

Key Role of Transconjugants for Dissemination of the Integrative Conjugative Element ICE Bs1 in Biofilms

Sophie Payot

▶ To cite this version:

Sophie Payot. Key Role of Transconjugants for Dissemination of the Integrative Conjugative Element ICE Bs1 in Biofilms. Journal of Bacteriology, 2022, 204 (10), 10.1128/jb.00327-22. hal-03991416

HAL Id: hal-03991416 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-03991416

Submitted on 15 Feb 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Key Role of Transconjugants for Dissemination of the Integrative Conjugative Element ICEBs1 in Biofilms

Sophie Payot^a

^aUniversité de Lorraine, INRAE, DynAMic, Nancy, France

ABSTRACT In this issue of the *Journal of Bacteriology*, J.-S. Bourassa, G. Jeannotte, S. Lebel-Beaucage, and P. B. Beauregard (J Bacteriol 204:e00181-22, 2022, https://doi.org/10 .1128/jb.00181-22) showed that ICE*Bs1* propagation in *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm relies almost exclusively on transconjugants. It appears restricted to clusters of bacteria in a close neighborhood of initial donor cells, which are heterogeneously distributed in the biofilm and expand vertically toward the air-liquid interface.

KEYWORDS integrative conjugative elements, biofilms, conjugation, single cell

obile genetic elements (MGEs) play a major role in bacterial genome evolution and largely contribute to the adaptation of bacteria to their changing environment. Integrative conjugative elements (ICEs), although less studied than plasmids, appear more frequently in many bacterial species (1). ICEs encode their own excision from the chromosome of the donor cell, transfer by conjugation through a type IV secretion system and integration in the chromosome of the recipient cell, giving rise to a new cell, called a transconjugant (or exconjugant), that hosts the ICE (2). Conjugation is a DNAtransferring process that requires tight cell-to-cell contact between donor and recipient cells. In natural environments, bacteria frequently grow in biofilm, i.e. as surface-associated communities embedded in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (3). These conditions of growth protect bacteria from a wide array of environmental and chemical stressors, including antibiotics (4). Biofilms offer close and stable contacts between neighboring bacterial cells and thus appear propitious for conjugation. ICEBs1 found in Bacillus subtilis has been thoroughly characterized (5). Like other ICEs, it remains silent as an integrated form in the majority of the cells of a bacterial population and is induced only in particular conditions. Conjugation of ICEBs1 has been reported to increase dramatically (up to 10,000-fold) in biofilm conditions (6). In this issue of the Journal of Bacteriology, Bourassa et al. (7) use a singlecell approach to study the spatiotemporal dynamic of ICEBs1 propagation in biofilm. They used the undomesticated wild strain B. subtilis NCIB3610 in order to ensure proper structuration of the bacterial communities in the biofilm (8). They showed that conjugation appears restricted to clusters of bacteria in a close neighborhood of initial donor cells. These clusters appear heterogeneously distributed in the biofilm, forming close to the air-biofilm interface and expanding vertically. They demonstrated that ICE propagation to neighboring cells relies almost exclusively on transconjugants (99% of the transfer events) rather than on multiple transfer events from a donor cell or vertical transmission by cell division. An efficient gene transfer has been reported in cell chains for ICEBs1 (9); however, this does not seem to be a major factor in ICE propagation in biofilms (as shown by construction of autolysin mutants).

TIME COURSE OF REGULATION OF ICE TRANSFER

Whatever the ICE considered, activation of the ICE appears in a very small percentage of the host population (Table 1). This requires a tight regulation circuit to control the expression of the excision and conjugation genes of the ICE. Each ICE displays its own regulation cascade,

Editor George O'Toole, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth

Copyright © 2022 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

Address correspondence to

sophie.payot-lacroix@inrae.fr.

The authors declare no conflict of interest. For the article discussed, see https://doi.org/10

.1128/JB.00181-22.

The views expressed in this article do not necessarily reflect the views of the journal or of ASM.

