

Effect of strigolactones on recruitment of the rice root-associated microbiome

Bora Kim, Johan Westerhuis, Age K. Smilde, Kristýna Floková, Afnan K. A. Suleiman, Eiko Kuramae, Harro Bouwmeester, Anouk Zancarini

▶ To cite this version:

Bora Kim, Johan Westerhuis, Age K. Smilde, Kristýna Floková, Afnan K. A. Suleiman, et al.. Effect of strigolactones on recruitment of the rice root-associated microbiome. FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2022, 98 (2), pp.fiac010. 10.1093/femsec/fiac010. hal-03963464

HAL Id: hal-03963464 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03963464

Submitted on 25 Apr 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effect of strigolactones on recruitment of the rice root-associated microbiome

Running title: Effect of strigolactones on microbiome recruitment

Bora Kim¹, Johan A. Westerhuis², Age K. Smilde², Kristýna Floková¹, Afnan K. A. Suleiman^{3, 4}, Eiko E. Kuramae^{3,5}, Harro J. Bouwmeester^{1*}, Anouk Zancarini^{1,2*}

¹ Plant Hormone Biology group, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

² Biosystems Data Analysis group, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

³ Department of Microbial Ecology, Netherlands Institute for Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands

⁴ Soil Health group, Bioclear Earth B.V., 9727 DL Groningen, The Netherlands

⁵ Ecology and biodiversity, Institute of Environmental Biology, Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht, the Netherlands

* Authors contributed equally

Corresponding authors:

Harro J. Bouwmeester: Plant Hormone Biology group, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Postbus 1210, 1000 BE Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Tel (+31) 20 525 6476. Email: h.j.bouwmeester@uva.nl

Keywords: rice, strigolactones, rhizosphere, bacterial communities, fungal communities

Abstract

Strigolactones are endogenous plant hormones regulating plant development and are exuded into the rhizosphere when plants experience nutrient deficiency. There, they promote the mutualistic association of plants with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi that help the plant with the uptake of nutrients from the soil. This shows that plants actively establish – through the exudation of strigolactones - mutualistic interactions with microbes to overcome inadequate nutrition. The signaling function of strigolactones could possibly extend to other microbial partners, but the effect of strigolactones on the global root and rhizosphere microbiome remains poorly understood. Therefore, we analyzed the bacterial and fungal microbial communities of 16 rice genotypes differing in their root strigolactone exudation. Using multivariate analyses, distinctive differences in the microbiome composition were uncovered depending on strigolactone exudation. Moreover, the results of regression modeling showed that structural differences in the exuded strigolactones affected different sets of microbes. In particular, orobanchol was linked to the relative abundance of Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia and Acidobacteria that potentially solubilize phosphate, while 4-deoxyorobanchol was associated with the genera Dyella and Umbelopsis. With this research, we provide new insight into the role of strigolactones in the interplay between plants and microbes in the rhizosphere.

Introduction

Plants and microbes are key players in belowground ecosystems and their existences are closely intertwined. Moreover, microbial communities greatly affect plant growth and health. Certain microbial species can solubilize nutrients making them accessible to plants, produce phytohormones that promote plant growth, and/or increase resistance against biotic and abiotic stresses (Bruto *et al.* 2014; Trivedi *et al.* 2020). Plants, in turn, provide an energy source for the microbes through the exudation of a blend of hundreds if not thousands of compounds into the soil surrounding the roots, called the rhizosphere (Sasse, Martinoia and Northen 2018). These compounds are used by soil microbes for their growth and reproduction, but are also a means of chemical communication between plants and microbes (van Dam and Bouwmeester 2016). Several authors have reported that plants actively exude signaling molecules in order to recruit beneficial microbes that can help plants to overcome hostile environmental conditions (Planchamp, Glauser and Mauch-Mani 2015; Abedini *et al.* 2021; Rizaludin *et al.* 2021).

A prime example of plant signaling molecules in the interaction with micro-organisms are the strigolactones (SLs). SLs are a carotenoid-derived plant hormone that regulates plant development, including traits such as shoot branching and root architecture, in interplay with other hormones, such as auxin, abscisic acid, and cytokinin (Waters *et al.* 2017). SL biosynthesis begins with conversion of all-*trans*- β -carotene to 9-*cis*- β -carotene by carotenoid isomerase *DWARF 27 (D27;* Alder *et al.*, 2012). Carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase CCD7 (rice D17, *Arabidopsis thaliana* AtMAX3) and CCD8 (D10, AtMAX4) catalyze intermediate conversions together resulting in the formation of carlactone, the last common precursor for all SLs. The formation of the large variety of SLs, identified to date, is subsequently mediated by MORE AXILLARY GROWTH 1 (MAX1)

homologs, the oxidase LBO and a number of other cytochrome P450s (Wakabayashi *et al.* 2019; Bouwmeester *et al.* 2021).

Besides their role as endogenous plant hormone, SLs also act as a rhizosphere signaling molecule. SLs are secreted - especially under phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) deficiency - from roots of many plant species, such as tomato, rice, sorghum, alfalfa, lettuce and wheat (Yoneyama *et al.*, 2007; López-Ráez *et al.*, 2008; Jamil *et al.*, 2012; Yoneyama *et al.*, 2012;). These SLs in the rhizosphere mediate the interaction between plants and symbiotic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) that colonize up to 90% of land plants and help them to uptake P from soil, by inducing hyphal branching, a process that precedes, and is needed for, root colonization (Akiyama, Matsuzaki and Hayashi 2005; Begum *et al.* 2019). So far over 35 different SLs have been identified in the root exudates of a range of plant species that usually produce blends of up to 7 different SLs by each plant species (Bouwmeester *et al.* 2021). Why plants exude so many different SLs is however unknown (Wang and Bouwmeester 2018). Akiyama and coworkers applied 44 different, synthetic and natural, SLs to *Gigaspora margarita* and showed that there is substantial variation in the hyphal branching activity induced by these SLs (Akiyama *et al.* 2010). It is unclear whether these activity differences play a role under field conditions.

Recently, a number of authors provided evidence that SLs may have an impact on the composition of the rhizosphere microbial community, using knock-out mutants and overexpression lines for SL biosynthesis and/or perception genes in *Arabidopsis*, rice, and soybean (Carvalhais *et al.* 2019; Nasir *et al.* 2019; Liu *et al.* 2020). Here we want to further proof the hypothesis that SLs affect the plant microbiome and investigate if structurally different SLs have different effects. Therefore, we analyzed the SLs of 16 rice genotypes, 11 varieties and 6 mutant lines, cultivated in two different soils and assessed the bacterial and fungal communities recruited to the rhizosphere and root using

4

16S rRNA gene and ITS region amplicon sequencing, respectively. A significant effect of, individual, SLs on the composition of both bacterial and fungal communities was observed. Moreover, using constrained multivariate analysis and negative binomial regression modeling, correlations were pinpointed between the level of specific SLs and individual microbial taxa with putative functions that could potentially mitigate abiotic stress.

Materials and Methods

Soil collection and their properties

To compare nutritionally rich and poor soil, we used two soil types – agricultural and forest soil in this study. The agricultural soil was provided by the Netherlands Institute for Ecology, Wageningen, The Netherlands. Its chemical properties were described in detail in a previous study (Schlemper *et al.* 2017). The second soil was a nutrient-poor, acidic, forest soil, consisting of the top 20 cm layer of a natural heather field collected at Hoge Veluwe National Park, The Netherlands (52° 10' 24" N and 5° 47' 52" E) and sieved through a 2 mm mesh. The chemical and physical properties of the forest soil were analyzed at Eurofins Agro, Wageningen, The Netherlands (Table S1). One day before transplanting seedlings, a 2.5 L size pot (17 cm ø x 16 cm H) was filled with 2.4 kg of soil with a moisture content of 80% of its maximum soil water-holding capacity.

Plant growth

All rice genotypes used in this study are listed in Table S2. Ten natural rice varieties differing in the amount of SLs produced under phosphate starvation were selected based on an earlier study (Jamil *et al.*, 2012). Seeds of these varieties were provided by the International Rice Research

Institute (IRRI), Philippines. We also included mutant lines impaired in SL biosynthesis (*d10*, *d17*, and *d27*) and perception (*d3* and *d14*) and its wild-type (Shiokari; Haider *et al.* 2018). Rice seeds were surface-sterilized in half-strength of commercial bleach (approximate final concentration: 2%) for 30 min and washed five times with sterile demineralized water. The seeds were then germinated on half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium plates with 1.5% sugar and 0.9% agar in dark at 24°C for 4 days. Five seedlings of each genotype were finally transplanted per pot of each soil type and grown under controlled greenhouse conditions for 28 days at 25-28°C and 12/12 h day/night together with a pot without plant (bulk soil). Each treatment had five replicates, and all pots were watered with demineralized water every day to keep 80% water-holding capacity until harvest (Fig. S1).

