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Dynamic *Salmonella* Enteritidis biofilms development under different flow conditions and their removal using nanoencapsulated thymol
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**A B S T R A C T**

In food industries, microbial contaminations are difficult to control due to the recurrent formation of biofilms that hinders antimicrobials penetration and efficiency. An understanding of *Salmonella* Enteritidis biofilms behavior under flow conditions is a key to develop efficient preventive and control strategies. *S. Enteritidis* biofilms displayed 5.96, 6.28 and 6.80 log CFU cm\(^{-2}\) under 0.006, 0.045 and 0.087 cm s\(^{-1}\) flow velocities, respectively. Biofilms exposed to higher nutrient conditions under greater flow rates, induced significantly more biofilm biomass. To control biofilms, the disinfection efficiency of thymol (THY) was assessed under dynamic conditions by encapsulation it into two types of nanocapsules: monolayer (ML) nanocapsules prepared with a single carrier material (maltodextrin), and layer-by-layer (LBL) nanocapsules prepared by combining two carrier materials (maltodextrin and pectin). A combined mixture of ML and LBL nanocapsules at \(1/2\) their minimal inhibitory concentrations induced 99.99\% eradication of biofilms developed under the highest flow conditions, after 5 h. ML nanocapsules decreased significantly bacterial counts during the first 0.5 h, while LBL nanocapsules eliminated the remaining bacterial cells and ensured a protection from bacterial contamination for up to 5 h by releasing THY in a sustained manner over time due to the thicker shell wall structure.

1. Introduction

In agro-food processing plants, persistent contamination of surfaces by spoilage or pathogenic microorganisms with their subsequent biofilm formation causes serious health concerns [1,2]. Eighty percent of microbial infections has been associated with food-borne pathogens in a biofilm state [3,4]. Thereby, biofilms are considered a real threat to food industries in terms of compromised products quality and decreased shelf-life. Moreover, biofilms may cause cross-contamination, increased possibility of foodborne diseases and outbreaks, deterioration of equipment due to corrosion, reduced heat transfer and mechanical blockage, coupled with costly significant economic losses [4-7]. *Salmonella* Enteritidis is involved in up to 85% of foodborne outbreaks in Europe with more than €3 billion of annual expenses [8]. *S. Enteritidis* has shown the ability to form biofilms due to their appendages as flagella that allow their adhesion to surfaces with an increased resistance to antimicrobial agents [9,10]. Therefore, increased efforts are urgently needed to control *S. Enteritidis* biofilms that represent a continuous source of contamination and threat to human health. In food industries, biofilms may encounter a wide range of hydrodynamic conditions as in pipelines, tubes, tanks, etc., and especially during the operating cycles and the clean-in-place (CIP) procedures. These dynamic conditions can strongly affect the morphology, physiology, as well as the formation and detachment of biofilms from surfaces [11-13].

Classical cleaning and disinfection strategies have shown decreased efficiency towards biofilms removal due to the increased microbial resistance, in addition to the reduced diffusion owing to the extrapolymeric matrix that acts as a protective barrier for biofilms [1,6,14]. Therefore, alternative strategies such as the use of nano-based delivery systems encapsulating biosourced terpenes from essential oils (EOs) such as thymol (THY) have aroused as impressive control strategies with remarkable antibiofilm effectiveness against a wide range of pathogenic
biofilm structures and their resistance mechanisms to microorganisms [5,7,15–17]. THY is recognized as safe to be used in food industries by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) [18,19]. Its encapsulation could be a promising tool to overcome the different obstacles arising not only from free terpenes as their high volatility and low stability but also from the biofilm structures barriers and their resistance mechanisms to microorganisms [12,20].

Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of different dynamic conditions on the formation of S. Enteritidis biofilms in a lab-scale pipeline system. Moreover, the disinfection efficiency of monolayer (ML) and layer-by-layer (LBL) THY nanocapsules was investigated on the highest biofilm biomass reported. ML nanocapsules were prepared using maltodextrins as carrier materials and sodium caseinate as emulsifier, while for the LBL nanocapsules, an additional pectin interfacial layer was added. To mimic the industrial cleaning procedures at a lab-scale, the nanocapsules antimicrobial activity was assessed dynamically on biofilms developed in the pipelines.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial strains and culture conditions

S. Enteritidis was selected for this study due to its ability to form highly resistant biofilms to the conventional cleaning and disinfection procedures on both abiotic and biotic surfaces [3]. One hundred μl of overnight precultures of S. Enteritidis (CIP 8297) were inoculated into 50 mL of Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; Biokar Diagnostics, Pantin, France) and incubated at 37 °C until the achievement of the mid-exponential phase. Bacterial cells were then harvested by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 min at 25 °C and washed twice with Potassium Phosphate Buffer (PPB; 100 mM, pH 7).

