscope
242 and subjected to whole genome sequencing using multiple displacement amplification or whole transcriptome

243 amplification. The analysis yielded 52 high quality ciliate genomes and allowed the classification of 22
244 morphospecies in 13 genera and the of a new family Dasytrichidae [71].

245 246 **Integrating culture-based approaches into the next generation models of the rumen** 247 **microbiome**

248 Rumen modelling started in the 70s with empirical and mechanistic developments [72]. Mechanistic rumen
249 models have been consolidated in four modelling structures, namely Molly [73], CNCPS [74], COWPOLL [75] and
250 Karoline [76], which have been incrementally improved over the years. However, the accuracy power to predict the
251 rumen fermentation profile can still be ameliorated [77] by including improvements on physiological components
252 (e.g., VFA absorption) [78], on rumen microbiota representation [79, 80], and the incorporation of thermodynamic,
253 regulation and inhibition factors [81-85].

254 Kinetic modelling approaches are traditionally used for modelling microbial ecosystems. They are derived
255 from mass-balance principles and have two sets of components: the first defining the mathematical functions
256 representing the kinetic rates of substrate utilization and product formation, and the second containing the
257 parameters that represent the stoichiometry of the reactions. Thus, incorporating data from pure-culture growth
258 experiments in kinetic models detect key parameters, such as the maximal growth rate and the substrate affinity
259 constants, and give insights on ecological properties such as microbial coexistence and exclusion. For example, in
260 work with rumen methanogens, a kinetic modelling approach quantified the metabolic and energetic differences
261 between three species, but the kinetic parameters alone did not explain microbial coexistence. Indeed, adhesion
262 properties played a role in the ecology of methanogens in the rumen [86]. Current rumen fermentation models are in
263 the category of kinetic models. In these models, the rumen microbiota is represented by macroscopic functional
264 groups derived from the study of the main reactions documented in the rumen literature. This representation is then
265 subjected to the modeller's choice and does not integrate data on microbial genomic knowledge.

266 Alternatively, genome-scale metabolic models (GEMs) allow the integration of microbial genomic
267 information. The core of a GEM of a microorganism is a graph that links the metabolites and biochemical reactions
268 that the organism can perform based on its genetic potential. This graph translates into a stoichiometry matrix of the
269 metabolism. The stoichiometry matrix results from a metabolic reconstruction based on annotation, orthology, gap-
270 filling, and manual curation [87] of the sequenced genome based on a large set of databases and toolboxes (KEGG
271 [88], MetaCyc [89], BiGG [90], Pathway Tools [91], CarveMe [92], KBase [93] and AuReMe [94]). While models
272 of the human gut microbiota already incorporate microbial genomic knowledge [95], genome-scale modelling of the
273 rumen microbiota is at an infant stage [96, 97]. Recently, the GEM approach was used to investigate the interactions
274 between dominant rumen microbial species and their associated phages. Individual GEMs of *Ruminococcus*
275 *flavefaciens*, *Prevotella ruminicola*, and *M. gottschalkii* were constructed and integrated into a community model
276 using multi-level mathematical frameworks [97]. The model predicted previously unknown interactions among the
277 community members and the complementing role of viral genes in these interactions. In addition, the GEM of a

278 rumen bacterium involved in lactate metabolism, *Megasphaera elsdenii*, highlighted the high number of metabolic
279 pathways for the production of VFAs [98, 99]. GEMS are often large networks with thousand metabolites and
280 reactions. For example, our preliminary reconstruction study on *F. succinogenes* S85 (Fakih *et al.* 2021) resulted in
281 a network with 1567 metabolic reactions and 1588 metabolites.

282 The next generation of rumen models should build on straightened microbial knowledge, but the systemic
283 understanding of microbial interactions and ruminal fermentation is still lacking. Therefore, *in vitro* culture systems
284 (batch and continuous) are valuable tools to study rumen metabolism, despite their limitations to mimic the rumen
285 ecosystem fully. These mini-consortia are suited for constructing tractable mathematical models with identifiable
286 properties (see, e.g., [101] for a discussion on parameter identifiability). For studying the rumen ecosystem, mini-
287 consortia can be built by selecting microbial species covering the major rumen metabolic cascades [3, 16]. Species
288 selection can be performed from microbial expert knowledge or synthetic ecology approaches targeting specific
289 metabolic functions or microbial interactions [102, 103].

290 Moreover, species selection can be performed using Metage2Metabo (M2M) [96]. M2M identifies mini-
291 consortia by reconstructing draft GEMs of all members of a microbial community, followed by the identification of
292 the individual and community metabolic potentials, the determination of the cooperation potential (set of metabolites
293 whose production only occur via microbial cooperation), and finally, outputs minimal communities and identifies
294 key species. The originality of M2M is its capability for handling hundreds of genomes and MAGs. M2M was
295 applied to 913 rumen MAGs of the cow rumen [59] and highlighted 127 key species, consisting of 20 essential
296 symbionts and 107 alternative ones [96].

297 The construction of metabolic networks of key rumen species is an excellent resource for studying the
298 rumen microbial ecosystem via constraint-based reconstruction and analysis (COBRA) methods. COBRA
299 approaches overcome the need to define kinetic rates and their parameters by assuming that internal metabolism
300 operates at steady-state conditions. Genome information and COBRA methods provide analytical tools for (1)
301 assisting the design of cultivation media allowing the study of uncultured gut bacteria [52], (2) designing strategies
302 targeting the inhibition of methanogens in genome-sequenced rumen microbes such as *M. ruminantium* [104], (3)
303 selecting probiotics to enhance rumen function and (4) enhancing our understanding on the robustness of the rumen
304 ecosystem linked to its resilience and functional redundancy [105].

305 Modelling the rumen microbial ecosystem shares similar challenges to those discussed by [95] and [103]
306 to model human gut microbiota. GEMS are often large networks with thousand metabolites and reactions. Model
307 reductions are needed to construct dynamic parsimonious metabolic models of key rumen microbes within mini-
308 consortia. Individual GEMs can be reduced using dedicated algorithms [106, 107] and exploit transcriptomic data to
309 select active pathways. Reduced GEMs can be further decomposed into their elementary flux modes (EFMs) [108] to
310 derive macroscopic reactions of the rumen fermentation, as it has been in studies on microalgae and yeast
311 metabolism [109, 110]. Rumen modelling can then capitalize on the advances done in other ecosystems (e.g., human

312 gut, engineering reactors). Finally, interdisciplinary research is paramount to get the most out of metabolic models
313 and culture systems, implying rumen microbiologists, computational biologists, and mathematical modellers.

314 **Conclusion**

315 Advances in next-generation sequencing technologies coupled with sophisticated metagenomics and phylogenetic
316 methodologies have radically altered our perceptions of microbial diversity. However, our inability to cultivate
317 representatives for many newly identified lineages contrasts with the rapid expansion of genomic data, which has led
318 to a better knowledge of archaeal and bacterial diversity and metabolic requirements. As a result, most of what we
319 now know about rumen microbes comes from a small number of well-studied cultured lineages or reconstructed
320 genomes from uncultured lineages. Even though this period of rapid genome-driven discovery has yielded numerous
321 critical new insights into rumen microbial life, it is critical to isolate and culture species from these uncultured
322 lineages to test genome-based predictions about their cell biology and physiology to comprehend their ecological
323 roles fully.

324 **Acknowledgements**

325 Ibrahim Fakhri was the recipient of an INRAE-Lallemand PhD fellowship. The INRAE part of the fellowship was
326 supported by the INRAE metaprogramme Holoflux.

327
328
329

330 **References**

- 331
- 332 1. Hungate RE. The rumen bacteria. In: Hungate RE, editor. *The Rumen and its Microbes*:
333 Academic Press; 1966. p. 8-90.
 - 334 2. Hungate RE. The rumen protozoa. In: Hungate RE, editor. *The Rumen and its Microbes*:
335 Academic Press; 1966. p. 91-147.
 - 336 3. Seshadri R, Leahy SC, Attwood GT, Teh KH, Lambie SC, Cookson AL, et al. Cultivation and
337 sequencing of rumen microbiome members from the Hungate1000 Collection. *Nature Biotechnology*
338 2018;36(4):359-67.
 - 339 4. Creevey CJ, Kelly WJ, Henderson G, Leahy SC. Determining the culturability of the rumen
340 bacterial microbiome. *Microbial Biotechnology* 2014;7(5):467-79.
 - 341 5. Henderson G, Cox F, Ganesh S, Jonker A, Young W, Global Rumen Census C, et al. Rumen
342 microbial community composition varies with diet and host, but a core microbiome is found across a wide
343 geographical range. *Scientific Reports* 2015;5:14567.
 - 344 6. Wallace RJ, Snelling TJ, McCartney CA, Tapio I, Strozzi F. Application of meta-omics
345 techniques to understand greenhouse gas emissions originating from ruminal metabolism. *Genetics*
346 *Selection Evolution* 2017;49(1):9.
 - 347 7. Tapio I, Snelling TJ, Strozzi F, Wallace RJ. The ruminal microbiome associated with methane
348 emissions from ruminant livestock. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology* 2017;8(1):7.
 - 349 8. Huws SA, Creevey CJ, Oyama LB, Mizrahi I, Denman SE, Popova M, et al. Addressing global
350 ruminant agricultural challenges through understanding the rumen microbiome: past, present, and future.
351 *Frontiers in microbiology* 2018;9:2161.

- 352 9. Morgavi DP, Forano E, Martin C, Newbold CJ. Microbial ecosystem and methanogenesis in
353 ruminants. *animal* 2010;4(7):1024-36.
- 354 10. Morgavi DP, Popova M, Yáñez-Ruiz DR, Forano E. Colonization and establishment of the rumen
355 microbiota opportunities to influence productivity and methane emissions. In: McSweeney CS, Mackie
356 RI, editors. *Improving rumen function: Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing Limited; 2020. p. 862.*
- 357 11. Mizrahi I, Wallace RJ, Moraïs S. The rumen microbiome: balancing food security and
358 environmental impacts. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 2021;19(9):553-66.
- 359 12. Xie F, Jin W, Si H, Yuan Y, Tao Y, Liu J, et al. An integrated gene catalog and over 10,000
360 metagenome-assembled genomes from the gastrointestinal microbiome of ruminants. *Microbiome*
361 2021;9(1):137.
- 362 13. Bryant MP. Bacterial species of the rumen. *Bacteriol Rev* 1959;23(3):125-53.
- 363 14. Hungate RE, P BM, A MR. The rumen bacteria and protozoa. *Annual Review of Microbiology*
364 1964;18(1):131-66.
- 365 15. Hungate RE. Ruminant functions related to rumen microbial activity. In: Hungate RE, editor. *The*
366 *Rumen and its Microbes: Academic Press; 1966. p. 148-205.*
- 367 16. Moraïs S, Mizrahi I. The road not taken: the rumen microbiome, functional groups, and
368 community states. *Trends in Microbiology* 2019;27(6):538-49.
- 369 17. Hungate RE. The anaerobic mesophilic cellulolytic bacteria. *Bacteriol Rev* 1950;14(1):1-49.
- 370 18. Williams MG, Busta FF. Total viable counts | Most Probable Number (MPN). In: Robinson RK,
371 editor. *Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology. Oxford: Elsevier; 1999. p. 2166-8.*
- 372 19. Hungate RE. A roll tube method for cultivation of strict anaerobes. In: Norris JR, Ribbons DW,
373 editors. *Methods in Microbiology. 3: Academic Press; 1969. p. 117-32.*
- 374 20. Neumann AP, Suen G, Rodrigues JM. The phylogenomic diversity of herbivore-associated
375 *Fibrobacter* spp. is correlated to lignocellulose-degrading potential. *mSphere* 2018;3(6):e00593-18.
- 376 21. Malburg Jr LM, Forsberg CW. *Fibrobacter succinogenes* S85 possesses at least nine distinct
377 glucanase genes. *Canadian journal of microbiology* 1993;39(9):882-91.
- 378 22. Jun HS, Ha JK, Malburg J, Laercio M, Verrinder Gibbins AM, Forsberg CW. Characteristics of a
379 cluster of xylanase genes in *Fibrobacter succinogenes* S85. *Canadian journal of microbiology*
380 2003;49(3):171-80.
- 381 23. Béra-Maillet C, Ribot Y, Forano E. Fiber-degrading systems of different strains of the genus
382 *Fibrobacter*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2004;70(4):2172-9.
- 383 24. Neumann AP, McCormick CA, Suen G. *Fibrobacter* communities in the gastrointestinal tracts of
384 diverse hindgut-fermenting herbivores are distinct from those of the rumen. *Environmental Microbiology*
385 2017;19(9):3768-83.
- 386 25. Dehority BA. Microbial ecology of cell wall fermentation. In: Jung HG, Buxton DR, Hatfield
387 RD, Ralph J, editors. *Forage Cell Wall Structure and Digestibility: American Society of Agronomy;*
388 *1993. p. 425-53.*
- 389 26. Yeoman CJ, Fields CJ, Lepercq P, Ruiz P, Forano E, White BA, et al. *In vivo* competitions
390 between *Fibrobacter succinogenes*, *Ruminococcus flavefaciens*, and *Ruminococcus albus* in a gnotobiotic
391 sheep model revealed by multi-omic analyses. *mBio* 2021;12(2):e03533-20.
- 392 27. Zehavi T, Probst M, Mizrahi I. Insights into culturomics of the rumen microbiome. *Frontiers in*
393 *microbiology* 2018;9(1999).
- 394 28. Denman SE, Morgavi DP, McSweeney CS. Review: The application of omics to rumen
395 microbiota function. *animal* 2018;12:s233-s45.
- 396 29. McGovern E, Waters SM, Blackshields G, McCabe MS. Evaluating established methods for
397 rumen 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing with mock microbial populations. *Frontiers in microbiology*
398 2018;9:1365-.
- 399 30. Henderson G, Yilmaz P, Kumar S, Forster RJ, Kelly WJ, Leahy SC, et al. Improved taxonomic
400 assignment of rumen bacterial 16S rRNA sequences using a revised SILVA taxonomic framework. *PeerJ*
401 2019;7:e6496.

- 402 31. Smith PE, Waters SM, Gómez Expósito R, Smidt H, Carberry CA, McCabe MS. Synthetic
403 sequencing standards: a guide to database choice for rumen microbiota amplicon sequencing analysis.
404 *Frontiers in microbiology* 2020;11:606825-.
- 405 32. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, et al.
406 Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proceedings of the*
407 *national academy of sciences* 2011;108(Supplement 1):4516-22.
- 408 33. Kim M, Morrison M, Yu Z. Status of the phylogenetic diversity census of ruminal microbiomes.
409 *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 2011;76(1):49-63.
- 410 34. Wang Y, Youssef NH, Couger MB, Hanafy RA, Elshahed MS, Stajich JE, et al. Molecular
411 Dating of the Emergence of Anaerobic Rumen Fungi and the Impact of Laterally Acquired Genes.
412 *mSystems* 2019;4(4):e00247-19.
- 413 35. Hess M, Paul SS, Puniya AK, van der Giezen M, Shaw C, Edwards JE, et al. Anaerobic Fungi:
414 Past, Present, and Future. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2020;11.
- 415 36. Kittelmann S, Naylor GE, Koolaard JP, Janssen PH. A proposed taxonomy of anaerobic fungi
416 (class neocallimastigomycetes) suitable for large-scale sequence-based community structure analysis.
417 *PLOS ONE* 2012;7(5):e36866.
- 418 37. Kittelmann S, Seedorf H, Walters WA, Clemente JC, Knight R, Gordon JI, et al. Simultaneous
419 amplicon sequencing to explore co-occurrence patterns of bacterial, archaeal and eukaryotic
420 microorganisms in rumen microbial communities. *PLOS ONE* 2013;8(2):e47879.
- 421 38. Callaghan TM, Podmirseg SM, Hohlweck D, Edwards JE, Puniya AK, Dagar SS, et al.
422 *Buwchfawromyces eastonii* gen. nov., sp. nov.: a new anaerobic fungus (Neocallimastigomycota) isolated
423 from buffalo faeces. *MycKeys* 2015;9:11-28.
- 424 39. Edwards JE, Hermes GDA, Kittelmann S, Nijse B, Smidt H. Assessment of the accuracy of
425 high-throughput sequencing of the ITS1 region of Neocallimastigomycota for community composition
426 analysis. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2019;10:2370.
- 427 40. Hess M, Paul SS, Puniya AK, van der Giezen M, Shaw C, Edwards JE, et al. Anaerobic fungi:
428 past, present, and future. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2020;11:584893-.
- 429 41. Wallace RJ, Sasson G, Garnsworthy PC, Tapio I, Gregson E, Bani P, et al. A heritable subset of
430 the core rumen microbiome dictates dairy cow productivity and emissions. *Science Advances*
431 2019;5(7):eaav8391.
- 432 42. Buitenhuis B, Lassen J, Noel SJ, Plichta DR, Sørensen P, Difford GF, et al. Impact of the rumen
433 microbiome on milk fatty acid composition of Holstein cattle. *Genetics Selection Evolution*
434 2019;51(1):23.
- 435 43. Jami E, White BA, Mizrahi I. Potential role of the bovine rumen microbiome in modulating milk
436 composition and feed efficiency. *PLOS ONE* 2014;9(1):e85423.
- 437 44. Kittelmann S, Pinares-Patiño CS, Seedorf H, Kirk MR, Ganesh S, McEwan JC, et al. Two
438 different bacterial community types are linked with the low-methane emission trait in sheep. *PLOS ONE*
439 2014;9(7):e103171.
- 440 45. Danielsson R, Dicksved J, Sun L, Gonda H, Müller B, Schnürer A, et al. Methane production in
441 dairy cows correlates with rumen methanogenic and bacterial community structure. *Frontiers in*
442 *microbiology* 2017;8.
- 443 46. Ramayo-Caldas Y, Zingaretti L, Popova M, Estelle J, Bernard A, Pons N, et al. Identification of
444 rumen microbial biomarkers linked to methane emission in Holstein dairy cows. *Journal of Animal*
445 *Breeding and Genetics* 2020;137(1):49-59.
- 446 47. Huang S, Ji S, Suen G, Wang F, Li S. The rumen bacterial community in dairy cows is correlated
447 to production traits during freshening period. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2021;12:630605-.
- 448 48. Li F, Li C, Chen Y, Liu J, Zhang C, Irving B, et al. Host genetics influence the rumen microbiota
449 and heritable rumen microbial features associate with feed efficiency in cattle. *Microbiome* 2019;7(1):92.
- 450 49. Xue MY, Sun HZ, Wu XH, Guan LL, Liu JX. Assessment of rumen bacteria in dairy cows with
451 varied milk protein yield. *Journal of Dairy Science* 2019;102(6):5031-41.

