

1

2

3 **Biological characterization of an emergent virus infecting vegetables in**
4 **diversified production systems: physostegia chlorotic mottle virus**

5 **Coline Temple¹, Arnaud G. Blouin², Dieke Boezen³, Marleen Botermans⁴, Laurena Durant¹, Kris De**
6 **Jonghe⁵, Pier de Koning⁴, Thomas Goedefroit⁵, Laurent Minet⁶, Stephan Steyer⁷, Eric Verdin⁸, Mark**
7 **Zwart³, Sebastien Massart^{1*}**

8 ¹ Integrated and Urban Plant Pathology Laboratory, TERRA Teaching and Research Center, University of
9 Liège, Gembloux Agro-Bio Tech, Gembloux, Belgium

10 ² Plant Protection Department, Agroscope, 1260, Nyon, Switzerland

11 ³ Department of Microbial Ecology, Netherlands Institute for Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), PO Box 50,
12 Wageningen, 6700 AB the Netherlands

13 ⁴ Netherlands Institute for Vectors, Invasive plants and Plant health, Netherlands Food and Product Safety
14 Authority, Wageningen, . P.O. Box 9102, 6700 HC Wageningen, The Netherlands ³

15 ⁵ Plant Sciences Unit, Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (ILVO), Merelbeke,
16 9820, Belgium

17 ⁶ Hortiforum asbl / Centre Technique Horticole de Gembloux

18 ⁷ Crops and Forest Health Unit, Walloon Agricultural Research Centre (CRA-W), Gembloux, Belgium

19 ⁸ Unité de Pathologie Végétale, Institut national de recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et
20 l'environnement (INRAE), Avignon, 84000, France

21 *** Correspondence:**

22 Sebastien Massart

23 sebastien.massart@uliege.be

24 **Keywords: Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus, host range, symptoms, field experiment, greenhouse**
25 **assay, yield loss, prevalence, transmission, leafhoppers**

26 **Abstract**

27 With the emergence of high throughput sequencing (HTS) technologies, the discovery of new plant viruses
28 has outpaced their biological characterization. However, it is crucial to understand the biology of these
29 viruses to evaluate the risks they pose for the production of crops and natural ecosystems and to manage
30 them properly. In 2018, Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus (PhCMoV) was detected in Austria in a
31 *Physostegia* plant (Lamiaceae) using HTS, and subsequent prepublication data sharing associated the
32 presence of the virus with severe fruit symptoms on important crops like tomato, eggplant, and cucumber

33 across nine European countries. This discovery led to a collaborative effort to understand better the virus's
34 genetic diversity, host range, symptomatology, and distribution. Still, specific knowledge gaps remained. In
35 this study, the authors address these gaps by examining the transmission mode, prevalence, and disease
36 severity of PhCMoV. Bioassay and field survey confirmed the causal association between the presence of
37 the virus and symptoms on tomato and eggplant. The investigation also mapped out the historical and
38 geographic footprint of the virus, spanning back 30 years and including a new location, Switzerland. Based
39 on field survey, PhCMoV was found to naturally infect 11 new host plant species across seven families,
40 extending the host range of PhCMoV to 20 plant species across 14 plant families. Greenhouse assays with
41 mechanical inoculation showed that yield losses could reach 100% depending on the phenological stage of
42 the plant at the time of infection. The study also identified a polyphagous leafhopper species
43 (*Anaceratagallia* sp.) as the natural vector of PhCMoV. PhCMoV was widespread in diversified vegetable
44 farms in Belgium where tomato is grown in soil, occurring in approximately one-third of such farms.
45 However, outbreaks were sporadic and it can be suggested that they were associated with specific cultural
46 practices, such as the cultivation of perennial plants in tomato tunnels that can serve as a host for both the
47 virus and its vector. To further explore this phenomenon and better manage the virus, studying the ecology
48 of the *Anaceratagalliae* vector would be beneficial.

49 **1 Introduction**

50 Application of high throughput sequencing (HTS) technologies enabled the first identification of
51 *Physostegia chlorotic mottle alphanucleorhabdovirus* (PhCMoV) from *Physostegia virginiae* (*Lamiaceae*)
52 in 2018 (Menzel et al., 2018). PhCMoV is a rhabdovirus which belongs to the *Alphanucleorhabdovirus*
53 genus, and more precisely, to a cluster that includes eggplant mottle dwarf virus (EMDV), potato yellow
54 dwarf virus (PYDV), constricta yellow dwarf virus (CYDV) and joá yellow blotch-associated virus
55 (JYBaV) (Dietzgen et al., 2021). PhCMoV is most closely related to EMDV.

56 After re-analyzing historical samples, the presence of PhCMoV was confirmed from samples collected in
57 2002 (Temple et al., 2021). With 29 isolates sequenced, PhCMoV is the plant rhabdovirus with the most
58 near-complete genomes available to date (Temple et al., 2021). Furthermore, genomic studies showed that
59 although genetic variability ranged between 82 and 100% of nucleotide sequence identity (for the near-
60 complete genome), PhCMoV showed a very low genomic variation in the same environment for a long
61 period (17 years) on different annual host plants (Temple et al., 2021).

62 HTS has significantly improved knowledge of plant viral diversity, and the evolution of known viruses, as
63 well as enabling the discovery of new plant viral species (Bejerman et al., 2020, Bejerman et al., 2021,
64 Adams et al., 2018, Lefeuvre et al., 2019). However, genomic information alone does not provide enough
65 indications to assess the phytosanitary risks associated with novel plant viruses and to develop appropriate
66 management strategies to control epidemics (Massart et al., 2017). Therefore, it is necessary to study the
67 biology and epidemiology of a new virus to understand its potential risk for crops and wild plants. In 2017,
68 a framework was published to help with the evaluation of biosecurity, commercial, regulatory, and scientific
69 impacts of new viruses that need to be characterized for an efficient risk assessment (Massart et al., 2017).
70 This framework is currently under revision to focus the research on the association between the presence of
71 the virus earlier (Fontdevila et al., submitted). The revised framework will follow the suggestions put
72 forward by Fox (2020) : to optimize the study of symptomatology caused by plant viruses while still being
73 reliable by combining experimental data with epidemiological observations, statistical analysis, and testing
74 of asymptomatic and symptomatic plants in the field. Afterwards, if the novel virus is still considered a
75 threat to crop production, it is recommended to continue the virus characterization by filling the remaining
76 knowledge gaps related to its genetic diversity, geographic distribution, prevalence, severity, host range,
77 symptom causality and transmission mode.

78 Studying the transmission mode of a new virus and its vectors is one of the most important tasks to
79 understand how to limit the spread of a virus. Yet, it is one of the least-studied criteria, as shown for tomato
80 and fruit tree viruses (Hou et al., 2021, Rivarez et al., 2021). Furthermore, research on the transmission
81 mode for new viral species is laborious and require a lot of time and resources. For example, transmission
82 tests require to start and maintain colonies of potential insect vector candidates in appropriate control
83 conditions. In that context, reviewing close virus relative vectors can greatly narrow the range of insect to
84 test. Looking for the presence of insects in infected areas or being attentive to the distribution of the virus
85 in the field is important to identify the mode of transmission. In Dietzgen et al., (2015), phylogenetic studies
86 based on the protein L homology of various plant rhabdoviruses showed that these viruses clustered
87 according to their insect vector type. PhCMoV cluster with EMDV, PYDV and CYDV, which are
88 transmitted by leafhoppers while other plant rhabdovirus can also be transmitted by planthoppers, aphids,
89 mites and whitefly (Dietzgen et al., 2020). A large study on the vector of EMDV in Iran revealed its
90 transmission by the leafhopper *Agallia vorobjevi* (Dlab.) after testing different arthropods species, including
91 two mites, one psyllid, one thrips, five aphids, four planthoppers and 14 leafhoppers species found on
92 EMDV infected sites. The transmission of a “cucumber isolate of EMDV” by leafhopper (*Anaceratagallia*
93 *laevis* (Ribaut) and *Anaceratagallia ribauti* (Ossiannilsson)) was also demonstrated in France with better
94 efficiency for *A. laevis* (Della Giustina et al., 2000). Two strains of PYDV were described based on their
95 differential transmission by the leafhopper vector *Anaceratagallia sanguinolenta* (PYDV) and *Agallia*
96 *constricta* (CYDV). These results suggest that the vector of PhCMoV is likely to be a specific specie of
97 leafhopper close to the *Anaceratagallia* or *Agallia* genus.

98 In 2021, pre-publication data sharing between scientists resulted in an international collaboration and the
99 first evaluation of the risk associated with PhCMoV. This evaluation, combined with previous reports,
100 highlighted the importance of PhCMoV, because its sudden detection in multiple European countries was
101 shown to be associated with severe symptoms on economically important crops such as tomato, eggplant
102 and cucumber (Gaafar et al., 2018; Vučurović et al., 2021, Temple et al., 2021). The study extended the
103 known natural host range of PhCMoV to nine different plant species (seven families) across nine European
104 countries. PhCMoV was associated with severe symptoms on the fruits and with vein clearing on the leaves.
105 Subsequently, in Belgium, where multiple occurrences of the virus was recorded, 2,100 asymptomatic
106 tomato plants were screened from 21 vegetable farms with soil-grown tomatoes on for the presence of
107 viruses. No detection of PhCMoV was recorded, while the virus was detected in six of the sites on
108 symptomatic plants, reinforcing the exisiting association between virus presence and symptom development
109 on field (Temple et al., submitted).

110 The aim of this publication is to better study the biology of PhCMoV in order to refine the analysis of the
111 phytosanitary risks it poses and to propose management measure to limit its spread. The biological
112 characterization focuses on filling knowledge gaps related to prevalence and epidemiology, disease
113 severity, transmission modes, host range and symptomology as suggested in a recent optimized scientific
114 and regulatory framework for their characterization and risk analysis (Fontdevila et al., submitted).