Plant harvest

Two compartments, roots and rhizosphere soil of 32 days-old rice plants (vegetative stage), were harvested as previously described with small modifications (Lundberg *et al.* 2012). Briefly, plant roots were first cut from shoot and gently tapped until 1-2 mm soil layer left on the root surface. Then, the root system was shaken in 35 mL sterile phosphate buffer and transferred to a sterile petri dish filled with the sterile phosphate buffer. Roots were gently washed using sterile tweezers until soil particles were removed. After that, the fresh weight of roots and shoots were measured and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Soil taken from roots in the phosphate buffer was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 20 min. Finally, supernatant was removed, and soil was kept as a rhizosphere soil sample and frozen in liquid nitrogen. All the samples were stored in -80°C freezer until the next step.

Three out of five replicates were used for each analysis, because the amount of root material was sometimes insufficient for both SL and DNA extraction on the same sample. Therefore, some replicates were used for either SL or DNA extraction.

Strigolactones analysis

Three types of SLs produced by rice, orobanchol, 4-deoxyorobanchol (4DO, also known as 2'-epi-5-deoxystrigol), and methoxy-5-deoxystrigol isomers 1 (MeO5DS), were extracted and identified as previously described from root tissue with small modifications (Jamil et al. 2012); the other two reported isomers of methoxy-5-deoxystrigol were not detected. The frozen roots were ground in a cold mortar with liquid nitrogen. About 100-300 mg of ground roots were extracted with precooled 1 mL ethyl acetate. After vortexing, 10 µL of 5·10⁻⁷ M GR24 and 10⁻⁷ M [²H₆]-5deoxystrigol in 50% acetonitrile were added as internal standard (IS). GR24 was used for the semiquantification of orobanchol and MeO5D, and [²H₆]-5-deoxystrigol was used for the semiquantification of 4DO. The samples were sonicated for 10 min in ultrasonic bath (Elmasonic S30, Germany) and centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000 rpm. Supernatant was kept temporarily, and the same root sample went through the same extraction procedure again as described as above. The supernatant from the second extraction pooled with the supernatant from the first extraction and dried in a vacuum concentrator (Scan Speed 40, Labogene, Lynge, Denmark) at 2,000 rpm for 2 hours. The dried residue was dissolved in 50 µL of ethyl acetate and 4 mL hexane and mixed thoroughly by vortexing. Solid phase extraction (Phenomenex Strata® SI-1 Silica, 200 mg/3 mL) cartridges were conditioned on manifolds with 2 mL of ethyl acetate and 4 mL of hexane in order, and the samples were loaded through SPE cartridges. After that, SPE were washed with 20 mL of hexane and eluted with 3 mL ethyl acetate/hexane (9:1) mixture. The eluted samples were dried in a vacuum concentrator at 2,000 rpm for 2 hours. The residue of the sample was reconstituted in

100 μ L of 25% acetonitrile and filtered through micro-centrifugal nylon filter (0.2 μ m, Thermo) in a centrifuge for 1 min at 12,000 rpm.

The Acquity UPLCTM I-Class System (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to Xevo® TQ-XS tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters MS Technologies, Manchester, UK) with electrospray ionization interface was employed to SL analysis, as previously described (Floková et al. 2020). The separation was performed on column Acquity UPLCTM BEH C18 column (2.1 x 100 mm, 1.7 µm particle size, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), maintained at temperature 45°C. Analytes were eluted with 12 min binary gradient, consisted of water (A) and acetonitrile (B) with 15 mM formic acid as an eluent additive. The elution profile was as follows: 0-0.4 min isocratic elution at 15% B; linear gradient increase to 27, 40 and 65% B in 0.65, 5 and 8 min, respectively; and isocratic elution at 65% until 8.7 min. The column was washed with 95% B for 1.6 min and equilibrated to initial conditions for 1.7 min. The eluate was introduced in ionization source of mass spectrometer, operating in positive mode at following conditions: capillary voltage 1.2 kV; ion source/desolvation temperature 120/550°C; desolvation/cone gas flow 1000 150 L h⁻¹; collision gas flow 0.15 mL min⁻¹. Compounds were analyzed in multiple reaction monitoring mode (MRM) using characteristic diagnostic and confirming transitions (Table S3) with optimized cone voltage (20-22 V) and collision energy (18-25 eV). MassLynxTM (v4.2, Waters) was used to control the instrument, and acquire and process the MS data. SLs were semi-quantified using peak area and its relation to the peak area of the internal standards and expressed per gram of fresh root weight.

Microbiome analysis

DNA from the bulk soil, rhizosphere and roots were extracted following protocol given by MoBio PowerSoil DNA isolation kit (Qiagen, Germany). Additionally, soil was centrifuged in order to remove extra water before extraction as recommended by the supplier for wet soils. We used 300 mg of grinded frozen roots and 250 mg of soil for DNA extraction.

Primers were selected to amplify V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (16S: 341F 3'-CCTACGGGNBGCASCAG-5' and 806R 3'-GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT-5'). For fungi, we used the universal ITS primers ITS1 3'-CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-5' and ITS2 3'-GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC-5'. Sequencing was performed by Starseq, Germany using Ilumina MiSeq. We obtained sequences reads from 198 demultiplexed samples from the company and trimmed the primer and index sequences using the package cutadapt (v1.9.1) and preprocessed the sequencing data using R package DADA2 (v3.11). According to the sequence quality, the 16S rRNA gene reads were filtered to keep 290 bp for the forward read, 170 bp for the reverse read, less than expected errors=2, greater than Q score =2, and minimum length= 50 to avoid poor quality and ambiguous sequences. Similarly, ITS reads were filtered to have less than expected errors=1, greater than Q score =2, and greater than length=50. Chimeras were removed after merging denoised pair-end sequence. Each unique amplicon sequence variant (ASV) was assigned to taxa according to SILVA database (v132, https://zenodo.org/record/1172783#.X7PlK2hKibg) and UNITE (04.02.2020 release, https://unite.ut.ee/repository.php) for 16S rRNA gene and ITS, respectively, and an ASV relative abundance table was created for each data set. Afterwards, ASVs belonging to plant host were removed (e.g. mitochondria, chloroplasts).

Data analysis and statistics

For microbiome diversity and composition analyses, we used the R packages phyloseq and vegan. First, alpha diversity indices, including the number of observed species, Chao1, evenness, and Shannon were calculated on raw ASV counts. Afterwards, the count table was rarefied according to the smallest sample size (903 for bacteria and 5,452 for fungi). The sample named 'R_J9_e' was removed before rarefying due to its low sequencing depth. Rarefied ASV counts were used to assess beta diversity. Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis distance was performed and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was used to test the effect of the soil type, compartment and plant genotype on the beta-diversity of the microbial community. Finally, rarefied ASV counts were summed according to their assigned taxa to compare the phylum/order composition using an in-house script in R (see github repository reference in the "Data availability" section). The relationship between plant total biomass and relative abundance of orders belonging to the *Glomeromycota* was analyzed by linear modeling with *p* value validation by 1,000 permutations using the R package ImPerm.

To find correlations between SLs level and microbiome composition, we used the data set obtained from the forest soil because only in the plants grown on that soil we could detect SLs. For following analyses, we used the data from the samples for which we had both SL and microbiome data. We performed three analyses to look for the effect of SLs on 1) alpha diversity (i.e. richness, Chao1, evenness and Shannon) using linear regression modeling , 2) combinations of ASVs (as a community trait) by constrained PCoA (CAP) based on Bray-Curtis distance together with validation by an ANOVA-like permutation test, and 3) the relative abundance of individual both bacterial and fungal ASVs/genera and individual functions predicted for the bacterial community by negative binomial generalized linear model (nbGLM).

For the first analysis, a linear regression model was created with 1,000 permutations using the function 'Imp' in R package ImPerm. For the second analysis, CAP analysis based on Bray-Curtis distance was conducted together with ANOVA like permutation with 1,000 permutations using functions 'capscale' and 'anova.cca' in the R package vegan. Further visualization of CAP analysis was performed using the R package phyloseq. For the last analysis, low abundant counts in all input data were first filtered out prior to nbGLM. More specifically, bacterial/fungal ASVs and enzymes/pathways within a bacterial community present more than 2 times in at least 40% of the total samples were kept. In case of genus counts, bacteria genera that were less abundant than 1 and 0.5% in the roots and rhizosphere, respectively, were removed. Similarly, fungal genera with less than 0.3 and 0.1% abundance were eliminated. Then, nbGLM was performed to find all correlations between SL level and ASV/genus count with significance level p < 0.05 and between SL level and predicted bacterial pathway/enzyme count at significance level p < 0.01. To ensure goodness of model fit, only models with Shapiro p > 0.05 on residual, less than 3 outliers based on Cook's distance score (cutoff level < 0.3), and validated p value by 1,000 permutations were selected using an in-house script in R. Bacterial pathway and enzyme count tables were created using PiCRUST2 (v2.4.1) that infers putative functions for the bacterial community using the 16S marker gene based on a genome database obtained from environmental samples including soils (Douglas et al. 2020). Subsequently, the count tables were rarefied using the R package phyloseq.

