

Behavioural syndromes shape evolutionary trajectories via conserved genetic architecture

Raphaël Royauté, Ann Hedrick, Ned A Dochtermann

▶ To cite this version:

Raphaël Royauté, Ann Hedrick, Ned A Dochtermann. Behavioural syndromes shape evolutionary trajectories via conserved genetic architecture. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2020, 287 (1927), pp.20200183. 10.1098/rspb.2020.0183. hal-03955485

HAL Id: hal-03955485 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03955485v1

Submitted on 25 Jan 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Behavioral syndromes shape evolutionary trajectories via conserved genetic architecture.

Raphael Royauté ^{a,b} Ann Hedrick ^{c,d} Ned A. Dochtermann ^{a,e}

^a Department of Biological Sciences; North Dakota State University

^b <u>raphael.royaute@gmail.com</u>

^c Department of Neurobiology, Physiology, and Behavior; University of California, Davis ^d <u>avhedrick@ucdavis.edu</u>

^e corresponding author: <u>ned.dochtermann@gmail.com</u>

Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: Ned Dochtermann 1340 Bolley Drive, 201 Stevens Hall Department of Biological Sciences North Dakota State University Fargo, ND 58102

Running Title: Evolutionary conservation of syndromes

Keywords: Behavioral syndromes, evolutionary constraint, **G** matrix, personality, behavioral ecology

Author Contributions: N.A.D. and A.H. conceived the project and supervised the gathering of data, R.R supervised and conducted behavioral trials and analyzed the data. N.A.D., A.H., and R.R. wrote the manuscript.

Data Accessibility Statement: All relevant data will be archived at Dryad upon acceptance. All relevant code and analyses are currently available at: <u>https://github.com/DochtermannLab/G-PopComparison</u>

Abstract

1	Behaviors are often correlated within broader syndromes, creating the potential for
2	evolution in one behavior to drive evolutionary changes in other behaviors. Despite
3	demonstrations that behavioral syndromes are common across taxa, whether this potential
4	for evolutionary effects is realized has not yet been demonstrated. Here we show that
5	populations of field crickets (Gryllus integer) exhibit a genetically conserved behavioral
6	syndrome structure despite differences in average behaviors. We found that the
7	distribution of genetic variation and genetic covariance among behavioral traits was
8	consistent with genes and cellular mechanisms underpinning behavioral syndromes rather
9	than correlated selection. Moreover, divergence among populations' average behaviors was
10	constrained by the genetically conserved behavioral syndrome. Our results demonstrate
11	that a conserved genetic architecture linking behaviors has shaped the evolutionary
12	trajectories of populations in disparate environments—illustrating an important way by
13	which behavioral syndromes result in shared evolutionary fates.

Introduction

14	Behavior is frequently assumed to have been shaped by selection (Grafen 1984) and thus	
15	populations are expected to differ in a range of behaviors based on local selective	
16	pressures. This implies that behaviors are able to evolve independently, an assumption	
17	increasingly challenged by the ubiquity of behavioral syndromes—correlations among	
18	behaviors (Table 1; Sih et al. 2004a, Sih et al. 2004b)—which have been documented across	
19	taxonomic groups (Garamszegi et al. 2012, 2013) and are comprised of both genetic and	
20	environmental contributions (Dochtermann 2011, Dingemanse and Dochtermann 2014,	
21	Dochtermann et al. 2015).	
22	Given the contribution of genetic correlations to behavioral syndromes, these	
23	syndromes have the potential to constrain the ability of populations to diverge and respond	
24	to local selective pressures (Dochtermann and Dingemanse 2013). Specifically, based on	
25	quantitative genetic theory, if syndromes stem from pleiotropic effects—wherein a single	
26	gene affects multiple behaviors—populations will be constrained to diverge along shared	
27	evolutionary pathways. Further, this divergence is predicted to occur in the direction in	
28	trait space that contains the most variation (Figure 1; Schluter 1996). As a result, if	
29	syndromes have a constraining effect on evolution, the pattern of correlations among traits	
30	will be conserved among populations (Figure 1). Alternatively, if genetic correlations	
31	underpinning syndromes are the result of selection historically favoring particular trait	
32	combinations (i.e. selection-induced linkage disequilibrium (Roff 1997, Saltz et al. 2017)),	
33	the divergence of populations will be generally unconstrained as these genetic correlations	

are expected to rapidly break down when selection changes (Roff 1997, Conner 2002, Saltz
et al. 2017).

36 These two quantitative genetic explanations for behavioral syndromes have explicit analogs in the behavioral literature: whether syndromes emerge from pleiotropy, tight 37 38 genetic linkage, or other shared physiological and cellular effects has been termed the "constraints hypothesis," as opposed to selection-induced linkage disequilibrium, which 39 has been termed the "adaptive hypothesis" (Figure 1, *sensu* Bell (2005)). While some 40 studies have compared phenotypic or among-individual correlations across populations 41 (e.g. Dingemanse et al. 2007, Pruitt et al. 2010, Dochtermann et al. 2012, Royauté et al. 42 43 2014, Michelangeli et al. 2018), only population comparisons of behavioral syndromes at the additive genetic level allow for properly testing these competing hypotheses. 44 Unfortunately, data at the additive genetic level has been restricted to a single comparison 45 of two populations (Bell 2005). Consequently, the overall role of behavioral syndromes in 46 47 shaping population divergence is an important gap in our knowledge as support for the constraints versus adaptive hypotheses remains insufficiently tested. 48

Knowing whether behavioral syndromes emerge from genetic constraints or
selection induced linkage disequilibrium is important because these mechanisms
differentially affect evolutionary outcomes (Saltz et al. 2017). These potential effects are
broad, from altering responses to environmental changes to speciation dynamics. For
example, in *Anolis* lizards, constraints imposed by genetic correlations on morphological
traits have shaped divergence and what phenotypes can be expressed during adaptive
radiations (McGlothlin et al. 2018). Behavioral syndromes may have an even greater

56	constraining effect: Dochtermann and Dingemanse (2013) reported that the average
57	magnitude of genetic correlations between behaviors was sufficient to constrain
58	evolutionary responses to a greater degree than do correlations between life-history or
59	morphological traits. Unfortunately, this conclusion was based on data that could not
60	distinguish between the constraints and adaptive hypotheses. If indeed the constraints
61	hypothesis underpins behavioral syndromes then syndromes will reduce the rate of
62	adaptation and reduce the rate at which populations and species diverge. Evaluating
63	evidence for the constraints and adaptive hypotheses is therefore necessary in order to
64	understand whether behavioral syndromes are important in behavioral evolution.

