



HAL
open science

BABA-induced pathogen resistance: a multi-omics analysis of the tomato response reveals a hyper-receptive status involving ethylene

Martina Zapletalová, Corinne Rancurel, Benoit Industri, Marc Bardin, Kevin Lebrigand, Philippe C. Nicot, Virginie Magnone, Aurélie Seassau, Pascal Barbry, David Potěšil, et al.

► To cite this version:

Martina Zapletalová, Corinne Rancurel, Benoit Industri, Marc Bardin, Kevin Lebrigand, et al.. BABA-induced pathogen resistance: a multi-omics analysis of the tomato response reveals a hyper-receptive status involving ethylene. Horticulture research, 2023, pp.uhad068. <10.1093/hr/uhad068>. <hal-04083547>

HAL Id: hal-04083547

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04083547v1>

Submitted on 27 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 - Attribution - International License

1 **Title: BABA-induced pathogen resistance: a multi-omics analysis of the**
2 **tomato response reveals a hyper-receptive status involving ethylene**

3 **Running title: The multi-omics analysis of BABA-treated tomato**

4 Martina Zapletalová (martinazapletalova@mail.muni.cz)^{1,2#}, Corinne Rancurel
5 (corinne.rancurel@inrae.fr)^{1#}, Benoit Industri (Benoit.Industri@inrae.fr)¹, Marc Bardin
6 (marc.bardin@inrae.fr)³, Kevin Le Brigand (lebrigand@ipmc.cnrs.fr)⁴, Philippe Nicot
7 (philippe.nicot@inrae.fr)³, Virginie Magnone (magnone@ipmc.cnrs.fr)⁴, Aurélie Seassau
8 (aurelie.seassau@inrae.fr)¹, Pascal Barbry (barbry@ipmc.cnrs.fr)⁴, David Potěšil
9 (dpotesil@mail.muni.cz)⁵, Zbyněk Zdráhal (zdrahal@sci.muni.cz)⁵, Michel Ponchet
10 (michel.ponchet@inrae.fr)¹, and Jan Lochman (jlochman@sci.muni.cz)^{2*}

11 ¹ INRAE 1355, CNRS 6254, Université Côte d'Azur, UMR Institut Sophia Agrobiotech, 400, Route des
12 Chappes, 06903 Sophia Antipolis, France

13 ² Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 61137 Brno, Czech
14 Republic

15 ³ INRAE, Unité 407 Pathologie végétale, Domaine Saint-Maurice, 84143 Montfavet cedex, France

16 ⁴ Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS 7275, UMR Institut de Pharmacologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, 660
17 Route des Lucioles 06560 Valbonne, France

18 ⁵Central European Institute of Technology, Masaryk University, Kamenice 5, 625 00 Brno, Czech
19 Republic

20 # co-first authors who equally contributed to the work

21 * Corresponding authors: Jan Lochman (email: jlochman@sci.muni.cz, Tel: +420549495602, Fax:
22 +420549492690)

23

24 © The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press. This is an Open Access article
25 distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

26 <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and
27 reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

28

29 1. ABSTRACT

30 Prior exposure to microbial-associated molecular patterns or specific chemical compounds can
31 promote plants into a primed state with stronger defence responses. β -aminobutyric acid (BABA) is
32 an endogenous stress metabolite that induces resistance protecting various plants towards diverse
33 stresses. In this study, by integrating BABA-induced changes in selected metabolites with
34 transcriptome and proteome data, we generated a global map of the molecular processes operating
35 in BABA-induced resistance (BABA-IR) in tomato. BABA significantly restricts the growth of the
36 pathogens *Oidium neolycopersici* and *Phytophthora parasitica* but not *Botrytis cinerea*. A cluster
37 analysis of the upregulated processes showed that BABA acts mainly as a stress factor in tomato. The
38 main factor distinguishing BABA-IR from other stress conditions was the extensive induction of
39 signaling and perception machinery playing a key role in effective resistance against pathogens.
40 Interestingly, the signalling processes and immune response activated during BABA-IR in tomato
41 differed from those in *Arabidopsis* with substantial enrichment of genes associated with jasmonic
42 acid (JA) and ethylene (ET) signalling and no change in Asp levels. Our results revealed key
43 differences between the effect of BABA on tomato and other model plants studied until now.
44 Surprisingly, salicylic acid (SA) is not involved in BABA downstream signalization whereas ET and JA
45 play a crucial role.

46 2. INTRODUCTION

47 Throughout their lives, plants are constantly exposed to many stressful situations caused by
48 changing environmental conditions or attacks by various pests and pathogenic microorganisms.
49 Therefore, they have evolved structural barriers, microbicidal secondary metabolites, and inducible
50 defence mechanisms to repel potential attackers. Unfortunately, the basal immune responses of
51 plants are usually only sufficient to slow their colonisation by pathogens. As a result, a significant
52 portion of the world's plant production is destroyed each year by fungi, oomycetes, bacteria, insects,
53 and nematodes [1]. Although plants do not have the adaptive immune system of vertebrates, it has
54 long been known that components of the innate immune system of plants can learn from the past
55 [2]. When exposed to microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) or specific chemical
56 compounds, plants can enter a state of enhanced defence characterised by more rapid and robust
57 responses to stressful stimuli. Although the term "defence priming" was proposed in the 1930s, the
58 molecular mechanisms underlying this phenomenon have only recently been partially elucidated,
59 particularly in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* [3,4]. Defence priming causes increased
60 expression of genes related to stress and defence [5], including many transcription factors that
61 regulate defence [6], and is now considered an essential component of several types of systemic

62 plant immunity, including acquired systemic acquired resistance (SAR), induced systemic resistance
63 (ISR) [3,7], wound-induced resistance [8], and resistance induced by chemical compounds. Unlike
64 strategies based on single resistance genes, defence priming activates multigenic defence
65 mechanisms, conferring relatively durable resistance [3]. One of the most effective priming agents is
66 the non-protein amino acid β -aminobutyric acid (BABA), which protects various plant species against
67 a wide range of stresses [9]. Importantly, this resistance is long-lasting and can be transferred to
68 vegetative progeny [10,11]. BABA was previously considered a xenobiotic, but it has recently been
69 shown to accumulate in stress-exposed plants [12], suggesting that it is an endogenous stress
70 metabolite [13]. BABA induces resistance via the action of several hormones, including salicylic acid
71 (SA) [14,15], jasmonic acid (JA) [16], abscisic acid (ABA) [17], and ethylene (ET) [15]; the signalling
72 pathway that is activated appears to depend strongly on the particular plant-pathogen combination
73 [6]. Recently, it was discovered that the aspartyl-tRNA synthetase (AsPRS) IBI1 in *Arabidopsis*
74 *thaliana* serves as an enantiomer-specific BABA receptor that interacts with the transcription factors
75 VOZ1 and VOZ2 [17,18]. In BABA -primed cells, this interaction represses the expression of ABA
76 genes, resulting in increased expression of PTI genes and callose-associated defence [17]. The
77 previous study of our laboratory showed that, as in potato, effective BABA-IR is also associated with
78 the formation of HR -like lesions in tomato [19]. However, while BABA-IR appears to activate SA
79 signalling pathways in *Arabidopsis* and potato plants, our results suggest that ET signalling pathways
80 play a key role in BABA-IR in tomato plants [19].


81 Here, we present a study in which a combination of nontargeted approaches was used to
82 elucidate the molecular basis of BABA-IR in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. *Marmande*), an
83 important crop [20]. BABA was applied by spraying onto leaves, as this application strategy is easy to
84 implement in practical agriculture. Global transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of tomato plants
85 allowed us to identify the molecular processes and signalling pathways that occur in tomato at BABA-
86 IR.

87 3. RESULTS

88 3.1 Growth of pathogens having different lifestyles after BABA treatment

89 To determine the protective effect of BABA treatment towards pathogens with different
90 lifestyles (biotrophic *Oidium neolycopersici*, hemibiotrophic *Phytophthora parasitica*, and
91 necrotrophic *Botrytis cinerea*) in *S. lycopersicum* cv. *Marmande* plants, we treated plants with 10 mM
92 BABA. This dose was selected based on a previous study [21] showing maximal (95%) protection
93 against *Phytophthora infestans* with no effect on the general growth of the *S. lycopersicum* cv. F1
94 hybrid cv Baby plants. Indeed, BABA was unable to trigger effective resistance, in our experimental

95 conditions, below concentration of 5mM, as shown previously [21]. More than two days after
 96 treatment we observed not reproducibly the appearance of HR-like microlesions on some BABA
 97 sprayed leaves (S1 Fig) not connected to plant age or leaf position, as described previously [21]. BABA
 98 treatment significantly reduced the sporulation of *O. neolycopersici* and the spreading of *P. parasitica*
 99 but had no effect on *B. cinerea* disease (Fig 1A). These findings are in agreement with previous
 100 studies showing that BABA-IR to biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens in tomato and potato
 101 plants but gave inconsistent results against the necrotrophic pathogen *B. cinerea*, possibly due to the
 102 use of different methods to evaluate resistance [22–24].



103
 104 **Figure 1. Resistance, Transcriptomic, and Proteomic changes associated with BABA-IR, overlap**
 105 **between BABA-induced genes in different plant species and overlap between genes induced by**
 106 **BABA and selected elicitors.** (A) Lesion surface area in 6-8 weeks-old tomato plants after spraying
 107 with 10 mM followed by challenge with the phytopathogens *Botrytis cinerea*, *Phytophthora*
 108 *parasitica*, and *O. neolycopersici*. (B) Total numbers of differentially expressed genes and proteins
 109 during BABA-IR (calculated as the ratio of the expression in 10 mM BABA-sprayed and water-sprayed
 110 leaves) 24 h after treatment. Cut-offs of a ≥ 2 -fold difference in expression and $P \leq 0.01$ (for genes) or
 111 $P \leq 0.05$ (for proteins) were applied. The number of genes or proteins in each category is shown. (C)
 112 Degrees of overlap between orthologous groups identified in this study and previous transcriptomic

113 studies on BABA-treated potato (Bengtsson et al., 2014) and *Arabidopsis* plants (Zimmerli et al.,
114 2008). (D) Overlap between genes induced in BABA-treated tomato Marmande plants and in tomato
115 Rio Grande (RG)-PtoR resistant plants during MTI, ETI, or both (Pombo et al., 2014). (E) Overlap
116 between genes induced in BABA-treated tomato Marmande plants and in tomato plants treated with
117 the virulence factors coronatine (COR) from *Pst* DC3000 (Geng et al., 2014), flagellin from *P. syringae*
118 (Rosli et al., 2013), and elicitor INF1 secreted by *P. infestans* (Kawamura, 2009). Induced genes were
119 identified by applying cut-offs of a ≥ 2 -fold difference in expression and $P < 0.05$.