- 452 50. Li F, Henderson G, Sun X, Cox F, Janssen PH, Guan LL. Taxonomic assessment of rumen
453 microbiota using total RNA and targeted amplicon sequencing approaches. *Frontiers in microbiology*
454 2016;7:987.
- 455 51. Bryant MP, Small N. Characteristics of two new genera of anaerobic curved rods isolated from
456 the rumen of cattle. *Journal of bacteriology* 1956;72(1):22-6.
- 457 52. Pope PB, Smith W, Denman SE, Tringe SG, Barry K, Hugenholtz P, et al. Isolation of
458 *Succinivibrionaceae* implicated in low methane emissions from tamar wallabies. *Science*
459 2011;333(6042):646-8.
- 460 53. Tessler M, Neumann JS, Afshinnekoo E, Pineda M, Hersch R, Velho LFM, et al. Large-scale
461 differences in microbial biodiversity discovery between 16S amplicon and shotgun sequencing. *Scientific*
462 *Reports* 2017;7(1):6589.
- 463 54. Yang C, Chowdhury D, Zhang Z, Cheung WK, Lu A, Bian Z, et al. A review of computational
464 tools for generating metagenome-assembled genomes from metagenomic sequencing data. *Computational*
465 *and Structural Biotechnology Journal* 2021;19:6301-14.
- 466 55. Hess M, Sczyrba A, Egan R, Kim TW, Chokhawala H, Schroth G, et al. Metagenomic discovery
467 of biomass-degrading genes and genomes from cow rumen. *Science* 2011;331(6016):463-7.
- 468 56. Parks DH, Rinke C, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil P-A, Woodcroft BJ, Evans PN, et al. Recovery of
469 nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. *Nature microbiology*
470 2017;2(11):1533-42.
- 471 57. Svartström O, Alneberg J, Terrapon N, Lombard V, de Bruijn I, Malmsten J, et al. Ninety-nine de
472 novo assembled genomes from the moose (*Alces alces*) rumen microbiome provide new insights into
473 microbial plant biomass degradation. *The ISME Journal* 2017;11(11):2538-51.
- 474 58. Solden LM, Naas AE, Roux S, Daly RA, Collins WB, Nicora CD, et al. Interspecies cross-
475 feeding orchestrates carbon degradation in the rumen ecosystem. *Nature microbiology* 2018;3(11):1274-
476 84.
- 477 59. Stewart RD, Auffret MD, Warr A, Wiser AH, Press MO, Langford KW, et al. Assembly of 913
478 microbial genomes from metagenomic sequencing of the cow rumen. *Nature Communications*
479 2018;9(1):870.
- 480 60. Stewart RD, Auffret MD, Warr A, Walker AW, Roehe R, Watson M. Compendium of 4,941
481 rumen metagenome-assembled genomes for rumen microbiome biology and enzyme discovery. *Nature*
482 *Biotechnology* 2019;37(8):953-61.
- 483 61. Li J, Zhong H, Ramayo-Caldas Y, Terrapon N, Lombard V, Potocki-Veronese G, et al. A catalog
484 of microbial genes from the bovine rumen unveils a specialized and diverse biomass-degrading
485 environment. *GigaScience* 2020;9(6).
- 486 62. Wilkinson T, Korir D, Ogugo M, Stewart RD, Watson M, Paxton E, et al. 1200 high-quality
487 metagenome-assembled genomes from the rumen of African cattle and their relevance in the context of
488 sub-optimal feeding. *Genome biology* 2020;21(1):1-25.
- 489 63. Glendinning L, Genç B, Wallace RJ, Watson M. Metagenomic analysis of the cow, sheep,
490 reindeer and red deer rumen. *Scientific Reports* 2021;11(1):1-10.
- 491 64. Anderson CL, Fernando SC. Insights into rumen microbial biosynthetic gene cluster diversity
492 through genome-resolved metagenomics. *Communications Biology* 2021;4(1):818.
- 493 65. Tong F, Wang T, Gao NL, Liu Z, Cui K, Duan Y, et al. The microbiome of the buffalo digestive
494 tract. *Nature Communications* 2022;13(1):823.
- 495 66. Peng X, Wilken SE, Lankiewicz TS, Gilmore SP, Brown JL, Henske JK, et al. Genomic and
496 functional analyses of fungal and bacterial consortia that enable lignocellulose breakdown in goat gut
497 microbiomes. *Nature microbiology* 2021;6(4):499-511.
- 498 67. Watson M. New insights from 33,813 publicly available metagenome-assembled-genomes
499 (MAGs) assembled from the rumen microbiome. *bioRxiv* 2021:2021.04.02.438222.
- 500 68. Pedros-Alio C. Marine microbial diversity: can it be determined? *Trends in Microbiology*
501 2006;14(6):257-63.

- 502 69. Meziti A, Rodriguez-R LM, Hatt JK, Peña-Gonzalez A, Levy K, Konstantinidis KT. The
503 reliability of metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) in representing natural populations: insights from
504 comparing MAGs against isolate genomes derived from the same fecal sample. *Applied and*
505 *Environmental Microbiology* 2021;87(6):e02593-20.
- 506 70. Soverini M, Rampelli S, Turrone S, Brigidi P, Biagi E, Candela M. Do the human gut
507 metagenomic species possess the minimal set of core functionalities necessary for life? *BMC Genomics*
508 2020;21(1):678.
- 509 71. Li Z, Wang X, Zhang Y, Yu Z, Zhang T, Dai X, et al. Genomic insights into the phylogeny and
510 biomass-degrading enzymes of rumen ciliates. *bioRxiv* 2022:2022.01.05.474053.
- 511 72. Tedeschi LO, Ramirez-Restrepo CA, Muir JP. Developing a conceptual model of possible
512 benefits of condensed tannins for ruminant production. *animal* 2014;8(7):1095-105.
- 513 73. Baldwin RL, Thornley JH, Beever DE. Metabolism of the lactating cow. II. Digestive elements of
514 a mechanistic model. *Journal of Dairy Research* 1987;54(1):107-31.
- 515 74. Russell JB, O'Connor JD, Fox DG, Van Soest PJ, Sniffen CJ. A net carbohydrate and protein
516 system for evaluating cattle diets: I. Ruminal fermentation. *Journal of Animal Science* 1992;70(11):3551-
517 61.
- 518 75. Dijkstra J, Neal HD, Beever DE, France J. Simulation of nutrient digestion, absorption and
519 outflow in the rumen: model description. *The Journal of Nutrition* 1992;122(11):2239-56.
- 520 76. Danfær AC, Huhtanen P, Udén P, Sveinbjörnsson J, Volden H, Kebreab E, et al. The Nordic
521 dairy cow model, Karoline - description. In: Kebreab E, Dijkstra J, Bannink A, Gerrits WJJ, France J,
522 editors. *Nutrient digestion and utilization in farm animals: modelling approaches.* : CAB eBooks; 2006.
- 523 77. Kass M, Ramin M, Hanigan MD, Huhtanen P. Comparison of Molly and Karoline models to
524 predict methane production in growing and dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 2022.
- 525 78. Bannink A, van Lingen HJ, Ellis JL, France J, Dijkstra J. The contribution of mathematical
526 modeling to understanding dynamic aspects of rumen metabolism. *Frontiers in microbiology*
527 2016;7:1820-.
- 528 79. Ellis JL, Dijkstra J, Kebreab E, Bannink A, Odongo NE, McBride BW, et al. Aspects of rumen
529 microbiology central to mechanistic modelling of methane production in cattle. *The Journal of*
530 *Agricultural Science* 2008;146(2):213-33.
- 531 80. Muñoz-Tamayo R, Giger-Reverdin S, Sauvant D. Mechanistic modelling of in vitro fermentation
532 and methane production by rumen microbiota. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 2016;220:1-21.
- 533 81. Janssen PH. Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and fermentation balances
534 through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation thermodynamics. *Animal Feed Science and*
535 *Technology* 2010;160(1):1-22.
- 536 82. Muñoz-Tamayo R, Chagas JC, Ramin M, Krizsan SJ. Modelling the impact of the macroalgae
537 *Asparagopsis taxiformis* on rumen microbial fermentation and methane production. *Peer Community*
538 *Journal* 2021;1.
- 539 83. Ungerfeld EM. Metabolic hydrogen flows in rumen fermentation: principles and possibilities of
540 interventions. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2020;11(589).
- 541 84. van Lingen HJ, Fadel JG, Moraes LE, Bannink A, Dijkstra J. Bayesian mechanistic modeling of
542 thermodynamically controlled volatile fatty acid, hydrogen and methane production in the bovine rumen.
543 *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 2019;480:150-65.
- 544 85. van Lingen HJ, Fadel JG, Yáñez-Ruiz DR, Kindermann M, Kebreab E. Inhibited methanogenesis
545 in the rumen of cattle: microbial metabolism in response to supplemental 3-nitrooxypropanol and nitrate.
546 *Frontiers in microbiology* 2021;12:705613.
- 547 86. Muñoz-Tamayo R, Popova M, Tillier M, Morgavi DP, Morel J-P, Fonty G, et al.
548 Hydrogenotrophic methanogens of the mammalian gut: Functionally similar, thermodynamically
549 different—A modelling approach. *PLOS ONE* 2019;14(12):e0226243.
- 550 87. Thiele I, Palsson BØ. A protocol for generating a high-quality genome-scale metabolic
551 reconstruction. *Nature Protocols* 2010;5(1):93-121.

- 552 88. Ogata H, Goto S, Sato K, Fujibuchi W, Bono H, Kanehisa M. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of
553 Genes and Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 1999;27(1):29-34.
- 554 89. Caspi R, Billington R, Ferrer L, Foerster H, Fulcher CA, Keseler IM, et al. The MetaCyc
555 database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the BioCyc collection of pathway/genome databases.
556 *Nucleic Acids Research* 2015;44(D1):D471-D80.
- 557 90. King ZA, Lu J, Dräger A, Miller P, Federowicz S, Lerman JA, et al. BiGG Models: A platform
558 for integrating, standardizing and sharing genome-scale models. *Nucleic Acids Research*
559 2015;44(D1):D515-D22.
- 560 91. Karp PD, Paley S, Romero P. The Pathway Tools software. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*
561 2002;18(suppl_1):S225-S32.
- 562 92. Machado D, Andrejev S, Tramontano M, Patil KR. Fast automated reconstruction of genome-
563 scale metabolic models for microbial species and communities. *Nucleic Acids Research*
564 2018;46(15):7542-53.
- 565 93. Arkin AP, Cottingham RW, Henry CS, Harris NL, Stevens RL, Maslov S, et al. KBase: The
566 United States department of energy systems biology knowledgebase. *Nature Biotechnology*
567 2018;36(7):566-9.
- 568 94. Aite M, Chevallier M, Frioux C, Trottier C, Got J, Cortés MP, et al. Traceability, reproducibility
569 and wiki-exploration for “à-la-carte” reconstructions of genome-scale metabolic models. *PLOS*
570 *Computational Biology* 2018;14(5):e1006146.
- 571 95. Kumar M, Ji B, Zengler K, Nielsen J. Modelling approaches for studying the microbiome. *Nature*
572 *microbiology* 2019;4(8):1253-67.
- 573 96. Belcour A, Frioux C, Aite M, Bretaudeau A, Hildebrand F, Siegel A. Metage2Metabo,
574 microbiota-scale metabolic complementarity for the identification of key species. *eLife* 2020;9:e61968.
- 575 97. Islam MM, Fernando SC, Saha R. Metabolic modeling elucidates the transactions in the rumen
576 microbiome and the shifts upon virome interactions. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2019;10.
- 577 98. Lee N-R, Lee CH, Lee D-Y, Park J-B. Genome-scale metabolic network reconstruction and in
578 silico analysis of hexanoic acid producing *Megasphaera elsdenii*. *Microorganisms* 2020;8(4):539.
- 579 99. Panikov NS. True and illusory benefits of modeling: comment on “Genome-scale metabolic
580 network reconstruction and in silico analysis of hexanoic acid producing *Megasphaera elsdenii*.
581 *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 539”. *Microorganisms* 2020;8(11):1742.
- 582 100. Fakih I, Got J, Siegel A, Forano E, Munoz Tamayo R. Genome-scale network reconstruction of
583 the predominant cellulolytic rumen bacterium *Fibrobacter succinogenes* S85. 2021 - 12th International
584 Symposium on Gut Microbiology; 2021-10-13; Virtual, France2021.
- 585 101. Muñoz-Tamayo R, Puillet L, Daniel JB, Sauvart D, Martin O, Taghipoor M, et al. Review: To be
586 or not to be an identifiable model. Is this a relevant question in animal science modelling? *animal*
587 2018;12(4):701-12.
- 588 102. Freilich S, Kreimer A, Meilijson I, Gophna U, Sharan R, Ruppin E. The large-scale organization
589 of the bacterial network of ecological co-occurrence interactions. *Nucleic Acids Research*
590 2010;38(12):3857-68.
- 591 103. Vrancken G, Gregory AC, Huys GRB, Faust K, Raes J. Synthetic ecology of the human gut
592 microbiota. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 2019;17(12):754-63.
- 593 104. Leahy SC, Kelly WJ, Altermann E, Ronimus RS, Yeoman CJ, Pacheco DM, et al. The genome
594 sequence of the rumen methanogen *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* reveals new possibilities for
595 controlling ruminant methane emissions. *PLOS ONE* 2010;5(1):e8926.
- 596 105. Weimer PJ. Redundancy, resilience, and host specificity of the ruminal microbiota: implications
597 for engineering improved ruminal fermentations. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2015;6.
- 598 106. Erdrich P, Steuer R, Klamt S. An algorithm for the reduction of genome-scale metabolic network
599 models to meaningful core models. *BMC Systems Biology* 2015;9(1):48.
- 600 107. Röhl A, Bockmayr A. A mixed-integer linear programming approach to the reduction of genome-
601 scale metabolic networks. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2017;18(1):2.

- 602 108. Schuster S, Hilgetag C. On elementary flux modes in biochemical reaction systems at steady
603 state. *Journal of Biological Systems* 1994;2(02):-.
- 604 109. Baroukh C, Muñoz-Tamayo R, Steyer J-P, Bernard O. DRUM: a new framework for metabolic
605 modeling under non-balanced growth. Application to the carbon metabolism of unicellular microalgae.
606 *PLOS ONE* 2014;9(8):e104499.
- 607 110. Robles-Rodriguez CE, Bideaux C, Guillouet SE, Gorret N, Cescut J, Uribe Larrea J-L, et al.
608 Dynamic metabolic modeling of lipid accumulation and citric acid production by *Yarrowia lipolytica*.
609 *Computers & Chemical Engineering* 2017;100:139-52.

