

Uncertainties in the adaptation of alpine pastures to climate change based on remote sensing products and modelling

L. Brilli, Raphaël Martin, G. Argenti, M. Bassignana, M. Bindi, R. Bonet, P. Choler, E. Cremonese, M. Della Vedova, C. Dibari, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

L. Brilli, Raphaël Martin, G. Argenti, M. Bassignana, M. Bindi, et al.. Uncertainties in the adaptation of alpine pastures to climate change based on remote sensing products and modelling. Journal of Environmental Management, 2023, 336, pp.117575. 10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.117575. hal-04068837

HAL Id: hal-04068837 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04068837v1

Submitted on 14 Apr 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	Uncertainties in the adaptation of alpine pastures to climate change
2	based on remote sensing products and modelling
3	
4	Brilli, L. ^{1,2} *, Martin, R. ³ , Argenti, G. ² , Bassignana, M. ⁴ , Bindi, M. ² , Bonet, R. ⁵ , Choler, P. ⁶ ,
5	Cremonese, E. ⁷ , Della Vedova, M. ⁵ , Dibari, C. ² , Filippa, G. ⁷ , Galvagno, M. ⁷ , Leolini, L. ² ,
6	Moriondo, M. ^{1,2} , Piccot, A. ⁴ , Stendardi, L. ² , Targetti, S. ⁸ , Bellocchi, G. ³
7	
8	¹ National Research Council – Institute of BioEconomy (IBE-CNR) 50145 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy
9	² University of Florence, DAGRI, 50144 Florence, Italy
10	³ Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UREP, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France
11	⁴ Institut Agricole Régional, 11100 Aosta, Italy
12	⁵ Parc National des Ecrins, Domaine de Charance, 05000 Gap, France
13	⁶ Université Grenoble Alpes, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, LECA, 38000 Grenoble, France
14	⁷ Climate Change Unit, Environmental Protection Agency of Aosta Valley, Saint-Christophe, Italy
15	⁸ University of Bologna, Department of Agricultural and Food Sciences, Viale Fanin, 50 - 40127 Bologna
16	
17	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 0553033711
18	E-mail address: lorenzo.brilli@ibe.cnr.it (L. Brilli)
19	
20	Highlights
21	• Alpine pastures are vulnerable to climate change.
22	• Remote sensing and modelling support vulnerability analysis in the western Alps.
23	• Earlier grazing, not changes in cattle density, copes with increased vulnerability.
24	• Summer water stresses and warming may lower C sequestration by pastures.
25	
26	
	1

27 Abstract

Over the last century, the management of pastoral systems has undergone major changes to meet 28 the livelihood needs of alpine communities. Faced with the changes induced by recent global 29 warming, the ecological status of many pastoral systems has seriously deteriorated in the western 30 alpine region. We assessed changes in pasture dynamics by integrating information from remote-31 32 sensing products and two process-based models, i.e. the grassland-specific, biogeochemical growth model PaSim and the generic crop-growth model DayCent. Meteorological observations and 33 34 satellite-derived Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) trajectories of three pasture macro-types (high, medium and low productivity classes) in two study areas - Parc National des 35 *Écrins* (PNE) in France and *Parco Nazionale Gran Paradiso* (PNGP) in Italy - were used as a basis 36 for the model calibration work. The performance of the models was satisfactory in reproducing 37 pasture production dynamics ($R^2=0.52$ to 0.83). Projected changes in alpine pastures due to 38 climate-change impacts and adaptation strategies indicate that: i) the length of the growing season 39 is expected to increase between 15 and 40 days, resulting in changes in the timing and amount of 40 biomass production, ii) summer water stress could limit pasture productivity; iii) earlier onset of 41 42 grazing could enhance pasture productivity; iv) higher livestock densities could increase the rate of biomass regrowth, but major uncertainties in modelling processes need to be considered; and v) 43 the carbon sequestration potential of pastures could decrease under limited water availability and 44 45 warming.

46

47 *Keywords*: alpine pastures, climate-change adaptation, modelling, remote sensing

49 **1.** Introduction

Mountain pastures are important livelihood systems in the European Alps, with a 50 multifunctional form of land use encompassing agriculture, outdoor recreation, and tourism as well 51 as conservation needs (Wanner et al., 2021). Rich in terms of biodiversity (Kurtogullari et al., 2020) 52 53 and cultural heritage (Jourdain-Annequin and Duclos, 2006), alpine pastures fulfil economic, social and environmental functions at the same time (Bengtsson et al., 2019). They provide low-cost 54 55 fodder for grazing livestock during the summer period and - where traditional transhumance systems are present – represent a complementary resource for Mediterranean pastoral systems 56 57 (Caballero et al., 2009). Shaped by pastoral activities, alpine pastures have undergone multiple 58 transformations over the centuries, mainly driven by the fragile balance between maximising agricultural productivity and the limits imposed by the temporal and spatial dynamics of the climate 59 and forests-grasslands interactions (Kurz, 2013). However, alpine pastoralism manifests its 60 fragility in the face of the changes induced by recent global warming. Climate changes and their 61 impacts are visible in the alpine region, which has experienced a temperature increase of almost 2 62 °C over the last century, along with an important reduction of precipitation in the summer season 63 (Gobiet et al., 2014). Specifically, droughts have been one of the main manifestations of climate 64 variability. Corresponding to periods of abnormally low precipitation, they alter grassland 65 66 productivity and quality (Nettier et al., 2010; Dibari et al., 2016) by offsetting the positive effect of summer heatwaves on canopy greenness (Corona-Lozada et al., 2019), as seen in the European 67 Alps following a series of droughts (Calanca, 2007). The response of European mountain plant 68 69 assemblages to increasing temperatures (thermophilisation) also suggests a progressive decline of cold-tolerant high-altitude grassland communities (Gottfried et al., 2012) and landscape 70 modifications with warming-induced upward range shifts (Engler et al., 2011). This may lead to 71 72 both a decrease in areas suitable for pasture and a reduction in pasture diversity driven by lowquality vegetation types in the Alpine chain (Dibari et al., 2020), together with changes in grazing
practices (Dibari et al., 2021). This is critical because most impacts on grassland ecosystems can
be related to overgrazing and changes in the timing of livestock transhumance, with high stocking
densities in particular causing a range of negative impacts on plant and animal communities, as
observed in central France (Dumont et al., 2009) and in the Italian Maritime Alps (Negro et al.,
2011).

79 In this context, appropriate management can preserve grassland biodiversity, maintain socioecological systems (Altaweel et al., 2015; Alessa et al., 2018) and counteract climate-change 80 impacts (Nori and Gemini, 2011; Felber et al., 2016). Specifically for the western Alps, global 81 82 warming and the increased frequency of extreme climate events such as heatwaves and droughts have raised awareness of the need to adapt, due to the combined effects of climate and changes in 83 pastoral practices (Bonet et al., 2016). However, in many alpine zones, specific measures to 84 manage pastures in the face of climate change are still not implemented, despite the implementation 85 of agri-environmental and climate measures in the Common Agricultural Policy (EC, 2013). Since 86 proper management is needed to ensure the environmental, social and economic sustainability of 87 mountain permanent grasslands, a multi-disciplinary approach is a fundamental starting point, 88 involving the co-responsibility of livestock farmers and local officers, as well as cooperation based 89 90 on observation, modelling and intervention (Della-Vedova and Legeard, 2012).

This posture forms the basis of the design and implementation of this study started in 2017 in two representative areas of the western alpine territory: the *Écrins* (France) and *Gran Paradiso* (Italy) national parks (PNE and PNGP, respectively). In the pasturelands of the two parks, groundbased and remotely sensed observation systems, as well as model-based simulations were used to identify efficient management strategies able to support pastoral management and the sustainability of pastoral systems. Modelling adaptation strategies was supported by a participatory-based 97 process bringing together different local stakeholders in the two case study areas. The target of the 98 modelling concerned the performance of pastoral systems and in particular the definition of 99 production while minimising environmental impacts. Remote sensing supports such modelling by 100 offering information on the spatial and temporal variation of important canopy state variables 101 which would be difficult to obtain otherwise. The involvement of local pastoralists was the basis 102 for the design and assessment of the analytical framework concerning the climate-change 103 adaptation strategies.

In the context of these alpine pastures, the objectives of this study were: (1) to inform modelling via calibration with remotely sensed data; (2) to use the calibrated models to project climate-change impacts, and (3) to assess a set of adaptation options for pastoral management identified by stakeholders.

108

109 2. Materials and Methods

110 *2.1. Study areas*

In its wide-ranging perspective, the study considered three macro-types of pastoral vegetation (high, medium and low productivity) located at different altitudes (low, medium and high) in two national parks of the western Alps, on either side of the French-Italian border (Stendardi et al., 2022, Filippa et al., 2022) (Fig. 1).

Established in 1973, the *Parc National des Écrins* (PNE) covers an area of ~91800 ha (approximately in the range 44° 03'-45° 05' N and 06° 05'-06° 35' E) in the two French departments of Hautes-Alps (region Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur) and Isère (region Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes). It includes *c*. 70,000 ha of summer pastureland (~30% of the park area), which is grazed by about 115,000 sheep (75% of the total stocking rate), 5,800 cows and >1,000 of goats and horses. Transhumance (which is declining across Europe) is still relevant in the study area, with ~1/3 of the total sheep stocking rate in summer pasture being involved in transhumance (Brien,
2018).

The *Parco Nazionale Gran Paradiso* (PNGP) is Italy's oldest national park (founded in 1922), established in the core of the former Piedmontese royal hunting reserve of the alpine ibex, a species of wild goat (*Capra ibex*) that lives in the mountains of the European Alps. It covers over 71,000 ha approximately in the range $45^{\circ} 25' - 45^{\circ} 45'$ N and $07^{\circ} 00' - 07^{\circ} 30'$ E in the two Italian regions of Piedmont and Aosta Valley. Most of the territory (*c*. 60%) is used for non-agricultural purposes, a small part (*c*.11.5%) is covered by forests, while the areas of stable grasslands are constantly decreasing.

The surface of both parks is represented by mountainous environments, located from low valleys 130 to very high mountains, with the highest peaks of 4,102 m a.s.l. (Barre des Écrins) and 4,061 m 131 a.s.l. (Gran Paradiso Mountain) for the PNE and PNGP, respectively. The territories of the two 132 133 protected areas are characterised by forests, from broadleaf in the lower parts to coniferous in the higher parts, and by mountain and alpine grasslands and pastures. The climate is generally alpine, 134 but with different microclimatic conditions due to high variability in topographical features 135 (elevation, aspect and slope). In addition, there are different lithological formations. All these 136 complex and variable conditions produce a large typology of different plant communities 137 138 characterised by a great richness of vegetation.

139



Figure 1. Location and details of the study areas: a) *Parc National des Écrins* (left) and *Parco Nazionale Gran Paradiso* (right) with localization of the high, medium and low productivity macro-types (i.e., green, yellow and red areas); b) Ikonos Panel Sharp (IPS) image from Google Earth showing Italy and the position of the two Nation parks in the alpine chain; c) example of grazing areas in the *Parc National des Écrins* and; d) in the *Parco Nazionale Gran Paradiso*.

146

The territories of the two parks lie within the areas of three vegetation macro-typologies (Table 1), which group the main plant communities that can be found in the subalpine and alpine pastures of the French Southern Alps (Jouglet, 1999), the Vanoise and Aosta Valley (Bornard et al., 2007) and Piedmont in Italy (Cavallero et al., 2007). These typologies have been harmonised in 13 categories of common pastures that were further grouped in three productivity macro-types (Stendardi et al., 2022).

Table 1. Description of three pastoral macro-types (HP: high productivity; MP: medium
productivity; LP: low productivity) in the two study areas (PNE: *Parc National des Écrins*; PNGP: *Parco Nazionale Gran Paradiso*).