120 3.2 Transcriptome and proteome modifications during BABA-IR in tomato

121 Three leaf biological replicates were sampled 24 h and 48 h after spraying with 10 mM BABA.
122 Six samples collected at 24 hrs were used for RNA sequencing and six samples collected at 48 hrs
123 were used for label-free LC-MS/ analysis of the proteome excluding microsomal fraction (thereafter
124 proteome). RNA sequencing generated 334,275,668, high-quality reads with an average of
125 55,712,611 reads per sample (S1 Table). The reads for each sample were mapped to the *S.*
126 *lycopersicum* reference genome sequence, with 74% of reads being mapped successfully. Only genes
127 with a median count above 20% in at least one treatment were considered in the subsequent
128 analysis. The cut-offs used in the comparison were $P < 0.01$, and ≥ 2 -fold expression change. Using
129 these criteria, we identified 24,562 genes from 34,725 annotated genes (ITAG 2.4), with 1,523 genes
130 being differentially expressed (Fig 1B, S2 Table). In the proteome analysis, protein identification was
131 only performed for peptides of at least six amino acids with a statistically significant peptide score (q
132 < 0.01 , FDR 1 %; FDR based on decoy search against the reverse database). The cut-offs used for the
133 comparison were $q < 0.05$ and ≥ 2 -fold expression change. Using these criteria, we identified 1808
134 protein groups (S3 Table) and 319 differentially expressed proteins (Fig 1B, S2 Table). As with the
135 transcriptome, we found that far more proteins were upregulated (67%) than downregulated (33%)
136 after BABA treatment (Fig 1B, S2 Table), however the correlation between proteins and transcripts
137 changes was only about 10% as discussed previously [25]. These results confirm that BABA treatment
138 causes extensive reprogramming of cellular processes in tomato plants, as previously observed in
139 *Arabidopsis* and potato [22,26] . However, a comparison of orthologous groups between our
140 transcriptomic study and previous studies on *Arabidopsis* [26] and potato [22] plants exposed to
141 BABA revealed significantly greater overlap between the potato and tomato datasets than between
142 *Arabidopsis* and tomato (Fig 1C, S4 Table).

143 3.3 BABA exhibits common features with MAMPs-triggered immunity in tomato

144 Sets of genes whose transcript abundance was specifically increased at 6 hours post-infection
145 (hpi) during MAMPs-triggered immunity (MTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) were recently
146 identified using RNA-Seq technology in tomato Rio Grande (RG)-PtoR resistant plants [27].


147 Interestingly, almost 50% of the BABA-upregulated genes were also differentially regulated during
148 MTI, but there was no overlap between ETI-upregulated genes and BABA treatment (Fig 1D, S5
149 Table). Following this result, the response of tomato plants to BABA was far more similar to that
150 induced by exposure to a well-characterized MAMP flagellin flgII-28 from the bacterium
151 *Pseudomonas syringae* [28] or elicitor INF1 secreted by *Phytophthora infestans* [29] than to the
152 response induced by the virulence factor coronatine (COR) secreted by *Pst* DC3000 [30] (Fig 1E, S5
153 Table). Specifically, almost 48% and 57% of BABA-upregulated genes were also upregulated
154 following exposure to flgII-28 analysed using RNA-Seq technology at 6 hpi [28] and INF1 analysed
155 using a GeneChip tomato genomic array 12 hpi [31], respectively. Almost 85 % of COR-upregulated
156 genes analysed using a TOM1 cDNA microarray 24 hpi of tomato var “Glamour” seedlings [32] were
157 unaffected by BABA treatment (Fig 1E, S5 Table). The only genes upregulated by both BABA and COR
158 were associated with ET (ACC synthase and ACC oxidase) and JA signalling pathways.

159 3.4 BABA functions as a stress factor

160 A gene ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis was performed to identify critical processes
161 upregulated by BABA treatment. The sets of terms obtained using the transcriptomic and proteomic
162 data were similar (S2 Fig, S6 Table), with many common terms in the GO categories “Process” and
163 “Function”.

164 The protein-protein interaction network based on RNA-Seq data was generated by directly
165 mapping upregulated genes to proteins in the String database [33] (Fig 2A, S7 Table). The network is
166 highly aggregated with clustered sub-networks comprising proteins associated with defence
167 responses (PR proteins), JA and ET signalling and synthesis, regulation of transcription related to
168 mitogen-activated protein kinase MPK3, and processes related to reactive oxygen species (ROS)
169 production. This is also consistent with maps produced after the ReviGO [34] analysis showing
170 significant enrichment of stress-associated clusters (S2 Fig, S6 Table). The protein-protein interaction
171 network based on proteins exhibiting significant changes in abundance 48 hours after BABA
172 treatment (Fig 2B, S7 Table) featured notable clusters relating to photosynthesis, secondary
173 metabolite biosynthesis, and translation. The photosynthesis cluster shows that BABA induces
174 complex changes in the regulation of photosynthesis-related energetic processes and carbohydrate
175 metabolism. The cluster related to secondary metabolites includes the enzymes prephenate
176 aminotransferase (PAT), arginase (ARG2), and lactate dehydrogenase. PAT plays a role in the
177 biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids, while lactate dehydrogenase plays an important role in
178 detoxifying D-lactate, a product of the glyoxalase pathway for detoxifying methylglyoxal, which
179 accumulates under stress conditions [35]. The arginase in tomato leaves was suggested to be
180 involved in ROS homeostasis when its expression was induced by JA signalling following wounding


181 and exposure to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* strain DC3000 [36]. The upregulation of the
 182 proteins in the cluster related to translation is probably related to translational switching from
 183 growth to defence [37] and supports the reported role of non-canonical functions of aminoacyl-tRNA
 184 synthetase in BABA responses [18].



185
 186 **Figure 2. Transcriptomic and proteomic comparisons of plants treated with BABA revealed the**
 187 **existence of sets of genes and proteins that are specifically induced during BABA-IR.** Protein-
 188 protein association networks were generated for significantly induced (A) genes and (B) proteins,
 189 applying cut-offs of a ≥ 2 -fold difference in expression and $P \leq 0.01$ (for genes) or $P \leq 0.05$ (for

190 proteins). Interaction networks were constructed in STRING using a minimum required interaction
 191 score of 0.7 [33] and visualized with Cytoscape [96].

192 To distinguish between stress-related genes upregulated following BABA treatment and
 193 defence-related genes involved in BABA-IR, we compared the BABA-upregulated genes to the sets of
 194 genes upregulated in previous transcriptomic studies on tomato plants subjected to temperature
 195 [38] and salinity [39] stress as well as those upregulated in tomato plants infected by the fungus
 196 *Stemphylium lycopersici* [40] and the FIRE (flagellin-induced repressed by effectors) genes, which
 197 represent a pathogen-defined core set of immune-related genes [28]. We found that 50% of the
 198 BABA-upregulated genes overlapped only with the sets of genes upregulated by abiotic stress,
 199 confirming the hypothesis that BABA acts on tomato plants primarily as a stress factor. However, 30
 200 % of the BABA-upregulated genes were also FIRE genes or genes upregulated following *S. lycopersici*
 201 infection, suggesting that these genes are associated with BABA-augmented defence expression
 202 against *P. parasitica* and *O. neolyopersici*. Moreover, about 20% of genes unique to the BABA
 203 treatment were significantly enriched in KEGG pathways related to plant-pathogen interaction,
 204 MAPK signalling, and phenylpropanoid synthesis, indicating that these genes are also involved in
 205 BABA-IR (Fig 3B, S5 Table).



214 **Figure 3. Overlap between genes induced by BABA and genes induced in tomato plants subject to**
 215 **various abiotic and biotic stresses.** (A) Overlap between genes induced in BABA-treated tomato
 216 Marmande plants and genes upregulated in tomato plants subject to abiotic heat stress [38], genes
 217 upregulated in abiotic salinity stress [39], genes upregulated following infection by the fungus

218 *Stemphylium lycopersici* (biotic stress; [40]), and FIRE (flagellin-induced repressed by effectors)
219 genes, representing a pathogen-defined core set of immune-related genes [28]. Cut-offs of a ≥ 2 -fold
220 difference in expression and $q < 0.05$ were applied. (B) KEGG and Gene Ontology (GO) term analysis
221 were performed for genes in each category. The most-enriched GO terms in the process and function
222 categories are shown. (C) Protein-protein association network for genes significantly induced by both
223 BABA treatment and abiotic stress. The interaction network was constructed in STRING using a
224 minimum required interaction score of 0.7 [33] and visualized with Cytoscape [96].


225 3.5 The BABA stress response is orchestrated via ethylene and jasmonic acid signalling

226 The preceding analysis revealed a clear enrichment of genes associated with JA and ET
227 signalling pathways. Moreover, both ET and JA accumulated in tomato plants during the first few
228 hours after BABA treatment (Fig 4A, B). Accordingly, BABA induced upregulation of several isoforms
229 of ACC synthase (ACS) and ACC oxidases (ACOs) (Fig 4C, S8 Table), essential enzymes for ET
230 biosynthesis [41,42]. The high upregulation of the ACS2 and ACS6 isoforms is also consistent with
231 their reported activation during defence reactions in *Arabidopsis* [43] and with the observed
232 upregulation of WRKY33, which activates ACS2 and ACS6 expression downstream of the MPK3/MPK6
233 cascade [44]. Our data also support the findings of an earlier study on *Arabidopsis* [45] showing that
234 the conversion of methylthioadenosine (MTA) to Met via the Yang (or Met salvage) cycle is generally
235 not controlled by ET signalling because BABA treatment had no detectable effect on the regulation of
236 the Yang cycle genes MTN, MTK, MTI, and ARD (Fig 4C, S8 Table).

237 Among the BABA-upregulated genes were the patatin-like proteins PLA1 and PLA3 (Fig 4D, S8
238 Table), which have been implicated in wound responses and resistance to necrotrophic pathogens
239 via JA signalling [46]. In addition, there was significant upregulation of genes encoding enzymes
240 involved in the synthesis of endogenous JA (*TomloxD*, *AOS2* and *OPR3*). The upregulation of these
241 genes was accompanied by the accumulation of JA in BABA-treated leaves (Fig 4D). Also upregulated
242 were 6 of the 12 Jasmonate ZIM Domain (*JAZ*) genes, key regulators of JA signalling that govern host
243 and non-host pathogen-induced cell death in tomato. The most highly upregulated *JAZ* genes (*SIJAZ1*,
244 *SIJAZ2* and *SIJAZ9-11*) were also induced by treatment with COR [47].