610

611

612

613

614

Table 1 Studies found in Web of Science with research terms “rumen” and isolates, spanning the period 1947-2022, reporting at least one new microbial isolate characterized phenotypically or phylogenetically

Authors	Article title	Source Title	ISSN	Publication Year	DOI	Date of Export
			0022			
Bryant, MP; Doetsch, RN	A Study of Actively Cellulolytic Rod-Shaped Bacteria of the Bovine Rumen	J DAIRY SCI	-	1954		3/1/2022
	CHARACTERISTICS OF ORGANISMS ISOLATED FROM THE RUMEN OF COWS FED HIGH AND LOW ROUGHAGE RATIONS	J BACTERIOL	0302			
Bauman, HE; Foster, EM			0021	1956	10.1128/JB.71.3.333-338.1956	3/1/2022
	The characteristics of strains of selenomonas isolated from bovine rumen contents	J BACTERIOL	-	1956	10.1128/JB.72.2.162-167.1956	3/1/2022
Bryant, MP			9193			
	Characteristics of 2 new genera of anaerobic curved rods isolated from the rumen of cattle	J BACTERIOL	0021	1956	10.1128/JB.72.1.22-26.1956	3/1/2022
Bryant, MP; Small, N			-			
	Nutrition of lactic acid bacteria isolated from the rumen	J GEN MICROBIOL	9193	1958	10.1099/00221287-18-1-273	3/1/2022
Ford, JE; Perry, KD; Briggs, CAE			1287			
	The proteolytic system of a gram negative rod isolated from the bovine rumen	APPL MICROBIOL	0003	1958	10.1128/AEM.6.1.36-39.1958	3/1/2022
Hunt, WG; Moore, RO	Degradation and utilization of isolated hemicellulose BY pure cultures of cellulolytic rumen bacteria	J BACTERIOL	-	1965	10.1128/JB.89.6.1515-1520.1965	3/1/2022
Dehority, BA			9193			
	Characterization of several bovine rumen bacteria isolated with a xylan medium	J BACTERIOL	0021	1966	10.1128/JB.91.5.1724-1729.1966	3/1/2022
Dehority, BA			9193			
	Characterization of methanobacterium mobilis SP n isolated from bovine rumen	J BACTERIOL	0021	1968	10.1128/JB.95.5.1943-1951.1968	3/1/2022
Paynter, MJB; Hungate, RE			9193			
	Aspergillus-fumigatus and sporormia-minima isolated from rumen of sheep	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022	1969	10.1099/00221287-59-1-137	3/1/2022
Brewer, D; Taylor, A			1287			
	Pectin-fermenting bacteria isolated from bovine rumen	J BACTERIOL	0021	1969	10.1128/JB.99.1.189-196.1969	3/1/2022
Dehority, BA	Growth factor requirements of ruminococcus flavefaciens isolated from rumen of cattle fed purified diets	APPL MICROBIOL	-	1969	10.1128/AEM.17.5.737-741.1969	3/1/2022
Slyter, LL; Weaver, JM			6919			
	Improved method for enumerating and isolating lipolytic rumen bacteria	J APPL BACTERIOL	0021	1973	10.1111/j.1365-2672.1973.tb04088.x	3/1/2022
Henderson, C			8847			

Sharpe, ME; Latham, MJ; Garvie, EI; Zirngibl, J; Kandler, O	2 new species of lactobacillus isolated from bovine rumen, lactobacillus-ruminis SP-nov and lactobacillus-vitulinus SP-nov	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022 -	1287	1973	10.1099/00221287-77-1-37	3/1/2022
Coleman, GS; Laurie, JI	Metabolism of starch, glucose, amino-acids, purines, pyrimidines and bacteria BY 3 epidinium spp isolated from rumen	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022 -	1287	1974	10.1099/00221287-85-2-244	3/1/2022
Huisingh, J; Mcneill, JJ; Matrone, G	Sulfate reduction BY a desulfovibrio-species isolated from sheep rumen	APPL MICROBIOL	-	6919	1974	10.1128/AEM.28.3.489-497.1974	3/1/2022
Kemp, P; White, RW; Lander, DJ	Hydrogenation of unsaturated fatty-acids BY 5 bacterial isolates from sheep rumen, including a new species	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022 -	1287	1975	10.1099/00221287-90-1-100	3/1/2022
Coleman, GS; Laurie, JI; Bailey, JE; Holdgate, SA	Cultivation of cellulolytic protozoa isolated from rumen	J GEN MICROBIOL	-	1287	1976	10.1099/00221287-95-1-144	3/1/2022
Hayashi, T; Kitahara, K	Effect of carbon-dioxide and oxygen on growth of orange-colored streptococcus-bovis isolated from bovine rumen	J GEN APPL MICROBIOL	0022 -	1260	1976	10.2323/jgam.22.301	3/1/2022
Tarakanov, BV	Biological characteristics of streptococcus-bovis bacteriophages isolated from lysogenic cultures and sheep rumen	MICROBIOLOGY	0026 -	2617	1976		3/1/2022
Williams, AG; Harfoot, CG	Factors affecting uptake and metabolism of soluble carbohydrates BY rumen ciliate dasytricha-ruminantium isolated from ovine rumen contents BY filtration	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022 -	1287	1976	10.1099/00221287-96-1-125	3/1/2022
Minato, H; Suto, T	Technique for fractionation of bacteria in rumen microbial ecosystem .2. Attachment of bacteria isolated from bovine rumen to cellulose powder invitro and elution of bacteria attached therefrom	J GEN APPL MICROBIOL	0022 -	1260	1978	10.2323/jgam.24.1	3/1/2022
Hazlewood, G; Dawson, RMC	Characteristics of a lipolytic and fatty acid requiring butyrvibrio SP isolated from the ovine rumen	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022 -	1287	1979	10.1099/00221287-112-1-15	3/1/2022
Ledford, RA; Brown, JM	Characterization of group-n and group-d streptococci isolated from rumen fluids	J DAIRY SCI	-	0302	1979	10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(79)83245-2	3/1/2022
Minato, H; Suto, T	Technique for fractionation of bacteria in rumen microbial ecosystem .3. Attachment of bacteria isolated from bovine rumen to starch granules invitro and elution of bacteria attached therefrom	J GEN APPL MICROBIOL	0022 -	1260	1979	10.2323/jgam.25.71	3/1/2022
Stevenson, IL	Effect of l-alpha-amino-normal-butyric acid on growth and production of extracellular isoleucine and valine BY eubacterium-ruminantium and a related rumen isolate	CAN J MICROBIOL	0008 -	4166	1979	10.1139/m79-218	3/1/2022

Wojciechowicz, M; Heinrichova, K; Ziolecki, A	Polygalacturonate lyase produced BY lachnospira-multiparus isolated from the bovine rumen	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022 -	1287	1980	10.1099/00221287-117-1-193	3/1/2022
C S Stewart, C Paniagua, D Dinsdale, K J Cheng, And S H Garrow	Selective isolation and characteristics of Bacteriodes succinogenes from the rumen of a cow.	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	0099 -	2240	1981		3/1/2022
Williams, AG; Withers, SE	Bacillus spp in the rumen ecosystem - hemicellulose depolymerases and glycoside hydrolases of bacillus spp and rumen isolates grown under anaerobic conditions	J APPL BACTERIOL	0021 -	8847	1983	10.1111/j.1365-2672.1983.tb01325.x	3/1/2022
Miller, TL; Wolin, MJ; Hongxue, Z; Bryant, MP	Characteristics of methanogens isolated from bovine rumen	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	0099 -	2240	1986	10.1128/AEM.51.1.201-202.1986	3/1/2022
Fonty, G; Breton, A; Fevre, M; Citron, A; Hebraud, M; Gouet, P	Characterization of strictly anaerobic fungi isolated from the rumen of sheep - preliminary data	REPROD NUTR DEV	0926 -	5287	1987	10.1051/rnd:19870229	3/1/2022
Stewart, CS; Duncan, SH; Flint, HJ	Characteristics of isolates of lactobacillus-fermentum from the rumen of sheep	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	0266 -	8254	1988	10.1111/j.1472-765X.1988.tb01232.x	3/1/2022
Kostyukovskii, VA; Okunev, ON; Tarakanov, BV	Anaerobic cellulolytic fungi from cattle rumen	MICROBIOLOGY	0020 -	2617	1990		3/1/2022
Biavati, B; Mattarelli, P	Bifidobacterium-ruminantium SP-nov and bifidobacterium-merycicum SP-nov from the rumens of cattle	INT J SYST BACTERIOL	-	7713	1991	10.1099/00207713-41-1-163	3/1/2022
Dominguezbello, MG; Stewart, CS	Characteristics of a rumen clostridium capable of degrading mimosine, 3(oh)-4-(1h)-pyridone and 2,3-dihydropyridine	SYST APPL MICROBIOL	0723 -	2020	1991	10.1016/S0723-2020(11)80363-2	3/1/2022
Galindo, J; Elias, A; Menchaca, MA; Piedra, R	Identification of isolated gram cellulolytic bacillus in the rumen of cows consuming silage	CUBAN J AGR SCI	0864 -	0408	1991		3/1/2022
Galindo, J; Elias, A; Piedra, R; Cordero, J; Menchaca, M; Riveri, Z; Boucourt, R; Elizarde, S	Identification of gram + cellulolytic coccus strains isolated from the rumen of cows consuming poor quality silage	CUBAN J AGR SCI	0864 -	0408	1991		3/1/2022
Ho, YW; Bauchop, T	Morphology of 3 polycentric rumen fungi and description of a procedure for the induction of zoosporogenesis and release of zoospores in cultures	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022 -	1287	1991	10.1099/00221287-137-1-213	3/1/2022
Klieve, AV; Bauchop, T	Phage resistance and altered growth habit in a strain of streptococcus-bovis	FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	0378 -	1097	1991		3/1/2022
Kostyukovsky, VA; Okunev, ON; Tarakanov, BV	Description of 2 anaerobic fungal strains from the bovine rumen and influence of diet on the fungal population invivo	J GEN MICROBIOL	0022 -	1287	1991	10.1099/00221287-137-7-1759	3/1/2022
Larsen, M; Wolstrup, J; Henriksen, SA; Dackman, C; Gronvold, J; Nansen, P	Invitro stress selection of nematophagous fungi for biocontrol of parasitic nematodes in ruminants	J HELMINTHOL	0022 -	149X	1991	10.1017/S0022149X00010701	3/1/2022

Mukhopadhyay, B; Purwantini, E; Demacario, EC; Daniels, L	Characterization of a methanosarcina strain isolated from goat feces, and that grows on h2-co2 only after adaptation	CURR MICROBIOL	0343 - 8651 0343	1991	10.1007/BF02091977	3/1/2022
Ning, Z; Attwood, GT; Lockington, RA; Brooker, JD	Genetic diversity in ruminal isolates of selenomonas-ruminantium	CURR MICROBIOL	- 8651 0021	1991	10.1007/BF02091955	3/1/2022
Stevani, J; Grivet, JP; Hannequart, G; Durand, M	Glucose and lactate catabolism BY bacteria of the pig large-intestine and sheep rumen as assessed BY c-13 nuclear-magnetic-resonance Preliminary-observations of interaction between bacteriophages and streptococcus-bovis bacteria on ruminal epithelium	J APPL BACTERIOL	- 8847 0378	1991	10.1111/j.1365-2672.1991.tb03827.x	3/1/2022
Styriak, I; Galfi, P; Kmet, V	Comparison of growth-characteristics of anaerobic fungi isolated from ruminant and non-ruminant herbivores during cultivation in a defined medium	VET MICROBIOL	- 1135 0022	1991	10.1016/0378-1135(91)90135-3	3/1/2022
Teunissen, MJ; Dencamp, Hjmo; Orpin, CG; Veld, Jhjhi; Vogels, GD	Neocallimastix-hurleyensis SP-nov AN anaerobic fungus from the ovine rumen	J GEN MICROBIOL	- 1287 0008	1991	10.1099/00221287-137-6-1401	3/1/2022
Webb, J; Theodorou, MK	Isolation of monocentric and polycentric fungi from the rumen and feces of cows in georgia	CAN J BOT	- 4026 0008	1991	10.1139/b91-156	3/1/2022
Wubah, DA; Fuller, MS; Akin, DE	Rumen bacteria degrading fructan	CAN J BOT	- 4026 0266	1991	10.1139/b91-158	3/1/2022
A. Zirolecki, Wanda Guczyńska, Maria Wojciechowicz	Synergistes-jonesii, gen-nov, SP-nov - a rumen bacterium that degrades toxic pyridinediols	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	- 8254 0723	1992		3/1/2022
Allison, MJ; Mayberry, WR; Mcsweeney, CS; Stahl, DA	Deoxyribonuclease activity in streptococcus-bovis	SYST APPL MICROBIOL	- 2020 0266	1992	10.1016/S0723-2020(11)80111-6	3/1/2022
Javorsky, P; Vanat, I	Biochemical and physiological-properties of enterococci isolated from the rumen of calves	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	- 8254 0044	1992	10.1111/j.1472-765X.1992.tb00660.x	3/1/2022
Laukova, A	The biochemical and physiological traits of the coagulase negative rumen staphylococci and of those producing bacteriocin	ZIVOCISNA VYROBA	- 4847 0044	1992		3/1/2022
Laukova, A; Kmet, V	Physiological and biochemical characteristics of staphylococci isolated from the rumen of young calves and lambs	ZIVOCISNA VYROBA	- 4847 0232	1992		3/1/2022
Laukova, A; Marounek, M	Selective isolation of bacteria with dipeptidyl aminopeptidase type-i activity from the sheep rumen	ZBL MIKROBIOL	- 4393 0378	1992	10.1016/S0232-4393(11)80322-7	3/1/2022
Mckain, N; Wallace, RJ; Watt, ND	Selective isolation of bacteria with dipeptidyl aminopeptidase type I activity from the sheep rumen	FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	- 1097 0266	1992		3/1/2022
N Mckain, R J Wallace, N D Watt		FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	- 8254	1992		3/1/2022

Tabassum, R; Rajoka, MI; Malik, KA	Use of chemostat for enhanced production of beta-glucosidase BY newly isolated anaerobic cellulolytic clostridium strain rt9	APPL BIOCHEM BIOTECH	0273 -	2289 0099	1992	10.1007/BF02920555	3/1/2022
Wachenheim, DE; Patterson, JA	Anaerobic production of extracellular polysaccharide BY butyrivibrio-fibrisolvans nyx	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	-	2240 0266	1992	10.1128/AEM.58.1.385-391.1992	3/1/2022
Ziolecki, A; Guczynska, W; Wojciechowicz, M	Some rumen bacteria degrading fructan	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	-	8254 0916	1992	10.1111/j.1472-765X.1992.tb00774.x	3/1/2022
Fujimoto, K; Kimoto, H; Shishikura, M; Endo, Y; Ogimoto, K	Biohydrogenation of linoleic-acid BY anaerobic-bacteria isolated from rumen	BIOSCI BIOTECH BIOCH	-	8451 1121	1993	10.1271/bbb.57.1026	3/1/2022
Laukova, A	Enterococci and staphylococci isolates from rumen of fallow deer and their antimicrobial activity	MICROBIOLOGICA	-	7138 0375	1993		3/1/2022
Laukova, A	The properties of adherent staphylococci isolated from the rumen wall of lambs	VET MED-CZECH	-	8427 0266	1993		3/1/2022
Laukova, A; Marekova, M; Javorsky, P	Detection and antimicrobial spectrum of a bacteriocin-like substance produced BY enterococcus-faecium ccm4231	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	-	8254 0266	1993	10.1111/j.1472-765X.1993.tb01413.x	3/1/2022
Brooker, JD; Odonovan, LA; Skene, I; Clarke, K; Blackall, L; Muslera, P	Streptococcus-caprinus SP-nov, a tannin-resistant ruminal bacterium from feral goats	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	-	8254 1121	1994	10.1111/j.1472-765X.1994.tb00877.x	3/1/2022
Laukova, A	Antimicrobial susceptibility of ruminal coagulase-negative staphylococci	MICROBIOLOGICA	-	7138 0971	1994		3/1/2022
Laukova, A	Identification of ruminal enterococcal and streptococcal flora of sheep	J APPL ANIM RES	-	2119 0021	1994	10.1080/09712119.1994.9705999	3/1/2022
Attwood, GT; Reilly, K	Identification of proteolytic rumen bacteria isolated from new-zealand cattle	J APPL BACTERIOL	-	8847 0002	1995	10.1111/j.1365-2672.1995.tb03119.x	3/1/2022
Cummings, BA; Caldwell, DR; Gould, DH; Hamar, DW	Identity and interactions of rumen microbes associated with dietary sulfate-induced polioencephalomalacia in cattle	AM J VET RES	-	9645 0864	1995		3/1/2022
Galindo, J; Geerken, CM; Elias, A; Aranda, N; Piedra, R; Chongo, B; Delgado, D	Bacteria degrading mimosine, the 2,3-dihydroxypyridine and 3-hydroxy-4 pyridone in the rumen	CUBAN J AGR SCI	-	0408 0175	1995		3/1/2022
Hudson, JA; Mackenzie, CAM; Joblin, KN	Conversion of oleic acid to 10-hydroxystearic acid BY two species of ruminal bacteria	APPL MICROBIOL BIOT	-	7598 0343	1995		3/1/2022
Jiang, WH; Patterson, JA; Steenson, LR	Isolation and characterization of a temperate bacteriophage from a ruminal acetogen	CURR MICROBIOL	-	8651	1995	10.1007/BF00294695	3/1/2022

