

# Role of soil texture and earthworm casts on the restoration of soil enzyme activities after exposure to an organophosphorus insecticide

Fatina Jouni, Juan Sanchez-Hernandez, Corinne Brouchoud, Yvan Capowiez,

Magali Rault

# ▶ To cite this version:

Fatina Jouni, Juan Sanchez-Hernandez, Corinne Brouchoud, Yvan Capowiez, Magali Rault. Role of soil texture and earthworm casts on the restoration of soil enzyme activities after exposure to an organophosphorus insecticide. Applied Soil Ecology, 2023, 187, pp.104840. 10.1016/j.apsoil.2023.104840. hal-04080931

# HAL Id: hal-04080931 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04080931v1

Submitted on 28 May 2024  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

- 1 -
- 2 Role of soil texture and earthworm casts on the restoration of soil enzyme activities after
- 3 exposure to an organophosphorus insecticide
- 4
- 5 Fatina JOUNI<sup>a,b</sup>, Juan C. SANCHEZ-HERNANDEZ<sup>c</sup>, Corinne BROUCHOUD<sup>a</sup>, Yvan
  6 CAPOWIEZ<sup>d</sup>, Magali RAULT<sup>a\*</sup>
- 7
- 8 <sup>a</sup>Avignon Univ, Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Pôle Agrosciences, 301 rue Baruch
- 9 de Spinoza, BP 21239,84916 Avignon, France
- 10 <sup>b</sup>Univ Brest, INRAE, Laboratoire Universitaire de Biodiversité et Écologie Microbienne, F-
- 11 29280 Plouzané, France
- 12 <sup>c</sup>Laboratory of Ecotoxicology, Faculty of Environmental Science and Biochemistry,
- 13 University of Castilla-La Mancha, Avda. Carlos III s/n, 45071, Toledo, Spain.
- <sup>14</sup> <sup>d</sup>INRAE, UMR 1114 EMMAH Domaine Saint Paul 84914 Avignon cedex 09, France.
- 15
- 16 \*Corresponding author: Magali Rault (magali.rault@univ-avignon.fr)

- 17 Abstract
- 18

19 Pesticides exert important effects on the soil fauna and health. However, little is known about 20 the interactions of soil, microorganisms and earthworms in the presence of pesticides and 21 about their respective roles in the soil biological activity. The aim of this study was to 22 evaluate the effect of the soil type on enzyme activities, measured in bulk soil and in casts of 23 two earthworm species, after exposure to the organophosphorus pesticide parathion. To this 24 aim, two endogeic earthworm species (Apporectodea caliginosa and Allolobophora 25 chlorotica) were cross-acclimated in two different soil textures (each representing the most 26 favorable soil environment for that species). Enzyme activities were measured as a soil 27 quality indicator in samples of bulk soil (collected at day 4 and day 7 of exposure to 28 parathion) and in earthworm casts (collected at day 7). A short exposure (4 days) to parathion significantly (ANOVA, p<0.001) inhibited carboxylesterases (25-43% of inhibition) and 29 30 alkaline phosphatase (~23% of inhibition). At day 7 of exposure, parathion impact on the 31 overall soil enzyme activities mainly depended on the soil texture. Indeed, activity inhibition 32 was higher (ANOVA, p<0.001) in silt-clay soil (decrease by 37%) than in silt-loamy soil 33 (decrease by ~18%). Conversely, parathion effect was not influenced by earthworm 34 presence/absence and earthworm species. However, after soil exposure to parathion, 35 earthworms (both species) improved enzyme activity restoration in their casts.

36

37 Keywords: Soil extracellular enzymes; parathion; enzyme-based indexes; integrated
38 biomarker index; *Apporectodea caliginosa; Allolobophora chlorotica.*

### 39 1. Introduction

40 Pesticides are used in agriculture to control pests, weeds, and plant diseases. They include a 41 large panel of active ingredients that belong to different classes (e.g. insecticides, fungicides, 42 herbicides, nematicides), but that all raise environmental concerns (Köhler and Trieskborn, 43 2013). Pesticides are absorbed through surface runoff from the treated plants and accumulate 44 in the soil. As a result, the soil organisms are directly exposed to such molecules. Moreover, 45 pesticides affect soil health by modifying soil biota responsible for maintaining the soil 46 functions, thus contributing to the degradation of soil quality and fertility (Silva et al., 2019). 47 Soil biological functions require the activity of enzymes that contribute to soil health 48 maintenance (Abbas et al., 2021). These enzymes are mainly of microbial origin and are 49 implicated in many intracellular, cell-associated, and extracellular functions (Kiss et al., 1975; 50 Nannipieri et al., 1990; Utobo and Tewari, 2015). They play a pivotal role in the ecosystem biogeochemical cycling (Luo et al., 2017) and in the soil biochemical functions. As microbial 51 52 enzyme activities are easy to monitor and change rapidly in response to ecological 53 disturbances, they are widely used as an early indicator of soil quality (Gil-Sotres et al., 2005; 54 Panettieri et al., 2013) and also as biomarkers of soil contamination by pesticides (Rao et al., 55 2014; Baćmaga et al., 2015; Wolejko et al., 2020). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that 56 soil enzyme activities are influenced by the soil characteristics (including pH (Dick et al., 57 2000)), agricultural activities, and land management (Medeiros et al., 2015), and that different 58 enzymes display different responses upon exposure to pesticides (Riah et al., 2014).

59 Besides the soil microflora, pesticides affect non-target soil invertebrates (Pelosi et al., 2015; 60 Gunstone et al., 2021), such as earthworms (Pelosi et al., 2014), and their related ecological 61 functions. Earthworms are commonly described as ecosystem engineers. They significantly 62 modify the soil physical (aggregate structure, porosity), chemical (nutrient supply and 63 cycling) and biological (soil fauna, microbial and enzyme activities) characteristics (Jones et 64 cycling)

64 al., 1994; Edwards, 2004; Aira and Piearce, 2009; Blouin et al., 2013). They interact with soil 65 components and spread microorganisms in soil while creating their biogenic structures 66 (burrows, casts, and middens) (Brown et al., 2004; Blouin et al., 2013; Lemtiri et al., 2014). 67 Earthworms also influence the soil microbial composition by depositing casts that constitute a 68 microbial hotspot, due to their high carbon (C) concentration, and that harbor higher 69 enzymatic activities compared with the surrounding soil (Tao et al., 2009; Lipiec et al., 2016). 70 Many studies suggest that enzyme activities in casts are influenced by the earthworm diet 71 (Flegel and Schrader, 2000) and soil composition (Kizilkaya and Hepsen, 2004; Dempsey et 72 al., 2013). Soil also plays a pivotal role by affecting earthworm abundance and diversity in 73 cultivated and non-cultivated ecosystem (Singh et al., 2020). Clause et al. (2014) 74 demonstrated that the soil type where earthworms live is the main explanatory factor for the 75 modification of cast properties. Cast properties are also influenced by the earthworm species, 76 and this species-specific effect varies in function of the soil type (Clause et al., 2014). 77 Therefore, both soil texture and earthworm species are important factors that could mediate 78 the pesticide effects on the soil enzyme activities. In addition, it is known that extracellular 79 soil oxidase activities are important for the bioremediation of contaminated soils (Gianfreda 80 and Rao, 2004, 2008; Burns et al., 2013). However, the role of other enzymes in the 81 restoration of polluted soils has been scarcely investigated.