115 **2 Material and methods**

116 **Sampling and laboratory tests**

117 **2.1 Selection of the best sampling tissue for tomato**

118 For three different tomato cultivars ('Black cherry', 'St Jean d'Angely' and 'Trixi') from site A
119 (Supplementary table 1), a specific sampling on seven different tissues per plant was carried out. At the

120 lower part of the plant, (1) an old leaf (6th from the bottom), (2) the first re-growth, (3) a mature fruit and
121 (4) a re-growth at middle height was sampled. Then, (5) the apex, (6) the uppermost fruit (not mature) and
122 the (7) uppermost mature fruit was sampled as well (Fig. 1). Finally, for the cultivar ‘St Jean d’Angely’ and
123 ‘Trixi’, (8) a leaf from average age, taken from the middle height of the plant was also collected. Symptoms
124 on each of the samples were recorded.

125 For the cultivar 'Black cherry', five asymptomatic plants (AS), ten plants that only showed symptoms at the
126 bottom of the plant (S) and ten plants that showed systemic symptoms (S++) were selected. The seven
127 different samples were collected on each plant as described in Fig. 1.

128 Two asymptomatic plants were selected for the two other cultivars ('St Jean d'Angely' and 'Trixi'), while six
129 and seven symptomatic plants were selected for the cultivar 'St Jean' and 'Trixi', respectively. The samples
130 were tested by ELISA to evaluate the best tissue to sample for detecting the virus.

131 **2.2 Plants and insects sampling**

132

133 **2.2.1 Testing the presence of PhCMoV in symptomatic plants**

134 During summer, tomato and eggplant crops were visually inspected for the presence of PhCMoV suspicious
135 symptoms (tomato unven ripened and deformed fruits and eggplants with vein clearing on new leaves). All
136 the symptomatic plants were counted, collected and frozen at -20°C. If a PhCMoV-suspicious symptomatic
137 tomato or eggplant was spotted in a site, particular attention was given to the presence of viral-like
138 symptoms (vein clearing, mosaic, deformation, dwarfing) on the other plants species present on the site.
139 The suspected virus-infected plants were pictured, sampled and tested by RT-PCR. The samples were
140 collected as part of a survey on tomatoes grown on soil dedicated to the fresh market in the Walloon Region
141 of Belgium in 2020, 2021 and 2022. In total, 27 farms were surveyed with five of them visited over two
142 consecutive years. The number of plants per species, year and site is indicated in Supplementary table 1.

143 **2.2.2 Testing the presence of PhCMoV on new host plants**

144 Two distinct ecological large-scale plant virome surveys in the Netherlands, collected wild plant species,
145 including *Anthriscus sylvestris*, *Solanum nigrum*, *Viola arvensis*, *Geranium molle* and *Hypericum*
146 *perforatum*. Specimens were sampled, irrespectively of symptoms in 2020 and 2021. Between 3 and 20
147 plants per species were collected and pooled before virus detection was performed using HTS of total
148 RNA.

149 **2.2.3 Detection of PhCMoV in historical samples**

150 Five samples of tomato and one sample of cucumber kept in an historical collection of plant samples stored
151 frozen (-20°C) and labeled as “rhabdovirus” were reexamined. The samples were collected in Switzerland
152 (Tessin, Zurich and Valais) between 1993 and 2006. They were tested for the presence of PhCMoV by RT-
153 PCR and the oldest tomato sample (collected in 1993, accession 3216 at Agroscope, Nyon, Switzerland)
154 was sequenced by HTS of total RNA.

155 **2.2.4 Insects trapping**

156 In the site A, leafhoppers belonging to the *Anaceratagalliae*, *Eupteryx*, and *Euscelidius* genera were
157 observed in October 2021 around symptomatic sorrel (*Rumex acetosa*) plants. The specimens were collected
158 from these plants, and from the walls of the plastic greenhouse with an insect-aspirator.

159 **2.3 Laboratory testing**

160 **2.3.1 RNA extraction from plants**

161 The protocol used for RNA extraction of historical samples was described in Reynard et al., 2022. For the
162 Belgian samples (survey and transmission experiments), RNA extraction was carried out following the
163 protocol described Onate-Sanchez and Vicente-Carbajosa (2008). For samples of *A. sylvestris* and *S. nigrum*
164 RNA was extracted from about 1 g frozen leaf tissue, according to Botermans et al., (2013). For *V. arvensis*,
165 *G. molle* and *H. perforatum*, RNA was extracted using the Maxwell RSC Plant RNA Kit (Promega).

166 **2.3.2 DNA and RNA extraction from insect**

167 The entire insect body was ground using a micro-pestle in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes filled with 0.5 ml
168 TRIzol™ (Invitrogen®). Half a ml of TRIzol™ was then added to the samples. After overnight incubation
169 at room temperature, 200 µl of chloroform was added. Each tube was then vortexed for 15 seconds,
170 incubated at room temperature for 3 minutes and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 12.000 g and 4 °C. RNA
171 present in the aqueous phase (supernatant) was precipitated in 500 µl of isopropanol before 10 minutes of
172 incubation at 4°C and centrifugation at 12,000 g and 4°C. Next, the supernatant was removed, and pellets
173 were washed twice in 1 ml of fresh 75% ethanol. At each wash, tubes were spun for 5 minutes at 7,500 g
174 and 4°C. After the last wash, the remaining ethanol was removed by pipetting and air drying. RNA was
175 resuspended in 30 µl of sterile water. DNA present in the inferior phase was precipitated in 300 µl of 100%
176 ethanol. Tubes were mixed by inversions and incubated for 3 minutes at room temperature before
177 centrifugation for 5 minutes at 2,000 g and 4°C. The supernatant was removed, and pellets were washed
178 twice in 1 ml of 0.1M sodium citrate in 10% ethanol for 30 minutes. At each wash, tubes were centrifuged
179 for 5 minutes at 2,000 g, and 4°C and the supernatant was discarded. After pipetting away any residual
180 drops, DNA was resuspended in 30 µl of sterile water.

181 **2.3.3 Detection of PhCMoV by HTS**

182 Extracted RNA of the historical accession 3216 and a plant used for mechanical inoculation in control
183 conditions (named “GH24”) was processed using the protocol described for Be_GP1 in Temple et al., 2021
184 prior to Illumina sequencing (total RNA and ribodepletion). RNA of *Anthriscus sylvestris* and *Solanum*
185 *nigrum* were also analyzed using a protocol based on total RNA and ribodepletion prior to Illumina
186 sequencing, as described for Nd_SL1 in Temple et al., 2021. Finally, for *Viola arvensis*, *Geranium molle*
187 and *Hypericum perforatum*, RNA extracts were subjected to ribodepletion and cDNA synthesis as described
188 in Liefing et al. (2021). The cDNA was sequenced using the Illumina NovaSeq platform. Reads were
189 trimmed using fastp (default settings) (Chen et al. 2018) and assembled using rnaviralspades (default
190 settings) (Meleshko et al., 2021). PhCMoV genomes were detected using blastn with using the nt reference
191 database (Altschul, 1990).

192 **2.3.4 Detection of PhCMoV by RT-PCR and ELISA**

193 RNA extracts were reverse transcribed in cDNA prior to PCR using the primers and PCR conditions
194 according to Gafaar et al., 2018.

195 ELISA tests were performed using PhCMoV antibodies JKI-2051 (kindly provided by Heiko Ziebell, JKI),
196 at a dilution of 1:2000 (v/v). The protocol of Clark et Adams (1977) was followed.

197 **2.3.5 DNA barcoding for insect identification**

198 The subsequent amplification step of the PCR was performed using MangoTaq™ DNA Polymerase
199 (Bioline, Belgium) and the primers LCO1490 and HCO2198 designed by Folmer et al., (1994) and the
200 following cycling conditions: 94°C for 1 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 15 sec, 48°C for 20 sec, 72°C for 20
201 sec and a final extension step of 3 min at 72°C. The amplified products were purified with the QIAquick
202 PCR purification kit (QIAGEN), and amplicons were sent to MacroGen Europe lab (Amsterdam) for

203 Sanger sequencing. Finally, sequences obtained with forwards and reverse primers were two by two de
204 novo assembled on Geneious Prime[®] 2020.0.5 software for each sample. Primer sequences were removed
205 and resulting consensus sequences were analyzed using BLASTn and default settings. The identification
206 of the insect was validated when the percentage of identity was higher than 95% with a given reference
207 sequence.

208 **Prevalence and symptom association studies on farm**

209 **2.4 Prevalence of PhCMoV in tomato in Wallonia**

210 The prevalence of plants with PhCMoV-like symptoms was estimated by visual inspection for each site, by
211 dividing the number of tomato plants showing PhCMoV symptoms by the total number of tomato plants.
212 We used the prevalence of symptoms as a proxy for virus prevalence.

213 **2.5 Association between PhCMoV presence and symptoms on eggplants**

214 To understand better the correlation between the PhCMoV-like symptoms (vein clearing and deformations
215 on new leaves) and the presence of the virus in eggplant, 13 symptomatic plants from the cultivar 'Shakira'
216 (Supplementary Fig. 1) and 109 asymptomatic eggplants surrounding the symptomatic plants were sampled.
217 This collection was conducted on the site C (Supplementary table 1) at the end of August 2020 where the
218 presence of the virus was confirmed the previous year (Temple et al., 2021). The distribution of the
219 symptomatic plants was mapped in the greenhouse (Supplementary Fig. 1). In the greenhouse, 440 eggplants
220 were grown, and most symptomatic plants (11/13) were located near the entrance with only two additional
221 eggplants showing symptoms on the first row, near an opening in the middle of the tunnel (Supplementary
222 Fig. 1). The samples were analyzed by ELISA. The 13 symptomatic and the 48 asymptomatic plants
223 immediately surrounding the symptomatic ones, were tested individually, whereas the 61 asymptomatic
224 plants situated away from the symptomatic plants were tested in pools of two to ten plants.