Nelson, KE; Pell, AN; Schofield, P; Zinder, S	Isolation and characterization of AN anaerobic ruminal bacterium capable of degrading hydrolyzable tannins	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	0099 - 2240 0378	1995	10.1128/AEM.61.9.3293-3298.1995	3/1/2022
Rieulesme, F; Fonty, G; Dore, J	Isolation and characterization of a new hydrogen-utilizing bacterium from the rumen	FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	- 1097 1075	1995	10.1111/j.1574-6968.1995.tb07338.x	3/1/2022
Skene, IK; Brooker, JD	Characterization of tannin acylhydrolase activity in the ruminal bacterium <i>Selenomonas ruminantium</i>	ANAEROBE	- 9964	1995	10.1006/anae.1995.1034	3/1/2022
Vangylswyk, NO	<i>Succiniclasticum ruminis</i> gen-nov, SP-nov, a ruminal bacterium converting succinate to propionate as the sole energy-yielding mechanism	INT J SYST BACTERIOL	0020 - 7713 0378	1995	10.1099/00207713-45-2-297	3/1/2022
Wong, MVL; Ho, YW; Tan, SG; Abdullah, N; Jalaludin, S	Isozyme and morphological-characteristics of the anaerobic fungus <i>piromyces mae</i> isolated from the duodenum, rumen and feces of sheep	FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	- 1097 0099	1995	10.1016/0378-1097(95)00351-5	3/1/2022
Anderson, RC; Rasmussen, MA; Allison, MJ	Enrichment and isolation of a nitropropanol-metabolizing bacterium from the rumen	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	- 2240 0020	1996	10.1128/AEM.62.10.3885-3886.1996	3/1/2022
Attwood, GT; Reilly, K; Patel, BKC	<i>Clostridium proteoclasticum</i> SP nov, a novel proteolytic bacterium from the bovine rumen	INT J SYST BACTERIOL	- 7713 0021	1996	10.1099/00207713-46-3-753	3/1/2022
Calsamiglia, S; Stern, MD; Firkins, JL	Comparison of nitrogen-15 and purines as microbial markers in continuous culture	J ANIM SCI	- 8812	1996		3/1/2022
Forster, RJ; Teather, RM; Gong, J	16S rDNA analysis of <i>Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens</i> : Phylogenetic position and relation to butyrate-producing anaerobic bacteria from the rumen of white-tailed deer	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	0266 - 8254 0022	1996	10.1111/j.1472-765X.1996.tb00069.x	3/1/2022
Krause, DO; Russell, JB	How many ruminal bacteria are there?	J DAIRY SCI	- 0302 0923	1996	10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(96)76506-2	3/1/2022
Rieulesme, F; Dauga, C; Morvan, B; Bouvet, OMM; Grimont, PAD; Dore, J	Acetogenic coccoid spore-forming bacteria isolated from the rumen	RES MICROBIOL	- 2508 0378	1996	10.1016/S0923-2508(97)85122-4	3/1/2022
Rieulesme, F; Morvan, B; Collins, MD; Fonty, G; Willems, A	A new H ₂ /CO ₂ -using acetogenic bacterium from the rumen: Description of <i>Ruminococcus schinkii</i> SP nov	FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	- 1097	1996		3/1/2022
Vangylswyk, NO; Hippe, H; Rainey, FA	<i>Pseudobutyrvibrio ruminis</i> gen nov, SP nov, a butyrate-producing bacterium from the rumen that closely resembles <i>Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens</i> in phenotype	INT J SYST BACTERIOL	0020 - 7713 0008	1996	10.1099/00207713-46-2-559	3/1/2022
Anderson, RC; Rasmussen, MA; Dispirito, AA; Allison, MJ	Characteristics of a nitropropanol-metabolizing bacterium isolated from the rumen	CAN J MICROBIOL	- 4166	1997	10.1139/m97-088	3/1/2022

Binder, J; Horvath, EM; Schatzmayr, G; Ellend, N; Danner, H; Krska, R; Braun, R	Screening for deoxynivalenol-detoxifying anaerobic rumen microorganisms	CEREAL RES COMMUN	0133 -				
	Group-specific 16S rrna hybridization probes for determinative and community structure studies of <i>Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens</i> in the rumen	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	3720 0099 -	1997			3/1/2022
Forster, RJ; Gong, JH; Teather, RM	Formate and ethanol are the major products of glycerol fermentation produced BY a <i>Klebsiella planticola</i> strain isolated from red deer		2240 1364 -	1997	10.1128/AEM.63.4.1256-1260.1997		3/1/2022
Jarvis, GN; Moore, ERB; Thiele, JH	Isolation and characterization of glycerol-fermenting bacteria from the rumen of red deer	J APPL MICROBIOL	5072 0008 -	1997	10.1046/j.1365-2672.1997.00217.x		3/1/2022
Jarvis, GN; Strompl, C; Moore, ERB; Thiele, JH	<i>Schwartzia succinivorans</i> gen nov, SP nov, another ruminal bacterium utilizing succinate as the sole energy source	CAN J MICROBIOL	4166 0020 -	1997	10.1139/m97-158		3/1/2022
Vangylswyk, NO; Hippe, H; Rainey, FA		INT J SYST BACTERIOL	7713 0168 -	1997	10.1099/00207713-47-1-155		3/1/2022
Wells, JE; Krause, DO; Callaway, TR; Russell, JB	A bacteriocin-mediated antagonism BY ruminal lactobacilli against <i>Streptococcus bovis</i>	FEMS MICROBIOL ECOL	6496 0099 -	1997	10.1016/S0168-6496(96)00095-5		3/1/2022
Attwood, GT; Klieve, AV; Ouwkerk, D; Patel, BKC	Ammonia-hyperproducing bacteria from New Zealand ruminants	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	2240 0367 -	1998			3/1/2022
Chhabra, A; Kaur, J; Malik, RK; Kaur, H	Isolation and characterization of ruminal bacteria degrading DHP, the toxic metabolite of mimosine	INDIAN J ANIM SCIS	8318 0378 -	1998			3/1/2022
Hudson, JA; Morvan, B; Joblin, KN	Hydration of linoleic acid BY bacteria isolated from ruminants	FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	1097 0723 -	1998	10.1111/j.1574-6968.1998.tb13329.x		3/1/2022
Jarvis, GN; Strompl, C; Moore, ERB; Thiele, JH	Isolation and characterisation of obligately anaerobic, lipolytic bacteria from the rumen of red deer	SYST APPL MICROBIOL	2020 0377 -	1998	10.1016/S0723-2020(98)80017-9		3/1/2022
Julliland, V; Riondet, C; DE Vaux, A; Alcaraz, G; Fonty, G	Comparison of metabolic activities between <i>Piromyces citronii</i> , and equine fungal species, and <i>Piromyces communis</i> , a ruminal species	ANIM FEED SCI TECH	8401 0008 -	1998	10.1016/S0377-8401(97)00043-6		3/1/2022
Odenyo, AA; Osuji, PO	Tannin-tolerant ruminal bacteria from East African ruminants	CAN J MICROBIOL	4166 1075 -	1998	10.1139/cjm-44-9-905		3/1/2022
Rieu-Lesme, F; Dauga, C; Fonty, G; Dore, J	Isolation from the rumen of a new acetogenic bacterium phylogenetically closely related to <i>Clostridium difficile</i>	ANAEROBE	9964 0026 -	1998	10.1006/anae.1998.0153		3/1/2022
Tarakanov, BV; Lavlinskii, DY	Cellulolytic bacteria of the genus <i>Ruminococcus</i> from bovine rumen	MICROBIOLOGY	2617 0266 -	1998			3/1/2022
Yanke, LJ; Cheng, KJ	A method for the selective enumeration and isolation of ruminal <i>Lactobacillus</i> and <i>Streptococcus</i>	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	8254 -	1998			3/1/2022

Duncan, SH; Doherty, CJ; Govan, JRW; Neogrady, S; Galfi, P; Stewart, CS	Characteristics of sheep-rumen isolates of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> inhibitory to the growth of <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157	FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	0378 - 1097 0020 -	1999	10.1016/S0378-1097(99)00493-0	3/1/2022
Guettler, MV; Rumler, D; Jain, MK	Actinobacillus succinogenes SP. Nov., a novel succinic-acid-producing strain from the bovine rumen	INT J SYST BACTERIOL	7713 0266 -	1999	10.1099/00207713-49-1-207	3/1/2022
Klieve, AV; Heck, GL; Prance, MA; Shu, Q	Genetic homogeneity and phage susceptibility of ruminal strains of <i>Streptococcus bovis</i> isolated in Australia	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	- 8254 0026 -	1999	10.1046/j.1365-2672.1999.00596.x	3/1/2022
Laukova, A	Vancomycin-resistant enterococci isolates from the rumen content of deer	MICROBIOS	2633 -	1999		3/1/2022
Mcsweeney, CS; Palmer, B; Bunch, R; Krause, DO	Isolation and characterization of proteolytic ruminal bacteria from sheep and goats fed the tannin-containing shrub legume <i>Calliandra</i> <i>calothyrsus</i>	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	0099 - 2240 1075 -	1999		3/1/2022
Morvan, B; Joblin, KN	Hydration of oleic acid BY <i>Enterococcus</i> <i>gallinarum</i> , <i>Pediococcus acidilactici</i> and <i>Lactobacillus</i> SP isolated from the rumen	ANAEROBE	9964 1466 -	1999	10.1006/anae.1999.0306	3/1/2022
Anderson, RC; Rasmussen, MA; Jensen, NS; Allison, MJ	<i>Denitrobacterium detoxificans</i> gen. Nov., SP nov., a ruminal bacterium that respire on nitrocompounds	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	- 5026 0168 -	2000	10.1099/00207713-50-2-633	3/1/2022
Brookman, JL; Ozkose, E; Rogers, S; Trinci, APJ; Theodorou, MK	Identification of spores in the polycentric anaerobic gut fungi which enhance their ability to survive	FEMS MICROBIOL ECOL	- 6496 0004 -	2000	10.1111/j.1574-6941.2000.tb00692.x	3/1/2022
Cheong, JPE; Brooker, JD	Isolation of a virulent bacteriophage from a <i>Propionibacterium</i> species in the sheep rumen	AUST J AGR RES	9409 0343 -	2000	10.1071/AR99069	3/1/2022
Jarvis, GN; Strompl, C; Burgess, DM; Skillman, LC; Moore, ERB; Joblin, KN	Isolation and identification of ruminal methanogens from grazing cattle	CURR MICROBIOL	8651 0015 -	2000	10.1007/s002849910065	3/1/2022
Kopecny, J; Hodrova, B	Chitinolytic enzymes produced BY ovine rumen bacteria	FOLIA MICROBIOL	5632 0015 -	2000	10.1007/BF02817622	3/1/2022
Ambrozic, J; Ferme, D; Grabnar, M; Ravnikar, M; Avgustin, G	The bacteriophages of ruminal prevotellas	FOLIA MICROBIOL	5632 1011 -	2001	10.1007/BF02825881	3/1/2022
Ha, JK; Lee, SS; Gao, Z; Kim, CH; Kim, SW; Ko, JY; Cheng, KJ	The effect of saturated fatty acids on cellulose digestion BY the rumen anaerobic fungus, <i>Neocallimatis frontalis</i> C5-1	ASIAN AUSTRAL J ANIM	- 2367 0015 -	2001	10.5713/ajas.2001.941	3/1/2022
Kopecny, J; Logar, RM; Kobayashi, Y	Phenotypic and genetic data supporting reclassification of <i>Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens</i> isolates	FOLIA MICROBIOL	5632 0168 -	2001	10.1007/BF02825883	3/1/2022
Mantovani, HC; Kam, DK; Ha, JK; Russell, JB	The antibacterial activity and sensitivity of <i>Streptococcus bovis</i> strains isolated from the rumen of cattle	FEMS MICROBIOL ECOL	- 6496 -	2001	10.1016/S0168-6496(01)00166-0	3/1/2022

Michalowski, T; Rybicka, K; Wereszka, K; Kasperowicz, A	Ability of the rumen ciliate <i>Epidinium ecaudatum</i> to digest and use crystalline cellulose and xylan for in vitro growth	ACTA PROTOZOOL	0065 - 1583 1075	2001		3/1/2022
Odenyo, AA; Bishop, R; Asefa, G; Jamnadass, R; Odongo, D; Osuji, P	Characterization of tannin-tolerant bacterial isolates from East African ruminants	ANAEROBE	- 9964 0008	2001	10.1006/anae.2000.0367	3/1/2022
Ozkose, E; Thomas, BJ; Davies, DR; Griffith, GW; Theodorou, MK	<i>Cyllumyces aberensis</i> gen.nov SP.nov., a new anaerobic gut fungus with branched sporangiophores isolated from cattle	CAN J BOT	- 4026	2001	10.1139/b01-047	3/1/2022
Pattnaik, P; Kaushik, JK; Grover, S; Batish, VK	Purification and characterization of a bacteriocin-like compound (Lichenin) produced anaerobically BY <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> isolated from water buffalo	J APPL MICROBIOL	1364 - 5072 0026	2001	10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01429.x	3/1/2022
Rinta-Koski, M; Beasley, S; Montonen, L; Mantere-Alhonen, S	Propionibacteria isolated from rumen used as possible probiotics together with bifidobacteria	MILCHWISSENSCHAFT	- 3788 1466	2001		3/1/2022
Whitford, MF; Yanke, LJ; Forster, RJ; Teather, RM	<i>Lachnobacterium bovis</i> gen. Nov., SP nov., a novel bacterium isolated from the rumen and faeces of cattle	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	- 5026 0029	2001	10.1099/00207713-51-6-1977	3/1/2022
Chen, YC; Hseu, RS	<i>Piromyces polycephalus</i> (Neocallimastigaceae), a new rumen fungus	NOVA HEDWIGIA	- 5035	2002	10.1127/0029-5035/2002/0075-0409	3/1/2022
Eschenlauer, SCP; Mckain, N; Walker, ND; Mcewan, NR; Newbold, CJ; Wallace, RJ	Ammonia production BY ruminal microorganisms and enumeration, isolation, and characterization of bacteria capable of growth on peptides and amino acids from the sheep rumen	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	0099 - 2240	2002	10.1128/AEM.68.10.4925-4931.2002	3/1/2022
Kim, YJ; Liu, RH; Rychlik, JL; Russell, JB	The enrichment of a ruminal bacterium (<i>Megasphaera elsdenii</i> YJ-4) that produces the trans-10, cis-12 isomer of conjugated linoleic acid	J APPL MICROBIOL	1365 - 2672 1466	2002	10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002.01610.x	3/1/2022
Lan, GQ; Ho, YW; Abdullah, N	<i>Mitsuokella jalaludinii</i> SP nov., from the rumens of cattle in Malaysia	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	- 5026	2002	10.1099/00207713-52-3-713	3/1/2022
Lee, PC; Lee, SY; Hong, SH; Chang, HN	Isolation and characterization of a new succinic acid-producing bacterium, <i>Mannheimia succiniciproducens</i> MBEL55E, from bovine rumen	APPL MICROBIOL BIOT	1432 - 0614 1364	2002	10.1007/s00253-002-0935-6	3/1/2022
Ouwerkerk, D; Klieve, AV; Forster, RJ	Enumeration of <i>Megasphaera elsdenii</i> in rumen contents BY real-time Taq nuclease assay	J APPL MICROBIOL	- 5072 0343	2002	10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002.01580.x	3/1/2022
Rychlik, JL; Lavera, R; Russell, JB	Amino acid deamination BY ruminal <i>Megasphaera elsdenii</i> strains	CURR MICROBIOL	- 8651 0099	2002	10.1007/s00284-002-3743-4	3/1/2022
Rychlik, JL; Russell, JB	Bacteriocin-like activity of <i>Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens</i> JL5 and its effect on other ruminal bacteria and ammonia production	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	- 2240	2002	10.1128/AEM.68.3.1040-1046.2002	3/1/2022