Many laboratory studies found that microbial growth and enzymatic activities are decreased upon soil exposure to pesticides (Pal et al., 2006; Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2017), including organophosphorus insecticides (Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2017; Jaiswal et al., 2021). As the biological interactions of earthworms, soil microorganisms, and contaminants are complex, it is difficult to link soil enzyme activity variations to pesticide exposure. Results could be influenced by the soil type and its characteristics (Wolejko et al., 2020), or earthworm presence (Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2018). To our knowledge, little is known about the

capacity of enzymes found in earthworm casts to restore polluted soils and about the soil typerole in such biological interactions when assessing undesirable environmental effects.

91 The hypothesis of this study was that the presence of endogeic earthworms in parathion-92 contaminated soils reduces the impact of this organophosphorus pesticide on soil microbial 93 activity and nutrient cycling, evaluated by measuring the activity of different enzyme 94 involved in the C, nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) biogeochemical cycles, and microbial 95 activity (dehydrogenase). Two endogeic earthworm species, (Aporrectodea caliginosa and 96 Allolobophora chlorotica) and two soils with constrasting physicochemical properties were 97 used to determine whether the ecological services of endogeic earthworms (i.e., pollution 98 remediation) should be considered a generalized benefit. The agricultural soils corresponded 99 to the field soil where each earthworm species was collected in its natural environment. 100 Therefore, the study objective was to compare the impact of soil type and earthworm species 101 on soil enzyme activities measured in parathion-spiked soils and earthworm casts.

102

#### 103 2. Material and methods

### 104 2.1. Soils and earthworms

105 The two different experimental soils used were Luvisols (USDA). They were sampled in two 106 different orchards, situated at 10 km distance, in Montfavet, near Avignon (southeastern 107 France). Both orchards had not been treated with pesticides for the last 15 years. The first soil 108 (Soil K, Fig.1A) was a silt loamy soil (23.4% clay, 57% silt, 19.6% sand, 28.3 g kg<sup>-1</sup> organic 109 matter, pH 8.3), dominated by A. chlorotica. The second soil (Soil G, Fig.1A) was a silt-clay soil (38.3 % clay, 42.2% fine silt, 19.5% sand, 34 g kg<sup>-1</sup> organic matter, pH 8.5), dominated 110 111 by A. caliginosa. Healthy and adult A. chlorotica and A. caliginosa earthworms were 112 collected manually in their original orchard, washed with tap water, and divided in two groups 113 for cross-acclimation in a dark cold chamber  $(12 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$  for 5 days. These two endogeic species are present worldwide, and exhibit different recovery capacities (Rault et al., 2008)
and cast production reduction (Jouni et al., 2018) upon exposure to parathion. Jouni et al.
(2018) suggested that *A. caliginosa* is the most sensitive between these species.

117 Soil samples from the two orchards were sieved at < 2 mm, and the water content was adjusted 118 to 20-21% (approximately 81% of the maximum water holding capacity) with distilled water. 119 Wet soil samples were spiked with ethyl-parathion solutions to obtain a final concentration of 1 mg active ingredient (a.i.) kg<sup>-1</sup> wet soil, which refers to the usual application rate and 120 121 calculation of the predicted environmental concentration (Jouni et al., 2018). Ethyl-parathion 122 (parathion hereafter), an organophosphorus pesticide, is now banned in most developed 123 countries, but is still used in developing countries, and is a well-known pesticide model 124 (Sabzevari and Hofman, 2022). Control soils were prepared in the same conditions but 125 without pesticide. The wet polluted and unpolluted soils were then equally distributed in 126 plastic pots (100 g of soil/each).

127

#### 128 2.2. Experimental design

129 After the acclimation period, earthworms were washed in tap water, blotted dried on filter 130 paper and weighed. For each experiment, two soils (G and K), two soil conditions (control 131 and with parathion), three earthworm conditions (earthworm-free, A. caliginosa, A. 132 *chlorotica*), and four replicates were used. Therefore, 48 pots were prepared (16 pots for each 133 earthworm condition). For the pots with earthworms, two individuals were placed in each pot 134 to obtain enough casts (total n=32 earthworms for each species). Pots were kept in a dark cold 135 chamber (12±1°C) for the entire experiment duration. Soils without earthworms were used as 136 controls. After 4 and 7 days, bulk soil samples were taken from each replicate and for each 137 condition. Casts were removed after 7 days. Bulk soil and cast samples were stored in plastic

tubes at -80°C, until analysis. The results on parathion toxicity in *A. caliginosa* and *A. chlorotica* have been described in Jouni et al. (2018).

140

## 141 2.3. Soil enzyme activities

142 Extracellular enzymes activities involved in the C (carboxylesterase and ß-glucosidase), N 143 (urease), and P (phosphatase) biogeochemical cycles (Balota and Chaves, 2010; Gougoulias et 144 al., 2014; Lessard et al., 2014) were used as biological indicators of the soil quality. 145 Dehydrogenase activity was used as a direct indicator of soil microbial activity because it 146 reflects the living microorganism biomass (von Mersi and Schinner, 1991; Shaw and Burns, 147 2006). Soil and cast water suspensions (1:25 w/v) were prepared according to Sanchez-148 Hernandez et al. (2018) and homogenized using an orbital shaker at room temperature for 30 149 min. All enzyme activities were measured as previously described in Sanchez-Hernandez et al. (2017). Briefly, carboxylesterase (EC 3.1.1.1) activity was measured using two different 150 151 substrates, 1-naphthyl butyrate and 4-nitrophenyl butyrate, because of the many enzyme 152 isoforms. The reaction mixture consisted of 140 µl of 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH=6.5), 100 µl of 153 soil-water suspension, and 10 µl of substrate (2.5 mM, final concentration). After incubation in a thermostatically controlled orbital shaker (Elmi<sup>®</sup> Skyline DTS-2, 800 rpm) at 20°C for 1 154 155 h, microplates were centrifuged (2,500 g, 10°C, 10 min), and 150 µl of each supernatant was 156 transferred to a new microplate. The product of naphthyl ester hydrolysis (1-naphthol) was 157 revealed by adding 75 µl of a solution containing 2.5% (w/v) SDS in 0.1% Fast Red 158 ITR/2.5% Triton X-100, and incubating in the dark for 30 min until complete color 159 development. The naphthol-Fast Red ITR complex absorbance was read at 530 nm. For 4-160 nitrophenyl butyrate hydrolysis, 4-nitrophenol formation was determined after addition of 75 161 µl of a solution containing 2% (w/v) SDS and 2% (w/v) Tris-base to the microplate 162 containing 150 µl of each supernatant, and absorbance was immediately read at 405 nm.