All comparison tests of alpha-diversity indices, biomass and SL levels between experimental conditions were performed using the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test and post-hoc Dunn test using R package FSA, rcompanion and multcompView and false discovery rate was corrected by Benjamini-Hochberg (adj.*p*). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on three SLs using the R function 'prcomp' with the parameter 'scale=T'. In general, data was handled using R

packages dplyr, tibble, tidyr, stringr and reshape2. Box plot, stack bar plot, regression plot, and PCA plot were made using R package ggplot2. The Venn diagram was made by the R package ggvenn.

Data availability

The raw sequence data have been submitted to public data repository Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4604914). All data sets, scripts, and information of packages for the data analysis performed in this study can be found in: https://github.com/BoraKim2018/2021_ Effect of strigolactones on recruitment of the rice root microbiome

Results

Plant growth and strigolactone production

The 16 rice genotypes were grown on two different soils, agricultural and forest soil, for a period of 32 days after which plant biomass and SL production were measured (Fig. S1 and Fig. S2). Total fresh biomass was significantly affected by soil type (Kruskal-Wallis test, adj.p < 0.001; Fig. S2A). Plants grown on the agricultural soil had about 1.25 to 5.3 times higher total biomass than plants grown on forest soil. There was a significant genotype effect on biomass for both soils (Dunn test, adj.p < 0.001; Fig S2B and S2C), with *d3* and Kinko having the lowest and IAC165, IAC1246, GWD, and SC a relatively higher biomass than others, on the agricultural soil. The variation in biomass among genotypes grown on the forest soil was smaller than for the agricultural soil.



Figure 1. Strigolactone concentration in the roots of rice plants grown on forest soil. SLs orobanchol (A), 4-deoxyorobanchol (4DO) (B) and methoxy-5-deoxystrigol isomer 1 (Me5DS1) (C)—were analyzed using liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry. Each dot represents a single sample and letters indicate significant differences of means between samples (corrected P < 0.05for multiple testing, Dunn's test). (D) PCA of SLs. Each dot represents a sample point and the arrows represent the loadings of the SLs. n.d, not detected; GWD, Gangweondo; Bina, Binagimbing; Sonk, Sonkanoir; Bhas, Bhasmanik; SC, Shuang-Chiang.

As a result, probably, of the higher phosphate level of the agricultural soil (Table S1), SL levels in rice roots grown on this soil were below the detection limit. In the roots of plants grown on the forest soil, however, we detected three endogenous SLs that have been reported in rice before: orobanchol, 4-deoxyorobanchol (4DO) and methoxy-5-deoxystrigol isomer 1 (MeO5DS), a (putative) SL with unknown structure (Jamil *et al.*, 2012; Fig. 1). Of the 16 genotypes studied, SLs were detected in root tissue of nine, while they were under the detection limit in four low producing varieties (*i.e.* Kinko, TN1, Bhas and SC) and in the three mutants impaired in SL biosynthesis (*i.e. d10*, *d17* and *d27*). The amount of SLs significantly varied among rice genotypes (Dunn test, adj.*p* < 0.05; Fig. 1) and the highest levels of SLs were observed in IAC165, IAC1246, Shiokari, and the SL perception mutant *d14*. PCA showed that the level of the three SLs correlates quite well, as 78% of the total variance was explained by PC1, but the loading of the SL (arrows) also showed that there is variation in the ratio between the SLs, and 4DO and MeO5DS were closer related to each other than to orobanchol as visible on PC2 (Fig. 1D).

Bacterial and fungal community diversity and composition

The bacterial and fungal communities in the rhizosphere and roots of the 16 rice genotypes were analyzed using 16S rRNA gene and ITS amplicon sequencing. All samples had sufficient sequencing depth as the number of ASVs was saturated for each sample in the rarefaction curve (Fig. S3). Bacterial alpha diversity indices were higher in agricultural soil than forest soil (Kruskal-Wallis test, adj.p < 0.001; Fig. 2A and Table S4). Furthermore, bacterial diversity in the roots was lower than in the rhizosphere and in the bulk soil, and similar in the rhizosphere and bulk soil (Dunn test, adj.p < 0.05; Fig. 2A and Table S5). For fungi, the evenness index was not different between the two soils, but the richness, Shannon, and Chao1 indices were significantly higher in the forest than in the agricultural soil (Fig. 2B and Table S4). Additionally, a significant lower fungal alpha diversity was observed in the root compartment than in the rhizosphere and the bulk soil in both soils (Dunn test, adj.p < 0.001, Fig. 2B and Table S5).



Figure 2. Diversity and composition of the rice rhizosphere and roots bacterial (A, C and E) and fungal (B, D and F) communities. (A and B) Shannon index. Each dot represents a sample point. Different letters indicate significant differences between samples (corrected P < 0.05 for multiple testing, Dunn's test). (C and D) Principal coordinate ordination based on Bray–Curtis distance. Each dot represents a sample point. (E and F) Microbial composition at phylum level. Ag, agricultural soil; Fo, forest soil; BK, bulk soil; RS, rhizosphere; RT, root.

Beta diversity based on Bray-Curtis distance matrix was analyzed using PCoA (Fig 2C and D). As seen on the first and second component of the PCoA, the soil type and compartment had an effect on the composition of both bacterial and fungal communities. Subsequently, PERMANOVA showed that the total variation in the microbial community was explained firstly by the soil type (43% and 45% for the bacterial and fungal communities, respectively; p < 0.001 for both), secondly by the compartment (11% and 15%, respectively, for the bacterial and fungal communities; p < 0.001 for both), and lastly by plant genotype (4%, for both; p < 0.01 for the bacterial community; p < 0.001 for the fungal community; Table S6). To assess the influence of the genotype on the composition of the microbial community without the soil and compartment effect, PERMANOVA was employed in each subset of soil and compartment (*i.e.* rhizosphere in agricultural soil, roots in agricultural soil, rhizosphere in forest soil, and root in forest soil). For each of the four data subsets, the genotype explained 35 to 48% of the total variance for most of the models at p < 0.05, except for the fungal community in the rhizosphere of the forest soil (Table S7).

Also, at the phylum level, there was considerable variation in the bacterial and fungal community composition among soil types and compartments (Fig. 2E and F). In both soils the communities were dominated by *Proteobacteria* (42.7% and 60.6% in the agricultural and the forest soil, respectively), *Bacteroidetes* (26.5% and 5.2%, respectively), *Verrucomicrobia* (12% and 18.7%, respectively), and *Acidobacteria* (8.5% and 7.1%, respectively) for the bacterial community, and likewise, for the fungal community by *Ascomycota* (63.7% and 41.9%, respectively), *Basidiomycota* (8.3% and 32.2%, respectively), and *Mortierellomycota* (11.4% and 7.3%, respectively). Moreover, *Glomeromycota* represented 3.2 and 2.5% of the fungal community in the agricultural and the forest soil, respectively. In the *Proteobacteria*, the *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria*, and *Deltaproteobacteria* represented 62%, 28.5%, and 9.4% of the sequences, respectively, in the agricultural soil, and 73.7%, 24.2%, and 2.1%, respectively, in the forest soil.



Relative abundance

Figure 3. Glomeromycota in the rhizosphere and roots of rice grown on two natural soils. (A) Relative abundance of Glomeromycota. Each dot represents a sample point. Different letters indicate significant differences between samples (corrected P < 0.05 for multiple testing, Dunn's test). (B) Composition of orders belonging to the Glomeromycota. Significant correlation between the plant total fresh biomass and the relative abundance of Glomeromycota orders in (C) the rhizosphere and (D and E) the roots. All correlation studies were performed using linear regression modeling (red line) as indicated by the P-value with a validation by permutation test. Each dot represents a sample point. Ag, agricultural soil; Fo, forest soil; BK, bulk soil; RS, rhizosphere; RT, root.

Furthermore, we observed an effect of the compartment on the relative abundances of the *Glomeromycota* (*i.e.* arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, AMF) that were 9.1 and 11.9 times higher in the root compartment than in the rhizosphere of the agricultural soil and forest soil, respectively, and 51.2 and 42.4 times higher than in the bulk soil, respectively (Dunn test, adj.p < 0.05; Fig. 3A).

Although the *Glomeromycota* were observed to have similar relative abundance in both soils, their composition differed at the order level (Fig. 3B). *Glomerales* dominated the *Glomeromycota* community in the agricultural soil, whereas *Archaeosporales* dominated in the forest soil. In addition, the relative amount of *Diversisporales* decreased in the presence of the host in the agricultural soil but increased in the forest soil. As AMF are known to help plants to uptake nutrients and thereby increase their biomass on poor soils, we performed linear regression modeling to check correlations between biomass and the abundance of orders belonging to the *Glomeromycota*. Interestingly, the relative abundances of *Glomerales* and the *Disversisporales* did not have any significant correlation with the total biomass of plants grown on the agricultural soil, while the relative abundance of *Archaeosporales* in both the roots and the rhizosphere and the relative abundance of *Diversisporales* in the roots displayed a significant positive correlation with the plant biomass on the forest soil (Fig. 3C-E).