225 **2.6 Association between PhCMoV presence and symptoms on several tomato cultivars**

226 In site A (Supplementary table 1), tomato plants showing symptoms on fruits (deformations, uneven
227 ripening) and leaves (vein clearing on re-growth) were observed in October 2020. In the greenhouse, 14
228 different tomato cultivars were grown, with approximately 120 plants per cultivar. Half of the plants were
229 planted in April, and the other half in June. In total, 116 symptomatic tomato plants were mapped
230 (Supplementary Fig. 2). Whenever possible, at least three symptomatic plants per cultivar were collected
231 and tested by ELISA for the presence of PhCMoV. In total, 61 plants showing symptoms were tested. Ten
232 asymptomatic plants per cultivar were collected and pooled by five to test by ELISA. The 55 other plants
233 showing the same symptoms were considered positive to calculate the virus prevalence for each cultivar
234 (Supplementary table 2).

235 **Greenhouse inoculations**

236 The PhCMoV isolate GH24 from tomato was reactivated on *N.benthamiana* before being used for
237 inoculation. The studied plants were mechanically inoculated in greenhouse by gently rubbing the leaves
238 with 0.02M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7,4) with 0.2% sodium diethyldithiocarbamate or 2% of
239 polyvinylpyrrolidone freshly added for the evaluation of the impact on yield and carborundum. After 5
240 minutes, the leaves were rinsed under tap water.

241 **2.7 Expanding knowledge on PhCMoV host range and symptomology**

242 To confirm the PhCMoV host range and to evaluate the associated symptoms, 12 different plants species
243 (*Capsicum annuum*, *Tropaleum majus*, *Lavatera trimestris*, *Stachys affinis*, *Galinsoga pavirflora*, *Cucumis*

244 *sativus*, *Ipomea purpurea*, *Nicotiana glutinosa*, *Nicotiana benthamiana*, *Petunia x hybrida*, *S. melongena*,
 245 *S. lycopersicum*) including two different cultivars of tomatoes ('Suzy' and 'Black cherry') were
 246 mechanically inoculated. The number of inoculated plants per species/cultivars varied between 5 and 20 and
 247 is indicated in Table 1. Symptoms were monitored seven to ten weeks post-inoculation and the samples
 248 were tested by ELISA for the presence of PhCMoV.

Inoculated test plant	GH24	
	Symptoms	ELISA/ RT-PCR
<i>N. glutinosa</i>	vc, d	4/10
<i>N. benthamiana</i>	vc, d, y	9/9
<i>Petunia hybrida</i>	vc, d	9/9
<i>C. sativus</i> 'Belt alpha'	-	0/10
<i>C. annuum</i> 'Yolo wonder'	-	0/10
<i>S. lycopersicum</i> 'Suzy'	vc, d	20/20
<i>S. lycopersicum</i> 'Black Cherry'	vc, d	20/20
<i>Stachis affinis</i>	vc, m, y	3/5
<i>Tropaeolum majus</i> 'Girerd'	vc, d	2/15
<i>Lavatera trimestris</i>	y, vc, lln	2/15
<i>Galinsonga pavirflora</i>	-	0/15
<i>Ipomea purpurea</i> 'Grandpa Ott'	-	0/15
<i>Solanum melongena</i> 'tsakoniki'	vc, d	3/4

249
 250 **Table 1.** Mechanically inoculated plant species with PhCMoV (isolate GH24), symptoms observed and RT-
 251 PCR results. Legend: m = mottle, vc = vein clearing, d= deformation, y= yellowing, lln = lesions locales
 252 nécrotic, - = asymptomatic

253 2.8 Evaluation of the impact of PhCMoV on the yield and quality of tomatoes

254 To study the impact of PhCMoV on yield and quality, two cultivars of tomato ('Black cherry' (BC) and
 255 'Cupidissimo F1' (CU) were mechanically inoculated with PhCMoV (GH24) at three different
 256 developmental stages: 4 weeks after sowing (BC-1 and CU -1), 8 weeks after sowing (BC-2 and CU -2),
 257 and 14 weeks after sowing (BC-3 and CU -3). These different time points were chosen because 1) the first
 258 one (4 weeks after sowing) corresponded to the control laboratory conditions and the stage when tomato
 259 plants are usually inoculated for indexing, 2) Eight weeks after sowing corresponds approximately to the
 260 tomato developmental stage at which growers plant the seedlings in the greenhouse (the moment they can
 261 potentially get infected), 3) 14 weeks after sowing correspond to the flowering stage. The cultivar 'black
 262 cherry' was chosen because it seemed highly sensitive to the virus in the field. The cultivar 'Cupidissimo F1'
 263 was chosen because it seemed less sensitive and belonged to another type of tomato ('Coeur de boeuf'). Two
 264 dwarf tomato cultivars ('Tom Thumb' and 'Micro Tom') were also inoculated at one time point (3,5 weeks
 265 after sowing).

266 For the inoculation at the ~4-weeks stage, only one leaf per plant was inoculated with 1mL of inoculum
 267 solution. For the inoculation at the 8-weeks and 14-weeks stages, three newly formed leaves per plant were
 268 inoculated with 1mL of the inoculum solution per leaf. At the different time points, between 2 and 5 plants

269 were "inoculated" only with the buffer solution as a negative control. The number of plants inoculated with
270 PhCMoV at the different time points was 20, 18 and 16 for 'Black cherry', 15, 19 and 9 for the cultivar
271 'Cupidissimo' and 14 for the two dwarf cultivars (Supplementary table 3).

272 The plants were randomly distributed in a greenhouse, and after the first inoculation, they were visually
273 inspected for symptoms each week. When the fruits reached maturity, they were harvested, weighed and
274 classified as suitable for the market (asymptomatic) or not (symptomatic, showing deformations, marbelling
275 or anomalies of coloration, Fig. 2). At the end of the experiment (when most of the plants were starting to
276 die), re-growth or symptomatic tissues (fruit or leaves) were sampled and tested by ELISA to confirm the
277 presence of PhCMoV. If a negative result was given on an asymptomatic plant inoculated, another organ
278 (bottom fruit) was tested to confirm the absence of the virus. Only ELISA positive plants were considered
279 for statistical analyses.

280 The total weight of marketable and non-marketable fruit was calculated for each plant. Then, the total
281 marketable weight of the plants inoculated at the different time points was compared to the mock-inoculated
282 condition using the Wilcoxon test on R Studio software. A significance threshold of 0.05 was used when
283 testing for differences between control and inoculated plants at each time point.

284 **2.9 Vector investigation**

285 **2.9.1 Transmission assays**

286 Since *Anaceratagallia* sp. represented the best candidate for the transmission of PhCMoV, two transmission
287 assays were designed with the collected specimens. For the first assay, 10 *Anaceratagallia* leafhoppers
288 captured as described before in site A (2.2.4) were fed on various host plants infected with PhCMoV
289 (eggplant, *Galinsoga* sp, tomato, sorrel) for 20 days in an insect-proof cage (Temperature: 21°C, Humidity:
290 50%, Day:night: 16:8). After that, one specimen (LF43-3) was transferred to a healthy eggplant seedling
291 (TR47). Another one (LF43-4) was transferred to a healthy tomato seedling (TR52). After four days, the
292 leafhopper on TR47 died and was stored at -20°C. After 13 days, LF43-4 was transferred to another healthy
293 tomato seedling (TR62) for 24h before being stored at -20°C. The plants were grown in insect-proof empty
294 cages and tested by RT-PCR for the presence of PhCMoV seven weeks after the first contact with the
295 leafhopper. DNA and RNA of the two insects was extracted for species identification by DNA barcoding
296 and PhCMoV testing.

297 For the second assay, six *Anaceratagallia* leafhoppers were collected on the same site (A) near infected
298 plants and directly transferred on three healthy tomatoes and three healthy eggplant seedlings for the second
299 assay. All the plants were tested for the presence of PhCMoV by RT-PCR. Dead insects were collected and
300 stored at -20°C before DNA/RNA extraction and DNA barcoding/PhCMoV testing. One insect was lost
301 during the process.

302 **2.9.2 Morphological identification**

303 In summer 2022, one *Anaceratagallia* male specimen was caught in site A using the process as in 2021.
304 First, its genital parts were dissected and pictured to morphologically identify the specimens (Supplementary
305 Fig. 3). For this purpose, the classification Key of Tishechkin et al., 2020 was used. Then, DNA was
306 extracted as described above for COI barcoding identification.

307

308 **3 Results**

3.1 Selection of the most appropriate tissue for PhCMoV detection

In site A, special attention was given to 'Black cherry', 'St Jean d'Angely' and 'Trixi' to assess the distribution of the virus in tomato plant and the best tissues to sample to detect the virus. The seven plant samples of the nine asymptomatic tested plants were tested negative by ELISA for PhCMoV. At least one of the seven sample tested per plant classified as "symptomatic" was positive. For the plants 'Black cherry' that showed mild symptoms, PhCMoV was best detected in symptomatic lower re-growth and symptomatic lower fruits (Fig. 1). When plants showed severe symptoms, the virus was detected in the upper parts, whether they were symptomatic (bottom fruit, middle re-growth, topped mature fruit) or not (uppermost fruit, apex). The symptomatic bottom fruit (4) was the most reliable sample in the positive plants of 'St Jean' and 'Trixi' (Fig. 1). Overall, most positive tissues exhibited symptoms, but some detections were also made on asymptomatic tissues, mainly situated at the top of the plant, especially for the cultivar St Jean d'Angely (Fig. 1). All the positive plants' oldest tissues (6th old leaf, old middle leaf) were asymptomatic and negative. Overall, symptomatic fruits or re-growth at the bottom of the plants seemed to be the best tissues to observe PhCMoV symptoms in various tomato cultivars and to detect the virus.




Fig 1. Detectability of PhCMoV in different tissues by ELISA a) Cultivar 'black cherry' with mild symptoms, b) Cultivar 'black cherry' with severe symptoms, c) Cultivar 'St Jean d'Angely', with medium symptoms d) Cultivar 'Trixi', with medium symptoms. The status of the plant (positive or negative) was assessed by ELISA

328

3.2 PhCMoV was already present in Europe in 1992

Six symptomatic historical samples from Switzerland, dating back to 1992 were tested positive for PhCMoV. The confirmation of the presence of PhCMoV in Europe is therefore set back by more than a decade and in a new country. The genome of the sequenced sample was deposited on Genbank (accession OQ689795).