Here we evaluated predictions of the adaptive and constraints hypotheses (Figure 65 1) and tested whether behavioral syndromes have diverged at the genetic level among 66 67 populations of the field cricket, Gryllus integer. Specifically, according to the constraints hypothesis we predicted that genetic variation would be expressed in a consistent manner 68 among populations and genetic correlations would be maintained across generations. 69 70 Specific predictions are more difficult to make for the adaptive hypothesis without knowledge of local selective pressures. This hypothesis can, however, be assessed 71 indirectly because behavioral divergence is expected to not be constrained and correlations 72 73 are expected to rapidly degrade. We evaluated these predictions via estimation and comparisons of behavioral genetic (co)variance matrices, i.e. **G** (Table 1), estimated for 74 multiple populations of *G. integer* (Figure 2). 75

Methods

76 <u>Cricket collection</u>

G. integer is a particularly appropriate species to evaluate the constraints and adaptive
hypotheses for behavioral syndromes as the species exhibits population differences in
ecologically relevant behaviors that can be assayed in the laboratory (e.g. Hedrick and
Kortet 2006, Kortet and Hedrick 2007, Niemela et al. 2012a). *G. integer* can also readily be
bred in the lab according to quantitative genetic designs making it an ideal model for our
questions of interest (Hedrick 1988).

We collected adult female crickets from four populations throughout the
southwestern and western US: Socorro, NM; Las Cruces, NM; Aguila, AZ; and Dunnigan, CA
(Figure 2) during the summer of 2017. Crickets from these locations are formally
recognized as members of *Gryllus integer* but additional splitting is currently being
considered (D. Weissman, personal communication). These populations are also
geographically distant from each other (Figure 2) and vary in predator and parasitoid
abundance (Hedrick and Kortet 2006).

Around 50 females on average were collected from each population (Table S1) and taken to animal housing facilities at North Dakota State University. Females were housed individually in 0.71 L containers and provided with ad libitum food (Purina Chick Starter) and water (water was provided in glass vials capped with cotton). Each cricket was also provided with a small piece of cardboard egg carton for shelter. The cricket housing room was maintained on a 12:12 dark:light cycle reversed such that the room was dark during daytime hours. The housing room was kept at ~27C.

97 <u>Breeding design</u>

Females collected from the field (generation P) were allowed to oviposit in water vials 98 while in their containers. Offspring of these females were designated generation F₀ as sires 99 100 were unknown: mating occurred prior to capture and multiple mating is common in the 101 genus (Simmons 1986). F_0 offspring hatched in their dams' containers and were then 102 moved to individual housing prior to maturation. We assayed the behavior of 387 F_0 103 individuals (see below) upon maturation (Table S1). After behavioral trials, F₀ individuals 104 were assigned to breeding pairs such that individual males were mated to multiple randomly assigned females from the same population but different dams according to a 105 standard full-sib, half-sib breeding design (Lynch and Walsh 1998). Matings were 106 107 conducted as follows: females were moved from their normal housing containers to a larger container $(34.6 \times 21 \times 12.4 \text{ cm})$ along with their food dish, water vial, and egg carton 108 109 shelter. After the female had been transferred, the assigned male was likewise moved to 110 the large container, also with its food dish, water vial, and egg carton. The male and female remained in these containers for 24 hours to allow sufficient time for courtship and 111 112 multiple mating. After 24 hours the male and female crickets were returned to their 113 original containers. If males were to be mated with additional females, they were allowed a 114 minimum break of 24 hours before repeating the above procedure. These F₀ females were 115 subsequently allowed to oviposit into water vials within their containers. Resulting F_1 116 offspring were moved to individual housing prior to maturation and had their behaviors 117 assayed upon maturation. After behavioral assays, F₁ individuals were likewise paired with 118 F_1 individuals of the same population but different sizes in the same manner as above and 119 resulting F₂ offspring were moved to individual housing and had their behavior measured 120 upon maturation. This resulted in the behavioral testing of 395 F_1 individuals and 163 F_2

121	individuals (Table S1). Across the three generations this represented behavioral testing of
122	946 individual crickets.

123 <u>Behavioral testing</u>

124 All behavioral tests followed standard procedures previously validated in the literature for

125 Gryllid crickets (Kortet and Hedrick 2007, Kortet et al. 2007, Hedrick and Kortet 2012,

126 Niemela et al. 2012b, Royauté et al. 2015, Royauté and Dochtermann 2017, Royauté et al.

127 2019). These assays encompass how individuals vary in risk-taking behavior (Kortet et al.

128 2007), exploratory propensity (Royauté et al. 2015, Royauté and Dochtermann 2017,

129 Royauté et al. 2019), and response to predation threat (Royauté and Dochtermann 2017,

130 Royauté et al. 2019). Based on the known relatedness among individuals we then

131 estimated **G**—the matrix of additive genetic behavioral variances and covariances—for

each population. Below, we describe these behavioral assays and their ecological relevance.

133 - Latency to emerge from shelter -

134 Gryllid crickets, including *G. integer*, use small burrows and natural cracks for refuge from 135 predators and to which they retreat when under threat. As a result, latency to emerge from shelter after disturbance can be considered a proxy for risk-taking behavior or "boldness" 136 137 (Kortet et al. 2007). Here, we conducted latency tests wherein individuals were transferred from their home containers to small artificial burrows (40 cm³) placed within a 34.6×21 138 cm arena. These artificial burrows were capped so that individuals could not immediately 139 140 emerge. Crickets were forced to remain in the artificial burrow for two minutes after which the cap was removed. Crickets were then allowed six minutes and thirty seconds to emerge 141 142 from the artificial burrow. During this test we recorded how long it took for an individual

to emerge (in seconds). Individuals that did not emerge were given a maximum latency of390 seconds.

145 - Open field exploratory behavior -

146 Open field tests are a classic behavioral assay across taxa (Walsh and Cummins 1976) which measure the exploratory propensity of individuals (Réale et al. 2007), including 147 crickets (Royauté et al. 2015, Royauté and Dochtermann 2017, Royauté et al. 2019). 148 Individuals that move through more of the arena are considered more thorough explorers 149 150 (Réale et al. 2007). Here we used open field tests to measure activity and exploratory propensity in a 30 × 30 cm plexiglass arena. Individuals were introduced into the arena 151 152 under a small container and allowed to rest for 30 seconds after introduction. At the end of 153 this 30 seconds, the container was removed and the cricket was allowed to explore the 154 arena for 3 minutes and 40 seconds. The arena was cleaned with isopropyl alcohol between trials to remove any chemosensory cues from the arena. We used Ethovision XT to record 155 156 the total distance the individual moved during the trial (cm), the number of unique zones of 157 the arena an individual visited during the trial, and the variance in velocity of individuals. 158 This latter measure indicates whether an individual's speed of exploration was constant 159 (low velocity variance) or whether individuals had frequent activity bursts punctuated by 160 long bouts of inactivity (high velocity variance).