163 Enzyme activities were expressed as  $\mu$ mol of product per hour and gram of dry soil. 164 Calibration curves were made with 1-naphthol (1.5–100 nmol.ml<sup>-1</sup>) and 4-nitrophenol (5–100 165 nmol.ml<sup>-1</sup>) in the presence of soil-water suspensions to correct the adsorption of the 166 chromogenic substances onto soil colloids. Controls (substrate-free) and blanks (soil-free) 167 were used to correct the background absorbance and non-enzymatic hydrolysis of the 168 substrates, respectively.

169 Phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.2) and  $\beta$ -glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.21) activities were measured according 170 to Popova and Deng (2010) in a reaction medium that contained 100 µl of soil:water 171 suspension, 100 µl of distilled water, and 50 µl of the respective substrate (4-nitrophenyl 172 phosphate or 4-nitrophenyl-\beta-D-glucanopyranoside; 5 mM final concentration) dissolved in 173 20 mM modified universal buffer (pH=6.5). After 4 h incubation (continuous shaking at 174 20°C), microplates were centrifuged (2,500 g, 10°C, 10 min), and 150 µl of each supernatant 175 was transferred to a new microplate. The formed 4-nitrophenol was immediately (<1 min) 176 read at 405 nm after addition of 75 µl of 0.5 M NaOH to the wells. Standard calibration 177 curves were made with 4-nitrophenol (5–100 nmol.ml<sup>-1</sup>).

178 Urease (EC 3.5.1.5) activity was measured according to Schinner et al. (1996). The hydrolytic 179 reactions were performed in 10 ml tubes by mixing 1 ml of 80 mM urea and 1 ml of soil: 180 water suspension, and incubated (orbital shaking) at room temperature (~20 °C) for 4 h. 181 Reactions were stopped by addition of 5 ml of cold 2 M KCl containing 10 mM HCl. Then, 182 tubes were agitated for 30 min to extract ammonium, and centrifuged  $(4,500 \times g, 10^{\circ}C, 5)$ 183 min). Supernatants (150 µl) were transferred to microplate wells, and ammonium was 184 measured after addition of 75 µl of 1:1 (v:v) 0.3 M NaOH : 1.06 M sodium salicylate 185 containing 4.6 mM sodium nitroprusside, followed by addition of 30 µl of 39.1 mM sodium 186 dichloroisocyanurate. Microplates were left in the dark for 30 min for color development, and

absorbance was read at 690 nm. Urease activity was expressed as µg NH4<sup>+</sup>-N h<sup>-1</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil
using a calibration curve made with NH4Cl (3.0–50 µg NH4<sup>+</sup> ml<sup>-1</sup>).

189 Dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1) activity was measured according to von Mersi and Schinner 190 (1991), using iodonitrotetrazolium chloride as the electron acceptor. The formation of reduced 191 iodonitrotetrazolium formazan was determined spectrophotometrically after 60 min 192 incubation at 40°C, and the results were expressed as  $\mu$ mol iodonitrotetrazolium formazan h<sup>-1</sup> 193  ${}^{1}g^{-1}$  dry soil.

194

#### 195 2.4. Data analysis

196 Data (enzyme activities) were first scaled and centered and then analyzed using principal 197 component analysis (PCA) and the 'ade4' package in R. Differences between ellipses were 198 tested with a between-class analysis. Enzyme activities were compared between soils, 199 earthworms and pesticide conditions with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed 200 by the Tukey HSD post-hoc comparison test using the XLSTAT software (version 2013.3.01). 201 The integration of soil enzyme activities into numerical indexes of microbial diversity allows 202 assessing the deterioration of soil quality by pesticides. Three numerical indexes to assess the 203 impact of parathion, soil, and earthworm species on soil enzyme activities were used: 204 Geometric Mean (GMean) index (Hinojosa et al., 2004), Integrated Biological Responses 205 version 2 (IBRv2) index (Sanchez et al., 2013), and Treated-Soil Quality Index (T-SQI) 206 (Mijangos et al., 2010). High GMean index values indicate high microbial functional diversity 207 (Lessard et al., 2014). The IBRv2 index is a modified version of the original IBR index 208 (Beliaeff and Burgeot, 2002) and is based on the deviation between a disturbed (polluted soil) 209 and a non-disturbed (unpolluted soil) state (Sanchez et al., 2013). It integrates the global 210 response of several biomarkers and allows a quick visualization of parathion effect on soil 211 enzyme activities to evaluate the soil quality. Higher IBRv2 index absolute values indicate higher deviation from the control soil. The T-SQI index was proposed by Mijangos et al.
(2010) as an integrative enzymatic index of soil pollution. This index measures the magnitude
and direction (increase or inhibition) of changes induced by an environmental stressor on soil
enzyme activities compared with a reference (here the corresponding unpolluted bulk soil).
For further details concerning the numerical index calculation, see Sanchez-Hernandez et al.
(2017).

218

219 **3. Results** 

220 3.1. Effect of soil type, earthworm species, and parathion exposure time on bulk soil enzyme
221 activities

222 PCA showed significant differences in enzyme activities in function of the soil type in all 223 conditions tested (Fig. 1B). In control bulk soil samples (no parathion), microbial functional 224 diversity (GMean index) was similar in samples with/without earthworms and with A. 225 caliginosa and with A. chlorotica (Fig. 2A-B). The GMean index was significantly decreased 226 in both polluted soils (4 and 7 days of exposure to parathion) compared with control soils 227 (Fig. 2A-B). However, at day 7, the GMean indexes were much lower in polluted bulk soil 228 samples from Soil G than Soil K (decrease by  $36.7 \pm 4.0\%$  and  $17.8 \pm 1.0\%$ , respectively, 229 compared with control soils) (Fig. 2B). Changes in soil enzyme activities were observed very 230 rapidly, already at day 4 of exposure. Specifically, carboxylesterase and phosphatase activities 231 were significantly reduced in both soil types after 4 days of exposure to parathion (Table 1). 232 On the other hand,  $\beta$ -glucosidase enzyme activity was significantly decreased only in the silt-233 loamy soil (Soil K) but not in the silt-clay soil (Soil G). After 7 days, sunray plots showing 234 the T-SQI score distribution for the different enzymes clearly indicated that in Soil G most 235 enzyme activities were decreased in polluted bulk soil samples (compared with control), 236 except for dehydrogenase activity that did not seem to be much affected by parathion exposure (at day 4 the activity reduction was not significant) (Fig. 3A). Conversely in Soil K,
carboxylesterase and phosphatase activities were fully recovered at day 7, and β-glucosidase
activity was partially recovered (Fig. 3B).

