



HAL
open science

Development of food literacy in children and adolescents: implications for the design of strategies to promote healthier and more sustainable diets

Gastón Ares, Sofia de Rosso, Carina Mueller, Kaat Philippe, Abigail Pickard, Sophie Nicklaus, Ellen van Kleef, Paula Varela

► To cite this version:

Gastón Ares, Sofia de Rosso, Carina Mueller, Kaat Philippe, Abigail Pickard, et al.. Development of food literacy in children and adolescents: implications for the design of strategies to promote healthier and more sustainable diets. *Nutrition Reviews*, 2023, pp.nuad072. 10.1093/nutrit/nuad072 . hal-04145432

HAL Id: hal-04145432

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04145432>

Submitted on 30 Jun 2023


HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives | 4.0 International License

Development of food literacy in children and adolescents: implications for the design of strategies to promote healthier and more sustainable diets

Gastón Ares ¹, Sofia De Rosso,² Carina Mueller,³ Kaat Philippe,^{2,4} Abigail Pickard,^{5,6,7} Sophie Nicklaus,² Ellen van Kleef,⁸ and Paula Varela^{9,10,*}

¹Sensometrics & Consumer Science, Instituto Polo Tecnológico de Pando, Facultad de Química, Universidad de la República, Pando, Canelones, Uruguay

²Centre des Sciences du Goût et de l'Alimentation, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Institut Agro, Université de Bourgogne, Dijon, France

³Division of Human Nutrition and Health, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, the Netherlands

⁴School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin, Belfield, Ireland

⁵Center for Food and Hospitality Research, Cognitive Science, Institut Paul Bocuse Research Center, Lyon, France

⁶Laboratoire d'Etude de l'Apprentissage et du Développement–Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique UMR5022, University of Burgundy, Dijon, France

⁷School of Psychology, College of Health and Life Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, United Kingdom

⁸Marketing and Consumer Behaviour Group, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, the Netherlands

⁹Nofima AS, Ås, Norway

¹⁰Department of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science, The Norwegian University of Life Science, Ås, Norway

*Correspondence: P. Varela, Nofima AS, P.O. Box 210, 1431 Ås, Norway. E-mail: Paula.varela.tomasco@nofima.no.

Food literacy has emerged as a key individual trait to promote the transformation of food systems toward healthy and sustainable diets. Childhood and adolescence are key periods for establishing the foundations of eating habits. Different food literacy competencies are acquired as children develop different cognitive abilities, skills, and experiences, contributing to the development of critical tools that allow them to navigate a complex food system. Thus, the design and implementation of programs to support the development of food literacy from early childhood can contribute to healthier and more sustainable eating habits. In this context, the aim of the present narrative review is to provide an in-depth description of how different food literacy competencies are developed in childhood and adolescence, integrating the extensive body of evidence on cognitive, social, and food-related development. Implications for the development of multisectoral strategies to target the multidimensional nature of food literacy and promote the development of the 3 types of competencies (relational, functional, and critical) are discussed.

Key words: adolescence, childhood, food literacy, food skills, nutrition education.

INTRODUCTION

Food systems have been identified as a major driver of malnutrition and climate change.^{1–3} Current food systems are oriented toward the production of cheap,

energy-dense products that maximize profit, failing to provide children and adolescents the healthy and sustainable foods they need to achieve optimal growth and development.^{1,4} Children and adolescents worldwide do not consume enough micronutrient-rich foods, such as

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the International Life Sciences Institute.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

fruits, vegetables, pulses, and whole grains; conversely, they consume an excessive amount of energy-dense, ultraprocessed products with high content of sugar, fat, and/or sodium.^{5,6} These eating patterns largely deviate from the scientific targets for healthy and sustainable diets, characterized by a diversity of plant-based foods, low quantities of animal foods, and ultraprocessed foods.²

The eating habits of children and adolescents are shaped by their interaction with the processes and conditions of the food systems, from production to consumption.⁷ Food systems characterized by the wide availability and affordability of ultraprocessed products create social norms around processed, marketed foods, perpetuating poor food habits that are hard to break.⁸ For this reason, introducing major transformations to the current food systems is paramount in guiding children and adolescents toward healthy and sustainable eating habits, as well as to achieve the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals.^{2,4,5} Two broad strategies have been proposed to achieve this objective: (1) introducing changes in the food environment and food supply chains to improve the availability, affordability, and desirability of healthy and sustainable foods; and (2) triggering society-led changes by equipping citizens with the capacity to take personal responsibility for their health and well-being.^{1,2,9}

Food literacy is a key individual trait for the second strategy. Cullen et al defined food literacy as “the ability of an individual to understand food in a way that they develop a positive relationship with it, including food skills and practices across the lifespan to navigate, engage, and participate within a complex food system. It is the ability to make decisions to support the achievement of personal health and a sustainable food system considering environmental, social, economic, cultural, and political components.”¹⁰ Food literacy includes the competencies citizens need to relate to the food systems and to promote their transformation.^{10–13}

Childhood and adolescence are important periods for the development of food literacy.^{14,15} Different food literacy competencies are acquired as children develop different cognitive abilities, skills, and experiences.^{16–18} Thus, the implementation of programs to support the development of food literacy from early childhood can largely contribute to healthy and more sustainable eating habits later in life.^{19–24} Such programs can also contribute to the transformation of the food systems, because children are drivers of change, largely influencing their families' purchase decisions, and developing agency as they grow, navigating toward their own choices.²⁵

One of the challenges faced by such programs is a lack of an in-depth understanding of how children and

adolescents develop their food literacy and the specific competencies relevant to the different stages of these life periods.²⁶ Although several recent reviews have been published about food literacy,^{10,13,27} the focus on children and adolescents is still scarce.

In this context, we intended the present narrative review to contribute to the literature by describing how food literacy is developed in children and adolescents and how it is linked to the ability to make informed and critical choices within a very complex food system. First, a conceptual framework for food literacy in childhood and adolescence is proposed. Then, an in-depth description of how different food literacy competencies are developed in childhood and adolescence is provided by integrating the extensive body of evidence on cognitive, social, and food-related development. Finally, implications for the design of public policies to promote healthier and more sustainable eating habits are presented, adapted to the particularities of the age group and the environment children and adolescents interact with. Promoting food literacy should be regarded as an important part of the puzzle, but only as 1 of the many actions needed to achieve healthy and sustainable eating habits in children and adolescents.

METHODS

Relevant literature on food literacy and its development during childhood and adolescence was searched in the Scopus and PubMed databases. Searches were performed with the generic term “food literacy,” as well as with specific food literacy competencies (eg, “cooking skills,” “persuasion knowledge”). Studies, narrative reviews, and systematic reviews published in English were considered. No limitations were considered on study design or publication date.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF FOOD LITERACY IN CHILDHOOD AND ADOLESCENCE

An adaptation of the concept of food literacy applied to children and adolescents is still lacking.¹⁹ The development of an age-specific conceptualization of food literacy based on a food-systems approach could contribute to the development of strategies to promote healthier and more sustainable eating patterns.^{13,23,28}

In the present work, food literacy is conceptualized as 1 of the individual characteristics that determine how children and adolescents interact with the food system.²⁹ It is defined as the abilities, knowledge, and skills children and adolescents need to interact with the components of the food system in a way that they can develop healthy and sustainable eating habits throughout their lifespan (Figure 1).




Figure 1 Conceptual framework of food literacy based on a food systems approach.

Following the models proposed by Nutbeam,³⁰ and Slater et al,³¹ 3 main types of food literacy competencies are considered: relational, functional, and critical. Relational competencies refer to a series of practices, cultural competencies, and hedonic and emotional associations that enable children and adolescents to develop healthy and sustainable eating patterns.³¹ Functional competencies include basic knowledge about food and nutrition, as well as food skills related to food planning, preparation, and disposal.^{30,31} Finally, critical competencies include cognitive skills needed to critically analyze information and recognize social, economic, and environmental aspects of the food systems, and use the information to make healthy and sustainable food-related decisions.³²

According to the proposed framework, food literacy has a bidirectional relationship with the components of the food system. First, the food literacy competencies needed to interact with the food system vary based on its typology, with notable differences between traditional and industrialized food systems.^{33,34} Second, the characteristics of the personal and external food environments, as well as the characteristics of the food supply chain, are expected to largely influence how children and adolescents develop their food literacy. So far, an in-depth understanding of the effect of sociodemographic and contextual variables on children and adolescents' food literacy is lacking. A handful of studies have reported a negative association between educational attainment and household income,^{35–38} in

agreement with socioeconomic inequities in health outcomes.^{39–42} Several mechanisms may explain this association, including money and time investment in food, education, and healthcare, parental stress, and childcare practices.⁴⁰

DEVELOPMENT OF FOOD LITERACY IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS

Food literacy undergoes major changes through childhood and adolescence, which encompass physiological, cognitive, and social development, as well as changes in eating behavior.^{18,43,44} Different competencies are developed over time through different learning mechanisms.^{45,46} Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of cognitive development and eating behavior in the different periods of childhood and adolescence, as well as the periods when food literacy competencies are acquired. In the following sections, a detailed description of the development of each of the 3 types of food literacy competencies is provided.