161 - Response to cues of predator presence -

How individuals respond to cues of predator presence often varies within and among
populations and is likely to covary with fitness (Herman and Valone 2000). Crickets
respond to chemical cues of predator presence by either freezing or increasing activity

depending on whether confronted by predator cues of sit-and-wait or active predators 165 (Storm and Lima 2008, Binz et al. 2014). Here we used a behavioral assay to measure 166 response to cues of predator presence also previously used with another Gryllid species 167 168 (Royauté and Dochtermann 2017, Royauté et al. 2019). Specifically, individuals were 169 introduced into a 15 cm diameter circular arena (7.5 cm height), the floor of which was 170 covered with dry filter paper that had been soaked with diluted excreta from leopard 171 geckos (*Eublepharis macularius*). Crickets respond to exposure to leopard gecko cues by increasing activity (Royauté and Dochtermann 2017, Royauté et al. 2019). All leopard 172 geckos were fed a diet of *G. integer* with occasional diet supplementation of mealworms 173 (i.e. larval *Tenebrio molitor*) and the related decorated cricket (*Gryllodes sigillatus*). Crickets 174 were introduced to a portion of the arena without predator cue under a small shelter. After 175 a 30 second rest period, the shelter was removed and the individual allowed to freely move 176 177 throughout the arena for 3 minutes and 40 seconds. We then used Ethovision XT to record the total distance an individual moved during the trial (cm). Total distance moved during 178 179 the predator cue trial, the latency to first movement (in seconds), and the variance in 180 velocity were used in subsequent analyses.

181 <u>Statistical analyses</u>

182 - G matrix estimation -

We used multi-response mixed effect animal models (Kruuk 2004) implemented using the
MCMCglmm package in R (Hadfield 2010) to estimate genetic variances and covariances (i.e.
the **G** matrix). We included the effects of temperature, day and time of testing in the
behavioral arena room along with sex, life-stage and mass of the individual as fixed effects.

We used the individual relatedness matrix (based on the known pedigree) as a random 187 effect. Traits for which variances and covariances were estimated were: (i) the latency that 188 189 an individual emerged from the shelter during the trial (modeled as censored Gaussian), 190 (ii) the distance moved during the open field trial (Gaussian), (iii) the number of unique 191 zones an individual visited during the open field trial (Poisson), (iv) the log-transformed 192 variance in velocity during the open field trial (Gaussian), (v) the square-root transformed 193 distance an individual moved during the predator cue response trial (Gaussian), (vi) the latency to initiate movement in the antipredator response trial (Poisson) and (vii) the log-194 transformed variance in velocity during the antipredator response trial (Gaussian). The 195 196 inclusion of dam ID as a random effect did not improve model fit, indicating negligible or 197 non-existent maternal effects and was not included in final model runs. Multi-response 198 models were fit individually by population with each population's variances and 199 covariances estimated from the posterior of an MCMC chain of 4.8×10^6 iterations, with an 200 800,000 burn-in period and a thinning interval of 4,000. A prior that was minimally 201 informative for both variances and covariances was used. All variances and covariances 202 were estimated at the additive genetic level and on the latent scale (Table S4).

203 - Evaluating the constraints and adaptive hypotheses -

The location, orientation, and distribution of genetic variation in multivariate space—in our case seven dimensional space—can differ among groups in a variety of complex ways (Phillips and Arnold 1999, Blows et al. 2004, Walsh 2007, Roff et al. 2012, Aguirre et al. 2014). We therefore used a suite of statistical approaches to compare the orientation of

- 208 genetic variation and evaluated agreement among multiple statistical approaches in
- 209 support for either the constraints or adaptive hypothesis.
- To determine whether behavioral syndrome structure at the additive genetic level
 was shared among populations we used two approaches:
- 212 (i) testing whether populations exhibited shared subspaces (dimensions) of **G**
- 213 based on Krzanowski's common subspace analysis (Aguirre et al. 2014);
- 214 (ii) comparing alignment of dominant eigenvectors among populations (i.e. g_{max} ,
- 215

Table 1 (Schluter 1996))

216 Krzanowski's common subspace analysis determines whether genetic variation is

expressed in the same dimensions and direction across groups (Aguirre et al. 2014). This

218 can be thought of as analogous to asking whether the populations shared principal

components (Phillips and Arnold 1999). In two dimensions, this is similar to the directions

the ellipses point in Figure 1, with shared subspaces when the ellipses point in the same

direction. For our data there were seven possible dimensions of overlap but some

dimensions may not possess substantive variation (Table S4). Following Aguirre et al.

223 (2014) we therefore considered those subspaces that additively contained greater than

90% of the genetic variation, which were then summarized in matrix form (**H**)(Aguirre et

al. 2014). Here, this included three possible shared subspaces (**h**₁, **h**₂, and **h**₃; Table 1) and

we tested whether these subspaces were shared among populations to a degree greater

than expected by chance. Under the constraints hypothesis we expect genetic variation to

be expressed in the same dimensional direction among populations, manifested as shared

229 genetic subspaces. A lack of shared subspaces would therefore contradict the predictions of

the constraints hypothesis. However, shared subspaces may also be observed under the 230 adaptive hypothesis if selective pressures are the same across populations. 231 Because evolutionary trajectories are biased by the dimensional direction (vector) 232 233 in which most genetic variation is expressed, we also compared this vector, i.e. \mathbf{g}_{max} 234 (Schluter 1996), among populations. This approach is similar to Krzanowski's common 235 subspace analysis but focuses on the dimension in which most variation is expressed. Here 236 we calculated the vector correlation between the \mathbf{g}_{max} s of each population and, via randomization testing, determined whether these correlations significantly differed from 0. 237 Even if genetic covariances constrain the path of evolutionary change, average 238 behaviors can change. Therefore, we estimated "D" (Table 1)—a matrix that describes the 239 240 phenotypic divergence amongst populations and was here estimated as the (co)variance of species means (Schluter 1996, McGlothlin et al. 2018). The eigenvectors (d, Table 1) of this 241 242 matrix describe the direction in multivariate space in which most divergence has occurred. 243 If behavioral syndromes have constrained the evolution of populations, we would expect 244 the direction in which most phenotypic divergence in average behavior has occurred (\mathbf{d}_1) 245 to be correlated with shared subspaces identified by Krzanowski's common subspace 246 analysis (McGlothlin et al. 2018). Here we calculated the vector correlation between d_1 and 247 $h_{1:3}$. Non-zero correlations between d_1 and any shared subspace would be consistent with 248 the constraints hypothesis.

As with average behaviors, genetic variances across dimensions may differ among populations even while populations share an overall conserved genetic behavioral syndrome. We can therefore ask whether genetic variances have been constrained by a conserved behavioral syndrome. To do so we used methods described by Hine et al. (2009) 253 to calculate what are known as genetic covariance eigentensors (E, Table 1). This approach 254 starts by calculating the variances and covariances of **G** matrices across populations. Resulting matrices can subsequently be subjected to further eigen analysis, producing 255 256 eigentensor matrices (E) and eigenvectors (e, Table 1) to describe in which dimension the 257 **G**s of populations differ the most. If a conserved genetic behavioral syndrome, consistent 258 with the constraints hypothesis, has affected the divergence of **G**s among populations we 259 would expect correlations between the eigenvectors of eigentensors (e) and any shared subspaces ($h_{1:3}$). Put another way, if populations share genetic variation in the same 260 dimension in which most of the genetic divergence occurred, this would be evidence that 261 262 syndromes channel behavioral evolution along a line of least resistance.