2.2. Chemicals and antimicrobials

THY (≥99% purity) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). For the preparation of the nanocapsules, sodium caseinate (CAS; Fisher Scientific, United Kingdom) was used as emulsifier, low methoxyl pectin (LMP; Cargill, Baupte, France) as additional interfacial layer, and maltodextrins DE 21 (MD; Roquette-Frères SA, Lestrem, France) were used as carrier materials. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH; Sigma-Aldrich, France) and a pure alkaline foaming liquid detergent (RBS-T105; Traitements Chimiques de Surfaces, France) were used for the cleaning of the pipelines and fittings between trials.

2.3. Biofilm formation

2.3.1. Preparation of stainless steel coupons, pipes, fittings and plastic tubes

Stainless steel (SS) (INOX 304 L, Equinox, France) material was chosen for this study as it is easy to clean, chemically inert, extremely resistant to corrosion at a wide range of processing temperatures, and is widely used in food industries [4]. Rectangular SS coupons of 14 mm width, 44 mm length and 1 mm thickness, and pipes of 14 mm inner width, 220 mm length and 5 mm inner height were used for biofilm formation. Prior to each experiment, coupons, pipes and fittings were sanitized by washing with ethanol (Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich, France), then properly rinsed with distilled water and immersed in a neutral detergent for 15 min. They were then thoroughly rinsed with distilled water and dried in a heat oven for 1 h at 180 °C. Plastic tubes (6 × 9 mm, Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics, France) were also sterilized at 121 °C for 20 min. Material were then placed in sterile conditions until being used for the formation of dynamic biofilms.

2.3.2. Dynamic conditions

The set up to form biofilms in SS pipelines under different dynamic conditions is schematically represented in Fig. 1. For each experimental set up, a set of three pipes was used. Five SS coupons were placed horizontally in the inner surface of each pipe. To assess the difference in biofilm biomass formation, three different flow velocities of 0.006 cm s⁻¹, 0.045 cm s⁻¹ and 0.087 cm s⁻¹ were generated and applied. For each independent experiment, the flow velocity in pipes was maintained constant using a peristaltic pump (Coler-Parmer Instrument CO., Chicago, IL, 60648, U.S.A.). Then for biofiltration, a 10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹ S. Enteritidis suspension was circulated continuously in the pipes in a closed circuit for 1 h to allow bacterial adhesion. Pipes were then drained, and a PPB solution was circulated to remove non-adherent cells. The whole setup was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h with TSB solution being pumped peristaltically into the pipes in a closed circuit to allow biofilm formation. After overnight incubation, the TSB solution was drained and the pipes were rinsed with a circulating PPB solution. Out of the five coupons installed in each pipe, only the three central coupons were removed and subjected to the different treatments and to the microscopic observations described below.

2.4. Assessment of biofilm formation under different dynamic conditions

2.4.1. Biofilm enumeration

To quantify biofilms developed on SS coupons under the different flow conditions, adhered bacterial cells were detached by immersing coupons into 20 mL of Tryptone Salt Broth (TS; Biokar Diagnostics, France) followed by a vortex for 30 s, a sonication for 5 min (37 kHz; Elmasonic S60H, Elma, Germany) and a subsequent vortex for 30 s. Detached cells were serially dilution in TS broth and plated on Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA; Biokar Diagnostics, France). Culturable cell counting was performed after 24 h incubation at 37 °C. Results were expressed as log CFU cm⁻². Three independent experiments were performed for each condition.

2.4.2. Epifluorescence microscopy

The structure and spatial distribution of dynamic biofilms developed
on SS coupons were observed under the epifluorescence microscopy (Olympus BX43, Germany). Coupons were stained with Acridine Orange (0.01%; Fisher Scientific, United Kingdom) at 20 °C for 15 min. Biofilms were gently rinsed with sterile distilled water, air dried in the dark and observed at 100× magnification. Quantification of stained biofilms covering the coupons surfaces was performed by Python image processor software (Version 3.3.4., Python).