Relational competencies

Relational competencies are the first type of food literacy competency children acquire. These competencies include pleasure from eating healthy and sustainable foods, familiarity with diverse foods, enjoyment of trying new and diverse foods, positive emotional and hedonic associations with healthy and sustainable eating habits, enjoyment of shared meals, appreciation of food culture, as well as mindful eating practices and body image satisfaction.³¹

Pleasure from eating and food familiarity. The pleasure experienced from eating healthy and sustainable food is a key food literacy relational competency that starts developing even before children are born.^{47–49} Pleasure is an innate indicator of the satisfaction of the child's physiological need to eat.⁵⁰ In the first 1000 days of life, children learn to associate the pleasure of eating with different foods through familiarization.⁵¹ This learning process already starts during pregnancy and breastfeeding, when infants are exposed to flavors from their mother's diet.⁴⁷ Exposure to diverse foods during this period enhances familiarization and may ease the transition to the diversity of the infant's diet later on.^{52,53} When complementary feeding is introduced and children transition to an adult omnivorous diet, children's exposure to a wide variety of flavors and textures stimulates the acceptance of diverse foods.⁵⁰

Exposure to diverse foods from an early age increases food familiarity, which has been identified as a key influencer of children's willingness to experience

new foods.⁴⁷ Familiarity with diverse foods and enjoyment of experiencing new and diverse foods can be regarded as relational competencies that contribute to the development of healthy eating habits⁵⁰ and also favors good coverage of nutritional needs. Repeated exposure to healthy and sustainable foods from an early age can contribute to increasing familiarity and reducing food neophobia (ie, children's rejection to try new and unfamiliar foods), which has been linked to reduced consumption of vegetables.⁵⁴

The external food environment exerts a key effect on the development of children's and adolescents' ability to enjoy healthy and sustainable foods by influencing food availability and affordability.^{55–57} Modern food environments are characterized by the wide availability of energy-dense and nutrient-poor foods.¹ This is particularly the case for products targeted at children, which are usually high in sugar and other nutrients associated with noncommunicable diseases.^{8,58–61} Repeated exposure to these products may contribute to the development of preferences for foods with high sweetness and saltiness intensity, reducing children's ability to enjoy natural and healthy foods.^{47,50,62}

Emotional and hedonic associations with foods. Children also learn to enjoy foods by observing others' eating behaviors, most often their parents.^{63,64} Social interactions with others play an important role in the development of relational competencies; via social learning (ie, individual learning by observing and imitating behaviors of others⁶⁵) and socialization (ie, the process of internalizing the norms and ideologies of society⁶⁶). From infancy, children have a natural tendency to imitate (parents or peers), moderated by the emotional quality of the relationship between the observer and model,¹⁵ with parents being highly influential role models. Social learning from adult models in the food context occurs from 14 months of age, with children being more likely to eat an unfamiliar food if they see an adult eating it,⁶⁷ even if they do not belong to their social group.⁶⁸ Peer modeling is effective for tasting new and nonpreferred foods from about 2–3 years of age, enhancing the reported liking of these,⁶⁹ even though adults/parents are still the most influential at this age.⁷⁰ In the preschool period, maternal modeling of healthy eating for 3-year-old children is positively correlated with children's interest in food and negatively with food fussiness at 4 years of age.⁷¹ Peer modeling also has a positive effect on children's willingness to try novel foods; children's novel-food consumption increased after hearing a positive statement about the target food from their peers.^{72,73} Studies have also shown that peers have more influence than adults on children's food selection.^{74,75}

Table 1 Summary of the main characteristics of cognitive development and eating behaviors in childhood and adolescence and the periods when food literacy competencies start to develop

	Age range			
	Birth to 2 y	2–5 y	6–11 y	12–18 y
Characteristics of cognitive development	Sensory motor stage. Knowledge is acquired through the senses and actions. Preverbal stage	Preoperational stage. Symbolic thinking is developed. Objects are represented using words and images. Intuitive rather than logical thinking	Concrete operational stage. Logical thinking is developed. Time, space, and quantity are understood.	Formal operational stage. Abstract, logical, and counterfactual thinking is developed. Strategy and planning are possible.
Characteristics of eating behavior	Discovery phase. The sensory repertoire in relation to food expands quickly. Hand-to-mouth coordination and oral feeding skills strongly develop in relation to food experience (from milk to solid foods). Food representations start to emerge. Dislikes are not marked. The food environment is shaped by parents.	Food neophobia emerges by the end of the second year. Familiarity with foods strongly influences liking. Out-of-home socialization starts in the preschool context and children are sensitive to peer influence.	The developmental dimension of neophobia starts fading away. Children start developing cognition about foods (eg, the persuasive intent of food-related advertisements) but are still influenced by the affective content. Basic concepts about the effects of food on the body can be understood but emotional reactions to food still dominate.	Children tend to keep away from the parental food model. Peer influence becomes a major feature of adolescents' eating. Increasing awareness of food origin may alter food likes (eg, development of vegetarianism or veganism).
Food literacy competencies	Pleasure from eating healthy and sustainable food (R) Familiarity with diverse foods (R) Enjoyment of trying new and diverse foods (R) Positive emotional and hedonic associations with healthy and sustainable foods (R) Enjoyment of shared meals (R)	Mindful or internally regulated eating practices (R) Appreciating food culture (R) Enjoyment of food preparation (R) Body satisfaction (R) Human-nature bonds (R) Food categorization (F) Food-related knowledge (F) Numeracy skills (F)	Nutrition knowledge (F) Cooking skills (F) Food safety knowledge (F) Understanding of food labels (F) Budgeting and financial skills (F)	Ability to think critically about the food system (C) Persuasion knowledge (C) Media literacy (C)

Abbreviations: C, critical competencies; F, functional competencies; R, relational competencies.

From a young age, parents have a crucial impact on how children learn about food, as they shape the so-called home food environment.^{76,77} This includes the food available at home and its accessibility, family food rules, parents' eating habits, their knowledge about food, and their feeding styles and practices. What, where, when, how much, and in which emotional and social context parents present foods to the child can have an immediate impact on children (eg, on their willingness to try certain foods), but also a longer-term impact in childhood and adulthood.

Associative learning or conditioning occurs when an evaluation of a stimulus arises through its association with a second, already liked or disliked stimulus.⁴⁵ Children's conditioned associations with foods can also be regarded as a relevant food literacy competency, because they have the potential to shape food

preferences and eating behaviors.^{31,50} These associations are shaped early in childhood and are largely influenced by parental feeding practices. For example, pairing foods with rewards or positive adult attention can stimulate children's liking for these foods,^{78,79} whereas parental pressure to eat can generate negative emotional associations and discourage children's consumption.⁸⁰ Parental use of coercive control feeding practices in early childhood (eg, restriction of certain foods, pressure to eat, the use of rewards and bribes) has mostly counterproductive effects on the child's eating (eg, more dislike of pressured foods, more food fussiness, less enjoyment of eating).⁸¹ These effects can even be long-lasting: young adults reported retrospectively that the foods they disliked as adults were those they had been forced to eat as young children.⁸² This exemplifies how parents can influence children's

relationship with food from early life and the acquisition of relational food literacy competencies like enjoying eating and enjoying experiencing new and diverse foods.