Effect of strigolactones on the microbial community in rhizosphere and root

Besides AMF, there might be other microbes that respond to SLs as a recruitment signal. We applied three strategies to identify relationships between the level of SLs and the microbial community diversity and composition by testing the effect of SLs levels on: 1) alpha diversity indices (*i.e.* richness, Chao1, evenness and Shannon) using linear regression modelling, 2) combinations of ASVs, as a community level trait, using CAP analysis based on Bray-Curtis distance, and 3) the relative abundance of individual ASVs/genera using nbGLM. As SLs were under our detection threshold in plant roots grown in agricultural soil, we performed these analyses with the data obtained from the forest soil only.



Figure 4. Principal coordinate ordination based on Bray–Curtis distance constrained by the level of orobanchol in rice grown on forest soil. (A and B) Bacterial community. (C and D) Fungal community. (A and C) Rhizosphere. (B and D) Roots. Arrows present ASVs having high species score extracted from first axis of CAP1. The models were validated using an ANOVA-like permutation test (1000 permutations) as indicated by the P-value. Each dot represents individual sample points and colors represent amount of orobanchol.

1) Linear regression showed a positive correlation of the bacterial evenness in the root compartment (Fig. S4A) and a negative correlation of fungal Shannon index in the rhizosphere (Fig. S4B) with the amount of orobanchol, but not with the other SLs.

2) CAP showed that the level of orobanchol significantly correlated with a number of ASVs, but not the other two SLs (Fig. 4). For the bacterial community, the CAP model showed there is a significant orobanchol-associated community in both the rhizosphere and root compartment (Fig. 4 and Table S8). More specifically, in the rhizosphere several ASVs - annotated as *Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia* (*BCP*; bASV25, bASV3), *Granulicella* (bASV46, bASV31), and *Dyella* (bASV47, bASV10) - positively associated with the orobanchol level, while *Chitinophagaceae* (bASV9) and *Saccharimonadales* (bASV18) negatively associated. Similarly, in the root compartment several ASVs belonging to *BCP* (bASV3, bASV76, bASV85, bASV25, bASV30) positively associated with the orobanchol level, while *Rhodanobacter* (bASV24, bASV6, bASV78) both positively and negatively associated as observed on the first axis of the CAP constrained by orobanchol. In addition, we detected a significant effect of orobanchol on the fungal community in both the rhizosphere and root compartment (Fig. 4 and Table S9). In the rhizosphere, *Basidiomycota* (fASV2, fASV16), *Fusarium acutatum* (fASV1), and *Ascomycota* (fASV9) displayed a positive association with orobanchol, while *Mortierella* (fASV8, fASV20) was negatively associated. In the root compartment, orobanchol was positively associated with *Basidiomycota* (fASV2, fASV16), *Archaeosporales* (fASV34), and *Chrysozymaceae* (fASV75) and negatively associated with *Mortierella gemmifera* (fASV8), *Sordariomycetes* (fASV31) and *Clonostachys candelabrum* (fASV6).

3) nbGLM analysis was used to find potential individual candidate ASVs in both the rhizosphere and root compartment correlating with the level of each SL. Including bacteria and fungi, in total five and three ASVs positively correlated with the level of SLs, and 13 and eight ASVs negatively correlated in the rhizosphere and roots, respectively (Fig. 5A, B, and Table S10). Intriguingly, we found quite different relationships for the individual SLs and there were only few overlapping ASVs between the SLs. For example, in the rhizosphere three significantly correlating ASVs were in common between orobanchol and MeO5DS, while no significantly correlating ASVs were shared between orobanchol and 4DO (Fig. 5A). Just as observed for the CAP described above, two ASVs belonging to *Mortierella* (fASV8 and fASV20) were negatively associated with orobanchol, and the one ASV belonging to the AMF, fASV34 (*Archaeosporales*), displayed a

positive correlation with orobanchol in both rhizosphere and root compartment (Fig. 5A, B and Table S10).



Figure 5. Correlation between the level of SLs and the relative abundance of ASVs/genera in the rhizosphere and roots of rice grown on forest soil. Venn diagram of bacterial and fungal ASVs positively (red label) and negatively (blue label) correlated with the level of SLs (A) in the rhizosphere and (B) in the roots. Family and genus belonging to AMF (Archaeosporales and Acaulospora) and bacterial genera (BCP and Granulicella) positively correlated with the level of orobanchol (C) in the rhizosphere and (D) in the roots. All correlation studies were performed using negative binomial generalized linear modeling (red line) as indicated by the P-value with a validation by permutation test (1000). Each dot represents a sample point. 4DO, 4-deoxyorobanchol; MeO5DS,methoxy-5-deoxystrigol isomer 1; BCP, Burkholderia–Caballeronia–Paraburkholderia.

Even though we found that a number of ASVs belonging to the genus BCP positively correlated

with orobanchol level in the results of CAP (Table S8), they did not show a significant correlation

in nbGLM analysis. We hypothesized that this may be due to the fact that *BCP* responds to SLs at the genus level but not necessarily at the individual ASV level. Therefore, we created a sum count for each genus - except for the *Archaeoporales* as most of them were annotated at the order level - and performed nbGLM analysis again. This showed that *BCP* did display a significant positive correlation with orobanchol, as well as *Granulicella* and the *Archaeoporales*, while *Mortierella*, *Solicoccozyma*, *Saitozyma*, and *Discosia* displayed a negative correlation with orobanchol and MeO5DS in the rhizosphere (Fig. 5C and Table S11). In the roots, the order *Archaeoporales* and the genus *Acaulospora*, which both belong to the *Glomeromycota*, positively correlated with orobanchol (Fig. 5D). 4DO displayed a relationship with different microbes than orobanchol, for example, a negative correlation with *Clonostachys* in the rhizosphere and a positive correlation with *Dyella* and *Umbelopsis* in the root compartment (Table S11).

Combining the results of CAP and nbGLM analysis suggests that a number of microbial taxa, in both the bacterial and fungal community, are significantly associated with the level of specific SLs. Microbiome components that are consistently positively associated with SLs are *BCP*, *Granulicella*, and *Archaeoporales* for orobanchol and *Umbelopsis* for 4DO. Consistent negative associations of orobanchol were detected with *Mortierella*, *Solicoccozyma*, *Saitozyma*, and *Discosia* and those of 4DO with *Clonostachys*.

Orobanchol-associated bacterial functions

As SLs are released under nutrient deficiency as a cry for help, we decided to analyze the putative functions of the bacteria attracted by SLs. Hereto, we predicted bacterial functions based on their 16S rRNA gene sequence using PiCRUSt2 (Douglas *et al.* 2020) and obtained the relative

abundance of pathways/enzymes as an output. Then, we chose orobanchol – as the strongest predictor of the presence of AMF and a number of other ASVs/bacteria - to perform nbGLM on its level and the relative abundance of pathways/enzymes.

In the rhizosphere, we found 23 pathways that positively associated with the level of orobanchol, 12 of which are involved in aromatic compound degradation: eight catechol, two toluene, one cinnamate, and one 4-hydroxyphenylacetate degradation pathways (Table S12). Similarly, five out of the eight high-orobanchol enriched pathways in the root compartment were two catechol, one toluene, one aminophenol, and one nicotinate degradation pathway (Table S12).

For a more targeted approach we focused on enzymes that have been reported in the literature to play a role in plant-microbe interaction and are potentially beneficial for plants in a poor soil environment (Table S13). In the rhizosphere, several enzymes involved in solubilizing phosphate (Pi)/cycling P displayed a positive correlation with the level of orobanchol that are, involved in the production of gluconic acid, pyrrologuinoline-quinone (PQQ) synthase (EC 1.3.3.11), PQQdependent glucose 1-dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.5.2), and cellobionic acid phosphorylase (EC 2.4.1.321). However, enzymes producing other organic acids such as oxalic acid, citric acid, and tartaric acid did not display a correlation with orobanchol, but fumarate hydratase (EC 4.2.1.2) and malate dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.37), involved in the production of malic acid, displayed a negative correlation with orobanchol. Furthermore, a number of phosphatases that are involved in the solubilization of inorganic Pi such as inorganic diphosphatase (EC 3.6.1.1) and exopolyphosphatase (EC 3.6.1.11) also showed a negative correlation with orobanchol, while phytase (EC 3.1.3.8 and EC 3.1.3.26) that mineralizes organic P did not display a correlation with orobanchol. Also, enzymes in N cycling and production of volatiles and siderophores did not display a correlation with orobanchol. 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase (ACC

deaminase, EC 3.5.99.7) - that can degrade the ethylene precursor ACC - and several enzymes involved in quorum sensing/biofilm/secretion system - acyl-homoserine-lactone (AHL) synthase (EC 2.3.1.184), extracellular serine protease (EC 3.4.21), mannose-6-phosphate isomerase (EC 5.3.1.8), UDP-forming cellulose synthase (EC 2.4.1.12), phosphogluconate dehydratase (EC 4.3.2.2), and peptidoglycan muramidase (EC 3.2.1.17) - displayed a positive correlation with orobanchol in the rhizosphere. In the same category in the root compartment, only cyclicguanylate-specific phosphodiesterase (EC 3.1.4.52) displayed a positive association with orobanchol, but several enzymes were negatively correlated; diaminohydroxyphosphoribosylaminopyrimidine deaminase (EC 3.5.4.26), 5-amino-6-(5phosphoribosylamino) uracil reductase (EC 1.1.1.193), serine/threonine-protein kinase (EC 2.7.11.1), glycogen phosphorylase (EC 2.4.1.1), and chemotaxis protein methyltransferase (EC 2.1.1.80).