2.4.3. Scanning electron microscopy
Biofilms developed under the different flow conditions were also visualized using the scanning electron microscopy facility of the Advanced Characterization Platform of the Chevreul Institute (SEM; model JSM-7800FLV, JEOL, Japan). Biofilms developed on the SS coupons were fixed in Cacodylate buffer (sodium cacodylate trihydrate; 0.1 M, pH 7) prepared with 2% glutaraldehyde for 4 h at 4 °C. Biofilms were then dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol, starting with 50%, followed by 75% and 90%, and finally with absolute ethanol with an exposure for 10 min in all cases. Subsequently, samples underwent a critical point drying and were sputter-coated with carbon prior to SEM observation. Quantification of stained biofilms covering the coupons surfaces was performed by Python image processor software (Version 3.3.4., Python).

2.5. Antibiofilm assays using monolayer and layer-by-layer thymol nanocapsules

2.5.1. Nanocapsules formation and size determination
Monolayer (ML) and layer-by-layer (LBL) nanocapsules encapsulating THY were prepared using the spray-drying technique. The ML nanocapsules were developed using MD as carrier material and CAS as emulsifier. For the LBL nanocapsules, LMP was added to the MD as a second carrier material. For the formation of capsules, primary emulsions were first prepared by a complete hydration of CAS in distilled water. Weighted amounts of THY were then added and the mixture was homogenized for 5 min at 20 000 rpm using an Ultra Turrax PT 4000 homogenizer (Polytron, Kinematica, Switzerland), then microfluidized at 500 bar using an LM20 Microfluidizer (Microfluidics Co., MA, USA). Subsequently, carrier MD solutions (50% w/v) (for both ML and LBL nanocapsules) and LMP solutions (2.5% w/v) (only for the LBL nanocapsules), were added to the emulsions. pH of the final solutions was adjusted to 3 by adding HCl (0.1 or 1.0 M) or NaOH (0.1 or 1.0 M) before the spray-drying process. The final composition of ML emulsions was: 20.0% MD, 0.5% CAS and 1.0% THY, and that of LBL emulsions was: 20.0% MD, 0.5% CAS, 1.0% THY, and 0.5% LMP. Emulsions were then injected into the nozzle of a mini spray-dryer (Büchi B-290, Switzerland) and fixed for 4 h in Cacodylate buffer with 2% glutaraldehyde at 4 °C. Biofilms were disrupted and quantified as previously described. Results were expressed as OD density at 600 nm (OD600) was measured for 24 h in the incubated Bioscreen C (Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland) at 37 °C. The lowest concentrations that inhibited the visible growth of bacteria were determined as the MIC values. Three tests were performed independently.

2.5.3. Nanocapsules disinfection assays
To investigate the antibiofilm activity of ML and LBL THY nanocapsules against S. Enteritidis biofilms, the antimicrobial solutions were prepared in TS broth according to their 1/8 MIC values. A mixture of ML and LBL nanocapsules was also prepared to determine their combined effect on S. Enteritidis biofilms. Disinfection assays were performed on biofilms developed under the highest flow conditions of 0.087 cm s⁻¹ in the pipeline system. After biofilm, formation, coupons were rinsed with PPB solution to remove loosely attached cells. ML capsules, LBL capsules and the mixture of both types of capsules solutions were then circulated peristaltically into the pipelines for 0.5 h, 2 h and 5 h. After the different exposure time, a neutralizing solution prepared by combining TS broth (9.5 g L⁻¹), Saponin (30 g L⁻¹), Tween 80 (30 g L⁻¹), l-Histidine (1 g L⁻¹), Sodium Thiosulphate (5 g L⁻¹), and Lecithin (30 g L⁻¹) was prepared for 10 min to stop the effect of the antimicrobial solutions. Rinsing with PPB solution was then performed. Coupons were removed from the three pipes of the set-up, soaked into 20 mL of TS broth, disrupted and quantified as previously described. Results were expressed as log CFU cm⁻². For each exposure time and treatment, three independent experiments were performed.

2.5.4. LIVE/DEAD epifluorescence microscopy observations
To assess the viability of S. Enteritidis biofilms and the extent of damage induced to their cell membranes, live and dead staining experiment was carried out. According to the LIVE/DEAD® BacLight kit (Invitrogen Molecular Probes, USA) instructions, biofilms exposed to the different treatments were stained for 15 min in the dark with SYTO-9 and propidium iodide. Then, coupons were thoroughly rinsed with distilled water and air dried in the dark. Epifluorescence microscopic observations were carried out at a 100× magnification.