Enjoyment of shared meals, food preparation, and food culture. Cooking as a family and having family meals can also have an important impact on children's and adolescents' acquisition of relational food literacy competencies, particularly the ability to enjoy shared meals and food preparation. Family meal frequency has been associated with a higher intake of healthy food, child socialization, and decreased overweight and obesity risk in adulthood.^{83–86} Children who enjoy the experience of shared family mealtimes are reported to be more positive about trying new foods in a nonmodeling context.^{71,87,88} In addition, research found that young adults' present commensality is positively associated with their recalled frequencies of childhood family meals.⁸⁹ In the same study, recalling mothers' home cooking during childhood was also a significant predictor of young adults' cooking for themselves in the present day.⁸⁹ In addition, observing or participating in family cooking and family meals provides children the opportunity to familiarize themselves with and appreciate their food culture, which also contribute to cohesiveness and identity.⁹⁰

Mindful or internally regulated eating practices. Mindful eating practices, also called internally regulated eating, can be regarded as another relational food literacy competency.³¹ These practices can be regarded as a vast range of practices that rely on paying attention to body sensations, thoughts, and feelings about food.⁹¹ They include paying attention to the sensory characteristics of foods while eating, paying attention to feelings of hunger and fullness, noticing cues that elicit eating or the urge to eat, taking nonjudgmental attitudes toward cravings and food-related thoughts, as well as viewing cravings as transient events that are separate from oneself.⁹² Internally regulated eating practices have been associated with increased autoregulation, reduced frequency of binge-eating episodes, and reduced consumption of unhealthy foods by children, adolescents, and adults.^{93,94} During childhood, adults can encourage children to engage in internally regulated eating patterns. Responsive feeding practices can promote mindful eating practices, whereas pressure to eat can have a deleterious effect.^{71,95–98} In addition, interventions in school settings can positively contribute to the development of internally regulated eating practices.^{94,99} A recent study reported that school lessons and activities related to mindful eating led to reduced frequency of food cravings and a significant increase in fruit intake

among children 8–10 years old.¹⁰⁰ Activities focusing on sensory imagery, an underlying component of mindful eating, can also help children choose smaller portion sizes.¹⁰¹

Body satisfaction. Body satisfaction is another relevant relational competency, particularly during adolescence, because body dissatisfaction has been associated with eating disorders at that age.^{102–104} Body image and eating patterns begin to develop early in life, and parents are highly influential agents of socialization in these domains by transmitting norms, beliefs, values, knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors related to food, body, and weight to their children.^{105–107} Parental messages about food and weight can have an impact on children's relationship with their body image and body (dis)satisfaction, not only in preadolescent children¹⁰⁸ but also even those as young as preschoolers.¹⁰⁹ Peers and media also have an important influence on the body image of preadolescents.^{110,111} However, the link between peers and the media and influence on body image is less evident in preschool children.¹⁰⁹ Recent work has stressed the importance of empowering children and families to fight against societal body-related pressures.¹¹² Moreover, they propose various strategies for parents to promote a positive body image and a healthy, positive relationship with food in their children,¹¹² with most of these strategies having the potential to be applied from early childhood.

Human-nature bonds. The adoption of sustainable eating habits requires the establishment of human-nature bonds.²⁵ Such bonds can be created through children's exposure to nature and interaction with nonhuman life (eg, plants and animals)¹¹³ and environmental socialization (eg, children's interaction with adults who engage in pro-environmental behaviors).²⁵ Engaging with nature, for example, through food gardens, promotes mental well-being as well as a positive outlook and a sense of inclusion and belonging.¹¹⁴ Gardening programs can also increase children's familiarity with and willingness to try fruits and vegetables, as well as their functional food literacy competencies through knowledge about the origin of vegetables.⁸⁷

Functional competencies

Functional food-related competencies are the most well-defined components in the food literacy literature.¹¹⁵ They refer to the basic knowledge of food and nutrition, as well as the skills necessary for food planning, preparation, and disposal.^{30,31} In other words, functional competencies are the ability to obtain, understand, and use information on various food and

nutrition topics, as well as the practical skills to select foods and prepare a meal.¹¹⁵ These competencies require symbolic, intuitive, and logical thinking and, as such, develop later than relational competencies.³¹

Food categorization. A key functional food competency is the ability to appropriately categorize food.^{116,117} Food may be categorized in a myriad of ways, with different categories conducive to inferring different information.¹¹⁸ For example, taxonomically categorizing foods (ie, fruits and vegetables) helps determine which foods will help meet the recommended daily intake levels.¹¹⁹ Similarly, evaluatively categorizing food as healthy vs unhealthy helps guide both adults and children toward healthier eating habits.¹²⁰

Children's proneness to categorize foods, both in relation to sensory pleasure or nutrition, strongly develops with age and can be a predictor of healthy food choices.^{121,122} Infants and toddlers initially show limited categorization abilities in the food domain. However, the ability to categorize foods rapidly improves during early childhood, both through explicit education and through daily experiences.¹²³ By ages 3–4 years, children can adequately categorize substances at a very basic level of knowing what is edible (food) or inedible.^{124,125} More recent research demonstrates that by ages 3–5 years, children can discriminate at the superordinate level of vegetables and fruits,^{126–128} and children as young as 4 years old can even categorize food as healthy or unhealthy.^{120,129,130}

Food-related and nutrition knowledge. Functional food literacy competencies also entail general knowledge about food, including animal sources of food and how foods are grown and produced.²³ Such knowledge can be acquired through explicit teaching within the school setting, as well as through experience with food and food growing. Research has shown that knowledge about food appearance can be gained from early childhood by asking parents to read a picture book with pictures of foods and vegetables.¹³¹ This type of knowledge contributes to relational food literacy competencies by increasing familiarity and willingness to taste fruit and vegetables.^{132–134} In addition, knowledge about the sensory characteristics of foods can be gained through direct contact (ie, touching, smelling, playing with foods), which can also increase the willingness to try unfamiliar fruits and vegetables.^{135–137}

Concerning nutritional knowledge, studies show that although 4-year-old children can categorize food as unhealthy, they are unable to comprehend the effects of food healthiness on the body nor use this ability to make informed food choices.¹³⁰ Studies have shown that formal operational thought is correlated with the

ability to understand abstract concepts in science. Recent research on formal operations has shown that formal thought does not usually develop until late childhood or early adolescence and, moreover, only about half of the adult population comes to use formal reasoning consistently and reliably. Nutrition knowledge can be thought of as composed of 3 related accomplishments: (1) knowledge of a variety of relevant nutritional inputs and outputs; (2) knowledge that the inputs are functionally related to the outputs; and (3) knowledge of how inputs relate to outputs (ie, knowledge of nutritional relationships and processes).¹³⁸

Raman¹³⁹ assessed the perceived impact of healthy and unhealthy foods on height and weight. In that study, preschoolers thought that both healthy and unhealthy foods would result in growing taller and more overweight. In another study, preschoolers and second graders were reported to think that psychobiological properties such as the “yuckiness” of food affected biological mechanisms such as growth (eg, height and weight), but they did not associate these psychobiological properties with illness.¹³⁹ For example, they thought that height would be more affected by a “yummy” healthy food than a “yucky” healthy food.¹⁴⁰

Studies show that it is approximately at 5–7 years old that children begin to understand the effects of different food categories on the body and use such category knowledge to guide their food choices depending on the goals they have in mind (eg, selecting vegetables to promote their bodies' health).^{141,142} However, children's perception of healthiness and nutritional value of food is also dependent on weight status, revealing the strong interdependency between functional competencies and self-image.¹⁴³

Food preparation skills and food safety knowledge. Functional competencies also incorporate food preparation skills, which refer to the ability to perform tasks related to the preparation of food.¹⁴⁴ The definition of food preparation or cooking skills is complex because it involves a diverse set of mechanical, technical, conceptual, creative, and organizational skills.^{144,145} Food preparation skills are acquired at home with family members, at schools, and in other formal learning environments, as well as through mass media.¹⁴⁵ Culinary interventions in the school setting have been associated with improvement in cooking skills, healthier eating habits, and positive changes in anthropometric measurements.^{88,146} However, the optimal age to acquire and retain different types of food preparation skills is still unknown.²⁰

Conceptual knowledge related to food preparation is acquired in early childhood. Emerging evidence by Pickard et al^{118,147} shows that at ages 3–4 years, children

already have a good understanding of which utensils are required for the preparation of certain foods (eg, a sharp knife is needed to cut a watermelon, a cheese grater is needed to grate cheese). The same study also demonstrated that children of the same age had a good understanding of what foods are commonly served together in the same meal (eg, pasta with sauce). Children aged 3–4 years can also state whether foods were appropriate or inappropriate for a specific meal.^{120,148} However, Pickard et al¹⁴⁷ noted that knowledge of the cultural appropriateness of meals and food events (eg, cereal at breakfast) was acquired later than knowledge of foods that go well together (eg, cereal and milk).