240

241 3.2. Effect of soil type, earthworm species, and parathion exposure on cast enzyme activities 242 In earthworm casts (both species), the GMean values were not different in control and 243 polluted samples (Fig. 2C). The GMean values were significantly higher in casts collected 244 from control and polluted Soil K samples compared with the corresponding unpolluted 245 (p<0.0001) and polluted bulk soil samples (p<0.0001) (Fig. 2C). Conversely, the GMean 246 values were comparable in cast samples collected from Soil G (control or polluted soil) and in 247 control soil (Fig. 2C). The T-SQI score distribution for the different enzymes at day 7 248 indicated that all the tested enzymes had recovered their initial activity, except for  $\beta$ -249 glucosidase that was still reduced in casts from both soil types (Fig. 3A-B).

250

251 *3.3. Soil quality* 

In bulk soil, the IBRv2 score increased with the duration of exposure to parathion in Soil G (from 4.06 at day 4 to 6.00 at day 7), but not in Soil K (~3.8 at day 4 and day 7) (Fig. 3C). In cast samples, the IBRv2 scores at day 7 (1.03 from Soil G and 2.02 from Soil K) were lower than in bulk soil samples.

256

257 4. Discussion

4.1. Effect of soil type, earthworm species, and exposure time on bulk soil enzyme activities
Our results suggest that soil enzyme activities are affected by parathion exposure with a timedependent response modulated by the soil texture. First, the observed activity change (at day 4
and 7 of exposure) was very rapid compared with previous works. For example, chlorpyrifos

262 (another organophosphorus pesticide) induced changes in soil enzyme activities after 2 weeks 263 (Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2017) and 45 days (Tejada et al., 2011) of exposure. Specifically, 264 Tejada et al. (2011) did not observe any effect after 3 days of exposure, but only after 45 days. 265 These differences could be explained by the higher toxicity of parathion compared with 266 chlorpyrifos (Kumar et al., 2018). Parathion effect is consistent with previous studies showing 267 that soil carboxylesterase, phosphatase and  $\beta$ -glucosidase are inhibited by organophosphorus 268 pesticides and might be used as indicators of contaminated soils (Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 269 2017). Carboxylesterase inhibition is due to the direct interaction of the organophosphorus 270 pesticide with soil carboxylesterases. This mechanism involves phosphorylation of the active 271 site of serine hydrolases, leading to a covalent and stable 'enzyme-inhibitor' complex 272 (Wheelock et al., 2008). The observed decrease in phosphatase and  $\beta$ -glucosidase activities is 273 consistent with the findings by Sanchez-Hernandez et al. (2017), although we cannot 274 conclude on a direct inhibition by parathion. These results suggest that  $\beta$ -glucosidase activity 275 variation could be used as an early indicator of changes in the soil physical-chemical and 276 biological properties (Monreal and Bergstrom, 2000).

277 Clay content, organic matter (Bandick and Dick, 1999) and total organic carbon (Eivazi and 278 Tabatabai, 1988) are key factors that display a strong positive correlation with  $\beta$ -glucosidase 279 activity (Turner et al., 2002). Therefore, the significant inhibitory effect of parathion on  $\beta$ -280 glucosidase activity in Soil K (silt-loamy soil), compared with Soil G (silt-clay soil), could be 281 related to the soil texture. Specifically, Soil G higher clay and organic matter contents could 282 contribute to  $\beta$ -glucosidase activity stability even in the presence of parathion.

The activity of urease, an N-cycling enzyme, was not affected by parathion, in line with
previous studies showing that exposure to chlorpyrifos does not modify urease activity
(Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2017), except after longer exposure time (Tejada et al., 2011).

Dehydrogenase activity, which reflects the presence of viable cells, is mostly used as an indicator of soil microbial activity (von Mersi and Schinner, 1991). Here, dehydrogenase activity was higher in the unpolluted bulk soil samples from Soil K than Soil G, and increased only in Soil K samples after 4 days of exposure to parathion. As previous studies showed a negative correlation between dehydrogenase activity and soil water content (Wolińska and Stepniewska, 2012), its higher activity in Soil K could be attributed to its lower capacity to retain water (silt-loamy soil) compared with Soil G (silt-clay soil).

293 Lastly, neither earthworm presence nor the species influenced the enzyme activities in bulk 294 soil samples. This was unexpected because earthworms modify the soil biological properties 295 (Jones et al., 1994; Blouin et al., 2013). The most surprising observation concerns the full 296 recovery of carboxylesterase activity in Soil K bulk soil samples after 7 days of exposure, as 297 indicated by the T-SQI values, independently of earthworm presence/absence. Previous 298 studies have shown that soil carboxylesterase activities can be increased in the presence of 299 Lumbricus terrestris, but not in earthworm-free soil (Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2015). Thus, 300 three hypotheses could explain our results. First, the biomass and density of the endogeic 301 species investigated in the present work were lower compared with previous works (Dempsey 302 et al. (2013) used 90 L. terrestris individuals/m<sup>-2</sup>, Sanchez-Hernandez et al. (2015) used 4 L. 303 terrestris individuals/250g soil). Second, the experiment short duration (7 days compared with 304 12 weeks in Sanchez-Hernandez et al. (2015)) might have been insufficient to allow 305 microorganism spreading by earthworms and soil microcosm colonization. Third, 306 carboxylesterase activity recovery could be due to its direct excretion from soil 307 microorganisms. This suggests that endogeic species, such as A. caliginosa and A. chlorotica, 308 may not be involved in carboxylesterase secretion from their gut, unlike what shown for the 309 anecic earthworm L. terrestris (Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2009). Consequently, in Soil K, 310 carboxylesterase activity recovery in polluted bulk soil samples after 7 days of exposure could stabilize its global quality by scavenging parathion molecules, compared with Soil G
(Sanchez-Hernandez et al., 2015). In agreement, the IBRv2 indexes showed that overall,
health status was better in Soil K (silt-loamy) than in Soil G (silt-clay) after exposure to
parathion.

315

# 316 *4.2. Effect of soil type and earthworm species on cast enzyme activities*

317 Our results showed that in earthworm casts, enzyme activities were similar (Soil G) or higher 318 (Soil K) than in their corresponding bulk soil samples, except for  $\beta$ -glucosidase. Moreover, 319 enzyme activities were not different in casts collected from polluted and control soils, 320 independently of the earthworm species. Soil texture was the most important factor to explain 321 the differences in cast enzyme activities in control soils and after parathion exposure. This is 322 consistent with previous works showing that the effect of soil type is higher than that of 323 earthworm species on cast properties (Clause et al., 2014). Casts are enriched in nutrients and 324 harbor larger microbial populations and biomass than the surrounding soil (Sheehan et al., 325 2008; Lipiec et al., 2016). During the intestinal passage, earthworm mucus facilitates organic 326 matter mineralization and humification and promotes microbial activity (Huang and Xia, 327 2018) and consequently enzyme activities in casts. The observed increase in cast 328 dehydrogenase activity compared with undigested soil (bulk soil) confirmed previous results 329 and indicates that earthworms and their active microbiomes enhance the cast metabolic 330 capacity through organic matter oxidation (Liepic et al., 2016). Moreover, depending on the 331 cast properties (pH, moisture) or total N and organic C content, different enzyme activity 332 profiles can be obtained (Bowles et al., 2014). For instance, it has been shown that N-cycling 333 enzyme activities increase with C availability, while C-cycling enzyme activities increase 334 with N availability (Bowles et al., 2014). Therefore, the significant decrease in  $\beta$ -glucosidase 335 activity observed in casts from polluted soils could reflect a reduction in N availability and/or

a decrease in cellulose content following organic matter breakdown during soil ingestion(Nozaki et al., 2009).