2.5.5. Visualization of biofilms morphological alterations by scanning electron microscopy
Morphological alterations to recovered biofilm cells developed under the highest flow conditions were observed using SEM after exposure to a mixture of ML and LBL THY nanocapsules for 0.5 h, 2 h and 5 h. Recovered bacterial suspensions from coupons were diluted in TS broth. Then, 1 mL of the diluted suspension was filtered sterilized using a 0.2 μm polycarbonate membrane filter (Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel, Germany) and fixed for 4 h in Cacodylate buffer with 2% glutaraldehyde at 4 °C. Filters were then dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol, critical point dried, sputter-coated with carbon and observed under the SEM.

2.6. Statistical analysis
Three repetitions were carried out for the quantitative analysis of biofilm biomass. Data were statistically analyzed using the Matplotlib software (Version 3.3.4., Python). Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test were performed. The statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Biofilms formation under different dynamic conditions

3.1.1. Enumeration
Despite having a great influence on bacterial adhesion and subsequent biofilm formation, the effect of hydrodynamic conditions has been often neglected [21]. Therefore, experiments were performed to better understand how biofilm formation is influenced in response to fluid
flows as previous studies have shown that biofilms biomass, cell density and matrix production are greatly affected by the applied fluid conditions [22,23]. *S. Enteritidis* biofilm counts were assessed after 24 h incubation under three different flow conditions that simulate some of the real dynamic conditions encountered in the food industry (Fig. 2). *S. Enteritidis* biomass adhered to the SS coupons displayed significant differences between the three different flow conditions (*p* < 0.05). The mean counts reported were 5.96, 6.28 and 6.80 log CFU cm⁻² under 0.006 cm s⁻¹, 0.045 cm s⁻¹ and 0.087 cm s⁻¹ flow velocities, respectively. Biofilm formation under the highest flow velocity of 0.087 cm s⁻¹, produced up to 12.4% greater biofilm biomass as compared to the lower flow rates. Previous studies have also shown similar trends with an enhanced biofilm development at higher flow conditions [24–26]. These results could be ascribed to the higher fluid flow that provides a greater continuous transport of nutrients and oxygen to coupons surfaces and to the inner parts of biofilms that subsequently promote biofilms growth [22,27]. The effect of greater nutrients availability under higher hydrodynamic conditions was confirmed by Ref. [28] who reported a favored *Escherichia coli* biofilm growth with higher amounts of nutrients. Similarly [24], showed an enhanced formation of Thalas- spira biofilms at higher nutrient concentration. By contrast, the lower flow conditions that limit nutrient transport slowed down biofilm formation. Moreover, a higher flow of fluids was shown to facilitate bacterial communication efforts as the quorum sensing, and environment sensing as chemotaxis which promote biofilm formation [9]. Fluid dynamics may also help to deliver bacterial cells near surfaces with an assistance provided from bacterial appendages to help in the adhesion process, and may increase the production of extrapolymeric substances (EPS) that strengthen the biofilm matrix [9,29]. For instance Ref. [29], reported a simulated production of EPS with a subsequent enhancement in *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation at higher flow conditions. Higher shear stress was additionally found to improve oxygenation and increase the expression of molecules involved in signal transduction, favoring thus biofilm development [21]. As an example [30], reported at higher shear stress an increased intracellular level of cyclic-di-GMP signals promoting biofilm formation. The high biofilm biomass reported at 0.087 cm s⁻¹ fluid velocity, confirms that biofilms development and accumulation were sufficient enough to overcome the erosion induced by the highest flow applied [23]. These results corroborate previous findings where different biofilms had the highest cell density, biomass and extracellular polysaccharide and protein contents at the highest shear stress applied [22,31–33]. However, it should be pointed out that a reverse trend of biofilms formation could be observed with increased flow rates exceeding the highest flow rate tested. Higher flow rates could be accompanied by even more hydrodynamic forces that can slough of biofilms from the substratum or can lead to a non-attachment of biofilms due to the high shear forces imposed that could be above the critical levels for biofilms attachment [9,34–36].

