

### How to involve the stakeholders in the sustainability assessment process of a technology or food value chain The experience of the EU-FAIRCHAIN project

Geneviève Gésan-Guiziou, Samuel Le Féon, Pegah Amani, Karin Östregren, Kavitha Shanmugam, Ariane Voglhuber-Slavinsky, Baerbel Husing, Anne Verniquet, Estelle Picard, Duc Tran, et al.

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Geneviève Gésan-Guiziou, Samuel Le Féon, Pegah Amani, Karin Östregren, Kavitha Shanmugam, et al.. How to involve the stakeholders in the sustainability assessment process of a technology or food value chain The experience of the EU-FAIRCHAIN project. International Congress on Engineering and Food - ICEF14, https://www.icef14.com/en/committees/6, Jun 2023, Nantes, France. hal-04141757

### HAL Id: hal-04141757 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04141757v1

Submitted on 26 Jun 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



How to involve the stakeholders in the sustainability assessment process of a technology or food value chain The experience of the EU-FAIRCHAIN project



### Geneviève Gésan-Guiziou<sup>1</sup> and Samuel Le Féon<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Coordinator of EU-FAIRCHAIN UMR STLO Institut Agro – INRAE, Rennes, Fr genevieve.gesan-guiziou@inrae.fr
 <sup>2</sup> UMR SayFood Uni Paris Saclay – INRAE – AgroParisTech, Palaiseau, Fr samuel.le-feon@inrae.fr











**Mismatch** between **demand** of the citizen for local, affordable and nutritious food produced in a fair and sustainable way and **supply** of such food by actors of the food value chains

### →Enable small and mid-sized farmers and food producers to scale up and expand production of nutritious food through sustainable food value chains

- 99,1 % of all EU food companies are SMEs (2.8 Mio workers)
- 70% of SMEs do not engage in any formal R&D activities
- 20% of SMEs are « technology-adopting enterprises »
- 10% of SMEs are carrying out innovative and research-fuelled activities





## EU-FAIRCHAIN Project (2020-2024)



 Objective : Test, pilot and demonstrate technological, organisational and social innovations that have the potential to support the scaling up and expansion of small and mid-sized farmers and food producers

Acronym	FAIRCHAIN
Title	Innovative technological, organisational and social solutions for FAIRer dairy and fruit and vegetable value CHAINs
<b>Topic RUR-06-2020</b>	Innovative agri-food value chains : boosting sustainability-oriented competitiveness under the programme SC 2 "Food security, sustainable agriculture and forestry, marine, maritime and inland water research and the bioeconomy" → Innovation Action
Budget & funding	Overall budget: 8 036 566 € EU contribution: 6 996 636 €
Duration	1 November 2020 – 31 October 2024 (48 months)
Consortium	A total of 22 partners from 8 countries



### Issues & Innovations at the start of FAIRCHAIN

Main issues	List of anticipated Innovations		
	Technological Innovations	Organisational innovations	Social Innovations
Better use of co/by-products	Fermented whey-based drink, <b>CS-Fra</b> Alternative cleaning agent (vinegar), <b>CS-Swi</b>		
Improve packaging and distribution of fresh food liquids	Flexible filling machine using sustainable packaging materials and designed to fulfil hygienic requirements, <b>CS-Bel</b>	Distribution with reduction of packaging consumption, <b>CS-Fra</b>	
Improve trustworthly traceability and information sharing	Blockchain, <b>CS-Gre</b>		
Bring high technology usage to small size actors	Blockchain, <b>CS-Gre</b> ICT tool for berry tracking , <b>CS-Swe</b>	Sharing of processing equipment, <b>CS-Bel</b> and/or infrastructure, <b>CS-Swi</b>	Food Innovation Incubator, <b>CS-Aut</b>
Developp innovative funding systems			Funding system based on philanthropic income streams, <b>CS-Bel</b>
Build networking & better innovation awareness			Food Innovation Incubator, <b>CS-Aut</b>

FAIRCHAIN

## FAIRCHAIN's methodology

1- Conceptual and operational framework definition and implementation 2-Development and adaptation of innovations for **the case studies (6)**  3-Implementation of innovations in real conditions and business model definition 4-Derivation of recommendations and promotion of results

Multi-actor co-creation process & Multi-perspective assessment framework





- Integrate expertise from different stakeholders across the entire value chain
- Generate **new perspectives** on each of the case studies
- Ensure **fair(er) distribution** of benefits and risks along the value chains
- **Share scientific knowledge** on sustainability assessment to ensure the successful implementation and dissemination of innovations
- Design the new/reconfigured value chains in each case study
- Foster **collaboration** and partnerships among stakeholders that can thrive and grow **beyond the duration of the project**.

