



HAL
open science

Genetic diversity of the predatory mite *Amblyseius swirskii* Athias-Henriot (Acari: Phytoseiidae) with an overview of its distribution and implications for biological control

M.-S. Tixier, M. Douin, I. Lopes, A. Migeon, Amandine Fossoud, M. Navajas

► **To cite this version:**

M.-S. Tixier, M. Douin, I. Lopes, A. Migeon, Amandine Fossoud, et al.. Genetic diversity of the predatory mite *Amblyseius swirskii* Athias-Henriot (Acari: Phytoseiidae) with an overview of its distribution and implications for biological control. *Biological Control*, 2022, 168, pp.104841. 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2022.104841 . hal-03956681

HAL Id: hal-03956681

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03956681v1>

Submitted on 16 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Genetic diversity of the predatory mite *Amblyseius swirskii* Athias-Henriot (Acari: Phytoseiidae) with an overview of its distribution and implications for biological control

Tixier M.-S.^{*(1)}, Douin M.⁽¹⁾, Lopes I.⁽¹⁾, Migeon A.⁽²⁾, Fossoud A.⁽³⁾, Navajas M.⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾ UMR CBGP, Montpellier SupAgro, INRA, CIRAD, IRD, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

⁽²⁾ CBGP, INRA, CIRAD, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

⁽³⁾ CBGP, IRD, INRA, CIRAD, Montpellier SupAgro, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

E-mails: marie-stephane.tixier@supagro.fr, alain.migeon@inrae.fr, martial.douin@supagro.fr, amandine.fossoud@ird.fr, maria.navajas@inrae.fr, ivinholoppes@gmail.com

* corresponding author

1 **Abstract**

2 *Amblyseius swirskii* is a predatory mite of the family Phytoseiidae that is widely used in
3 biological control of small insect and mite pests. A population from Israel was the source of
4 material now commercially marketed by several biocontrol companies. The present study
5 aimed to characterize the genetic variability of *A. swirskii* using 12S rRNA, CytB and COI
6 mtDNA sequences, and explore its geographical distribution based on a compilation of known
7 and newly- reported occurrences, including populations from several countries where this
8 species naturally occurs. *Amblyseius swirskii* is reported from 22 countries, primarily in the
9 eastern Mediterranean basin and Africa. The species has been reported on 48 plant families,
10 with the highest number of observations on Rosaceae, Rutaceae and Solanaceae, mostly on
11 crops, but also on uncultivated plants. The genetic diversity of *A. swirskii* was very low in all
12 populations except the one from Cape Verde; all other studied populations were not
13 differentiated from the commercial ones. The results suggest that commercialized and natural
14 populations now co-occur widely, even in natural environments. The Cape Verde population
15 seems to be a distinct natural population with relatively high intra-population variation, even
16 among specimens collected in a single locality and on a single plant species. Further analyses
17 would be required to determine how much the observed genetic differentiation results in
18 different biological features, but the diversity present in the natural Cape Verde populations of
19 *A. swirskii* may provide a source of novel traits with potential to improve the performance of
20 this natural enemy.

21

22 Key-words: biodiversity, geographic distribution, population differentiation

23

24

25

1 **Introduction**

2 Mites of the family Phytoseiidae are predators and some species among the 2,521 described
3 are currently used for biological control issues (Gerson et al., 2003; Demite et al., 2020; Van
4 Lenteren, 2012; Van Lenteren et al., 2017). Some of these species are commercialised and
5 mass-released on crops for augmentative biological control strategies (Knapp et al., 2018).
6 One of the most recently commercialised species of Phytoseiidae is *Amblyseius swirskii*
7 Athias-Henriot, marketed since 2005 and now sold in more than 50 countries (Calvo et al.,
8 2015). This species is used to control mite pests (i.e. *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) and
9 *Polyphagotarsonemus latus* Banks) and small insects (thrips and whiteflies). Recent reviews
10 provide a synthesis of the preys it can feed on, and give the reasons for its great commercial
11 success (Buitenhuis et al., 2015; Calvo et al., 2015). *Amblyseius swirskii* is a generalist
12 predator, like most of Phytoseiidae mites, able to develop on various preys (including other
13 Phytoseiidae species) and also pollen (McMurtry et al., 2013; Buitenhuis et al., 2015).
14 Because of its commercial interest, the biology of this species has been extensively studied
15 and is relatively well known. Differences in biological features have been observed,
16 especially between wild and commercial populations (Cerqueira Cavalcante et al., 2015).
17 Recently, Paspati et al. (2019), using a low number of microsatellites markers, detected a
18 lower genetic diversity in commercial vs wild populations. While the factors determining wild
19 population structure are not identified, plants with high trichome densities are assumed to
20 limit population development, affecting genetic diversity. Understanding the structuration of
21 the populations and possible associated biological features is essential for improving efficacy
22 of biological control practices. Commercial populations are often mass-reared for long
23 periods. Bottlenecks and genetic drift are expected, which can lower genetic diversity and
24 thus the adaptation potential, when specimens are released in very contrasted environments
25 (Roderick and Navajas, 2003). Recent studies exploring possible relationships between
26 genetic differentiation and biological features, show slight differences in populations of
27 *Typhlodromus (Anthoseius) recki* Wainstein and *Phytoseiulus longipes* Evans (using 12S
28 rRNA sequences) potentially associated with feeding habits (Tixier et al., 2010a; Tixier et al.,
29 2021). Likewise, differences in amino acid sequences of the mitochondrial Cytb sequence in
30 several species of Phytoseiidae mites seem to be associated with adaptation to climatic
31 conditions (Queiroz et al., 2021; Tixier et al., 2021).