Study ana	Description	Tinit _	Pastoral macro-types			
Study area	Description	Unit	HP	MP	LP	
	Latitude	degree N	45.04	45.06	45.06	
	Longitude	degree E	06.40	06.38	06.37	
	Slope	rad	0.14	0.31	0.15	
	Aspect	rad	3.06	1.95	2.32	
	Elevation	m a.s.l.	2044	2539	2634	
	Soil depth	m	0.70	0.65	0.55	
	Clay	%	30.3	34.9	27.5	
PNE	Silt	%	37.6	40.3	61.1	
	Sand	%	32.1	24.8	11.4	
	Soil organic carbon	g 100 g ⁻¹	4.50	14.00	10.50	
	Soil pH	-	5.70	5.05	4.75	
	Bulk density	g cm ⁻³	0.800	0.735	0.960	
	Saturated soil water content	m ³ m ⁻³	0.490	0.511	0.507	
	Field capacity	$m^{3} m^{-3}$	0.312	0.345	0.330	
	Wilting point	m ³ m ⁻³	0.170	0.194	0.153	

	Reference pasture type ¹	-	S6	S1	A9
	Latitude	degree N	45.56	45.57	45.58
	Longitude	degree E	07.12	07.19	07.29
	Slope	rad	0.31	0.33	0.16
	Aspect	rad	5.76	1.97	1.80
	Elevation	m a.s.l.	2133	2336	2806
	Soil depth	m	0.70	0.65	0.55
	Clay	%	6.8	6.5	6.1
PNGP	Silt	%	20.0	20.0	14.0
	Sand	%	73.2	73.5	79.9
	Soil organic carbon	g 100 g ⁻¹	1.88	2.24	1.90
	Soil pH	-	5.5	4.9	5.3
	Bulk density	g cm ⁻³	1.48	1.48	1.51
	Saturated soil water content	m ³ m ⁻³	0.39	0.38	0.37
	Field capacity	m ³ m ⁻³	0.130	0.120	0.098
	Wilting point	m ³ m ⁻³	0.053	0.052	0.041
	Reference pasture type ¹	-	S-II	SA-II	A-I

157 ¹ A-I - Alpine intermediate: sparse vegetation on medium to moderate slopes, windy ridges and bumps in the alpine 158 level (main species: Carex curvula, Trifolium alpinum, Avenula versicolor); SA-II - Nardus swards: on lowlands and 159 slopes in the subalpine or alpine level, vegetation of medium height (0.2-0.3 m), not very dense, dominated by Nardus 160 stricta (main species: Nardus stricta, Carex sempervirens, Trifolium alpinum, Festuca rubra); A-II - nival: sparse vegetation in snow combes and moderate slopes in alpine and nival environment (main species: Alchemilla 161 pentaphyllea, Salix herbacea, Carex foetida, Plantago alpina); S-II - subalpine intermediate: vegetation in flatlands 162 and low slopes of the subalpine level with medium-rich soil, 0.3 to 0.5 m high, dense grassy patches dominated by fine 163 164 to medium-leaved Gramineae (main species: Festuca rubra, Agrostis capillaris, Phleum alpinum, Alchemilla 165 xanthochlora); S-III - Patzkea paniculata swards: on medium sunny slopes in the subalpine level, vegetation very tall 166 (over 0.5 m), very dense, dominated by Gramineae with long, thick leaves, especially Patzkea paniculata (main 167 species: Patzkea paniculata, Festuca rubra, Carex sempervirens).

169 2.2. Data collection

168

The Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is a standard way to determine vegetation 170 171 cover and productivity. High NDVI values (approximately >0.7-0.8) indicate healthy vegetation, dense and productive canopies, while low NDVI values indicate land with little or no vegetation 172 173 or stressed canopies. Satellite-derived NDVI data for the period 2018-2020 were retrieved for the two study areas by processing the Sentinel-2 imagery. The images (10-m spatial resolution, 174 level2A) were atmospherically and topographically corrected with the Sen2Cor processor 175 176 (https://step.esa.int/main/snap-supported-plugins/sen2cor). The images were filtered on a per-pixel basis with the scene classification (SCL) map, retaining only top quality, and cloud- and shadow-177 free pixels. The downloading and processing of the data were performed on Google Earth Engine 178 (https://earthengine.google.com) with a dedicated Python (https://www.python.org) script 179

180 (Hufkens, 2017). Seasonal NDVI trajectories were used to retrieve growing season start and end 181 based on a fixed threshold method (20% seasonal amplitude) similar to what proposed by Shen et al., (2015a). These dates were then compared to those retrieved form the snow cover seasonal 182 pattern (table 1) and found to be in good agreement. Aboveground biomass (AGB) and leaf area 183 184 index (LAI) were measured in both areas following standardised protocols (Filippa et al., 2015). An empirical model was fitted between AGB/LAI observations and the corresponding S2-derived 185 NDVI, and the resulting equations (Supplementary material, section 1) were then used to convert 186 S2-NDVI data in AGB and LAI data for the three productivity macro-types of each study area. 187

188

189 2.3. Climate-change scenarios

Simulated pastoral outputs were obtained by forcing impact models (section 2.5) with daily downscaled weather data, which were selected to map a broad range of climate outcomes for impact modelling (Wilcke and Bärring, 2016). Supplementary material (section 2) describes the methods used in processing and post-processing the climate output used in the generation of climate scenarios.

Climate data from three Regional Climate Models (RCMs) from Med-CORDEX (Ruti et al., 2016) - CNRM-ALADIN ($0.11^{\circ} \times 0.11^{\circ}$), ICTP-RGCM4 ($0.44^{\circ} \times 0.44^{\circ}$), and CMCC-CCLM4 ($0.44^{\circ} \times 0.44^{\circ}$) for the reference period 1981-2010 (near past) and for two future time-slices 2011-2040 (near future) and 2041-2070 (mid future). For near past period ambient CO₂ concentration was fixed to 400 ppm. For future periods, Representative Concentration Pathways 4.5 and 8.5 (RCP4.5, RCP4.5) were selected, with ambient CO₂ concentration at 450 (RCP4.5) and 470 ppm (RCP8.5) for near future and 540 and 670 ppm for mid future.

The delta-change approach was applied as a downscaling procedure, where the observed daily weather data available for each given site were modified using as forcing factors the outcomes

obtained from the RCM simulations. These were calculated as the mean absolute monthly 204 205 differences between the RCM baseline (1981-2010) and the future RCM periods selected for 206 simulations (2041-2070, 2071-2100) for minimum and maximum air temperatures and the percentage variation in monthly cumulated rainfall, wind speed and solar radiation. These 207 208 differences were then added, month by month, to the observed daily meteorological data from PNE 209 and PNGP to derive future weather data that were used to feed model simulations for future periods. 210 The three daily datasets deriving from RCMs downscaling were finally merged into a single dataset reproducing the mean change in climate conditions for each study area in RCP4.5 and 8.5 for 2031-211 2040, 2041-2070 and 2071-2100 time-slices. 212

213

214 2.4. Participatory approach

215 To understand the impact of climatic events and changes in grazing practices, and to preserve 216 (or restore) the sustainable management of these areas, the "Sentinel Alpine Pastures" programme focuses on how to adapt to different phenomena as part of a long-term approach to the complex 217 dynamics of climate change, to anticipate adaptive strategies (Dobremez et al., 2014). These 218 219 sources of information thus represent a unique opportunity to environmentally characterise these pastoral areas by using advanced techniques such as remote sensing and process-based simulation 220 221 modelling. As a basis for the design and assessment of the analytical framework, a participatory process was conducted since 2018 with groups of c. 100 local stakeholders in each park including 222 farmers, technicians, representatives of the two parks and officials from local institutions. The 223 224 participatory process involved meetings, interviews and informal discussions that took place in parallel with data collection and territorial analysis (Targetti et al., 2019). Participation addressed 225 three main topics: i) current pastoral practices, related barriers and incentives, and key drivers of 226 socio-economic change; ii) effective adaptation measures already implemented in the western 227

Alps; and iii) which measures should be prioritised (Piccot et al., 2022). In this study, we assessed the effect of prioritised adaptation options from a modelling perspective as it emerged from the participatory approach, recognising the limited set of modelling assumptions contained in the adaptation requests, which represent a fraction of plausible adaptations and a step towards transformative changes (Holman et al., 2019).

233

234 2.5. Grassland modelling

Process-based models are important tools in agricultural and environmental research to 235 extrapolate local observations over time and space, and to assess the impact of climate and 236 237 agricultural practices on the soil-plant-atmosphere continuum through plant-soil feedback effects. These widely tested models are also recognised as effective tools for studying the magnitude and 238 239 spatial-temporal patterns of C-N (carbon-nitrogen) fluxes, playing a prominent role in testing the 240 effect of specific changes in management, plant properties or environmental factors, and in designing policies specific to the soil, climate and agricultural conditions of a location or region. 241 However, the results from different models often differ, presenting a range of possible impacts and 242 adaptation responses (Brilli et al., 2017), which are influenced by the models' users' knowledge 243 and expertise, and their understanding of the variables determined in the target agroecosystems 244 245 (Albanito et al., 2022).

Here, the soil-vegetation generic model DayCent (Parton et al., 1994, 1998) and the grasslandspecific model PaSim (Riedo et al., 1998) were chosen to simulate alpine pastures. Both provide a
mechanistic view of the multiple processes and interactions occurring in grassland systems and are
able to simulate grassland productivity and C and N fluxes under alternative management options.
DayCent is the daily time-step adaptation of the biogeochemical model CENTURY (Parton et al.,
1994), which simulates plant growth, soil C dynamics, N leaching, gaseous emissions (e.g. nitrous

oxide) and C fluxes (e.g. net ecosystem exchange) in a variety of managed ecosystems. PaSim is a
grassland-specific ecosystem model consisting of detailed sub-models for vegetation, animals,
microclimate, soil biology, soil physics and management to simulate grassland productivity and CN fluxes.

256

257 2.6. Simulation design

The modelling work was carried out in three suites of simulations: suite 1 with observational data (model calibration), suite 2 with projected scenarios of climate change (impact projections), and suite 3 with altered management under projected scenarios of climate change (adaptation assessment).

Model calibration (suite 1) was carried out over the years 2018 to 2020 in the two parks, setting 262 263 management practices (grazing intensity and periods) as defined in Table 2 (one or two short 264 periods with short-term, intensive management), on a set of parameters (Table S1 and Table S2) to which model sensitivity was determined in previous studies for both DayCent (e.g. Fitton et al., 265 2014; Necpálová et al., 2015) and PaSim (e.g. Ben Touhami et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Pulina et 266 al., 2018; Sándor et al., 2018). The agreement between simulated and observed dry matter (DM) 267 was assessed by inspection of time-series graphs (fluctuations of output variables over time), and 268 numerically, through two commonly used performance metrics of model evaluation (Richter et al., 269 2012): root mean square error (best, g DM m⁻² 0≤RMSE<+∞ g DM m⁻², worst) and coefficient of 270 determination (worst, $0 < R^2 < 1$, best). 271

Table 2. Management of three pastoral macro-types (HP: high productivity; MP: medium productivity; LP: low productivity) in the two
study areas (PNE: *Parc National des Écrins*; PNGP: *Parco Nazionale Gran Paradiso*). Grazing 1 and Grazing 2 refer to the first and
second (if present) grazing periods expressed as days of the years, respectively, over the investigated macro-types. Livestock Standard
Unit (LSU) refers to a dairy cow producing 3000 kg of milk per year, without additional concentrated feed (EC, 2008).

				Grazing 1 st					Gı	azing	2 th		
			Period		Stoc	king de	ensity		Period		Stoc	king de	nsity
Site	Pasture macro-type	(days of yea	r)) (LSU ha		$U ha^{-1} d^{-1}$ (da		days of year)		(LSU ha ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)		
		2018	2019	2020	2018	2019	2020	2018	2019	2020	2018	2019	2020
	HP	196-197	197-198	191	120	113	126	287-288	272-273	262	43	37	76
PNE	MP	213-214	213-214	214-215	51	49	62	-	-	-	-	-	-
	LP	217	220	217	12	10	9	-	-	-	-	-	-
	HP	194-195	198	196-197	104	98	84	261	264	264	102	106	118
PNGP	MP	229-230	230-231	229-230	79	75	57	-	-	-	-	-	-
	LP	217-218	202	222-223	30	14	20	-	-	-	-	-	-

- 277 With suite 2, we assessed the projected response of DayCent and PaSim to climate-change forcing
- options described in section 2.3. Impacts of climate change were calculated on the changes in a set
- of climate and ecosystem variables related to biomass production and C-N fluxes (Table 3).