245 Surprisingly, unlike in previous studies on the effects of BABA in *Arabidopsis* [14] and potato
246 plants [22], there was no significant enrichment of genes associated with the phytohormone SA. In
247 keeping with this result, the levels of total SA declined slightly in leaves treated with BABA (Fig 4B). A
248 recent study showed that ABA signalling is suppressed during BABA-IR in *Arabidopsis* plants [17]. In
249 accordance with this result and our previous study [48], we observed no enrichment of genes
250 associated with ABA signalling following BABA treatment in tomato and several genes involved in

251 ABA catabolism were upregulated including *CYP707A* (*Solyc08g005610.2.1*) and UDP-
 252 glucosyltransferase (*UGT*; *Solyc09g098080.2.1*) (Fig 4E, S8 Table).



253
 254 **Figure 4. Involvement of signalling pathways in BABA-IR.** (A) ET accumulation was measured at 24
 255 hrs after BABA treatment (10 mM) of leaflets (n = 8) by gas chromatography. (B) Levels of JA,
 256 jasmonic acid-isoleucine (JA-Ile), methyl-jasmonate (MeJA) and SA were measured by LC-MS 24 h
 257 after BABA treatment (10 mM) leaves (n = 6). The control tissue (CTRL) was a water-treated control
 258 sample. Each bar represents the mean ± SE. Asterisks denote mean values that differ significantly
 259 from that for the control group based on Student's t-test at P ≤ 0.01 (**). (C-E) The BABA-induced
 260 induction of genes involved in the synthesis of ET (C) and JA (D) and the degradation of ABA (E) is
 261 shown.

262 SAM – S-Adenosyl-L-methionine, MTA – 5'-Methylthioadenosin, MTR – 5'-Methylthioribose, MTR-P – 5'-
 263 Methylthioribose-1-phosphate, MTRu-P – 5'-Methylthioribulose-1-phosphate, DHKMP – 1,2-Dihydroxy-3-keto-
 264 5-methylthiopentene, KMTB – 2-keto-4-methyl-thiobutyrate, SAM2 – S-Adenosyl-L-methionine synthase, ACS
 265 – 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase, ACC – 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid, ACO – 1-
 266 aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase, PLA – Phospholipase A, Lox – Lipoxigenase, (13S)-HPLA – 13-

267 hydroperoxylinolenic acid, AOS – Allene oxide synthase, 12,13-EOLA – 12,13-epoxyoctadecatrienoic acid, AOC –
268 Allene oxide cyclase, OPDA – (9S,13S)-12-oxo-phytodienoic acid, OPR – 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid 10,11
269 reductase, OPC – 3-oxo-2-(2'-pentenyl)-cyclopentane-1-octanoic acid, ACX1A – acyl-CoA oxidase, JA – Jasmonic
270 acid, ABA – Abscisic acid, UGT – Abscisic acid glucosyl-transferase, ABA-GE – Abscisic acid glucosyl ester, 8'-OH
271 ABA – 8'-hydroxy abscisic acid N.C. – transcript not changed, N.D. – transcript not detected.

272 3.6 BABA upregulates perception and signalling machinery related to abiotic stress

273 Protein kinases comprised 142 of the BABA-upregulated genes (S3 Fig, S9 Table), accounting
274 for 15% of the total set of expressed kinases in our analysis. Similarly, 44 protein kinases were
275 identified in the proteomic analysis, of which 14 were upregulated and only 3 were downregulated
276 (S3 Fig). These results suggest that protein kinases play an important role in the BABA stress
277 response (S3 Fig, S9 Table). An enrichment analysis of the BABA-responsive families using the chi-
278 squared test revealed overrepresentation of the large Receptor-Like Kinase/Pelle (RLK-Pelle) family,
279 which is crucial for plant-specific adaptation [49] (S3 Fig) at both the transcriptomic and proteomic
280 levels. This finding agrees well with the upregulation of 27 Receptor-Like Proteins (RLP), representing
281 23% of the total expressed RLPs in our analysis. It is also notable that all previously reported PRRs in
282 tomato plants were upregulated including those for flagellin (*FLS2* and *FLS3*), the fungal elicitor *EIX*
283 (*LeEix1* and *LeEix2*), *Ave1* from *V. dahlia* (*Ve1* and *Ve2*) [50], and *Avr* factors (*Cf-2*, *Cf-4*, *Cf-5* and *Cf-9*)
284 [51]. This increased expression of perceptual proteins is consistent with the observed protein-protein
285 interaction between *WRKY33* and the *MPK3* kinase from the CMGC family (Fig 2B, S3 Fig). The
286 *MPK3/MPK6* cascade causes the phosphorylation of *WRKY33* and the closely related *WRKY25*,
287 leading to ET production due to activation of the enzyme *ACS* as described above. Two other RLKs
288 interacting with RLPs involved in MAMPs perception, *SOBIR1* [52] and *TARK1* [53], were also
289 upregulated.

290 In parallel with the induction of pathogen perception machinery, we also observed massive
291 upregulation of genes encoding transcription factors (TFs): BABA treatment induced the upregulation
292 of 130 TF genes (S4 Fig). The largest numbers of induced genes were found in the ERF, WRKY, MYB
293 and NAC families (S4 Fig), which play essential roles in regulating stress responses in plants, mainly
294 through ET and JA signalling pathways. The strong induction of ERFs following BABA treatment was
295 particularly notable: of the 137 identified ERF genes in tomato [54], 113 were expressed, 33 were
296 upregulated, and 5 were downregulated. The BABA response has many features in common with the
297 responses to cold, salt, and mechanical stress observed in previous studies on tomato [38,55,56].
298 However, the BABA-upregulated genes *SIERF5* (*ERF5*), *SIERF43* (*RAV2*), *SIERF55* (*TSRF1*), *SIERF60*
299 (*Pti5*) or *SIERF69* (*ERF1*) were previously linked to the activation of defence responses against diverse
300 pathogens (S9 Table). Unlike in previous studies on tomato, no WRKY genes were downregulated
301 after BABA treatment; their expression patterns resembled those induced by salt stress, the tomato

302 spotted wilt virus (TSWV), and the fungal elicitor *EIX* [57]. The largest class of plant MYB factors are
303 the 2R proteins, which regulate primary and secondary metabolism, hormone signal transduction,
304 development, and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses [58]. Of the 91 expressed 2RMYB genes,
305 11 were upregulated following BABA treatment and 6 were downregulated. Their expression profile
306 most closely resembled that seen in tomato plants treated with MeJA [59] or stressed by infection
307 with the bacterial pathogens *Pst* DC3000 or *P. putida* (S9 Table).

308 Finally, a comparison of the BABA-upregulated RLPs/WRKY, ERF, and MYB genes to recent
309 RNA-Seq results for tomato plants under biotic [27] and abiotic stress [38] confirmed that the pattern
310 of upregulation induced by BABA is significantly more similar to that for abiotic stress than that for
311 biotic stress (S9 Table).


312 3.7 Amino acid metabolism in BABA-treated plants

313 Transcriptomic and metabolomic studies have consistently shown that amino acid (AA)
314 homeostasis plays a role in stress responses [60,61]. Moreover, BABA induces the stress-induced
315 morphogenic response (SIMR). In *Arabidopsis*, Asp levels were increased 3-fold by treatment with the
316 active (R)-BABA enantiomer but were unaffected by the (L) enantiomer, suggesting that BABA
317 obstructs canonical AspRS activity [18]. Surprisingly, we observed no change in Asp levels in tomato
318 plants after BABA treatment. However, there was a significant increase in the levels of the enzymes
319 Asparagine-tRNA synthetase (GlnRS) and plastid Glutamyl-tRNA(Gln) amidotransferase (GATC) as
320 well as the Glu, GABA, Pro, Phe, and Tyr, together with a reduction in Ala levels (Fig 2B, 5A). The
321 glutamate family pathway is strongly activated under stress conditions, leading to the accumulation
322 of GABA and proline. GABA is synthesized from Glu by a decarboxylation reaction in response to
323 abiotic stress, viral infection, and herbivore attack [60]; its increased concentration in BABA-treated
324 tomato plants is almost certainly connected to the strong transcriptomic and proteomic upregulation
325 of its key biosynthetic enzyme glutamate decarboxylase (*Solyc04g025530.2.1*) (Fig 5C). Proline, which
326 plays a pivotal role in responses to abiotic stresses, osmotic, salinity, and low temperature stresses, is
327 synthesized predominantly from glutamate by two successive reductions catalysed by P5C
328 synthetase (*P5CS*) and P5C reductase (*P5CR*), with *P5CS* being the rate-limiting enzyme for proline
329 synthesis [62]. However, although BABA treatment increased the abundance of *P5CS*, it did not
330 upregulate *P5CS* transcription. This suggests that the increase is due to a change in post-
331 transcriptional regulation, as observed previously [63]. Conversely, there was significant
332 transcriptional upregulation of proline dehydrogenases (*ProDH*) (*Solyc02g089630.2.1*,
333 *Solyc02g089620.2.1*), which catalyse the catabolic conversion of Pro into the toxic intermediate P5C
334 (Fig 5C, S10 Table). Spraying *Arabidopsis* leaves with Pro or P5C causes the formation of HR-like
335 lesions resembling those induced by BABA [64]. We therefore suggest that the lesion formation

336 observed in tomato after BABA foliar spraying [19,22] spraying can be attributed to the generation of
 337 very high local BABA concentrations on leaf surfaces (white deposits) and subsequent P5C
 338 accumulation.

339

340



341

342 **Figure 5. BABA-induced changes in amino acid levels and their metabolic pathways.** (A) Amino
 343 acids whose abundance in BABA-treated Marmande tomato plants differs significantly from that in
 344 water-treated controls. Data are means from three replicates; the errors are standard errors of
 345 means. Statistically significant differences recorded for each amino acid as determined by the t-test
 346 are indicated with different numbers of asterisks (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). (B, C) Induction of genes
 347 belonging to the phenylpropanoid pathway (B) and glutamate metabolic pathway (C).

348 E4P – erythrose-4-phosphate, PEP – phosphoenolpyruvate, CRSM – chorismic acid, CM – chorismic acid
 349 mutase, PPA – prephenate, PDH – prephenate dehydrogenase, PDT – prephenate dehydratase, PAL –
 350 Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase, CA – cinnamic acid, C4H – Cytochrome P450, PCA – p-coumaric acid, 4CL – 4-
 351 coumarate CoA ligase, THT – Tyramine N-(hydroxycinnamoyl) transferase, GM – Agmatine, ArgDC – Arginine

352 decarboxylase, 2OG – 2-ketoglutarate, GluDC – Glutamate decarboxylase, GABA – gamma-Aminobutyric acid,
353 AS1 – Asparagine synthase, P5CS – Gamma-glutamyl phosphate reductase, AstD – N-succinylglutamate 5-
354 semialdehyde dehydrogenase, P5C – 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate, P5CR – Pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase,
355 ProDH – Proline dehydrogenase, N.C. – transcript not changed, N.D. – transcript not detected.