For the above approaches we followed the recommendations of Aguirre et al. (2014) 263 in that all tests were based on the full MCMC posterior distributions and null distributions 264 265 for population comparisons were based on randomizations of breeding values. To compare whether eigenvectors were significantly aligned, we also generated a random distribution 266 267 of vector correlations following McGlothlin et al. (2018). The critical values of vector 268 correlations based on this distribution were 0.93 (P < 0.001), 0.85 (P < 0.01), 0.71 269 0.05) and 0.62 (P < 0.1). To assess the significance of eigenvalues of **H** and **E** against 270 random expectations, we calculated the largest posterior quantiles for which these 271 distributions did not overlap (Figures S2 and S3 respectively). This threshold serves as a 272 Bayesian probability in favor of the observed distribution being generated by patterns 273 other than chance (hereafter, P_{mcmc}). Because there are no clear-cut rules for interpreting 274 these Bayesian probabilities, we provide the following scale to indicate how we interpreted 275 support for inferences: $P_{mcmc} < 0.7$: poor evidence of difference compared to random

276	expectations; $P_{mcmc} > 0.8$: moderate evidence of difference compared to random
277	expectations; $P_{mcmc} > 0.9$ strong evidence of difference compared to random expectations;
278	$P_{mcmc} > 0.95$: very strong evidence of difference compared to random expectations. Other
279	reported probabilities (hereafter P) were interpreted according to standard criteria.
280	To further assess support for the adaptive and constraints hypotheses we also
281	compared genetic correlations across generations. Genetic correlations due to selection-
282	induced linkage disequilibrium are expected to decline across generations with random
283	mating. Specifically, Conner (2002) argued that with random mating and in the absence of
284	physical linkage, the magnitude of genetic correlations should halve every generation.
285	Because of our breeding design we were able to opportunistically and separately estimate
286	phenotypic and genetic correlations among behaviors by generation. Here, while mating
287	was restricted to be within populations, mating was random with regard to behavior and
288	we would therefore expect both genetic correlations (r_A) and phenotypic correlations (r_P)
289	to decrease during the duration of the experiment under the adaptive hypothesis. We
290	therefore generated expected genetic and phenotypic average absolute correlations under
291	these assumptions (Appendix S1) and compared the observed estimates to these
292	expectations. According to the constraints hypothesis we would expect correlations to
293	remain stable across generations while under the adaptive hypothesis we would expect
294	them to degrade toward a correlation of zero.
295	Finally, based on the estimated ${f G}$ matrices for each population, we calculated
296	"autonomy" ($ar{a}$, Table 1) throughout multivariate space following Hansen and Houle
297	(2008). Autonomy provides an estimate of the "fraction of genetic variation that is

independent of potentially constraining characters" (Hansen and Houle 2008). Put another

way, autonomy estimates the degree to which genetic variation is free to respond to selection (max $\bar{a} = 1$) versus constrained by covariance (min $\bar{a} = 0$). We did not have predictions as to values for autonomy under either the constraints or adaptive hypotheses. Instead, these values indicate the potential for future evolutionary constraints for each population.

304 Results

305 Behavioral syndromes were genetically conserved among populations. Based on 306 Krzanowski's common subspace analysis (**H**, Table 1; Aguirre et al. (2014)), the behavioral 307 syndrome of G. integer was characterized by three dimensions of genetic covariance (h_{1-3} , 308 Table 1). These dimensions, and thus the overall syndrome, were shared among 309 populations, as indicated by all Bayesian probabilities, p_{mcmc} , being < 0.65 (Fig. S1). p_{mcmc} 310 values that are closer to 1 for this test would indicate departure from random expectations 311 and would therefore support a lack of shared syndrome structure among populations. The 312 shared behavioral syndrome was comprised of: i) genetic covariation between shelter emergence time and predator cue responsiveness (\mathbf{h}_1 , Table 2); *ii*) a genetic boldness-313 activity syndrome in which active individuals were more prone to ignore predator cues and 314 315 were quicker to exit from their shelter (\mathbf{h}_2 , Table 2); and *iii*) genetic covariance between 316 activity and shelter emergence (h_3 , Table 2). Each of these three axes explained around 317 one-third of the observed genetic variance (Table 2).

Following the demonstration of genetic conservation of behavioral syndromes, we determined whether genetic variation was primarily expressed in the same direction in multivariate space across populations (**g**_{max} alignment; Table 1). Put another way, given the

321	general conservation of behavioral syndrome structure at the genetic level, did populations
322	express most genetic variation in the same combinations of traits? Indeed, the \mathbf{g}_{max} s of the
323	Aguila and Dunnigan and Socorro and Dunnigan populations were strongly correlated with
324	each other (vector correlation $r > 0.7$, p < 0.05) (Figure 2). Moreover, the g _{max} s of each
325	population were aligned with the shared axes (Figure 2). This alignment demonstrates that
326	the genetically conserved behavioral syndrome captured the genetic variation expressed in
327	each population and confirmed that the orientation of genetic variation in multivariate
328	space was conserved among the populations.

Despite the genetic conservation of behavioral syndrome structure, populations did exhibit some divergence. Specifically, the populations have diverged in their multivariate behavioral averages (i.e. "**D**," Figure 3, Table 1 and S2) and in the magnitude of genetic variation present in each population (Figure S3). Importantly, however, the direction of divergence in both means and variances was aligned with the shared behavioral syndrome (e.g. $r_{d1,h3} = 0.85$, p < 0.01; $r_{h1,e11} = 0.92$, p < 0.01; Table S2). This alignment demonstrates that divergence has been constrained by the shared structure of behavioral syndromes.

Behavioral syndromes emerging from either the adaptive or constraints hypotheses are expected to respond differently to random mating. Specifically, under the adaptive hypothesis, genetic correlations are expected to erode by 50% every generation. Because we mated individuals at random, we were able to compare the observed average genetic and phenotypic correlations (r_A and r_P) with their expected values under the adaptive hypothesis (see Appendix S1 for details). Contrary to the expectations of the adaptive hypothesis, but as predicted according to the constraints hypothesis, average genetic and phenotypic correlations remained stable over the course of three successive laboratory generations (posterior mean and 95 % credible intervals; $r_{A \text{ Observed}} F_1 = 0.36 [0.23; 0.52]$, r_A _{Observed} $F_2 = 0.38 [0.23; 0.53]$, Figure 4).