Discussion

So far, more than 35 different SLs have been reported in nature. This structural diversity most likely is the result of selective pressure on specificity in signaling, possibly in multiple relationships with micro-organisms including as yet undiscovered ones. Here, we provide new information about candidate micro-organisms for such relations, as their occurrence in the rhizosphere and/or roots displayed a relationship with the level of SLs produced by the rice host. Moreover, we show that structural differences affect this relationship, with orobanchol displaying the strongest correlations with the relative abundance of soil microbes. Indeed, there was a significant relationship between the level of orobanchol and the diversity and composition of the

microbial community in both the rhizosphere and root compartments (Fig. S4 and Fig 4). Furthermore, orobanchol associated with a larger number of candidates than the other SLs and displayed a significant correlation with AMF (Fig. 5, Table S10 and Table S11). These results suggest that orobanchol may have a stronger impact on the microbial community composition than the other two SLs, even though its level in the roots was about 10-fold lower than that of the other two.

Strigolactones affect the root associated microbiome

In this study, we show that the levels of SL have an effect on the diversity and composition of the rice root associated bacterial and fungal communities. This effect will also depend on the initial microbial inoculum (in the soil), the host species/genotype, and the stresses the host is exposed to. So far, three studies have reported that changing the SL pathway alters the microbiome composition in the rhizosphere. When the A. thaliana SL mutant, max4, was grown on a low P sand-peatmoss mixture its fungal community composition differed from WT, but not the bacterial community (Carvalhais et al. 2019). In rice however, there were clear differences in both fungal and bacterial communities when comparing WT to d17 and d14 mutants in biosynthesis and perception, respectively (Nasir *et al.* 2019), despite the fact that plants were grown on a natural soil with sufficient P, which is known to suppress SL exudation. Finally, overexpression of MAX1, D14 and MAX2 in soybean greatly affected the composition of the bacterial community but not the fungal community including AMF Glomeraceae (Liu et al. 2020). In the latter study, plants were grown on natural soil, but physiochemical properties of the soil were not provided. None of these three studies measured SL production and therefore it is unclear whether SLs were exuded and differed between the genotypes investigated. While we showed that the 'genotype' factor explained 34 to 48% of the total variance in the microbial composition, in accordance with these

three previous studies (16 to 40% of the total variance), measuring SL levels allowed us to show that the amount of orobanchol explained 4.3 to 6.9% the total variance in the microbial composition (Fig. 4). This may be an underestimation due to inaccuracies in the analysis of SL levels but does suggest that SLs is not the only host trait affecting the microbiome. Studying the microbiome using mutants not completely devoid of SLs but with different SL composition (that are not available yet) is the next step that needs to be made to further demonstrate the importance of individual SLs as a rhizosphere signaling molecule.

Structural specificity of SLs in the relationship with the root-associated microbiome

In a study on the specificity in the perception of SLs by AMF, Akiyama *et al.*, (2010) showed that orobanchol was one of the most active inducers of hyphal branching in *Gigaspora margarita*. They assessed the hyphal branching inducing activity of 44 SLs and showed that the minimum effective concentration of orobanchol for hyphal branching activity is one of the lowest and about 30-fold lower than for 4DO. This fits with the results of the present study in which we could barely detect a correlation between 4DO (and MeO5DS) and AMF colonization, while we did find a significant correlation with orobanchol, for both the rhizosphere and the root compartment (Fig. 5C-D).

Although SLs were undetectable in the agricultural soil grown roots, native AMF did colonize rice roots regardless of the soil type (Fig. 4). This also suggests that plants grown on the agricultural soil might produce SLs but in a concentration under the detection limit of our LC-MS/MS (1.25 fmol for orobanchol and 0.125 fmol for 4DO; Floková *et al.*, 2020). Nevertheless, plant biomass correlated positively with the relative amount of AMF only in the forest soil (Fig. 4C-E). Indeed, several studies showed that the positive effect of AMF depends on the host species/genotype, the AMF species, and environmental factors, such as nutrient availability (Nagy *et al.* 2009, Hoeksema

et al. 2010, Pozo *et al.* 2015, Campos *et al.* 2018). A lack of nutrients in soil (especially P) is the most important factor explaining a positive effect of AMF on biomass (Hoeksema *et al.* 2010), suggesting that plants reject help from AMF when they have enough nutrients. This likely explains that in the present study rice plants grown on forest soil benefited more from AMF than those on the agricultural soil. To further underpin the effects on AM fungi it would be good to use primers more suitable for these fungi (Andreo-Jimenez *et al.* 2019) as the ITS primers used in our study potentially underestimate the AMF and hence their relationship with SLs.

An intriguing question is why rice is also exuding 4DO and MeO5DS, if orobanchol is such an efficient rhizosphere signaling molecule? Interestingly, we found quite different correlations, with 4DO correlating with the genera *Clonostachys*, *Dyella*, and *Umbelopsis*, and orobanchol correlating with *Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia* (*BCP*), *Granuciella*, and *Archaeoporales* (Fig. 5 and Table S11). Possibly the production of both 4DO and orobanchol evolved to communicate with different microbes. For MeO5DS this is less clear as almost all genus/ASV candidates that correlate with MeO5DS overlapped with those of orobanchol and 4DO. More rigorous tests may be needed to uncover the role of MeO5DS such as with mutants not making this SL anymore. As yet that is not feasible as the biosynthesis and structure of MeO5DS have not yet been elucidated.

Do strigolactones recruit specific microbial functions?

Rather than recruiting specific microbial species, SLs could also be recruiting specific microbial functions, which could be present in different microbial species. Indeed, in the rhizosphere compartment, we found a positive correlation between orobanchol and several predicted enzymes (*i.e.* AHL synthase, cellulose synthase, and ACC deaminase) known to function in plant-microbe

interaction and potentially beneficial for plants (Table S13). AHL synthase and cellulose synthase are key enzymes in bacterial quorum sensing, which regulates population density, biofilm formation and mobility and are required for colonization of the plant roots (Zúñiga *et al.* 2013). Bacterial ACC deaminase reduces plant stress by lowering the level of ethylene in plants (Bulgarelli *et al.* 2013) and could help rice plants growing on the poor soil in our study. As SLs are actively released from the roots under P deficiency, we anticipated we may find a relationship with enzymes responsible for Pi solubilization. Indeed, orobanchol positively correlated with gluconic acid producing enzymes, while it displayed a negative or no correlation with phosphatase, phytase, and other organic acid producing enzymes. This could mean that orobanchol specifically recruits a set of Pi solubilizing bacteria that produce gluconic acid, rather than recruiting Pi solubilizing bacteria in a general manner. However, since these putative genes were inferred based on bacterial taxa through bioinformatic analysis, further validation will be needed to confirm the association between orobanchol and these genes.

Orobanchol displays correlations with plant growth promoting bacteria

Two bacterial genera, *BCP* and *Granuciella* belonging to *Acidobacteria* subdivision 1 showed a positive correlation with orobanchol (Fig. 5C and Table S11). Plant-associated *Burkholderia* (Suárez-Moreno *et al.* 2012; Kuramae *et al.* 2020) and *Acidobacteria* subdivision 1 are mostly plant growth promoting bacteria (Suárez-Moreno *et al.* 2012; Kielak, Cipriano and Kuramae 2016). *Burkholderia* (recently classified as *Paraburkholderia*) is often detected in poor soils, residing in the rhizosphere rather than in the roots, and has been suggested to play an important role as nutrient solubilizer and biofilm producer (Da Costa *et al.* 2014; Kuramae *et al.* 2020). In our study, the positive relationship between orobanchol and *BCP* was also found only in the rhizosphere.