280 Table 3. Climate-change impact metrics.

Туре	Output	Acronym	Unit	Description
	Snow cover start	SCs		First of 10 consecutive days of the year with snow cover ≥ 5 cm
	Snow cover end	SCe		First of 10 consecutive days of the year with snow cover ≤ 5 cm
	Growing seasons start	GSs		First day of the year with aboveground biomass (SCe + 1 day)
Date	Growing seasons end	GSe	day of year	Last day of the year with above ground biomass ($SCs - 1 day$)
	Biomass peak date (period 1)	BP1a	(uoy)	Day of the year with the highest value of aboveground biomass before the first grazing period
	Biomass peak date (period 2, HP)	BP2a		Day of the year with the highest value of aboveground biomass after the first grazing period and before the second grazing period
Count	Snow cover length	SC	dova	Number of days between SCs and SCe
Count	Growing season length	GS	days	Number of days between the GSs and GSe
	Biomass peak (period 1)	BP1b		Aboveground biomass value at the first peak date
	Biomass peak (period 2, HP)	BP2b	kg DM m ⁻²	Aboveground biomass value at the second peak date
	Above ground biomass AGB		kg DM m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	Annual mean aboveground biomass
	Net ecosystem exchange	NEE		
	Net primary production	NPP	$ka C m^{-2}$	C N fluxer
Amount	Ecosystem respiration	RECO	yr ⁻¹	C-IV Huxes
	Gross primary production	GPP		(they include emissions from ecosystem respiration (RECO = plant + soil + animal respiration), as well as estimates of the plant production of organic compounds from atmospheric CO_2 (GPP) and
	Methane	CH ₄	kg C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	other system variables: NEE = RECO - GPP, NPP = GPP - plant respiration, enteric emissions of CH_4 from grazing animals and N_2O emissions from the N cycle)
	Nitrogen dioxide	N ₂ O	kg N m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	
	Soil water content	SWC	m ³ m ⁻³	Soil water content

With the adaptation assessment (suite 3), we show the simulated outputs using the two grassland models fed with the following adaptation practices, defined during the participatory process (section 2.4) combined with climate-change forcing: the stocking rate in the pasture was increased or decreased by 20% (LD-20% and LD+20%, respectively), and the grazing period was advanced by 14 days (GDadv).

Simulation results are presented separately per study area, comparing DayCent and PaSim outputs with satellite-derived AGB data (suite 1). Time-series graphs are presented to illustrate the dynamics of selected variables (AGB, SWC, C fluxes and CH_4 and N_2O emissions) for suites 2 and 3, as well as two-dimensional colour data visualisations (heatmap graphs).

291

292 **3.** Results

For greater clarity in the presentation of results and discussion, we present in detail only the results obtained in the high productivity macro-type for which a full modelling analysis is available. We also briefly present the results obtained in the medium and low productivity macro-types, which are fully provided in the Supplementary material.

297

298 *3.1. Climate analysis*

The monthly distribution of air temperatures in the two study areas (Fig. 2), averaged from the outputs of the ICTP-REGCM4, CMCC-CCLM4 and CNRM-ALADIN climate models, showed an overall increase in temperature towards the far future, similar for both parks, with a distinct seasonal trend, with the highest increases in summer (+4 °C at PNE and +3.7 °C at PNGP under the warmest scenario) and the lowest in autumn-winter (+2.5 °C at PNE and +2.3 °C at PNGP under the warmest scenario). Analysis of simulated monthly rainfall data (Fig. 2) showed increases in autumn-winter (November-February) relative to the baseline in both scenarios and sites (PNE: +3.3% and +9.9%; PNGP: +5.4 % and +9.5 %, for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively), while
spring-summer exhibited a strong decrease in rainfall, more pronounced in the PNE (-11.7% and 18.8%, for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively) than in the PNGP (-10 % in both scenarios). In both
parks, no clear trend was observed, nor was a clear pattern evident when analysing the differences
between time-slices, as there was no trend of increasing/decreasing monthly precipitation in the
progression from the near to the far future.



312

Figure 2. Absolute change (°C) in monthly mean air temperature (top graphs) and relative change (%) of monthly cumulated rainfall (bottom graphs) generated in the two study areas with the RCM ensemble (ICTP-REGCM4, CMCC-CCLM4 and CNRM-ALADIN) for two climate scenarios (RCP4.5, RCP8.5) and two future periods - 2011-2040 and 2041-2070 - over the baseline period 1981-2010.

319 *3.2.* Suite 1 of simulations: model evaluation against observed data

320 The resulting sets of parameter values allowed the outputs of the two impact models to be compared for each study area. Plant parameters served to accommodate changes in the sward 321 structures driven by local environmental conditions and management. Although no formal 322 323 sensitivity analysis was conducted for the model parameters, the calibration applied separately to each study area allowed us to explore the variability of parameter values between the two parks. 324 An indication from the calibration work is that, for both models, the parameter values can be 325 326 considerably different across alternative conditions (Table S1 and Table S2). For instance, the different vegetation patterns in the two parks are reflected in the PaSim parameter "maximum 327 specific leaf area", whose lower values tend to be associated with the PNGP (e.g. for the high-328 productivity pastoral vegetation macro-type, the value decreased from $\sim 37 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ in the PNE to 329 ~22 $m^2 kg^{-1}$ in the PNGP). Photosynthetic rates estimated with PaSim (Table S1) were lower in the 330 PNGP during the reproductive stage (*pmco2rep*~25 µmol C m⁻² s⁻¹ against ~32 µmol C m⁻² s⁻¹ in 331 the PNE) and higher during the vegetative stage ($pmco2veg\sim16 \mu mol \ C \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$ against ~13 μmol 332 C m⁻² s⁻¹ in the PNE). With DayCent, air temperature thresholds (optimal and maximum), the 333 number of soil layers influencing water and nutrient availability, and the allocation of C to different 334 plant organs influenced plant growth and C fluxes. Specifically, for the high-productivity pastoral 335 336 vegetation macro-type, the coefficient for calculating potential monthly aboveground biomass production as a function of solar radiation outside the atmosphere lowers from 4.1 in the PNGP to 337 ~1.0 $\text{m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$ in the PNE, while the thresholds for optimal air temperatures were slightly higher in 338 the PNGP than in the PNE (Table S2). 339

Standing biomass simulations (Fig. S2, Table S3) indicate that estimates substantially reflect patterns of vegetation dynamics ($R^2>0.50$) although some departures from observed data are noted. RMSE values (>70 g DM m⁻²) are comparable with results from previous modelling studies (e.g. Sándor et al., 2018), with simulations for grasslands being generally less accurate compared to
arable crops (e.g. Kollas et al., 2015). We also note that the great deal of fundamental research
incorporated into the most mechanistic PaSim model has not always improved the results.

346

347 3.3. Suite 2 and 3 of simulations: impacts of future scenarios and adaptation strategies

For both parks, we assessed the sensitivity of the two grassland models to (suite 2) climate change (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 for the near and far future) with business-as-usual (BaU) management and to (suite 3) management scenarios (GDadv and LD±20%). Multi-year mean responses for selected production (AGB), biophysical (SWC) and biogeochemical (C-N fluxes) outputs are presented below.

353

354 3.4. Growing season

355 Under the climate-change scenarios, with both grassland models, the estimated length of the snow season decreases in both areas due to earlier spring snowmelt and later autumn/winter 356 357 snowpack accumulation. This condition leads to an earlier onset and later end of the growing season (GS) in both parks, especially in the far future (i.e. 2041-2070) (Fig. 3). Specifically, using 358 DayCent, the start of the growing season (GSs) was on average 11 and 28 days earlier in the PNE, 359 360 and 12 and 39 days earlier in the PNGP, for the 2011-2040 and 2041-2070. The end of the growing season (GSe) was delayed on average 8 and 17 days in the PNE, and 17 days in the PNGP for 2041-361 362 2070. In contrast, no changes in GSe were observed in PNGP for the period 2011-2040.

Using PaSim, GSs was advanced by 14 and 31 days on average in the PNE, and by 7 and 19 days in the PNGP for the periods 2011-2040 and 2041-2070. GSe was delayed by 5 and 23 days on average for the periods 2011-2040 and 2041-2070 in the PNE, and by 36 days in the PNGP for both time-slices.





Figure 3. Estimated durations (20-year mean values) of snow-cover periods (SC, grey bars) and vegetation growing seasons (green bars) with two grassland models for baseline and climatechange scenarios under business-as-usual management in both parks for the high productivity (HP) macro-type. The annual pattern was reported at daily time-step (DOY: day of the year).

374 The MP and LP macro-types showed similar growing season patterns to those observed in the 375 HP macro-type, with GSs advanced and GSe delayed towards the end of the century, with the 376 largest impacts using RCP8.5. For all three macro-types, DayCent reported a mean GS extension 377 ranging between 15 and 40 days in the PNE, and between 12 to 45 days in the PNGP for the periods 378 2011-2040 and 2041-2070, respectively. Using PaSim, the increase in GS ranged between 17 and 379 44 days in the PNE, and between 23 and 35 days in the PNGP for the periods 2011-2040 and 2041-380 2070, respectively (Fig. S3 and Fig. S4). Overall, both models suggested a longer growing season 381 of 2 to 5 weeks when approaching the warmest scenarios.

383 *3.5*.

5. Soil water content (0.30 m topsoil)

Under the climate-change scenarios, both models indicated an earlier decline in SWC, near or 384 below the permanent wilting point (Table 1), especially during the warm season in both parks (Fig. 385 4). PaSim showed less pronounced oscillations in SWC ($\sim 0.30-0.40 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ in the PNE and ~ 0.15 -386 0.25 m³ m⁻³ in the PNGP), while DayCent interpreted the increased water supply projected by 387 climate modelling in winter (Fig. 2) to amplify seasonal differences (i.e. an excess SWC in winter 388 followed by a deficit in summer), with ~0.15-0.60 m³ m⁻³ in the PNE and ~0.05-0.35 m³ m⁻³ in the 389 PNGP (i.e. even below the permanent wilting point). Despite the differences between the two 390 models, for both parks the simulated patterns suggest that with drier summer conditions, grassland 391 growth may be limited by water in summer (Fig. 4). 392





Figure 4. Simulated annual pattern (20-year mean values) of 0.30-m soil water content (SWC) with two grassland models (DayCent, PaSim), for baseline and climate-change scenarios under

business-as-usual management in both parks for the high productivity (HP) macro-type. The annual 398 pattern was reported at daily time-step (DOY: day of the year).

399

The MP and LP macro-types showed SWC patterns similar to those observed for the HP macro-400 401 type, with a reduction in SWC when approaching warmer scenarios and less pronounced SWC oscillations in PaSim compared to DayCent (Fig. S5 and Fig. S6). In the MP macro-type, the SWC 402 simulated by DayCent ranged over ~0.20-0.65 m³ m⁻³ in the PNE and ~0.05-0.40 m³ m⁻³ in the 403 PNGP, whereas with PaSim, the SWC was in the range $\sim 0.30-0.45$ m³ m⁻³ in the PNE and ~ 0.12 -404 0.24 m³ m⁻³ in the PNGP (Fig. S5). In the LP macro-type, the SWC simulated by DayCent ranged 405 from ~0.20-0.65 m³ m⁻³ in the PNE and ~0.05-0.40 m³ m⁻³ in the PNGP, while with PaSim, the 406 SWC was in the range ~ $0.32-0.48 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ in the PNE and ~ $0.12-0.22 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$ in the PNGP (Fig. S6). 407

408

409 3.6. Aboveground biomass

Figure 5 shows the yearly average AGB production patterns under baseline management in both 410 parks for the HP macro-type as obtained with the two grassland models, while the yearly average 411 412 AGB patterns obtained with all alternative management options can be found in the Supplementary material (Figs. S7-S10). The main differences in AGB patterns among alternative management and 413 414 climate scenarios were assessed based on changes in peak biomass dates (BP1a and BP2a) and corresponding AGB values (BP1b and BP2b), which strongly influence stakeholders' and farmers' 415 decisions in choosing the most suitable periods for grazing. 416



Figure 5. Simulated annual pattern (20-year mean values) of aboveground biomass (AGB) with two grassland models, for baseline and climate-change scenarios under business-as-usual management in both parks for the high productivity (HP) macro-type. The annual pattern was reported at daily time-step (DOY: day of the year).

418

Under the baseline climate scenarios, DayCent reported the first biomass peak (BP1a) on day
189 (±9 standard deviation) and 190 (±8 standard deviation) for the PNE and PNGP, respectively.
Under future climate scenarios, the model indicated an advance of BP1a of 7-10 days for the PNE
and 3-7 days for the PNGP (Table S4). In contrast, the biomass peak simulated by PaSim was
mainly driven by the effect of grazing, showing only a slight advance under the future scenarios
(i.e. 2-3 days) for both PNE (194±4) and PNGP (196±5, Table S5).

For the second biomass peak (BP2a), DayCent indicated that biomass peaks were at day 267
(±14 standard deviation) in the PNE and day 244 (±13 standard deviations) in the PNGP under the
baseline scenarios, while future scenarios suggested advanced biomass peaks of 3 to 15 days in the

PNE and contrasting patterns (from -3 to +2 days) in the PNGP (Table S4). PaSim indicated that
BP2a was on day 262 (±7 standard deviation) in the PNE and on day 260 (±2 standard deviation)
in the PNGP under baseline scenarios, while the future scenarios indicated no or slight delay (1-5
days) in the PNGP and PNE, respectively (Table S5).