Kopecny, J; Zorec, M; Mrazek, J; Kobayashi, Y; Marinsek-Logar, R Krause, DO; Smith, WJM; Conlan, LL; Gough, JM; Williamson, MA; Mcsweeney, CS	Butyrivibrio hungatei SP nov and Pseudobutyrvibrio xylanivorans SP nov., butyrate-producing bacteria from the rumen Diet influences the ecology of lactic acid bacteria and Escherichia coli along the digestive tract of cattle: neural networks and 16S rdna Isolation and characterization of solventogenic, cellulase-free xylanolytic Clostridia from cow rumen	INT J SYST EVOL MICR MICROBIOLOGY-SGM	1466 - 5026 1350 - 0872 0273 -	2003 2003	10.1099/ij.s.0.02345-0 10.1099/mic.0.25685-0	3/1/2022 3/1/2022
Sankar, M; Delgado, O; Mattiasson, B	Biohydrogenation of C18 unsaturated fatty acids to stearic acid BY a strain of Butyrivibrio hungatei from the bovine rumen	WATER SCI TECHNOL LETT APPL MICROBIOL	1223 0266 -	2003	10.2166/wst.2003.0251 10.1046/j.1472-765X.2003.01421.x	3/1/2022 3/1/2022
Wallace, RJ; Mckain, N; Mcewan, NR; Miyagawa, E; Chaudhary, LC; King, TP; Walker, ND; Apajalahti, JHA; Newbold, CJ	Eubacterium pyruvativorans SP nov., a novel non-saccharolytic anaerobe from the rumen that ferments pyruvate and amino acids, forms caproate and utilizes acetate and propionate	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	1466 - 5026 0099	2003	10.1099/ij.s.0.02110-0	3/1/2022
Bera-Maillet, C; Ribot, Y; Forano, E	Fiber-degrading systems of different strains of the genus Fibrobacter The use of PCR for the identification and characterisation of bacteriocin genes from bacterial strains isolated from rumen or caecal contents of cattle and sheep	APPL ENVIRON MICROB FEMS MICROBIOL ECOL	- 2240 0168 -	2004	10.1128/AEM.70.4.2172-2179.2004 10.1016/j.femsec.2004.01.003	3/1/2022 3/1/2022
Cookson, AL; Noel, SJ; Kelly, WJ; Attwood, GT	Classical and molecular approaches as a powerful tool for the characterization of rumen polycentric fungi	FOLIA MICROBIOL	6496 0015 -	2004	10.1007/BF02931392	3/1/2022
Fliegerova, K; Hodrova, B; Voigt, K	Bacteriophages that infect the cellulolytic ruminal bacterium Ruminococcus albus AR67	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	- 8254 0926	2004	10.1111/j.1472-765X.2004.01493.x	3/1/2022
Klieve, AV; Bain, PA; Yokoyama, MT; Ouwerkerk, D; Forster, RJ; Turner, AF	Effect of anaerobic fungi on in vitro feed digestion BY mixed rumen microflora of buffalo	REPROD NUTR DEV FEMS MICROBIOL LETT	- 5287 0378 -	2004	10.1051/rnd:2004036 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2004.tb09632.x	3/1/2022 3/1/2022
Paul, SS; Kamra, DN; Sastry, VRB; Sahu, NP; Agarwal, N	Different restriction and modification phenotypes in ruminal lactate-utilizing bacteria Effect of cellulose degrading bacteria isolated from wild and domestic ruminants on in vitro dry matter digestibility of feed and enzyme production	ASIAN AUSTRAL J ANIM	1011 -	2004	10.5713/ajas.2004.199	3/1/2022
Piknova, M; Filova, M; Javorsky, P; Pristas, P	Nutritional requirements of Prevotella SP isolated from the rumen of the goat	BIOTECHNOL BIOPROC E	2367 1226 -	2004	10.1007/BF02942350	3/1/2022
Shin, HT; Lee, SW; Park, KM; Kim, LT; Son, AH; Lee, JH	Tannic acid resistance in ruminal streptococcal isolates	J BASIC MICROB	8372 0233 -	2005	10.1002/jobm.200410517	3/1/2022
Goel, G; Puniya, AK; Singh, K			111X			

Keating, AF; Stanton, C; Murphy, JJ; Smith, TJ; Ross, RP; Cairns, MT	Isolation and characterization of the bovine Stearoyl-coa desaturase promoter and analysis of polymorphisms in the promoter region in dairy cows	MAMM GENOME	0938 -	8990	2005	10.1007/s00335-004-2325-0	3/1/2022
Marichamy, S; Yigzaw, Y; Gorton, L; Mattiasson, B	Isolation of obligate anaerobic rumen bacteria capable of degrading the neurotoxin beta-ODAP (beta-N-oxalyl-L-alpha,beta-diaminopropionic acid) as evaluated BY a liquid chromatography/biosensor analysis system	J SCI FOOD AGR	0022 -	5142	2005	10.1002/jsfa.2211	3/1/2022
Marrero, Y; Galindo, J; Alvarez, E; Torres, V; Aldama, AI; Boucourt, R; Elias, A; Delgado, DC	Methodology for the isolation and characterization of yeasts from the ruminal ecosystem	CUBAN J AGR SCI	0864 -	0408	2005		3/1/2022
Mcsweeney, CS; Blackall, LL; Collins, E; Conlan, LL; Webb, RI; Denman, SE; Krause, DO	Enrichment, isolation and characterisation of ruminal bacteria that degrade non-protein amino acids from the tropical legume Acacia angustissima	ANIM FEED SCI TECH	0377 -	8401	2005	10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2005.02.018	3/1/2022
Ohmiya, K; Sakka, K; Kimura, T	Anaerobic bacterial degradation for the effective utilization of biomass	BIOTECHNOL BIOPROC E	- 8372	1075	2005	10.1007/BF02932282	3/1/2022
Russell, JB	Enrichment of fusobacteria from the rumen that can utilize lysine as AN energy source for growth	ANAEROBE	- 9964	0367	2005	10.1016/j.anaerobe.2005.01.001	3/1/2022
Singh, R; Singh, M; Nayyar, S	Characterization of rumen bacteria isolated from the buffalo calves	THE INDIAN J ANIM SCIS	- 8318	0343	2005		3/1/2022
Sprincova, A; Stovcik, V; Javorsky, P; Pristas, P	Occurrence of ps86/pef47-related plasmids in Gram-positive cocci	CURR MICROBIOL	- 8651	0003	2005	10.1007/s00284-005-4564-z	3/1/2022
Styriak, I; Spanova, A; Zitnan, R	Partial characterization of two ruminal bacteriophages with similar restriction patterns and different capsids morphology	ARCH TIERZUCHT	9438	1230	2005	10.5194/aab-48-572-2005	3/1/2022
Belzecki, G; Michalowski, T	The ability of the rumen ciliate, Diploplastron affine, to digest polysaccharides from fungal and bacterial cell walls	J ANIM FEED SCI	1388 0015	-	2006		3/1/2022
Piknova, M; Javorsky, P; Guczynska, W; Kasperowicz, A; Michalowski, T; Pristas, P	New species of rumen treponemes	FOLIA MICROBIOL	5632	0141	2006	10.1007/BF02931819	3/1/2022
Wang, AI; Gao, LF; Ren, NQ; Xu, JF; Liu, C	Bio-hydrogen production from cellulose BY sequential CO-culture of cellulosic hydrogen bacteria of Enterococcus gallinarum G1 and Ethanoigenens harbinense B49	BIOTECHNOL LETT	- 5492	-	2009	10.1007/s10529-009-0028-z	3/1/2022
Yang, HJ; Yue, Q; Cao, YC; Zhang, DF; Wang, JQ	Effects of crude feruloyl and acetyl esterase solutions of Neocallimastix SP YQ1 and Anaeromyces SP YQ3 isolated from Holstein steers on hydrolysis of Chinese wildrye grass hay, wheat bran, maize bran, wheat straw and corn stalks	ANIM FEED SCI TECH	0377 -	8401	2009	10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2009.09.006	3/1/2022

Zhang, KG; Dong, XZ	Selenomonas bovis SP nov., isolated from yak rumen contents	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	1466 - 5026 0015	2009	10.1099/ij.s.0.007641-0	3/1/2022
Belzecki, G; Miltko, R; Kwiatkowska, E; Michalowski, T	Mureinolytic Ability of the Rumen Ciliate Diploplastron affine	FOLIA MICROBIOL	- 5632	2010		3/1/2022
Cai, SC; Dong, XZ	Cellulosilyticum ruminicola gen. Nov., SP nov., isolated from the rumen of yak, and reclassification of Clostridium lentocellum as Cellulosilyticum lentocellum comb. Nov.	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	- 5026	2010	10.1099/ij.s.0.014712-0	3/1/2022
Cai, SC; Li, JB; Hu, FZ; Zhang, KG; Luo, YM; Janto, B; Boissy, R; Ehrlich, G; Dong, XZ	Cellulosilyticum ruminicola, a Newly Described Fibrolytic-Protein-Encoding Genes and Degrades Lignocellulose with Multiple Carbohydrate-Borne Fibrolytic Enzymes	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	1466 - 0099 2240 0377	2010	10.1128/AEM.03124-09	3/1/2022
Henning, PH; Horn, CH; Steyn, DG; Meissner, HH; Hagg, FM	The potential of Megasphaera elsdenii isolates to control ruminal acidosis	ANIM FEED SCI TECH	- 8401 0015	2010	10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2009.12.011	3/1/2022
Kasperowicz, A; Stan-Glasek, K; Guczynska, W; Piknova, M; Pristas, P; Nigutova, K; Javorsky, P; Michalowski, T	Fructanalytic and Saccharolytic Enzymes of the Rumen Bacterium Pseudobutyrvibrio ruminis strain 3-preliminary study	FOLIA MICROBIOL	- 5632 1466	2010	10.1007/s12223-010-0051-4	3/1/2022
Kuhnert, P; Scholten, E; Haefner, S; Mayor, D; Frey, J	Basfia succiniciproducens gen. Nov., SP nov., a new member of the family Pasteurellaceae isolated from bovine rumen	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	- 5026 0972	2010	10.1099/ij.s.0.011809-0	3/1/2022
Mamen, D; Vadivel, V; Pugalenthi, M; Parimelazhagan, T	Evaluation of Fibrolytic Activity of Two Different Anaerobic Rumen Fungal Isolates for their Utilization as Microbial Feed Additive	ANIM NUTR FEED TECHN	- 2963	2010		3/1/2022
Paul, SS; Deb, SM; Punia, BS; Singh, D; Kumar, R	Fibrolytic potential of anaerobic fungi (Piromyces SP.) Isolated from wild cattle and blue bulls in pure culture and effect of their addition on in vitro fermentation of wheat straw and methane emission BY rumen fluid of buffaloes	J SCI FOOD AGR	0022 - 5142 1745	2010	10.1002/jsfa.3952	3/1/2022
Paul, SS; Kamra, DN; Sastry, VRB	Fermentative characteristics and fibrolytic activities of anaerobic gut fungi isolated from wild and domestic ruminants	ARCH ANIM NUTR	- 039X 1466	2010	10.1080/17450391003625037	3/1/2022
Zhang, KG; Song, L; Dong, XZ	Proteinclasticum ruminis gen. Nov., SP. Nov., a strictly anaerobic proteolytic bacterium isolated from yak rumen	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	- 5026	2010	10.1099/ij.s.0.011759-0	3/1/2022
Chen, YX; Wang, J; Xing, Y; Zhou, HQ; Tong, J	Isolation and Characterization of a lignolytic rumen microorganism	ISBE 2011: 2011 INT C BIOMED E, VOL 3	-	2011		3/1/2022
Cobos, MA; DE Coss, AL; Ramirez, ND; Gonzalez, SS; Cerrato, RF	Pediococcus acidilactici isolated from the rumen of lambs with rumen acidosis, 16S rRNA identification and sensibility to monensin and lasalocid	RES VET SCI	0034 - 5288	2011	10.1016/j.rvsc.2010.05.006	3/1/2022

Kenters, N; Henderson, G; Jeyanathan, J; Kittelmann, S; Janssen, PH	Isolation of previously uncultured rumen bacteria BY dilution to extinction using a new liquid culture medium	J MICROBIOL METH	0167 - 7012 0175	2011	10.1016/j.mimet.2010.10.011	3/1/2022
Ko, KC; Han, Y; Choi, JH; Kim, GJ; Lee, SG; Song, JJ	A novel bifunctional endo-/exo-type cellulase from AN anaerobic ruminal bacterium <i>Olsenella umbonata</i> SP. Nov., a microaerotolerant anaerobic lactic acid bacterium from the sheep rumen and pig jejunum, and emended descriptions of <i>Olsenella</i> , <i>Olsenella uli</i> and <i>Olsenella profusa</i>	APPL MICROBIOL BIOT	- 7598	2011	10.1007/s00253-010-2949-9	3/1/2022
Kraatz, M; Wallace, RJ; Svensson, L	In vitro fibrolytic potential of anaerobic rumen fungi from ruminants and non-ruminant herbivores	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	1466 - 5026 1340	2011	10.1099/ijs.0.022954-0	3/1/2022
Nagpal, R; Puniya, AK; Sehgal, JP; Singh, K	Isolation and characterization of novel sulphate-reducing <i>Fusobacterium</i> SP and their effects on in vitro methane emission and digestion of wheat straw BY rumen fluid from Indian riverine buffaloes	MYCOSCIENCE	- 3540	2011	10.1007/s10267-010-0071-6	3/1/2022
Paul, SS; Deb, SM; Singh, D	Sensitivity of ruminal bacteria isolates of sheep, cattle and buffalo to some heavy metals	ANIM FEED SCI TECH	0377 - 8401 0377	2011	10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.04.062	3/1/2022
Salem, AZM; Ammar, H; Lopez, S; Gohar, YM; Gonzalez, JS	Isolation, characterization, and quantification of <i>Clostridium kluyveri</i> from the bovine rumen	ANIM FEED SCI TECH	- 8401 0175	2011	10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.10.017	3/1/2022
Weimer, PJ; Stevenson, DM	Enzymatic characteristics of crude feruloyl and acetyl esterases of rumen fungus <i>Neocallimastix</i> SP YAK11 isolated from yak (<i>Bos grunniens</i>)	APPL MICROBIOL BIOT	- 7598	2012	10.1007/s00253-011-3751-z	3/1/2022
Cao, YC; Yang, HJ; Zhang, DF	Isolation of <i>Pseudobutyrvibrio ruminis</i> and <i>Pseudobutyrvibrio xylanivorans</i> from rumen of Creole goats fed native forage diet	J ANIM PHYSIOL AN N	0931 - 2439 0015	2013	10.1111/j.1439-0396.2012.01281.x	3/1/2022
Grilli, DJ; Ceron, ME; Paez, S; Egea, V; Schnittger, L; Cravero, S; Escudero, MS; Allegretti, L; Arenas, GN	Phenotypic and Phylogenetic Characterization of Cellulose Degrading Bacteria Isolated from Rumen of Buffalo	FOLIA MICROBIOL	- 5632 0972	2013	10.1007/s12223-012-0219-1	3/1/2022
Kumar, A; Kamra, DN; Agarwal, N; Rikhari, K; Chaudhary, LC	Whole-Transcriptome Shotgun Sequencing (RNA-seq) Screen Reveals Upregulation of Cellobiose and Motility Operons of <i>Lactobacillus ruminis</i> L5 during Growth on Tetrasaccharides Derived from Barley beta-Glucan	ANIM NUTR FEED TECHN	- 2963	2013		3/1/2022
Lawley, B; Sims, IM; Tannock, GW	<i>Oscillibacter ruminantium</i> SP nov., isolated from the rumen of Korean native cattle	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	0099 - 2240 1466	2013	10.1128/AEM.01887-13	3/1/2022
Lee, GH; Rhee, MS; Chang, DH; Lee, J; Kim, S; Yoon, MH; Kim, BC	<i>Methanobrevibacter boviskoreani</i> SP nov., isolated from the rumen of Korean native cattle	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	- 5026 1466	2013	10.1099/ijs.0.041749-0	3/1/2022
Lee, JH; Kumar, S; Lee, GH; Chang, DH; Rhee, MS; Yoon, MH; Kim, BC		INT J SYST EVOL MICR	- 5026	2013	10.1099/ijs.0.054056-0	3/1/2022