Although research has shown that children already possess knowledge of food categories and food scripts by 5 years old, mechanical and technical cooking skills are developed later because they require motor abilities.²⁰ Fine motor skills are the use of the small muscles of the extremities to manipulate and maneuver objects, whereas gross motor skills are the larger movements involving the limbs.¹⁴⁹ Food preparation requires both fine motor skills, for activities such as cutting and eating, and gross motor skills, such as stirring and carrying. Several factors influence children's fine and gross motor skills; of particular importance to food skills, age, experience, sex, and weight are variables of interest in culinary practice.¹⁵⁰ One study found that children with normal weight have better manual dexterity, motor precision, and gross motor skills than children with obesity.^{151–153} Separate research indicates that boys' fine motor skills are worse than that of girls in the early years, but boys have better gross motor skills at an older age.¹⁵⁴ Socioeconomic status is also of importance when determining the skills of children in the kitchen. A study investigating a range of variables found that higher levels of education, having siblings, higher education level, and socioeconomic status of the parents were all predictive of greater development in fine motor skills.¹⁵⁵ In addition, emerging evidence suggests that baby-led weaning, a complementary feeding method that encourages the introduction of foods through self-feeding of age-appropriate pieces solid foods, can contribute to the development of fine motor skills.¹⁵⁶

Food safety knowledge is also part of food preparation skills, as well as a functional food literacy competency.³¹ Basic knowledge about food hygiene and safety can encourage safe food-handling behaviors and reduce the incidence of food-borne diseases.^{157–159}

Numeracy skills and understanding of food labels. To interact with the food environment, children need skills to interpret the information included on food labels and use such information to make healthy and

sustainable food choices. Several educational programs and interventions for school-aged children and adolescents have been reported to be effective at improving the ability to interpret food labels.^{160,161} In addition, children and adolescents require numeracy skills (ie, the ability to apply concepts of math in their everyday life) to interpret numerical nutrition information, but also to make food purchases, or modify recipes while cooking. Numeracy skills start developing early in life through everyday informal quantitative activities, such as counting objects, identifying written numbers, playing card games, or talking about money.¹⁶² Formal mathematical skills are acquired later in life through explicit teaching at schools.¹⁶³ Numeracy skills in adults have been linked to health literacy and associated with preventive care behaviors and child health outcomes, which stress the importance of this functional competency.^{164,165}

Budgetary and financial skills. Budgetary and financial skills are another functional food literacy competency children and adolescents need to navigate the modern food environment, which becomes increasingly important as they gain independence to make their food purchases.¹⁶⁶ These skills allow children to make food choices under budgetary restrictions. Recent research has suggested that financial skills promote rational decision-making and can reduce the likelihood of engaging in health-damaging behaviors, such as smoking and a lack of physical activity.^{167,168}

Critical competencies

Developing critical competencies is crucial to navigating the modern, complex food environment and making healthy, sustainable food choices.³¹ This is only possible by developing certain cognitive and social skills that are needed to question information and critically examine social, economic, and environmental aspects of food systems.³² Critical thinking can be promoted from early childhood by providing opportunities for children to express themselves and to engage actively in food-related decisions.¹⁶⁹ However, only at the age of 8 years do children start to understand the persuasive messages of advertisements, yet they are mostly still unable to criticize them.¹⁷⁰ Even though children mostly understand the selling intent of marketers from the age of 8 years, the critical evaluation often does not reach the limit of desire for the advertised product. Broader critical food literacy competencies develop in adolescence when abstract, logical, and counterfactual thinking is developed. This type of competency is key to providing children and adolescents the ability to encourage major transformations in our current food

systems. With age, children develop stronger abilities to control their desires, making them more critical and their eating behavior less influenced by food marketing.¹⁷⁰ Studies indicate that by the ages of 12–14 years, children actually understand the persuasive intent of marketers.

Persuasion knowledge. Children form an interesting target group for companies because they are more receptive to advertising, due to their still-developing cognitive, communicative, and social skills, leading to less-critical reflections of advertisements.¹⁷⁰ At the same time, children already have a certain purchasing power. They are not only able to buy small products like candy with their own pocket money but also influence their parents' buying behavior and product choice.¹⁷⁰ By specifically being targeted by marketers, the development of the aforementioned critical competencies is crucial. Food marketing is omnipresent and children get exposed extensively to various types of it in their daily life, for example, in supermarkets (eg, via food packages), and via various media, including social media, and digital games.¹⁷¹ This exposure can hinder the development of children's food literacy and negatively affect their health because it can create positive hedonic and emotional associations with unhealthy ultraprocessed products. This is done through the inclusion of cartoon characters, fun references, and other child-oriented elements.^{171–173} In fact, various food marketing techniques have been shown to lead to an increased intake of the advertised foods and, further, to more positive attitudes regarding the advertised products.^{171,174}

In more detail, acute exposure to food advertising was shown to increase food intake by children but not by adults.^{174,175} This may be partly explainable by missing critical competencies and lacking the so-called persuasion knowledge. The latter refers to all theories and beliefs an individual holds about how marketers try to influence them and is the broad understanding of how, when, and why persuasion attempts are made.¹⁷⁶ Persuasion knowledge activates coping responses, which, in turn, lead to less favorable evaluations of persuasion attempts. Although studies on determining the specific age of this development are scarce, 5-year-old children are theoretically able to recognize advertising on television (TV).¹⁷ Furthermore, at ages 8–12 years, they start being able to detect the intent of selling and persuasion of those ads.¹⁷⁷ However, it was shown that only a third of 10-year-olds, only a quarter of 8-year-olds, and none of the 6-year-olds included in another study noticed the persuasive intention of TV ads.¹⁷⁸ This is especially worrying because children ages 4–12 years watched TV for approximately 1.5 h/day and be

exposed to unhealthy food products.¹⁷⁹ Hence, an important question in recent decades has been how children can be protected against the negative influences of food marketing, especially before having developed persuasion knowledge and critical competencies. This seems even more important regarding marketing use on the internet, which is the most commonly used media source for children and adolescents besides the TV.¹⁷⁹

Social media opens a myriad of new options for marketers to use even stronger persuasion techniques. These techniques involve children and adolescents creating and sharing their experiences with food products and brands. The uniqueness of social media is that users themselves contribute to the marketing processes of companies (ie, user-generated marketing content). In addition to peers, influencers with whom children and young people can easily identify are used as a medium to distribute marketing messages. Influencers are close to the adolescent's environment, which makes advertising more fun but also blurs the line between online peer activity and advertising.^{180,181} Research shows that children have difficulties recognizing those tactics and identifying the commercial motives of these messages, because of the involvement of feelings in the advertisements and the blurring line between advertising and entertainment.^{182,183} Adolescents, in particular, may experience strong relationships with influencers and rather perceive them as friends. Recommendations from influencers, therefore, have greater credibility and authenticity than traditional forms of advertising. Influencer marketing is 1 of the more implicit marketing tactics that are omnipresent nowadays. This makes marketing efforts even harder to recognize for children and adolescents.¹⁸⁴ Besides influencer marketing, advergames (ie, advertising in video games) appear to have a stronger impact on the desire to purchase (or have it purchased by parents) than TV advertisements.¹⁸² Because children and adolescents are of particular interest to companies and are specifically targeted in food campaigns, ways to support those individuals regarding food marketing must be found. Adolescents are an important target audience for food marketers because of their high social media use and greater purchasing power compared with younger children.¹⁸⁵

Media literacy. To protect children and adolescents, several interventions have been tested in research. Interventions aimed at increasing media literacy may be good tools to reduce the effect of food marketing on children's and adolescents' eating behavior. Although there are several definitions, media literacy is often described as having the skills to critically engage with

and evaluate media messages.¹⁸⁶ Hence, increasing media literacy could lead to greater persuasion knowledge, especially in children from the age of 12 years, although concerns have been expressed that regulation will have greater effects than education. A recent study indicated that a media literacy intervention may lead to an increased understanding of the purpose of advertisements and being more critical of unhealthy food marketing as self-reported by children ages 7–12 years.¹⁸⁷

IMPLICATIONS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF PUBLIC POLICIES TO PROMOTE HEALTHY AND SUSTAINABLE EATING HABITS

Food literacy has emerged as a key individual trait to promote the transformation of food systems toward healthy and sustainable diets.^{9,10,12} Considering that childhood and adolescence are key periods for establishing the foundations of eating habits, strategies to support the development of food literacy competencies are a momentous policy challenge.

To date, most interventions to promote the development of food literacy in children and adolescents have oversimplified the concept by only focusing on a limited set of competencies.^{24,188–190} For decades, efforts to promote healthy and sustainable eating habits among children and adolescents have mainly focused on nutritional knowledge and specific functional food literacy competency.^{191–193} These strategies have a limited impact on children's eating behavior and can even have a counterproductive effect, encouraging children to reject healthy foods.⁵⁰ This emphasizes the need to broaden the focus of strategies to promote changes in the eating habits of children and adolescents to encompass the diverse set of competencies needed to interact with all the components of the food system. A key starting point for policymaking is the adoption of a comprehensive view of food literacy that captures the 3 types of competencies: relational, functional, and critical. This is consistent with recommendations for the promotion of health literacy.¹⁹⁴

A comprehensive range of multisectoral and multi-component strategies is needed to target the multidimensional nature of food literacy. These strategies should be adapted to the regional context with consideration of the typology of the local food system, as well as the developmental level of children, because these influence the development of food literacy. In addition, strategies should consider the different environments children and adolescents interact with (eg, home, school, in the school canteen, food stores), because these exert a large influence on the policy options and the factors that influence their effectiveness. [Table 2](#) provides a summary of the key characteristics of public

policies aimed at promoting healthy and sustainable eating habits in childhood and adolescence in different environments, according to the stage of development and context of eating.