437 In the baseline scenarios, the biomass production of the first peak (BP1b) is similar with both models in the PNE (~ 0.52 ± 0.06 kg DM m⁻²), while in the PNGP it is ~38% lower with PaSim 438 compared to DayCent (~0.61±0.17 kg DM m⁻²). For the second peak (BP2b), the biomass value 439 provided by DayCent (0.44 \pm 0.06 kg DM m⁻²) was close to that provided by PaSim (0.43 \pm 0.08 kg 440 DM m⁻²) in the PNE, while at the PNGP the biomass simulated by DayCent (0.52±0.14 kg DM m⁻ 441 ²) was higher compared to that provided by PaSim (0.41 \pm 0.06 kg DM m⁻²). Future patterns for 442 BP2b partly mirror those of BP1b, with PaSim providing an increase in biomass production of 443 ~18% in the PNE and ~41% in the PNGP as the warmer scenarios are approached, while DayCent 444 reported a decrease in biomass production of ~20% in both study areas (Table S4 and Table S5). 445 These results mainly reflect calibration against observational patterns (Fig. S2), with the PaSim 446 production profile indicating faster plant growth in spring, with a distinct peak biomass, and rapid 447 regrowth in summer. This behaviour is much more evident in the climate-change scenarios, 448 resulting in differences in AGB that are about 38-45% higher at the peak with PaSim than with 449 450 DayCent (Fig. 5), likely due to the absence of sensible water deficits simulated by PaSim (Fig. 4). For the MP and LP macro-types (Tables S6-S9), the biomass peaks (BP1b and BP2b) partly 451 reflect the trends found in the HP macro-type. Specifically, while PaSim reported an increase in 452 453 peak biomass value of 50-100% with warmer scenarios in all macro-types for both parks, DayCent indicated a decrease of 3-20% with the sole exception of the LP macro-type in the PNE, where 454 biomass production increased of $\sim 25\%$. For the impact of adaptation strategies, the value of peak 455 456 biomass obtained with alternative management practices (i.e. BaU + adaptation management options) was compared with the peak biomass of business-as-usual (BaU) management under
projected scenarios. To simplify the reading, only the first biomass peak of the HP macro-type in
both parks is reported here (Fig. 6), while the dynamics of the second peak (Fig. S11) and those of
the MP and LP macro-types are reported in the Supplementary material (Tables S6, S7, S8 and
S9).

Using DayCent, in the PNE under RCP4.5 (blue), on average, the highest AGB values at the 462 first biomass peak compared to BaU (0.52±0.06 kg DM m⁻²) was obtained with LD+20% at both 463 current (+18.3%) and advanced (+13.5%) dates (Fig. 6). Only a slight increase was observed with 464 the other strategies (+1 to +7.7%). Under RCP8.5 (orange), BP1b shows a similar pattern to that 465 466 observed under RCP4.5, with higher values occurring with the adoption of the LD+20% strategy at both current (+16.3%) and advanced (+13.4%) dates, and a slight mean increase using other 467 strategies (+3.8 to +6.7%). In the PNGP, under RCP4.5, a decrease in BP1b values compared to 468 current BaU (0.61±0.17 kg DM m⁻²) was observed with all alternative strategies, with the smallest 469 decrease when adopting LD+20% (-5.4%) and the highest when using GDadv_LD-20% (-18%). 470 Under RCP8.5 (Fig. 6b), BP1b showed a similar pattern and magnitude to those observed under 471 472 RCP4.5, with the largest decrease when adopting Gdadv_LD-20% (-17.2%) and the lowest when using LD+20% (-4.9%). 473

Using PaSim, all management options showed an increase in peak biomass under all climate scenarios and time-slices. Specifically, in the PNE under RCP4.5, higher BP1b values compared to BaU (0.50 ± 0.17 kg DM m⁻²) were observed, on average, when the same grazing dates were maintained with all management options (+43%) while a smaller increase was observed when grazing dates were advanced (+11.7%). Under RCP8.5, BP1b shows a similar pattern to that observed under RCP4.5, with higher values occurring when both current (+46%) and advanced (+16.7%) grazing dates are adopted. In the PNGP, under RCP4.5, BP1b values compared to BaU

(0.37±0.11 kg DM m⁻²) were observed, on average, both maintaining the same grazing dates with 481 all management options (+47.3%) and advancing grazing dates (+23.9%). Under RCP8.5, BP1b 482 showed the same pattern as under RCP4.5, with higher values at both current (+53.6%) and 483 advanced (+32.4%) grazing dates. Overall, DayCent showed less variability in peak biomass 484 485 production in the PNE than in the PNGP, with increasing variability as we approach the far future (2041-2070) with the warmest scenario (i.e. RCP8.5) in both parks. In contrast, PaSim indicated 486 greater variability in peak biomass production in the PNE than in the PNGP, with decreasing 487 variability towards the far future with the warmest scenario in the PNE and contrasting patterns in 488 the PNGP. 489



Figure 6. Changes in the first (BP1b) peak aboveground biomass (kg DM m⁻²) between businessas-usual management (BaU) under baseline climate (black histogram) and all alternative
management options under RCP4.5 (cyan and blue histograms) and RCP8.5 (clear and dark orange
histograms) for high productivity pasture (HP) in both parks as provided by DayCent and PaSim.
Vertical bars are standard deviations.

For the MP and LP macro-types, PaSim suggested a generalised increase in biomass production 498 499 that was particularly large (>50%) in the PNE and smaller in the PNGP across all macro-types. In 500 contrast, DayCent reported no decline or a decrease (-6%) in production for the MP macro-type in both parks, regardless of advanced grazing management, while for the LP macro-type it showed 501 502 contrasting patterns. Specifically, a slight decrease in productivity (-4%) was observed in the PNGP when approaching the warmest scenario, irrespective of management, while a 10-20% increase in 503 productivity was found in the PNE when approaching the warmest scenario at the current grazing 504 date and with different livestock densities (i.e. BaU, LD-20% and LD+20%). 505

506

507 3.7. Carbon-nitrogen fluxes

508 Under current climate and management conditions, PaSim shows limited non-CO₂ emissions in 509 both parks, i.e. 1.9 and 1.6 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for CH₄ and 1 and 3 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹ for N₂O emissions, while 510 the C exchanges (NEE) vary from a limited sink in the PNE (-41 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) to a limited source 511 in the PNGP (+96 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹). DayCent represents a higher sinking pattern (-350 and -308 g C m⁻² 512 2 yr⁻¹) and lower CH₄ emissions (2.5E-04 and 1.2E-04 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) in both parks, while N₂O 513 emissions (0.5 and 3.8 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹) are in agreement with PaSim (Table 4).

514

Table 4. C-N emissions (NEE: net ecosystem CO₂ exchange; CH₄: methane; N₂O: nitrous oxide)
from the two study areas (baseline climate), estimated (20-year mean ± standard deviation) using
two grassland models. The estimated components of the C budget (GPP: gross primary production;
NPP: net primary production; RECO: ecosystem respiration) can be found in Supplementary
material (Table S10).

S* 4.		NEE	CH ₄	N_2O	
Site	Model	g C m	- ² yr ⁻¹	g N m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	

DNE	DayCent	-350±14	2.5E-04±~0.0	0.5±0.1
FINE	PaSim	-41±12	1.9±0.9	1.0±0.7
DNCD	DayCent	-308±19	1.2E-04±~0.0	3.8±1.3
PNGP	PaSim	96±11	1.6±1.0	3.0±0.9

The absolute values of C-N fluxes (Fig. S12) indicate that both models agree in representing the magnitude of these fluxes, and the differences are explained by the inherent features of the two model structures (i.e. animal respiration, enteric fermentation). Heatmaps of the % differences between current conditions (i.e. baseline climate and BaU management) and combinations of alternative climate and management scenarios allow the impact of altered climate and management changes on gas emissions in the two parks to be assessed (Fig. 7).

For NEE, in particular, the PaSim heatmaps show overall trends towards C uptake (more 527 negative NEE values) in both parks (red colour) by moving towards extreme climate conditions 528 (i.e. RCP8.5 and time-frame 2041-2070), reducing livestock density and advancing grazing dates, 529 thus reflecting the baseline AGB pattern (Fig. 5) and the inclusion in the model of an animal 530 531 component explicitly representing animal respiration and enteric fermentation (Graux et al., 2011). In contrast, DayCent reports an increase in C sourcing (more positive NEE values) of up to 30% 532 in both parks (green colour) when extreme climate conditions are approached, which is higher 533 534 when livestock density is reduced. An increase in C uptake of up to 30% was observed at both current grazing date and advanced grazing date when the livestock density is increased. 535

As for CH₄ emissions, the PaSim heatmap indicates that emissions are higher (\sim >20%) as livestock density increases. While this pattern is clearly observed in the PNE, the results in the PNGP are more contrasted, as the earlier grazing date also leads to increased CH₄ emissions, even when livestock density is reduced. Projected climate conditions do not appear to influence the pattern of emissions, which are mainly driven by management. In contrast, the CH₄ emissions estimated by DayCent are conditional on climatic conditions, with the highest emission values (up
to ~30%) occurring towards the end of the century (i.e. in the period 2041-2070).

Finally, the N₂O emissions estimated by PaSim were mainly driven by the type of management adopted in the two parks, where an increase in livestock density leads to higher emissions (up to ~40%), while a decrease in livestock density reduces emissions to ~50%. Unlike PaSim, DayCent shows contrasting patterns between the two parks. Specifically, N₂O emissions in the PNE are mainly driven by management, where increasing livestock density leads to increased emissions (up to ~30%), while in the PNGP, N₂O emissions are mainly driven by the climate scenarios, with the highest emissions (up to ~40%) for the period 2041-2070 under both RCPs (4.5 and 8.5).

Under the baseline scenario, PaSim-simulated NEE for the LP macro-type showed contrasting 550 patterns. Simulated NEE in the PNE (195±193 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) decreased as the warmest scenarios 551 were approached (107±181 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹), while simulated NEE in the PNGP (151±72 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) 552 increased as the warmest scenarios were approached (163±97g C m⁻² yr⁻¹), making both parks 553 sources of C (Fig. S14 and Fig. S15). For the MP macro-types, NEE decreased in both parks as 554 warming scenarios approached, with the PNE still being a source of C (448±388 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) while 555 the PNGP turned into a sink of C (-91±81 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Fig. S14 and Fig. S15). In contrast, under 556 the baseline climate scenario, DayCent- simulated NEE in both MP (-126±36 and -163±135 g C 557 $m^{-2} yr^{-1}$) and LP (-9±19 and -66±41 g C $m^{-2} yr^{-1}$) macro-types showed negative values in both parks. 558 Under the warmest scenarios, NEE tended to decrease for all macro-types in both parks, with the 559 sole exception of the LP macro-type in the PNE, where it showed a significant increase (+90%) in 560 561 C uptake (Fig. S13 and Fig. S14).

The patterns of simulated CH_4 and N_2O emissions for the LP and MP macro-types matched those reported for the HP macro-type, where the estimates provided by DayCent were mainly

driven by climatic conditions whilst those of PaSim were mainly related to the different
management types (Fig. S13 and Fig. S14).



Figure 7. Heatmap visualisation of the relative differences (%) between the three main greenhouse gas emissions (NEE: net ecosystem

568 exchange; CH4: methane; N2O: nitrous oxide), estimated using two grassland models (DayCent, PaSim), for alternative management

- and climate-change scenarios compared to the current climate and management in the Parc National des Écrins (PNE) and Parco
- 570 *Nazionale Gran Paradiso* (PNGP). Absolute values are given in the supplementary material (Fig. S10).