3.3 Identification of new host plants and symptomatology:

During the field survey, eleven new plant species were identified as natural host for PhCMoV, extending the number of PhCMoV known host plant species from nine to twenty. It includes *A. sylvestris*,

337 *Chenopodium album*, *Capsicum annuum*, *G. molle*, *H. perforatum*, *Malva sylvestris*, *Physalis peruviana*,
338 *Rumex acetosa*, *S. nigrum*, *Tropaeolum majus*, and *V. arvensis*. Four of them belong to two plant families
339 already known to host PhCMoV (*Polygonaceae* and *Solanaceae*) and seven other plant species belong to
340 new families: *Amaranthaceae*, *Apiaceae*, *Geraniaceae*, *Hypericaceae*, *Malvaceae*, *Tropaeolaceae*, and
341 *Violaceae*. When PhCMoV was detected through HTS, the sequences were deposited in Genbank (accession
342 number: OQ716531, OQ716532, OQ716533, OQ318170, OQ318171).


343 Vein clearing and deformations were observed on leaves of some of the host plants identified in Belgium
344 (*C. album*, *C. annuum*, *M. sylvestris*, *P. peruviana*, *R. acetosa*, *T. majus*, Supplementary Fig. 4). However, it
345 is impossible to assess whether the symptoms were caused by PhCMoV, other viruses or abiotic stress since
346 the presence of other viruses in mixed infection cannot be excluded and no information was collected for
347 putative abiotic stresses for these plants.

348 **3.4 Symptoms causality of PhCMoV on its hosts**

349 To study the association between the presence of PhCMoV and symptoms on different host plants, *C.*
350 *annuum*, *T. majus*, *L. trimestris*, *S. affinis*, *G. parviflora*, *C. sativus*, *I. purpurea*, and *S. melongena* were
351 mechanically inoculated with GH24 (accession OQ689794) under greenhouse conditions. Four additional
352 species were used as positive control (*N. glutinosa*, *N. benthamiana*, *Petunia x hybrida*, *S. lycopersicum*).
353 HTS and bioinformatic analyses confirmed that the original plant used for inoculation was only infected by
354 PhCMoV (isolate GH24).

355 Almost all the control plants (62/68) were successfully inoculated and showed symptoms of vein clearing,
356 deformation and yellowing (Table 1, Fig. 3). For *T. majus* and *L. trimestris*, two plants out of 15 were
357 successfully inoculated by PhCMoV (Table 1). Infected *L. trimestris* plants showed weak vein clearing on
358 some of the leaves, while the symptoms on *T. majus* were more visible (vein clearing, leaf deformation) and
359 resemble the one observed on the field (Fig. 3). Three out of five plants of *S. affinis* were successfully
360 inoculated, and the plants showed vein clearing and discolouration (Fig. 3), in contrast with the symptomless
361 *S. affinis* collected in the field and sequenced previously (accession MZ322957, Temple et al., 2021).

362



363

364 **Fig. 3. Symptoms of PhCMoV on leaves of different plant species mechanically inoculated by GH24.**
365 a. *Tropaeolum majus*, b. *Stachys affinis*, c. *Nicotiana glutinosa*, d. *Nicotiana benthamiana*, e. *Petunia x*
366 *hybrida*, f. *Lavatere trimestris*, g. *Solanum melongena*

367 **3.5 Association of PhCMoV with symptomatic eggplants**

368 In site C, 13 symptomatic plants showing vein clearing and deformations on the new leaves or all the leaves
369 and 109 asymptomatic eggplants were collected in a tunnel and tested for PhCMoV (Supplementary Fig.
370 1). The ELISA results indicated that the 13 symptomatic samples were positive, and in 108 asymptomatic
371 plants surrounding the symptomatic ones, the virus was not detected. Only one asymptomatic plant situated
372 next to a symptomatic plant was positive and showed symptoms on the next visit.

373 **3.6 PhCMoV detection on different tomato cultivars**

374 In site A, 118 tomato plants belonging to 12 different cultivars showed symptoms of PhCMoV. These plants
375 were distributed on both sides of the greenhouse independently of the plantation date. Still, although the
376 same cultivars were planted on both sides, the number of symptomatic plants was much when planted in
377 April (75/900) than in June (24/900) Supplementary Fig. 2.

378 All the 61 symptomatic plants tested by ELISA were positive for the virus while the 140 asymptomatic
379 plant pools were negative for all the 14 cultivars. These results suggested that the virus presence is well
380 associated with the presence of similar symptoms on various cultivars. In the greenhouse, the presence of
381 symptomatic and positive plants of *R. acetosa* was also mapped (Supplementary Fig. 2).

382 The most impacted cultivar was 'Black cherry' as 48% of the plants showed symptoms, followed by the
383 cultivar 'Gipsy noir', 'Gustafano F1', 'St Jean d'Angely' and 'Trixi', where between 5 and 10% of the plants
384 were symptomatic. On the other hand, no detection of the virus and no symptomatic plants were recorded
385 for the cultivar 'Charlie's green' and 'Suzy'. Finally, the prevalence of symptomatic plants was below 4% of
386 total plants for the other seven cultivars (Supplementary table 2).

387 **3.7 Prevalence of PhCMoV in Belgian farms**

388 During field surveys conducted in two Belgian provinces on vegetable farms dedicated to local-market,
389 the presence of PhCMoV was confirmed by RT-PCR on all symptomatic host plant tested (*S.*
390 *lycopersicum*, *S. melongena*, *G. parviflora*, *C. sativus*, *S. affinis*, *C. album*, *C. annuum*, *M. sylvetris*, *P.*
391 *peruviana*, *R. acetosa*, *T. majus*) when observed in nine out of 27 farms (33%) (Fig. 4, Supplementary
392 table 1).

393 Five farms where PhCMoV was detected were visited the following years and the presence of the virus
394 was confirmed each time (Supplementary table 1). In site A and C, the virus was detected on symptomatic
395 plants during three consecutive years.


396 **3.7.1 "Prevalence" within the farms based on tomato symptoms observations**

397 In the nine farms infected by PhCMoV, the prevalence of tomato with PhCMoV-like symptoms was used
398 as a proxy for evaluating the virus prevalence. It was demonstrated through field and greenhouse assays that
399 the association between the presence of PhCMoV and symptoms on tomato fruits (deformations, uneven
400 ripening) was strong, suggesting that disease symptoms are a good proxy for virus infection.

401 In most farms (7/9), less than 1.5% of the tomato plants were infected at the collection date (Fig. 4). The
402 symptomatic plants were mainly distributed at the tunnels' entrances or near openings. In two sites (A and
403 P), the prevalence of the virus in tomato reached 7% and 13%, respectively (Fig. 4). While weeds and other
404 annual plants than tomato were commonly present in most of the visited greenhouse, the culture of perennial
405 plants (sorrel, strawberry, aromatics...) was noticed inside tomato tunnels only in site A and P
406 (Supplementary table 1).

407 In site P, 85 and 200 tomato plants (belonging to 20 cultivars) were grown into two side-by-side small
408 tunnels (4x30m) and the symptomatic plants were mainly observed in one of the two tunnels (38/85 tomato
409 plants exhibited PhCMoV symptoms). In the other tunnel, only 2/200 plants were symptomatic.

410 After 2021, the producers of site P removed all the perennial plants and weeds that were present in the highly
411 infected tunnel. The following year (2022), the presence PhCMoV in the tunnel was only sporadic (only 2-
412 3 tomato plants were showing the symptoms) while the same annual crops were cultivated (tomato,
413 capsicum and cucumber). A similarly low number of PhCMoV infected eggplants was observed outdoors
414 in the same two seasons (2021 and 2022).



415
416 **Fig. 4. Distribution and « prevalence » of PhCMoV based on symptoms observations in tomato and**
417 **eggplant (R, S) in the province of Walloon Brabant and Namur (Belgium).** The « prevalence » was
418 calculated based on the number of PhCMoV-symptomatic tomato plants divided by the total number of
419 tomato grown in a site (Supplementary table 6)

420
421 **3.8 Yield assay**
422 To study the impact of the virus on yield, tomato plants ('Black cherry' (BC), n=54 and 'Cupidissimo F1'
423 (CU), n=43) were inoculated at three different developmental stages. Overall, the global inoculation success
424 rate one was higher for BC than CU (87% vs 63%), but infection was always above 50% for each time point
425 and each cultivars (Supplementary table 3). This rate did not decrease with the plant age for the two cultivars
426 (Supplementary table 3).

427 For BC, the first symptoms following the first inoculation time point was spotted on leaves approximately
428 8 weeks post inoculation (wpi) (Supplementary table 3). They were mostly found on fruits for the second
429 and third inoculation time points (Supplementary table 3) approximately eight and 15 wpi respectively.


430 For CU, the first symptoms following the first inoculation were spotted on leaves and fruit at the same time,
431 approx. 9.5 wpi. After the second inoculation, symptoms were observed more often on fruit than on leaves

432 at approx. 14 wpi, and those of the third inoculation were all spotted first on fruit approx. 10 wpi
433 (Supplementary table 3).

434 It is important to note that for both cultivars, the number of weeks before the appearance of the first
435 symptoms was very variable from one plant to another in a same time point (e.g. symptoms can be observed
436 4 wpi or 22 wpi for the second time point in CU) and the indicated number is the median (supplementary
437 table 3).

438 For both cultivars, total asymptomatic fruit weight was significantly reduced when plants were innoculated
439 at four weeks after sowing and eight weeks after sowing compared to the control (Fig. 2, Supplementary
440 table 4). However, the difference was no longer significant when comparing plants that were infected 14
441 weeks after sowing. The average yield from asymptomatic fruits (marketable fruits) per plant decreased by
442 99% and 65% for the BC infected at the first and second inoculation time point (Fig. 2). This drop was
443 mainly due to a reduction in the number of fruits per plant for the first time point, which reached 0 for some
444 of the plants and due to the presence of symptoms on the remaining fruits (Supplementary table 4). For the
445 second time point, the number of asymptomatic fruits was higher than for the first time point (close to 50%)
446 (Fig. 2).