Finally, for each population, we calculated autonomy (Table 1), which estimates the degree of constraint on evolutionary outcomes imposed by the genetic architecture connecting traits. Autonomy varies between 0 and 1, with higher values indicating greater potential for independent evolution. For *Gryllus integer*, autonomy varied between 0.47 and 0.61 (DUN: $\bar{a} = 0.48$ [0.31; 0.68], SOC: $\bar{a} = 0.47$ [0.32; 0.67], AG: $\bar{a} = 0.60$ [0.44; 0.78], LC: $\bar{a} =$ 0.61 [0.43; 0.76], all populations combined: $\bar{a} = 0.57$ [0.43; 0.70]). This suggests that the constraining effect of behavioral syndromes is likely to persist over future generations.

353 Discussion

354 Three key results demonstrate conservation of behavioral syndromes at the genetic 355 level despite differences among populations in average behavior, providing strong support 356 for the constraints hypothesis. This support for the constraints hypothesis is unexpected 357 given that a previous study with stickleback (Bell 2005) found that two populations 358 differed in the magnitude of heritabilities and genetic correlations between two 359 behaviors—albeit with overlapping confidence intervals—providing support in that case 360 for the adaptive hypothesis. This conservation of behavioral syndrome structure has also 361 had the effect of channeling population divergence. Our results therefore suggest that 362 studying a broader suite of behavioral traits may reveal evolutionary constraints not 363 apparent from pair-wise correlations.

Our first major result supporting the constraints hypothesis was that the genetic 364 variation among the four populations was shared along three dimensions. These 365 dimensions describe the genetic structure of the species' behavioral syndromes and their 366 367 being shared demonstrates that the orientation of genetic variation was conserved among 368 populations. The overall behavioral syndrome consisted of a boldness-activity dimension 369 (**h**₂, Table 2) frequently described in the literature. This dimension genetically links 370 activity, exploration and risk-prone behaviors. This dimension has been described at the phenotypic level (Wilson and Godin 2009, Bókony et al. 2012) but demonstrations at the 371 genetic level are rare (see Bell (Bell 2005) for one example). The other conserved 372 373 dimension (\mathbf{h}_1 and \mathbf{h}_3) represent potential trade-offs between risk management strategies, 374 in which individuals either compensate for risk during foraging by being less prone to resume activity when threatened (\mathbf{h}_3 , Table 2), or take risks in one context (not moving 375 376 away from a predator cue) while avoiding risk in another (taking longer to emerge from 377 shelter) (\mathbf{h}_1 , Table 2). Alternatively, dimension \mathbf{h}_1 might indicate that individuals with long 378 latencies are less active. As a result, these individuals may encounter fewer predator cues 379 resulting in weaker antipredator responses.

Our second major result supporting the constraints hypothesis was that the **g**_{max}s of the Aguila and Dunnigan and Socorro and Dunnigan populations were strongly correlated and all **g**_{max}s were aligned with the shared behavioral syndrome. This validates that behavioral syndrome structure is shared among the populations and that the behavioral syndrome captures the majority of observed genetic variation. Schluter (1996) demonstrated that morphological divergence among several pairs of populations and species of vertebrates is constrained by **g**_{max}. Specifically, evolutionary divergence was greatest when populations and species shared a common g_{max} and there was directional
selection for morphological trait combinations in this same direction in phenotypic space
(Schluter 1996). We found that g_{max} was conserved and that divergence in both average
behavior and genetic (co)variance among the four populations was aligned with g_{max}. This
demonstrates that behavioral syndromes affect population divergence in a manner similar
to that observed for morphology.

393 Our third result in support of the constraints hypothesis stems from the prediction 394 that, under the adaptive hypothesis, genetic correlations are expected to decrease by about 50% each generation due to the effects of recombination (Conner 2002). This prediction 395 396 assumes an absence of genetic linkage and random mating (Appendix S1). However, 397 genetic linkage sufficiently strong to resist recombination is also consistent with the 398 constraints hypothesis—see, for example, the effects of supergenes (Purcell et al. 2014, 399 Küpper et al. 2016)—and so we consider this assumption appropriate. In contrast to this 400 prediction of declining correlations, we found that the average genetic correlation did not change across generations (Figure 4). Similarly, phenotypic correlations did not decrease 401 402 according to predictions (Figure 4). Because we were not able to study replicate lines under random mating, this finding is not conclusive on its own. Instead the result is one 403 404 additional line of evidence consistent with the constraints hypothesis and in contradiction of the adaptive hypothesis. 405

Importantly, the first two results—shared dimensions of genetic variation and
 correlated g_{max}s—could also be observed under the adaptive hypothesis if the selective
 pressures each of the populations experienced were the same. We consider this unlikely for

three reasons. First, the degree of geographic separation among populations was extensive, 409 totaling more than 1500 km in some cases (Figure 2). This degree of geographic separation 410 makes it unlikely that the populations experienced the exact same selective regime. 411 412 Moreover, climate (Table S5) as well as predation and parasitism regimes are highly 413 variable among the populations (Hedrick and Kortet 2006). Second, if similarity in 414 selection regimes was the driving force behind these converging patterns of genetic 415 covariance, we would expect the geographically closest populations to have the greatest 416 similarity in \mathbf{g}_{max} . This was not the case and, in fact, \mathbf{g}_{max} was most similar among populations that were geographically most separated (Figure 2). Finally, our third main 417 result directly contradicts the adaptive hypothesis: if trait correlations, like those of 418 419 behavioral syndromes, arise due to the adaptive hypothesis and therefore selection-420 induced linkage disequilibrium, they are expected to rapidly degrade under random mating 421 (Roff 1997, Conner 2002). In direct contradiction to this expectation we observed that 422 correlations did not decrease across generations (Figure 4). Put another way, our first two 423 results—which showed that the multivariate composition of behavioral syndromes was 424 shared among populations—are consistent with the predictions of the constraints hypothesis. Next, our third result—the maintenance of behavioral correlations despite 425 426 random mating—demonstrates the failure of predictions made by the adaptive hypothesis. Our results indicate that the conserved genetic architecture of behavioral 427 428 syndromes leads to populations having quantitatively constrained evolutionary trajectories

429 (Houle 2001) and that these syndromes have limited population divergence. This

430 quantitative constraint and resulting limitation on divergence is also expected to persist

431 into the future due to the behavioral syndrome structure imposed by each population's **G**

matrix. Based on these G matrices, we found similar degrees of autonomy (Hansen and
Houle 2008) among populations ranging from 0.47-0.61, a stronger constraint than
observed for life-history or morphological traits (Dochtermann and Dingemanse 2013).
These autonomies indicate that behaviors will rarely evolve independently and the
observed genetic behavioral syndrome will affect future evolution.