Published 15 September 2022

	Preferential			Frequency of transfer	
ICE	host	ICE regulons	Excision rate (%)	(per donor cell except with MMC)	Reference(s)
ICEBs1	Bacillus subtilis	Rapl-Phrl (quorum-sensing), CI-like ImmR repressor and protease ImmA	0.005 (uninduced), 0.2 in biofilms, >90 with Rapl overproduction	10^{-7} to 3 \times 10^{-5} if uninduced, 10^{-5} with MMC, ^{<i>a</i>} 10^{-2} (in biofilm or with Rapl overproduction)	5, 6
Tn <i>916</i>	Enterococcus faecalis	Attenuation, repressor Orf9, antisense RNA <i>orf9</i> , Orf7 and Orf8 activators		10^{-9} to 10^{-4} (×12 with tetracycline)	11, 21, 22
ICESt3	Streptococcus thermophilus	ImmR and ImmA homologs and other putative regulators	5 (uninduced), 90 with MMC	3×10^{-6} to 10^{-4} if uninduced, $\times 25$ with MMC	15, 23, 24
ICE <i>clc</i>	Pseudomonas knackmussii	MfsR (repressor), TciR, BisR, BIsDC, InrR (activators)	3 in stationary phase	10^{-3} to 10^{-2} (with <i>P. putida</i> as donor)	12
ICESXT	Vibrio cholerae	CI-like SetR, SetCD, CroS	1	2×10^{-7} to 2×10^{-2} , $\times 100$ with MMC	11

TABLE 1 Comparison of the activation rates and regulation actors of different ICEs

^aMMC, mitomycin C (DNA damage).

but the common feature is the complexity of the regulation with interlaced pathways and amplification/feedback loops (10, 11) (Table 1).

Two pathways of ICE regulation have been described for ICE*Bs1*, regulation through quorum sensing that relies on the RapI-PhrI components and CI-like repressor ImmR (5). Due to the kinetics of peptide diffusion in biofilm and the delay in protein production, the principal actors of the repression of ICE genes, PhrI and ImmR, are absent in cells that have just acquired an ICE. Regulation through quorum sensing appears quite original for ICEs, but ImmR homologs exist for many ICEs (10, 11). The absence of this regulator in the recipient cell upon ICE entry would have the same consequence for other ICEs. This window of "full activity" of the ICE explains transconjugants' proficiency in ICE transfer.

Work done on ICE*clc* regulation nicely illustrates how ICE regulation can be complex, with three regulatory nodes and at least five gene clusters constituting the full ICE regulon (11, 12). A "bistability" generator orchestrates the choice between the two routes of ICE life cycle (remaining silent or being activated). Expression of all gene clusters is restricted to the small cell subpopulation that will transfer ICE. This reduces the fitness impact of ICE transfer on the population.

ENVELOPE STRESS DURING CONJUGATION

Assembly of the large secretion apparatus of the ICE requires a local degradation of the bacterial cell wall. For this purpose, ICEs encode a dedicated enzyme (CwIT for ICEBs1) with two catalytic activities (muramidase and endopeptidase) (13). To be efficient without killing the cell, peptidoglycan digestion should be mild and synchronized with cell wall synthesis occurring during cell division. Experiments done with Bacillus anthracis as recipient cells of ICEBs1 showed that mature cells appear resistant to digestion by CwIT (13). This indicates that digestion should occur before modification of peptidoglycan. Mutants of Bacillus subtilis affected in cell wall composition (in particular in wall teichoic acids [WTAs]) showed a drastic defect in ICEBs1 transfer (14). The same observation was made for donor cells of Streptococcus thermophilus (15). Providing an osmoprotective mating surface enables the donor cells to bypass the need for WTAs in ICEBs1 conjugation (14). This suggests that the ICEBs1 conjugation machinery interferes with cell wall biosynthesis in a way that is incompatible with WTA depletion. Interestingly, Tn916 transfer is less affected by WTA depletion, suggesting some specificity of ICE-host interactions. WTA could also control CwIT activity (as described for autolysins), with WTA depletion leading to an excess of enzyme activity and cell death. WTA-depleted cells (of Bacillus subtilis and also S. thermophilus) exhibit severe cell shape defects and irregularities in cell wall thickness (15, 16). These cells are likely too fragile to endure assembly and/or activation of the ICE secretion apparatus. It seems likely that a cell cannot handle multiple transfer events, explaining the limited propagation of ICE and the appearance of isolated clusters. Membrane damage and increased levels of reactive oxygen species have been described for cells that activate another ICE, ICEclc, in Pseudomonas putida (17). Donors with activated ICEclc are characterized by reduced cell division, growth arrest, and lysis (18). Sacrifice of donor cells could be a general phenomenon explaining why activation is confined to a small proportion of cells, to keep fitness loss at the population level as low as possible.