32 This study investigates genetic diversity in different populations of *A. swirskii* collected in
33 several locations in Israel and Africa, including La Reunion and Cape Verde islands. The
34 hypothesis tested is that genetic diversity exists between / within these localities, but as we

1 have no information on the biological features of these populations, no relationship between
2 genetic variation and biological parameters will be herein tested. In addition, this study
3 compiles data on the known world distribution of *A. swirskii*, including host plants and
4 climatic conditions, as a baseline for further search of natural populations potentially
5 interesting for biological control.

6

7 **Material & methods**

8 ***Amblyseius swirskii* distribution.** Information on the geographic distribution and host plants
9 was retrieved from 46 publications (from 1962 to 2020) recording *A. swirskii* occurrences
10 (dataset available in the supplementary file 1.). An occurrence is considered as a report on a
11 plant species per publication. This compilation also includes the occurrences of the synonyms
12 of *A. swirskii* (*Amblyseius capsicum* (Basha, Yousef, Ibrahim & Mostafa), *Amblyseius enab*
13 *El-Badry*, *Amblyseius rykei* Pritchard & Baker). In this study, we followed the Angiosperm
14 Phylogeny Group III's nomenclature (2009) for family, genus and species names.

15 **Genetic analyses**

16 Commercial populations sold by different biological control companies and wild populations
17 collected in Benin, Cape Verde, Egypt, Israel and Reunion Island were studied. The
18 characteristics of these populations are presented in the Table 1, together with DNA sequence
19 accession numbers in the Genbank database.

20 Three mitochondrial molecular markers were considered: 12S rRNA, Cytb and COI mtDNA.
21 These markers were chosen because previous studies proved their variability at intraspecific
22 level in Phytoseiidae species (Dos Santos and Tixier, 2017; Tixier et al., 2010a, 2021;
23 Queiroz et al., 2021). DNA extractions were carried out on single female specimens,
24 following the protocol accurately described in Kanouh et al. (2010) and using the Qiagen
25 modified process. After DNA extraction, mite carcasses were recovered and mounted on
26 slides as described by Tixier et al. (2010b). The primers and the PCR conditions were as
27 described in Tixier et al. (2012). The sequences were aligned and analysed using the MEGA
28 X software (Kumar et al. 2018). The alignments are available in the supplementary file 2. The
29 sequences obtained were compared to those included in the GenBank database to identify
30 possible contaminations. Genetic distances (using the Kimura 2 parameter) were calculated
31 for comparing DNA sequences. Maximum likelihood trees were constructed; the best-fit-
32 substitution model (TrN+I for the 12S rRNA marker, HKY+I for COI mtDNA marker,
33 HKY+I for the Cytb mtDNA marker) was determined by Modeltest 3.07 (Posada and
34 Crandall, 1998) in PAUP* v.4.0b.10 (Swofford 2002) through hierarchical likelihood-ratio

1 tests. The out-group species used was *Amblyseius andersoni* Chant, a sister species of *A.*
2 *swirskii* (Genbank accession numbers for COI mtDNA: KU318176, for Cytb mtDNA
3 KU318207, for 12S rRNA: HQ404858). In addition, a concatenated tree was constructed
4 (supplementary file 3) with the specimens, for which DNA sequences were available for the
5 three markers.

6

7 **Results and discussion**

8

9 ***Amblyseius swirskii* distribution.** 257 occurrences were retrieved from the literature
10 including the three synonym species (226 for *A. swirskii*, 6 for *A. enab*, 1 for *A. capsicum* and
11 24 for *A. rykei*) (Table 2). *Amblyseius swirskii* is reported in 22 countries, *A. enab* and *A.*
12 *capsicum* in Egypt only and *A. rykei* in seven African countries (Ethiopian region). Before
13 2005 (first commercialisation of *A. swirskii*), this species (*A. swirskii* and its synonyms) was
14 reported in 15 countries (Egypt, Cape Verde, Italy, Turkey, Israel, Azerbaijan, Georgia,
15 Yemen, Kenya, Benin, Ghana, Nigeria, Zimbabwe, Democratic Republic of Congo, Cuba),
16 both in crops (33% of the reports – 37 cultivated species belonging to 15 plant families,
17 among which eight species were *Citrus* and six were *Solanum*) and uncultivated plants (67%
18 of the reports). Because of the current distribution of *A. rykei* (only reported in Africa) and
19 difficulties to identify morphologically close species, the report of *A. rykei* in Cuba (Martinez
20 et al., 2004) on *Musa paradisiaca* in 1978 is quite doubtful and a misidentification can be
21 suspected.

22 On the 218 occurrences (for *A. swirskii* and its three synonyms) reported before 2005, 85%
23 are recorded from only three countries geographically close: Israel (160 reports), Gaza strip (2
24 reports) and Egypt (24 reports). The second region where *A. swirskii* is widely spread is West
25 Africa. All the reports in this latter area, before 2005, correspond to the species *A. rikey*
26 (except in Cape Verde) (Table 2). This latter species was synonymised with *A. swirskii*
27 (Zannou et al., 2007; Zannou and Hanna, 2011), after observing the type specimen of *A.*
28 *rikey* and conducting crossbreeding experiments, using specimens collected in Benin
29 (Atcherigbe) in 2008 and Israël. The distribution of *A. swirskii* is very puzzling, as there is a
30 great geographical gap between the Middle-East (*A. swirskii* main occurrences) and African
31 locations (*A. rykei* main occurrences), as this species was never reported in Maghreb
32 (Morocco, Tunisia, Algeria) despite intensive surveys in these countries (Kreiter et al., 2002,
33 2006; Sahraoui et al., 2012; Tixier et al., 2003, 2016). Even if synonymy was affirmed
34 (Zannou et al., 2007; Zannou and Hanna, 2011), the differentiated distribution of these two

1 species can question this statement. Two hypotheses can be put forward (i) *A. rikey* is not a
2 synonym of *A. swirskii* and the African specimens considered by Zannou and Hanna (2011)
3 for crossbreedings were actually issued from commercial releases of *A. swirskii*, or (ii) *A.*
4 *rikey* is a synonym of *A. swirskii* and gaps in the geographical distribution are explained by
5 particular climatic conditions. As we have not further evidences, we will consider here that
6 these two species are synonyms.