Despite the conservation of behavioral syndrome structure at the genetic level 437 438 across populations, Gryllus integer populations did exhibit divergence in both mean behaviors and magnitudes of genetic variation present in each population. Both the 439 divergence in means and variances was strongly aligned with the shape of the shared 440 441 behavioral syndrome, demonstrating that the syndrome itself was channeling the 442 evolutionary divergence of the populations (Table S2). The divergence in means was most 443 strongly related to differences in latency and distance moved in both the open-field and antipredator assays (Table 2). Specifically, the Dunnigan, CA and Aguila, AZ populations 444 445 exhibited the greatest differences in average behaviors (Figure 4). Similarly, the divergence in magnitude of genetic variation was driven by the three easternmost populations having 446 447 less genetic variation than the Dunnigan, CA population (Figure S1). Whether this represents a loss of variation due to selection, stochastic effects on the three eastern 448 449 populations, or the accumulation of variation for the western population is not currently 450 clear.

Throughout we have referred to the adaptive and constraints hypothesis as
competing hypotheses for the expression of behavioral syndromes. However, two caveats
to this framing exist: First, according to a Tinbergian framework (Tinbergen 1963), these

hypotheses are not addressing questions at the same level. Within the framework of 454 Tinbergen's four questions, the constraints hypothesis is a proximate causation question 455 and reduces to a question about pleiotropy (or other molecular mechanisms) versus 456 457 linkage and is agnostic as to selection. In contrast, the adaptive hypothesis is an ultimate 458 question of function and would be assessed by determining the alignment between **G** and 459 selection gradients (e.g. following methods described by Berdal and Dochtermann 2019). 460 This framing does not, however, carry-over to the quantitative genetic literature wherein selection-induced linkage disequilibrium and molecular mechanisms such as pleiotropy are 461 considered competing explanations (e.g. Roff 1997). The difference in perspective is partly 462 due to the history of the fields but is also because the quantitative genetic framework 463 recognizes the necessary role of continuing correlated selection in maintaining covariances 464 stemming from linkage disequilibrium. As a second caveat it is important to note that the 465 466 hypotheses are not strictly mutually exclusive (Conner et al. 2011, Saltz et al. 2017). It is possible that some portion of an estimated genetic covariance might stem from pleiotropy 467 while some other portion stems from linkage disequilibrium (the two could even cancel 468 469 each other out). Nonetheless, our results consistently supported the predictions of the 470 constraints hypothesis across several lines of evidence.

The surprising degree of shared genetic variation in behavioral syndrome reported here suggests an unrecognized and important role for behavioral syndromes in the evolution of populations. Behaviors such as those measured here—exploratory behaviors and responses to predation threat—are frequently assumed to have been under selection and their responses to selection have been assumed to be unconstrained. In contrast, we have shown that the genetic contribution to behavioral expression is highly conserved, that

- 477 populations share evolutionary fates, and that conserved behavioral variation may be a
- 478 driver of population divergence and perhaps even speciation.
- 479

Acknowledgements

480	We thank Monica Berdal, Katelyn Cannon, Jeremy Dalos, Sarah Felde, Brady Klock, Ishan	
481	Joshi, Hannah Lambert, Jenna LaCoursiere and Alondra Neunsinger for assistance in	
482	conducting behavioral trials and in rearing and care of the crickets and Martori Farms,	
483	David Lightfoot, Scott Bundy, Nico Franz, Sangmi Lee, Cameron Jones, Kenny Chapin, Ti	
484	Eriksson, Meranda Feagins, Charlotte Mulloney, Melody Martinez, Allyson Richins, Mauriel	
485	Rodriguez, Helen Vessels and David Wikman for assistance in collecting the crickets. We	
486	thank J. Bowsher, J. Pruitt, D. Westneat, and members of the Gillam and Dochtermann labs	
487	at NDSU for important discussions and/or comments on earlier versions of this paper. We	
488	also thank Adam Reddiex and two anonymous reviewers for essential critical feedback.	
489	This work was supported by US NSF IOS grants 1557951 and 1558069 to N.A.D. and A.H.	
490	respectively.	
491	References Cited	
492 493	Aguirre, J., E. Hine, K. McGuigan, and M. Blows. 2014. Comparing G : multivariate analysis of	
494	genetic variation in multiple populations. Heredity 112 :21-29.	
495	Bell, A. M. 2005. Behavioural differences between individuals and two populations of	
496	stickleback (<i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>). Journal of Evolutionary Biology 18 :464-473.	
497	Berdal, M. A., and N. A. Dochtermann. 2019. Adaptive alignment of plasticity with genetic	
498	variation and selection. Journal of Heredity 110 .	
499	Binz, H., R. Bucher, M. H. Entling, and F. Menzel. 2014. Knowing the risk: crickets	
500	distinguish between spider predators of different size and commonness. Ethology	
501	120 :99-110.	

502	Blows, M. W., S. F. Chenoweth, and E. Hine. 2004. Orientation of the genetic variance-
503	covariance matrix and the fitness surface for multiple male sexually selected traits.
504	American Naturalist 163 :329-340.
505	Bókony, V., A. Kulcsár, Z. Tóth, and A. Liker. 2012. Personality traits and behavioral
506	syndromes in differently urbanized populations of house sparrows (Passer
507	domesticus). Plos One 7 :e36639.
508	Conner, J. K. 2002. Genetic mechanisms of floral trait correlations in a natural population.
509	Nature 420 :407-410.
510	Conner, J. K., K. Karoly, C. Stewart, V. A. Koelling, H. F. Sahli, and F. H. Shaw. 2011. Rapid
511	Independent Trait Evolution despite a Strong Pleiotropic Genetic Correlation.
512	American Naturalist 178 :429-441.
513	Dingemanse, N. J., and N. A. Dochtermann. 2014. Individual behaviour: behavioural ecology
514	meets quantitative genetics.in A. Charmantier, D. Garant, and L. E. B. Kruuk, editors.
515	Quantitative genetics in the wild. Oxford University Press.
516	Dingemanse, N. J., J. Wright, A. J. N. Kazem, D. K. Thomas, R. Hickling, and N. Dawnay. 2007.
517	Behavioural syndromes differ predictably between 12 populations of three-spined
518	stickleback. Journal of Animal Ecology 76 :1128-1138.
519	Dochtermann, N. A. 2011. Testing Cheverud's conjecture for behavioral correlations and
520	behavioral syndromes. Evolution 65 :1814-1820.
521	Dochtermann, N. A., and N. J. Dingemanse. 2013. Behavioral syndromes as evolutionary
522	constraints. Behavioral Ecology 24 :806-811.
523	Dochtermann, N. A., S. H. Jenkins, M. Swartz, and A. C. Hargett. 2012. The roles of
524	competition and environmental heterogeneity in the maintenance of behavioral
525	variation. Ecology 93 :1330-1339.