356

357 BABA treatment also upregulated transcription of branched-chain amino acid
358 aminotransferase (*Solyc03g043880.2.1*) and cysteine desulfurase (*Solyc11g005840.1.1*) (Fig 5C). Both
359 of these enzymes are important in the degradation of branched AAs, whose complete oxidation in
360 the mitochondria allows large amounts of ATP to be generated under stress conditions that impair
361 photosynthesis [60]. BABA treatment also caused upregulation of the glutamine-dependent
362 asparagine synthetase *AS1* (*Solyc06g007180.2.1*) and LHTs, which function as high-affinity
363 transporters of uncharged/acidic AAs in the mesophyll plasma membrane (*Solyc11g066800.1.1*,
364 *Solyc01g111980.2.1*, *Solyc10g055740.1.1*). These changes indicate an effect on overall nitrogen
365 metabolism in the plant (Fig 5C, S10 Table). The upregulation of all these proteins was previously
366 observed during chlorosis caused by proteolytic activity and amino acid deamination during *P.*
367 *syringae* infections [65]. BABA also upregulated four glutamate receptor genes (*SIGLR1.2*, *SIGLR2.1*,
368 *SIGLR2.2* and *SIGLR2.5*) implicated in various processes including the response to aluminium [66] and
369 enhanced drought tolerance in plants [67].

370 Two prephenate dehydrogenases (*Solyc09g011870.1.1*, *Solyc06g050630.2.1*) and one
371 prephenate dehydratase (*Solyc06g074530.1.1*) were strongly upregulated at the transcript level
372 following BABA treatment, and prephenate aminotransferase was upregulated at the protein level.
373 These changes are clearly connected to the BABA-induced upregulation of the phenylpropanoid
374 pathway and especially its lignin/lignan branch. Important upregulated enzymes of this branch
375 include 4-coumarate CoA ligase (4-CL) and several caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase (COMT),
376 laccases (LAC), and peroxidases (PER), which catalyse the synthesis of several secondary metabolites
377 (Fig 5B). BABA treatment also caused transcript-level upregulation of arginine decarboxylase
378 (*Solyc10g054440.1.1*), which converts arginine into agmatine, a precursor of polyamines including
379 putrescine, spermidine, and spermine (Fig 5B, S10 Table). Interestingly, however, we observed no
380 increase in polyamine levels, suggesting that agmatine serves some other metabolic purpose in
381 BABA-treated tomato plants [68]. It could possibly be converted into *p*-coumaroylagmatine; this
382 hypothesis is supported by the upregulation of *4-CL* (*Solyc06g035960.2.1*) and *PAL* together with
383 several hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA:tyramine N-(hydroxycinnamoyl) transferases (*THT1-3*, *THT1-4*, *THT7-*
384 *1*, *THT7-8*), which are also upregulated during incompatible interactions of *Pst* with tomato [69] (Fig
385 5B, S10 Table).

386 4. DISCUSSION

387 BABA has long been described as an agent inducing highly effective resistance against a wide
388 spectrum of biotic and abiotic stresses in multiple plant species [9,14]. Although much has been
389 learned about the perception and molecular action of BABA in *Arabidopsis* [17,18], many questions
390 remain regarding its mechanisms of action. Since recent studies have shown that during plant
391 immune responses translation is tightly regulated and poorly correlated with transcription [25], as
392 observed here, we characterized BABA-induced changes in both the transcriptome and the
393 intracellular proteome. In our experimental conditions only high BABA concentration after foliar
394 spraying of the crop tomato cultivar Marmande (which would be a viable agricultural application
395 strategy) behaves as a strong stress inducer that does not only triggers highly effective resistance and
396 that deeply remodels transcriptome and subsequent proteome of tomato. Whether BABA primed
397 tomato defences at lower concentrations without any protective effect was not our aim since it
398 cannot be useful for crop protection. Transcriptomic and proteomic analyses showed a similar trend
399 when most of differentially expressed genes and proteins were upregulated and have been linked to
400 general stress responses and defence. Besides, many proteins exhibiting decreased amounts can be
401 linked to the general decrease in the rate of photosynthesis and carbon metabolism under stress
402 conditions as previously described [61]. It is this stress-induced reduction in plant growth induced by
403 BABA that is the major factor limiting its commercial exploitation [18].

404 Analysis of the genes and proteins upregulated following BABA treatment revealed
405 enrichment of cellular processes related to primary metabolism and responses to stimuli when BABA
406 increased the amount of enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism. Previous transcriptomic and
407 genetic analyses have demonstrated the induction of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism
408 upon challenge by pathogens or PAMPs, and the expression of these genes was shown to affect
409 downstream defence responses including ROS production and PR gene expression [61]. Induction of
410 these genes was also observed in genome-wide studies in *Arabidopsis* plants infected with the
411 avirulent pathogen *P. syringae* pv. Tomato [70] and in rice leaf sheaths infected by *Rhizoctonia solani*
412 [71]. Thus, the genes upregulated in our study and publicly available datasets of potato [22] and
413 *Arabidopsis* [26] (Fig 1C) can be seen as common defence response genes that contribute to BABA-IR.

414 Previous studies have also shown that BABA-IR is driven by different signalling molecules in
415 different plant species [15,16,72,73]. In *Arabidopsis*, BABA treatment induces accumulation of SA and
416 SA glucoside (SAG) and causes significant changes in the abundance of isochorismate synthase (ICS),
417 which is directly involved in SA biosynthesis which, in turn, is associated with the expression of acidic
418 PR proteins [15,26]. Although we paid a particular attention to the role of SA in BABA-induced
419 resistance, none of these responses were observed in tomato as in our recent study in which we
420 determined decreased level of SA after BABA treatment of tomato leaflets via petiole aspiration [48].
421 In addition, unpublished results from our lab, clearly show that SA treatment on tomato leaves failed

422 to induce both a resistance to mildews and the classical defence marker genes outlined in the
423 literature and in this paper (mainly PR-proteins coding genes). It seems that SA is probably not so
424 important in tomato defence to biotic stresses since attempts to protect tomato with SA and SA
425 mimics always failed in available literature with the exception of a protective effect observed
426 towards tomato canker (*Clavibacter michiganensis*) with acibenzolar-S-methyl. Indeed, some plants,
427 like rice or wintergreen, exhibit a high constitutive level of SA or SA methyl ester without being
428 protected from diseases [74] and we have previously demonstrated that SA sensitizes carnation to
429 disease by inhibiting N-benzoate-based phytoalexins biosynthesis [75]. Thus, what was demonstrated
430 in *Arabidopsis* and even in tobacco should not signify that all the plant kingdom, especially among
431 crops diversity, would follow the same defence regulation scheme. However, treatment of tomato
432 with BABA did affect genes involved in the biosynthesis of JA and ET as well as basic isoforms of PR
433 proteins, which were also observed in an earlier study on BABA-treated potato and tomato plants
434 [22,48]. This finding is in accordance with impaired BABA-IR towards *P. infestans* in the tomato *def*
435 mutant, which is defective in JA accumulation [73] and pivotal importance of ET in chitosan- and
436 Flg22-induced local and systemic defence responses of tomato plants previously proved in Never-ripe
437 (*Nr*) tomato mutants exhibiting insensitivity to ET in all vegetative tissues due to mutation in SIETR3
438 receptor [76,77]. All these findings clearly emphasize the need to study plant biology with a
439 necessary hindsight when comparisons to model plants fail to confirm established mechanisms.


440 A recent screening of *Arabidopsis* mutations affecting BABA-IR revealed defects associated
441 with the gene *IBI1*, which encodes aspartyl-tRNA synthetase (AspRS). The specific binding of R-BABA
442 to the L-Asp-binding domain of *IBI1* primes the protein for non-canonical defence activity [18]. In
443 accordance with these findings, we found that BABA had no effect on the regulation of the *IBI1*
444 orthologue in tomato plants. However, we found no evidence of any change in aspartate levels
445 driven by the accumulation of uncharged tRNA^{Asp} leading to inhibition of translation activity via
446 phosphorylation of the initiation factor eIF2 α [18]. Instead we observed elevated glutamate levels
447 and increased expression of the enzymes GlnRS and GATC, which are involved in tRNA^{Gln} synthesis
448 [78]. Following these findings, BABA treatment of tomato plants upregulated transcription of HSF24
449 (*Solyc02g090820.2.1*), a HSF-type homologue of TBF1. The heat shock factor(HSF)-like transcription
450 factor TBF1 was proven to play a crucial role in the growth-to-defence switch that activates multiple
451 defence mechanisms and inhibits primary growth and development upon pathogen challenge [79].
452 Interestingly, one suggested activation mechanism for TBF1 is related to the GCN2-dependent
453 phosphorylation of eIF2 α , which is regulated via the accumulation of uncharged tRNAs. This is
454 consistent with the reported inhibitory activity of R-BABA towards the cellular AspRS activity of *IBI1*
455 in *Arabidopsis* [18]. Moreover, TBF1 controls distinct output genes in SAR and MTI, which could be
456 connected to our observation that BABA-IR in tomato is mediated by genes involved in MTI.

457 Together, these observations convincingly explain the finding that BABA has dual activities in tomato,
458 simultaneously activating defence mechanisms and downregulating protein synthesis and carbon
459 metabolism. The latter activity is similar to the stress responses [61] associated with SIMR in
460 *Arabidopsis*. It has been suggested that BABA primes defence responses simply via this stress
461 imprinting process because L-glutamine treatment reduced both BABA-induced SIMR and BABA-IR
462 [80]. In keeping with this hypothesis, 70% of the BABA-induced transcripts overlapped with the set of
463 transcripts upregulated by abiotic salt [39].

464 Two important processes in pathogen recognition and the subsequent activation of plant
465 defence mechanisms are the secretion and spotting of diverse pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs)
466 to the plasma membrane and the activation of protein kinases involved in signal transduction
467 cascades. Augmented perception of stress signals by plant cells seems to be essential in BABA-IR, as
468 demonstrated by the significant enrichment of GO terms and pathways related to receptor activity
469 after BABA treatment. Moreover, extensive induction of signalling and perception machinery was
470 one of the main factors distinguishing BABA-treatment from the other stress conditions and put
471 forward in our data set. BABA induced a significant number of receptor and receptor-like kinases
472 involved in abiotic stress responses (L-type lectin receptor kinases) [81], MAMPs perception,
473 *Phytophthora* resistance (*LysM*, *Bti9*, *SOBIR1*) [52,82], and responses to pathogen infection,
474 mechanical wounding, and oxidative stress (*TPK1b*) [83]. This is the first demonstration that BABA-IR
475 in tomato is connected to a hyper-receptive status. In that way, BABA acts as a real priming agent,
476 preparing the plant to rapidly recognize pathogens and to set-up strong defences.