7 *Amblyseius swirskii* is reported on 132 plant species (122 before 2005 and 19 after 2005),
8 belonging to 97 genera and 48 families. The number of reports per plant family varies from 1
9 to 34. The plant families where *A. swirskii* was collected most often are Rosaceae (34
10 reports), Rutaceae (30 reports), Solanaceae (19 reports), Leguminosae (12 reports),
11 Compositae (11 reports) and Malvaceae (10 reports) (Table 3). These reports mainly
12 correspond to cultivated plants but some wild plants are also represented, showing a great
13 ability of the species to occur on a diversity of plants belonging to a wide range of families.
14 Publications do not always report the presence of prey in association with *A. swirskii*,
15 preventing any link to be done between the presence of *A. swirskii* and its prey. However, as a
16 generalist predator this species can feed on other food sources (e.g. pollen thrips, whiteflies)
17 and the presence of prey is certainly not the main driver of its presence (McMurtry et al.,
18 2013). Besides the number of reports, an important aspect to take into account is the number
19 of specimens collected on each plant species; unfortunately this information is not always
20 provided in the publications, and when provided they are not comparable because collection
21 methods differ between reports. Interestingly, the plant species where *A. swirskii* and *A. rykei*
22 were observed are not very different. Even if *A. swirskii* is clearly reported on more plant
23 families (42) than *A. rykei* (14), both are reported on eight common plant families, which is
24 about 60% of the *A. rykei* plant range (8 out of 14). Six plant families are only “colonised” by
25 *A. rikey* (Actinidiaceae, Musaceae, Acanthaceae, Araceae, Cannabaceae, Proteaceae) (Table
26 3), but this can be due to sampling bias. The host plant does not appear as a main factor
27 explaining the differentiation between African populations (*A. rikey*) and Middle-East
28 populations of *A. swirskii*.

29
30 **Genetic analyses.** The phylogenetic trees obtained with 12S rRNA, Cytb and COI mt DNA
31 sequences congruently show the same population differentiation (Figures 3a-c), with samples
32 gathered in two main clades. One clade contains all the specimens considered, except
33 specimens collected in Cape Verde, while all specimens from Cape Verde are grouped apart,
34 excepting one (collected in this country at the same locality and on the same plant as the

1 others).

2 Genetic distances among the 34, 54 and 40 specimens of *A. swirskii* here considered, range
3 between 0 and 2.4%, 0 and 8.4 % and 0 and 4.2% for the 12S rRNA, Cytb and COI markers,
4 respectively (Table 4a-c). For all sequences, the highest mean genetic distances were
5 observed between specimens from Cape Verde and the others (Table 4a-c). When regarding
6 the mean genetic distances among the populations, excluding Cape Verde, they were all very
7 low, ranging from 0 to 1% for 12S rRNA, from 0.1% to 1% for Cytb mtDNA and from 0.1%
8 to 0.3% for the COI mtDNA. One Cape Verde specimen appears clearly apart and differs
9 from the other Cape Verde specimens by a distance of 1.7 % for 12S rRNA, 8.4% for Cytb
10 mtDNA and 4.2% for the COI mtDNA. When excluding this specimen, intra-population
11 variation in Cape Verde is null and distances to the other populations range from 1.3 to 2 %
12 for 12S rRNA, from 2 to 2.6% for the Cytb mtDNA and from 2.4 to 2.6% for the COI
13 mtDNA. The genetic distances among the specimens herein considered, even if quite high,
14 especially considering the Cape Verde specimens, clearly correspond to intraspecific
15 variations. The highest intraspecific distances found in literature for 12SrRNA, Cytb mtDNA
16 and COI mtDNA are 7.8% (for *Amblyseius largoensis* (Muma) in Barbosa-Lima *et al.* (2018),
17 23% (for *Phytoseius finitimus* Ribaga in Tixier *et al.* (2017)) and 10.5% (for *Neoseiulus*
18 *californicus* (McGregor) in Okassa *et al.* (2011)), respectively. We can thus conclude that all
19 the specimens here studied belong to the species *Amblyseius swirskii*. Even if the genetic
20 distances within the Cape Verde population are much higher than those within the other
21 populations herein considered, they are relatively small comparing to the intraspecific
22 variations observed for other Phytoseiidae species (e.g. Okassa *et al.*, 2011; Tixier *et al.*,
23 2017).

24 Very low diversity was found between Egyptian, Israeli, Reunion Island, Benin and
25 commercial populations. Commercial populations, whatever the selling company, show very
26 low diversity, probably because they are all issued from the same original strain. The Koppert
27 commercial population was issued from Israel, which might explain its proximity with the
28 Israeli specimens examined in this study.

29 The Reunion specimens are also very similar to commercial strains, which supports
30 conclusions by Kreiter *et al.* (2016) using morphological and 12S rRNA marker, on a
31 commercial mass-released origin. No differentiation was detected between the two Benin
32 populations, despite their assumed different origin (Israel: reared since 2007 in lab conditions
33 and Benin: wild population collected in 2016 and then reared in the lab). Several hypotheses
34 can be put forward to explain this absence of differentiation and the high similarity with

1 commercial populations: (i) a contamination in rearing units and an overpass between the
2 commercial strain and the wild specimens, (ii) the wild population (collected in Benin on
3 *Solanum macrocarpon*) is not a wild population and is issued from a released commercial
4 strain, or a non-documented intentional or non-intentional introduction, as often occurred
5 during the 1960-1980 period, or (iii) the wild population is really wild but not differentiated
6 from the others despite the geographical distance where specimens were collected. The
7 Egyptian population is also not differentiated from the commercial strain. This situation might
8 result from a mix of commercialised specimens that spread on citrus crops, and reproduced
9 with the “rare” natural specimens with perhaps less fitness parameters. Globally, the diversity
10 between the populations considered (except in Cape Verde) is low for the three markers
11 considered.