	Candida tropicalis BPU1, a novel isolate from the rumen of the Malabari goat, is a dual producer of biosurfactant and polyhydroxybutyrate		0749				
Priji, P; Unni, KN; Sajith, S; Benjamin, S	Characterization of Cellulolytic and Xylanolytic Enzymes of Bacillus licheniformis JK7 Isolated from the Rumen of a Native Korean Goat	YEAST	-	2013	10.1002/yea.2944		3/1/2022
Seo, JK; Park, TS; Kwon, IH; Piao, MY; Lee, CH; Ha, JK	Isolation, identification and growth determination of lactic acid-utilizing yeasts from the ruminal fluid of dairy cattle	ASIAN AUSTRAL J ANIM	503X 1011	2013	10.5713/ajas.2012.12506		3/1/2022
Sirisan, V; Pattarajinda, V; Vichitphan, K; Leesing, R	Isolation, characterization and fibre degradation potential of anaerobic rumen fungi from cattle	LETT APPL MICROBIOL	0266	2013	10.1111/lam.12078		3/1/2022
Sirohi, SK; Choudhury, PK; Dagar, SS; Puniya, AK; Singh, D	The potential of bacteria isolated from ruminal contents of seaweed-eating North Ronaldsay sheep to hydrolyse seaweed components and produce methane BY anaerobic digestion in vitro	ANN MICROBIOL	-	2013	10.1007/s13213-012-0577-6		3/1/2022
Williams, AG; Withers, S; Sutherland, AD	Complete genome sequence of Corynebacterium vitaeruminis DSM 20294(T), isolated from the cow rumen as a vitamin B producer	MICROB BIOTECHNOL	1751	2013	10.1111/1751-7915.12000		3/1/2022
AL-Dilaimi, A; Albersmeier, A; Kalinowski, J; Ruckert, C	Cellulolytic activity of aerobic fungi isolated from dairy cattle fed with forage tropical	J BIOTECHNOL	0168	2014	10.1016/j.jbiotec.2014.08.036		3/1/2022
Almeida, PNM; Freitas, CES; Abrao, FO; Ribeiro, ICO; Vieira, EA; Geraseev, LC; Duarte, ER	Antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and probiotic potential of Enterococcus hirae isolated from the rumen of Bos primigenius	REV CAATINGA	0100	2014			3/1/2022
Arokiyaraj, S; Islam, VIH; Bharanidharan, R; Raveendar, S; Lee, J; Kim, DH; Oh, YK; Kim, EK; Kim, KH	Production, characterization of acetyl esterase from a rumen bacteria strain RB3, and application potential of the strain in biodegradation of crop residues	WORLD J MICROB BIOT	-	2014	10.1007/s11274-014-1625-0		3/1/2022
Chen, J; Xu, LX; Wu, Y; Tong, J; Chen, YX	A highly active beta-glucanase from a new strain of rumen fungus Orpinomyces SP.Y102 exhibits cellobiohydrolase and cellotriohydrolase activities	RENEW ENERG	0960	2014	10.1016/j.renene.2014.01.033		3/1/2022
Chen, YC; Chen, WT; Liu, Jc; Tsai, LC; Cheng, HI	Characterization of rumen bacterial strains isolated from enrichments of rumen content in the presence of propolis	BIORESOURCE TECHNOL	-	2014	10.1016/j.biortech.2014.08.016		3/1/2022
DE Aguiar, SC; Zeoula, LM; DO Prado, OPP; Arcuri, PB; Forano, E	Evaluation of native potential probiotic bacteria using AN in vitro ruminal fermentation system	WORLD J MICROB BIOT	0959	2014	10.1007/s11274-014-1719-8		3/1/2022
Fraga, M; Perelmuter, K; Valencia, MJ; Martinez, M; Abin-Carriquiry, A; Cajarville, C; Zunino, P	Investigation of a new acetogen isolated from AN enrichment of the tammar wallaby forestomach	ANN MICROBIOL	3993	2014	10.1007/s13213-013-0753-3		3/1/2022
Gagen, EJ; Wang, JK; Padmanabha, J; Liu, J; DE Carvalho, IPC; Liu, JX; Webb, RI; AL Jassim, R; Morrison, M; Denman, SE; Mcsweeney, CS		BMC MICROBIOL	1590	2014	10.1186/s12866-014-0314-3		3/1/2022

Kelly, WJ; Leahy, SC; Li, D; Perry, R; Lambie, SC; Attwood, GT; Altermann, E	The complete genome sequence of the rumen methanogen <i>Methanobacterium formicicum</i> BRM9	STAND GENOMIC SCI	1944 -	3277	2014	10.1186/1944-3277-9-15	3/1/2022
Leis, S; Dresch, P; Peintner, U; Fliegerova, K; Sandbichler, AM; Insam, H; Podmirseg, SM	Finding a robust strain for biomethanation: Anaerobic fungi (<i>Neocallimastigomycota</i>) from the Alpine ibex (<i>Capra ibex</i>) and their associated methanogens	ANAEROBE	1075 -	9964	2014	10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.12.002	3/1/2022
Nyonyo, T; Shinkai, T; Mitsumori, M	Improved culturability of cellulolytic rumen bacteria and phylogenetic diversity of culturable cellulolytic and xylanolytic bacteria newly isolated from the bovine rumen	FEMS MICROBIOL ECOL	0168 -	6496	2014	10.1111/1574-6941.12318	3/1/2022
Babaei, Y; Rouzbehan, Y; Alipour, D	Effect of rumen bacteria from sheep adapted to a tanniferous diet on in vitro fermentation parameters of pistachio hulls using bovine inoculum	IRAN J VET RES	1728 -	1997	2015		3/1/2022
Callaghan, TM; Podmirseg, SM; Hohlweck, D; Edwards, JE; Puniya, AK; Dagar, SS; Griffith, GW	<i>Buwchfawromyces eastonii</i> gen. Nov., SP nov.: a new anaerobic fungus (<i>Neocallimastigomycota</i>) isolated from buffalo faeces	MYCOKEYS	1314 -	4057	2015	10.3897/mycokeys.9.9032	3/1/2022
Hamann, PRV; Serpa, DL; Da Cunha, ASB; DE Camargo, BR; Osiro, KO; DE Sousa, MV; Felix, CR; Miller, RNG; Noronha, EF	Evaluation of plant cell wall degrading enzyme production BY <i>Clostridium thermocellum</i> B8 in the presence of raw agricultural wastes	INT BIODETER BIODEGR	0964 -	8305	2015	10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.08.013	3/1/2022
Ishaq, SL; Kim, CJ; Reis, D; Wright, ADG Lambie, SC; Kelly, WJ; Leahy, SC; Li, D; Reilly, K; Mcallister, TA; Valle, ER; Attwood, GT; Altermann, E	Fibrolitic Bacteria Isolated from the Rumen of North American Moose (<i>Alces alces</i>) and Their Use as a Probiotic in Neonatal Lambs	PLOS ONE	1932 -	6203	2015	10.1371/journal.pone.0144804	3/1/2022
Miltko, R; Pietrzak, M; Belzecki, G; Wereszka, K; Michalowski, T; Hackstein, JHP	The complete genome sequence of the rumen methanogen <i>Methanosarcina barkeri</i> CM1	STAND GENOMIC SCI	1944 -	3277	2015	10.1186/s40793-015-0038-5	3/1/2022
Sun, WT; Liu, JJ; Xu, H; Li, WJ; Zhang, J Tan, H; Zhang, ZM; Hu, YC; Wu, L; Liao, F; He, J; Luo, B; He, YJ; Zuo, ZC; Ren, ZH; Peng, GN; Deng, JL	Isolation and in vitro cultivation of the fibrolitic rumen ciliate <i>Eremoplastron</i> (<i>Eudiplodinium</i>) <i>dilobum</i>	EUR J PROTISTOL	0932 -	4739	2015	10.1016/j.ejop.2014.11.002	3/1/2022
	L-Lactic acid fermentation BY <i>Enterococcus</i> <i>faecium</i> : a new isolate from bovine rumen	BIOTECHNOL LETT	0141 -	5492	2015	10.1007/s10529-015-1821-5	3/1/2022
	Isolation and characterization of <i>Pseudomonas</i> <i>otitidis</i> TH-N1 capable of degrading Zearalenone	FOOD CONTROL	0956 -	7135	2015	10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.07.013	3/1/2022
Baltaci, MO; Adiguzel, A	Isolation, Identification and Molecular Characterization of Cellulolytic Bacteria from Rumen Samples collected from Erzurum Slaughter House, Turkey	RES J BIOTECHNOL	2278 -	4535	2016		3/1/2022
Chen, LM; Luo, Y; Wang, HR; Liu, SM; Shen, YZ; Wang, MZ	Effects of Glucose and Starch on Lactate Production BY Newly Isolated <i>Streptococcus</i> <i>bovis</i> S1 from Saanen Goats	APPL ENVIRON MICROB	0099 -	2240	2016	10.1128/AEM.01994-16	3/1/2022
Fohler, S; Klein, G; Hoedemaker, M; Scheu, T; Seyboldt, C; Campe, A; Jensen, KC; Abdulmawjood, A	Diversity of <i>Clostridium perfringens</i> toxin- genotypes from dairy farms	BMC MICROBIOL	1471 -	2180	2016	10.1186/s12866-016-0812-6	3/1/2022

Hussain, SKA; Srivastava, A; Tyagi, A; Shandilya, UK; Kumar, A; Kumar, S; Panwar, S; Tyagi, AK	Characterization of CLA-producing <i>Butyrivibrio</i> spp. Reveals strain-specific variations	3 BIOTECH	2190					
	Production of medium-chain carboxylic acids BY <i>Megasphaera</i> SP MH with supplemental electron acceptors	BIOTECHNOL	-					
			572X	2016	10.1007/s13205-016-0401-2		3/1/2022	
			1754					
Jeon, BS; Choi, O; Um, Y; Sang, BI	The complete genome sequence of <i>Eubacterium limosum</i> SA11, a metabolically versatile rumen acetogen	BIOFUELS	6834	2016	10.1186/s13068-016-0549-3		3/1/2022	
Kelly, WJ; Henderson, G; Pacheco, DM; Li, D; Reilly, K; Naylor, GE; Janssen, PH; Attwood, GT; Altermann, E; Leahy, SC			1944					
		STAND GENOMIC SCI	-					
			3277	2016	10.1186/s40793-016-0147-9		3/1/2022	
			1944					
Kelly, WJ; Pacheco, DM; Li, D; Attwood, GT; Altermann, E; Leahy, SC	The complete genome sequence of the rumen methanogen <i>Methanobrevibacter millerae</i> SM9	STAND GENOMIC SCI	-					
	Fumarate Reductase-Producing Enterococci		3277	2016	10.1186/s40793-016-0171-9		3/1/2022	
			1017					
Kim, SH; Mamuad, LL; Kim, Dw; Kim, SK; Lee, SS	Reduce Methane Production in Rumen Fermentation In Vitro	J MICROBIOL	-					
	Correlation between genomic analyses with metatranscriptomic study reveals various functional pathways of <i>Clostridium sartagoforme</i> AAU1, a buffalo rumen isolate	BIOTECHN	7825	2016	10.4014/jmb.1512.12008		3/1/2022	
			0971					
Nathani, NM; Duggirala, SM; Bhatt, VD; Patel, AK; Kothari, RK; Joshi, CG	New <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> strain isolated from the gut of Malabari goat is effective against <i>Tetranychus macfarlanei</i>	J APPL ANIM RES	2119	2016	10.1080/09712119.2015.1091346		3/1/2022	
Neethu, KB; Priji, P; Unni, KN; Sajith, S; Sreedevi, S; Ramani, N; Anitha, K; Rosana, B; Girish, MB; Benjamin, S			0931					
		J APPL ENTOMOL	-					
			2048	2016	10.1111/jen.12235		3/1/2022	
			0723					
Palakawong, NAS; Pristas, P; Hrehova, L; Javorsky, P; Stams, AJM; Plugge, CM	<i>Actinomyces succiniciruminis</i> SP nov and <i>Actinomyces glycerinitolerans</i> SP nov., two novel organic acid-producing bacteria isolated from rumen	SYST APPL	-					
	Reclassification of <i>Eubacterium rectale</i> (Hauduroy ET AL. 1937) Prevot 1938 in a new genus <i>Agathobacter</i> gen. Nov as <i>Agathobacter rectalis</i> comb. Nov., and description of <i>Agathobacter ruminis</i> SP nov., isolated from the rumen contents of sheep and cows	MICROBIOL	2020	2016	10.1016/j.syapm.2016.08.001		3/1/2022	
			1466					
Rosero, JA; Killer, J; Sechovcova, H; Mrazek, J; Benada, O; Fliiegerova, K; Havlik, J; Kopecný, J	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> strain BUP2, a novel bacterium inhabiting the rumen of Malabari goat, produces AN efficient lipase	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	-					
	Fiber degradation potential of natural CO-cultures of <i>Neocallimastix frontalis</i> and <i>Methanobrevibacter ruminantium</i> isolated from yaks (<i>Bos grunniens</i>) grazing on the Qinghai Tibetan Plateau		5026	2016	10.1099/ijsem.0.000788		3/1/2022	
			0006					
Unni, KN; Priji, P; Sajith, S; Faisal, PA; Benjamin, S	Notable fibrolytic enzyme production BY <i>Aspergillus</i> spp. Isolates from the gastrointestinal tract of beef cattle fed in lignified pastures	BIOLOGIA	-					
			3088	2016	10.1515/biolog-2016-0057		3/1/2022	
			1075					
Wei, YQ; Long, RJ; Yang, H; Yang, HJ; Shen, XH; Shi, RF; Wang, ZY; Du, JG; Qi, XJ; Ye, QH		ANAEROBE	-					
			9964	2016	10.1016/j.anaerobe.2016.03.005		3/1/2022	
			1932					
Abrao, FO; Duarte, ER; Pessoa, MS; Dos Santos, VL; De Freitas, LF; Barros, KD; Hughes, AFD; Silva, TD; Rodriguez, NM	Evaluation of gastrointestinal bacterial population for the production of holocellulose enzymes for biomass deconstruction	PLOS ONE	-					
Asem, D; Leo, VV; Passari, AK; Tonsing, MV; Joshi, JB; Uthandi, S; Hashem, A; Abd Allah, EF; Singh, BP			6203	2017	10.1371/journal.pone.0183628		3/1/2022	
			1932					
		PLOS ONE	-					
			6203	2017	10.1371/journal.pone.0186355		3/1/2022	

Ayudthaya, SPN; Hornung, B; Varadarajan, AR; Plugge, W; Plugge, CM	Draft Genome Sequence of Actinomyces succiniciruminis Strain Am4(T), Isolated from Cow Rumen Fluid	MICROBIOLOGY RESOURCE ANNOUNCEMENTS	2576 - 098X 1466	2017	10.1128/genomea.01587-16	3/1/2022
Chun, BH; Lee, HJ; Jeong, SE; Schumann, P; Jeon, CO	Leucobacter ruminantium SP nov., isolated from the bovine rumen	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	5026 1867	2017	10.1099/ijsem.0.002003	3/1/2022
Colombo, M; Castilho, NPA; Todorov, SD; Nero, LA	Beneficial and Safety Properties of a Corynebacterium vitaeruminis Strain Isolated from the Cow Rumen	PROBIOTICS ANTIMICRO	- 1306 1664	2017	10.1007/s12602-017-9263-0	3/1/2022
Gilbert, RA; Kelly, WJ; Altermann, E; Leahy, SC; Minchin, C; Ouwerkerk, D; Klieve, AV	Toward Understanding Phage: Host Interactions in the Rumen; Complete Genome Sequences of Lytic Phages Infecting Rumen Bacteria	FRONT MICROBIOL	302X 0021	2017	10.3389/fmicb.2017.02340	3/1/2022
Harlow, BE; Goodman, JP; Lynn, BC; Flythe, MD; Ji, H; Aiken, GE	Ruminal tryptophan-utilizing bacteria degrade ergovaline from tall fescue seed extract	J ANIM SCI	8812	2017	10.2527/jas.2016.1128	3/1/2022
Henske, JK; Gilmore, SP; Knop, D; Cunningham, FJ; Sexton, JA; Smallwood, CR; Shutthanandan, V; Evans, JE; Theodorou, MK; O'malley, MA	Transcriptomic characterization of Caecomyces churrovis: a novel, non-rhizoid-forming lignocellulolytic anaerobic fungus	BIOTECHNOL BIOFUELS	1754 - 6834 1466	2017	10.1186/s13068-017-0997-4	3/1/2022
Jeon, BS; Kim, S; Sang, BI	Megasphaera hexanoica SP nov., a medium-chain carboxylic acid-producing bacterium isolated from a cow rumen	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	5026 1462	2017	10.1099/ijsem.0.001888	3/1/2022
Fibrobacter communities in the gastrointestinal tracts of diverse hindgut-fermenting herbivores are distinct from those of the rumen		ENVIRON MICROBIOL	- 2912 1466	2017	10.1111/1462-2920.13878	3/1/2022
Newbrook, K; Staton, GJ; Clegg, SR; Birtles, RJ; Carter, SD; Evans, NJ	Treponema ruminis SP nov., a spirochaete isolated from the bovine rumen	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	5026 0956	2017	10.1099/ijsem.0.001812	3/1/2022
Nidhina, N; Bhavya, ML; Bhaskar, N; Muthukumar, SP; Murthy, PS	Aflatoxin production BY Aspergillus flavus in rumen liquor and its implications	FOOD CONTROL	7135 1944	2017	10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.05.051	3/1/2022
Palevich, N; Kelly, WJ; Leahy, SC; Altermann, E; Rakonjac, J; Attwood, GT	The complete genome sequence of the rumen bacterium Butyrivibrio hungatei MB2003 AN isolated cellulolytic Escherichia coli from bovine rumen produces ethanol and hydrogen from corn straw	STAND GENOMIC SCI BIOTECHNOL BIOFUELS	3277 1754 - 6834 1751	2017	10.1186/s40793-017-0285-8	3/1/2022
Pang, J; Liu, ZY; Hao, M; Zhang, YF; Qi, QS Podlesny, M; Jarocki, P; Wyrostek, J; Czernecki, T; Kucharska, J; Nowak, A; Targonski, Z	Enterobacter SP LU1 as a novel succinic acid producer - CO-utilization of glycerol and lactose	MICROB BIOTECHNOL	7915 0233	2017	10.1111/1751-7915.12458	3/1/2022
Prijji, P; Sajith, S; Unni, KN; Anderson, RC; Benjamin, S	Pseudomonas SP BUP6, a novel isolate from Malabari goat produces AN efficient rhamnolipid type biosurfactant	J BASIC MICROB	- 111X 1364	2017	10.1002/jobm.201600158	3/1/2022
Sharma, D; Mal, G; Kannan, A; Bhar, R; Sharma, R; Singh, B	Degradation of euptox A BY tannase-producing rumen bacteria from migratory goats	J APPL MICROBIOL	- 5072	2017	10.1111/jam.13563	3/1/2022