Whether orobanchol is really the signaling molecule that is recruiting BCP and Granuciella under nutrient poor environmental conditions cannot be unequivocally concluded from the present study and there are several possible explanations for the statistical correlations that we find. A first explanation could be that orobanchol is indeed a recruitment signal for these bacteria. A recent study showed that the Burkholderiaceae and unclassified Acidobacteria GP1 (subdivision 1) were more abundant in sorghum cultivar SRN-39, which exudes 300 to 1100-fold more orobanchol than six other sorghum genotypes (Schlemper et al. 2017). Further study will be needed to confirm this, for example by testing the effect of SLs on growth or chemotaxis of candidate isolates and comparing colonization of the roots of wild-type (WT) and SL biosynthesis mutants, preferably also mutants with differences in SL composition (Wang and Bouwmeester 2018). A second explanation could be that these microbes were recruited by other metabolites that are closely linked to SLs. Transcriptome studies revealed a correlation between the SL pathway and phenolic compound biosynthesis, such as phenylalanine metabolism and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (Nasir et al. 2019, Wang et al. 2021). Indeed, we found a positive correlation between orobanchol and predicted microbial enzyme functions in aromatic compound degradation, especially catecholrelated degradation pathways (Table S12). Catechol is known to decrease microbial respiration due to its toxicity (Zwetsloot, Kessler and Bauerle 2018), suggesting that microbes positively correlated with orobanchol are exploiting a niche in the rhizosphere through their capacity to detoxify phenolics. To further unravel the link between SLs and other metabolites in the root exudate, such as the phenolics, under Pi starvation and how they affect the microbial community, further study of the root exudate is required, using metabolomics. A third explanation could be that colonization by AMF, for which we have strong indications it is driven by orobanchol, also causes an increase in other micro-organisms, such as BCP, in the rhizosphere. In fact, hyphae of AMF also release compounds into the soil, just as the plant roots do, and recruit their own distinct bacterial community near the hyphae that has been suggested to benefit the plant host as well (Artursson, Finlay and Jansson 2006; Toljander *et al.* 2007). *Burkholderia* is one of the common AMF-associated bacteria found in the hyphoshere and on the surface of spores (Levy *et al.* 2009; Scheublin *et al.* 2010; Agnolucci *et al.* 2015).

Conclusion

In summary, using data integration on the level of SLs produced by 16 rice genotypes and their root associated microbial communities, we provide evidence that SLs influence the diversity and composition of the root and rhizosphere microbial communities. We could pinpoint associations between the level of SLs and specific microbial taxa and putative bacterial functions. Furthermore, we observed that structurally different SLs have different effects, with orobanchol displaying the strongest correlations with the relative abundance of soil microbes, including AM fungi. This insight in the structural specificity of SLs in the relationship with root-associated microbiome gives a new perspective on the ecological role of SLs as rhizosphere signals, but also new evolutionary perspective on SL diversity in nature. Further research should underpin the mode of action of SLs, both on the side of the transmitter, the host, as well as the receiver, the micro-organisms. Testing isolates of microbes, positively correlating with SLs in the present study, in bioassays with SLs would be one approach to try to confirm our observations. Another approach to disentangle the chemical/microbial network linked to SLs in the rhizosphere, is to create subtler mutants with altered SL composition (instead of full knock-outs). However, this requires identification of the genes involved in SL diversification. A better understanding of the SL-microbiome network will

provide novel tools for the breeding of crop genotypes producing a tailored cocktail of SLs optimal for the recruitment of a beneficial, stress-protective, microbiome.

Funding

This work was supported by the European Research Council through ERC Advanced grant CHEMCOMRHIZO [grant number 670211] to [author HJB].

Acknowledgements

We thank R.de Ruiter at Staatsbosbeheer for providing the forest soil, plant physiology group at Wageningen University and Research for providing rice SL mutants seeds, J. van den Hoek for a help with sample preparation, and A. Chojnacka for a preliminary SL measurement.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Abedini D, Jaupitre S, Bouwmeester H *et al.* Metabolic interactions in beneficial microbe recruitment by plants. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2021;**70**:241–7.
- Agnolucci M, Battini F, Cristani C *et al.* Diverse bacterial communities are recruited on spores of different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal isolates. *Biol Fertil Soils* 2015;**51**:379–89.

- Akiyama K, Matsuzaki KI, Hayashi H. Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature* 2005;**435**:824–7.
- Akiyama K, Ogasawara S, Ito S *et al.* Structural requirements of strigolactones for hyphal branching in AM fungi. *Plant Cell Physiol* 2010;**51**:1104–17.
- Alder A, Jamil M, Marzorati M *et al.* The Path from β-Carotene to Carlactone, a Strigolactonelike Plant Hormone. *Science (80-)* 2012;**335**:1348–52.
- Andreo-Jimenez B, Vandenkoornhuyse P, Lê Van A *et al.* Plant host and drought shape the root associated fungal microbiota in rice. *PeerJ* 2019;**2019**:1–23.
- Artursson V, Finlay RD, Jansson JK. Interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and bacteria and their potential for stimulating plant growth. *Environ Microbiol* 2006;**8**:1–10.
- Begum N, Qin C, Ahanger MA *et al.* Role of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Plant Growth Regulation: Implications in Abiotic Stress Tolerance. *Front Plant Sci* 2019;**10**:1–15.
- Bouwmeester H, Li C, Thiombiano B *et al.* Adaptation of the parasitic plant lifecycle: germination is controlled by essential host signaling molecules. *Plant Physiol* 2021;**185**:1292–308.
- Bruto M, Prigent-Combaret C, Muller D *et al.* Analysis of genes contributing to plant-beneficial functions in plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and related Proteobacteria. *Sci Rep* 2014;**4**:1–10.
- Bulgarelli D, Schlaeppi K, Spaepen S *et al.* Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2013;**64**:807–38.

Campos P, Borie F, Cornejo P et al. Phosphorus acquisition efficiency related to root traits: Is

mycorrhizal symbiosis a key factor to wheat and barley cropping? *Front Plant Sci* 2018;9:1–21.

- Carvalhais LC, Rincon-Florez VA, Brewer PB *et al.* The ability of plants to produce strigolactones affects rhizosphere community composition of fungi but not bacteria. *Rhizosphere* 2019;**9**:18–26.
- Da Costa PB, Granada CE, Ambrosini A *et al.* A model to explain plant growth promotion traits: A multivariate analysis of 2,211 bacterial isolates. *PLoS One* 2014;**9**:1–25.
- van Dam NM, Bouwmeester HJ. Metabolomics in the Rhizosphere: Tapping into Belowground Chemical Communication. *Trends Plant Sci* 2016;**21**:256–65.
- Douglas GM, Maffei VJ, Zaneveld JR *et al.* PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nat Biotechnol* 2020;**38**:685–8.
- Floková K, Shimels M, Andreo Jimenez B *et al.* An improved strategy to analyse strigolactones in complex sample matrices using UHPLC-MS/MS. *Plant Methods* 2020;**16**:1–17.
- Haider I, Andreo-Jimenez B, Bruno M *et al.* The interaction of strigolactones with abscisic acid during the drought response in rice. *J Exp Bot* 2018;**69**:2403–14.
- Hoeksema JD, Chaudhary VB, Gehring CA *et al.* A meta-analysis of context-dependency in plant response to inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecol Lett* 2010;**13**:394–407.
- Jamil M, Charnikhova T, Houshyani B *et al.* Genetic variation in strigolactone production and tillering in rice and its effect on Striga hermonthica infection. *Planta* 2012;**235**:473–84.
- Kielak AM, Cipriano MAP, Kuramae EE. Acidobacteria strains from subdivision 1 act as plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Arch Microbiol* 2016;**198**:987–93.

- Kuramae EE, Derksen S, Schlemper TR *et al.* Sorghum growth promotion by paraburkholderia tropica and herbaspirillum frisingense: Putative mechanisms revealed by genomics and metagenomics. *Microorganisms* 2020;**8**:1–20.
- Levy A, Merritt AJ, Mayo MJ *et al.* Association between Burkholderia species and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus spores in soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2009;**41**:1757–9.
- Liu F, Rice JH, Lopes V et al. Overexpression of strigolactone-associated genes exerts finetuning selection on soybean rhizosphere bacterial and fungal microbiome. *Phytobiomes J* 2020;4:239–51.
- López-Ráez JA, Charnikhova T, Gómez-Roldán V *et al.* Tomato strigolactones are derived from carotenoids and their biosynthesis is promoted by phosphate starvation. *New Phytol* 2008;**178**:863–74.
- Lundberg DS, Lebeis SL, Paredes SH *et al.* Defining the core Arabidopsis thaliana root microbiome. *Nature* 2012;**488**:86–90.
- Nagy R, Drissner D, Amrhein N *et al.* Mycorrhizal phosphate uptake pathway in tomato is phosphorus-repressible and transcriptionally regulated. *New Phytol* 2009;**184**:950–9.
- Nasir F, Shi S, Tian L *et al.* Strigolactones shape the rhizomicrobiome in rice (Oryza sativa). *Plant Sci* 2019;**286**:118–33.
- Planchamp C, Glauser G, Mauch-Mani B. Root inoculation with Pseudomonas putida KT2440 induces transcriptional and metabolic changes and systemic resistance in maize plants. *Front Plant Sci* 2015;**5**:1–10.