12 A higher diversity in the wild populations than in the commercial strains and a differentiation
13 between them was expected, as showed by Paspatis *et al.* (2019) by using six microsatellite
14 markers to compare eight populations collected in Israel (on five crops) to a Koppert
15 commercial population. It is difficult to compare the results herein obtained and those of these
16 authors, as the populations studied (only Israeli populations in Paspatis *et al.* (2019)), the
17 number of specimens (higher and pooled in Paspatis *et al.* (2019)) and the molecular markers
18 used were different. Two facts could account for the absence of differentiation herein
19 observed between all populations (except Cape Verde): i) a high gene flow between remote
20 populations (although it is not consistent with the results obtained by Paspatis *et al.* (2019)
21 where low F_{st} were observed between populations collected in a same country), or ii) the
22 higher polymorphism of microsatellite markers compared to mitochondrial sequences
23 (maternally inherited) (Avice, 1994; Zhang and Hweitt, 2003). Additional mitochondrial
24 DNA sequencing on the specimens / populations considered by Paspatis *et al.* (2019) together
25 with microsatellite analysis carried on the word distributed populations herein considered,
26 would be highly informative. However, the most consistent hypothesis would be that most of
27 populations considered, even if collected in wild conditions, were issued from commercial
28 introductions.

29 A relevant genetic variability at intra-population level was only detected among specimens of
30 Cape Verde. In island conditions, a founder effect could be expected (*e.g.* Frankham, 1997)
31 reflected by a reduced genetic diversity in island populations compared to mainland, which
32 did not emerged from this study. Two hypotheses can be putted forward to explain these
33 results: (i) a founder effect exists as the genetic diversity within the Cape Verde population is
34 low compared to genetic diversity observed for Phytoseiidae wild populations of other species

1 (e.g. Okassa et al., 2011; Tixier et al., 2017), but this founder effect was not detected because
2 all mainland populations herein tested are issued from commercial introductions, or (ii) a
3 founder effect has existed but was compensated by recent and/or recurrent exchanges between
4 Cape Verde and mainland populations, especially from Africa (due to trade and important
5 commercial exchanges existing between the two regions). The specimens collected in Cape
6 Verde are clearly not from a commercial population; samples were collected from an
7 uncultivated plant in a remote valley in the San Antao Island. In a survey carried out in other
8 islands of the Cape Verde archipelago (data unpublished), *A. swirskii* was found in the Island
9 of Santiago on bean co-planted with maize (only one specimen retrieved, which could not be
10 molecular typed). Ueckermann (1992) also reported this species from Cape Verde in several
11 localities (in Paul-San Antao Island, in Sao Jorge dos Orgaos and Santa Cruz - Santiago
12 Island). Seen together, data tend to suggest that *A. swirskii* has settled in this archipelago
13 although the origin of this colonisation remains to be elucidated. Several hypotheses can be
14 proposed as (i) an introduction from Africa or (ii) an introduction from Middle East. The
15 basal position of the Cape Verde population of the phylogenetic trees would suggest that the
16 other populations herein considered are issued from Africa. This hypothesis would be in
17 accordance with the presence of this species (especially under the name *A. rykei*) in West
18 Africa before 2005. However, the use of additional markers especially nuclear ones is needed
19 to further explore this hypothesis, together the study of additional Israeli samples and natural /
20 wild specimens from Africa.

21 Additionally, it would be interesting to further explore biological traits of interest in *A.*
22 *swirskii* from Cape Verde, as previous studies suggested some link between genetic
23 differences and biological features, e.g. climate adaptation (for COI and Cytb mtDNA) and
24 prey adaptation (for 12S rRNA) (Tixier et al., 2010a, 2021; Queiroz et al., 2021). Even if
25 these markers are usually considered neutral, some studies have shown that mutations can be
26 associated to abiotic factors (pesticide resistance in *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) (Van
27 Nieuwenhuysen et al., 2009; Fotoukchian et al., 2020), temperature adaptation in anchovies
28 and *Lottia* in COI mtDNA sequences (Dong et al., 2009; Silva et al. 2014)). Likewise, intra-
29 population differentiation observed in Cape Verde in mites collected in a single location and
30 on the same plant species tend also to suggest significant *A. swirskii* diversity in the Sao
31 Antao island; it would be thus interesting to enlarge investigation of *A. swirskii* in Cape Verde
32 and Africa for capturing genetic diversity in *A. swirskii*, which is in turn a keystone for the
33 management of commercial biocontrol agents.

34

1 **Conclusion**

2 Genetic diversity in *A. swirskii* is low, despite the wide sampling here considered where all
3 specimens, except the ones collected in Cape Verde, were not clearly differentiated from the
4 commercial ones. Two non-exclusive hypotheses could explain these results: an incursion in
5 natural environments by individuals originated from commercial strains and/or a low intra-
6 species diversity of *A. swirskii*.
7 Genetic diversity captured in Cape Verde, could be explained by the introduction of the mite
8 in this archipelago long time ago; while firstly recorded in 1984, 1985 and 1987 by
9 Ueckermann (1992). Genetic distances between those mites and all the other populations
10 considered were however low in comparison with intraspecific genetic distances observed
11 between wild populations of *Typhlodromus (Typhlodromus) pyri* Scheuten, *Typhlodromus*
12 (*Typhlodromus*) *phialatus* Athias-Henriot, *N. californicus* or *P. finitimus* (Okassa *et al.*, 2011;
13 Tixier *et al.*, 2012, 2017). The present study contributes to estimate the biodiversity of *A.*
14 *swirskii* and while providing a partial picture of the diversity of the species, is as far as we
15 know the only available. The obtained results open perspectives for investigating furtherly
16 functional traits of the mite associated to genetic differences, in particular climate and prey
17 adaptation, as two of relevant traits to be explored to improve the efficacy of species used
18 for biocontrol purposes.