447 The same phenomenon was observed for cultivar CU although yield reduction at the first and second time
448 point compare to the control was less drastic than for BC (Fig. 2).



449
450 **Fig. 2. Mean of total yield (green + red color), marketable yield (green color) and unmarketable yield**
451 **(red color) per tomato plant of the 'Black cherry' cultivar (a) and 'Cupidissimo F1' cultivar (b) when**
452 **the plants were infected at three time points. Infected-1: 4 weeks after sowing, infected-2: 8 weeks after**
453 **sowing, infected-3: 14 weeks after sowing, mock: control plants inoculated with the buffer only, c)**
454 **Represent pictures of tomato considered as « marketable » (asymptomatic) which corresponds to the green**
455 **color, d) Represent pictures of tomato considered as « unmarketable » (symptomatic), which corresponds**

456 to the red color, n= number of plants per conditions, Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences
457 of sealable fruits compared with the mock-treated plants (**: p-value <0.01, *** p-value <0.001)

458

459 **3.9 Insect identification and PhCMoV transmission**

460 Leafhoppers belonging to *Anaceratagallia* genus and present on one of the two most affected sites (A) were
461 collected and used in transmission tests to test if they could transmit PhCMoV.

462 In the first experiment, the two *Anaceratagallia* leafhoppers (LF43-3 and LF43-4) that fed on infected
463 PhCMoV tomato and eggplant in cages successfully transmitted the virus to two healthy seedlings (TR47
464 and TR62). The plants were tested positive for PhCMoV by RT-PCR seven weeks after their contact with
465 the viruliferous insects. PhCMoV was also detected in the insect body of the two insect specimens, despite
466 the fact that one had been feeding on a healthy plant for the last 14 days before its death. Only the infected
467 status of one plant (TR52), which was also in contact with the infected *Anaceratagallia* leafhopper (LF43-
468 4), was inconclusive, as the plant was nearly dead before the RNA extraction process.

469 Comparison of the COI sequence of the two leafhoppers which have transmitted PhCMoV (LF43-3 and
470 LF43-4) with the NCBI database matched with the accession OK275083 "*Anaceratagallia* sp.", which has
471 not been identified at the species level with 95% identity (id) (Supplementary table 5).

472 In the second trial, six additional *Anaceratagallia* leafhoppers were directly put from the field onto six
473 healthy seedlings in a cage (three eggplants and three tomatoes). After four weeks, two eggplants were
474 showing vein clearing on new leaves. The symptoms appeared on the third eggplant after two more weeks
475 and on two tomato plants eight weeks after the first contact with the leafhoppers. These five symptomatic
476 plants (out of six) were tested positive for PhCMoV. Dead leafhoppers were collected 10 and 23 days after
477 being in contact with the plants and one of them (LF42b) was tested positive for PhCMoV. COI barcoding
478 and sequence homology with the NCBI database was also performed to identify the five remaining insect
479 species. Two specimens (LF42-a and LF42-e) matched to accession OK205264 (98% id) and MZ631325
480 (100%id) respectively, namely "*Anaceratagallia lithuanica*", and one specimen (LF42-b) matched the
481 unnamed specimen of *Anaceratagallia* (OK275083, Supplementary table 5). The results remained
482 inconclusive for two other specimens.

483 Finally one year after the transmission test, a new *Anacertagallia* specimen was collected for morphological
484 identification. According to the classification key of Tchechekin, 2020, the specimen was *A. fragariae*
485 (Supplementary Fig. 3). However, the COI sequence matched with the accession OK205264 (98% id) which
486 was labeled as *A. lithuanica*. The COI sequence was deposited on GenBank (accession: OQ469522).

487 **4 Discussion**

488 Since the first detection of PhCMoV by HTS in 2018 (Menzel et al., 2018), the virus has been identified in
489 symptomatic economically important host plants (tomato, eggplant, cucumber) in nine European countries
490 (Temple et al., 2021), highlighting the need to understand its biology better. The framework to evaluation
491 of biosecurity, commercial, regulatory, and scientific impacts of new viruses proposed by Massart et al.,
492 2017 and revised by Fontdevila et al., (submitted) was followed to fill the knowledge gaps required to
493 understand the phytosanitary risks associated with PhCMoV.

494 First, by re-analyzing the presence of the virus in historical symptomatic samples, the presence of PhCMoV
495 was traced 30 years ago and described in a new country (Switzerland). Thereafter, eleven new natural host
496 plants (*A. sylvestris*, *C. album*, *C. annuum*, *G. molle*, *H. perforatum*, *M. sylvestris*, *P. peruviana*, *R. acetosa*,

497 *S. nigrum*, *T. majus*, *V. arvensis*) belonging to seven new families (*Apiaceae*, *Amaranthaceae*,
498 *Tropaeolaceae*, *Geraniaceae*, *Hypericaceae*, *Malvaceae*, and *Violaceae*) were identified in Belgium and the
499 Netherlands, extending the number of plant species susceptible to PhCMoV to 20 amongst 14 plant families.
500 These results suggests that it is likely that the true range of the natural host is much wider than what has
501 been observed since its first detection four years ago. This biological aspect is coherent with EMDV, the
502 closest virus to PhCMoV, which includes more than 25 hosts recorded on CABI (2021)
503 (<https://www.cabi.org/>). The detection of PhCMoV on perennial or bi-annual plants (*A. sylvestris*, *R.*
504 *acetosa*, *S. affinis*, *T. majus*, *V. arvensis*, *G. molle*, *H. perforatum* and *M. sylvestris*) helped explain how the
505 virus survives overwinter. Eradicating a virus that has a broad range of hosts in a diverse production system
506 is challenging, as the pathogen may have several asymptomatic or inconspicuous reservoirs. However, the
507 level of virus contamination can be reduced by removing infected plants, or susceptible hosts (Jones et al.,
508 2004).

509 In order to study symptoms causality with PhCMoV, bioassays were performed in controlled conditions for
510 some host plants. All the successfully infected plants showed symptoms (72 plants from 12 different plant
511 species). The association of PhCMoV with symptoms on *T. majus* and *L. trimestris* which belong to two
512 families not previously known to host PhCMoV (*Tropaeolaceae* and *Malvaceae*) was assessed, and
513 deformation and vein clearing symptoms were observed. Mechanical inoculations of PhCMoV induced
514 symptoms to *S. affinis* (discolouration and yellowing on the leaves), in contradiction to our field observation
515 (Temple et al., 2021). This phenomenon can be explained by multiple reasons since symptoms caused by
516 viruses may strongly depends on environmental conditions, host genotype, and that inoculation are usually
517 done on optimal conditions viruses in the greenhouse (Hull, 2014).

518 In contrast, symptoms observed in tomato and eggplants in control conditions were identical to those
519 observed in the field (uneven-ripened and deformed fruits, vein clearing and deformed leaves, dwarfing and
520 shortened nodes for the most impacted plants). For these two host plants, all four criteria to assess symptoms
521 causality described by Fox (2020) were fulfilled: the symptoms observed in control conditions after
522 mechanical inoculation (1-experiment) were similar to the ones observed in the field at multiple occasions
523 (2-consistency), improving the (3-coherence of causality). Furthermore, numerous symptomatic and
524 asymptomatic host plants were tested in a virus-infected plot and demonstrated the presence of PhCMoV in
525 symptomatic host plants but not in asymptomatic plants (4-validation of the strength criteria). In this study,
526 the results suggest that a tomato plant must exhibit symptoms on at least one tissue to be tested positive.
527 Additionally, there is a higher probability of observing symptoms on the lower organs (such as lower fruits
528 or re-growth) compared to the upper organs.

529 Although the association between PhCMoV and the presence of symptoms is strong on eggplant and tomato,
530 symptoms can be confounded with other plant viruses such as alfalfa mosaic virus for eggplant and with
531 tomato brown rugose fruit virus (ToBRFV), pepino mosaic virus (PepMV) or tomato fruit blotch virus
532 (Ciuffo et al., 2020) for tomato (Temple et al., 2021). ToBRFV and PepMV have very different biological
533 properties compared to PhCMoV. These viruses are highly transmissible through contact and by seeds, can
534 remain stable in the environment and represent therefore a major threat for tomato production (Oladokun et
535 al., 2019, Hanssen et al., 2010). ToBRFV is considered a quarantine pest in Europe (A2 list, EPPO) and
536 requires strict sanitation measures and obligatory notification in case of detection. Therefore, making a
537 correct diagnosis through laboratory testing in case of PhCMoV-like symptoms in tomato remains crucial.

538 Symptoms caused by PhCMoV can also be confounded with EMDV in eggplant, tomato, cucumber and
539 capsicum (Martelli and Cirulli, 1969, El-Maataoui et al., 1985, Roggero et al., 1995). However, mistaking
540 these two viruses is less problematic since they have the same transmission mode. While these two viruses
541 have already been reported together in the same area such as South of France, EMDV is endemic in the

542 Mediterranean basin, where it is widespread (CABI), and PhCMoV was so far, mostly detected in temperate
543 European countries (e.g. Belgium, Germany, the Netherlands, Slovenia, Switzerland).

544 As PhCMoV significantly impacted the marketable yield of tomato in the field by degrading the appearance
545 of the fruits, several assays (in the field and laboratory conditions) were designed to improve knowledge on
546 the biology of the virus, its impact on the yield and the best diagnostic protocol. First, severity was evaluated
547 by a greenhouse assay showing that inoculating plants until at least eight weeks after sowing (which
548 corresponds approximatively to the plantation date) reduced drastically the yield of marketable fruits for
549 two different tomato cultivars, 'Black cherry' and 'Cupidissimo F1'. Yield loss was mainly caused by a
550 degradation of the fruit appearance (deformations, anomalies of colouration), a reduction in the number of
551 fruits per plant, and an average, which is typical of pathogenic plant viruses impact on tomato (Blancard et
552 al., 2012, Hull, 2014). The preliminary findings of Durant (2021) confirmed these results by examining how
553 PhCMoV affected the yield of two tomato cultivars with short life cycles ('Tom Thumb' and 'Micro-Tom').