### 3.1.2. Microscopic observations of the structure and spatial distribution of biofilms developed under different flows

Biofilms structure and morphology could be highly affected by the surrounding flow conditions, and particularly, the local hydrodynamic forces that act on the biofilm-fluid interface [37,38]. Therefore, to further investigate the effect of different flow conditions on the structures and spatial distributions of biofilms, epifluorescence microscopy and SEM observations were performed (Fig. 3). After staining with Acridine Orange, the spatial and structural distribution of biofilms were clearly different between the different flow rates. An evident covered structure of biofilms was commonly observed under the epifluorescence microscopy. Denser, thicker and more abundant cell clusters covering 98.48% of coupon’s surface were displayed for biofilms developed under the highest flow velocity of 0.087 cm s⁻¹ in Fig. 3. A.3. Moreover, the presence of secreted EPS may be indicated by the presence of some diffused staining in Fig. 3. A.3. [29]; reported similar results with a higher secretion of EPS in *S. aureus* biofilms developed at higher flow rates. However, for biofilms formed under lower flow conditions, more separation occurred between cell clusters and some areas of the coupons were only covered with relatively small microcolonies or even single cells as shown in Fig. 3. A.1 and 3.A.2. Lower flow conditions of 0.006 cm s⁻¹ displayed fewer aggregated clusters on the surface. Only 27.66% and 55.38% of the coupons surfaces were covered with biofilms at 0.006 cm s⁻¹ and 0.045 cm s⁻¹, respectively. SEM images revealed similar results compared to the epifluorescence microscopy with dense extensive biofilm structures produced under the highest flow conditions in Fig. 3. B.3. The multilayer biofilm structures were embedded within a strand-like extracellular polymeric matrix and were characterized by thick, three-dimensional clusters that covered 99.32% of coupons surfaces [33]. corroborated similar results showing under the SEM, greater amounts of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* biofilms covering the whole stainless steel surfaces under the highest flow rates. Moreover [13], revealed a multilayered compact *Candida* biofilm structure with a higher quantity of produced EPS under dynamic conditions as compared to static ones. Conversely, biofilms grown under lower dynamic conditions of 0.006 cm s⁻¹ and 0.045 cm s⁻¹ displayed reduced biofilm bulk with 35.29% and 57.01% covered surfaces, respectively (Fig. 3. B.1 and 3.B.2). At reduced flow rates, more spread biofilm clusters were noticed with fewer bacterial cells. These microscopic observations confirm the previous enumeration findings highlighting the boosted formation of biofilms under higher flow conditions.

### 3.2. Effect of thymol nanocapsules on biofilms developed under the highest flow conditions

#### 3.2.1. Quantitative assessment of the antibiofilm activity by enumerating biofilm cells

Previous studies highlighted the potent antibiofilm activity of encapsulated EO components [39–41]. Therefore in the present study, we focused on the potential use of THY ML and LBL nanocapsules to ensure an effective control of *S. Enteritidis* biofilms over time. The
average sizes of the developed ML and LBL THY nanocapsules were 159.2 nm and 283.6 nm, respectively. The bigger size of the LBL nanocapsules is probably due to the additional LMP layer added that increases the viscosity and subsequently the size of the LBL nanocapsules [42].

It is established that microorganisms in a biofilm state account for around 80% of microbial illnesses including foodborne diseases [11]. Therefore, efficient cleaning and disinfection methods should be adopted to control biofilm formation and guarantee food safety in food processing plants. The ability of ML-THY and LBL-THY nanocapsules to inhibit the planktonic growth of *S. Enteritidis* was assessed by measuring the MIC values. MIC displayed for both ML-THY and LBL-THY nanocapsules were 0.62 mg mL$^{-1}$. Similar MIC values for encapsulated THY were corroborated by Ref. [43] against *Salmonella*. The potential antibiofilm activity of nanocapsules was then assessed against *S. Enteritidis* biofilms developed under the highest flow velocity of 0.087 cm s$^{-1}$, that resulted in the highest biofilm biomass per coupon area of 6.16 cm$^2$.

