Preferential flow in surface and subsurface soils of the recharge area of a groundwater aquifer

Lionel Alletto, Yves Coquet, Pierre Vachier, Christophe Labat

Preferential flow in surface and subsurface soils of the recharge area of a groundwater aquifer

L. Alletto[1,2], Y. Coquet[2], P. Vachier[2] & C. Labat[2]

[1] École Supérieure d'Agriculture de Purpan, Agronomy department, Toulouse, France.
[2] UMR INRA/INA PG Environment and Arable Crops, Thiverval-Grignon, France.

Water Ressources Management – 11-13 April 2005

Outline

Preferential flow in soils : the Mobile-Immobile Model

Context of the study

Materials and Methods



Results and Discussion

Conclusion

Part I

Preferential flow in soils : the Mobile-Immobile Model

Preferential flows in soils

Preferential transport: water short-circuiting a fraction of porosity thus increasing the downward flux rate of solutes

2 models of preferential transport:

- *via* the macroporosity (root channels, earthworms holes, cracks…) in water content is near or at saturation …

- *via* the mesoporosity, the microporosity acting as a source-sink for solutes (by diffusion): Mobile-Immobile Model (MIM)

Preferential flows in soils : the Mobile-Immobile Model

All the porosity is active:

(Coats & Smith, 1964 ; van Genuchten & Wierenga, 1976, 1977)

1 $\theta = \theta_m$

2 $\theta = \theta_m + \theta_{im}$





$$V_{w1} = \frac{J_w}{\theta_m} = V_w = \frac{J_w}{\theta}$$

$$V_{w2} = \frac{J_w}{\theta_m} > V_w = \frac{J_w}{\theta}$$

α

$$\theta_{im}\frac{\partial C_{im}}{\partial t} = \alpha(C_m - C_{im})$$

Part II

Context of the study

Tertiary aquifer of the agricultural region of Beauce (France): contamination with several pesticides (C > 0.1 $\mu g.L^{-1}$)

Objectives of the study

1- Diagnose the existence of preferential transport of the MIM type in a soil profile

2- Estimate the (hydraulic conductivity) parameters of the MIM model: the immobile water fraction θ_{im}/θ and the mass exchange coefficient α of various materials from surface down to 1m-depth soil layers

Part III

Materials and Methods

The agricultural field site of Ouarville (Beauce)

- 25 ha
- ploughed in September 2003
- winter wheat sown in November 2003
- measurements : April-May 2004

0-27 cm A_p ploughed organo-mineral horizon

27-60 cm B_t clay-enriched illuvial horizon

60-88 cm B heavy (red) clay horizon

88+ cm C weathered limestone

Layer	Depth	pH	Clay	Silt	Sand	OC	$CaCO_3$
				$g.kg^{-1}$			
A_p	3	5.93	223	701	75	11.1	1.34
B_t	35	6.55	352	607	41	6.0	<1
B	65	7.70	565	306	124	5.2	4.66
C	92	8.44	339	57	16	3.5	564

⇨ Orthic luvisol (FAO, 1998)



1 **Infiltration of water and 4 sequential infiltrations with tracers (Ø = 8 cm)**

matric potential -0.1 kPa



2 **Soil sampling : each 1 cm down to 10 cm**





3 **- Determination of water content *θ (cm³.cm⁻³)* , bulk density *ρ_b (g.cm⁻³) and the hydraulic conductivity, K(-0.1 kPa) (mm.h⁻¹)***

- HPLC analysis

4 **- Determination of immobile water content θ_{im}/θ, and the mass exchange coefficient α**

Assumptions of the model (Jaynes et al., 1995)

- Initial tracers concentrations = 0

- $C_m = C_0$ (no effect of the hydrodynamic dispersion in the mobile domain in the sampling volume)

Under these assumptions:

⇨ $\theta C = \theta_m C_m + \theta_{im} C_{im}$

⇨ $\ln(1-C/C_0) = - \alpha t/\theta_{im} + \ln(\theta_{im}/\theta)$

Part IV



Results and Discussion



Rotary harrow tillage = homogenisation of the upper part of this horizon

Ploughing: high hydraulic conductivity with a high variability (Coutadeur et al., 2002)



Rotary harrow tillage

Macroporosity destroyed = low K values

Homogenisation = low K variability







No-tillage + sub-angular structure + coatings (clay illuviation) = low hydraulic conductivity and low variability

Very limited water infiltration = low K at the matric potential of -0.1 kPa

Clay illuviation = coatings around aggregates

Sub-angular and partially compact structure





Legend

measured value

geometric mean value

Error bar (95% confidence limit)

B



Large aggregates with bio-porosity = water could easily run between them

→ High hydraulic conductivity



Legend

measured value

geometric mean value

Error bar (95% confidence limit)





Weathered limestone : high hydraulic conductivity due to important fracturation and weathering processes

Small well-structured aggregates



Calcareous stone (with a variable fraction and distribution)

Legend

measured value

geometric mean value

Error bar (95% confidence limit)

High hydraulic conductivity with a high variability due to a short-range heterogeneity of the limestone





Rotary harrow tillage = homogenisation of the upper part of this horizon

No-tillage + compact structure + coatings (clay illuviation) = low hydraulic conductivity and low variability

Large aggregates with bio-porosity = water could easily run

Weathered limestone : high hydraulic conductivity due to important fracturation and weathering processes

Structure created by tillage and/or pedogenetic processes has impact on the hydraulic conductivity

MIM parameters

High variability of θ_{im}/θ : localization and size of the seedbed aggregates?



α min: 0.0006 h^{-1} max: 0.0176 h^{-1} **θ_{im}/θ min: 0.263 max: 0.882**

→ MIM type of preferential transport is a characteristic of the Beauce soils

MIM parameters: Assumptions for B and C horizons

B horizon: large prismatic aggregates



limited exchange surfaces = low α values

C horizon: small well-structured aggregates

large exchange surfaces = high α values



Part V

Conclusion

Conclusion

Preferential flow of the MIM type seems to be a characteristics of the Beauce soils in surface and subsurface

Soil structure plays an important role on the hydraulic conductivity but also on the occurrence of MIM-type preferential flow

Management of soil structure, through tillage practices, appears to be a possible strategy for acting on water and solutes transfer in soil

Thank you.