554 In the present study, the impact on yield was, however, reduced when 'Black cherry' and 'Cupidissimo F1'
555 were inoculated at a later developmental stage. Contradictory effects between the timing of infection and
556 yield have been reported on different pathosystems. As for PhCMoV, early exposure of cabbage by turnip
557 mosaic virus significantly reduced the number and quality of marketable harvested plants compared to later
558 infection, having a less negative impact (Spence et al., 2007). Similar results were observed in tomato
559 infected by tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) as plant age at inoculation had a significant reduced
560 effect on yield loss due to TYLCV (Levy et Lapidot, 2007). Conversely, in swiss chard (*Beta vulgaris* subsp.
561 *Vulgaris*) infected with beet mosaic virus, or tomato infected with PepMV, late infection had the most
562 pronounced effects on non-marketability compared to early infection (Spence et al., 2006, Spence et al.,
563 2007). In addition, we did not measure an increased resistance of mature plants to infection through
564 mechanical inoculation, and the decrease in yield measured was likely due to the long latent phase. Indeed,
565 when symptoms appeared in plants infected at the latest time point, most of the crop was already harvested.
566 These results underline the importance of safeguarding plants from PhCMoV infection during the early
567 developmental stages to minimize crop losses. Results presented in this study showed that the severity of
568 symptoms on tomato fruits was high on multiple tomato cultivars infected in the field. When 'Black cherry'
569 and 'Suzy', the cultivars with the most and least infections on the field, were both inoculated mechanically
570 at an early stage, they both showed a 100% infection rate and displayed symptoms. Therefore, the higher
571 incidence of PhCMoV on 'Black cherry' in one of the farm (A) may likely be due to another phenomenon
572 such as a vector's preference for this specific cultivar.

573 Overall, PhCMoV was detected in one-third of the visited diversified farms where vegetables are grown in
574 soil in Belgium. In addition, once the virus was detected in a farm, it was systematically detected the
575 following year (for the five sites that were re-visited), suggesting the persistence of the virus in the
576 environment. However, the prevalence of the virus in the field was very limited (<1%) in all but two sites,
577 where the virus was problematic (prevalence >7%). In locations where PhCMoV was highly prevalent, its
578 transmission was not uniform between tunnels, and infection zones was sometimes very localized. It has
579 not been established why there were such varying prevalence. However, the abundant presence of perennial
580 plants (sometimes positives for PhCMoV) such as sorrel, mint, strawberries, mallow, and other weeds in
581 tunnel/greenhouse where tomatoes were cultivated was noticed in these two sites. After 2021, the producers
582 of site P removed all the perennial plants and weeds (strawberries, mallow, mint) in the highly infected
583 tunnel, resulting in a lower virus prevalence in this tunnel the year after. These results suggested that while
584 the virus might persist in the environment, the vicinity of perennial plants host for PhCMoV or its vector
585 with annual crops in a close environment might increase the risk of PhCMoV epidemics on crop.

586 The spread of a viral disease is mainly driven by the ability of the vector (if any) to transmit the virus
587 between plants (Whitfield et al., 2018). On the base of the COI homology, two distinct species of
588 the *Anaceratagalliae* genus were identified on cultivated sorrel (*R. acetosa*) in site A: *A. fragariae* and an
589 unidentified *Anaceratagallia* sp. These two species were previously observed at a same site on a wild
590 strawberry plant (*Fragaria vesca*) in the Czech Republic, suggesting they co-habits (Franova et al, 2021).
591 The transmission of PhCMoV was only demonstrated for the unnamed identified species of
592 the *Anaceratagalliae* genus. Nevertheless, it is not excluded that *A. fragariae* can also transmit the virus.
593 Little information is known about their biology due to the difficulties in finding specimens
594 of *Anaceratagalliae* in the field, rearing them and the inability of morphologically differentiating species
595 between female individuals. However, rearing the leafhopper vectors would help understand their lifecycle
596 and host range in order to better evaluate the risks associated with PhCMoV. In addition, transovarial
597 vertical transmission of plant rhabdoviruses in insect-vector has already been shown with high efficiency
598 for wheat yellow striate virus, another member of the alphanucleorhabdovirus genus (Du et al., 2020). This
599 particularity has crucial consequences on how to manage a disease, and thus requires to be studied for
600 PhCMoV. *A. fragariae* can mate, reproduce and complete a full lifecycle on *R. acetosa* in the laboratory
601 (data not shown) which makes it a suitable host to rear leafhoppers. In addition, one specimen was observed
602 crawling on a sorrel in the middle of winter (January 2022), suggesting that the plant has a potential role in
603 the overwintering of the leafhoppers.

604 In a review on the classification of *Anaceratagalliae* Zachvatkin, 1946, the species of the genus
605 *Anaceratagalliae* were classified into four species groups according to the shape of male genitalia: *A. laevis*,
606 *A. ribauti*, *A. venosa*, *A. acuteangulata* (Tishechkin et al., 2020). In this review, the authors highlighted that
607 *A. fragariae* and *A. ribauti* can be easily misidentified since they are very similar in morphological traits
608 and in ecological preferences. In addition, the authors suggested that *A. lithuanica* does not exist and the
609 two species of the species group *A. ribauti* are: *A. fragariae* and *A. ribauti*. Since *A. ribauti* was already
610 associated with a COI barcode and that the morphologically identified specimens in this study associated
611 with a COI barcoding matching with *A. lithuanica* were assigned to *A. fragariae*, it is likely that *A. lithuanica*
612 was incorrectly named in the NCBI database. Its COI sequence is confounded with *A. fragariae*.
613 Furthermore, OK275083 might be *A. laevis*, since to date, this species was never associated with a COI
614 sequence. Giustina et al., 2000 demonstrated that transmission of “an EMDV strain” Was more efficient for
615 *A. laevis* than *A. ribauti*, but it is important to note that the diagnosis of the virus was only based on
616 symptoms observation. The experiment was then contradicted by Babaie et al., (2003) who showed that *A.*
617 *laevis* does not transmit EMDV, questioning whether Giustina et al., 2000 could have investigated PhCMoV
618 instead of EMDV.

619 Overall, it is crucial to identify the vector of PhCMoV at species level and to investigate if multiple
620 *Anaceratagalliae* species can transmit the virus. Many aspects of the ecology and behavior of
621 *Anaceratagalliae* is lacking, and the epidemiology of plant rhabdoviruses is strongly influenced by their
622 specific insect vectors in which they also replicate (Hogenhout et al., 2003, Whitfield et al., 2018).
623 Therefore, studying the ecology and behavior of PhCMoV vector can allow to better understand the disease
624 emergence, with the sudden multiple detections of PhCMoV after decades of unnoticed presence. Climate
625 change might be one of the reasons of PhCMoV emergence as it can affect the ecology of leafhoppers
626 (Masters et al., 1998, Baker et al., 2015) and the epidemiology of plant viruses (Jones et al., 2009, Jones et
627 Naidu, 2019, Trebicki, 2020). In fact, milder winter and warmer spring may increase the activity and
628 population of *Anaceratagalliae* earlier in the season when infected plants will express severe symptoms.
629 The second reason can rely on agricultural practices: there has been an increase in the number of producers
630 in Belgium and Europe who are cultivating a wide range of plant species (20-45) over a limited area (< 2.5
631 ha) (Dumont et al., 2017). These producers often promote sustainable farming, diversity, natural regulation

632 of pests and contact with their consumers, such as Community Supported Agriculture (Dumont et al., 2017,
633 Boeraeve et al., 2020, Tamburini et al., 2020). The presence of PhCMoV was mainly detected in this type
634 of structure (Temple et al., submitted). In these production systems, tomatoes are grown under tunnels that
635 are often open to ventilate and avoid cryptogamic diseases. Therefore, exchanges between natural
636 ecosystems and cultivated plants or between different cultivated plant species are more common than in
637 close and highly controlled greenhouses and might favour the presence of plant viruses in cultivated plants
638 and pathogen spillovers, which is considered the first step of virus emergence (Elena et al., 2014)". Finally,
639 the hypothesis that the misidentification of PhCMoV with EMDV explains why the virus was not detected
640 before cannot be neglected for countries where both viruses have been detected (e.g. France, Slovenia).
641 However, in Belgium and the Netherlands, EMDV has never been reported. The severity of symptoms
642 suggests that one of the two rhabdovirus would have been noticed if the virus had been problematic before.

643 In laboratory and field conditions, PhCMoV caused significant yield losses and noticeable symptoms in
644 various tomato cultivars and other vegetable crops (Temple et al., 2021). Its host range is quickly expanding,
645 and it has primarily been detected in small-scale and diversified production systems growing tomato in soil
646 for local markets, representing a small proportion of overall tomato production. Notably, extensive
647 monitoring of tomato viruses in the Netherlands' industrial production systems (which utilize insect-proof
648 glasshouses) did not identify the presence of PhCMoV in 125 production sites (data not shown). This
649 suggests that the virus could have limited impact on commercial-scale industrial tomato production. Overall,
650 with the current knowledge, it is likely that the virus has the potential to be a serious threat on small
651 diversified farms. Still, the increased knowledge of its biology provided by this publication allows
652 management measures to be proposed during an outbreak (e.g. looking and removing alternative hosts).

653 Overall, this work makes PhCMoV one of the best characterized new tomato viruses after ToBRFV. Almost
654 all the characterization criteria proposed by Rivarez et al., 2021 were met. The benefits of this
655 characterization were immediately apparent as it resulted in a notable decrease in disease incidence on a
656 farm. Finally, further knowledge of the vector will help predict potential epidemics and develop improved
657 management strategies.

658

659 **5 Acknowledgments:**

660 The authors would like to warmly thank Fred Dresen from the Phytopathology laboratory of Gembloux-
661 Agro-Biotech (University of Liege) for providing invaluable technical assistance during the experimentation
662 process, including greenhouse assays and transmission tests. Additionally, the authors acknowledge his
663 valuable guidance in designing the experiments, improving mechanical inoculation techniques, and sharing
664 his expertise on plant viruses, characterization of new viral species, and rearing and capturing leafhoppers.