477 However, as stated above, BABA also induce a major plant stress. Whether these two aspects
478 can be disconnected is a pending question to only keep the hyper-receptive side unless this status
479 could be a consequence of the major stress. Plant defence responses are also modulated by AA
480 homeostasis and treatment with high concentrations of AAs. For example, the *Arabidopsis thaliana*
481 *lht1* mutant, which has reduced levels of Gln, Ala, and Pro, exhibits SA dependent resistance to a
482 wide range of diseases [84]. In addition, treatment of rice roots with the AAs Glu, Asn, Met, and Asp
483 induced systemic disease resistance against rice blast that was partially dependent on SA signalling
484 and did not cause any change in the content of free AAs in leaves [85]. In our experiments, treatment
485 with BABA increased levels of Pro and the expression of the Glu biosynthetic enzyme ProDH, as well
486 as the levels of free Glu in the leaves (Fig 5A, C). The ProDH is an enzyme that plays a crucial role in
487 plant metabolism. Recent studies have shown that ProDH activity is upregulated in response to
488 pathogen infection and contribute to HR and disease resistance, which apparently potentiates the
489 accumulation of ROS. In addition, ProDH may also regulate the balance between proline and P5C,
490 which has been shown to affect the accumulation of defence-related metabolites and the expression
491 of defence genes [86–88]. Decreases in Glu and Pro levels are also associated with microbial

492 community breakdown and disease incidence, supporting the idea that they play an important role
 493 in the plant's defence response [89]. Free Glu may be recognized by glutamate receptor-like proteins
 494 (GLRs), which have been implicated in enhanced resistance to *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsis*, and
 495 *Pseudomonas syringae* in *Arabidopsis* [90]. The initial cellular events in BABA-IR in tomato may thus
 496 involve GLRs as suggested previously in a study on AA-ISR to rice blast in leaves [85]. Interestingly,
 497 despite significant differences, the upregulated gene clusters in BABA-treated tomato overlap
 498 extensively with the sets of orthologous upregulated genes identified by microarray analysis of *A.*
 499 *thaliana lht1* plants [84] and the genes upregulated in Glu-treated rice plants [85] (Fig 6A). Notably,
 500 the orthologous genes common to all three sets exhibited functional enrichment in the plant-
 501 pathogen interaction pathway (KEGG) and in protein domains related to signal transduction
 502 (INTERPRO), suggesting that, in all three cases, induced resistance is driven by similar molecular
 503 mechanisms based on sensitization to stress responsiveness (Fig 6B), which may be characteristic of
 504 priming phenomena [3].



505

506 **Figure 6. Overlap between genes induced by BABA and genes induced in selected plants with**
 507 **altered amino acid homeostasis.** (A) Degrees of overlap between orthologous groups identified in
 508 this study and previous transcriptomic studies on *A. thaliana lht1* plants [84], and Glu-treated rice
 509 plants [85]. (B) Functional enrichment of the plant-pathogen interaction pathway (KEGG) and protein
 510 domains related to signal transduction (INTERPRO) among the orthologous genes common to all
 511 three sets.

512 Collectively, here we demonstrate that a strong BABA-IR towards *Phytophthora parasitica*
 513 and *Oidium neolycopersici* in tomato cv Marmande resemble in many aspects responses to general
 514 stress. This resistance was largely explained by the activation of the ET and JA pathways resulting in
 515 a strong defence set-up involving PR-proteins as well as phenyl propanoid pathway, lipid
 516 peroxidation but in the same time revealed a complete remodelling of plant functions including
 517 decrease in primary metabolism and in photosynthesis together with an enhanced ability to perceive
 518 (P)(M)(D)AMPs and to set-up downstream signalling. In conclusion, much more attention should be

519 paid to comparative studies between plants of agronomic interest submitted to R-BABA treatment.
520 We clearly evidenced that multiomics as well as targeted approaches can bring original insight on
521 who to who, even though many black boxes still remain closed.

522 5. MATERIALS AND METHODS

523 5.1 *Plant material and growing conditions*

524 Tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* cv. *Marmande*) were grown at 75% humidity and 14
525 hours of light (day 24°C, night 22°C). After 6 or 7 weeks of growth, whole tomato plants were sprayed
526 with 10 mM DL-BABA or water. Leaflets were then removed from plants 24 or 48 hours after spraying
527 for transcriptome and proteome analysis and processed immediately or stored at -80°C until use.
528 Plants assigned to each treatment were randomly selected, labelled, and then returned to the
529 growth chamber. Three biological replicates were selected for each treatment.

530 5.2 *Botrytis cinerea* and *Phytophthora parasitica* inoculation and measurement

531 Two days after spraying with 10 mM BABA or water, leaflets were removed from 7-8 week-
532 old tomato plants and placed in clear Styrofoam boxes with moist absorbent paper to maintain high
533 relative humidity. The centre of each leaflet was inoculated with a mycelial plug (5 mm in diameter)
534 from the growth margin of a 3-day-old culture of the BC21 strain of *B. cinerea*. Alternatively, leaflets
535 were pricked with a needle at a marked spot and 20 µl of a *P. parasitica* zoospore suspension (40 000
536 zoospores/ml) was applied to the wounded spot. Five replicates in styrofoam boxes with 3 leaflets
537 each were used. After inoculation, the detached leaflets were incubated in a growth chamber under
538 conditions conducive to disease development (21°C, 14-h photoperiod, 114 µmol.s⁻¹.m⁻²).
539 Symptoms were recorded after 3 days of incubation for *P. parasitica* or 4 days for *B. cinerea*.
540 Photographs were analysed using the ImageJ image analysis programme to quantify the surface area
541 of necrotic lesions (in mm²). Analysis of variance was used to evaluate whether differences between
542 controls and treatments were significant for each of the three independent experiments.

543 5.3 *Oidium neolycopersici* inoculation and measurement

544 Spraying with 10 mM BABA or water was done on whole 5-6 week old tomato plants. Two
545 days later, each plant was inoculated with approximately 10 ml of a spore suspension of *O.*
546 *neolycopersici* at a concentration of 10⁴ sp/ml. The inoculated plants were then incubated in a growth
547 chamber under conditions conducive to disease development (21°C, RH > 80%, 14 hours of light). The
548 number of powdery mildew colonies was counted 14 days after inoculation on 2 leaves per plant
549 with 5 plants per test. Three independent tests were performed.

550 5.4 *Identification and quantification of proteins by LC-MS/MS*

551 Three biological replicates in the form of separate plants were subjected to analysis. For each
552 replicate, 4 g of leaflets from 3 different plants were harvested on ice and homogenised at 4°C in 20
553 ml of extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-Mes (pH=8.0), 20 mM EDTA, 500 mM sucrose, 10 mM DTT, 100
554 mM PMSF, cOmplete Mini Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablets) using an Ultraturrax homogenizer (IKA,
555 DE) at 15 000 rpm. Samples were filtered through Miracloth and centrifuged at 20 000 x g and 4°C.
556 The supernatant was collected and centrifuged in a Beckman Optima (Beckman-Coulter)
557 ultracentrifuge at 35 000 rpm and 4°C with a Ti45 rotor. The supernatant was collected and
558 concentrated using Vivaspin® 3 kDa (GE Healthcare) sample concentrators. The concentrated
559 samples were dialyzed overnight to 10 mM ammonium acetate and finally concentrated to 0.5 ml
560 using 4 ml Amicon® Ultra 4 3 kDa (Merck Millipore Ltd.) sample concentrators. Each sample was then
561 fractionated into 5 fractions by HPLC using an IEX PolyWAX LP mixed bed column (200 x 4.6 mm, 5
562 µm particles, PolyLC Inc., Columbia, USA) and a gradient of ammonium acetate. The collected
563 fractions were dried under vacuum and subjected to LC-MS /MS analysis (S3 Table). The dried
564 protein fractions were processed using a philtre filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) method [83].
565 LC-MS /MS analyses of the peptide mixtures were performed using the RSLCnano system connected
566 to the Orbitrap Elite hybrid spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For more details, see the
567 supplemental material (S1 Appendix).

568 5.5 RNA sequencing analysis

569 Total RNA was isolated using TRIZOL reagent (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) and
570 checked for integrity on a Bioanalyzer 2200 (RIN ≥ 7.40). Libraries for sequencing were prepared
571 according to a standard protocol for the SOLiD 5500 system (Life Technology). Sequencing was
572 performed using the SOLiD 5500W platform. Raw reads of 75 bp in length were mapped to the
573 *Solanum lycopersicum* build 2.40 reference using ITAG2.4 as the gene model in colour space with the
574 Maxmapper algorithm implemented in Lifescape software (Life Technologies, Ltd). RNA content was
575 assessed using a whole-transcriptome workflow with the quality threshold set to 10, resulting in an
576 assignment probability of greater than 90. The raw sequencing data with corresponding metadata
577 are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository under accession number
578 GSE108421. Analytical comparison between BABA and the control treatments was performed using
579 the DESeq package [91].

580 5.6 Orthology and Gene Ontology enrichment analysis

581 Orthologous gene clusters were compared and annotated using the OrthoVenn web platform [92],
582 and the results obtained were visualised using the eulerr R package [93]. Significantly differentially
583 expressed genes and proteins were analysed by Singular Enrichment Analysis (SEA) for GO term

584 enrichment using agriGO [94] based on GO terms retrieved from the PLAZA 3.0 database [95].
585 Summarisation and visualization of SEA were done using REViGO [34] and Cytoscape [96] with DyNet
586 [97]. Protein-protein association networks for significantly differentially expressed genes and
587 proteins were generated using the STRING database with an interaction score of 0.9 [33] and
588 visualized using Cytoscape [96].

589 5.7 HPLC analysis of amino acids

590 Twenty-four hours after treatment with BABA, tomato leaves were collected, frozen in liquid
591 nitrogen, and ground to a fine powder. A portion of 250 mg of this powder was then extracted with 1
592 ml of extraction buffer (0.1 M HCl and 4.6 µg/ml L-2-amino adipic acid as an internal standard), mixed
593 thoroughly, incubated on ice for 5 minutes, and centrifuged. A 500 µl aliquot of the resulting
594 supernatant was then diluted with 100 µl methanol and loaded onto an SPE C18 column to adsorb
595 interfering secondary metabolites, which had previously been wetted with 1 ml MeOH and
596 equilibrated with 20% MeOH in 0.1M HCl. The sample was loaded and the column was washed with
597 400 µl of 20% MeOH in 0.1 M HCl. Amino acids were recovered in both the flow-through and wash
598 fractions and derivatized and analyzed as previously described [98]. See supplemental material (S1
599 Appendix) for further details.