Nevertheless, the most interesting result of our study was the restoration of enzyme 338 339 activities in casts collected from polluted soils at day 7. This suggests that the soil transit 340 through the earthworm gut might allow reducing parathion negative impact on the soil 341 enzyme activities. Besides the higher microbial activities in casts, the earthworm microbiome 342 capacity to feed on pesticides and use them as a C source cannot be neglected. In addition, the 343 earthworm gut could act as a biological filter where some ingested microorganisms may be 344 digested, favored, or selected (Drake and Horn, 2007; Wüst et al., 2011; Aira et al., 2015). 345 Therefore, modifications of microbial communities during their transit in the gut might 346 contribute to the microbial composition differences between dejected casts and ingested soil. 347 Moreover, the ingested microorganisms could provide exoenzymes that enhance organic 348 matter degradation in the gut and favor nutrient assimilation (Medina-Sauza et al., 2019). 349 Altogether, these mechanisms could promote parathion degradation and/or metabolization, 350 resulting in enzyme activity restoration in casts.

351 Overall, earthworm presence promoted the maintenance of different enzyme activities and the 352 catabolic potential in cast compared with bulk soil samples. This effect was comparable with 353 both earthworm species; however, parathion direct impact on earthworms may limit their 354 contribution to the microbial activity spatial diversity in the surrounding soil. Indeed, in our 355 previous work (Jouni et al., 2018), we highlighted a species-specific response to parathion, 356 suggesting that A. caliginosa is more sensitive. Specifically, inhibition of A. caliginosa 357 enzyme activities was correlated with a significant decrease in body weight and a strong 358 decrease in cast production. Therefore, the higher sensitivity of A. caliginosa behavioral 359 responses (e.g. cast production) may have ecologically consequences on soil fertility and 360 degradation in terms of quantity of cast produced (Capowiez et al., 2010).

361

## 362 5. Conclusions

Exposure to parathion caused fast changes in total soil enzyme activities in bulk soils and casts. Enzyme activities were more affected by parathion in silt-clay soil (Soil G) than in siltloamy soil (Soil K). Soil G higher clay content, by physical capturing and protecting organic matter, microorganisms, nutrients, exogenous compounds and enzymes, could enhance the contact between soil components at different scales, thus increasing the pesticide effect on soil enzyme activities.

369 Casts collected from polluted soils exhibited a significant restoration of soil quality. It should 370 be noted that casts were collected at day 7 of exposure and could have undergone some 371 ageing, resulting in bacterial community changes. However, Aira et al. (2019) showed that 372 cast collected between day 0 and day 7 are younger samples compared with casts collected 373 from day 15 onwards. It should be interesting to measure the enzyme activity recovery 374 dynamics in fresh casts collected at different time points (from 0 to 7 days). Nutrients also 375 should be thoroughly investigated in casts to better correlate specific enzyme activities with 376 C, N and P content in function of the soil texture. Lastly, as modifications of the microbial 377 communities during transit in the earthworm gut contribute to the microbial composition of 378 dejected casts, it will be important to investigate the pesticide effects on earthworm digestive 379 functions. Analysis of the soil microbial diversity fractions that were maintained or 380 suppressed during digestive transit in the earthworm gut could allow better understanding the 381 biological interactions of earthworms, soil microorganisms, and contaminants.

382

383 Acknowledgement

384 The authors are very grateful to the research structure ECCOREV and the regional grant Ref.
385 SBPLY/19/180225/000077 from the government of Castilla-La Mancha (Spain) for their
386 funding to support this work.

387

390

#### 388 References

389 Abbas, S., Tariq, J.M., Quasim, A., Chaudhary, H.J., Rizwan, M., 2021. Alteration of plant

physiology by the application of biochar for remediation of organic pollutants, in: Mirza

391 Hasanuzzaman, M., Prasad, M.N.V. (Eds.), Handbook of Bioremediation. Academic

**392** Press, pp. 475-492. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819382-2.00030-2.

393 Aira, M., Piearce, T.G., 2009. The earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* favours the establishment

- of *Lolium perenne* over *Agrostis capillaris* seedlings through seed consumption and
  burial. Appl. Soil Ecol. 41, 360-363.
- Aira, M., Bybee, S., Pérez-Losada, M., Domínguez, J., 2015. Feeding on microbiomes: effects
  of detritivory on the taxonomic and phylogenetic bacterial composition of animal
  manures. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 91, fiv117.
- Aira, M., Pérez-Losada, M., Domínguez, J., 2019. Microbiome dynamics during cast ageing
  in the earthworm *Aporrectodea caliginosa*. Appl. Soil Ecol. 139, 56-63.
- 401 Baćmaga, M., Borowik, A., Kucharski, J., Tomkiel, M., Wyszkowska, J., 2015. Microbial and
- 402 enzymatic activity of soil contaminated with a mixture of diflufenican + mesosulfuron-
- 403 methyl + iodosulfuron-methyl-sodium. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 22, 643–656.

404 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3395-5

Balota, E.L., Chaves, J.C.D., 2010. Enzymatic Activity and Mineralization of Carbon and
Nitrogen in Soil Cultivated with Coffee and Green Manures. Revista Brasileira de
Ciência Do Solo 34, 1573–83. http://doi:10.1590/S0100-06832010000500010.