Dochtermann, N. A., T. Schwab, and A. Sih. 2015. The contribution of additive genetic
variation to personality variation: heritability of personality. Proceedings Of The
Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 282 :20142201.
Garamszegi, L. Z., G. Marko, and G. Herczeg. 2012. A meta-analysis of correlated behaviours
with implications for behavioural syndromes: mean effect size, publication bias,
phylogenetic effects and the role of mediator variables. Evolutionary Ecology
26 :1213-1235.
Garamszegi, L. Z., G. Marko, and G. Herczeg. 2013. A meta-analysis of correlated behaviors
with implications for behavioral syndromes: relationships between particular
behavioral traits. Behavioral Ecology 24 :1068-1080.
Grafen, A. 1984. Natural selection, kin selection and group selection. Pages 62-84 in J. R.
Krebs and N. B. Davies, editors. Behavioural ecology: An evolutionary approach.
Sinauer, Sunderland.
Hadfield, J. D. 2010. MCMC Methods for Multi-Response Generalized Linear Mixed Models:
The MCMCglmm R Package. Journal of Statistical Software 33 :1-22.
Hansen, T. F., and D. Houle. 2008. Measuring and comparing evolvability and constraint in
multivariate characters. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 21 :1201-1219.
Hedrick, A. V. 1988. Female choice and the heritability of attractive male traits: an empirical
study. American Naturalist 132 :267-276.
Hedrick, A. V., and R. Kortet. 2006. Hiding behaviour in two cricket populations that differ
in predation pressure. Animal Behaviour 72 :1111-1118.
Hedrick, A. V., and R. Kortet. 2012. Sex differences in the repeatability of boldness over
metamorphosis. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 66 :407-412.
Herman, C. S., and T. J. Valone. 2000. The effect of mammalian predator scent on the
foraging behavior of <i>Dipodomys merriami</i> . Oikos 91 :139-145.

Hine, E., S. F. Chenoweth, H. D. Rundle, and M. W. Blows. 2009. Characterizing the evolution
of genetic variance using genetic covariance tensors. Philosophical Transactions of
the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 364:1567-1578.

- Houle, D. 2001. Characters as the units of evolutionary change.*in* G. P. Wagner, editor. The
 character concept in evolutionary bioloy. Academic Press, New York.
- Kortet, R., and A. Hedrick. 2007. A behavioural syndrome in the field cricket Gryllus integer:
 intrasexual aggression is correlated with activity in a novel environment. Biological
 Journal of the Linnean Society **91**:475-482.
- Kortet, R., M. J. Rantala, and A. Hedrick. 2007. Boldness in anti-predator behaviour and
 immune defence in field crickets. Evolutionary Ecology Research 9:185-197.
- Kruuk, L. E. B. 2004. Estimating genetic parameters in natural populations using the
 'animal model'. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series BBiological Sciences 359:873-890.
- Küpper, C., M. Stocks, J. E. Risse, N. dos Remedios, L. L. Farrell, S. B. McRae, T. C. Morgan, N.
 Karlionova, P. Pinchuk, and Y. I. Verkuil. 2016. A supergene determines highly
 divergent male reproductive morphs in the ruff. Nature genetics 48:79.
- 567 Lynch, M., and B. Walsh. 1998. Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Sinauer
 568 Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- McGlothlin, J. W., M. E. Kobiela, H. V. Wright, D. L. Mahler, J. J. Kolbe, J. B. Losos, and E. D.
 Brodie III. 2018. Adaptive radiation along a deeply conserved genetic line of least
 resistance in *Anolis* lizards. Evolution Letters 2:310-322.
- 572 Michelangeli, M., D. G. Chapple, C. T. Goulet, M. G. Bertram, and B. Wong. 2018. Behavioral
 573 syndromes vary among geographically distinct populations in a reptile. Behavioral
 574 Ecology.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/619411. this version posted January 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

575	Niemela, P. T., N. DiRienzo, and A. V. Hedrick. 2012a. Predator-induced changes in the
576	boldness of naive field crickets, Gryllus integer, depends on behavioural type.
577	Animal Behaviour 84 :129-135.

Niemela, P. T., A. Vainikka, A. V. Hedrick, and R. Kortet. 2012b. Integrating behaviour with
life history: boldness of the field cricket, *Gryllus integer*, during ontogeny. Functional
Ecology 26:450-456.

Phillips, P. C., and S. J. Arnold. 1999. Hierarchical comparison of genetic variancecovariance matrices. I. Using the Flury hierarchy. Evolution 53:1506-1515.

583 Pruitt, J. N., S. E. Riechert, G. Iturralde, M. Vega, B. M. Fitzpatrick, and L. Aviles. 2010.

Population differences in behaviour are explained by shared within-population trait
correlations. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 23:748-756.

- Purcell, J., A. Brelsford, Y. Wurm, N. Perrin, and M. Chapuisat. 2014. Convergent genetic
 architecture underlies social organization in ants. Current Biology 24:2728-2732.
- Réale, D., S. M. Reader, D. Sol, P. T. McDougall, and N. J. Dingemanse. 2007. Integrating
 animal temperament within ecology and evolution. Biological Reviews 82:291-318.
- 590 Roff, D. A. 1997. Evolutionary Quantitative Genetics. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- Roff, D. A., J. M. Prokkola, I. Krams, and M. J. Rantala. 2012. There is more than one way to
 skin a **G** matrix. Journal of Evolutionary Biology **25**:1113-1126.
- Royauté, R., C. M. Buddle, and C. Vincent. 2014. Interpopulation variations in behavioral
 syndromes of a jumping spider from insecticide-treated and insecticide-free
 orchards. Ethology 120:127-139.
- 596 Royauté, R., and N. A. Dochtermann. 2017. When the mean no longer matters:
- developmental diet affects behavioral variation but not population averages in the
 house cricket (*Acheta domesticus*). Behavioral Ecology 28:337-345.

599	Royauté, R., C. Garrison, J. Dalos, M. A. Berdal, and N. A. Dochtermann. 2019. Current energy	
600	state interacts with the developmental environment to influence behavioural	
601	plasticity. Animal Behaviour 148 :39-51.	
602	Royauté, R., K. Greenlee, M. Baldwin, and N. A. Dochtermann. 2015. Behaviour, metabolism	
603	and size: phenotypic modularity or integration in Acheta domesticus? Animal	
604	Behaviour 110 :163-169.	
605	Saltz, J. B., F. C. Hessel, and M. W. Kelly. 2017. Trait correlations in the genomics era. Trends	
606	in Ecology & Evolution 32 :279-290.	
607	Schluter, D. 1996. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. Evolution	
608	50 :1766-1774.	
609	Sih, A., A. Bell, and J. C. Johnson. 2004a. Behavioral syndromes: an ecological and	
610	evolutionary overview. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 19 :372-378.	
611	Sih, A., A. M. Bell, J. C. Johnson, and R. E. Ziemba. 2004b. Behavioral syndromes: An	
612	integrative overview. Quarterly Review of Biology 79 :241-277.	
613	Simmons, L. 1986. Female choice in the field cricket Gryllus bimaculatus (De Geer). Animal	
614	Behaviour 34 :1463-1470.	
615	Storm, J. J., and S. L. Lima. 2008. Predator-naïve fall field crickets respond to the chemical	
616	cues of wolf spiders. Canadian Journal of Zoology 86 :1259-1263.	
617	Tinbergen, N. 1963. On aims and methods of ethology. Zeitschrift fur Tierpsychologie	
618	20 :410-433.	
619	Walsh, B. 2007. Escape from flatland. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 20 :36-38.	
620	Walsh, R. N., and R. A. Cummins. 1976. The open-field test: a critical review. Psychological	
621	Bulletin 83 :482.	