571 **4. Discussion**

572 4.1. Uncertainty in climate-change impact assessments

The issue of uncertainty in model outputs remains a real challenge for the implementation of a 573 modelling framework in decision-making or information processes. Uncertainty can arise from 574 575 several sources (model structure, parameterisation, input data, initialisation), and the way it 576 manifests itself in model estimates can be difficult to determine and requires interpretation by 577 stakeholders. In this study on climate-change and impact projections in alpine pasturelands, there are different levels of uncertainty on multiple elements (e.g. biophysical, socio-economic). The 578 lack of certainty about the future is primarily related to prospective views at various scales: global 579 580 (socio-economic scenarios), regional (land and soil use) and field (pastoral systems and management). In addition, the chaotic character of the climate system, with its interannual 581 582 variability, limits the reliability of climate projections. For instance, the CNRM-ALADIN RCM 583 used to derive forcing factors for downscaling in the alpine context (Rousselot et al., 2012) is considered relevant for high temporal frequency climate studies in the Euro-Mediterranean region 584 (Nabat et al., 2020), but substantial improvement in simulating spatial patterns and annual cycles 585 can be achieved with an ensemble of RCMs (Fantini et al., 2018). In our study, the use of ensemble-586 mean results to estimate mean trends for alternative RCMs does not take into account the variability 587 588 associated with different RCMs, which respond differently to the same emission scenarios (Supplementary material, section 3), and represents a simplification in the modelling design. 589

Also in the case of vegetation response to CO₂ enrichment, there are large uncertainties in the (complex) climate model projections and underlying scenarios. The extent of our imperfect knowledge of the processes (and their interactions) embedded in models (epistemic uncertainties) is reflected in climate modelling, where it mainly concerns atmospheric and biosphere physics, ocean-atmosphere coupling, embedded empirical relationships, parameterisation and spatial

595 resolution. At smaller scales, impact models (such as the grassland models used here) may suffer 596 from the omission or lack of consideration of long-term climate-related processes (e.g. plant acclimation; Sándor et al., 2018) and their interactions (model structure), as well as changes in 597 parameter values due to new climate conditions (which may require re-parameterisation of the 598 599 model, e.g. Ben Touhami et al., 2015). Estimates of future conditions should be presented for review by stakeholders, who can then assess the different levels of uncertainty in the multiple 600 601 elements and estimate their own relevant projections based on their own area of expertise. In climate-change impact studies, a range of emission scenarios are used to feed climate models and, 602 603 in turn, impact models. Uncertainty accumulates and propagates throughout the process of climate-604 change projection and impact assessment, which is carried out by developing fine-scale climate data from coarse-scale climate models and feeding the resulting local-scale scenarios into impact 605 606 models to determine impacts and assess possible adaptations (Bellocchi et al., 2015). Emission scenarios are neither forecasts nor policy recommendations (Moss et al., 2010) but are selected to 607 map a broad range of climate outcomes for further research and assessment, including impact-608 609 modelling studies. In particular, policies contrary to those established to discuss extreme situations 610 (Cao et al., 2022) should not lead to a misuse of RCP8.5 as a no-climate-policy baseline (Pilke and Ritchie, 2021). 611

Our work demonstrates the value gained by conducting a process to assess the ability of a modelling framework and remotely sensed products to represent past (observational) and future (projected) conditions (two-time frames), based on the outputs of two climate scenarios (radiative forcing), three climate models (climate forcing) and two grassland models (impacts and adaptations). For the latter, the study identified grassland models sufficiently contrasted in their ability to represent processes controlling the dynamics of energy, water and C-N cycles. This choice was made in order to better assess changes in model estimates as a result of changing

619 assumptions and to identify the most significant ones that reflect reality. In addition, this approach 620 helps to identify the range of variability over which model outputs may vary. In the selection phase, two models were identified in which processes are represented at different levels of detail. While 621 PaSim is a complex (grassland-specific) model, simulating C-N and water cycles in detail (with a 622 623 module dedicated to livestock impact and livestock-atmosphere feedbacks), DayCent is a more 624 empirical (generic) model, with relatively simple relationships between driving variables and 625 fluxes. The two models differ in the representation of soil properties, vegetation type, agricultural practices and environmental forcing, as well as in the initialisation of C pools. The common feature 626 627 of both models is that they were both designed to be applied considering the grassland community 628 as a crop with parameters describing the morphological and physiological characteristics of the vegetation set at values that should represent the mean traits of the community. Consequently, their 629 ability to characterise interactions in multi-species grasslands is limited, beyond simple mixtures 630 631 of legumes and grasses (Van Oijen et al., 2020).

632

633 4.2. Analysis of climate-change impacts and adaptation strategies

The two impact models adopted agreed in the representation of impacts such as the timing and 634 extent of the growing season and C-N fluxes, whilst divergences were observed for other outputs 635 636 (e.g. biomass production and peak production). The longer growing season simulated by both 637 models was mainly driven by the extension of the potential growing season in spring, whilst it was limited during autumn-winter, reflecting long-term observations in large alpine regions 638 639 (Barichivich et al., 2013; Ernakovich et. al., 2014; Chen and Yang, 2020). The agreement in the GS outputs suggests the ability of the models to reproduce the changing seasonality of 640 photosynthesis in vegetation, including the beginning and end of the growing season. Although 641 642 both models were widely applied in various contexts (e.g. Calanca et al., 2007; Abdalla et al., 2010; 643 Vital et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Ben Touhami and Bellocchi, 2015; Pulina et al., 2018; Fitton et 644 al., 2019: Fucks et al., 2019, 2020: Melo-Damian et al., 2021), this is one of the few studies reproducing the dynamics in alpine pastoral environments, whose multifaceted structure of territory 645 and vegetation coupled with extreme weather conditions is difficult to parameterise due to limited 646 647 ground-based data for initialisation, calibration and assessment, the complex response of the vegetation growth and information on critical thresholds (e.g. air temperatures, water needs, 648 649 radiation use efficiency) for mixed plant communities. As evidence of this, the mean plant growth trend simulated with both models (20-year means, Fig. 5) does not seem to reflect the observed 650 pattern of very slow or no growth during the snow season, followed by a rapid increase in biomass 651 652 accumulation as the snow melts. Generally, after the onset of growth in May or early June, plants grow rapidly, and daily dry matter accumulation can reach a maximum within a few weeks. 653 654 Grassland models in their current state are not designed to properly represent such a progression 655 of biomass accumulation with the rapid attainment of the first biomass peak. If this behaviour was roughly captured with the calibration work (over three years of AGB data; Fig. S2), and 656 discrepancies appeared less evident with PaSim. Also, the lack of overlap between snow-cover 657 periods and vegetation growing seasons was due to limitations inherent to the modelling of 658 complex ecosystems. Specifically, biogeochemical models are often unable to discriminate the 659 660 presence of snow and biomass at the same time on a given surface unit, but instead work separately on it. Thus, to avoid speculation on the residual amount of snow cover and, therefore, period 661 overlap, the two components were showed separately. Considering that biophysical data show a 662 663 large degree of variability, and that discrepancies between model estimates and actual data are common despite calibration efforts, research avenues can be opened from this assessment to 664 improve simulation models for such harsh environments as high altitude mountains, where snow 665 beds melt late and plants grow rapidly, but the rapid growth period is short during the middle of 666

the growing season, and this pattern evolves with changing climate (e.g. Wang et al., 2020). The extension of data series is indeed critical, as while calibrated models provide an adequate description of the available data, they may not capture known trends over long time horizons (Bellocchi et al., 2010).

671 Regarding C-N fluxes, the two grassland models agreed to some extent across study areas and pasture macro-types, with differences in magnitude and patterns likely associated with the inherent 672 673 structure of each specific C and N sub-model (e.g. Cavalli et al., 2019). The higher C uptake estimated by DayCent compared to PaSim (Figs. S12, S13 and S14) reflects the fact that PaSim 674 675 estimates the animal contribution to ecosystem respiration, which is not accounted for in DayCent's 676 C budget. Similarly, DayCent estimated limited CH₄ emissions since (unlike PaSim) it does not account for fermentative digestion in its C-N sub-model. The magnitude of N₂O emissions was 677 678 instead similar between the two models for each study area and macro-type. Overall, the analysis 679 also revealed that GHG dynamics were mainly driven by weather variables in DayCent and by livestock management in PaSim. In this way, PaSim estimates of CH₄, and CO₂ emissions may 680 better reflect observational studies that underpin the impact of management on the annual C cycle 681 of grassland systems (as well as cropping systems), in addition to the variability of local 682 environmental drivers (Pinares-Patiño et al., 2007; Ceschia et al., 2010; Zeeman et al., 2010, 2019). 683 In particular, the importance of quantifying direct CO₂ emissions from grazing animals is 684 emphasised (e.g. Pinares-Patiño et al., 2007). In the absence of observational data to compare with 685 the simulation results, we refer to the literature on the C sequestration capacity of grasslands, which 686 687 reports contrasting results similar to those of Table 4, which do not exclude that mountain grasslands may oscillate between being sinks and moderate sources (e.g. Zeeman et al., 2010). 688

Although the two grassland models generally agree in their impact projections, they often differin essential details, for instance with regard to future peak pasture production. Among the reasons

691 for these different results is the uncertainty inherent in the structure of the models, as well as 692 uncertainty in their parameterisation (and the generalisation of the resulting sets of parameter values to broad regional studies), which in turn makes the projections themselves uncertain. When 693 considering the influence of these uncertainties on the interpretation and understanding of the 694 695 projections, but also on the direction of the research, it is of great value to know the factors behind 696 these uncertainties (Dietze et al., 2018). DayCent and PaSim showed different responsiveness to 697 water-related factors. The water-limited growth of DayCent rather hinders biomass growth, which, according to climate scenarios, peaks before the start of grazing. With DayCent, the projected 698 scenarios indicate that the water deficit could be the limiting factor for summer growth, which 699 700 could be lower than in the near-past climate baseline. Consequently, DayCent projections towards a greater C sourcing in the PNE are logically associated with water stress and water-limited biomass 701 production estimated by this model under future scenarios (Fig. 7), which limits photosynthetically 702 703 assimilated C (gross primary production). This condition requires further study of the uncertainty associated with the model processes. Thus, the mean responses of alpine pasture production (and 704 related outputs) to climate change, obtained with two impact models, should be considered as two 705 706 extreme situations with respect to plausible future realisations: without water stress (liberal PaSim approach) and with water stress (conservative DayCent approach). 707

However, there may also be biases in the simulation of SWC, with grassland models that may not be accurate enough to estimate these dynamics. This is often associated with an unrealistically low amplitude of the annual cycle (fluctuation damping, after Wu et al., 2002) of the soil water content curve compared to field measurements (Sándor et al., 2017). It is known that the quality of soil water content simulations can seriously affect model outputs. In case of poor estimation of soil water content, model calibration may result in biased parameter values. Several factors, such as permanent wilting point, root distribution and maximum transpiration rate, are in fact related to the 715 rate of water infiltration into the soil during precipitation events and snowmelt periods (Philip, 716 1993), which would require detailed datasets for an accurate description. Because of the known 717 role of soil water content in determining evapotranspiration rates, stomatal conductance and other processes, this issue has obvious implications for sites and seasons where water shortage is a typical 718 719 feature. The response of the models to water-limited conditions is thus questionable, which means 720 that the applicability of the models in semi-arid or arid pastoral systems may not always be 721 supported. This is to some extent related to the ability of roots to extract water from the soil (Volaire 722 and Lelièvre, 2001). The usefulness of soil water content estimation is not as straightforward as for 723 other variables and it is clear the development of improved models is fundamentally necessary for 724 soil hydrology, to rectify structural errors in models and to avoid systematic errors associated with some of the model parameters. These may involve further study of runoff, diffusion and percolation 725 726 processes, while accounting for features such as ponding water formation, and snowmelt and groundwater movement (Hidy et al., 2016). 727

728

729 4.3. Effect of adaptation measures

The climate-change scenarios exhibited an increase in the air temperature together with higher winter precipitation and prolonged drier conditions during the spring-summer period. This condition logically translates into an accentuation of seasonality, with faster snowmelt and a longer growing season (i.e. +15 to +40 days), providing higher estimated yields and evapotranspiration in both study areas. In this perspective, earlier grazing dates and changes in livestock density, which were *a priori* hypothesised as adaptation options, proved to be coherent to cope with these projected changes.

The earlier grazing date better matched the future biomass peaks simulated by DayCent, also
agreeing with observations in alpine regions (i.e. Xu et al., 2016) and thus resulting in a more

efficient use of pastures. Although this pattern paved the way for increasing the number of grazing 739 740 events during the growing season, reduced biomass regrowth due to dry summer conditions 741 inhibited the possibility of further grazing. Reduced soil water availability and increased number of days of heat stress can lead to stomatal closure and inhibit biomass production in summer, which 742 743 must be taken into account in addition to the increase in plant photosynthetic rates with increasing 744 CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere, not to mention the possible degradation of grasslands due to 745 severe summer drought episodes (Moreau and Lorgeou, 2007). For that, any excess water in winter 746 can be used to reduce the water deficit when the soil is drier than the field capacity. While this would hint at the possibility of using irrigation even at high altitudes to extend the number of 747 748 grazing events, the balance between costs (e.g. of energy and irrigation systems) and benefits (e.g. increased end product) would need to be thoroughly investigated. In contrast, in the scenario 749 depicted by PaSim, which would be too liberal in the sense that it simulates optimal growing 750 751 conditions in midsummer (i.e. without an expectation of summer water stress), this adaptation strategy would not be necessary. 752

The model results indicate higher biomass production when LD is increased (although changes 753 in livestock density do not particularly modify production levels). This result is likely due to a 754 higher N availability to plants provided by a higher amount of N excreted in faeces and urine which, 755 756 together with a simulated non-linear effect of grazing on production, led to a faster and higher biomass regrowth rate. While both models consider N from excretion and the effect of grazing on 757 758 production, detrimental effects on biomass production due to the impact of soil compaction when 759 animal density increases were not considered. However, higher animal numbers may increase soil compaction, resulting in poor water retention and altered (slower) mineralisation processes that 760 may reduce biomass regrowth and forage quality (Li et al., 2017). In this perspective, the response 761 762 of biomass growth to changes in LD could be partly overestimated or affected by a certain level of

763 uncertainty in both models. Despite these limitations, we can conclude that the alpine region is set 764 to become warmer and wetter, and that yields in these areas are highly dependent on both water 765 availability and the type of management adopted. The projected climate scenarios and adaptation options considered are not expected to substantially worsen the GHG balance, although a caveat is 766 767 that C sequestration by pasturelands may be reduced in a warmer climate. However, there is a need 768 to further develop and evaluate grassland models for key processes and outputs, such as CO_2 and 769 non-CO₂ emissions, as well as to systematically and more accurately characterise the extent and 770 timing of human intervention in a range of grazing areas covering broader climatic gradients.