19

20 **Acknowledgments**

21 This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
22 innovation programme under grant agreement No 773902 — SuperPests". We are very
23 grateful to Marta Montserrat, El-Sayed El-Banhawy, and Ginette Azandeme, for their help in
24 providing populations from Israel, Egypt and Benin, respectively. Finally, we thank the three
25 reviewers for their comments that helped the authors to improve the manuscript.

26 **References**

27 Avise, J.C., 1994. Molecular markers. Natural history and evolution. New York: Chapman
28 & Hall.
29 Barbosa Lima, D., Rezende-Puker, D., Santos de Mendonça, R., Tixier, M.-S., Guedes
30 Correia Gondim Junior, M., Wagner da Silva Melo, J., Chiaradia Oliveira, D., Navia, D.,
31 2018. Molecular and morphological characterization of the predatory mite *Amblyseius*
32 *largoensis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Surprising similarity between an Asian and American
33 populations. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 76, 287–310.

1 Buitenhuis, R., Murphy, G., Shipp, L., Scott-Dupree, C., 2015. *Amblyseius swirskii* in
2 greenhouse production systems: a floricultural perspective. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 65(4), 451-
3 464. doi:10.1007/s10493-014-9869-9

4 Calvo, F.J., Knapp, M., van Houten, Y.M., Hoogerbrugge, H., Belda, J.E., 2015. *Amblyseius*
5 *swirskii*: What made this predatory mite such a successful biocontrol agent? *Exp. Appl.*
6 *Acarol.* 65, 419–433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-014-9873-0>

7 Cerqueira Cavalcante, A.C., Borges, L.R., Lourençao, A.L., Moraes, G.J., 2015. Potential of
8 two populations of *Amblyseius swirskii* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) for the control of *Bemisia*
9 *tabaci* biotype B (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in Brazil. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 67(4), 523-33.
10 doi: 10.1007/s10493-015-9964-6

11 Demite, P.R., Moraes, G.J. de, McMurtry, J.A., Denmark, H.A., Castilho, R.C., 2020.
12 Phytoseiidae Database. Available from: www.lea.esalq.usp.br/phytoseiidae (accessed
13 15/II/2020)

14 Dong, Y., Somero, G.N., 2009. Temperature adaptation of cytosolic malate
15 dehydrogenases of limpets (genus *Lottia*): differences in stability and function due to
16 minor changes in sequence correlate with biogeographic and vertical distributions. *J.*
17 *Exp. Biol.* 212, 169-177.

18 Dos Santos, V., Tixier, M.-S., 2017. Molecular markers for analysing phylogenetic
19 relationships within the mite family Phytoseiidae (Acari: Mesostigmata). *Cladistics* 28(5),
20 1-16.

21 Fotoukiai, S.M., Tan, Z., Xue, W., Wybouw, N., Van Leeuwen, T., 2020. Identification and
22 characterization of new mutations in mitochondrial cytochrome b that confer resistance to
23 bifentazate and acequinocyl in the spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 73(3),
24 1154-1163.

25 Frankham R. 1997. Do island populations have less genetic variation than mainland
26 populations? *Heredity* 78, 311-327.

27 Gerson, U., Smiley, R. L., Ochoa, T., 2003. *Mites (Acari) for Pest Control*. Oxford, UK:
28 Blackwell Science.

29 Kanouh, M., Tixier, M.-S., Okassa, M., Kreiter, S., 2010. Phylogenetic and biogeographic
30 analysis of the genus *Phytoseiulus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Zool. Scr.* 39, 450-461.
31 doi:10.1111/j.1463-6409.2010.00439.x

32 Knapp, M., Van Houten, Y., Van Baala, E., Groot, T., 2018. Use of predatory mites in
33 commercial biocontrol: current status and future prospects. *Acarologia* 58(Suppl), 72-82; DOI
34 10.24349/acarologia/20184275

- 1 Kreiter, S., Auger, P., Lebdi Grissa, K., Tixier, M.-S., Chermiti, B., Dali, M., 2002. Plant
2 inhabiting mites (Acari: Prostigmata & Mesostigmata) of some Northern Tunisian
3 crops. *Acarologia* 42(4), 389-402.
- 4 Kreiter, S., Dos Santos Vicente, V., Tixier, M.-S., Fontaine, O., 2016. An unexpected
5 occurrence of *Amblyseius swirskii* Athias-Henriot in La Réunion Island (Acari:
6 Phytoseiidae). *Acarologia* 56(2), 175-181.
- 7 Kreiter, S., Tixier, M.-S., Auger, P., Cheval, B., Lebdi Grissa, K., 2006. Phytoseiid mites
8 (Acari: Mesostigmata) of Southern Tunisia. *Acarologia* 46(1-2), 5-13.
- 9 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., Tamura, K., 2018. MEGA X: Molecular
10 Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1547-
11 1549.
- 12 Martinez, A.Z., de la Torre, P.E., García, S.A., 2004. Principales ácaros detectados em la
13 Província de Villa Clara. *Fitosanidad* 8(1), 3-17.
- 14 McMurtry, J.A., Moraes, G.J. de, Sourasso, N.F., 2013. Revision of the life styles of
15 phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and implications for biological control strategies.
16 *Syst. Appl. Acarol.* 18, 297-320.
- 17 Okassa, M., Kreiter, S., Guichou, S., Tixier, M.-S., 2011. Molecular and morphological
18 boundaries of the predator *Neoseiulus californicus* McGregor (Acari: Phytoseiidae).
19 *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 104, 393-406.
- 20 Paspati, A., Ferguson, K.B., Verhulst, E.C., Urbaneja, A., Gonzalez-Cabrera, J., Pannebakker,
21 B.A., 2019. Effect of mass rearing on the genetic diversity of the predatory mite
22 *Amblyseius swirskii*. *Entomol. Experim. Appl.* 167, 670-681.
- 23 Posada D., Crandall K.A., 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution.
24 *Bioinformatics* 14, 817-818.
- 25 Queiroz, M. C., Douin, M., Marques de Souza, S., Sato, E., Tixier, M.-S., 2021. Molecular
26 variations of the Cytochrome b DNA and protein sequences in *Phytoseiulus macropilis*
27 Banks (Acari: Phytoseiidae) and *P. persimilis* (Athias-Henriot) (Acari: Phytoseiidae)
28 reflect population structuration. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 84(4), 687-701. doi: 10.1007/s10493-
29 021-00648-w.
- 30 Roderick, G., Navajas M., 2003. Genes in new environments: Genetics and evolution in
31 biological control. *Nature reviews* 4, 889-899.
- 32 Sahraoui, H., Lebdi Grissa, K., Kreiter, S., Douin, M., Tixier, M.-S., 2012. Phytoseiid mites
33 (Acari: Mesostigmata) of Tunisian Citrus orchards. *Acarologia* 52(4), 433-452.