### Key aspects/challenges

- **Representativity**: Stakeholder identification and involvement according to PESTEL, affectedness and influence, covering all stages of the value chain

- Early involvement: influence/ give stakeholders the opportunity to shape (parts of) the case study
- Openness for different kinds of innovations (technological, organizational, social)
- Very clear communication and fair and transparent decision-making and conflict-solving processes



💹 Fraunhofer

## Methodological framework







# FAIRCHAIN

ICEF 14, 20 June 2023, Nantes, Fr



#### ICEF 14, 20 June 2023, Nantes, Fr

FAIRCHAIN

### <u>CS-Fra : The technological innovation</u> Development of the fermented whey-based drinks



INTERNATIONAL

SODIAAL



• Determine process conditions to stabilize wheys (lab-scale  $\rightarrow$  pilot)

Obj: Remove native cheese whey micro-organisms with minimal impairment of whey organoleptic properties

- Screen and select the micro-organisms on wheys alone
  - Screening of > 125 lactic acid bacteria (or consortia of yeasts + lactic acid bacteria) on ≠ wheys to identify the strains with the best acidification and sensorial properties

→Selection of 20 promising strains on Comté acid whey and 32 strains on Morbier sweet whey

- Carry out fermentation assays on wheys mixed with fruits/vegetables/herbs
  - $\rightarrow$  Over 15 flavours (fruit juice or herb) were tested,
  - → Selection of **4 bacteria strains** (/ whey type) working well in association with (at least) one flavour
  - $\rightarrow$  Some of the best prototypes were tasted and validated by CS-Fra team





## Involvment of stakeholders in the assessment framework

### **Development of the assessment methodology (Step 1)**

Selection of appropriate indicators for the Case Study from the SAFA guidelines (on which the innovation will potentially have an impact) Indicators from SAFA guidelines

(Sustainable Assessment of Food and Agricultural systems, FAO)



							F	, in the second s		
	Indicators	Belgian (downscale)	Belgian (Upscale)	Swedish (Thai pickers)	Swedish (local pickers)	Gree		French	S Vii Bi	viss egar/ char
	Profitability	x	х	х	x			х		х
	long term profitability, Business plan						Π			
	Stability of Supply			х	х		Π			
<u>.</u>	Guarantee of Production Levels						Π			
E O	Market stability and diversification	x	х							
u o	Food Safety		х							
ш	Food Quality									
	Labeling, traceability and certification					х	Γ			
	Regional Workforce									
	Local Procurement									

→Ranking the indicators / Weighting of indicators through survey, in order to combine both scientific and field perspective when evaluating: pair-wise comparison of indicators



from 1 for "equally important" to 5 for "extremely more important" Profitability OOOOOO Local employement

5 4 3 2 1 2 3 4 5

## Involvment of stakeholders in the assessment framework Understanding and sharing of the assessment results (Step2)

### Ex: ACV of the baseline



Functional unit: "one-year cheeses production and whey ends-of-life at Monts & Terroirs Vevy production site"

			Milk production	At cheese factory	Whey valorization	Transports	Wastewater treatment
Climate change (CC)	1,53E+07	kg CO2 eq		1	0	1	
Ozone depletion (OD)	4,66E-01	kg CFC11 eq					
Ionising radiation (IR)	1,29E+06	kBq U-235 eq				1	
Photochemical ozone formation (POF)	2,07E+04	kg NMVOC eq		1	1	0	
Particulate matter (PM)	1,09E+00	disease inc.					
Acidification (Acid)	1,59E+05	mol H+ eq					
Eutrophication, freshwater (Eutro-F)	8,38E+02	kg P eq			0	1	I
Eutrophication, marine (Eutro-M)	2,74E+04	kg N eq					
Eutrophication, terrestrial (Eutro-T)	6,97E+05	mol N eq					
Land use (LU)	8,78E+08	Pt					
Water use (WU)	3,10E+06	m3 depriv.					
Resource use, fossils (Res-F)	6,07E+07	MJ					
Resource use, minerals and metals (Res-M)	1,52E+01	kg Sb eq					

#### Remove preconceived ideas

- The management of whey is not a hotspot (milk production and whey drying);
- Transport of whey from cheese dairies to valorization sites is not negligible → Production of the innovative drinks as close as possible to the cheese dairy + Transport of the drink at ambient temperature
- Support the eco-design of the drinks / comparison of the drinks with others

#### ICEF 14, 20 June 2023, Nantes, Fr

## Involvment of stakeholders in the assessment framework Dissemination of results (step 3)

→ Development of specific ready-to use and user-friendly tool adapted to stakeholders (ex: tool for returnable bottles)