600 5.8 Quantitative analysis of salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and jasmonic acid-isoleucine

601 The tomato leaflet (100 mg) was frozen immediately after the harvest using liquid nitrogen,
602 and the frozen materials ground under liquid nitrogen and extracted with 750 µl of MeOH-H₂O-HOAc
603 (90:9:1, v/v/v) containing 100 ng of *o*-anisic acid as an internal standard. The mixture was centrifuged
604 at 10,000 x g for 1 min, the supernatant was collected, and the pellet was repeatedly extracted. The
605 pooled supernatants were dried under nitrogen, resuspended in 200 µl of 0.1% HOAc in H₂O-MeOH
606 (90:10, v/v), and a portion of the mixture (2–5 µl) was subjected to LC-MS analyzes using a TOF mass
607 spectrometer (Agilent Technologies) as previously described [99]. Further details can be found in the
608 supplemental material (S1 Appendix).

609 5.9 Measurement of ethylene production

610 Single tomato leaflets 24 hours after treatment with BABA or water were placed in 20 ml test
611 tube when the cut end of the petiole was in sterile water and sealed with a air-tight rubber syringe
612 cap. ET was accumulated for 4 hours before a 1-ml sample was withdrawn for analysis. ET production
613 was measured using gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector quantified by using a gas
614 chromatograph flame ionization detector (Agilent GC 6890, Agilent Technologies) as previously
615 described [19].

616

617 **6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

618 D.P. acknowledges support of the European Regional Development Fund-Project “Centre for
619 Experimental Plant Biology”: No. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000738 funded by MEYS CR and by the
620 Institutional Research Fund of Masaryk university, MUNI/A/1492/2021. CIISB research infrastructure
621 project LM2018127 funded by MEYS CR are gratefully acknowledged for the financial support of the
622 measurements at the CEITEC Proteomics Core Facility. This work was also supported by INRAE,
623 Département Santé des Plantes et Environnement through the funding of the ELICITOM project. This
624 project was supported by the National Infrastructure France Génomique (Commissariat aux Grands
625 Investissements, ANR-10-INBS-09-03, ANR-10-INBS-09-02).

626 *Contributions*

627 Conceptualization: JL, MP, CR and ZZ; Data curation: MZ, CR, BI, MB, KLB, PN, VM, AS, PB, and DP;
628 Data analysis: MZ, CR, DP, AS and BI; Funding acquisition: JL, MP and ZZ; Methodology: MZ, CR, JL,
629 MP and ZZ; Project administration: JL and MP; Resources: JL, MP and ZZ; Validation: MZ, CR, JL, MP
630 and ZZ; Writing, review, and editing: MZ, JL, and MP; Supervision: JL and MP.

631 *Data availability statement*

632 Raw sequencing data with appropriate metadata are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus
633 (GEO) repository under accession number GSE108421.
634 The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium
635 via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD038074

636 *Competing interests*

637 We declare that none of the authors have any competing interests.

638 **7. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

639 **S1 Appendix** - Supplementary methods

640 **S1 Figure.** HR-like microlesions on BABA spayed leaves.

641 **S2 Figure.** ReviGO analysis of enriched GO terms.

642 **S3 Figure.** BABA perception affects gene expression of certain protein kinase families.

643 **S4 Figure.** BABA perception affects gene expression of certain transcription factor families.

644 **S1 Table.** Workflow of sequence analysis.

645 **S2 Table.** Significant differentially expressed proteins and transcripts.

- 646 **S3 Table.** Identified protein groups.
- 647 **S4 Table.** Orthologous across our and previous transcriptomic studies of BABA treated potato and
648 *Arabidopsis* plants.
- 649 **S5 Table.** Overlap between genes induced by BABA and different elicitors.
- 650 **S6 Table.** GO analysis of up-regulated proteins and transcripts in tomato leaves after treatment with
651 10 mM BABA.
- 652 **S7 Table.** Protein-protein association network for significantly induced genes and proteins.
- 653 **S8 Table.** Involvement of signalling pathways in BABA-IR.
- 654 **S9 Table.** Transcripts of protein kinases, receptor like proteins and transcription factors found in our
655 study and their comparison with other studies.
- 656 **S10 Table.** Induction of genes in glutamate metabolic pathway and phenylpropanoid pathway.
- 657

658 8. REFERENCES

- 659 1. Savary S, Ficke A, Aubertot J-N, Hollier C. Crop losses due to diseases and their implications for
660 global food production losses and food security. *Food Secur.* 2012;4: 519–537.
661 doi:10.1007/s12571-012-0200-5
- 662 2. Jones JDG, Dangl JL. The plant immune system. *Nature.* 2006;444: 323–329.
663 doi:10.1038/nature05286
- 664 3. Conrath U, Beckers GJM, Langenbach CJG, Jaskiewicz MR. Priming for enhanced defense.
665 *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 2015;53: 97–119. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-080614-120132
- 666 4. Westman SM, Kloth KJ, Hanson J, Ohlsson AB, Albrechtsen BR. Defence priming in *Arabidopsis* –
667 a Meta-Analysis. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9: 13309. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-49811-9
- 668 5. Vijayakumari K, Jisha KC, Puthur JT. GABA/BABA priming: a means for enhancing abiotic stress
669 tolerance potential of plants with less energy investments on defence cache. *Acta Physiol*
670 *Plant.* 2016;38: 230. doi:10.1007/s11738-016-2254-z
- 671 6. Baccelli I, Mauch-Mani B. Beta-aminobutyric acid priming of plant defense: the role of ABA
672 and other hormones. *Plant Mol Biol.* 2016;91: 703–711. doi:10.1007/s11103-015-0406-y
- 673 7. Pieterse CMJ, Zamioudis C, Berendsen RL, Weller DM, Wees SCMV, Bakker PAHM. Induced
674 Systemic Resistance by Beneficial Microbes. *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 2014;52: 347–375.
675 doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102340
- 676 8. Chassot C, Buchala A, Schoonbeek H-J, Métraux J-P, Lamotte O. Wounding of *Arabidopsis*
677 leaves causes a powerful but transient protection against *Botrytis* infection. *Plant J Cell Mol*
678 *Biol.* 2008;55: 555–567. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03540.x

- 679 9. Cohen Y, Vaknin M, Mauch-Mani B. BABA-induced resistance: milestones along a 55-year
680 journey. *Phytoparasitica*. 2016;44: 513–538. doi:10.1007/s12600-016-0546-x
- 681 10. Kuźnicki D, Meller B, Arasimowicz-Jelonek M, Braszewska-Zalewska A, Drozda A, Floryszak-
682 Wieczorek J. BABA-Induced DNA Methylome Adjustment to Intergenerational Defense
683 Priming in Potato to *Phytophthora infestans*. *Front Plant Sci*. 2019;10.
684 doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.00650
- 685 11. Luna E, López A, Kooiman J, Ton J. Role of NPR1 and KYP in long-lasting induced resistance by
686 β -aminobutyric acid. *Front Plant Sci*. 2014;5: 184. doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00184
- 687 12. Thevenet D, Pastor V, Baccelli I, Balmer A, Vallat A, Neier R, et al. The priming molecule β -
688 aminobutyric acid is naturally present in plants and is induced by stress. *New Phytol*.
689 2017;213: 552–559. doi:10.1111/nph.14298
- 690 13. Baccelli I, Glauser G, Mauch-Mani B. The accumulation of β -aminobutyric acid is controlled by
691 the plant's immune system. *Planta*. 2017;246: 791–796. doi:10.1007/s00425-017-2751-3
- 692 14. Ton J, Jakab G, Toquin V, Flors V, Iavicoli A, Maeder MN, et al. Dissecting the beta-
693 aminobutyric acid-induced priming phenomenon in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2005;17: 987–999.
694 doi:10.1105/tpc.104.029728
- 695 15. Zimmerli L, Jakab G, Mettraux JP, Mauch-Mani B. Potentiation of pathogen-specific defense
696 mechanisms in *Arabidopsis* by beta -aminobutyric acid. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000;97:
697 12920–12925. doi:10.1073/pnas.230416897
- 698 16. Hamiduzzaman MMd, Jakab G, Barnavon L, Neuhaus J-M, Mauch-Mani B. β -Aminobutyric
699 Acid-Induced Resistance Against Downy Mildew in Grapevine Acts Through the Potentiation of
700 Callose Formation and Jasmonic Acid Signaling. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2005;18: 819–829.
701 doi:10.1094/MPMI-18-0819
- 702 17. Schwarzenbacher RE, Wardell G, Stassen J, Guest E, Zhang P, Luna E, et al. The IBI1 Receptor
703 of β -Aminobutyric Acid Interacts with VOZ Transcription Factors to Regulate Abscisic Acid
704 Signaling and Callose-Associated Defense. *Mol Plant*. 2020;13: 1455–1469.
705 doi:10.1016/j.molp.2020.07.010
- 706 18. Luna E, van Hulten M, Zhang Y, Berkowitz O, López A, Pétriacq P, et al. Plant perception of β -
707 aminobutyric acid is mediated by an aspartyl-tRNA synthetase. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2014;10: 450–
708 456. doi:10.1038/nchembio.1520
- 709 19. Satková P, Starý T, Plešková V, Zapletalová M, Kašparovský T, Činčalová-Kubienová L, et al.
710 Diverse responses of wild and cultivated tomato to BABA, oligandrin and *Oidium*
711 *neolycopersici* infection. *Ann Bot*. 2016. doi:10.1093/aob/mcw188
- 712 20. Home | Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. [cited 15 Feb 2021].
713 Available: <http://www.fao.org/home/en/>
- 714 21. Cohen Y. Local and Systemic Control of *Phytophthora infestans* in Tomato Plants by dl-3-
715 Amino-n-Butanoic Acids. *Phytopathology*. 1994;84: 55. doi:10.1094/Phyto-84-55
- 716 22. Bengtsson T, Weighill D, Proux-Wéra E, Levander F, Resjö S, Burra DD, et al. Proteomics and
717 transcriptomics of the BABA-induced resistance response in potato using a novel functional
718 annotation approach. *BMC Genomics*. 2014;15: 315. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-315