Relational competencies should be the main focus of strategies targeting infants and preschoolers. The ability to derive pleasure from eating is the first relational competency to develop and plays a key role in the definition of the eating habits of children and adolescents. Emphasis should be placed on the ability to derive pleasure from eating healthy and sustainable foods, as well as on increasing familiarity with diverse foods. Repeated exposure is a key strategy for learning to develop pleasure from eating healthy and sustainable foods.^{47,64}

Despite its importance, pleasure has been underused thus far in public health communication campaigns and interventions.^{50,195} More frequent inclusion of messages related to hedonic, interpersonal, and psychosocial dimensions of eating can contribute to changing parents' social representations of eating during the first years of life, which usually reflect a strong medical and nutritional view.^{196,197} Pleasure-oriented messages have been reported recently to be effective at triggering changes in food choices, particularly among people with lower diet quality.¹⁹⁸

During infancy, most feeding decisions are made by parents and caregivers, who should be the target of public policies through the delivery of targeted messages.^{199,200} Efforts should be placed on building a healthy food environment and promoting responsive feeding practices to favor the discovery of healthy foods in a responsive way.⁷⁶ Parents and caregivers can easily promote familiarity with diverse foods and enjoyment of trying new foods through repeated exposure, social learning, and socialization.^{47,50,62} These practices can be promoted through behavior-change communication campaigns and nutrition counseling.^{199,201–203}

For preschoolers (ages 2–5 years), the focus should be kept on the development of relational competencies. During this stage, parents and caregivers are still mostly responsible for children's food intake. Apart from shaping the home food environment, they can start promoting other relational competencies through experiential learning, including mindful eating practices, enjoyment of food preparation, and human-nature bonds. Examples of effective strategies to promote relational competencies include sharing family mealtimes, taste lessons, and vegetable gardens.^{71,87,88,204,205}

During this development period, simple strategies to promote functional food literacy competencies (eg, food categorization, food-related knowledge, and numeracy skills) can be implemented. This can be easily achieved at home by everyday activities, such as

Table 2 Summary of the characteristics of public health policies aimed at promoting healthy and sustainable eating habits, according to the stage of development and context of eating

Stage	Context	Implications
Infants	Home	Targeted to parents Focus on building a healthy food environment and responsive feeding practices
Preschoolers	Home	Targeted at parents Focus on a healthy food environment and the development of relational competencies Functional competencies, such as food-related knowledge and numeracy skills, can be developed.
Preschoolers	Schools	Focus on relational competencies such as food enjoyment, noncontingent sensory discoveries, mindful eating practices, and human-nature bonds Functional competencies, such as food categorization, food-related knowledge, and numeracy skills can be promoted.
School-age children	Home	Opportunity to engage children in cooking activities and meal planning
School-age children, preadolescents	Schools	Development of age-appropriate children's functional competencies through educational programs Contribution to the creation of social norms through rules to establish healthy and sustainable menus
Preadolescents, adolescents	Home	Opportunity to engage (pre)adolescents in cooking activities and meal planning, including shopping and meal preparation Promotion of critical food literacy skills
School-age children, preadolescents	Schools	Contribution to the creation of social norms through rules to establish healthy and sustainable menus Development of age-appropriate children's functional and critical competencies through educational programs
Adolescents	Schools	Opportunities to further develop functional and critical competencies through educational programs about food labeling, food marketing, food composition, food prices, food production, and food systems in general
All	External food environments	Marketing restrictions and food reformulation strategies are needed to promote relational food literacy (ie, positive hedonic and emotional associations with healthy and sustainable foods). Improving physical access to healthy and sustainable foods
All	Personal food environments	Improving availability and accessibility of formal and nonformal education Improving economic access to healthy and sustainable foods through social assistance programs

exposure and direct contact with foods,^{135–137} engagement with food preparation at home and school,^{88,146} reading books,¹³¹ playing card games, or talking about money to purchase foods.¹⁶²

Children's socialization in preschool offers additional opportunities to promote a healthy food environment and to foster the development of many relational and functional food literacy competencies. School activities that promote familiarity with foods and the acquisition of food-related knowledge should be prioritized, including exposure to diverse foods, sensory games with foods, and gardening programs.^{87,135,204,206} In addition, the time available for eating is another characteristic of the school food environment that can influence children and adolescents' ability to engage in mindful eating practices: time constraints can discourage mindful eating and promote the consumption of unhealthy foods.^{207,208}

Emphasis on functional food literacy competencies should start once children develop logical thinking, around 6 years of age (Table 1). Such competencies

provide children with the knowledge and skills needed for completing different food-related competencies and further contribute to the development of relational competencies.³¹ Schools are the ideal setting to foster the development of functional food literacy competencies through formal education. However, it should be stressed that school nutrition education programs should go beyond nutrition knowledge and include practical skills related to food planning, purchase, preparation, and disposal. Research has shown that nutrition education programs delivered at schools are more effective at promoting healthy eating behavior if they address different food literacy competencies instead of focusing on just knowledge transfer.^{209,210} Home economics programs have been identified as privileged settings for the promotion of functional food literacy.^{31,32,211} Schools can also shape relational competencies in children by shaping social norms around foods by enforcing rules to establish healthy and sustainable menus. This includes restrictions on the sale of foods with unfavorable nutritional profiles in school

canteens, because they should be regarded as an integral part of the school system instead of being oriented toward obtaining economic profit.^{212–214} Regarding the home environment, public health messages should encourage parents to create opportunities to involve school-age children in cooking activities and meal planning at home, because these activities can contribute to the development of both functional and relational food literacy competencies.

The onset of adolescence provides the opportunity to support the development of critical food literacy competencies both at home and in schools. Adolescents should be provided the tools to have a critical view of the food system, which may protect them against the deleterious effect of food marketing and encourage them to act as drivers of change toward healthier and more sustainable food systems. For this purpose, educational workshops and interventions aimed at neutralizing the positive associations raised by marketing of unhealthy foods have been shown to be promising approaches.^{187,215}

Regardless of the stage of development, the influence of the food environment on food literacy development cannot be disregarded. Public policies should shape external food environments to promote food literacy in children and adolescents and to enable them to have a positive relationship with healthy and sustainable food. This requires the introduction of strict nutritional guidelines and marketing regulations to protect infants, children, and adolescents from exposure to nutritionally inadequate foods that may hinder the development of relational food literacy competencies.^{173,216} Governmental action to encourage the food industry in food reformulation strategies can also contribute to the development of relational competencies by reducing the availability of nutrient-poor, energy-dense foods with excessive content of sugar, fats, and sodium.^{217–221} This is particularly relevant for commercial products targeted at children, because these products usually are less healthy than those targeted at adults.^{222,223}

Public policies to promote food literacy in children and adolescent should also address socioeconomic determinants of food literacy. This includes improving the availability and accessibility of formal and nonformal education, which has been identified as a key determinant of child health and well-being.^{39,40,42} Policies to secure access to food for the most vulnerable populations should also be an integral part of promoting the food literacy of children and adolescents, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. These include school meal programs,²²⁴ cash transfers, and food provision.^{225,226} Food literacy shares a dual relationship with food insecurity.²²⁷ The latter reduces children's and adolescents' ability to experience a wide range of

healthy foods and may limit their ability to use a diverse set of skills to navigate the food environment.²²⁸ In addition, food literacy can reduce the negative effects of food insecurity on diet and health through knowledge and skills to optimize food selection under budgetary restrictions.²²⁹

In closing, the need to conduct more research on children's and adolescents' food literacy needs to be highlighted. This includes assessing the level of food literacy in children and adolescents globally, identifying the sociodemographic correlates of low food literacy, and evaluating the impact of interventions within the broad framework of national nutritional policies. One of the priorities for future research should be the revision of existing tools to measure food literacy and to put further emphasis on the pleasure-related competencies of children and adolescents. Pleasure from eating does not yet have a salient role in measurement instruments assessing food and nutrition literacy in children and adolescents,¹⁹ despite the fact that the ability to derive pleasure from eating is the first relational competency to develop. Having reliable and consistent measurement tools will contribute to advance research on the topic to inform the development of effective policies to improve food literacy in children and adolescents.