Wang, XW; Liu, XZ; Groenewald, JZ	Phylogeny of anaerobic fungi (phylum Neocallimastigomycota), with contributions from yak in China	ANTON LEEUW INT J G	0003 - 6072	2017	10.1007/s10482-016-0779-1	3/1/2022
Wei, YQ; Yang, HJ; Long, RJ; Wang, ZY; Cao, BB; Ren, QC; Wu, TT	Characterization of natural CO-cultures of Piromyces with Methanobrevibacter ruminantium from yaks grazing on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau: a microbial consortium with high potential in plant biomass degradation	AMB EXPRESS	2191 - 0855 2190	2017	10.1186/s13568-017-0459-1	3/1/2022
Bohra, V; Dafale, NA; Purohit, HJ	Paenibacillus polymyxa ND25: candidate genome for lignocellulosic biomass utilization	3 BIOTECH	- 572X	2018	10.1007/s13205-018-1274-3	3/1/2022
Boonsaen, P; Kinjo, M; Sawanon, S; Suzuki, Y; Koike, S; Kobayashi, Y	Partial characterization of phylogeny, ecology and function of the fibrolytic bacterium Ruminococcus flavefaciens OS14, newly isolated from the rumen of swamp buffalo	ANIM SCI J	1344 - 3941	2018	10.1111/asj.12927	3/1/2022
Cipriano-Salazar, M; Rojas-Hernandez, S; Olivares-Perez, J; Jimenez-Guillen, R; Cruz-Lagunas, B; Camacho-Diaz, LM; Ugbogu, AE	Antibacterial activities of tannic acid against isolated ruminal bacteria from sheep	MICROB PATHOGENESIS	0882 - 4010 0960	2018	10.1016/j.micpath.2018.01.045	3/1/2022
Dollhofer, V; Dandikas, V; Dorn-In, S; Bauer, C; Leubhn, M; Bauer, J	Accelerated biogas production from lignocellulosic biomass after pre-treatment with Neocallimastix frontalis	BIORESOURCE TECHNOL	- 8524 0027	2018	10.1016/j.biortech.2018.05.068	3/1/2022
Hanafy, RA; Elshahed, MS; Youssef, NH	Feramyces austinii, gen. Nov., SP nov., AN anaerobic gut fungus from rumen and fecal samples of wild Barbary sheep and fallow deer	MYCOLOGIA	- 5514	2018	10.1080/00275514.2018.1466610	3/1/2022
Hoang, VT; Hoang, DH; Pham, ND; Tran, HM; Bui, HTV; Ngo, TA	Hydrogen production BY newly isolated Clostridium species from cow rumen in pure- and CO-cultures on a broad range of carbon sources	AIMS ENERGY	2333 - 8326	2018	10.3934/energy.2018.5.846	3/1/2022
Hoedt, EC; Parks, DH; Volmer, JG; Rosewarne, CP; Denman, SE; Mcsweeney, CS; Muir, JG; Gibson, PR; Cuiv, PO; Hugenholtz, P; Tyson, GW; Morrison, M	Culture- and metagenomics-enabled analyses of the Methanosphaera genus reveals their monophyletic origin and differentiation according to genome size	ISME JOURNAL	1751 - 7362	2018	10.1038/s41396-018-0225-7	3/1/2022
Intanoo, M; Kongkeittajorn, MB; Pattarajinda, V; Bernard, JK; Callaway, TR; Suriyasathaporn, W; Phasuk, Y	Isolation and screening of aflatoxin-detoxifying yeast and bacteria from ruminal fluids to reduce aflatoxin B-1 contamination in dairy cattle feed	J APPL MICROBIOL	1364 - 5072 1075	2018	10.1111/jam.14060	3/1/2022
Joshi, A; Lanjekar, V; Dhakephalkar, PK; Dagar, SS	Cultivation of multiple genera of hydrogenotrophic methanogens from different environmental niches	ANAEROBE	- 9964 0168	2018	10.1016/j.anaerobe.2018.02.001	3/1/2022
Jung, DH; Chung, WH; Seo, DH; Nam, YD; Yoon, S; Park, CS	Complete genome sequence of Bifidobacterium choerinum FMB-1, a resistant starch-degrading bacterium	J BIOTECHNOL	- 1656	2018	10.1016/j.jbiotec.2018.03.009	3/1/2022
Jung, DH; Seo, DH; Kim, GY; Nam, YD; Song, EJ; Yoon, S; Park, CS	The effect of resistant starch (RS) on the bovine rumen microflora and isolation of RS-degrading bacteria	APPL MICROBIOL BIOT	0175 - 7598	2018	10.1007/s00253-018-8971-z	3/1/2022

Kalawong, R; Wakayama, M; Anuntalabhochai, S; Wongsawad, C; Sangwijit, K	Comparison and Characterization of Purified Cellulase and Xylanase from <i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> CX1 and <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> B4	CHIANG MAI J SCI	0125 - 2526 1225	2018		3/1/2022
Kim, MK; Kim, ET; Kim, SB; Jeong, HY; Park, BY; Srinivasan, S	<i>Kurthia ruminicola</i> SP nov., isolated from the rumen contents of a Holstein cow	J MICROBIOL	- 8873 0890	2018	10.1007/s12275-018-7285-2	3/1/2022
Ladha, G; Jeevaratnam, K	Probiotic Potential of <i>Pediococcus pentosaceus</i> LJR1, a Bacteriocinogenic Strain Isolated from Rumen Liquor of Goat (<i>Capra aegagrus hircus</i>)	FOOD BIOTECHNOL	- 5436	2018	10.1080/08905436.2017.1414700	3/1/2022
Li, JF; Yuan, XJ; Desta, ST; Dong, ZH; Mugabe, W; Shao, T	Characterization of <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> JF85 and <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> Y83 isolated from Tibetan yak (<i>Bos grunniens</i>) for ensiling <i>Pennisetum sinense</i>	BIORESOURCE TECHNOL	- 8524	2018	10.1016/j.biortech.2018.02.070	3/1/2022
Park, T; Seo, S; Shin, T; Cho, BW; Cho, S; Kim, B; Lee, S; Ha, JK; Seo, J	Molecular cloning, purification, expression, and characterization of beta-1, 4-endoglucanase gene (<i>Cel5A</i>) from <i>Eubacterium cellulosolvens</i> SP isolated from Holstein steers' rumen	ASIAN AUSTRAL J ANIM	- 1011 2367	2018	10.5713/ajas.17.0552	3/1/2022
Ranjan, R; Pandit, RJ; Duggirala, SM; Joshi, CG; Sharma, S; Patil, NV	Genome sequencing of <i>Pediococcus acidilactici</i> (NRCC1), a novel isolate from dromedary camel (<i>Camelus dromedarius</i>) rumen fluid	ANN MICROBIOL	- 4261	2018	10.1007/s13213-017-1320-0	3/1/2022
Salem, AZM; Khusro, A; Elghandour, Mmy; Olivares-Perez, J; Rojas-Hernandez, S; Jimenez-Guillen, R	Susceptibility of ruminal bacteria isolated from large and small ruminant to multiple conventional antibiotics	MICROB PATHOGENESIS	- 4010	2018	10.1016/j.micpath.2018.05.013	3/1/2022
Simunek, J; Killer, J; Sechovcova, H; Simunek, J; Pechar, R; Rada, V; Svec, P; Sedlacek, I	Characterization of a xylanolytic bacterial strain C10 isolated from the rumen of a red deer (<i>Cervus elaphus</i>) closely related of the recently described species <i>Actinomyces succinicuruminis</i> , A-glycerinitolerans, and A-ruminicola	FOLIA MICROBIOL	0015 - 5632 2087	2018	10.1007/s12223-017-0577-9	3/1/2022
Sugiharto, S; Yudiarti, T; Isroli, I; Widiastuti, E; Wahyuni, HI; Suprijatna, E	The potential of <i>Bacillus</i> strains isolated from the rumen content of dairy cows as natural antibacterial and antioxidant agents for broilers	J INDONES TROP ANIM AGR	- 8273	2018	10.14710/jitaa.43.2.115-123	3/1/2022
Yang, Y; Zhou, MX; Hardwidge, PR; Cui, HM; Zhu, GQ	Isolation and Characterization of N-acyl Homoserine Lactone-Producing Bacteria From Cattle Rumen and Swine Intestines	FRONT CELL INFECT MI	- 2988	2018	10.3389/fcimb.2018.00155	3/1/2022
Zhang, HY; Shen, NK; Qin, Y; Zhu, L; Li, Y; Wu, JF; Jiang, MG	Complete Genome Sequence of <i>Actinobacillus succinogenes</i> GXAS137, a Highly Efficient Producer of Succinic Acid	MICROBIOLOGY RESOURCE ANNOUNCEMENTS	2576 - 098X	2018	10.1128/genomea.01562-17	3/1/2022
Zhang, YH; Zhang, ZY; Dai, L; Liu, Y; Cheng, MJ; Chen, LJ	Isolation and characterization of a novel gossypol-degrading bacteria <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> strain Rumen <i>Bacillus Subtilis</i>	ASIAN AUSTRAL J ANIM	- 1011 2367	2018	10.5713/ajas.17.0018	3/1/2022
Aphale, D; Natu, A; Laldas, S; Kulkarni, A	Administration of <i>Streptococcus bovis</i> isolated from sheep rumen digesta on rumen function and physiology as evaluated in a rumen simulation technique system	VETERINARY WORLD	0972 - 8988	2019	10.14202/vetworld.2019.1362-1371	3/1/2022
Battumur, U; Lee, M; Bae, GS; Kim, CH	Isolation and characterization of a new <i>Methanoculleus bourgensis</i> strain KOR-2 from the rumen of Holstein steers	ASIAN AUSTRAL J ANIM	1011 - 2367	2019	10.5713/ajas.18.0409	3/1/2022

	Genomically Defined <i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i> ND24 for Efficient Cellulase Production Utilizing	APPL BIOCHEM	0273				
Bohra, V; Tikariha, H; Dafale, NA	Sugarcane Bagasse as a Substrate	BIOTECH	-				
Boonsaen, P; Poonko, S;	Isolation and partial characterization of		2289	2019	10.1007/s12010-018-2820-5		3/1/2022
Kanjanapruetipong, J; Wongchawalit, J;	<i>ruminococcus flavefaciens</i> from the rumen of		0125				
Phiriyangkul, P; Sawanon, S	swamp buffalo	BUFFALO BULL	-	2019			3/1/2022
	Draft genome analysis of lignocellulolytic		6726				
Dadheech, T; Jakhesara, S; Chauhan, PS;	enzymes producing <i>Aspergillus terreus</i> with		0141				
Pandit, R; Hinsu, A; Kunjadiya, A; Rank, D; Joshi, C	structural insight of beta-glucosidases through	INT J BIOL	-				
	molecular docking approach	MACROMOL	8130	2019	10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.12.020		3/1/2022
			1691				
Dubrovin, A; Duniyashev, T; Ilina, L;	Isolation of cellulolytic bacterial strains from		-				
Filippova, V; Laishev, K	<i>rangifer tarandus</i> rumen microflora	RES RURAL DEV	4031	2019	10.22616/rrd.25.2019.041		3/1/2022
	Identification and characterization of yeasts		1364				
Fernandes, T; Carvalho, BF; Mantovani, HC; Schwan, RF; Avila, CLS	from bovine rumen for potential use as		-				
	probiotics	J APPL MICROBIOL	5072	2019	10.1111/jam.14350		3/1/2022
	Isolation and Characterization of Potential		0973				
Guder, DG; Krishna, MSR	Cellulose Degrading Bacteria from Sheep	J PURE APPL	-				
	Rumen	MICROBIO	7510	2019	10.22207/JPAM.13.3.60		3/1/2022
	Isolation, characterization and conjugated						
Jaglan, N; Kumar, S; Choudhury, PK;	linoleic acid production potential of		1075				
Tyagi, B; Tyagi, AK	<i>bifidobacterial</i> isolates from ruminal fluid		-				
	samples of Murrah buffaloes	ANAEROBE	9964	2019	10.1016/j.anaerobe.2019.02.001		3/1/2022
	Draft Genome Sequence and Annotation of	MICROBIOLOGY	2576				
Kang, S; Denman, S; Mcsweeney, C	<i>Oribacterium</i> SP. Strain C9, Isolated from a	RESOURCE	-				
	Cattle Rumen	ANNOUNCEMENTS	098X	2019	10.1128/MRA.01562-18		3/1/2022
	Draft Genome Sequence and Annotation of	MICROBIOLOGY	2576				
Kang, S; Denman, S; Tahmasbi, R;	<i>Sporanaerobacter acetigenes</i> Strain F-12,	RESOURCE	-				
Mcsweeney, C	Isolated from a Cattle Rumen	ANNOUNCEMENTS	098X	2019	10.1128/MRA.00634-19		3/1/2022
			1930				
			-				
Liu, JG; Liu, ZY; Liu, YC; Hao, M; Hou, XZ	Analysis of Cellulolytic Bacterial Flora in the		2126	2019	10.15376/biores.14.4.9544-9556		3/1/2022
Lunar, L; Hernandez, D; Silva, HV; Cobos, MA; Gonzalez, SS; Cortez, C; Pinto, R; Ramirez, E; Pinos, JM; Vargas, JM	Rumen of Inner Mongolian Sheep	BIORESOURCES	0120				
	Isolation, biochemical characterization, and		-				
	phylogeny of a cellulose-degrading ruminal	REV COLOMB CIENC	0690	2019	10.17533/udea.rccp.v32n2a05		3/1/2022
	bacterium	PEC					
	Enhancing Butyrate Production, Ruminal		1017				
Miguel, MA; Lee, SS; Mamuad, LL; Choi, YJ; Jeong, CD; Son, AR; Cho, KK; Kim, ET; Kim, SB; Suk, S	Fermentation and Microbial Population through	J MICROBIOL	-				
	Supplementation with <i>Clostridium</i>	BIOTECHN	7825	2019	10.4014/jmb.1905.05016		3/1/2022
	<i>saccharobutylicum</i>		1678				
	Detection and Characterization of Bovine		-				
Pimentel, MFA; Paula, DAJ; Riet-Correa, F; Dutra, V; Nakazato, L	Rumen Microorganisms Resistant to Sodium		0345	2019	10.22456/1679-9216.89907		3/1/2022
	Fluoroacetate	ACTA SCI VET	1300				
	Evaluation of Cellulases and Xylanases		-				
	Production from <i>Bacillus</i> spp. Isolated from		6045	2019	10.9775/kvfd.2018.20280		3/1/2022
Raza, A; Bashir, S; Tabassum, R	Buffalo Digestive System	KAFKAS UNIV VET FAK					