- 408 Bandick, A.K., Dick, R.P., 1999. Field management effects on soil enzyme activities. Soil
  409 Biol. Biochem. 31, 1471–1479.
- 410 Beliaeff, B., Burgeot, T., 2002. Integrated biomarker response: a useful tool for ecological
  411 risk assessment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 21, 1316–1322.
- 412 Blouin, M., Hodson, M.E., Delgado, E.A., Baker, G., Brussaard, L., Butt K.R., Dai,
- 413 J., Dendooven, L., Peres, G., Tondoh, J.E., Cluzeau, D., Brun, J-J., 2013. A review of
- 414 earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services: earthworm impact on415 ecosystem services. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 64, 161-182.
- 416 Bowles, T.M., Acosta-Martínez, V., Calderón, F., Jackson, L.E., 2014. Soil enzyme activities,
- 417 microbial communities, and carbon and nitrogen availability in organic agroecosystems
- 418 across an intensively-managed agricultural landscape. Soil Biol. Biochem. 68, 252-262.
- 419 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.10.004.
- 420 Brown G.G., Doube B.M., Edwards C.A., 2004. Functional interactions between earthworms,
- 421 microorganisms, organic matter, and plants. In Edwards C.A., (ed.), CRC Press, Boca
  422 Raton, FL, USA, pp. 213-239.
- 423 Burns, R.G., DeForest, J.L., Marxsen, J., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Stromberger, M.E., Wallenstein,
- 424 M.D., Weintraub, M.N., Zoppini, A., 2013. Soil enzymes in a changing environment:
  425 current knowledge and future directions. Soil Biol. Biochem. 58, 216-234.
- 426 Capowiez, Y., Dittbrenner, N., Rault, M., Triebskorn, R., Hedde, M., Mazzia, C., 2010.
  427 Earthworm cast production as a new behavioural biomarker for toxicity testing. Environ.
  428 Pollut. 158, 388-393.
- 429 Clause, J., Barot, S., Richard, B., Decaëns, T., Forey, E., 2014. The interactions between soil
  430 type and earthworm species determine the properties of earthworm casts. Appl Soil Ecol.
  431 83, 149-158.

- 432 Dempsey, M.A., Fisk, M.C., Yavitt, J.B., Fahey, T.J., Balser, T.C., 2013. Exotic earthworms
  433 alter soil microbial community composition and function. Soil Biol. Biochem. 67, 263434 270.
- 435 Dick, W. A., Cheng, L., Wang, P., 2000. Soil Acid and Alkaline Phosphatase Activity as PH
  436 Adjustment Indicators. Soil Biol. Biochem. 32, 1915–19. http://doi:10.1016/S0038437 0717(00)00166-8.
- 438 Drake, H. L., Horn, M. A., 2007. As the worm turns: the earthworm gut as a transient habitat
  439 for soil microbial biomes. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 61, 169-189.
  440 http://doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.61.080706.093139
- Edwards, C. A., 2004. The importance of earthworms as key representatives of the soil fauna.
  Earthworm ecology 2, 3-11.
- Eivazi, F., Tabatabai, M.A., 1988. Glucosidases and galactosidases in soils. Soil Biol.
  Biochem. 20, 601–606.
- Flegel, M., Schrader, S., 2000. Importance of food quality on selected enzyme ac- tivities in
  earthworm casts (Dendrobaena octaedra, Lumbricidae). Soil Biol. Biochem. 32, 11911196.
- Gianfreda, L., Rao, M.A., 2004. Potential of extra cellular enzymes in remediation of polluted
  soils: a review. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 35, 339-354.
- 450 Gianfreda, L., Rao, M.A., 2008. Interactions between Xenobiotics and Microbial and
- 451 Enzymatic Soil Activity. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 269-310.
  452 http://doi:10.1080/10643380701413526
- 453 Gil-Sotres, F., Trasar-Cepeda, M., Leirós, C., Seoane, S., 2005. Different Approaches to
- 454 Evaluating Soil Quality Using Biochemical Properties. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37, 877–87.
  455 http://doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.10.003.
- 456 Gougoulias, C., Clark, J.M., Shaw, L.J., 2014. The Role of Soil Microbes in the Global

- 457 Carbon Cycle: Tracking the below-Ground Microbial Processing of Plant-Derived
  458 Carbon for Manipulating Carbon Dynamics in Agricultural Systems. J. Sci. Food Agric.
  459 94, 2362–71. http://doi:10.1002/jsfa.6577.
- 460 Gunstone, T., Cornelisse, T., Klein, K., Dubey, A., Donley, N., 2021. Pesticides and Soil
  461 Invertebrates: A Hazard Assessment. Front. Environ. Sci. 9, 643847.
  462 http://doi:10.3389/fenvs.2021.643847, ISSN=2296-665X
- 463 Hinojosa, M.B., Garcia-Ruiz, R., Vineglia, B., Carreira, J.A., 2004. Microbiological rates and
  464 enzyme activities as indicators of functionality in soils affected by the Aznalcollar toxic
  465 spill. Soil Biol. Biochem 36, 1637-1644.
- 466 Huang, K., Xia, H., 2018. Role of earthworms'mucus in vermicomposting system:
  467 biodegradation tests based on humification and microbial activity. Sci. Total Environ.
  468 610, 703-708.
- 469 Jaiswal, D.K., Krishna, R., Singh, S., Belwal, T., Verma, J.P., Yadav, J., 2021. Toxicity of
- 470 Organophosphate Pesticide on Soil Microorganism: Risk Assessments Strategies. In:
- 471 Singh, K.P., Jahagirdar, S., Sarma, B.K. (eds) Emerging Trends in Plant Pathology.
- 472 Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-6275-4\_12
- Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M., 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos 69,
  373-386.
- Jouni, F., Sanchez-Hernandez J.C., Mazzia C., Jobin M., Capowiez Y., Rault, M., 2018.
  Interspecific Differences in Biochemical and Behavioral Biomarkers in Endogeic
  Earthworms Exposed to Ethyl-Parathion. Chemosphere 202, 85–93.
  http://doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.03.060.
- Kah, M., Beulke, S., Brown, C.D., 2007. Factors Influencing Degradation of Pesticides in
  Soil. J. Agric. Food Chem. 55, 4487–4492. http://doi:10.1021/jf0635356

- Kaur, R., Singh, D., Kumari, A., Sharma, G., Rajput, S. and Arora, S., 2021. Pesticide
  residues degradation strategies in soil and water: a review. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.
  1-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-021-03696-2
- 484 Kiss, S., Drăgan-Bularda, M., Rădulescu, D., 1975. Biological Significance of Enzymes
  485 Accumulated in Soil. Advances in Agronomy, Academic Press 27, 25-87.
- 486 Kizilkaya, R., Hepsen, S., 2004. Effect of biosolid amendment on enzyme activities in
  487 earthworm (Lumbricus terrestris) casts. J. Plant. Nutr. Soil Sci. 167, 202-208.
- 488 Kumar, S., Kaushik, G., Dar, M.A., Nimesh, S., Lopez-Chuken, U.J., Villareal-Chiu, J.F.,
- 489 2018. Microbial degradation of organophosphate pesticides: a review. Pedosphere 28,
  490 190-208.
- 491 Köhler, H.R., Triebskorn, R., 2013. Wildlife ecotoxicology of pesticides: can we track effects
  492 to the population level and beyond? Science 341, 759-765.
- 493 Lemtiri, A., Colinet, G., Alabi, T., Cluzeau, D., Zirbes, L., Haubruge, É., Francis,
  494 F., 2014. Impacts of earthworms on soil components and dynamics. A review.
  495 Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ. 18, 121-133.
- 496 Lessard, I., Sauvé, S., Deschênes, L., 2014. Toxicity Response of a New Enzyme-Based
  497 Functional Diversity Methodology for Zn-Contaminated Field-Collected Soils. Soil Biol.
- 498 Biochem. 71, 87–94. http://doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.01.002.
- Lipiec, J., Frąc, M., Brzezińska, M., Turski, M., Oszust, K., 2016. Linking microbial
  enzymatic activities and functional diversity of soil around earthworm burrows and casts.
- 501 Front. Microbiol. 7, 662–669.
- 502 Luo, L., Meng, H., & Gu, J. D., 2017. Microbial extracellular enzymes in biogeochemical
  503 cycling of ecosystems. J. Environ. Manage. 197, 539-549.
- 504 Medeiros, E.V., de Alcantara Notaro K., de Barros J.A., da Silva Moraes, W., Silva, A.O.,
- 505 Moreira, K.A., 2015. Absolute and Specific Enzymatic Activities of Sandy Entisol from

Tropical Dry Forest, Monoculture and Intercropping Areas. Soil Tillage Res. 145, 2082015. http://doi:10.1016/j.still.2014.09.013.