MANIPULATION OF HOST PATHWAYS

Activation of ICEBs1 leads to an inhibition of biofilm-associated gene expression by Devl, likely through inhibition of SpoOA (19). Hence, ICEBs1 can manipulate host pathways (including the sporulation one). Cells activating ICEBs1 "cheat" by decreasing the costly expression of biofilm matrix genes compared to cells without ICEBs1. Activation of ICEBs1 thus confers a selective advantage to the cells. Since this use of the "common good" is restricted to the small fraction of the population that has activated ICEBs1, this does not have too much impact on the whole bacterial population. More biofilm matrix components could be synthesized at the air-liquid interface (as shown by Vlamakis and colleagues [20] at the air-biofilm interface with experiments done in agar medium), thus affording better cell-cell contacts for conjugation to occur. This likely explains the preferential localization and vertical expansion of clusters where conjugation events occur. In addition, the energy required for matrix production creates microenvironments in which nutrients are severely depleted. The selective advantage offered by ICEBs1 activation described above could help transconjugants to outcompete other cells in these areas of biofilm where nutrients are limited.

PERSPECTIVES

Bacterial populations are heterogeneous, and studying physiological processes at the population level gives an average view and masks the differences that can exist between individual components of the population. The development of single-cell studies enables to go further in the understanding of the specific behavior of some cells and the spatiotemporal progress of the processes. This is particularly relevant for studies done in biofilms since these environments are known to be heterogeneous. Despite not being crucial for ICE*Bs1* transfer in biofilm, intrachain spreading could be a general and important feature of conjugative DNA transfer, particularly in ovo/coccoid species that form long chains (*S. thermophilus* in particular), but offer fewer lateral surfaces of exchange than rod-shaped bacteria. Determination of the number and distribution at the cell surface of ICE secretion machineries would also constitute a major step in our understanding of ICE transfer.

Transconjugants appear to play a crucial role in ICEBs1 propagation in biofilm. This is likely the case in other growth conditions and for the other ICEs that involve repressors in their regulation circuit. This would ensure that, even with a small proportion of initial activated donor cells, ICE propagates efficiently in the bacterial population.

Despite being embedded in a host, MGEs form separate entities that have undergone selection in order to optimize their fitness in a particular host. The two routes of ICE lifestyle (remaining silent or being activated) can be detrimental to the host cell and inflict serious cell damage. This explains why ICE activation is so tightly controlled and restricted to a small proportion of the host population. Complex regulation cascades have been described for the few ICEs that have been studied intensively. Characterization of the regulation circuits of other ICEs would help in defining common features (or not) between elements. Manipulation of host pathways is likely a common function of many of the as-yet-uncharacterized cargo genes of ICEs. Further studies are needed to elucidate these ICE-host interactions and their specificity.

REFERENCES

- Guglielmini J, Quintais L, Garcillán-Barcia MP, de la Cruz F, Rocha EPC. 2011. The repertoire of ICE in prokaryotes underscores the unity, diversity, and ubiquity of conjugation. PLoS Genet 7:e1002222. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pgen.1002222.
- Bellanger X, Payot S, Leblond-Bourget N, Guédon G. 2014. Conjugative and mobilizable genomic islands in bacteria: evolution and diversity. FEMS Microbiol Rev 38:720–760. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12058.
- Stoodley P, Sauer K, Davies DG, Costerton JW. 2002. Biofilms as complex differentiated communities. Annu Rev Microbiol 56:187–209. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.micro.56.012302.160705.
- Mah TF, O'Toole GA. 2001. Mechanisms of biofilm resistance to antimicrobial agents. Trends Microbiol 9:34–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966 -842X(00)01913-2.
- Auchtung JM, Aleksanyan N, Bulku A, Berkmen MB. 2016. Biology of ICEBs1, an integrative and conjugative element in *Bacillus subtilis*. Plasmid 86:14–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2016.07.001.
- Lécuyer F, Bourassa J-S, Gélinas M, Charron-Lamoureux V, Burrus V, Beauregard PB. 2018. Biofilm formation drives transfer of the conjugative element ICEBs1 in Bacillus subtilis. mSphere 3:e00473-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere .00473-18.

