



HAL
open science

Moving away from repeatability: a comment on Stuber et al.

Niels Dingemanse, Anne Hertel, Raphaël Royauté

► **To cite this version:**

Niels Dingemanse, Anne Hertel, Raphaël Royauté. Moving away from repeatability: a comment on Stuber et al.. Behavioral Ecology, 2022, 33 (3), pp.488-489. 10.1093/beheco/arac006 . hal-03955530

HAL Id: hal-03955530

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03955530>

Submitted on 22 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Moving away from repeatability: a comment on Stuber et al.

Niels J. Dingemans¹, Anne R. Hertel¹ & Raphael Royauté²

¹Behavioural Ecology, Department of Biology, Ludwig Maximilians University of Munich, Planegg-Martinsried, Germany

²Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (SBiK-F), Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Behavioural ecologists increasingly acknowledge that among- and within-individual processes are important; this notion also reached movement ecology (Hertel et al., 2020). Stuber et al. (2021) show that movement behaviours are individually repeatable but caution that “repeatability itself does not indicate the mechanisms by which consistent differences arise”. We here point out that comparing repeatabilities is, in fact, a comparison of apples and oranges. This is because repeatability (R) is a proportion that varies as a function of multiple variance components:

$$R = \frac{V_I}{V_P} = \frac{V_I}{V_I + V_E + V_e} \quad (\text{Eqn. 1})$$

In its simplest form, the phenotypic variance (V_P) is the sum of among-individual variance (V_I), within-individual variance (V_E), and measurement error (V_e). R is the proportion of V_P explained by V_I . Differences in R therefore do not necessarily imply equivalent differences in V_I (the statistical definition of 'consistent differences'; Dingemans et al., 2010). We give an example (with exaggerated made-up numbers). Location fixes form the input of most movement metrics. Spatial measurement errors associated with location fixes are smaller when comparing, for example, satellite-based (GPS-tags) with telemetry-based (VHF-transmitters) fixes. Even when V_I (set to 0.3) and V_E (set to 0.6) do not vary with methodology, repeatability will thus be greater for satellite-based (R_A) vs. telemetry-based (R_B) datasets:

22 $R_A = \frac{V_I}{V_I+V_E+V_e} = \frac{0.3}{0.3+0.6+0.1} = 0.30,$

23 $R_B = \frac{V_I}{V_I+V_E+V_e} = \frac{0.3}{0.3+0.6+1.1} = 0.15$ (Eqn. 2)

24 In Eqn. 2, we set V_{e_A} to 0.1 and V_{e_B} to 1.1. Measurement error can also vary with the environment, e.g.
25 precision is typically higher for GPS-fixes acquired from open versus forested areas. From this, we can
26 learn three lessons.

27 First, effects of fixed/random effects estimated from repeatability meta-analyses result both from
28 biology (V_I , V_E) and methodology (V_e). Drawing unambiguous conclusions is hard. Second, acquiring
29 unbiased estimates necessitate sampling designed to estimate measurement error. This requires not just
30 repeated measures over time spans so long that the animals might have moved but also repeated measures
31 over time spans so short they cannot have moved. Only then can V_I , V_E and V_e be estimated
32 simultaneously, and R re-defined in biological terms (cf. Falconer and Mackay, 1996):

33 $R = \frac{V_I}{V_I+V_E}$ (Eqn. 3)

34 In our worked example, R_A would then correctly equate R_B . A third lesson is that there is important
35 biology hidden in repeated measures datasets. While repeatability is often discussed as a proxy for V_I , V_E
36 is also of key interest. V_E results from learning, habituation, and reversible plasticity—all important
37 proximate drivers of movement behaviour.

38 Building upon Stuber et al., we recommend a re-focus from repeatability toward variances. This
39 will reveal processes underpinning movement behavior. For example, high within-individual variability
40 suggests a major role for reversible plasticity. This mechanism makes populations able to respond to
41 environmental change within generations. High among-individual variability instead presents greater
42 opportunity for adaptive evolution among generations. Evolutionary biologists have made similar calls
43 to move away from proportions. This is because variances—but not proportions—are comparable across
44 samples, at least when traits are measured in units with natural nulls, and their variances expressed as

45 coefficients of variation (Houle, 1992). Expanded upon this, behavioural ecologists proposed the usage
46 of coefficients of among-individual variation (CV_I) (Holtmann et al., 2017) in meta-analytical studies of
47 individual variation (e.g., Royauté and Dochtermann, 2021):

$$48 \quad CV_I = \sqrt{\frac{V_I}{\bar{x}}} \quad (\text{Eqn. 4})$$

49 This, notably, requires estimates of both among-individual variances (V_I) and trait means (\bar{x}). Similarly,
50 one can compare magnitudes of within-individual variation using coefficients of within-individual
51 variation (CV_E):

$$52 \quad CV_E = \sqrt{\frac{V_E}{\bar{x}}} \quad (\text{Eqn. 5})$$

53 Most papers cited by Stuber et al. (2021) do not report either parameter. As a result, the general
54 conclusion that spatial behaviours are more repeatable than other types of behaviour necessitates further
55 investigation. Shifting our focus away from repeatability will require publications reporting *both* trait
56 means and variance components. Only in this way can we firmly integrate plasticity and individuality of
57 movement behaviours in ecology and evolution.

58 **References**

- 59 Dingemanse NJ, Kazem AJN, Réale D, Wright J, 2010. Behavioural reaction norms: animal personality
60 meets individual plasticity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 25:81-89.
- 61 Falconer DS, Mackay TFC, 1996. *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*. New York: Longman.
- 62 Hertel AG, Niemelä PT, Dingemanse NJ, Mueller T, 2020. A guide for studying among-individual
63 behavioral variation from movement data in the wild. *Movement Ecology* 8:30.
- 64 Holtmann B, Lagisz M, Nakagawa S, 2017. Metabolic rates, and not hormone levels, are a likely mediator
65 of between-individual differences in behaviour: a meta-analysis. *Functional Ecology* 31:685-696.
- 66 Houle D, 1992. Comparing evolvability and variability of quantitative traits. *Genetics* 130:195-204.
- 67 Royauté R, Dochtermann NA, 2021. Comparing ecological and evolutionary variability within datasets.
68 *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 75. doi: 10.1007/s00265-021-03068-3.
- 69 Stuber EF, Carlson B, Jesmer B, 2021. Spatial Personalities: a meta-analysis of consistent individual
70 differences in spatial behavior. *Behav Ecol In Press*.