508 Medina-Sauza, R. M., Álvarez-Jiménez, M., Delhal, A., Reverchon, F., Blouin, M., Guerrero-509 Analco, J. A., Cerdan, C.R., Guevara, R., Villain, L., Barois, I., 2019. Earthworms 510 building soil microbiota, review. Front. Environ. Sci. 7. up a 511 https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00081.

- 512 Mijangos I., Albizu I., Epelde L., Amezaga I., Mendarte S., Garbisu C., 2010. Effects of
  513 liming on soil properties and plant performance of temperate mountainous grasslands. J.
  514 Environ. Manage.91, 2066-2074.
- 515 Monreal, C.M., Bergstrom, D.W., 2000. Soil enzymatic factors expressing the influence of
  516 land use, tillage system and texture on soil biochemical quality. Can. J. Soil Sci. 80, 419517 428.
- 518 Nannipieri, P., Grego, S., Ceccanti, B., 1990. Ecological Significance of the Biological
  519 Activity in Soil. Edited by G. Bollag, J.M. and Stotzky. Eds.; Soil. New York,: Marcel
  520 Dekker.
- Nozaki, M., Miura, C., Tozawa, Y., Miura, T., 2009. The contribution of endogenous
  cellulase to the cellulose digestion in the gut of earthworm (Pheretima hilgendorfi:
  Megascolecidae). Soil Biol. Biochem. 41, 762-769.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.01.016.
- 525 Pal, R., Chakrabarti, K., Chakraborty, A., Chowdhury, A., 2006. Degradation and effects of
  526 pesticides on soil microbiological parameters-A review. Int. J. Agric. Res. 1, 240-258.
- 527 Panettieri, M., Lazaro, L., López-Garrido, R., Murillo, J.M., Madejón, E., 2013. Glyphosate
- 528 Effect on Soil Biochemical Properties under Conservation Tillage. Soil Tillage Res. 133,
  529 16–24. http://doi:10.1016/j.still.2013.05.007.
- 530 Paoletti, M.G., 1999. The Role of Earthworms for Assessment of Sustainability and as

- 531 Bioindicators. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 74, 137–55. http://doi:10.1016/S0167532 8809(99)00034-1.
- 533 Pelosi, C., Barot, S., Capowiez, Y., Hedde, M., Vandenbulcke, F., 2014. Pesticides and
  534 earthworms. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 34, 199–228.
  535 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-013-0151-z
- 536 Pelosi, C., Bertrand, M., Thénard, J., Mougin, C., 2015. Earthworms in a 15 years agricultural
  537 trial. Appl. Soil Ecol. 88, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apsoil.2014.12.004.
- 538 Popova, I.E., Deng, S., 2010. A high-throughput microplate assay for simultaneous
  539 colorimetric quantification of multiple enzyme activities in soil. Appl. Soil Ecol. 45, 315–
  540 318.
- 541 Rao, M.A., Scelza, R., Acevedo, F., Diez, M.C., Gianfreda, L., 2014. Enzymes as useful tools
  542 for environmental purposes. Chemosphere 107, 145–162.
- Rault, M., Collange, B., Mazzia, C., Capowiez, Y., 2008. Dynamics of acetylcholinesterase
  activity recovery in two earthworm species following exposure to ethyl-parathion. Soil
  Biol. Biochem. 40, 3086-3091.
- 546 Riah, W., Laval, K., Laroche-Ajzenberg, E., Mougin, C., Latour, X., and Trinsoutrot-Gattin,
- 547 I., 2014. Effects of Pesticides on Soil Enzymes: A Review. Environ. Chem. Lett. 12,
  548 257–73. http://doi:10.1007/s10311-014-0458-2.
- 549 Sabzevari, S., Hofman, J., 2022. A worldwide review of currently used pesticides' monitoring
  550 in agricultural soils. Sci. Total Environ. 812, 152344.
  551 http://doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152344.
- 552 Sanchez, W., Burgeot, T., Porcher, J.-M., 2013. A novel Integrated Biomarker Response
- calculation based on reference deviation concept. Environ. Sci. Pollut. R. 20, 2721–2725.
- 554 Sanchez-Hernandez, J.C., Mazzia, C., Capowiez, Y., Rault, M., 2009. Carboxylesterase
- 555 activity in earthworm gut contents: Potential (eco)toxicological implications. Comp.

- 556 Biochem. Physiol. Part C, 150, 503-511.
- Sanchez-Hernandez, J.C., Notario del Pino, J., Domínguez, J., 2015. Earthworm-induced
  carboxylesterase activity in soil: assessing the potential for detoxification and monitoring
  organophosphorus pesticides. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 122, 303–312.
- 560 Sanchez-Hernandez, J.C., Marco Sandoval, and Antoine Pierart., 2017. Short-Term Response
- of Soil Enzyme Activities in a Chlorpyrifos-Treated Mesocosm: Use of Enzyme-Based
- 562 Indexes. Ecological Indicators 73, 525–35. http://doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.10.022.
- 563 Sanchez-Hernandez, J.C., Notario del Pino, J., Capowiez, Y., Mazzia, C., Raul,t M., 2018.
- 564 Soil enzyme dynamics in chlorpyrifos-treated soils under the influence of earthworms.
- 565 Sci. Total Environ. 612, 1407-1416.
- 566 Schinner, F., Kandeler, E., Ohlinger, R., Margesin, R., 1996. Methods in Soil Biology.
  567 Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New York.
- Shaw, L.J., Burns, R.G., 2006. Enzyme activity profiles and soil quality. In: Bloem, J.,
  Hopkins, D.W., Benedetti, A. (Eds.), Microbiological Methods for Assessing Soil
  Quality. CAB International, Oxfordshire, UK, pp. 158–182.
- 571 Sheehan, C., Kirwan, L., Connolly, J., Bolger, T., 2008. The Effects of earthworm functional
  572 diversity on microbial biomass and the microbial community level physiological profile
  573 of soils. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 44, 65–70.
- 574 Silva, V., Mol, H.G.J., Zomer, P., Tienstra, M., Ritsema, C.J., Geissen, V. 2019. Pesticide
  575 residues in European agricultural soils A hidden reality unfolded. Sci. Total Environ.
  576 653, 1532-1545, ISSN 0048-9697.
- 577 Singh, S., Sharma, A., Khajuria, K., Singh.J., Vig, A.P., 2020. Soil properties changes
  578 earthworm diversity indices in different agro-ecosystem. BMC Ecol. 20, 1579 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-020-00296-5
- 580 Tao, J., Griffiths, B., Zhang, S., Chen, X., Liu, M., Hu, F., Li, H., 2009. Effects of