665 Catherine Wipf-Scheibel (INRAE), Nathalie Dubuis (Agroscope) and Elisabeth Demonty (CRA-W) are
666 also thanks for their important support in the laboratory analyzes.

667 We thank Prof. Frederic Francis from the Entomology department of Gembloux Agro-Biotech (University
668 of Liege) for making space available in the insect rearing chambers of his laboratory and for letting CT
669 managing independently leafhoppers' rearing.

670 The authors express their gratitude to Nuria Fontdevila, Johan Rollin and Julien Ponchard, for assisting in
671 sample collection and weighing the fruits.

672 We would also like to thank Heiko Ziebell from Julius Kuhn Institute (JKI) for kindly providing the
673 PhCMoV antibodies. Finally, we are very grateful for the support of the growers who allowed us to access
674 their properties and collect samples over multiple years, and multiple times. Especially grower A, to whom
675 we went so many times to catch leafhoppers and to sample plants.

676 **6 Authors contributions**

677 CT, AGB, and SM contributed to the conception and design of the study. CT have performed most of the
678 laboratory analyses. The following authors have contributed to the specific experiments below: yield assay:
679 AGB, LD, SS, LM, natural host range and geographical distribution of the virus: AGB, LM, LD, DB, MB,
680 PDK, MZ, Vector: LD, KDJ, TG, Field survey: AGB, LM SS, Mechanical inoculation (greenhouse assay):
681 EV, SS. CT wrote the first original draft. SM provided most resources. SM and AB provided supervision.
682 All authors contributed to the manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

683 **7 Data, scripts, code, and supplementary information availability**

684 All the sequences were deposited on GenBank (accessions: OQ689794, OQ689795, OQ716531-OQ716533,
685 OQ318170 and OQ318171).

686 **8 Conflict of interest disclosure**

687 The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in relation
688 to the content of the article.

689 **9 Funding**

690 European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie,
691 Grant Agreement no. 813542.

692 Federal public service, public health, Belgium, Grant Agreement no. RT 18/3 SEVIPLANT 55.

693 **9 References**

- 694 Adams, Ian P., Adrian Fox, Neil Boonham, Sébastien Massart, and Kris De Jonghe. 2018. 'The Impact of
695 High Throughput Sequencing on Plant Health Diagnostics'. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 152 (4):
696 909–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-018-1570-0>.
- 697 Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman. 1990. 'Basic Local Alignment Search
698 Tool'. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215 (3): 403–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2).
- 699 Babaie, Gh, and K. Izadpanah. 2003. 'Vector Transmission of Eggplant Mottled Dwarf Virus in Iran'.
700 *Journal of Phytopathology* 151 (11–12): 679–82. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0434.2003.00788.x>.
- 701 Baker, Mitchell B., P. Dilip Venugopal, and William O. Lamp. 2015. 'Climate Change and Phenology:
702 Empoasca Fabae (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) Migration and Severity of Impact'. *PLOS ONE* 10 (5):
703 e0124915. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124915>.
- 704 Bejerman, Nicolás, Humberto Debat, and Ralf G. Dietzgen. 2020. 'The Plant Negative-Sense RNA
705 Virosphere: Virus Discovery Through New Eyes'. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 11 (September).
706 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.588427>.
- 707 Bejerman, Nicolás, Ralf G. Dietzgen, and Humberto Debat. 2021. 'Illuminating the Plant Rhabdovirus
708 Landscape through Metatranscriptomics Data'. *Viruses* 13 (7): 1304. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13071304>.

- 709 Blancard. 2012. Les maladies de la tomate - Identifier, connaître, maîtriser - Dominique Blancard,
710 Librairie
- 711 Boeraeve, Fanny, Nicolas Dendoncker, Jean-Thomas Cornélis, Florine Degruene, and Marc Dufrêne. 2020.
712 ‘Contribution of Agroecological Farming Systems to the Delivery of Ecosystem Services’. *Journal of*
713 *Environmental Management* 260 (April): 109576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109576>.
- 714 Botermans, M., B. T. L. H. van de Vossenbergh, J. Th. J. Verhoeven, J. W. Roenhorst, M. Hooftman, R.
715 Dekter, and E. T. M. Meekes. 2013. ‘Development and Validation of a Real-Time RT-PCR Assay for
716 Generic Detection of Pospiviroids’. *Journal of Virological Methods* 187 (1): 43–50.
717 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2012.09.004>.
- 718 Chen, Shifu, Yanqing Zhou, Yaru Chen, and Jia Gu. 2018. ‘Fastp: An Ultra-Fast All-in-One FASTQ
719 Preprocessor’. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 34 (17): i884–90.
720 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560>.
- 721 Clark, M. F., and A. N.Y.R 1977 Adams. n.d. ‘Characteristics of the Microplate Method of Enzyme-
722 Linked Immunosorbent Assay for the Detection of Plant Viruses’. *Journal of General Virology* 34 (3):
723 475–83. <https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-34-3-475>.
- 724 Ciuffo, M., W. M. Kinoti, A. Tiberini, M. Forgia, L. Tomassoli, F. E. Constable, and M. Turina. 2020. ‘A
725 New Blunervirus Infects Tomato Crops in Italy and Australia’. *Archives of Virology* 165 (10): 2379–84.
726 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-020-04760-x>.
- 727 Dietzgen, Ralf G., Nicolas E. Bejerman, Michael M. Goodin, Colleen M. Higgins, Ordomb. Huot, Hideki
728 Kondo, Kathleen M. Martin, and Anna E. Whitfield. 2020. ‘Diversity and Epidemiology of Plant
729 Rhabdoviruses’. *Virus Research*, March, 197942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.197942>.
- 730 Dietzgen, Ralf G., Nicolas E. Bejerman, Yongyu Mei, Charmaine Lim Jing Jee, Camila Chabi-Jesus,
731 Juliana Freitas-Astúa, Solange M. Veras, and Elliot W. Kitajima. 2021. ‘Joá Yellow Blotch-Associated
732 Virus, a New Alphanucleorhabdovirus from a Wild Solanaceous Plant in Brazil’. *Archives of Virology*,
733 March. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-021-05040-y>.
- 734 Du, Zhenzhen, Yumei Fu, Yan Liu, and Xifeng Wang. 2019. ‘Transmission Characteristics of Wheat
735 Yellow Striate Virus by Its Leafhopper Vector *Psammotettix alienus*’. *Plant Disease* 104 (1): 222–26.
736 <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-19-0934-RE>.
- 737 Dumont, Antoinette M., and Philippe Baret. 2017. *Classifications et Cartographies Des Systèmes de*
738 *Production et Commercialisation Des Légumes Frais En Région Wallonne (Belgique)*.
- 739 Durant., Master thesis, 2021, University of Liège, Gembloux Agro-biotech,
740 <http://hdl.handle.net/2268.2/13255>
- 741 El Maataoui, M., B. E. L. Lockhart, and D. E. Lesemann. 1985. ‘Biological, Serological, and
742 Cytopathological Properties of Tomato Vein-Yellowing Virus, a Rhabdovirus Occurring in Tomato in
743 Morocco’. *Phytopathology (USA)*.
744 https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Biological%2C+serological%2C+and+cytopathological+properties+of+tomato+vein-yellowing+virus%2C+a+rhabdovirus+occurring+in+tomato+in+Morocco&author=El+Maataoui%2C+M.&publication_year=1985.
- 745
746
747
- 748 Elena, Santiago F., Aurora Fraile, and Fernando García-Arenal. 2014. ‘Chapter Three - Evolution and
749 Emergence of Plant Viruses’. In *Advances in Virus Research*, edited by Karl Maramorosch and Frederick
750 A. Murphy, 88:161–91. Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800098-4.00003-9>.
- 751 Folmer, Ole, Michael Black, Hoeh Wr, R Lutz, and Robert Vrijenhoek. 1994. ‘DNA Primers for
752 Amplification of Mitochondrial Cytochrome C Oxidase Subunit I from Diverse Metazoan Invertebrates’.
753 *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 3 (November): 294–99.
- 754 Fontdevila Núria, Maryam Khalili, Ayoub Maachi, Mark Paul Selda Rivarez, Johan Rollin, Ferran
755 Salavert, Coline Temple, Miguel A. Aranda, Neil Boonham, Marleen Boermans, Thierry Candresse,
756 Adrian Fox, Yolanda Hernandez, Denis Kutnjak, Armelle Marais, Françoise Petter, Maja Ravnikar, Ilhem
757 Selmi, Rachid Tahzima, Charlotte Trontin, Thierry Wetzel, Sébastien Massart. Submitted to *Frontiers*.