- Bourassa J-S, Jeannotte G, Lebel-Baucage S, Beauregard PB. 2022. Second-generation transfer mediates efficient propagation of ICEBs1 in biofilms. J Bacteriol https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00181-22.
- Lemon KP, Earl AM, Vlamakis HC, Aguilar C, Kolter R. 2008. Biofilm development with an emphasis on *Bacillus subtilis*, p 1–16. *In* Romeo T (ed), Bacterial biofilms. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany.
- Babic A, Berkmen MB, Lee CA, Grossman AD. 2011. Efficient gene transfer in bacterial cell chains. mBio 2:e00027-11. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00027-11.
- Burrus V, Carraro N. 2014. Biology of three ICE families: SXT/R391, ICEBs1, and ICESt1/ICESt3. Microbiol Spectr 2. https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec .MDNA3-0008-2014.
- Delavat F, Miyazaki R, Carraro N, Pradervand N, van der Meer JR. 2017. The hidden life of integrative and conjugative elements. FEMS Microbiol Rev 41:512–537. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux008.
- Sulser S, Vucicevic A, Bellini V, Moritz R, Delavat F, Sentchilo V, Carraro N, van der Meer JR. 2022. A bistable prokaryotic differentiation system underlying development of conjugative transfer competence. PLoS Genet 18:e1010286. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010286.
- DeWitt T, Grossman AD. 2014. The bifunctional cell wall hydrolase CwIT is needed for conjugation of the integrative and conjugative element ICEBs1 in Bacillus subtilis and B. anthracis. J Bacteriol 196:1588–1596. https://doi.org/10 .1128/JB.00012-14.
- Harden MM, Anderson ME, Grossman AD. 2022. A CRISPR interference screen reveals a role for cell wall teichoic acids in conjugation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 117:1366–1383. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14914.
- Dahmane N, Robert E, Deschamps J, Meylheuc T, Delorme C, Briandet R, Leblond-Bourget N, Guédon E, Payot S. 2018. Impact of cell surface molecules on conjugative transfer of the integrative and conjugative element ICESt3 of Streptococcus thermophilus. Appl Environ Microbiol 84:e02109-17. https://doi .org/10.1128/AEM.02109-17.

- D'Elia MA, Millar KE, Beveridge TJ, Brown ED. 2006. Wall teichoic acid polymers are dispensable for cell viability in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 188: 8313–8316. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01336-06.
- Reinhard F, Miyazaki R, Pradervand N, van der Meer JR. 2013. Cell differentiation to "mating bodies" induced by an integrating and conjugative element in freeliving bacteria. Curr Biol 23:255–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.12.025.
- Delavat F, Moritz R, van der Meer JR. 2019. Transient replication in specialized cells favors transfer of an integrative and conjugative element. mBio 10:e01133-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01133-19.
- Jones JM, Grinberg I, Eldar A, Grossman AD. 2021. A mobile genetic element increases bacterial host fitness by manipulating development. Elife 10:e65924. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65924.
- Vlamakis H, Aguilar C, Losick R, Kolter R. 2008. Control of cell fate by the formation of an architecturally complex bacterial community. Genes Dev 22:945–953. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1645008.
- Marra D, Pethel B, Churchward GG, Scott JR. 1999. The frequency of conjugative transposition of Tn916 is not determined by the frequency of excision. J Bacteriol 181:5414–5418. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.17.5414-5418.1999.
- Seier-Petersen MA, Jasni A, Aarestrup FM, Vigre H, Mullany P, Roberts AP, Agerso Y. 2014. Effect of subinhibitory concentrations of four commonly used biocides on the conjugative transfer of Tn916 in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:343–348. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt370.
- Bellanger X, Roberts AP, Morel C, Choulet F, Pavlovic G, Mullany P, Decaris B, Guédon G. 2009. Conjugative transfer of the integrative conjugative elements ICESt1 and ICESt3 from Streptococcus thermophilus. J Bacteriol 191:2764–2775. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01412-08.
- Carraro N, Libante V, Morel C, Decaris B, Charron-Bourgoin F, Leblond P, Guédon G. 2011. Differential regulation of two closely related integrative and conjugative elements from *Streptococcus thermophilus*. BMC Microbiol 11:238. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-11-238.