FABLE 1: Single use scenarios		Single use baseline	Single use #2	Single use #3	Single use #4		
Packagings							
Single use bottle							
/olume	L	1					
Weight	kg	0,390					
Material	type	White glass					
Fransports							
Distances							
rom bottle provider to drink transformation	km	365					
From transformation to stores	km	73					
From stores to consumer	km	4					
		Reset with	Copy the	Copy the	Copy the		
		initial values	baseline	baseline	baseline		
		initial values					
					<u></u>		
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios		Reusable	Reusable #2	Reusable #3	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios		Reusable baseline	Reusable #2	Reusable #3	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle		Reusable baseline	Reusable #2	Reusable #3	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle /olume	L	Reusable baseline	Reusable #2	Reusable #3	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle Jolume Weight	L	Reusable baseline	Reusable #2	Reusable #3	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle /olume Weight Vaterial	L kg type	Reusable baseline 1 0,627 White glass	Reusable #2 1 0,627 White glass	Reusable #3 1 0,627 White glass	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle Jolume Weight Material Return rate	L kg type %	Reusable baseline 1 0,627 White glass 75%	Reusable #2 1 0,627 White glass 30%	Reusable #3 1 0,627 White glass 40%	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle Jolume Weight Material Return rate Rejected rate (from collected)	L kg type %	Reusable baseline 1 0,627 White glass 75% 2%	Reusable #2 1 0,627 White glass 30% 2%	Reusable #3 1 0,627 White glass 40% 2%	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
FABLE 2: Reusable scenarios         Packagings         Reusable bottle         /olume         Weight         Waterial         Return rate         Rejected rate (from collected)         Transports	L kg type %	Reusable baseline 1 0,627 White glass 75% 2%	Reusable #2 1 0,627 White glass 30% 2%	Reusable #3 1 0,627 White glass 40% 2%	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle Jolume Weight Waterial Return rate Rejected rate (from collected) Transports Distances	L kg type %	Reusable baseline 1 0,627 White glass 75% 2%	Reusable #2 1 0,627 White glass 30% 2%	Reusable #3 1 0,627 White glass 40% 2%	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Acusable bottle Jolume Weight Waterial Return rate Rejected rate (from collected) Transports Distances From bottle provider to drink transformation	L kg type % %	Reusable baseline 1 0,627 White glass 75% 2%	Reusable #2 1 0,627 White glass 30% 2% 365	Reusable #3 0,627 White glass 40% 2% 365	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle Jolume Weight Waterial Return rate Rejected rate (from collected) Transports Distances From bottle provider to drink transformation From transformation to stores	L kg type % km	Reusable baseline 1 0,627 White glass 75% 2% 365 73	Reusable #2 1 0,627 White glass 30% 2% 365 73	Reusable #3	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios Packagings Reusable bottle Volume Weight Waterial Return rate Rejected rate (from collected) Transports Distances From bottle provider to drink transformation From transformation to stores From stores to consumer	L kg type % % km km	Reusable baseline 1 0,627 White glass 75% 2% 3655 73 4	Reusable #2 1 0,6277 White glass 30% 2% 3655 733 4	1 Reusable #3 1 0,627 White glass 40% 2% 3655 73 3655 73 4	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6
TABLE 2: Reusable scenarios         Packagings         Reusable bottle         Jolume         Weight         Waterial         Return rate         Rejected rate (from collected)         Transports         Distances         From bottle provider to drink transformation from transformation to stores         From stores to consumer         From stores to cleaning facility	L kg type % km km km km	Reusable baseline White glass 2% 365 73 4 30	Reusable #2 1 0,627 White glass 30% 2% 365 73 4 305 73 4 305	Reusable #3 0,627 White glass 40% 2% 365 73 4 30	Reusable #4	Reusable #5	Reusable #6

**Free** (usable by small producers)

**Simplified** (easy data collection)

**Robust** (based on strong scientific methods)



## Take home messages



Output: e.g. improvement of technological innovations; identification of barriers; definition of new business models; sharing new knowledge on sustainability; development of tools adapted to stakeholders ...

- $\rightarrow$  Stakeholder involvment is a pre-requisite
  - $\rightarrow$  To ensure the relevance and efficiency of the assessment sustainability framework



 $\rightarrow$  to achieve successful implementation of (technological) innovations

# Keep in touch with FAIRCHAIN!



fairchain-h2020.eu



@FairchainEU



FairchainEU



Thank you for your attention !



How to involve the stakeholders

in the sustainability assessment process of a technology or food value chain The experience of the EU-FAIRCHAIN project

### Geneviève Gésan-Guiziou<sup>1</sup> and Samuel Le Féon<sup>2</sup>

RI. **Pegah Amani** SE Karin Östregren Kavitha Shanmugam

**Anne Verniquet Estelle Picard** dss<sup>+</sup>

**Duc Tran** 

Ariane Voglhuber-Slavinsky **Bärbel Hüsing** 

> Fraunhofer ISI



INRA GHENT UNIVERSITY

Nathalie Roland

**Caroline Pénicaud** 

**Stéphanie-Marie Deutsch** 

**Marine Penland** 



**Elodie Lerolle-Rio** Virginie Herbreteau **Odile Parizel** 



**Julien Boudon Olivier Cousin** SODIAAL MONTS & TER ROIRS De merveilleux fromages