Fig. 4 represents the number of culturable bacteria as mean log CFU cm$^{-2}$ after exposure to the different antimicrobial solutions prepared at ½ MIC. ½ MIC values of nanocapsules were chosen for the treatments as they were the lowest concentrations tested with an efficient inhibitory effect (data not shown). ML nanocapsules induced biomass reductions of 2.9, 3.2, and 3.4 log after 0.5 h, 2 h and 5 h, respectively. During the first 0.5 h, ML capsules reduced significantly bacterial biofilms ($p < 0.05$). Whereas, after 2 h, no more significant additional biofilm reductions were displayed. These results demonstrate that most of the encapsulated THY was released from the ML nanocapsules during the first 2 h as no more significant antibiofilm activity was observed subsequently. Whereas after exposure to the LBL nanocapsules, biomass reductions of 1.2 and 1.5 log, were achieved after 0.5 h and 2 h, respectively. During the first 2 h, a diminished antibiofilm activity was observed due to the lower amounts of THY diffused out of the LBL nanocapsules. The retarded diffusion could be mainly attributed to the presence of LMP as an additional second droplet interfacial layer that provides a higher viscosity and thickness for the LBL nanocapsules retarding thus the diffusion of THY with a subsequent reduced antibiofilm effectiveness [44]. Whereas, after a prolonged exposure time for 5 h to the LBL nanocapsules, significant biomass reduction of 3.4 log was measured ($p < 0.05$). This gives evidence that THY needed more time to be diffused out of the LBL nanocapsules provoking thereby a sustained decrease of biofilms counts from the SS coupons over time. The combined effect of ML and LBL nanocapsules was additionally investigated on the removal of biofilms and it resulted in significant biomass reductions of 3.9 and 4.6 log after 0.5 h and 2 h, respectively ($p < 0.05$). This confirms that disinfection with a combination of the two types of capsules could enhance the activity of individually used capsules against biofilms developed under dynamic conditions. The promoted activity could be attributed to the combined effect of the terpenes released quickly from the ML capsules and the terpenes released from the LBL capsules even if in lower amounts, during the first 2 h. Furthermore, after 5 h, the combined treatment of ML and LBL nanocapsules presented an inhibitory effect with 99.99% eradication of biofilms. The prolonged antibiofilm activity over 5 h, could be mainly attributed to the LBL nanocapsules that released THY progressively and in a controlled manner. Whereas, the activity of the ML nanocapsules is considered as constant after 2 h, as already most of the encapsulated terpenes were released during the first 2 h. This prolonged antibiofilm activity over time, ensures a sustained protection of closed surfaces as pipelines and

![Fig. 3.](image-url1) (A) Epifluorescence microscopic observations of *S. Enteritidis* biofilms developed under different flow conditions: 3)A)1) 0.006 cm s$^{-1}$, 3)A)2) 0.045 cm s$^{-1}$, 3)A)3) 0.087 cm s$^{-1}$; and Fig. 3 (B) representing scanning electron microscopic observations of *S. Enteritidis* biofilms developed under different flow conditions: 3)B)1) 0.006 cm s$^{-1}$, 3)B)2) 0.045 cm s$^{-1}$, 3)B)3) 0.087 cm s$^{-1}$.

![Fig. 4.](image-url2) Mean log CFU cm$^{-2}$ of *S. Enteritidis* biofilms after exposure to ML and LBL nanocapsules at different exposure times. Different letters indicate significant ($p < 0.05$) differences.
tanks from bacterial contaminations and prevents the regrowth of residual surviving bacteria especially during the non-operating hours. The combination of capsules highlighted thus the potential use of ML nanocapsules for an initial immediate disinfection of surfaces due to the fast release of THY, and the LBL nanocapsules to remove residual bacterial cells that have not been eliminated previously while ensuring a long-term disinfection and protection of surfaces from microbial contaminations. Moreover, it should be noted that the hydrodynamic forces induced by the flow of the antimicrobial solutions in the pipelines, could promote the penetration of antimicrobials into the deep layers of biofilms sloughing of more easily attached biofilms from surfaces [9,27].