771

772 5. Concluding remarks

Research on mountain pastures in two western alpine parks shows that variations in climate-773 774 change impacts and adaptations of these systems are linked to natural and anthropogenic factors to 775 different degrees depending on the pastoral macro-type class studied (defined by an altitudinal productivity gradient). While the use of modelling approaches and remote-sensing products in 776 vulnerability studies is not new per se, the integration of these tools within alpine pastoral 777 778 communities has a point of originality, as the analysis carried out can help to solve multidisciplinary challenges such as which areas are vulnerable and how they compare under harsh 779 780 climatic conditions. The findings of this study indicate an increase in the length of the growing 781 season by 15 to 40 days, leading to expected changes in the timing and amount of biomass 782 production and a likely decrease in biomass regrowth during the summer season due to prolonged 783 drought conditions. The greatest uncertainties were found in the GHG balance and mitigation capacity of alpine pastures, where contrasting patterns were observed between the impact models 784 used (ranging from -350 to +100 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ for NEE), mainly due to the different flux simulation 785 786 approaches. Similarly, earlier grazing dates appeared to be the most suitable adaptation strategy, r87 especially when combined with increasing livestock density, while decreasing livestock densityr88 did not show any significant change.

The elaboration of adaptation measures, carried out in this study with local herding and farming 789 communities, provides a basis for appropriate agricultural policy and land management measures 790 791 adapted to ongoing climate change. However, although different modelling approaches are able to 792 capture distinct aspects of the adaptive process, they tend to be applied in relative isolation, without 793 producing unified representations. The corollary of this is that the usefulness of future projections 794 of climate-change impacts by grassland models, such as those represented here, is strongly influenced by the quality of the climate model data used to run them and the field data used to 795 796 calibrate them. Social impact assessment studies are now needed to examine how production/biophysical/biogeochemical impacts, i.e. the effects of climatic anomalies on alpine 797 798 pasture performances, propagate through the socio-economic and political system. Such an 799 integrated approach, which would include the potential for adaptation and adjustment to climate pressure, would reflect the reality of pastoral communities much better than the modelling used 800 and raises fruitful research questions on the vulnerability of alpine territories and their adaptive 801 802 capacity.

803

804 Acknowledgement

The present work was produced under the co-finance of the EC LIFE programme for the Environment and Climate Action (2014-2020) in the framework of the Project LIFE PASTORALP 'Pastures vulnerability and adaptation strategies to climate change impacts in the Alps' (LIFE16/CCA/IT/000060). It falls within the thematic area of the French government IDEX-ISITE initiative (reference: 16-IDEX-0001; project CAP 20-25). The authors wish to acknowledge the commitment of Dehia Hadjsaadi (Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UREP,

- 811 Clermont-Ferrand, France), who supported PaSim simulations in 2020-2021. The research contract
- 812 of the author Luisa Leolini was co-funded by the European Union PON Research and Innovation
- 813 2014-2020 in accordance with Article 24, paragraph 3a), of Law No. 240 of December 30, 2010,
- as amended, and Ministerial Decree No. 1062 of August 10, 2021.

817 **References**

- Abdalla, M., Jones, M., Yeluripati, J., Smith, P., Burke, J., Williams, M., 2010. Testing DayCent
- and DNDC model simulations of N_2O fluxes and assessing the impacts of climate change on the
- gas flux and biomass production from a humid pasture. Atmos. Environ. 44, 2961–2970.
- 821 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.05.018</u>
- Albanito, F., McBey, D., Harrison, M., Smith, P., Ehrhardt, F., Bhatia, A., Bellocchi, G., Brilli, L.,
- 823 Carozzi, M., Christie, K., Doltra, J., Dorich, C., Doro, L., Grace, P., Grant, B., Léonard, J.,
- Liebig, M., Ludemann, C., Martin, R., Meier, E., Meyer, R., De Antoni Migliorati, M.,
- 825 Myrgiotis, V., Recous, S., Sándor, R., Snow, V., Soussana, J.F., Smith, W.N., Fitton, F., 2022.
- 826 How Modelers Model: the Overlooked Social and Human Dimensions in Model
- Intercomparison Studies. Environmental Science & Technology 2022 56 (18), 13485-13498.
- 828 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c02023</u>
- Alessa, L., Kliskey, A., Gosz, J., Griffith, D., & Ziegler, A., 2018. MtnSEON and social–ecological
- 830 systems science in complex mountain landscapes. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment,

831 16(S1), S4-S10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.1753</u>

- Altaweel, M., Virapongse, A., Griffith, D., Alessa, L., & Kliskey, A., 2015. A typology for
 complex social-ecological systems in mountain communities. Sustainability: Science, Practice
- and Policy, 11(2), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1080/15487733.2015.11908142
- Ayar, P.V., Vrac, M., Bastin, S., Carreau, J., Déqué, M., Gallardo, C., 2016. Intercomparison of
- statistical and dynamical downscaling models under the EURO-and MED-CORDEX initiative
- 837 framework: present climate evaluations. Climate Dynamics 46, 1301-1329.
- 838 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-015-2647-5</u>
- 839 Barichivich, J., Briffa, K.R., Myneni, R.B., Osborn, T.J., Melvin, T.M., Ciais, P., Piao, S., Tucker,
- 840 C., 2013. Large-scale variations in the vegetation growing season and annual cycle of

- atmospheric CO_2 at high northern latitudes from 1950 to 2011. Global Change Biology 19,
- 842 3167-3183. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12283
- Bellocchi, G., Rivington, M., Donatelli, M., Matthews, K., 2010. Validation of biophysical models:
 issues and methodologies. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 30, 109-130.
- Bellocchi, G., Rivington, M., Matthews, K., Acutis, M., 2015. Deliberative processes for
 comprehensive evaluation of agroecological models. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable
 Development 35, 589-605. https://doi.org/10.1051/agro/2009001
- 848 Ben Touhami, H., Bellocchi, G., 2015. Bayesian calibration of the Pasture Simulation model
- 849 (PaSim) to simulate European grasslands under water stress. Ecological Informatics 30, 356-
- 850 364. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2015.09.009</u>
- Ben Touhami, H., Lardy, R., Barra, V., Bellocchi, G., 2013. Screening parameters in the Pasture
 Simulation model using the Morris method. Ecological Modelling 266, 42-57.
- Bengtsson, J., Bullock, J.M., Egoh, B., Everson, C., Everson, T., O'Connor, T., O'Farrell, P.J.,
- 854 Smith, H.G., Lindborg, R., 2019. Grasslands more important for ecosystem services than you
- 855 might think. Ecosphere 10, e02582. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2582</u>
- Bonet, R., Arnaud, F., Bodin, X., Bouche, M., Boulangeat, I., Bourdeau, P., Bouvier, M., Cavalli,
- 857 L., Choler, P., Delestrade, A., Dentant, C., Dumas, D., Fouinat, L., Gardent, M., Lavergne, S.,
- Lavorel, S., Naffrechoux, E., Nellier, Y., Perga, M.-E., Sagot, C., Senn, O., Thibert, E., Thuiller,
- 859 W., 2016. Indicators of climate: Ecrins National Park participates in long-term monitoring to
- help determine the effects of climate change. Journal on Protected Mountain Areas Research
- and Management S. 44-52. https://doi.org/10.1553/eco.mont-8-1s3
- 862 Bornard A., Bassignana M., Bernard-Brunet C., Labonne S., Cozic Ph., 2007. Les végétations
- d'alpage de la Vanoise. Description agro-écologique et gestion pastorale. Éd. Quae, Versailles.
- (in French)

Brien, P., 2018. Analyse de l'évolution de l'utilisation des alpages sur le territoire du Parc National 865 866 des Écrins (1996-2012), perceptions des tendances en cours et des enjeux à venir. L'exemple de la prédation et du changement climatique en alpage. Rapport de stage en entreprise, Université 867

Savoie-Mont Blanc. (in French) 868

878

- 869 Brilli, L., Bechini, L., Bindi, M., Carozzi, M., Cavalli, D., Conant, R., Dorich, C.D., Doro, L.,
- Ehrhardt, F., Farina, R., Ferrise, R., Fitton, N., Francaviglia, R., Grace, P., Iocola, I., Klumpp, 870
- K., Léonard, J., Martin, R., Massad, R.S., Recous, S., Seddaiu, G., Sharp, J., Smith, P., Smith, 871
- W.N., Soussana, J.-F., Bellocchi, G., 2017. Review and analysis of strengths and weaknesses of 872
- agro-ecosystem models for simulating C and N fluxes. Sci. Total Environ. 598, 445-470. 873
- 874 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.208
- Caballero, R., Fernández-González, F., Pérez Badia, R., Molle, G., Roggero, P.P., Bagella, S., 875
- D'Ottavio, P., Papanastasis, V.P., Fotiadis, G., Sidiropoulou, A., Ispikoudis, I., 2009. Grazing 876 systems and biodiversity in Mediterranean areas: Spain, Italy and Greece. Pastos 39, 9-152. 877
- Calanca, P., 2007. Climate change and drought occurrence in the Alpine region: How severe are
- becoming the Planetary Change 879 extremes? Global and 57. 151-160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.11.001 880
- Calanca, P., Vuichard, N., Campbell, C., Viovy, N., Cozic, A., Fuhrer, J., Soussana, J.F., 2007. 881
- Simulating the fluxes of CO₂ and N₂O in European grasslands with the Pasture Simulation 882
- Model & Environment 883 (PaSim). Agriculture, Ecosystems 121. 164–174. 884 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.010
- Cao, W., Duan, C., Yang, T., Wang, S., 2022. Higher Heat Stress Increases the Negative Impact 885
- on Rice Production in South China: A New Perspective on Agricultural Weather Index 886
- Insurance. Atmosphere, 13, 1768. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13111768 887

888	Cavallero A., Aceto P., Gorlier A., Lombardi G., Lonati M., Martinasso B., Tagliatori C., 2007. I
889	tipi pastorali delle Alpi piemontesi. A. Perdisa Editore, Bologna. pp XII-467 p., ill.,
890	EAN:9788883723216

- 891 Cavalli, D., Bellocchi, G., Corti, M., Marino Gallina, P., Bechini, L., 2019. Sensitivity analysis of
- 892 C and N modules in biogeochemical crop and grassland models following manure addition to
- soil. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 70, 833-846. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12793</u>
- 894 Ceschia, E., Béziat, P., Dejoux, J.F., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, Ch., Bodson, B., Buchmann, N.,
- 895 Carrara, A., Cellier, P., Di Tommasi, P., Elbers, J.A., Eugster, W., Grünwald, T., Jacobs, C.M.J.,
- Jans, W.W.P., Jones, M., Kutsch, W., Lanigan, G., Magliulo, E., Marloie, O., Moors, E.J.,
- 897 Moureaux, C., Olioso, A., Osborne, B., Sanz, M.J., Saunders, M., 2010. Management effects on
- net ecosystem carbon and GHG budgets at European crop sites. Agriculture, Ecosystems and
 Environment 139, 363–383. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.09.020</u>
- 900 Chen, X., Yang, Y., 2020. Observed earlier start of the growing season from middle to high
- latitudes across the Northern Hemisphere snow-covered landmass for the period 2001–2014.
- 902 Environ. Res. Lett. 15: 034042. <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab6d39</u>
- 903 Chow, L., Xing, Z., Rees, H.W., Meng, F., Monteith, J., Stevens, L., 2009. Field performance of
 904 nine soil water content sensors on a sandy loam soil in New Brunswick, Maritime Region,
 905 Canada. Sensors 9, 9398-9413. https://doi.org/10.3390/s9110939
- 906 Corona-Lozada, M.C., Morin, S., Choler, P., 2019. Drought offsets the positive effect of summer
- 907 heat waves on the canopy greenness of mountain grasslands. Agricultural and Forest
- 908 Meteorology. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107617</u>
- 909 Della-Vedova M., Legeard J.P., 2012. Alpages sentinelles dans le Parc national des Écrins : un
- 910 espace de dialogue pour anticiper l'impact des aléas climatiques. Assemblée Générale du
- 911 Cerpam, La Chapelle-en-Valgaudemar (05), 18 septembre 2012. (in French)

Dibari, C., Bindi, M., Moriondo, M., Staglianò, N., Targetti, S., Argenti, G., 2016. Spatial data
integration for the environmental characterization of pasture macrotypes in the Italian Alps.

