



HAL
open science

Measuring tree water content in-situ with a portable, unilateral magnet

Shannan Blystone, Guilhem Pagès, Hervé H. Cochard, Pierre Conchon

► **To cite this version:**

Shannan Blystone, Guilhem Pagès, Hervé H. Cochard, Pierre Conchon. Measuring tree water content in-situ with a portable, unilateral magnet. 4emes Journées RMN du Grand Sud, May 2023, Lyon, France. hal-04117301


HAL Id: hal-04117301

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04117301>

Submitted on 5 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




Measuring tree water content *in-situ* with a portable, unilateral magnet


Shannan BLYSTONE

4èmes Journées RMN du Grand Sud, 30-31 May 2023, Lyon

The future of forests as carbon sinks in a changing climate





Gross annual greenhouse gas removals by forest systems (Harris *et al.*, 2021).





Changes in spatial patterns of simulated dry days between two 20-year means (2080–2099 minus 1980–1999)(IPCC, 2014).

The coupling of the water and carbon cycles



- **Water is a reactant**
- **Water loss during transpiration +++**

The coupling of the water and carbon cycles



PHOTOSYNTHESIS



Water dynamics key to understanding the future of forests as carbon sinks

How to measure water dynamics

- **Water content** : Fresh/dry weights, NIRS, high-field NMR
- **Xylem flow** : Sap flow meters, porometers, gravimetric methods, isotopic tracing, high-field NMR
- **Phloem flow** : Aphids, ^{11}C PET imaging, high-field NMR

How to measure water dynamics

- **Water content** : Fresh/dry weights, NIRS, high-field NMR
- **Xylem flow** : Sap flow meters, porometers, gravimetric methods, isotopic tracing, high-field NMR
- **Phloem flow** : Aphids, ^{11}C PET imaging, high-field NMR

 **However, all of these methods are either destructive, limited to the laboratory, or indirect**

Advantages of MRI in the plant sciences

- Non-invasive
- Sensitive to ^1H → water in biological systems
- Information about water movement in multiple water populations can be obtained (relaxometry)
- Multitude of information can be gathered with one instrument


Advantages of MRI in the plant sciences

- Non-invasive
- Sensitive to ^1H → water in biological systems
- Information about water movement in multiple water populations can be obtained (relaxometry)
- Multitude of information can be gathered with one instrument

→ **But typically restricted to the lab**

A portable, unilateral device to study plants

The Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Mobile Universal Surface Explorer (NMR-MOUSE)




Taking the device into the field

The Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Mobile Universal Surface Explorer
(NMR-MOUSE)



Taking the device into the field

The Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Mobile Universal Surface Explorer
(NMR-MOUSE)



→ Is it quantitative ?




➤ Materials and Methods


Measuring tree water content with the NMR-MOUSE

Can we measure the branch/trunk of any species, at any level of hydration, and have a reliable indicator of water content ?

- 30 branches measured over time as they dehydrated
- 6 species, including 2 functional types
- Model of NMR signal as a function of water content
- 4 *in-situ* trees measured





Measuring tree water content with the NMR-MOUSE




- **CPMG sequence at each depth, with parameters: TE=102, TR=3000, scans = 4, NrEchoes = 128, resolution 100 μm**

Measuring tree water content with the NMR-MOUSE





- The A_0 value was used for each profile point, according to the relation: $\text{Signal} = A_0 e^{-t/T_2}$

Measuring tree water content with the NMR-MOUSE



- **The signal is represented by the integral of A_0 profiles**

Measuring tree water content with the NMR-MOUSE




- **The signal is represented by the integral of A_0 profiles**

Measuring tree water content with the NMR-MOUSE

Calculating Water Quantity in the NMR sensitive zone:

$$\text{Water quantity (g)} = \text{Branch water content (g/cm}^3\text{)} \times \text{Volume of branch in sensor (cm}^3\text{)}$$


- Water content (g) was calculated by taking fresh and dry weights
- Volume (cm³) determined by taking 3D scans





Results

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal



The linear relationship between water quantity and signal

Statistical Treatment :

- ANCOVA
- Collinearity between species and functional type as variables are nested
- Mixed model used :


$$\text{Signal} \sim \text{Water Quantity} * \text{Species} + (1 | \text{Branch})$$

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal

Results of ANOVA :


	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	P-value
Water quantity	1	2.380	3.438	273.310	< 2e-16 ***
Species	5	0.145	0.039	3.127	9.323e-05 ***
Water quantity : Species	5	0.187	0.011	0.895	< 2e-16 ***

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal




Species	Intercept	Slope
<i>A. alba</i>	101.732	309.724 ***

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal




Species	Intercept	Slope
<i>A. Alba</i>	101.732	309.724 ***
<i>B. pendula</i>	594.149 ***	284.809 ***

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal




Species	Intercept	Slope
<i>A. alba</i>	101.732	309.724 ***
<i>B. pendula</i>	594.149 ***	284.809 ***
<i>F. sylvatica</i>	507.728 *	320.583 ***

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal




Species	Intercept	Slope
<i>A. alba</i>	101.732	309.724 ***
<i>B. pendula</i>	594.149 ***	284.809 ***
<i>F. sylvatica</i>	507.728 *	320.583 ***
<i>J. regia</i>	1201.341 ***	191.983 ***

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal




Species	Intercept	Slope
<i>A. alba</i>	101.732	309.724 ***
<i>B. pendula</i>	594.149 ***	284.809 ***
<i>F. sylvatica</i>	507.728 *	320.583 ***
<i>J. regia</i>	1201.341 ***	191.983 ***
<i>P. abies</i>	-446.083	392.784 ***

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal



Species	Intercept	Slope
<i>A. alba</i>	101.732	309.724 ***
<i>B. pendula</i>	594.149 ***	284.809 ***
<i>F. sylvatica</i>	507.728 *	320.583 ***
<i>J. regia</i>	1201.341 ***	191.983 ***
<i>P. abies</i>	-446.083	392.784 ***
<i>P. sylvestris</i>	-210.90	358.59 ***

The linear relationship between water quantity and signal




Species	Intercept	Slope
<i>A. alba</i>	101.732	309.724 ***
<i>B. pendula</i>	594.149 ***	284.809 ***
<i>F. sylvatica</i>	507.728 *	320.583 ***
<i>J. regia</i>	1201.341 ***	191.983 ***
<i>P. abies</i>	-446.083	392.784 ***
<i>P. sylvestris</i>	-210.90	358.59 ***

Effects of species and functional type

- Spectrum of wood density between functional types
- The persian walnut, *J. regia*, is the most dense wood amongst the species, and it has the thickest bark zone (varying water content)



In-situ trees added to the model



Conclusions and perspectives

- Tree water content can be reliably measured with the NMR-MOUSE at the level of the species
- Additional *in-situ* measurements will help to validate the model

Join the project

- We are looking for a postdoctoral researcher to work on this project
- The candidate will work on *in-situ* flow NMR with the goal of measuring xylem and phloem fluxes





Thank you for your attention