- 622 Wilson, A. D., and J.-G. J. Godin. 2009. Boldness and behavioral syndromes in the bluegill
- 623 sunfish, Lepomis macrochirus. Behavioral Ecology **20**:231-237.

624

Table 1. Terms and symbol definitions.

Term or Symbol	Definition
Behavioral syndrome	Among-individual correlations of behavior. For example, individuals that are on average more aggressive also, on average, show a higher degree of exploratory propensity.
G	Additive genetic covariance matrix.
g _{max}	The dominant eigenvector of G , describes the dimension in multivariate trait space with the highest additive genetic variance.
D	Among-population divergence matrix describing patterns of population divergence in average phenotype.
\mathbf{d}_i	Eigenvectors of D , d_1 represent the dimension capturing most of the divergence in average phenotype among populations.
Н	Common subspaces of genetic variation for all four populations; describes the trait combinations that share the most genetic variation among populations.
\mathbf{h}_i	Eigenvectors of H , \mathbf{h}_1 is analogous to \mathbf{g}_{max} and describe the major axis of shared genetic variance among populations.
\mathbf{E}_i	Eigentensors describing subspaces for which ${f G}$ varies among population.
e _{ij}	<i>j</i> th eigenvector of the <i>i</i> th eigentensor, describes the trait combinations for which genetic divergence has occurred among populations.
r	Correlation among eigenvectors, values close to 0 indicate independence of eigenvectors, values close to 1 indicate alignment of eigenvectors.
ā	Autonomy of G , indicates the proportion of genetic variation unconstrained by covariance among traits. Values closer to 0 indicate stronger evolutionary constraints and values closer to 1 indicate complete autonomy of genetic variation, meaning that each trait can evolve independently in response to future selection.

Table 2. Eigenvectors of phenotypic divergence (**d**), conserved genetic variation (**h**) and divergence in **G** (**e**). Traits legend: Latency = latency to exit from the shelter, OF.Dist = distance travelled in the open-field test, UZ = number of unique zones explore in the open-field arena, OF.Var.Velo = variance in velocity in the open-field test, AP.Dist = distance travelled in the antipredator response test, AP.Lat.Mov = latency to initiate movement in the antipredator response test, AP.Var.Velo = variance in velocity in the antipredator response test.

	-					E 1 (53 %)	E2 (31 %)	
Traits	\mathbf{d}_1	\mathbf{d}_2	\mathbf{h}_1	\mathbf{h}_2	\mathbf{h}_3	\mathbf{e}_{11}	e ₂₁	e ₂₂
Latency	-0.32	0.90	-0.31	0.86	0.47	0.63	0.08	0.77
OF.Dist	-0.80	-0.34	0.18	-0.37	0.88	-0.13	-0.79	0.42
UZ	-0.02	-0.06	0.02	-0.02	0.06	-0.01	-0.08	0.06
OF.Var.Velo	-0.09	-0.06	0.02	-0.02	0.07	-0.02	-0.10	0.06
AP.Dist	-0.48	-0.04	0.93	0.35	0.02	-0.74	-0.59	-0.45
AP.Lat.Mov	-0.05	0.24	-0.10	-0.01	0.02	0.16	0.07	0.15
AP.Var.Velo	-0.07	-0.03	0.05	0.02	0.01	-0.05	-0.05	-0.02
% Variance								
explained	58.2	31.4	33.1	33.0	32.9	97.4	69.5	30.4

Constraints Hypothesis:

Adaptive Hypothesis:

Genetic constraints result in behavioral syndromes being shared among populations

Natural selection shapes the orientation and strength of behavioral syndromes



Figure 1. Two contrasting hypotheses can explain the presence of genetic correlations among behavioral traits (i.e. behavioral syndromes): Genetic constraints arising from pleiotropy and shared molecular mechanisms should lead to the expression of the same behavioral syndrome (top left panel). As a result, the vector correlations between major axes of genetic variation (\mathbf{g}_{max}) are predicted to be approaching 1 (bottom left panel). Alternatively, selection-induced linkage disequilibrium should lead to differing orientation and strength of behavioral syndromes when selective pressures differ among populations (top right panel). The vector correlation between \mathbf{g}_{max} s should therefore be below 1 (bottom right panel).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/619411. this version posted January 29, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



Figure 2. The genetic architecture of behavioral syndromes is shared in four isolated populations of *Gryllus integer*. Values along the diagonal (peach shading) describe the multivariate structure of behavioral variation: first row the size (total genetic variance), second the shape (percent of variance explained by the major axis of genetic variation, \mathbf{g}_{max}), and, third, orientation (vector correlation between \mathbf{g}_{max} and conserved genetic subspaces \mathbf{h}_{1-3}). Off-diagonal elements represent the correlation between the \mathbf{g}_{max} of each population (top row) and the probability that alignment differed from 0: ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05.



Figure 3. Evolutionary divergence in the structure of behavioral syndromes occurs along shared axes of genetic variation. A-C) Correlations between pairs of traits that exhibit the greatest variation in divergence (Table S2). Points represent breeding values for each individual within a population centered around the population mean for that trait. >50% of divergence was in latency to emerge from shelter by antipredator response activity D) Population-specific divergence in average behaviors. Population-specific **G** matrices were visualized by transforming estimated breeding values for each trait based on the divergence among populations. Ellipses represent the 95% confidence ellipses for each population centered at the multivariate species mean (DUN: Dunnigan CA, AG: Aguila AZ, SOC: Socorro NM, LC: Las Cruces NM).



Figure 4. A) Additive genetic (r_A) and B) phenotypic correlations (r_P) remained stable over the course of three successive generations compared to theoretical expectations based on selection-induced linkage disequilibrium and random mating (r_A *observed* = 0.38, r_A *expected* = 0.18, P_{mcmc} for difference from expectations under selection-induced linkage disequilibrium > 0.85; r_P *observed* F_0 = 0.32, r_P *observed* F_2 = 0.33, r_P *expected* F_0 = 0.35, r_P *expected* F_2 = 0.26, P_{mcmc} F_2 > 0.80). Error bars correspond to 95% credibility intervals around the posterior mean.