- Earthworms on Soil Enzyme Activity in an Organic Residue Amended Rice-Wheat
  Rotation Agro-Ecosystem. Appl. Soil Ecol. 42, 221–26.
  http://doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2009.04.003.
- Tejada, M., Gómez, I., del Toro, M., 2011. Use of organic amendments as a bioremediation
  strategy to reduce the bioavailability of chlorpyrifos insecticide in soils. Effects on soil
  biology. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 74, 2075–2081.
- 587 Turner, B. L., Hopkins, D. W., Haygarth, P. M. and Ostle, N., 2002. Beta-glucosidase activity
  588 in pasture soils. Appl. Soil Ecol. 20, 157–162.
- 589 Utobo, E.B., Tewari, L., 2015. Soil Enzymes As Bioindicators Of Soil Ecosystem Status.
  590 Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res. 13, 147–69. http://doi:10.15666/aeer/1301.
- Von Mersi, W., Schinner, F., 1991. An Improved and Accurate Method for Determining the
  Dehydrogenase Activity of Soils with Iodonitrotetrazolium Chloride. Biol. Fertil. Soils
  11, 216–20. http://doi:10.1007/BF00335770.
- 594 Wheelock, C.E., Phillips, B.M., Anderson, B.S., Miller, J.L., Miller, M.J., Hammock, B.D.,
- 595 2008. Applications of carboxylesterase activity in environmental monitoring and toxicity
  596 identification evaluations (TIEs). Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 195, 117-178.
- 597 Wołejko, E., Jabłońska-Trypuć, A., Wydro, U., Butarewicz, A., Łozowicka, B., 2020 Soil
  598 biological activity as an indicator of soil pollution with pesticides A review. Appl. Soil
- Ecol. 147, 103356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.09.006.
- Wolińska, A., Stepniewska, Z., 2012. Dehydrogenase Activity in the Soil Environment. In
  (Ed.), Dehydrogenases. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/48294
- Wüst, P.K., Horn, M.A., Drake, H.L., 2011. Clostridiaceae and Enterobacteriaceae as Active
  Fermenters in Earthworm Gut Content. The ISME Journal 5, 92–106.
  http://doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.99.
- 605

### 606 Figure captions

Fig. 1. Differences in the two soils used for this study. (A) Soil texture triangle for Soil G and
Soil K. (B) Principal component analysis results of bulk soil enzyme activities in the two
soils.

610

Fig. 2. Geometric Mean (GMean) indexes of enzyme activities in control and polluted (parathion) bulk soil samples after 4 days (A) and 7 days (B) of exposure (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 8) in the different conditions (earthworm presence/absence and species). (C) GMean index of enzyme activities in bulk soil (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 16) and cast (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 8) samples from control and polluted soils after 7 days. Different letters denote significant differences between treatments (p<0.001). EW, earthworms.

617

618 Fig. 3. (A-B) Sunray plots showing the distribution of the Treated-Soil Quality Index (T-SQI) 619 scores calculated for each enzyme activity measured in polluted bulk soil (dotted lines) and 620 cast (continuous lines) samples from Soil G (A) and Soil K (B) after 7 days of exposure to 621 parathion compared with control values (gray thin dotted lines at zero). CbE, total 622 carboxylesterases; Phtase, phosphatase; Glu,  $\beta$ -glucosidase; Ure: urease; Dehy: 623 dehydrogenase. (C) Integrated Biological Responses version 2 (IBRv2) scores calculated for 624 bulk soils after 4 and 7 days of exposure and in casts collected after 7 days of exposure to 625 parathion. IBRv2 values are the sum of the deviations between reference (control bulk soil) 626 and parathion-exposed soils (n = 19).

627

628

629

# 631 Highlight

- 632 Parathion exposure induced a decrease in bulk soil enzyme activities
- 633 Bulk soil enzyme activity changes were influenced by the soil type
- 634 After parathion exposure, soil enzyme activities were restored in earthworm casts
- 635 Earthworm species did not influence enzyme activities in control and polluted soils

# Figure 1



Figure 2





Table 1: Soil enzyme activities (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 19) measured after 4 days of exposure to parathion (1 mg a.i. kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil). Different letters denote significant differences between treatments. 4-NPB, 4-nitrophenyl butyrate; 1-NB, 1-naphthyl butyrate; INTF, iodonitrotetrazolium formazan.

Enzyme activities	Soil	Control	Parathion	Activity	
		Control	Falatiioii	inhibition (%)	P-value
		Day 4	Day 4	at days 1	
				at day 4	
Carboxylesterase 4-NPB	G	$15.11 \pm 2.52$ (a)	11.24 ± 3.80 (b)	-25.6	<0.0001
$(\mu mol h^{-1} g^{-1} dry soil)$	K	$14.40 \pm 4.06$ (A)	8.17 ± 2.80 (B)	-43.3	<0.0001
Carboxylesterases 1-NB	G	1.92 ± 0.76 (a)	$1.20 \pm 0.28$ (b)	-37.4	<0.0001
$(\mu mol h^{-1} g^{-1} dry soil)$	K	$2.55 \pm 0.62$ (A)	1.56 ± 0.64 (B)	-37.2	<0.0001
Phosphatase	G	1.61 ± 0.38 (a)	$1.25 \pm 0.49$ (b)	-22.4	0.009
$(\mu mol h^{-1} g^{-1} dry soil)$	K	$0.90 \pm 0.12$ (A)	0.68 ± 0.12 (B)	-24.6	<0.0001
β-Glucosidase	G	$1.34 \pm 0.19$	$1.34 \pm 0.35$	0	1
$(\mu mol h^{-1} g^{-1} dry soil)$	K	$1.40 \pm 0.12$ (A)	1.00 ± 0.29 (B)	-28.4	<0.0001
Dehydrogenase	G	$76.5 \pm 14.4$	61.7 ± 18.7	-19.3	0.136
(nmol INTF h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	K	110.7 ± 27.6 (A)	$141.2 \pm 24.0$ (B)	+21.6%	0.0005
Urease	G	$66.42 \pm 5.45$	$61.63 \pm 8.51$	-7.2	0.398
( $\mu$ g NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N h <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	K	$62.12 \pm 6.06$	55.14 ± 7.72	-11.2	0.046