- 1 Silva, G., Lima, F.P., Martel, P., Castilho, R., 2014. Thermal adaptation and clinal
2 mitochondrial DNA variation of European anchovy. Proc. R. Soc. series B (281), 1093.
3 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.1093>
- 4 Swofford D.L., 2002. PAUP. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony and other methods.
5 Ver. 4.0b.10. Sinauer Associates, Inc.
- 6 The Angiosperm Phylogeny Group. 2009. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group
7 classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG III. Botan. J. Linn. Soc.
8 161, 105-121. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8339.2009.00996.x
- 9 Tixier, M.-S., Allam, L., Douin, S., Kreiter, S., 2016. Phytoseiidae (Acari: Mesostigmata) of
10 Morocco: new records, descriptions of five new species, re-descriptions of two species and
11 key for identification. Zootaxa 4067(5), 501-551.
- 12 Tixier, M.-S., Dos Santos, V., Douin, M., Duso, C., Kreiter, S., 2017. Great molecular
13 variation within the species *Phytoseius finitimus* (Acari: Phytoseiidae): implications for
14 diagnosis decision within the mite family Phytoseiidae. Acarologia 57(3), 493–515.
- 15 Tixier, M.-S., Ferrero, M., Okassa, M., Guichou, S., Kreiter, S., 2010a. On the specific
16 identity of specimens of *Phytoseiulus longipes* Evans (Mesostigmata: Phytoseiidae)
17 showing different feeding behaviours: morphological and molecular analyses. Bull.
18 Entomol. Res. 100(5), 569-579. doi:10.1017/S0007485309990617
- 19 Tixier, M.-S., Kreiter, S., Allam, L., Ouahbi, A., Hmimina, H., 2003. Phytoseiid and
20 tetranychid mites (Acari: Mesostigmata) of some Moroccan crops. Acarologia 43(1),
21 87-97.
- 22 Tixier, M.-S., Okassa, M., Liguori, M.L., Poinso, A., Salerno, B., Kreiter, S., 2010b. Voucher
23 specimens for DNA sequences of Phytoseiid mites (Acari: Mesostigmata) Acarologia
24 50(4), 487-494.
- 25 Tixier, M.-S., Okassa M., Kreiter, S., 2012. An integrative morphological and molecular
26 diagnostics for *Typhlodromus pyri* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Zool. Scr. 41, 68-78.
- 27 Tixier, M.-S., Perez, Martinez, S., Douin, M., 2021. Markers of life history traits: variation in
28 morphology, molecular and amino acid sequences within *Typhlodromus (Anthoseius)*
29 *recki* Wainstein (Acari: Mesostigmata: Phytoseiidae). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 132 (1), 53–73.
30 <https://doi.org/10.1093/biolinnean/blaa103>
- 31 Van Lenteren, J.C., 2012. The state of commercial augmentative biological control: plenty of
32 natural enemies, but a frustrating lack of uptake. BioControl 57, 1–20. DOI
33 10.1007/s10526-011-9395-1

- 1 Van Lenteren, J.C., Bolckmans, K., Köhl, J., Ravensberg, W.J., Urbaneja, A., 2017.
2 Biological control using invertebrates and microorganisms: plenty of new opportunities.
3 BioControl 63, 39–59 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9801-4>
- 4 Van Nieuwenhuysse, P., Van Leeuwen, T., Khajehali, J., Vanholme, B., Tirry, L., 2009.
5 Mutations in the mitochondrial cytochrome b of *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari:
6 Tetranychidae) confer cross-resistance between bifenthrin and acequinocyl. *Pest Manag.*
7 *Sci.* 65, 404– 412.
- 8 Zhang, D.X. , Hweitt, G.M., 2003. Nuclear DNA analyses in genetic studies of populations:
9 Practice, problems and prospects. *Mol. Ecol.* 12, 563–584.
- 10
11

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Captions of figures


Figure 1. Current distribution of *Amblyseius swirskii*. The original name used for each record is indicated. Country distribution level reports the supposed status of the populations. Data compiled from the literature and records from this study.



8
9
10
11
12
13
14


Figure 2. Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic trees including specimens of *A. swirskii* and *A. andersoni* (as an outgroup) obtained with (a) 12S rRNA, (b) COI mtDNA and (c) CytB mtDNA sequences.