Pozo MJ, López-Ráez JA, Azcón-Aguilar C et al. Phytohormones as integrators of

environmental signals in the regulation of mycorrhizal symbioses. *New Phytol* 2015;**205**:1431–6.

- Rizaludin MS, Stopnisek N, Raaijmakers JM *et al.* The Chemistry of Stress: Understanding the 'Cry for Help' of Plant Roots. *Metab* 2021;**11**, DOI: 10.3390/metabo11060357.
- Sasse J, Martinoia E, Northen T. Feed Your Friends: Do Plant Exudates Shape the Root Microbiome? *Trends Plant Sci* 2018;**23**:25–41.
- Scheublin TR, Sanders IR, Keel C *et al.* Characterisation of microbial communities colonising the hyphal surfaces of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *ISME J* 2010;**4**:752–63.
- Schlemper TR, Leite MFA, Lucheta AR *et al.* Rhizobacterial community structure differences among sorghum cultivars in different growth stages and soils. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2017;93, DOI: 10.1093/femsec/fix096.
- Suárez-Moreno ZR, Caballero-Mellado J, Coutinho BG *et al.* Common Features of Environmental and Potentially Beneficial Plant-Associated Burkholderia. *Microb Ecol* 2012;**63**:249–66.
- Toljander JF, Lindahl BD, Paul LR *et al.* Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal mycelial exudates on soil bacterial growth and community structure. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2007;**61**:295–304.
- Trivedi P, Leach JE, Tringe SG *et al.* Plant–microbiome interactions: from community assembly to plant health. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2020;**18**:607–21.
- Wakabayashi T, Hamana M, Mori A *et al.* Direct conversion of carlactonoic acid to orobanchol by cytochrome P450 CYP722C in strigolactone biosynthesis. *Sci Adv* 2019;**5**:1–10.
- Wang Y, Bouwmeester HJ. Structural diversity in the strigolactones. J Exp Bot 2018;69:2219-

- Wang Y, Duran HGS, van Haarst JC *et al.* The role of strigolactones in P deficiency induced transcriptional changes in tomato roots. *BMC Plant Biol* 2021;**21**:1–21.
- Waters MT, Gutjahr C, Bennett T *et al.* Strigolactone Signaling and Evolution. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2017;68:291–322.
- Yoneyama K, Xie X, Kim H Il *et al.* How do nitrogen and phosphorus deficiencies affect strigolactone production and exudation? *Planta* 2012;**235**:1197–207.
- Yoneyama K, Xie X, Kusumoto D *et al.* Nitrogen deficiency as well as phosphorus deficiency in sorghum promotes the production and exudation of 5-deoxystrigol, the host recognition signal for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and root parasites. *Planta* 2007;**227**:125–32.
- Zúñiga A, Poupin MJ, Donoso R *et al.* Quorum sensing and indole-3-acetic acid degradation play a role in colonization and plant growth promotion of arabidopsis thaliana by burkholderia phytofirmans PsJN. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 2013;**26**:546–53.
- Zwetsloot MJ, Kessler A, Bauerle TL. Phenolic root exudate and tissue compounds vary widely among temperate forest tree species and have contrasting effects on soil microbial respiration. *New Phytol* 2018;**218**:530–41.

Parameter	Agricultural soil	Forest soil	
	(from Schlemper et al., 2017)		
Clay %	1	1	
Silt %	5	8.3	
Sand %	90	87.6	
pН	5.4	3.7	
Organic matter %	3.7	3	
C inorganic %	0.06	0.076	
C:N ratio	22	21	
N total (mg/Kg)	970	816	
S total (mg/Kg)	190	160	
P (mg/Kg) ^a	4.6	0.3	
K (mg/Kg) ^a	209	11	
Mg (mg/Kg) ^a	108	11	
Na (mg/Kg) ^a	26	5.3	
CEC ^b mmol+/Kg	60	16.3	

Table S1. Chemical properties of soils used in this present study.

^a Nutrient form available for the plant

^bCEC = cation exchange capacity

Name genotype	IRGC accession ^a	Anticipated SL production under -Pi
IAC 165	55861	High ^b
IAC 1246	39053	High ^b
Kinko	2466	High ^b
Gangweondo	46966	High ^b
Dullo	33934	Intermediate ^b
Binagimbing	47442	Intermediate ^b
Sonkanoir	31199	Intermediate ^b
TN1	17040	Low ^b
Bhasmanik	624	Low ^b
Shuang-Chiang	8242	Low ^b
Shiokari	Strigolactone mutant line background	Not known
d10	Carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 8 mutant	None
d17	Carotenoid cleavage dioxygenase 7 mutant	None
<i>d3</i>	Mutant of F-box protein, MAX2	Higher than wild type
d14	Mutant of α/β hydrolase fold, D14	Higher than wild type
d27	Mutant of β -carotene isomerase, DWARF27	Higher than wild type

Table S2. Rice genotypes used in the present study.

^a IRGC acc. = IRGC accession ID of International Rice Genebank, IRRI.

^b Based on Jamil et al, 2012

-Pi: Phosphate starvation

				MRM channel	
	Compounds	Retention time	Transition1	Transition2	Transtion3
Internal standard	GR24	4.96	299>97 *	299>184	
	$[^{2}H_{6}]$ -5DS ^a	7.96	331>97 *	331>216	331>147
Rice strigolactones	4DO ^b	7.94	331>97 *	331>216	331>147
	Orobanchol	4.55	347>97 *	347>135	347>205
	MeO5DS ^c	6.76	361>97	361>208 *	361>177

Table S3. Transitions and retention times used for strigolactone identification in MRM-LC-MS/MS analysis.

*The transition used for quantification based on Floková et al., 2020

^a 5-deoxystrigol

^b4-deoxyorobanchol

^c Methoxy-5-deoxystrigol isomer 1

Table S4. Mean and standard deviation of alpha diversity measurement in each soil.

	Mean ± Stand	A directed in value*	
	Agricultural (n=99)	Forest (n=99)	Adjusted <i>p</i> value
168			
Richness	126.31 ± 73.44	73.16 ± 37.53	3.84E-27
Shannon	4.4 ± 0.42	3.6 ± 0.56	1.84E-20
Chao1	127.29 ± 74.55	73.16 ± 37.54	1.93E-11
Evenness	0.93 ± 0.01	0.87 ± 0.03	1.1E-32
ITS			
Richness	130.84 ± 72.4	161.96 ± 80.31	8.15E-30
Shannon	3.19 ± 0.58	3.38 ± 0.47	0.03
Chao1	130.84 ± 72.4	161.96 ± 80.31	3.9E-05
Evenness	$0.67{\pm}~0.08$	0.67 ± 0.06	0.83

**p* value was obtained from Kruskal-Wallis test on Shannon, Chao1, and evenness between soil type. Multiple testing was corrected with Benjamini-Hochberg method (Adjusted *p* value).

	Mean ± Standard deviation					
	Ag_BK (<i>n</i> =3)	Ag_RS (<i>n</i> =48)	Ag_RT (<i>n</i> =48)	Fo_BK (n=3)	Fo_RS (<i>n</i> =48)	Fo_RT (<i>n</i> =48)
168						
Richness	$150\pm20.66\text{, ab}$	$160.92 \pm 89.12, a$	$90.23\pm26.42,c$	107.67 ± 10.41 , abc	$103.6\pm24.88\text{, bc}$	$40.56 \pm 14.35, d$
Shannon	$4.64\pm0.07\text{, ab}$	$4.66\pm0.37,a$	$4.13\pm0.28,bc$	$4.16\pm0.09,abc$	$4.08\pm0.18,c$	$3.09\pm0.32,d$
Chao1	$150\pm20.66\text{, ab}$	161.94 ± 90.76 , a	$91.22 \pm 27.28, c$	107.67 ± 10.41 , abc	103.61 ± 24.91 , bc	$40.56 \pm 14.35,d$
Evenness	$0.93\pm0.0001,abc$	$0.94\pm0.01,a$	$0.93\pm0.01,b$	$0.89\pm0.001,bcd$	$0.88\pm0.01,c$	$0.85\pm0.03,d$
ITS						
Richness	$180\pm36.5\text{, ab}$	$174.73 \pm 78.89, b$	$83.88 \pm 16.56, d$	255.33 ± 84.31 , ab	208.67 ± 84.06 , a	109.42±21.77, c
Shannon	$3.72\pm0.08,a$	$3.68\pm0.26,a$	$2.67\pm0.3,c$	4 ± 0.13 , a	$3.71\pm0.24,a$	3 ± 0.35 , b
Chao1	$180\pm36.5,ab$	$174.73 \pm 78.89, b$	$83.88 \pm 16.56, d$	$255.33 \pm 84.31, ab$	$208.67 \pm 84.06, a$	$109.42\pm21.77\text{,c}$
Evenness	$0.72\pm0.02,a$	$0.72\pm0.04,a$	$0.61\pm0.61,b$	$0.73\pm0.03,a$	$0.7\pm0.03,a$	$0.64\pm0.06,b$

Table S5. Mean and standard deviation of alpha diversity measurement in each soil and compartment.