914 Grass and Forage Science 71, 219-234. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12168</u>

- 915 Dibari, C., Costafreda-Aumedes, S., Argenti, G., Bindi, M., Carotenuto, F., Moriondo, M.,
- 916 Padovan, G., Pardini, A., Staglianò, N., Vagnoli, C., Brilli, L., 2020. Expected changes to Alpine
- 917 pastures in extent and composition under future climate conditions. Agronomy 10: 926.
 918 https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10070926
- Dibari, C., Pulina, A., Argenti, G., Aglietti, C., Bindi, M., Moriondo, M., Mula, L., Pasqui, M.,
- 920 Seddaiu, G., Roggero, P.P., 2021. Climate change impacts on the Alpine, Continental and
- 921 Mediterranean grassland systems of Italy: A review. Italian Journal of Agronomy, 16:1843.
- 922 <u>https://doi.org/10.4081/ija.2021.1843</u>
- 923 Dietze, M.C., Fox, A., Beck-Johnson, L.M., Betancourt, J.L., Hooten, M B., Jarnevich, C.S., Keitt,
- 924 T.H., Kenney, M.A., Laney, C.M., Larsen, L.G., Loescher, H.W., Lunch, C.K., Pijanowski,
- 925 B.C., Randerson, J.T., Read, E.K., Tredennick, A.T., Vargas, R., Weathers, K.C., White, E.P.,
- 926 2018. Iterative near-term ecological forecasting: Needs, opportunities, and challenges.
- 927 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115, 1424-
- 928 1432. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710231115</u>
- Dumont, B, Farruggia, A, Garel, J.-P., Bachelard, P., Boitier, E., Frain, M., 2009. How does grazing
 intensity influence the diversity of plants and insects in a species-rich upland grassland on basalt
- soils? Grass and Forage Science 64, 92–105. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.2008.00674.x
- 932 EC, 2008. Regulation (EC) No 1166/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19
- 933 November 2008 on farm structure surveys and the survey on agricultural production methods
- and repealing Council Regulation (EEC). Official Journal of the European Union, Brussels.

935	EC, 2013. Regulation (EC) No 1306/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17
936	December 2013 on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural
937	Development (EAFRD) and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1698/2005. Official Journal
938	of the European Union, Brussels.
939	Engler R, Randin C, Thuiller W, Dullinger S, Zimmermann NE, Araújo MB, Pearman PB, Le Lay
940	G, Piedallu C, Albert CH, Choler P, Coldea G, De Lamo X, Dirnböck T, Gégout J-C, Gómez-
941	García D, Grytnes J-A, Heegaard E, Høistad F, Nogués-Bravo D, Normand S, Puşcaş M,
942	Sebastià M-T, Stanisci A, Theurillat J-P, Trivedi MR, Vittoz P, Guisan A., 2011. 21st century
943	climate change threatens mountain flora unequally across Europe. Global Change Biology 17,
944	2330-2341. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02393.x
945	Ernakovich, J.G., Hopping, K.A., Berdanier, A.B., Simpson, R.T., Kachergis, E.J., Steltzer, H.,
946	Wallenstein, M.D., 2014. Predicted responses of arctic and alpine ecosystems to altered
947	seasonality under climate change. Glob Chang Biol 20, 3256-3269.
948	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12568
949	Fantini, A., Raffaele, F., Torma, C., Bacer, S., Coppola, E., Giorgi, F., Ahrens, B., Dubois, C.,
950	Sanchez, E., Verdecchia, M., 2018. Assessment of multiple daily precipitation statistics in ERA-
951	Interim driven Med-CORDEX and EURO-CORDEX experiments against high resolution

- 952 observations. Climate Dynamics 51, 877-900. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-016-3453-4</u>
- 953 Felber R., Bretscher D., Münger A., Neftel A., Ammann C., 2016. Determination of the carbon
- budget of a pasture: effect of system boundaries and flux uncertainties. Biogeosciences 13,
- 955 2959-2969. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2959-2016</u>
- 956 Filippa, G., Cremonese, E., Migliavacca, M., Galvagno, M., Sonnentag, O., Humphreys, E.,
- 957 Hufkens, K., Ryu, Y., Verfaillie, J., di Cella, U.M., Richardson, A.D., 2015. Five years of
- 958 phenological monitoring in a mountain grassland: inter-annual patterns and evaluation of the

959 sampling protocol. Int J Biometeorol 59, 1927–1937. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-015-0999-</u>
 960 <u>5</u>

- 961 Filippa, G., Cremonese, E., Galvagno, M., Bayle, A., Choler, P., Bassignana, M., Piccot, A.,
- 962 Poggio, L., Oddi, L., Gascoin, S., Costafreda-Aumedes, S., Argenti, A., Dibari, C., 2022. On
- 963 the distribution and productivity of mountain grasslands in the Gran Paradiso National Park,
- 964 NW Italy: A remote sensing approach. International Journal of Applied Earth Observations and
- 965 Geoinformation. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2022.102718</u>
- 966 Fitton, N., Bindi, M., Brilli, L., Cichota, R., Dibari, C., Fuchs, K., Huguenin-Elie, O., Klumpp, K.,
- 267 Lieffering, M., Lüscher, A., Martin, R., McAuliffe, R., Merbold, L., Newton, P., Rees, R.M.,
- 968 Smith, P., Topp, C.F.E., Snow, V., 2019. Modelling biological N fixation and grass-legume
- 969 dynamics with process-based biogeochemical models of varying complexity. European Journal
- 970 of Agronomy 106, 58-66. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2019.03.008</u>
- 971 Fitton, N., Datta, A., Smith, K., Williams, J.R., Hastings, A., Kuhnert, M., Topp, C.F.E., Smith, P.,
- 972 2014. Assessing the sensitivity of modelled estimates of N_2O emissions and yield to input
- 973 uncertainty at a UK cropland experimental site using the DailyDayCent model. Nutrient Cycling

974 in Agroecosystems 99, 119-133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-014-9622-0

- 975 Fuchs, K., Merbold, L., Buchmann, N., Bellocchi, G., Bindi, M., Brilli, L., Conant, R.T., Dorich,
- 976 C.D., Ehrhardt, F., Fitton, N., Grace, P., Klumpp, K., Liebig, M., Lieffering, M., Martin, R.,
- 977 McAuliff, R., Newton, P.C.D., Rees, R.M., Recous, S., Smith, P., Soussana, J.F., Topp, C.F.E.
- and Snow, V., 2020. Evaluating the potential of legumes to mitigate N₂O emissions from
- 979 permanent grassland using process-based models. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 34:
- 980 e2020GB006561. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006561</u>
- 981 Fuchs, K., Merbold, L., Buchmann, N., Bretscher, D., Brilli, L., Fitton, N., Topp, C.F.E., Klumpp,
- 982 K., Lieffering, M., Martin, R., Newton, P.C.D., Rees, R.M., Rolinski, S., Smith, P., Snow, V.,

- 2019. Multi-model evaluation of nitrous oxide emissions from an intensively managed
 grassland. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 125: e2019JG005261.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005261
- 986 Gobiet A., Kotlarski S., Beniston M., Heinrich G., Rajczak J., Stoffel M., 2014. 21st century
- 987 climate change in the European Alps A review. Science of the Total Environment 493, 1138-

988 1151. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.050</u>

- 989 Gottfried M., Pauli H., Futschik A., Akhalkatsi M., Baranok P., Alonso J.L.B., Coldea G., Jan D.,
- 990 Erschbamer B., Calzado M.R.F., Kazakis G., Kraji J., Larsson P., Mallaun M., Michelsen O.,
- 991 Moiseev D., Moiseev P., Molau U., Merzouki A., Nagy L., Nakhutsrishvili G., Pedersen B.,
- 992 Pelino G., Puscas M., Rossi G., Stanisci A., Theurillat J.P., Tomaselli M., 2012. Continent-wide
- 993 response of mountain vegetation to climate change. Nature Climate Change 2, 111-115.
 994 https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1329
- 995 Graux, A.-I., Gaurut, M., Agabriel, J., Baumont, R., Delagarde, R., Delaby, L., Soussana, J.-F.,
- 2011. Development of the Pasture Simulation Model for assessing livestock production under
- 997 climate change. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 144, 69-91.
 998 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.07.001
- 999 Hidy, D., Barcza, Z., Marjanovič, H., Ostrogovič Sever, M.Z., Dobor, L., Gelybó, Gy., Fodor, N.,
- 1000 Pintér, K., Churkina, G., Running, S.W. Thornton, P.E., Bellocchi, G., Haszpra, L., Horváth, F.,
- 1001 Suyker, A., Nagy, Z., 2016. Terrestrial ecosystem process model Biome-BGCMuSo: summary
- 1002 of improvements and new modeling possibilities. Geoscientific Model Development 9, 4405-
- 1003 4437. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-4405-2016
- 1004 Holman, I.P., Brown, C., Carter, T.R., Harrison, P.A., Rounsevell, M., 2019. Improving the
- 1005 representation of adaptation in climate change impact models. Regional Environmental Change
- 1006 19, 711-721. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1328-4</u>

- 1007 Jouglet, J-P., 1999. Les végétations des alpages des Alpes françaises du Sud. Guide technique pour
- 1008 la reconnaissance et la gestion des milieux pâturés d'altitude. Cemagref Éditions and ATEN
 1009 (Atelier Technique des Espaces Naturels). (in French)
- 1010 Jourdain-Annequin, C., Duclos, J.-C., 2006. Aux origines de la transhumance : les Alpes et la vie
- 1011 pastorale d'hier à aujourd'hui. Paris: Picard. (in French)
- 1012 Kollas, C., Kersebaum, K.C., Nendel, C., Manevski, K., Müller, C., Palosuo, T., Armas-Herrera,
- 1013 C.M., Beaudoin, N., Bindi, M., Charfeddine, M., Conradt, T., Constantin, J., Eitzinger, J., Ewert,
- 1014 F., Ferrise, R., Gaiser, T., deCortazar-Atauri, I.G., Giglio, L., Hlavinka, P., Hoffmann, H.,
- 1015 Hoffmann, M.P., Launay, M., Manderscheid, M., Mary, B., Mirschel, W., Moriondo, M.,
- 1016 Olesen, J.E., Öztürk, I, Pacholski, A., Ripoche-Wachter, D., Roggero, P.P., Roncossek, S.,
- 1017 Rötter, R.P., Ruget, F., Sharif, B., Trnka, M., Ventrella, D., Waha, K., Wegehenkel, M., Weigel,
- 1018 H.-J., Wu, L., 2015. Crop rotation modelling a European model intercomparison. European
- 1019 Journal of Agronomy 70, 98-111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2015.06.007</u>
- 1020 Kurtogullari, Y., Rieder, N. S., Arlettaz, R., Humbert, J. Y., 2020. Conservation and restoration of
- 1021 Nardus grasslands in the Swiss northern Alps. Applied vegetation science, 23(1), 26-38.
- 1022 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12462</u>
- 1023 Kurz, P., 2013. Management strategies and landscape diversity in commonly governed mountain
- 1024 pastures: a case study from Austrian Alps. European Countryside, 5(3), 212-231.
- 1025 <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/euco-2013-0014</u>
- Li, W., Cao, W., Wang, J., Li, X., Xu, C., Shi, S., 2017. Effects of grazing regime on vegetation
 structure, productivity, soil quality, carbon and nitrogen storage of alpine meadow on the
 Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. Ecological Engineering 98, 123–133.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.10.026
 - 53