3.2.2. Qualitative assessment of antibiofilm activity of thymol nanocapsules

3.2.2.1. Viability and membrane damage of biofilm cells. After different exposure time to the ML, LBL and a mixture of both types of nanocapsules, the viability of S. Enteritis biofilms was observed under the epifluorescence microscopy and the results are displayed in Fig. 5. Live bacterial cells are stained with green by SYTO-9, while bacterial cells with damaged membranes are stained with red by propidium iodide. The results are consistent with the previous quantitative antibiofilm assessment. Control untreated bacterial cells exhibited a dense viable biofilm structure predominantly of live bacteria (green cells) at 0.5 h, 2 h and 5 h. While, after exposure to ML and LBL nanocapsules, there was an obvious decrease in green viable bacteria and an increase in red dead bacterial cells according to the different treatment types and exposure times. ML nanocapsules disrupted biofilms structure and induced membranes damage (marked by a red color) during the first 0.5 h mainly. While for the rest of the exposure time, no more remarkable membrane damage was displayed by the ML nanocapsules as there was no obvious increase in red coloration. This could be explained by the fact that ML nanocapsules provoked their main antibiofilm activity during the first 0.5 h, due to the initial burst release of THY. For the LBL nanocapsules, after 0.5 h and 2 h of treatment, green color dominated indicating that most of the cells remained viable as a retarded diffusion of THY occurred during the first 2 h. Whereas, after 5 h relatively more red cells with damaged bacterial membranes were observed. This is probably due to the additional time needed for the diffusion of THY out of the capsules and their subsequent antibiofilm activity. At the end, it can be also confirmed from the microscopic images that a combination of ML and LBL THY treatment for 5 h, achieved a total damage to biofilm cell membranes with dead red cells covering all the coupons surface. These results are similar to previous studies showing by microscopic observations the significant ability of encapsulated EOs components to penetrate into the deep layers of biofilms provoking bacterial cell damage and death [14,45,46].

3.2.2.2. Morphological alterations of biofilm cells. SEM images were obtained to visualize the structural differences between recovered S. Enteritidis biofilm cells treated with ML and LBL THY nanocapsules and those which were untreated. The combined effect of the nanocapsules on biofilms developed under the highest flow conditions was observed under the SEM after 0.5 h, 2 h and 5 h of exposure. The morphological alterations induced to bacterial cells after the different treatments are displayed in Fig. 6. Control untreated cells showed normal rod-shaped morphologies with smooth surfaces. Whereas after the first 0.5 h exposure to the ML and LBL nanocapsules, few biofilms recovered cells displayed a complete collapsed structure. The remaining cells showed intact structures with evident strand-like extrapolymeric substances linking bacteria and represented by white arrows in Fig. 6B. After 2 h of
exposure, remarkable additional deformation and shrinkage of most of the bacterial cells was observed. Moreover, after 5 h, almost all recovered biofilm cells were deformed, shrunken and wrinkled with holes in their structures, distinguishing them from the smooth and regular surfaces observed in control cells. Similar results were corroborated by Refs. [14,41] showing under the SEM, the great impact of encapsulated carvacrol and thymol on the morphology of bacterial cell membranes. The results suggest that an exposure to a combination of ML and LBL nanocapsules for a prolonged period of time is heavily involved in the destruction and death of bacterial cells. SEM micrographs confirm the diffusion of THY across biofilm structures and their direct effect on cell membranes which provokes a leakage of vital cell constituents with a subsequent deformation, shrinkage and death of bacterial cells. These observations are in agreement with the previously displayed results.

4. Conclusion

To mimic some of the real conditions encountered in food processing plants, S. Enteritidis biofilms were developed under different flow velocities in a pipeline system. Biofilm quantification was influenced by the different flow conditions as significantly greater biomass were displayed under the highest flows. At higher velocities, a greater replenishment of nutrients and substrates might occur which increases biofilms growth and development. Moreover, in this work we emphasized the potential use of ML and LBL THY nanocapsules to detach biofilms grown under dynamic conditions and to ensure a prolonged disinfection of food contact surfaces. Disinfection using a mixture of the two types of nanocapsules disrupted biofilms clusters, induced membrane damages and eradicated totally biofilms. ML nanocapsules ensured a primary efficient disinfection of surfaces, while LBL nanocapsules removed the remaining bacterial cells and displayed a prolonged protection of surfaces for up to 5 h, by releasing THY in a sustained and controlled manner over time. Future work is required for the implementation of essential oil terpene capsules in the food industries and the investigation of their combined effect with the hydrodynamic conditions to control biofilms and to ensure a long-term protection of surfaces. Also, further studies with much higher flow velocities are warranted to fully understand and elucidate the impact of different hydrodynamic conditions on biofilms growth as well as on their detachment.
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Fig. 6. Scanning electron microscopy images of recovered S. Enteritidis biofilm cells (a) control, and exposed to ML nanocapsules combined with LBL nanocapsules after exposure for (b) 0.5 h, (c) 2 h, and (d) 5 h.