- 719 23. Luna E, Beardon E, Ravnskov S, Scholes J, Ton J. Optimizing Chemically Induced Resistance in
720 Tomato Against *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Dis.* 2015;100: 704–710. doi:10.1094/PDIS-03-15-0347-
721 RE
- 722 24. Worrall D, Holroyd GH, Moore JP, Glowacz M, Croft P, Taylor JE, et al. Treating seeds with
723 activators of plant defence generates long-lasting priming of resistance to pests and
724 pathogens. *New Phytol.* 2012;193: 770–778. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03987.x
- 725 25. Xu G, Greene GH, Yoo H, Liu L, Marqués J, Motley J, et al. Global translational reprogramming
726 is a fundamental layer of immune regulation in plants. *Nature.* 2017;545: 487–490.
727 doi:10.1038/nature22371
- 728 26. Zimmerli L, Hou B-H, Tsai C-H, Jakab G, Mauch-Mani B, Somerville S. The xenobiotic beta-
729 aminobutyric acid enhances *Arabidopsis* thermotolerance. *Plant J Cell Mol Biol.* 2008;53: 144–
730 156. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03343.x
- 731 27. Pombo MA, Zheng Y, Fernandez-Pozo N, Dunham DM, Fei Z, Martin GB. Transcriptomic
732 analysis reveals tomato genes whose expression is induced specifically during effector-
733 triggered immunity and identifies the Epk1 protein kinase which is required for the host
734 response to three bacterial effector proteins. *Genome Biol.* 2014;15: 492.
735 doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0492-1
- 736 28. Rosli HG, Zheng Y, Pombo MA, Zhong S, Bombarely A, Fei Z, et al. Transcriptomics-based
737 screen for genes induced by flagellin and repressed by pathogen effectors identifies a cell
738 wall-associated kinase involved in plant immunity. *Genome Biol.* 2013;14: R139.
739 doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-12-r139
- 740 29. Solanský M, Mikulášek K, Zapletalová M, Petřivalský M, Chiltz A, Zdráhal Z, et al. Elicitins'
741 Oligomeric States Affect The Hypersensitive Response And Resistance In Tobacco. *J Exp Bot.*
742 2021. doi:10.1093/jxb/erab011
- 743 30. Geng X, Jin L, Shimada M, Kim MG, Mackey D. The phytotoxin coronatine is a multifunctional
744 component of the virulence armament of *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Planta.* 2014;240: 1149–
745 1165. doi:10.1007/s00425-014-2151-x
- 746 31. Kawamura SHY. INF1 Elicitor Activates Jasmonic Acid- and Ethylene-mediated Signalling
747 Pathways and Induces Resistance to Bacterial Wilt Disease in Tomato. *J Phytopathol.*
748 2009;157: 287–297. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0434.2008.01489.x
- 749 32. Uppalapati SR, Ishiga Y, Wangdi T, Kunkel BN, Anand A, Mysore KS, et al. The phytotoxin
750 coronatine contributes to pathogen fitness and is required for suppression of salicylic acid
751 accumulation in tomato inoculated with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000. *Mol*
752 *Plant-Microbe Interact MPMI.* 2007;20: 955–965. doi:10.1094/MPMI-20-8-0955
- 753 33. Szklarczyk D, Morris JH, Cook H, Kuhn M, Wyder S, Simonovic M, et al. The STRING database in
754 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein association networks, made broadly accessible.
755 *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017;45: D362–D368. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw937
- 756 34. Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. REVIGO Summarizes and Visualizes Long Lists of Gene
757 Ontology Terms. *PLOS ONE.* 2011;6: e21800. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021800

- 758 35. Jain M, Aggarwal S, Nagar P, Tiwari R, Mustafiz A. A D-lactate dehydrogenase from rice is
759 involved in conferring tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses by maintaining cellular
760 homeostasis. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10: 12835. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-69742-0
- 761 36. Chen H, McCaig BC, Melotto M, He SY, Howe GA. Regulation of Plant Arginase by Wounding,
762 Jasmonate, and the Phytotoxin Coronatine *. *J Biol Chem.* 2004;279: 45998–46007.
763 doi:10.1074/jbc.M407151200
- 764 37. Meteignier L-V, El Oirdi M, Cohen M, Barff T, Matteau D, Lucier J-F, et al. Translatome analysis
765 of an NB-LRR immune response identifies important contributors to plant immunity in
766 Arabidopsis. *J Exp Bot.* 2017;68: 2333–2344. doi:10.1093/jxb/erx078
- 767 38. Chen H, Chen X, Chen D, Li J, Zhang Y, Wang A. A comparison of the low temperature
768 transcriptomes of two tomato genotypes that differ in freezing tolerance: *Solanum*
769 *lycopersicum* and *Solanum habrochaites*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2015;15: 132. doi:10.1186/s12870-
770 015-0521-6
- 771 39. Sun W, Xu X, Zhu H, Liu A, Liu L, Li J, et al. Comparative Transcriptomic Profiling of a Salt-
772 Tolerant Wild Tomato Species and a Salt-Sensitive Tomato Cultivar. *Plant Cell Physiol.*
773 2010;51: 997–1006. doi:10.1093/pcp/pcq056
- 774 40. Yang H, Zhao T, Jiang J, Chen X, Zhang H, Liu G, et al. Transcriptome Analysis of the Sm-
775 Mediated Hypersensitive Response to *Stemphylium lycopersici* in Tomato. *Front Plant Sci.*
776 2017;8. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01257
- 777 41. Li G, Meng X, Wang R, Mao G, Han L, Liu Y, et al. Dual-level regulation of ACC synthase activity
778 by MPK3/MPK6 cascade and its downstream WRKY transcription factor during ethylene
779 induction in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genet.* 2012;8: e1002767. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002767
- 780 42. Skottke KR, Yoon GM, Kieber JJ, DeLong A. Protein phosphatase 2A controls ethylene
781 biosynthesis by differentially regulating the turnover of ACC synthase isoforms. *PLoS Genet.*
782 2011;7: e1001370. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001370
- 783 43. Li G, Meng X, Wang R, Mao G, Han L, Liu Y, et al. Dual-level regulation of ACC synthase activity
784 by MPK3/MPK6 cascade and its downstream WRKY transcription factor during ethylene
785 induction in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genet.* 2012;8: e1002767. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002767
- 786 44. Skottke KR, Yoon GM, Kieber JJ, DeLong A. Protein phosphatase 2A controls ethylene
787 biosynthesis by differentially regulating the turnover of ACC synthase isoforms. *PLoS Genet.*
788 2011;7: e1001370. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001370
- 789 45. Bürstenbinder K, Rzewuski G, Wirtz M, Hell R, Sauter M. The role of methionine recycling for
790 ethylene synthesis in Arabidopsis. *Plant J Cell Mol Biol.* 2007;49: 238–249. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
791 313X.2006.02942.x
- 792 46. Canonne J, Froidure-Nicolas S, Rivas S. Phospholipases in action during plant defense
793 signaling. *Plant Signal Behav.* 2011;6: 13–18. doi:10.4161/psb.6.1.14037
- 794 47. Ishiga Y, Ishiga T, Uppalapati SR, Mysore KS. Jasmonate ZIM-domain (JAZ) protein regulates
795 host and nonhost pathogen-induced cell death in tomato and *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *PLoS*
796 *One.* 2013;8: e75728. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075728

- 797 48. Janotík A, Dadáková K, Lochman J, Zapletalová M. L-Aspartate and L-Glutamine Inhibit Beta-
798 Aminobutyric Acid-Induced Resistance in Tomatoes. *Plants Basel Switz.* 2022;11.
799 doi:10.3390/plants11212908
- 800 49. Lehti-Shiu MD, Zou C, Hanada K, Shiu S-H. Evolutionary History and Stress Regulation of Plant
801 Receptor-Like Kinase/Pelle Genes. *Plant Physiol.* 2009;150: 12–26. doi:10.1104/pp.108.134353
- 802 50. de Jonge R, van Esse HP, Maruthachalam K, Bolton MD, Santhanam P, Saber MK, et al. Tomato
803 immune receptor Ve1 recognizes effector of multiple fungal pathogens uncovered by genome
804 and RNA sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012;109: 5110–5115.
805 doi:10.1073/pnas.1119623109
- 806 51. Zhou J-M, Tang D, Wang G. Receptor kinases in plant pathogen interactions: more than
807 pattern recognition. *Plant Cell Online.* 2017; tpc.00891.2016. doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00891
- 808 52. Peng K-C, Wang C-W, Wu C-H, Huang C-T, Liou R-F. Tomato SOBIR1/EVR Homologs Are
809 Involved in Elicitin Perception and Plant Defense Against the Oomycete Pathogen
810 *Phytophthora parasitica*. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact MPMI.* 2015;28: 913–926.
811 doi:10.1094/MPMI-12-14-0405-R
- 812 53. Kim J-G, Li X, Roden JA, Taylor KW, Aakre CD, Su B, et al. *Xanthomonas* T3S Effector XopN
813 Suppresses PAMP-Triggered Immunity and Interacts with a Tomato Atypical Receptor-Like
814 Kinase and TFT1. *Plant Cell.* 2009;21: 1305–1323. doi:10.1105/tpc.108.063123
- 815 54. iTAK. iTAK - Plant Transcription factor & Protein Kinase Identifier and Classifier. [cited 26 Jul
816 2017]. Available: <http://bioinfo.bti.cornell.edu/cgi-bin/itak/index.cgi>
- 817 55. Pan Y, Seymour GB, Lu C, Hu Z, Chen X, Chen G. An ethylene response factor (ERF5) promoting
818 adaptation to drought and salt tolerance in tomato. *Plant Cell Rep.* 2012;31: 349–360.
819 doi:10.1007/s00299-011-1170-3
- 820 56. Sharma MK, Kumar R, Solanke AU, Sharma R, Tyagi AK, Sharma AK. Identification, phylogeny,
821 and transcript profiling of ERF family genes during development and abiotic stress treatments
822 in tomato. *Mol Genet Genomics MGG.* 2010;284: 455–475. doi:10.1007/s00438-010-0580-1
- 823 57. Huang S, Gao Y, Liu J, Peng X, Niu X, Fei Z, et al. Genome-wide analysis of WRKY transcription
824 factors in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Mol Genet Genomics MGG.* 2012;287: 495–513.
825 doi:10.1007/s00438-012-0696-6
- 826 58. Du H, Wang Y-B, Xie Y, Liang Z, Jiang S-J, Zhang S-S, et al. Genome-Wide Identification and
827 Evolutionary and Expression Analyses of MYB-Related Genes in Land Plants. *DNA Res Int J*
828 *Rapid Publ Rep Genes Genomes.* 2013;20: 437–448. doi:10.1093/dnares/dst021
- 829 59. Li Z, Peng R, Tian Y, Han H, Xu J, Yao Q. Genome-Wide Identification and Analysis of the MYB
830 Transcription Factor Superfamily in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2016;57: 1657–
831 1677. doi:10.1093/pcp/pcw091
- 832 60. Hildebrandt TM, Nunes Nesi A, Araújo WL, Braun H-P. Amino Acid Catabolism in Plants. *Mol*
833 *Plant.* 2015;8: 1563–1579. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2015.09.005
- 834 61. Rojas CM, Senthil-Kumar M, Tzin V, Mysore KS. Regulation of primary plant metabolism during
835 plant-pathogen interactions and its contribution to plant defense. *Front Plant Sci.* 2014;5.
836 doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00017