1 Figure 2
 2 (a) 12S rRNA




3
 4
 5

1 Figure 2
 2 (b) COI mtDNA



3
 4
 5

- 1 Figure 2
- 2 (c) CytB mtDNA



1 Table 1. Accession numbers for 12S rRNA, COI and CytB mitochondrial sequences
 2 deposited in Genbank and geographical locations and plants where *Amblyseius swirskii*
 3 were collected.
 4

| Country / company | Locality | Host plant | Genbank accession numbers 12S rRNA | Genbank accession numbers CytB mtDNA | Genbank accession numbers COI mtDNA |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Koppert | commercial strain from France | not known | MW404020, MW404021, MW404022, MW404023 (n=4) | MT828779, MT828780, MT828781, MT828782, MT828783, MT828797, MT828798, MT828799, MT828800, MT828801 (n=10) | MT827885, MT827886, MT827887, MT827888, MT827889 (n=5) |
| Agrobio | commercial strain | not known | MW404026, MW404027 (n=2) | - | - |
| BioPlanet | commercial strain | not known | MW404028, MW404029 (n=2) | - | - |
| Syngenta | commercial strain | rearings | - | MT828784 (n=1) | - |
| France Reunion island | Montvert (55°32'19"S, 21°19'42"E) | <i>Capsicum annuum</i> (Solanaceae) | KX064698, KX064699, KX064700, KX064701, KX064702, KX064703, KX064697, KX064704 (n=8) | MT828785, MT828786, MT828787, MT828788, MT828789, MT828790, MT828791, MT828792, MT828793 (n=9) | MT827876, MT827877, MT827878, MT827879, MT827880, MT827881, MT827882, MT827883, MT827884 (n=9) |
| Israel | Acre (32°56'37.367"N 35°6' 8.139"E) and Hula Valley (32°56'37.367"N 35°6' 8.139"E) | <i>Citrus</i> sp. (Rutaceae) | MW404024, MW404025, MW411334, MW411335, MW411336, MW411367 (n=6) | MT828794, MT828795, MT828796 (n=3) | MW074353, MW074354, MW074355, MW074356 (n=4) |
| Benin | Idigny (7°28'19.7"N 2°41'01.8"E) | <i>Solanum macrocarpon</i> (Solanaceae) | MW404031, MW404032, MW404033, MW404034, MW404035, MW404036 (n=6) | MT828808, MT828809, MT828810, MT828811, MT828812 (n=5) | MT819959, MT819960, MT819961, MT819962 (n=4) |
| | Brazilian population issued from Israel | Not known | MW404030 (n=1) | MT828807, MT828814, MT828815, MT828816, MT828817 (n=5) | MT827915, MT827916, MT827917, MT827918, MT827919 (n=5) |
| Egypt | Al-Azizia, Sharqia Governorate (30°02'44.6"N 31°10'56.0"E) | <i>Citrus</i> sp. (Rutaceae) | MW404037, MW404038 (n=2) | MT828819, MT828820, MT828821, MT828822, MT828823, MT828824, MT828825, MT828826, MT828827, MT828828, MT828829, MT828830 (n=12) | MT827899, MT827900, MT827901, MT827902, MT827903, MT827904 (n=6) |
| Cape Verde | Ribeira da Torre (17°8'13"N, -25°3'58"E) | <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> (Asteraceae) | MW404039, MW404040, MW404041 (n=3) | MT828802, MT828803, MT828804, MT828805, MT828806, MT828813, MT828818 (n=7) | MT827894, MT827895, MT827896, MT827897, MT827898, MT828365 (n=6) |

5
 6
 7
 8

1 Table 2. Number of reports per country of *Amblyseius swirskii* and *Amblyseius rikey* before
 2 and after 2005 (first known commercialisation of *A. swirskii*).

3

| | Number of reports before 2005 | | Number of reports after 2005 | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>A. swirskii</i> | <i>A. rikey</i> | <i>A. swirskii</i> | <i>A. rikey</i> |
| Argentina | | | 1 | |
| Azerbaijan | 1 | | | |
| Benin | | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Burundi | | | 1 | |
| Cape Verde | 5 | | | |
| Cuba | | 1 | | |
| Democratic Republic of Congo | | 2 | 1 | |
| Egypt | 24 | | 12 | |
| Gaza strip | 2 | | | |
| Georgia | 1 | | | |
| Ghana | | 1 | 4 | |
| Israel | 160 | | 1 | |
| Italy | 2 | | | |
| Kenya | | 3 | 5 | 1 |
| Malawi | | | | 1 |
| Nigeria | | 11 | | |
| Reunion Island | | | 2 | |
| Saudi Aarabia | | | 1 | |
| Senegal | | | 2 | |
| Slovenia | | | 1 | |
| Syria | | | 1 | |
| Tanzania | | | 1 | |
| Turkey | 1 | | | |
| Yemen | 1 | | | |
| Zimbabwe | | 1 | | |

4

5

1 Table 3. Number of reports per plant family of *A. swirskii* and *A. rikey* before and after 2005
 2 (first commercialisation of *A. swirskii*)

| | Before 2005 | | After 2005 | |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | <i>A. swirskii</i> | <i>A. rikey</i> | <i>A. swirskii</i> | <i>A. rikey</i> |
| Acanthaceae | | 1 | | |
| Actinidiaceae | | 1 | | |
| Adoxaceae | 3 | | | |
| Amaranthaceae | 2 | | | |
| Anacardiaceae | 3 | | 5 | |
| Apocynaceae | 1 | | | |
| Araceae | | 1 | | |
| Arecaceae | 1 | | | |
| Asparagaceae | 2 | | | |
| Brassicaceae | 1 | | | |
| Cannabaceae | | 1 | | |
| Caprifoliaceae | 3 | | | |
| Compositae | 11 | | | |
| Convolvulaceae | 2 | 1 | | |
| Cucubirtaceae | | | 1 | |
| Ebenaceae | 3 | | | |
| Equisetaceae | 2 | | | |
| Euphorbiaceae | 4 | 2 | 2 | |
| Fagaceae | 3 | | | |
| Geraniaceae | 2 | | | |
| Juglandaceae | 2 | | | |
| Lamiaceae | 3 | 1 | 2 | |
| Lauraceae | 9 | | | |
| Leguminosae | 9 | 3 | | |
| Lythraceae | 1 | | | |
| Malvaceae | 8 | 1 | 1 | |
| Moraceae | 9 | | | |
| Musaceae | | 1 | | |
| Myrtaceae | 6 | 0 | 1 | |
| Onagraceae | 2 | | | |
| Passifloraceae | | | 1 | |
| Phyllanthaceae | 0 | | 1 | |
| Pinaceae | 2 | | | |
| Poaceae | 4 | 1 | | |
| Polygonaceae | 2 | | | |
| Proteaceae | | 1 | | |
| Rhamnaceae | 1 | | | |
| Rosaceae | 33 | | 1 | |
| Rubiaceae | 1 | | 1 | |
| Rutaceae | 27 | | 3 | |
| Salicaceae | 2 | | | |
| Solanaceae | 10 | 1 | 8 | |
| Sapindaceae | 2 | | | |
| Thymelaeaceae | 2 | | | |
| Ulmaceae | 2 | | | |
| Verbenaceae | 2 | | 1 | |
| Violaceae | 2 | | | |
| Vitaceae | 7 | | | |
| unknown | 5 | 5 | 6 | 3 |
| In Soil | | | 2 | |
| on insect | 1 | | | |