Ag, agricultural soil; Fo, forest soil; BK, bulk soil; RS, rhizosphere; RT, roots.

Letters indicate significant differences between treatments as established using Dunn's test (p < 0.05). p value was corrected by Benjamini-Hochberg for multiple testing.

	~ Soil*Compartment*Genotype					
	$\mathrm{D}\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{a}}$	SumsOfSqs ^b	MeansSqs ^c	F.Model	\mathbb{R}^2	Pr (>F)
168						
Soil	1	29.54215	29.54215	260.2893	0.431314	0.0001
Compartment	2	7.686742	3.843371	33.86309	0.112226	0.0001
Genotype	15	2.753435	0.183562	1.617327	0.0402	0.0032
Soil:Compartment	2	7.660194	3.830097	33.74614	0.111839	0.0001
Soil:Genotype	15	2.726229	0.181749	1.601347	0.039803	0.0029
Compartment:Genotype	15	1.635535	0.109036	0.960689	0.023879	0.5508
Soil:Compartment:Genotype	15	1.620858	0.108057	0.952068	0.023664	0.5684
Residuals	131	14.86815	0.113497		0.217075	
Total	196	68.49329			1	
ITS						
Soil	1	25.53815	25.53815	403.6976	0.454926	0.0001
Compartment	2	8.536268	4.268134	67.46908	0.152061	0.0001
Genotype	15	2.2822	0.152147	2.405078	0.040654	0.0001
Soil:Compartment	2	7.436522	3.718261	58.77689	0.132471	0.0001
Soil:Genotype	15	2.222726	0.148182	2.342402	0.039595	0.0001
Compartment:Genotype	15	0.877748	0.058517	0.925007	0.015636	0.6207
Soil:Compartment:Genotype	15	0.892958	0.059531	0.941037	0.015907	0.5935
Residuals	132	8.350398	0.063261		0.14875	
Total	197	56.13697			1	

Table S6. PERMANOVA results based on Bray-Curtis distance of the bacterial and the fungal communities.

^a Degrees of freedom ^b Sums of squares ^c Mean square

			~ Genotype					
	Soil/compartment		$\mathrm{D}\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{a}}$	SumsOfSqs ^b	MeansSqs ^c	F.Model	\mathbb{R}^2	Pr (>F)
16S	Ag/RS	Genotype	15	3.203019	0.213535	1.201493	0.360286	0.0005
		Residuals	32	5.687182	0.177724		0.639714	
		Total	47	8.8902			1	
	Ag/RT	Genotype	15	3.31278	0.220852	1.399975	0.396222	0.0001
		Residuals	32	5.048134	0.157754		0.603778	
		Total	47	8.360914			1	
	Fo/RS	Genotype	15	1.101946	0.073463	1.152491	0.350746	0.0139
		Residuals	32	2.039772	0.063743		0.649254	
		Total	47	3.141718			1	
	Fo/RT	Genotype	15	1.113289	0.074219	1.36922	0.398506	0.0012
		Residuals	31	1.680371	0.054206		0.601494	
		Total	46	2.79366			1	
ITS	Ag/RS	Genotype	15	2.105516	0.140368	1.749226	0.450534	0.0001
		Residuals	32	2.567859	0.080246		0.549466	
		Total	47	4.673375			1	
	Ag/RT	Genotype	15	1.924928	0.128329	1.979962	0.481357	0.0001
		Residuals	32	2.074036	0.064814		0.518643	
		Total	47	3.998965			1	
	Fo/RS	Genotype	15	0.931806	0.06212	1.133972	0.347067	0.1391
		Residuals	32	1.752999	0.054781		0.652933	
		Total	47	2.684805			1	
	Fo/RT	Genotype	15	1.313382	0.087559	1.571763	0.424217	0.0001
		Residuals	32	1.782636	0.055707		0.575783	
		Total	47	3.096017			1	

Table S7. PERMANOVA results based on Bray-Curtis distance of the bacterial and the fungal communities in each soil and compartment.

^a Degrees of freedom ^b Sums of squares

^c Mean square

Ag, agricultural soil; Fo, forest soil; RS, rhizosphere; RT, roots.

Data set	ASV	Score ^a	Ab.score ^b	Taxonomy ^c
Rhizosphere	bASV25	-0.284744529	0.28474453	Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia
	bASV11	0.231971947	0.23197195	Pedosphaeraceae
	bASV3	-0.222582335	0.22258234	Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia
	bASV15	-0.177609601	0.1776096	Pedosphaeraceae
	bASV9	0.154304637	0.15430464	Chitinophagaceae
	bASV46	-0.145551154	0.14555115	Granulicella
	bASV47	-0.133192761	0.13319276	Dyella
	bASV31	-0.112505447	0.11250545	Granulicella
	bASV10	-0.098507283	0.09850728	Dyella
	bASV18	0.086112866	0.08611287	Saccharimonadales
Roots	bASV3	0.281401175	0.28140118	Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia
	bASV76	-0.263112924	0.26311292	Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia
	bASV24	-0.226873691	0.22687369	Rhodanobacter
	bASV85	-0.181990647	0.18199065	Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia
	bASV25	-0.163833149	0.16383315	Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia
	bASV5	0.158431696	0.1584317	Chujaibacter
	bASV30	-0.116138475	0.11613848	Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia
	bASV20	0.106135319	0.10613532	Granulicella
	bASV6	0.103563119	0.10356312	Rhodanobacter
	bASV78	0.100517357	0.10051736	Rhodanobacter

Table S8. Bacterial ASVs ordered by absolute species score on the first axis of a principal coordinate analysis constrained by the level of orobanchol in the rhizosphere and the roots of rice grown on forest soil.

^a Species score from first axis of constrained principal coordinate analysis by level of orobanchol

^b Absolute value of species score

^c Lowest identified taxonomic rank

Data set	ASV	Score ^a	Ab.score ^b	Taxonomy ^c
Rhizosphere	fASV2	-0.42176	0.421764	Basidiomycota
	fASV1	-0.35366	0.353663	Fusarium acutatum
	fASV9	-0.34346	0.343455	Ascomycota
	fASV5	0.290032	0.290032	Saitozyma podzolica
	fASV8	0.198396	0.198396	Mortierella gemmifera
	fASV14	0.107862	0.107862	Unknown
	fASV20	0.090767	0.090767	Mortierella Unknown
	fASV13	0.086367	0.086367	Solicoccozyma terricola
	fASV16	-0.08025	0.080254	Basidiomycota
Roots	fASV2	-0.51443	0.514431	Basidiomycota
	fASV14	0.260793	0.260793	Unknown
	fASV34	-0.17461	0.174612	Archaeosporales
	fASV31	0.162677	0.162677	Sordariomycetes
	fASV6	0.140036	0.140036	Clonostachys candelabrum
	fASV16	-0.08721	0.087212	Basidiomycota
	fASV8	0.083654	0.083654	Mortierella gemmifera
	fASV75	-0.07464	0.074638	Chrysozymaceae

Table S9. Fungal ASVs ordered by absolute species score on the first axis of a principal coordinate analysis constrained by the level of orobanchol in the rhizosphere and the roots of rice grown on forest soil.

^a Species score from first axis of constrained principal coordinate analysis by level of orobanchol ^b Absolute value of species score

^c Lowest identified taxonomic rank



Fig S1. Experimental design.



Fig S2. Measurement of total fresh biomass g per plant. Each dot represents a single sample. (A) Differences in total fresh biomass of rice plants grown on two soil types analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test. (B, C) Differences in total biomass of rice plants between rice genotypes grown on agricultural soil (B) and forest soil (C) indicated by letters (Dunn's test). Multiple testing was corrected with Benjamini-Hochberg method. Ag, agricultural soil; Fo, forest soil; GWD, Gangweondo; Bina, Binagimbing; Sonk, Sonkanoir; Bhas, Bhasmanik; SC, Shuang-Chiang.



Figure S3. Rarefaction curve of (A) bacterial (16S rRNA amplicon sequencing) and (B) fungal communities (ITS amplicon sequencing). Ag, agricultural soil; Fo, forest soil; BK, bulk soil; RS, rhizosphere; RT, root.



Figure S4. Correlation between orobanchol and alpha diversity indices of root-associated microbiome in rice plants grown on forest soil. (A) Evenness of bacterial community in the root compartment. (B) Shannon index of fungal community in the rhizosphere soil. Correlation studies were performed using linear regression modelling (red line) as indicated by the R^2 and p value with a validation by permutation test. Each dot represents a sample point.