- 758 Managing the deluge of newly discovered plant viruses and viroids: an optimized scientific and regulatory
759 framework for their characterization and risk analysis
- 760 Fox, Adrian. 2020. 'Reconsidering Causal Association in Plant Virology'. *Plant Pathology* 69 (6): 956–
761 61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13199>.
- 762 Fránová, Jana, Ondřej Lenz, Jaroslava Příbylová, Radek Čmejla, Lucie Valentová, and Igor Koloniuk.
763 2021. 'High Incidence of Strawberry Polerovirus 1 in the Czech Republic and Its Vectors, Genetic
764 Variability and Recombination'. *Viruses* 13 (12): 2487. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13122487>.
- 765 Gaafar, Y. Z. A., M. a. M. Abdelgalil, D. Knierim, K. R. Richert-Pöggeler, W. Menzel, S. Winter, and H.
766 Ziebell. 2017. 'First Report of Physostegia Chlorotic Mottle Virus on Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicum*) in
767 Germany'. *Plant Disease* 102 (1): 255–255. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-17-0737-PDN>.
- 768 Giustina, W. Della, M. Javoy, P. Bansept, E. Morel, Hervé Balasse, N. Goussard, and C. Passard. 2000.
769 'Les cicadelles du genre *Anaceratagallia* vectrices du virus responsable de la maladie de la peau de
770 crapaud du concombre'. *PHM Revue Horticole*, no. 420: 40.
- 771 González-Concha, Luis Felipe, Joaquín Guillermo Ramírez-Gil, Raymundo Saúl García-Estrada, Ángel
772 Rebollar-Alviter, and Juan Manuel Tovar-Pedraza. 2021. 'Spatiotemporal Analyses of Tomato Brown
773 Rugose Fruit Virus in Commercial Tomato Greenhouses'. *Agronomy* 11 (7): 1268.
774 <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11071268>.
- 775 Hanssen, Inge M., and Bart P. H. J. Thomma. 2010. 'Pepino Mosaic Virus: A Successful Pathogen That
776 Rapidly Evolved from Emerging to Endemic in Tomato Crops'. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 11 (2): 179–
777 89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2009.00600.x>.
- 778 Hou, Wanying, Shifang Li, and Sebastien Massart. 2020. 'Is There a "Biological Desert" With the
779 Discovery of New Plant Viruses? A Retrospective Analysis for New Fruit Tree Viruses'. *Frontiers in*
780 *Microbiology* 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.592816>.
- 781 Hull, Roger, ed. 2014. 'Front-Matter'. In *Plant Virology (Fifth Edition)*, i–iii. Boston: Academic Press.
782 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384871-0.00019-4>.
- 783 Jackson, A. O., Dietzgen, R. G., Goodin, M. M., Bragg, J. N., Min Deng, M. 2005. Biology of plant
784 rhabdoviruses. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 43:623660.
- 785
- 786 Jones, Roger A. C. 2004. 'Using Epidemiological Information to Develop Effective Integrated Virus
787 Disease Management Strategies'. *Virus Research, Plant Virus Epidemiology: First steps into the new*
788 *millennium*, 100 (1): 5–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2003.12.011>.
- 789 Jones, Roger A.C., and Rayapati A. Naidu. 2019. 'Global Dimensions of Plant Virus Diseases: Current
790 Status and Future Perspectives'. *Annual Review of Virology* 6 (1): 387–409.
791 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015606>.
- 792 Knops, J.m.h., D. Tilman, N.m. Haddad, S. Naeem, C.e. Mitchell, J. Haarstad, M.e. Ritchie, et al. 1999.
793 'Effects of Plant Species Richness on Invasion Dynamics, Disease Outbreaks, Insect Abundances and
794 Diversity'. *Ecology Letters* 2 (5): 286–93. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.1999.00083.x>.
- 795 Kuhn, Jens H., Scott Adkins, Bernard R. Agwanda, Rim Al Kubrusli, Sergey V. Alkhovsky, Gaya K.
796 Amarasinghe, Tatjana Avšič-Županc, et al. 2021. '2021 Taxonomic Update of Phylum Negarnaviricota
797 (Riboviria: Orthornavirae), Including the Large Orders Bunyavirales and Mononegavirales'. *Archives of*
798 *Virology* 166 (12): 3513–66. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-021-05143-6>.
- 799 Lefeuvre, Pierre, Darren P. Martin, Santiago F. Elena, Dionne N. Shepherd, Philippe Roumagnac, and
800 Arvind Varsani. 2019. 'Evolution and Ecology of Plant Viruses'. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 17 (10):
801 632–44. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0232-3>.
- 802 'Les Maladies de La Tomate - Identifier, Connaître, Maîtriser - Dominique Blancard (EAN13 :
803 9782759213627) | Librairie Quae : Des Livres Au Coeur Des Sciences'. n.d. Librairie Quae. Accessed 23
804 March 2023. <https://www.quae.com/produit/844/9782759213627/les-maladies-de-la-tomate>.
- 805 Levy, D., and M. Lapidot. 2008. 'Effect of Plant Age at Inoculation on Expression of Genetic Resistance
806 to Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus'. *Archives of Virology* 153 (1): 171–79.
807 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-007-1086-y>.

- 808 Liefting, Lia W., David W. Waite, and Jeremy R. Thompson. 2021. 'Application of Oxford Nanopore
809 Technology to Plant Virus Detection'. *Viruses* 13 (8): 1424. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13081424>.
- 810 Massart, Sebastien, Thierry Candresse, José Gil, Christophe Lacomme, Lukas Predajna, Maja Ravnikar,
811 Jean-Sébastien Reynard, et al. 2017. 'A Framework for the Evaluation of Biosecurity, Commercial,
812 Regulatory, and Scientific Impacts of Plant Viruses and Viroids Identified by NGS Technologies'.
813 *Frontiers in Microbiology* 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00045>.
- 814 Meleshko, Dmitry, Iman Hajirasouliha, and Anton Korobeynikov. 2021. 'CoronaSPades: From
815 Biosynthetic Gene Clusters to RNA Viral Assemblies'. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 38 (1): 1–8.
816 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab597>.
- 817 Menzel, Wulf, Katja Richert-Pöggeler, Stephan Winter, and Dennis Knierim. 2018. 'Characterization of a
818 Nucleorhabdovirus from Physostegia'. *Acta Horticulturae* 1193 (February): 29–38.
819 <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1193.5>.
- 820 Nickel, Herbert, and Reinhard Remane. 2002. 'Check List of the Planthoppers and Leafhoppers of
821 Germany, with Notes on Food Plants, Diet Width, Life Cycles, Geographic Range and Conservation
822 Status (Hemiptera, Fulgoromorpha and Cicadomorpha)'. *Beiträge Zur Zikadenkunde* 5 (January).
- 823 Oladokun, J. O., M. H. Halabi, P. Barua, and P. D. Nath. 2019. 'Tomato Brown Rugose Fruit Disease:
824 Current Distribution, Knowledge and Future Prospects'. *Plant Pathology* 68 (9): 1579–86.
825 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13096>.
- 826 Reynard, Jean-Sébastien, Justine Brodard, Vivian Zufferey, Markus Rienth, Paul Gugerli, Olivier
827 Schumpp, and Arnaud G. Blouin. 2022. 'Nuances of Responses to Two Sources of Grapevine Leafroll
828 Disease on Pinot Noir Grown in the Field for 17 Years'. *Viruses* 14 (6): 1333.
829 <https://doi.org/10.3390/v14061333>.
- 830 Rivarez, Mark Paul Selda, Ana Vučurović, Nataša Mehle, Maja Ravnikar, and Denis Kutnjak. 2021.
831 'Global Advances in Tomato Virome Research: Current Status and the Impact of High-Throughput
832 Sequencing'. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.671925>.
- 833 Roggero, P., R. G. Milne, V. Masenga, P. Ogliara, and V. M. Stravato. 1995. 'First Reports of Eggplant
834 Mottled Dwarf Rhabdovirus in Cucumber and in Pepper.' *Plant Disease* 79 (3).
835 <https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19952307802>.
- 836 S, Chen, Zhou Y, Chen Y, and Gu J. 2018. 'Fastp: An Ultra-Fast All-in-One FASTQ Preprocessor'.
837 *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 34 (17). <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560>.
- 838 Sf, Altschul, Gish W, Miller W, Myers Ew, and Lipman Dj. 1990. 'Basic Local Alignment Search Tool'.
839 *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215 (3). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2).
- 840 Spence, N. J., J. Basham, R. A. Mumford, G. Hayman, R. Edmondson, and D. R. Jones. 2006. 'Effect of
841 Pepino Mosaic Virus on the Yield and Quality of Glasshouse-Grown Tomatoes in the UK'. *Plant*
842 *Pathology* 55 (5): 595–606. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2006.01406.x>.
- 843 Spence, N. J., N. A. Phiri, S. L. Hughes, A. Mwaniki, S. Simons, G. Oduor, D. Chacha, et al. 2007.
844 'Economic Impact of Turnip Mosaic Virus, Cauliflower Mosaic Virus and Beet Mosaic Virus in Three
845 Kenyan Vegetables'. *Plant Pathology* 56 (2): 317–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2006.01498.x>.
- 846 Tamburini, Giovanni, Riccardo Bommarco, Thomas Cherico Wanger, Claire Kremen, Marcel G. A. van
847 der Heijden, Matt Liebman, and Sara Hallin. 2020. 'Agricultural Diversification Promotes Multiple
848 Ecosystem Services without Compromising Yield'. *Science Advances* 6 (45): eaba1715.
849 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba1715>
- 850 Temple, Coline, Arnaud G. Blouin, Kris De Jonghe, Yoika Foucart, Marleen Botermans, Marcel
851 Westenbergh, Ruben Schoen, et al. 2022. 'Biological and Genetic Characterization of Physostegia
852 Chlorotic Mottle Virus in Europe Based on Host Range, Location, and Time'. *Plant Disease* 106 (11):
853 2797–2807. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-12-21-2800-RE>.
- 854 Temple, Coline, Arnaud G. Blouin, Sophie Tindale, Stephan Steyer, Kevin Marechal, and Sebastien
855 Massart. 2023. 'High Throughput Sequencing Technologies Complemented by Grower's Perception

- 856 Highlight the Impact of Tomato Virome in Diversified Vegetable Farms'. bioRxiv. Submitted to Frontiers.
857 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.12.523758>.
- 858 Tishechkin. 2020. 'Review of the Leafhopper Genus *Anaceratagallia* Zachvatkin, 1946 (Homoptera:
859 Auchenorrhyncha: Cicadellidae: Megophthalminae: Agalliini) from Russia, Kazakhstan, and Central
860 Asia'. *Zootaxa* 4821 (2): 250–76. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4821.2.2>.
- 861 Vucurovic, Ana, Denis Kutnjak, Natasa Mehle, Ivana Stanković, Anja Pecman, Aleksandra Bulajic,
862 Branka Krstic, and Maja Ravnikar. 2021. 'Detection of Four New Tomato Viruses in Serbia Using Post-
863 Hoc High-Throughput Sequencing Analysis of Samples from a Large-Scale Field Survey'. *Plant Disease*,
864 March. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-20-1915-RE>.
- 865 Whitfield, Anna E, Ordom Brian Huot, Kathleen M Martin, Hideki Kondo, and Ralf G Dietzgen. 2018.
866 'Plant Rhabdoviruses—Their Origins and Vector Interactions'. *Current Opinion in Virology*, Virus vector
867 interactions • Special Section: Multicomponent viral systems, 33 (December): 198–207.
868 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2018.11.002>.