Finally, it should be noted that the implementation of strategies to promote food literacy should only be regarded as part of the multifaceted set of actions needed to achieve healthy and sustainable eating habits in children and adolescents.^{1,4} Changes in the food supply chains and external food environments should be introduced to achieve child-centered food systems that make healthy and sustainable diets available, affordable, appealing, and aspirational.⁴

CONCLUSION

Major transformations in food literacy experience during childhood and adolescence, encompassing changes in eating behavior, as well as physiological, cognitive, and social development, are key periods for establishing the foundations of eating habits. A broad range of multisectoral strategies is necessary to promote the development of the 3 types of competencies (relational, functional, and critical) in childhood and adolescence. Such strategies should be adapted to the stage of development and the regional context.

Acknowledgments

Author contributions. All authors were involved in the conception of the manuscript, conducting the literature

research, contributing to the writing, critical reading, and approving the final version.

Funding. The review was conducted in the context of the project “Edulia: Bringing down barriers to children’s healthy eating,” which received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement 764985.

Declaration of interest. The authors have no relevant interests to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Swinburn BA, Kraak VI, Allender S, et al. The global syndemic of obesity, under-nutrition, and climate change: the Lancet Commission Report. *Lancet*. 2019;393:791–846. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32822-8
2. Willett W, Rockström J, Loken B, et al. Food in the anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *Lancet*. 2019;393:447–492. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31788-4
3. High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition (HLPE). *Nutrition and Food Systems. A Report by the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security*. Rome: High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition; 2017.
4. Hawkes C, Fox E, Downs S, et al. Child-centered food systems: reorienting food systems towards healthy diets for children. *Glob Food Sec*. 2020;27:100414. doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100414
5. UNICEF. *The State of the World’s Children 2019. Children, Food and Nutrition: Growing Well in a Changing World*. New York: UNICEF; 2019.
6. Neufeld LM, Andrade EB, Suleiman AB, et al. Food choice in transition: adolescent autonomy, agency, and the food environment. *Lancet*. 2022;399(10320):185–197. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01687-1
7. Raza A, Fox EL, Morris SS, et al. Conceptual framework of food systems for children and adolescents. *Glob Food Sec*. 2020;27:100436. doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100436
8. Richonnet C, Mosser F, Favre E, et al. Nutritional quality and degree of processing of children’s foods assessment on the French market. *Nutrients*. 2021;14:171. doi:10.3390/nu14010171
9. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), l’Institut National de Recherche pour l’Agriculture, l’Alimentation et l’Environnement (INRAE). *Enabling Sustainable Food Systems: Innovators’ Handbook*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization; 2020.
10. Cullen T, Hatch J, Martin W, et al. Food literacy: definition and framework for action. *Can J Diet Pract Res*. 2015;76:140–145. doi:10.3148/cjdrp-2015-010
11. Sumner J. Reading the world: food literacy and the potential for food system transformation. *Stud Educ Adults*. 2015;47:128–141. doi:10.1080/02660830.2015.11661680
12. Pope H, de Frece A, Wells R, et al. Developing a functional food systems literacy for interdisciplinary dynamic learning networks. *Front Sustain Food Syst*. 2021;5:747627.
13. Truman E, Lane D, Elliott C. Defining food literacy: a scoping review. *Appetite*. 2017;116:365–371. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2017.05.007
14. Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, et al. Our future: a Lancet Commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *Lancet*. 2016;387:2423–2478. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00579-1
15. Paroche MM, Caton SJ, Vereijken CMJL, et al. How infants and young children learn about food: a systematic review. *Front Psychol*. 2017;8:1046. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01046
16. Bröder J, Carvalho GS. Health literacy of children and adolescents: conceptual approaches and developmental considerations. In: Okan O, Bauer U, Levin-Zamir D, Pinheiro P, Sørensen K, eds. *International Handbook of Health Literacy. Research, Practice and Policy across the Lifespan*. Bristol: Policy Press; 2019:39–52.
17. John DR. Consumer socialization of children: a retrospective look at twenty-five years of research. *J Consum Res*. 1999;26:183–213. doi:10.1086/209559
18. Nicklaus S. Eating and drinking in childhood. In: Meiselman HL, ed. *Handbook of Eating and Drinking*. New York: Springer Nature; 2020:391–412.
19. Carroll N, Perreault M, Ma DW, et al. Assessing food and nutrition literacy in children and adolescents: a systematic review of existing tools. *Public Health Nutr*. 2022;25:850–865. doi:10.1017/S1368980021004389
20. Lavelle F, Spence M, Hollywood L, et al. Learning cooking skills at different ages: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2016;13:119. doi:10.1186/s12966-016-0446-y
21. Utter J, Larson N, Laska MN, et al. Self-perceived cooking skills in emerging adulthood predict better dietary behaviors and intake 10 years later: a longitudinal study. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2018;50:494–500. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2018.01.021
22. Seabrook JA, Dworatzek PDN, Matthews JL. Predictors of food skills in university students. *Can J Diet Pract Res*. 2019;80:205–208. doi:10.3148/cjdrp-2019-011
23. Amin SA, Panzarella C, Lehnerd M, et al. Identifying food literacy educational opportunities for youth. *Health Educ Behav*. 2018;45:918–925. doi:10.1177/1090198118775485
24. Bailey CJ, Drummond MJ, Ward PR. Food literacy programmes in secondary schools: a systematic literature review and narrative synthesis of quantitative and qualitative evidence. *Public Health Nutr*. 2019;22:2891–2913. doi:10.1017/S1368980019001666
25. Hosany ARS, Hosany S, He H. Children sustainable behaviour: a review and research agenda. *J Bus Res*. 2022;147:236–257. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.04.008
26. Wijayaratne S, Westberg K, Reid M, et al. Developing food literacy in young children in the home environment. *Int J Consumer Studies*. September 2021;46:1165–1177. doi:10.1111/ijcs.12750
27. Vettori V, Lorini C, Milani C, et al. Towards the implementation of a conceptual framework of food and nutrition literacy: providing healthy eating for the population. *IJERPH*. 2019;16:5041. doi:10.3390/ijerph16245041
28. Perry EA, Thomas H, Samra HR, et al. Identifying attributes of food literacy: a scoping review. *Public Health Nutr*. 2017;20:2406–2415. doi:10.1017/S1368980017001276
29. Fox EL, Timmer A. Children’s and adolescents’ characteristics and interactions with the food system. *Glob Food Sec*. 2020;27:100419. doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100419
30. Nutbeam D. Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. *Health Promot Int*. 2000;15:259–267. doi:10.1093/heapro/15.3.259
31. Slater J, Falkenberg T, Rutherford J, et al. Food literacy competencies: a conceptual framework for youth transitioning to adulthood. *Int J Consum Stud*. 2018;42:547–556. doi:10.1111/ijcs.12471
32. Slater J. Is cooking dead? The state of home economics food and nutrition education in a Canadian province. *Int J Consum Stud*. 2013;37:617–624. doi:10.1111/ijcs.12042
33. Downs SM, Ahmed S, Fanzo J, et al. Food environment typology: advancing an expanded definition, framework, and methodological approach for improved characterization of wild, cultivated, and built food environments toward sustainable diets. *Foods* 2020;9:532.
34. Marshall Q, Fanzo J, Barrett CB, et al. Building a global food systems typology: a new tool for reducing complexity in food systems analysis. *Front Sustain Food Syst*. 2021;5:746512. doi:10.3389/fsufs.2021.746512
35. Brown R, Seabrook JA, Stranges S, et al. Examining the correlates of adolescent food and nutrition knowledge. *Nutrients*. 2021;13:2044. doi:10.3390/nu13062044
36. Doustmohammadian A, Keshavarz Mohammadi N, Omidvar N, et al. Food and nutrition literacy (FNLT) and its predictors in primary schoolchildren in Iran. *Health Promot Int*. 2019;34:1002–1013. doi:10.1093/heapro/day050
37. Variyam JN, Blaylock J, Lin B, et al. Mother’s nutrition knowledge and children’s dietary intakes. *Am J Agric Econ*. 1999;81:373–384. doi:10.2307/1244588
38. Palumbo R, Adinolfi P, Annarumma C, et al. Unravelling the food literacy puzzle: evidence from Italy. *Food Policy*. 2019;83:104–115. doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2018.12.004
39. Kim Y, Vazquez C, Cubbin C. Socioeconomic disparities in health outcomes in the United States in the late 2010s: results from four national population-based studies. *Arch Public Health*. 2023;81:15. doi:10.1186/s13690-023-01026-1
40. Gibson-Davis C, Hill HD. Childhood wealth inequality in the United States: implications for social stratification and well-being. *RSF*. 2021;7:1–26. doi:10.7758/rsf.2021.7.3.01
41. Rebouças P, Falcão IR, Barreto ML. Social inequalities and their impact on children’s health: a current and global perspective. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2022;98:S55–S65. doi:10.1016/j.jped.2021.11.004
42. Eozenou PH-V, Neelsen S, Lindelow M. Child health outcome inequalities in low and middle income countries. *Health Syst Reform*. 2021;7:e1934955. doi:10.1080/23288604.2021.1934955
43. Borzekowski DLG. Considering children and health literacy: a theoretical approach. *Pediatrics* 2009;124:S282–S288. doi:10.1542/peds.2009-1162D
44. Bundy DAP, de Silva N, Horton S, et al. Child and adolescent health and development: realizing neglected potential. In: Bundy DAP, Silva N de, Horton S, Jamison DT, Patton GC, eds. *Child and Adolescent Health and Development*. 3rd edn. Washington, DC: World Bank Group; 2018:1–24.
45. Birch LL, Anzman SL. Learning to eat in an obesogenic environment: a developmental systems perspective on childhood obesity. *Child Dev Perspect*. 2010;4:138–143. doi:10.1111/j.1750-8606.2010.00132.x
46. Birch LL, Doub AE. Learning to eat: birth to age 2 y. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2014;99:723–728. doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.069047