Sabino, YNV; Fochat, RC; Lima, JCF; Ribeiro, MT; Arcuri, PB; Carneiro, JD; Machado, MA; Reis, DRD; Machado, ABF; Hungaro, HM; Ribeiro, JB; Paiva, AD	Antibacterial activity and lantibiotic post-translational modification genes in Streptococcus spp. Isolated from ruminal fluid	ANN MICROBIOL	1590 -	4261	2019	10.1007/s13213-018-1407-2	3/1/2022
Sedighi, R; Alipour, D	Assessment of probiotic effects of isolated Megasphaera elsdenii strains in Mehraban sheep and Holstein lactating cows	ANIM FEED SCI TECH	0377 -	8401	2019	10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2019.01.007	3/1/2022
Vaidya, JD; Hornung, BVH; Smidt, H; Edwards, JE; Plugge, CM	Propionibacterium ruminifibrarum SP. Nov., isolated from cow rumen fibrous content	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	1466 -	5026	2019	10.1099/ijsem.0.003544	3/1/2022
Astriani, M; Zubaidah, S; Abadi, AL; Suarsini, E	Isolation and identification of phosphate solubilizing bacteria from indigenous microorganisms (IMO) of cow rumen in East Java, Indonesia as eco-friendly biofertilizer	MALAYS J MICROBIOL	1823 -	8262	2020	10.21161/mjm.190536	3/1/2022
Cao, LB; MA, YM; Deng, DD; Jiang, HC; Wang, JX; Liu, Y	Electricity production of microbial fuel cells BY degrading cellulose coupling with CR(VI) removal	J HAZARD MATER	0304 -	3894	2020	10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122184	3/1/2022
Friedersdorff, JCA; Kingston-Smith, AH; Pachebat, JA; Cookson, AR; Rooke, D; Creevey, CJ	The Isolation and Genome Sequencing of Five Novel Bacteriophages From the Rumen Active againstbutyrivibrio fibrisolvens	FRONT MICROBIOL	1664 -	302X	2020	10.3389/fmicb.2020.01588	3/1/2022
Guo, LN; Yao, DD; Li, DX; Lin, YL; Bureenok, S; Ni, KK; Yang, FY	Effects of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated From Rumen Fluid and Feces of Dairy Cows on Fermentation Quality, Microbial Community, and in vitro Digestibility of Alfalfa Silage	FRONT MICROBIOL	1664 -	302X	2020	10.3389/fmicb.2019.02998	3/1/2022
Hailেমariam, S; Zhao, SG; Wang, JQ	Complete Genome Sequencing and Transcriptome Analysis of Nitrogen Metabolism ofsuccinivibrio dextrinosolvensstrain Z6 Isolated From Dairy Cow Rumen	FRONT MICROBIOL	1664 -	302X	2020	10.3389/fmicb.2020.01826	3/1/2022
Hanafy, RA; Johnson, B; Youssef, NH; Elshahed, MS	Assessing anaerobic gut fungal diversity in herbivores usingd1/d2large ribosomal subunit sequencing and multi-year isolation	ENVIRON MICROBIOL	1462 -	2912	2020	10.1111/1462-2920.15164	3/1/2022
Hanafy, RA; Lanjekar, VB; Dhakephalkar, PK; Callaghan, TM; Dagar, SS; Griffith, GW; Elshahed, MS; Youssef, NH	Seven new Neocallimastigomycota genera from wild, zoo-housed, and domesticated herbivores greatly expand the taxonomic diversity of the phylum	MYCOLOGIA	0027 -	5514	2020	10.1080/00275514.2019.1696619	3/1/2022
Hong, SJ; Kim, DH; Jung, DJS; Hague, MN; Baik, M	Complete genome analysis of a novel mucolytic bacterium, Prevotella mucinisolvens SP. Nov., isolated from bovine rumen epithelium	J ANIM SCI	0021 -	8812	2020	10.1093/jas/skaa278.711	3/1/2022
Kang, S; Khan, S; Webb, R; Denman, S; Mcsweeney, C	Characterization and survey in cattle of a rumen Pyrimadobacter SP. Which degrades the plant toxin fluoroacetate	FEMS MICROBIOL ECOL	0168 -	6496	2020	10.1093/femsec/fiaa077	3/1/2022
Kim, SH; Mamuad, LL; Islam, M; Lee, SS	Reductive acetogens isolated from ruminants and their effect on in vitro methane mitigation and milk performance in Holstein cows	J ANIM SCI AND TECHNOLOGY	2672 -	0191	2020	10.5187/jast.2020.62.1.1	3/1/2022
Kuppusamy, P; Kim, D; Soundharrajan, I; Park, HS; Jung, JS; Yang, SH; Choi, KC	Low-Carbohydrate Tolerant LAB Strains Identified from Rumen Fluid: Investigation of	MICROORGANISMS	2076 -	2607	2020	10.3390/microorganisms8071044	3/1/2022

	Probiotic Activity and Legume Silage Fermentation							
Li, Y; Guo, BZ; Li, C; Wang, WW; Wu, ZK; Liu, GH; Cai, HY	Isolation of a Highly Efficient Antigenic-Protein-degrading bacillus amyloliquefaciens and Assessment of Its Safety	ANIMALS	2076	-	2020	10.3390/ani10071144		3/1/2022
Mishra, P; Tulsani, NJ; Jakhesara, SJ; Dafale, NA; Patil, NV; Purohit, HJ; Koringa, PG; Joshi, CG	Exploring the eukaryotic diversity in rumen of Indian camel (Camelus dromedarius) using 18S rRNA amplicon sequencing	ARCH MICROBIOL	0302	-	2020	10.1007/s00203-020-01897-w		3/1/2022
Peters, M; Wohlsein, P	The deliberate killing of a sheep with a fire extinguisher: a case report and experimental reconstruction study	FORENSIC SCI MED PAT	1547	-	2020	10.1007/s12024-020-00245-0		3/1/2022
		INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM OF INNOVATIVE BIO-PRODUCTION INDONESIA ON BIOTECHNOLOGY AND BIOENGINEERING 2019	1755	-				
Ratnakomala, S; Perwitasari, U; Yopi Stabel, M; Hanafy, RA; Schweitzer, T; Greif, M; Aliyu, H; Flad, V; Young, D; Lebuhn, M; Elshahed, MS; Ochsenreither, K; Youssef, NH	The amylase production BY Actinobacteria isolated from rumen fluid <i>Aestipascuomyces dupliciliberans</i> gen. Nov, SP. Nov., the First Cultured Representative of the Uncultured SK4 Clade from Aoudad Sheep and Alpaca	MICROORGANISMS	1307	-	2020	10.1088/1755-1315/439/1/012019		3/1/2022
			2076	-				
Szczerba, H; Komon-Janczara, E; Dudziak, K; Wasko, A; Targonski, Z	A novel biocatalyst, <i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> lu2, for efficient production of succinic acid using whey permeate as a cost-effective carbon source	BIOTECHNOL BIOFUELS	2607	-	2020	10.3390/microorganisms8111734		3/1/2022
			1754	-				
Tyagi, AK; Kumar, S; Choudhury, PK; Tyagi, B; Tyagi, N	Conjugated linoleic acid producing potential of lactobacilli isolated from goat (AXB) rumen fluid samples	ASIAN AUSTRAL J ANIM	6834	-	2020	10.1186/s13068-020-01739-3		3/1/2022
			1011	-				
Alves, JMD; Rocha, PJD; Duarte, ER; Maia, HAR; Freitas, CES; Pimenta, MAS; Valerio, HM	Enzymatic profiles of hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials from <i>Aspergillus terreus</i> strains isolated from the rumen of beef cattle from Brazil	BIOCATAL AGRI BIOTECH	2367	-	2020	10.5713/ajas.19.0080		3/1/2022
			1878	-				
Avchar, R; Lanjekar, V; Kshirsagar, P; Dhakephalkar, PK; Dagar, SS; Baghela, A	Buffalo rumen harbours diverse thermotolerant yeasts capable of producing second-generation bioethanol from lignocellulosic biomass	RENEW ENERG	8181	-	2021	10.1016/j.bcab.2021.102143		3/1/2022
			0960	-				
Badejo, OA; Olaniyi, OO; Ayodeji, AO; Lawal, OT	Biochemical properties of partially purified surfactant-tolerant alkalophilic endo beta-1,4 xylanase and acidophilic beta-mannanase from bacteria resident in ruminants' guts	BIOCATAL AGRI BIOTECH	1481	-	2021	10.1016/j.renene.2021.04.002		3/1/2022
DE Sousa, BL; Azevedo, AC; Oliveira, IMF; Bento, CBP; Santana, MF; Bazzolli, DMS; Mantovani, HC	PCR screening reveals abundance of bovicin-like bacteriocins among ruminal <i>Streptococcus</i> spp. Isolated from beef and dairy cattle	J APPL MICROBIOL	1878	-	2021	10.1016/j.bcab.2021.101982		3/1/2022
			8181	-				
			1364	-				
			5072	-	2021	10.1111/jam.15069		3/1/2022

Duarte, ER; Maia, HAR; Freitas, CES; Alves, JMD; Valerio, HM; Cota, J	Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic forages BY Trichoderma longibrachiatum isolate from bovine rumen	BIOCATAL AGRI BIOTECH	1878 -					
Gaffney, J; Embree, J; Gilmore, S; Embree, M	Ruminococcus bovis SP. Nov., a novel species of amylolytic Ruminococcus isolated from the rumen of a dairy cow	INT J SYST EVOL MICR	1466 -	2021	10.1016/j.bcab.2021.102135			3/1/2022
Li, J; Tang, X; Zhao, J; Chen, S; Wang, S; Shao, T	Improvement of fermentation quality and cellulose convertibility of Napier grass silage BY inoculation of cellulolytic bacteria from Tibetan yak (Bos grunniens)	J APPL MICROBIOL MICROBIOLOGY	1364 -	2021	10.1111/jam.14917			3/1/2022
Mahoney-Kurpe, SC; Palevich, N; Noel, SJ; Kumar, S; Gagic, D; Biggs, PJ; Janssen, PH; Attwood, GT; Moon, CD	Complete Genome Sequences of Three Clostridiales R-7 Group Strains Isolated from the Bovine Rumen in New Zealand	ANNOUNCEMENTS	2576 -	2021	10.1128/MRA.00310-21			3/1/2022
Medhi, D; Ali, E; Choudhury, LC; Baruah, KK; Santra, A; Dubey, S; Agarwal, P; Chakravarty, P	Isolation, identification and characterization of rumen bacteria and estimation of their fibre degradable enzymes in yak (Bos grunniens)	INDIAN J ANIM SCIS	0367 -	2021				3/1/2022
NA, SW; Chun, BH; Beak, SH; Khan, SA; Haque, MN; Lee, JS; Jeon, CO; Lee, SS; Baik, M	Pseudoprevotella muciniphila gen. Nov., SP. Nov., a mucin-degrading bacterium attached to the bovine rumen epithelium	PLOS ONE	1932 -	2021	10.1371/journal.pone.0251791			3/1/2022
Pang, J; Liu, LH; Liu, XP; Wang, Y; Chen, B; Wu, SR; Yao, JH; Xu, XR	A novel identified Pseudomonas aeruginosa, which exhibited nitrate- and nitrite-dependent methane oxidation abilities, could alleviate the disadvantages caused BY nitrate supplementation in rumen fluid fermentation	MICROB BIOTECHNOL	1751 -	2021	10.1111/1751-7915.13726			3/1/2022
Park, T; Wijeratne, S; Meulia, T; Firkins, JL; Yu, ZT	Original The macronuclear genome of anaerobic ciliate Entodinium caudatum reveals its biological features adapted to the distinct rumen environment	GENOMICS	0888 -	2021	10.1016/j.ygeno.2021.03.014			3/1/2022
Srivastava, S; Dafale, NA; Jakhesara, SJ; Joshi, CG; Patil, NV; Purohit, HJ	Unraveling the camel rumen microbiome through metaculturomics approach for agriculture waste hydrolytic potential	ARCH MICROBIOL	0302 -	2021	10.1007/s00203-020-02010-x			3/1/2022
Suntara, C; Cherdthong, A; Wanapat, M; Uriyapongson, S; Leelavatcharamas, V; Sawaengkaew, J; Chanjula, P; Foiklang, S	Isolation and Characterization of Yeasts from Rumen Fluids for Potential Use as Additives in Ruminant Feeding	VETERINARY SCIENCES	2306 -	2021	10.3390/vetsci8030052			3/1/2022
Ticona, ARP; Ullah, SF; Hamann, PRV; Lopes, FAC; Noronha, EF	Paenibacillus barengoltzii A1_50L2 as a Source of Plant Cell Wall Degrading Enzymes and Its Use on Lignocellulosic Biomass Hydrolysis	WASTE BIOMASS VALORI	1877 -	2021	10.1007/s12649-020-00975-w			3/1/2022
Wakai, M; Hayashi, S; Chiba, Y; Koike, S; Nagashima, K; Kobayashi, Y	Growth and morphologic response of rumen methanogenic archaea and bacteria to cashew nut shell liquid and its alkylphenol components	ANIM SCI J	1344 -	2021	10.1111/asj.13598			3/1/2022
Wang, WK; Li, WJ; Wu, QC; Wang, YL; Li, SL; Yang, HJ	Isolation and Identification of a Rumen Lactobacillus Bacteria and Its Degradation Potential of Gossypol in Cottonseed Meal during Solid-State Fermentation	MICROORGANISMS	2076 -	2021	10.3390/microorganisms9112200			3/1/2022
Wang, Z; Wu, WQ; Cui, LC; Li, X; Kulyar, MFEA; Xiong, HQ; Zhou, NA; Yin, HH; Li, JK; Li, X	Isolation, characterization, and interaction of lignin-degrading bacteria from rumen of buffalo (Bubalus bubalis)	J BASIC MICROB	0233 -	2021	10.1002/jobm.202100068			3/1/2022

Zada, S; Alam, S; AL Ayoubi, S; Shakeela, Q; Nisa, S; Niaz, Z; Khan, I; Ahmed, W; Bibi, Y; Ahmed, S; Qayyum, A	Biological Transformation of Zearalenone BY Some Bacterial Isolates Associated with Ruminant and Food Samples	TOXINS	2072 -	6651	2021	10.3390/toxins13100712	3/1/2022
Zhang, Y; Liang, S; Zhao, MD; Yang, X; Choi, SH; Li, GY	Screening and Identification of <i>Lactobacillus curvatus</i> Z12 From Rumen Fluid of AN Adult Female Sika Deer as a Potential Probiotic for Feed Additives	FRONT VET SCI	2297 -	1769	2021	10.3389/fvets.2021.753527	3/1/2022
Zhao, J; Shao, T; Chen, S; Tao, X; Li, J	Characterization and identification of cellulase-producing <i>Enterococcus</i> species isolated from Tibetan yak (<i>Bos grunniens</i>) rumen and their application in various forage silages	J APPL MICROBIOL	1364 -	5072	2021	10.1111/jam.15014	3/1/2022
Zhao, JY; Jiang, YD; Gong, LM; Chen, XF; Xie, QL; Jin, Y; Du, J; Wang, SF; Liu, G; Wang Y; Youssef N.	Mechanism of beta-cypermethrin metabolism BY <i>Bacillus cereus</i> GW-01	CHEM ENG J	-	8947	2022	10.1016/j.cej.2021.132961	3/1/2022
H; Couger M.B; Hanafy R.A; Elshahed M.S ; Stajich J.E	Molecular Dating of the Emergence of Anaerobic Rumen Fungi and the Impact of Laterally Acquired Genes	MSYSTEMS	2379 -	5077	2019	10.1128/mSystems.00247-19	18/05/2022
Beijer W.H	Methane fermentation in the rumen of cattle	NATURE	0028 -	0836	1952	10.1038/170576a0	18/05/2022
Oppermann R.A; Nelson, W.O; Brown, R.E	In Vitro Studies on Methanogenic Rumen Bacteria	JOURNAL OF DAIRY SCIENCE	0022 -	0302	1957	10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(57)94554-X	18/05/2022
Smith P.H; Hungate R.E;	Isolation and characterization of <i>Methanobacterium ruminantium</i> n. sp	JOURNAL OF BACTERIOLOGY INTERNATIONAL	-	9193	1958	10.1128/jb.75.6.713-718.1958	18/05/2022
Rea S; Bowman J.P; Popovski S; Pimm C; Wright ADG	<i>Methanobrevibacter millerae</i> sp. nov. and <i>Methanobrevibacter olleyae</i> sp. nov., methanogens from the ovine and bovine rumen that can utilize formate for growth	JOURNAL OF SYSTEMATIC AND EVOLUTIONARY MICROBIOLOGY	1466 -	5026	2007	10.1099/ijs.0.63984-0	18/05/2022
Lovley D.R; Greening R.C; Ferry J.G	Rapidly growing rumen methanogenic organism that synthesizes coenzyme M and has a high affinity for formate.	APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY	0099 -	2240	1984	10.1128/aem.48.1.81-87.1984	18/05/2022
Li Y; Leahy S.C; Jeyanathan J; Henderson G; Cox F; Altermann E; Kelly W.J; Lambie S.C; Janssen P.H; Rakonjac J, Attwood G.T	The complete genome sequence of the methanogenic archaeon ISO4-H5 provides insights into the methylotrophic lifestyle of a ruminal representative of the <i>Methanomassiliicoccales</i>	STANDARDS IN GENOMIC SCIENCES	1944 -	3277	2016	10.1186/s40793-016-0183-5	18/05/2022
Kelly W.J; Li D; Lambie S.C; Jeyanathan J; Cox F; Li Y; Attwood G.T; Altermann E; Leahy S.C	Complete Genome Sequence of Methanogenic Archaeon ISO4-G1, a Member of the <i>Methanomassiliicoccales</i> , Isolated from a Sheep Rumen	GENOMES ANNOUNCEMENTS	2169 -	8287	2016	10.1128/genomeA.00221-16	18/05/2022