3
 4
 5

1 Table 4a. Genetic distances (K2P) of 12S rRNA sequences calculated within and between
 2 *Amblyseius swirskii* populations here analyzed: mean values (min-max values in brackets)
 3

| | Israel | Koppert | Agrobio | Bioplanet | Reunion | Benin introduced | Benin original | Egypt | Cape Verde |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Israel | 0.003 (0-0.007) | | | | | | | | |
| Koppert | 0.003 (0.003-0.007) | 0.0015 (0-0.003) | | | | | | | |
| Agrobio | 0.003 | 0.0007 (0-0.003) | 0 | | | | | | |
| Bioplanet | 0.003 | 0.0007 (0-0.003) | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Reunion | 0.0028 (0-0.1) | 0.002 (0-0.007) | 0.002 (0-0.007) | 0.002 (0-0.007) | 0.002 (0-0.007) | | | | |
| Benin introduced | 0.002 (0-0.007) | 0.002 (0-0.003) | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.001 (0-0.003) | / | | | |
| Benin original | 0.002 (0-0.007) | 0.002 (0-0.003) | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.001 (0-0.003) | 0 | 0 | | |
| Egypt | 0.002 (0-0.007) | 0.002 (0-0.003) | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.001 (0-0.003) | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Cape Verde | 0.013 (0.007-0.024) | 0.013 (0.007-0.021) | 0.014 (0.1-0.21) | 0.014 (0.1-0.21) | 0.011 (0.007-0.021) | 0.010 (0.007-0.017) | 0.010 (0.007-0.017) | 0.010 (0.007-0.017) | 0.011 (0-0.017) |

4
 5
 6
 7 Table 4b. Genetic distances (K2P) of mitochondrial COI sequences calculated within and
 8 between *Amblyseius swirskii* populations here analyzed: mean values (min-max values in
 9 brackets)
 10
 11

| | Israel | Koppert | Reunion | Benin introduced | Benin original | Egypt | Cape Verde |
|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Israel | 0.001 (0-0.002) | | | | | | |
| Koppert | 0.003 (0-0.005) | 0.002 (0-0.005) | | | | | |
| Reunion | 0.003 (0.002-0.005) | 0.002 (0-0.007) | 0.002 (0-0.007) | | | | |
| Benin introduced | 0.002 (0.002-0.003) | 0.002 (0.002-0.007) | 0.002 (0.002-0.005) | 0 | | | |
| Benin original | 0.002 (0.002-0.003) | 0.002 (0.002-0.007) | 0.002 (0.002-0.005) | 0 | 0 | | |
| Egypt | 0.002 (0-0.003) | 0.003 (0.002-0.007) | 0.003 (0.002-0.005) | 0.001 (0-0.002) | 0.001 (0-0.002) | 0.001 (0-0.002) | |
| Cape Verde | 0.027 (0.024-0.04) | 0.029 (0.024-0.042) | 0.029 (0.022-0.042) | 0.028 (0.026-0.04) | 0.028 (0.026-0.04) | 0.028 (0.024-0.04) | 0.014 (0-0.042) |

12
 13
 14 Table 4c. Genetic distances (K2P) of mitochondrial CytB sequences calculated within and
 15 between *Amblyseius swirskii* populations here analyzed: mean values (min-max values in
 16 brackets)
 17

| | Israel | Koppert | Syngenta | Reunion | Benin introduced | Benin original | Egypt | Cape Verde |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Israel | 0.001 (0-0.003) | | | | | | | |
| Koppert | 0.008 (0.006-0.009) | 0.001 (0-0.006) | | | | | | |
| Syngenta | 0.0007 (0-0.003) | 0.005 (0-0.006) | / | | | | | |
| Reunion | 0.007 (0-0.016) | 0.002 (0.003-0.013) | 0.006 (0-0.013) | 0.002 (0-0.013) | | | | |
| Benin introduced | 0.005 (0.003-0.009) | 0.010 (0-0.013) | 0.01 (0.009-0.013) | 0.01 (0.003-0.019) | 0.002 (0-0.003) | | | |
| Benin original | 0.006 (0.003-0.013) | 0.009 (0.003-0.016) | 0.011 (0.009-0.016) | 0.011 (0.003-0.022) | 0.003 (0-0.009) | 0.004 (0-0.013) | | |
| Egypt | 0.002 (0-0.006) | 0.007 (0-0.013) | 0.002 (0-0.006) | 0.008 (0-0.019) | 0.005 (0-0.013) | 0.006 (0-0.016) | 0.003 (0-0.013) | |
| Cape Verde | 0.028 (0.019-0.08) | 0.032 (0.019-0.076) | 0.027 (0.019-0.076) | 0.032 (0.019-0.076) | 0.031 (0.022-0.083) | 0.032 (0.022-0.08) | 0.028 (0.019-0.083) | 0.024 (0-0.084) |

18