- Ma, S., Lardy, R., Graux, A-I., Ben Touhami, H., Klumpp, K., Martin, R., Bellocchi, G., 2015.
 Regional-scale analysis of carbon and water cycles on managed grassland systems.
 Environmental Modelling & Software 72, 356-371.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.03.007</u>
- Mathieu, J., 2000. Storia delle Alpi 1500-1900: Ambiente, sviluppo e società (volume 4).
 Bellinzona: Casagrande. (in Italian)
- 1036 Melo Damian, J., da Silva Matos, E., Carneiro e Pedreira, B., de Faccio Carvalho, P.C., Premazzi,
- 1037 L.M., Williams, S., Paustian, K., Pellegrino Cerri, C.E., 2021. Predicting soil C changes after
- 1038 pasture intensification and diversification in Brazil. Catena 202: 105238.
- 1039 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105238</u>
- Moreau, J.C., Lorgeou, J., 2007. First elements for a prospective study of the effects of climatic
 changes on pastures, maize and the forage systems. Fourrages Journée de l'A.F.P.F. (Association
- 1042 Française pour la Production Fourragère), 191, pp 285-295, 11 p ; ref : 1/4 p, ISSN 0429-2766
- 1043 Moss, R.H., Edmonds, J.A., Hibbard, K.A., Manning, M.R., Rose, S.K., van Vuuren, D.P., Carter,
- 1044 T.R., Emori, S., Kainuma, M., Kram, T., Meehl, G.A., Mitchell, J.F, Nakicenovic, N., Riahi, K.,
- 1045 Smith, S.J., Stouffer, R.J., Thomson, A.M., Weyant, J.P., Wilbanks, T.J., 2010. The next
- 1046 generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment. Nature 463, 747-756.
- 1047 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08823</u>
- 1048 Nabat, P., Somot, S., Cassou, C., Mallet, M., Michou, M., Bouniol, D., Decharme, B., Drugé, T.,
- 1049 Roehrig, R., Saint-Martin, D., 2020. Modulation of radiative aerosols effects by atmospheric
- 1050 circulation over the Euro-Mediterranean region. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 20, 8315-
- 1051 8349. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-8315-2020</u>
- 1052 Necpálová, M., Anex, R.P., Fienen, M.N., del Grosso, S.J., Castellano, M.J., Sawyer, J.E., Iqbal,
- 1053 J., Pantoja, J.L., Barker, D.W., 2015. Understanding the DayCent model: calibration, sensitivity,

- and identifiability through inverse modeling. Environmental Modelling & Software 66, 110130. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.12.011</u>
- 1056 Negro, M., Rolando, A., Palestrini, C., 2011. The impact of overgrazing on dung beetle diversity
- 1057 in the Italian Maritime Alps. Environmental Entomology 40, 1081–1092.
 1058 https://doi.org/10.1603/EN11105
- Nettier, B., Dobremez, L., Coussy, J.L., Romagny, T., 2010. Attitudes of livestock farmers and
 sensitivity of livestock farming systems to drought conditions in the French Alps. Journal of
 Alpine Research 98-4. http://rga.revues.org/index1294.html
- 1062 Nori S., Gemini M., 2011. The Common Agricultural Policy vis-à-vis European pastoralists:
- principles and practices. Pastoralism: Research, Policy and Practice 1: 27.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/2041-7136-1-27
- Parton, W.J., Hartman, M., Ojima, D., Schimel, D., 1998. DAYCENT and its land surface
 submodel: description and testing. Global and Planetary Change 19, 35-48.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(98)00040-X
- 1068 Parton, W.J., Schimel, D.S., Ojima, D., Cole, C.V., 1994. A general model for soil organic matter
- 1069 dynamics: sensitivity to litter chemistry, texture and management. In: Bryant, R.B., Arnoldm,
- 1070 R.W. (Eds.), Quantitative modeling of soil forming processes. Soil Science Society of America,
- 1071 Madison, pp 147–167. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaspecpub39.c9</u>
- 1072 Philip, J.R., 1993. Variable-head ponded infiltration under constant or variable rainfall. Water
- 1073 Resources Research 29, 2155–2165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/93WR00748</u>
- 1074 Piccot, A., Argenti, G., Bellocchi, G., Brien, P., Cremonese, E., Della-Vedova, M., Dibari, C,
- 1075 Galvagno, M., Ghidotti, S., Napoléone, C., Stendardi, L., Targetti, S., Trombi, G., Varese, P.,
- 1076 Bassignana, M., 2022. Adaptation policies and measures to cope with climate change in Alpine

- mountain farming. Proceedings. ISCRAES (International Symposium on climate-resilient agrienvironmental systems) Dublin, 28-31 August 2022
- 1079 Pielke, R., Ritchie, J. 2021. Distorting the view of our climate future: The misuse and abuse of1080 climate pathways and scenarios. Energy Research & Social Science 72, 101890.
- 1081 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101890</u>
- Pinares-Patiño, C.S., D'Hour, P., Jouany, J.-P., Martin, C., 2007. Effects of stocking rate on
 methane and carbon dioxide emissions from grazing cattle. Agriculture, Ecosystems and
 Environment 121, 30–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.03.024</u>
- 1085 Pulina, A., Lai, R., Salis, L., Seddaiu, G., Roggero, P.P., Bellocchi, G., 2018. Modelling pasture
- 1086 production and soil temperature, water and carbon fluxes in Mediterranean grassland systems
- 1087 with the Pasture Simulation model. Grass and Forage Science 73, 272-283.
 1088 https://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12310
- 1089 Richter, K., Atzberger, C., Hank, T.B., Mauser, W., 2012. Derivation of biophysical variables from
- 1090 Earth observation data: validation and statistical measures. Journal of Applied Remote Sensing
- 1091 6: 063557. <u>https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JRS.6.063557</u>
- Riedo, M., Grub, A., Rosset, M., Fuhrer, J., 1998. A Pasture Simulation model for dry matter
 production, and fluxes of carbon, nitrogen, water and energy. Ecological Modelling 105, 141-
- 1094 183. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(97)00110-5</u>
- 1095 Rousselot, M., Durand, Y., Giraud, G., Mérindol, L., Dombrowski-Etchevers, I., Déqué, M., &
- 1096 Castebrunet, H., 2012. Statistical adaptation of ALADIN RCM outputs over the French Alps -
- 1097 application to future climate and snow cover. The Cryosphere 6, 785-805.
- 1098 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-785-2012</u>
- 1099 Ruti, P.M., Somot, S., Giorgi, F., Dubois, C., Flaounas, E., Obermann, A., Dell'Aquila, A.,
- 1100 Pisacane, G., Harzallah, A., Lombardi, E., Ahrens, B., Akhtar, N., Alias, A., Arsouze, T., Aznar,

- 1101 R., Bastin, S., Bartholy, J., Béranger, K., Beuvier, J., Bouffies-Cloché, S., Brauch, J., Cabos,
- 1102 W., Calmanti, S., Calvet, J.-C., Carillo, A., Conte, D., Coppola, E., Djurdjevic, V., Drobinski,
- 1103 P., Elizalde-Arellano, A., Gaertner, M., Galàn, P., Gallardo, C., Gualdi, S., Goncalves, M.,
- 1104 Jorba, O., Jordà, G., L'Heveder, B., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C., Li, L., Liguori, G., Lionello, P.,
- 1105 Maciàs, D., Nabat, P., Önol, B., Raikovic, B., Ramage, K., Sevault, F., Sannino, G., Struglia,
- 1106 M.V., Sanna, A., Torma, C., Vervatis, V., 2016. Med-CORDEX initiative for Mediterranean
- 1107 climate studies. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 97, 1187-1208.
- 1108 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00176.1
- 1109 Sándor, R., Barcza, Z., Acutis, M., Doro, L., Hidy, D., Köchy, M., Minet, J., Lellei-Kovács, E.,
- 1110 Ma, S., Perego, A., Rolinski, S., Ruget, F., Sanna, M., Seddaiu, G., Wu, L., Bellocchi, G., 2017.
- Multi-model simulation of soil temperature, soil water content and biomass in Euro Mediterranean grasslands: Uncertainties and ensemble performance. European Journal of
 Agronomy 88, 22-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2016.06.006
- 1114 Sándor, R., Picon-Cochard, C., Martin, R., Louault, F., Klumpp, K., Borras, D., Bellocchi, G.,
- 1115 2018. Plant acclimation to temperature: Developments in the Pasture Simulation model. Field

1116 Crops Research 222, 238-255. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2017.05.030</u>

- Shen, M., Piao, S., Cong, N., Zhang, G., Janssens, I.A., 2015a. Precipitation impacts on vegetation
 spring phenology on the Tibetan Plateau. Glob. Change Biol. 21, 3647-3656.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12961
- 1120 Soudani, K., Hmimina, G., Delpierre, N., Pontailler, J.Y., Aubinet, M., Bonal, D., Caquet, B., de
- 1121 Grandcourt, A., Burban, B., Flechard, C., Guyon, D., Granier, A., Gross, P., Heinesh, B.,
- 1122 Longdoz, B., Loustau, D., Moureaux, C., Ourcival, J.M., Rambal, S., Saint André, L., Dufrêne,
- 1123 E., 2012. Ground-based network of NDVI measurements for tracking temporal dynamics of

- 1124 canopy structure and vegetation phenology in different biomes. Remote Sensing of Environment
- 1125 123, 234-245. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.03.012</u>
- 1126 Stendardi, L, Dibari, C., Bassignana, M., Bindi, M., Brilli, L., Choler, P., Cremonese, E., Filippa,
- 1127 G., Piccot, A., Argenti, G., 2022. Pasture areas in the Gran Paradiso National Park. Journal of
- 1128 Maps. https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2022.2120835
- 1129 Targetti, S., Mouléry, M., Napoléone, C., 2019. Agricultural policy, climate change adaptation and
- 1130 sustainability: Assessing drivers and mechanisms in an alpine case study area. Contributed paper
- 1131 at the 8th AIEAA (Italian Association of Agricultural and. Applied Economics) Congress, 13-
- 1132 14 June, Pistoia, Italy. <u>https://www.aieaa.org/aieaaconference2019</u>
- 1133 Van Oijen, M., Barcza Z., Confalonieri R., Korhonen P., Kröel-Dulay G., Lellei-Kovács E., Louarn
- 1134 G., Louault F., Martin R., Moulin T., Movedi E., Picon-Cochard C., Rolinski S., Viovy N.,
- 1135 Wirth S.B., Bellocchi, G., 2020. Incorporating biodiversity into biogeochemistry models to
- 1136 improve prediction of ecosystem services in temperate grasslands: review and roadmap.
- 1137 Agronomy 10: 259. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10020259</u>
- 1138 Van Vuuren, D.P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G.C.,
- 1139 Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S.J.,
- 1140 Rose, S.K., 2011. The representative concentration pathways: an overview. Climatic Change
- 1141 109, 5-31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z</u>
- 1142 Vital, J.A., Gaurut, M., Lardy, R., Viovy, N., Soussana, J.F., Bellocchi, G., Martin, R., 2013. High-
- 1143 performance computing for climate change impact studies with the Pasture Simulation model.
- 1144ComputersandElectronicsinAgriculture98,131-135.1145https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2013.08.004

- 1146 Volaire, F., Lelièvre, F., 2001. Drought survival in *Dactylis glomerata* and *Festuca arundinacea*1147 under similar rooting conditions. Plant and Soil 229, 225-234.
 1148 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004835116453
- 1149 Wanner, A., Pröbstl-Haider, U., Feilhammera, M., 2021. The future of Alpine pastures -
- 1150 Agricultural or tourism development? Experiences from the German Alps. Journal of Outdoor
- 1151 Recreation and Tourism 35: 100405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jort.2021.100405
- 1152 Weitz, A.M., Grauel, W.T., Keller, M., Veldkamp, E., 1997. Calibration of time domain
- reflectometry technique using undisturbed soil samples from humid tropical soils of volcanic
- 1154 origin. Water Resources Research 33, 1241-1249. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/96WR03956</u>
- 1155 Wilcke, R.A., Bärring L., 2016. Selecting regional climate scenarios for impact modelling studies.
- 1156EnvironmentalModelling&Software78,191-201.1157https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.01.002
- 1158 Wu, W., Geller, M.A., Dickinson, R.E., 2002. A case study for land model evaluation: Simulation
- of soil moisture amplitude damping and phase shift. Journal of Geophysical Research 107, ACL
- 1160 20-1-ACL 20-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001405</u>
- 1161 Xu, C., Liu, H., Williams, A.P., Yin, Y., Wu, X., 2016. Trends toward an earlier peak of the
- growing season in Northern Hemisphere mid-latitudes. Glob. Chang. Biol. 22, 2852–2860.
- 1163 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13224</u>
- 1164 Zeeman, M.J., Hiller, R., Gilgen, A.K., Michna, P., Plüss, P., Buchmann, N., Eugster, W., 2010.
- 1165 Management and climate impacts on net CO₂ fluxes and carbon budgets of three grasslands
- along an elevational gradient in Switzerland. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 150, 519–
- 1167 530. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.01.011</u>

- 1168 Zeeman, M.J., Shupe, H., Baessler, C., Ruehr, N.K., 2019. Productivity and vegetation structure of
- three differently managed temperate grasslands. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment
- 1170 270–271, 129–148. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.10.003</u>