47. Nicklaus S. The role of food experiences during early childhood in food pleasure learning. *Appetite* 2016;104:3–9. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2015.08.022
48. Ustun B, Reissland N, Covey J, et al. Flavor sensing in utero and emerging discriminative behaviors in the human fetus. *Psychol Sci*. 2022;33:1651–1663. September 2022:095679762211054. doi:10.1177/09567976221105460
49. Bell LK, Gardner C, Tian EJ, et al. Supporting strategies for enhancing vegetable liking in the early years of life: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2021;113:1282–1300. doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqaa384
50. Marty L, Chambaron S, Nicklaus S, et al. Learned pleasure from eating: an opportunity to promote healthy eating in children? *Appetite* 2018;120:265–274. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2017.09.006
51. Zajonc RB. Attitudinal effects of mere exposure. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1968;9:1–27. doi:10.1037/h0025848
52. Mennella JA, Forestell CA, Morgan LK, et al. Early milk feeding influences taste acceptance and liking during infancy. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009;90:780S–788S. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2009.274620
53. Mennella JA, Trabulsi JC. Complementary foods and flavor experiences: setting the foundation. *Ann Nutr Metab*. 2012;60:40–50. doi:10.1159/000335337
54. Bialek-Dratwa A, Szczepańska E, Szymańska D, et al. Neophobia—a natural developmental stage or feeding difficulties for children? *Nutrients*. 2022;14:1521. doi:10.3390/nu14071521
55. Scaglioni S, De Cosmi V, Ciappolino V, et al. Factors influencing children's eating behaviours. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:706–717. doi:10.3390/nu10060706
56. Perez-Ferrer C, Auchincloss AH, de Menezes MC, et al. The food environment in Latin America: a systematic review with a focus on environments relevant to obesity and related chronic diseases. *Public Health Nutr*. 2019;22:3447–3464. doi:10.1017/S1368980019002891
57. Turner C, Aggarwal A, Walls H, et al. Concepts and critical perspectives for food environment research: a global framework with implications for action in low- and middle-income countries. *Glob Food Sec*. 2018;18:93–101. doi:10.1016/j.gfs.2018.08.003
58. Elliott C, Truman E. The power of packaging: a scoping review and assessment of child-targeted food packaging. *Nutrients*. 2020;12:958. doi:10.3390/nu12040958
59. Storcksdieck Genannt Bonsmann S, Robinson M, Wollgast J, et al. The ineligibility of food products from across the EU for marketing to children according to two EU-level nutrient profile models. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0213512. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0213512
60. Song H, Halvorsen B, Harley A. Marketing cereal to children: content analysis of messages on children's and adults' cereal packages. *Int J Consum Stud*. 2014;38:571–577. doi:10.1111/ijcs.12116
61. Giménez A, Saldamando L, Curutchet MR, et al. Package design and nutritional profile of foods targeted at children in supermarkets in Montevideo, Uruguay. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2017;33:e00032116. doi:10.1590/0102-311X00032116
62. Vennerød FFF, Almli VL, Berget I, et al. Do parents form their children's sweet preference? The role of parents and taste sensitivity on preferences for sweetness in pre-schoolers. *Food Qual Prefer*. 2017;62: 172–182. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.06.013
63. Birch LL, Savage JS, Ventura A. Influences on the development of children's eating behaviours: from infancy to adolescence. *Can J Diet Pract Res*. 2007;68:s1–s56.
64. Schwartz C, Scholtens PAMJ, Lalanne A, et al. Development of healthy eating habits early in life. review of recent evidence and selected guidelines. *Appetite*. 2011;57:796–807. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2011.05.316
65. Bandura A, Walters RH. *Social Learning Theory*. Vol. 1. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall; 1977.
66. Maccoby EE. Historical overview of socialization research and theory. In: Grusec J, Hastings P, eds. *Handbook of Socialization. Theory and Research*. New York: The Guilford Press; 2015
67. Harper LV, Sanders KM. The effect of adults' eating on young children's acceptance of unfamiliar foods. *J Exp Child Psychol*. 1975;20:206–214. doi:10.1016/0022-0965(75)90098-3
68. Liberman Z, Woodward AL, Sullivan KR, et al. Early emerging system for reasoning about the social nature of food. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016;113:9480–9485. doi:10.1073/pnas.1605456113
69. Birch LL. Effects of peer models' food choices and eating behaviors on preschoolers' food preferences. *Child Dev*. 1980;51:489. doi:10.2307/1129283
70. Addressi E, Galloway AT, Visalbergi E, et al. Specific social influences on the acceptance of novel foods in 2–5-year-old children. *Appetite*. 2005;45:264–271. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2005.07.007
71. Gregory JE, Paxton SJ, Brozovic AM. Maternal feeding practices, child eating behaviour and body mass index in preschool-aged children: a prospective analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2010;7:55. doi:10.1186/1479-5868-7-55
72. Greenhalgh J, Dowey AJ, Home PJ, et al. Positive- and negative peer modelling effects on young children's consumption of novel blue foods. *Appetite*. 2009;52:646–653. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2009.02.016
73. Hendy HM. Effectiveness of trained peer models to encourage food acceptance in preschool children. *Appetite* 2002;39:217–225. doi:10.1006/appe.2002.0510
74. Frazier BN, Gelman SA, Kaciroti N, et al. I'll have what she's having: the impact of model characteristics on children's food choices. *Dev Sci*. 2012;15:87–98. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7687.2011.01106.x
75. Hendy HM, Raudenbush B. Effectiveness of teacher modeling to encourage food acceptance in preschool children. *Appetite*. 2000;34:61–76. doi:10.1006/appe.1999.0286
76. Rosenkranz RR, Dziewaltowski DA. Model of the home food environment pertaining to childhood obesity. *Nutr Rev*. 2008;66:123–140. doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2008.00017.x
77. van Nee RL, van Kleef E, van Trijp HCM. Dutch preadolescents' food consumption at school: influence of autonomy, competence and parenting practices. *Nutrients* 2021;13:1505. doi:10.3390/nu13051505.
78. Birch LL. Development of food preferences. *Annu Rev Nutr*. 1999;19:41–62. doi:10.1146/annurev.nutr.19.1.41.
79. Birch LL, Zimmerman SI, Hind H. The influence of social-affective context on the formation of children's food preferences. *J Nutr Educ*. 1981;13:511S–5118. doi:10.1016/S0022-3182(81)80023-4
80. Galloway AT, Fiorito LM, Francis LA, et al. 'Finish your soup': counterproductive effects of pressuring children to eat on intake and affect. *Appetite*. 2006;46:318–323. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2006.01.019
81. Vaughn AE, Ward DS, Fisher JO, et al. Fundamental constructs in food parenting practices: a content map to guide future research. *Nutr Rev*. 2016;74:98–117. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuv061
82. Robert Batsell W, Brown AS, Anfield ME, et al. "You will eat all of that!": a retrospective analysis of forced consumption episodes. *Appetite*. 2002;38:211–219. doi:10.1006/appe.2001.0482
83. Berge JM, Wall M, Hsueh T-F, et al. The protective role of family meals for youth obesity: 10-year longitudinal associations. *J Pediatr*. 2015;166:296–301. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.08.030
84. Hennessy E, Dwyer L, Oh A, et al. Promoting family meals: a review of existing interventions and opportunities for future research. *Adolesc Health Med Ther*. 2015;6:115–131. doi:10.2147/AHMT.S37316
85. Larson RW, Branscomb KR, Wiley AR. Forms and functions of family mealtimes: multidisciplinary perspectives. *New Dir Child Adolesc Dev*. 2006;2006:1–15. doi:10.1002/cd.152
86. Woodruff SJ, Hanning RM. A review of family meal influence on adolescents' dietary intake. *Can J Diet Pract Res*. 2008;69:14–22. doi:10.3148/69.1.2008.14
87. Robinson-O'Brien R, Story M, Heim S. Impact of garden-based youth nutrition intervention programs: a review. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2009;109:273–280. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2008.10.051
88. Bennett AE, Mockler D, Cunningham C, et al. A review of experiential school-based culinary interventions for 5–12-year-old children. *Children* 2021;8:1080. doi:10.3390/children8121080
89. De Backer CJS. Family meal traditions. Comparing reported childhood food habits to current food habits among university students. *Appetite*. 2013;69:64–70. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2013.05.013
90. Moiso R, Arnould EJ, Price LL. Between mothers and markets: constructing family identity through homemade food. *J Consum Cult*. 2004;4:361–384. doi:10.1177/1469540504046523
91. Palascha A, van Kleef E, de Vet E, et al. The effect of a brief mindfulness intervention on perception of bodily signals of satiation and hunger. *Appetite*. 2021;164:105280. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2021.105280
92. Tapper K. Mindful eating: what we know so far. *Nutr Bull*. 2022;47:168–185. doi:10.1111/nbu.12559
93. O'Reilly GA, Cook L, Spruijt-Metz D, et al. Mindfulness-based interventions for obesity-related eating behaviours: a literature review. *Obes Rev*. 2014;15:453–461. doi:10.1111/obr.12156
94. Keck-Kester T, Huerta-Saenz L, Spotts R, et al. Do mindfulness interventions improve obesity rates in children and adolescents: a review of the evidence. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes*. 2021;14:4621–4629. doi:10.2147/DMSO.S220671
95. Pérez L, Vizcarra M, Hughes SO, et al. Food parenting practices and feeding styles and their relations with weight status in children in Latin America and the Caribbean. *IJERPH*. 2022;19:2027. doi:10.3390/ijerph19042027
96. Russell CG, Russell A. Biological and psychosocial processes in the development of children's appetitive traits: insights from developmental theory and research. *Nutrients* 2018;10:692. doi:10.3390/nu10060692
97. Gouveia MJ, Canavarro MC, Moreira H. How can mindful parenting be related to emotional eating and overeating in childhood and adolescence? The mediating role of parenting stress and parental child-feeding practices. *Appetite* 2019;138:102–114. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2019.03.021
98. Black MM, Aboud FE. Responsive feeding is embedded in a theoretical framework of responsive parenting. *J Nutr*. 2011;141:490–494. doi:10.3945/jn.110.129973
99. Wylie A, Pierson S, Goto K, et al. Evaluation of a mindful eating intervention curriculum among elementary school children and their parents. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2018;50:206–208.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2017.09.017
100. Pierson S, Goto K, Giampaoli J, et al. Impacts of a mindful eating intervention on healthy food-related behaviors and mindful eating practices among elementary