- 837 62. Hu CA, Delauney AJ, Verma DP. A bifunctional enzyme (delta 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate
838 synthetase) catalyzes the first two steps in proline biosynthesis in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.*
839 1992;89: 9354–9358. doi:10.1073/pnas.89.19.9354
- 840 63. Hua XJ, Van De Cotte B, Van Montagu M, Verbruggen N. The 5' untranslated region of the At-
841 P5R gene is involved in both transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation. *Plant J.*
842 2001;26: 157–169. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.2001.01020.x
- 843 64. Deuschle K, Funck D, Forlani G, Stransky H, Biehl A, Leister D, et al. The Role of Δ 1-Pyrroline-5-
844 Carboxylate Dehydrogenase in Proline Degradation. *Plant Cell.* 2004;16: 3413–3425.
845 doi:10.1105/tpc.104.023622
- 846 65. Yang H, Ludewig U. Lysine catabolism, amino acid transport, and systemic acquired resistance.
847 *Plant Signal Behav.* 2014;9. doi:10.4161/psb.28933
- 848 66. Sivaguru M, Pike S, Gassmann W, Baskin TI. Aluminum rapidly depolymerizes cortical
849 microtubules and depolarizes the plasma membrane: evidence that these responses are
850 mediated by a glutamate receptor. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2003;44: 667–675.
- 851 67. Lu G, Wang X, Liu J, Yu K, Gao Y, Liu H, et al. Application of T-DNA activation tagging to identify
852 glutamate receptor-like genes that enhance drought tolerance in plants. *Plant Cell Rep.*
853 2014;33: 617–631. doi:10.1007/s00299-014-1586-7
- 854 68. Bird CR, Smith TA. The biosynthesis of coumarylglutamine in barley seedlings. *Phytochemistry.*
855 1981;20: 2345–2346. doi:10.1016/S0031-9422(00)82662-X
- 856 69. Roepenack-Lahaye E von, Newman M-A, Schornack S, Hammond-Kosack KE, Lahaye T, Jones
857 JDG, et al. p-Coumaroylnoradrenaline, a Novel Plant Metabolite Implicated in Tomato Defense
858 against Pathogens. *J Biol Chem.* 2003;278: 43373–43383. doi:10.1074/jbc.M305084200
- 859 70. Scheideler M, Schlaich NL, Fellenberg K, Beissbarth T, Hauser NC, Vingron M, et al. Monitoring
860 the switch from housekeeping to pathogen defense metabolism in *Arabidopsis thaliana* using
861 cDNA arrays. *J Biol Chem.* 2002;277: 10555–10561. doi:10.1074/jbc.M104863200
- 862 71. Mutuku JM, Nose A. Changes in the Contents of Metabolites and Enzyme Activities in Rice
863 Plants Responding to *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn Infection: Activation of Glycolysis and
864 Connection to Phenylpropanoid Pathway. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2012;53: 1017–1032.
865 doi:10.1093/pcp/pcs047
- 866 72. Ton J, Mauch-Mani B. Beta-amino-butyric acid-induced resistance against necrotrophic
867 pathogens is based on ABA-dependent priming for callose. *Plant J Cell Mol Biol.* 2004;38: 119–
868 130. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02028.x
- 869 73. Yan Z, Reddy MS, Ryu C-M, McInroy JA, Wilson M, Kloepper JW. Induced systemic protection
870 against tomato late blight elicited by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Phytopathology.*
871 2002;92: 1329–1333. doi:10.1094/PHYTO.2002.92.12.1329
- 872 74. Klessig DF, Tian M, Choi HW. Multiple Targets of Salicylic Acid and Its Derivatives in Plants and
873 Animals. *Front Immunol.* 2016;7. Available:
874 <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00206>
- 875 75. PONCHET M, DUPREZ V, RICCI P. SUPPRESSION OF BOTH INDUCED RESISTANCE AND
876 PHYTOALEXIN PRODUCTION BY SALICYLIC ACID DURING ELICITATION OF CARNATION

- 877 CUTTINGS. Acta Horticulturae. International Society for Horticultural Science (ISHS), Leuven,
878 Belgium; 1983. pp. 61–70. doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.1983.141.9
- 879 76. Czékus Z, Iqbal N, Pollák B, Martics A, Ördög A, Poór P. Role of ethylene and light in chitosan-
880 induced local and systemic defence responses of tomato plants. J Plant Physiol. 2021;263:
881 153461. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2021.153461
- 882 77. Czékus Z, Kukri A, Hamow KÁ, Szalai G, Tari I, Ördög A, et al. Activation of Local and Systemic
883 Defence Responses by Flg22 Is Dependent on Daytime and Ethylene in Intact Tomato Plants.
884 Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22: 8354. doi:10.3390/ijms22158354
- 885 78. Pujol C, Bailly M, Kern D, Maréchal-Drouard L, Becker H, Duchêne A-M. Dual-targeted tRNA-
886 dependent amidotransferase ensures both mitochondrial and chloroplastic Gln-tRNA^{Gln}
887 synthesis in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105: 6481–6485.
888 doi:10.1073/pnas.0712299105
- 889 79. Pajerowska-Mukhtar KM, Wang W, Tada Y, Oka N, Tucker CL, Fonseca JP, et al. The HSF-like
890 transcription factor TBF1 is a major molecular switch for plant growth-to-defense transition.
891 Curr Biol CB. 2012;22: 103–112. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.12.015
- 892 80. Wu C-C, Singh P, Chen M-C, Zimmerli L. L-Glutamine inhibits beta-aminobutyric acid-induced
893 stress resistance and priming in Arabidopsis. J Exp Bot. 2010;61: 995–1002.
894 doi:10.1093/jxb/erp363
- 895 81. Wang Y, Weide R, Govers F, Bouwmeester K. L-type lectin receptor kinases in *Nicotiana*
896 *benthamiana* and tomato and their role in *Phytophthora* resistance. J Exp Bot. 2015;66: 6731–
897 6743. doi:10.1093/jxb/erv379
- 898 82. Miya A, Albert P, Shinya T, Desaki Y, Ichimura K, Shirasu K, et al. CERK1, a LysM receptor
899 kinase, is essential for chitin elicitor signaling in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
900 2007;104: 19613–19618. doi:10.1073/pnas.0705147104
- 901 83. AbuQamar S, Chai M-F, Luo H, Song F, Mengiste T. Tomato Protein Kinase 1b Mediates
902 Signaling of Plant Responses to Necrotrophic Fungi and Insect Herbivory. Plant Cell. 2008;20:
903 1964–1983. doi:10.1105/tpc.108.059477
- 904 84. Liu G, Ji Y, Bhuiyan NH, Pilot G, Selvaraj G, Zou J, et al. Amino acid homeostasis modulates
905 salicylic acid-associated redox status and defense responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell.
906 2010;22: 3845–3863. doi:10.1105/tpc.110.079392
- 907 85. Kadotani N, Akagi A, Takatsuji H, Miwa T, Igarashi D. Exogenous proteinogenic amino acids
908 induce systemic resistance in rice. BMC Plant Biol. 2016;16: 60. doi:10.1186/s12870-016-0748-
909 x
- 910 86. Fabro G, Kovács I, Pavet V, Szabados L, Alvarez ME. Proline accumulation and AtP5CS2 gene
911 activation are induced by plant-pathogen incompatible interactions in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant-
912 Microbe Interact MPMI. 2004;17: 343–350. doi:10.1094/MPMI.2004.17.4.343
- 913 87. Cecchini NM, Monteoliva MI, Alvarez ME. Proline dehydrogenase contributes to pathogen
914 defense in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2011;155: 1947–1959. doi:10.1104/pp.110.167163

- 915 88. Rizzi YS, Cecchini NM, Fabro G, Alvarez ME. Differential control and function of Arabidopsis
916 ProDH1 and ProDH2 genes on infection with biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. *Mol*
917 *Plant Pathol.* 2017;18: 1164–1174. doi:10.1111/mpp.12470
- 918 89. Kim D-R, Jeon C-W, Cho G, Thomashow LS, Weller DM, Paik M-J, et al. Glutamic acid reshapes
919 the plant microbiota to protect plants against pathogens. *Microbiome.* 2021;9: 244.
920 doi:10.1186/s40168-021-01186-8
- 921 90. Manzoor H, Kelloniemi J, Chiltz A, Wendehenne D, Pugin A, Poinssot B, et al. Involvement of
922 the glutamate receptor AtGLR3.3 in plant defense signaling and resistance to
923 *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*. *Plant J Cell Mol Biol.* 2013;76: 466–480.
924 doi:10.1111/tpj.12311
- 925 91. Anders S, Huber W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.*
926 2010;11: R106. doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106
- 927 92. Wang Y, Coleman-Derr D, Chen G, Gu YQ. OrthoVenn: a web server for genome wide
928 comparison and annotation of orthologous clusters across multiple species. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
929 2015;43: W78-84. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv487
- 930 93. eulerr citation info. [cited 21 Jan 2021]. Available: [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/eulerr/citation.html)
931 [project.org/web/packages/eulerr/citation.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/eulerr/citation.html)
- 932 94. Tian T, Liu Y, Yan H, You Q, Yi X, Du Z, et al. agriGO v2.0: a GO analysis toolkit for the
933 agricultural community, 2017 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx382
- 934 95. Proost S, Van Bel M, Vaneechoutte D, Van de Peer Y, Inzé D, Mueller-Roeber B, et al. PLAZA
935 3.0: an access point for plant comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014; gku986.
936 doi:10.1093/nar/gku986
- 937 96. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: A Software
938 Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Res.*
939 2003;13: 2498–2504. doi:10.1101/gr.1239303
- 940 97. Goenawan IH, Bryan K, Lynn DJ. DyNet: visualization and analysis of dynamic molecular
941 interaction networks. *Bioinformatics.* 2016; btw187. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw187
- 942 98. Gómez-Alonso S, Hermosín-Gutiérrez I, García-Romero E. Simultaneous HPLC Analysis of
943 Biogenic Amines, Amino Acids, and Ammonium Ion as Aminoenone Derivatives in Wine and
944 Beer Samples. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2007;55: 608–613. doi:10.1021/jf062820m
- 945 99. Segarra G, Jáuregui O, Casanova E, Trillas I. Simultaneous quantitative LC–ESI-MS/MS analyses
946 of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid in crude extracts of *Cucumis sativus* under biotic stress.
947 *Phytochemistry.* 2006;67: 395–401. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.11.017

948