- school children. *Calif J Health Promot.* 2019;17:41–50. doi:10.32398/cjhp.v17i2.2288
101. Lange C, Schwartz C, Hachefa C, et al. Portion size selection in children: effect of sensory imagery for snacks varying in energy density. *Appetite* 2020;150:104656. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.104656
 102. Duarte LS, Palombo CNT, Solis-Cordero K, et al. The association between body weight dissatisfaction with unhealthy eating behaviors and lack of physical activity in adolescents: a systematic review. *J Child Health Care.* 2021;25:44–68. doi:10.1177/1367493520904914
 103. Lee J, Lee Y. The association of body image distortion with weight control behaviors, diet behaviors, physical activity, sadness, and suicidal ideation among Korean high school students: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16:39. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-2703-z
 104. Xu X, Mellor D, Kiehne M, et al. Body dissatisfaction, engagement in body change behaviors and sociocultural influences on body image among Chinese adolescents. *Body Image.* 2010;7:156–164. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2009.11.003
 105. Birch LL, Davison KK. Family environmental factors influencing the developing behavioral controls of food intake and childhood overweight. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 2001;48:893–907. doi:10.1016/S0031-3955(05)70347-3
 106. Scaglioni S, Salvioni M, Galimberti C. Influence of parental attitudes in the development of children eating behaviour. *Br J Nutr.* 2008;99:522–525. doi:10.1017/S0007114508892471
 107. Yee AZH, Lwin MO, Ho SS. The influence of parental practices on child promotive and preventive food consumption behaviors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2017;14:47. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0501-3
 108. Phares V, Steinberg AR, Thompson JK. Gender differences in peer and parental influences: body image disturbance, self-worth, and psychological functioning in preadolescent children. *J Youth Adolesc.* 2004;33:421–429. doi:10.1023/B:JOYO.0000037634.18749.20
 109. Tatangelo G, McCabe M, Mellor D, et al. A systematic review of body dissatisfaction and sociocultural messages related to the body among preschool children. *Body Image.* 2016;18:86–95. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.06.003
 110. McCabe M, Ricciardelli L. A longitudinal study of body image and strategies to lose weight and increase muscles among children. *J Appl Dev Psychol.* 2005;26:559–577. doi:10.1016/j.appdev.2005.06.007
 111. Ricciardelli LA, McCabe MP, Holt KE, et al. A biopsychosocial model for understanding body image and body change strategies among children. *J Appl Dev Psychol.* 2003;24:475–495. doi:10.1016/S0193-3973(03)00070-4
 112. Carbonneau N, Hamilton L, Musher-Eizenman DR. From dieting to delight: parenting strategies to promote children's positive body image and healthy relationship with food. *Can Psychol/Psychol Can.* 2021;62:204–212. doi:10.1037/cap0000274
 113. Tayefi Nasrabadi M, García EH, Pourzakarya M. Let children plan neighborhoods for a sustainable future: a sustainable child-friendly city approach. *Local Environ.* 2021;26:198–215. doi:10.1080/13549839.2021.1884668
 114. Lam V, Romses K, Renwick K. Exploring the relationship between school gardens, food literacy and mental well-being in youths using photovoice. *Nutrients* 2019;11:1354. doi:10.3390/nu11061354
 115. Krause C, Sommerhalder K, Beer-Borst S, et al. Just a subtle difference? Findings from a systematic review on definitions of nutrition literacy and food literacy. *Health Promot Int.* 2018;33:378–389. doi:10.1093/heapro/daw084
 116. DeJesus JM, Kinzler KD, Shotts K. Food cognition and nutrition knowledge. In: Lumeng JC, Fisher JO, eds. *Pediatric Food Preferences and Eating Behaviors.* New York: Academic Press; 2018:271–288.
 117. Pickard A. *Spilling the Beans: The Development of Conceptual Knowledge about Food and Its Links with Food Rejection in Young Children (3-7-Years-Old).* Dissertation: Université de Bourgogne; 2021.
 118. Pickard A, Thibaut JP, Lafraire J. Strawberries and cream: the relationship between food rejection and thematic knowledge of food in young children. *Front Psychol.* 2021;12:1–11. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.626701
 119. Rioux C, Leglaye L, Lafraire J. Inductive reasoning, food neophobia, and domain-specificity in preschoolers. *Cogn Dev.* 2018;47:124–132. doi:10.1016/j.cogdev.2018.05.001
 120. Nguyen SP, Murphy GL. An apple is more than just a fruit: cross-classification in children's concepts. *Child Dev.* 2003;74:1783–1806. doi:10.1046/j.1467-8624.2003.00638.x
 121. Monnery-Patris S, Marty L, Bayer F, et al. Explicit and implicit tasks for assessing hedonic-versus nutrition-based attitudes towards food in French children. *Appetite* 2016;96:580–587. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2015.10.026
 122. Marty L, Miguet M, Bournez M, et al. Do hedonic- versus nutrition-based attitudes toward food predict food choices? A cross-sectional study of 6- to 11-year-olds. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2017;14:162. doi:10.1186/s12966-017-0618-4
 123. Nguyen SP. The role of external sources of information in children's evaluative food categories. *Infant Child Dev.* 2012;21:216–235. doi:10.1002/icd.745
 124. Rozin P, Hammer L, Oster H, et al. The child's conception of food: differentiation of categories of rejected substances in the 16 months to 5 year age range. *Appetite* 1986;7:141–151. doi:10.1016/S0195-6663(86)80014-9
 125. Siegal M, Share DL. Contamination sensitivity in young children. *Dev Psychol.* 1990;26:455–458. doi:10.1037/0012-1649.26.3.455
 126. Foinant D, Jérémie L, Thibaut J. Fatal errors in the food domain: children's categorization performance and strategy depend on both food processing and neophobic dispositions. *Proc Annu Meet Cogn Sci Soc.* 2021;43:2396–2401.
 127. Rioux C, Picard D, Lafraire J. Food rejection and the development of food categorization in young children. *Cogn Dev.* 2016;40:163–177. doi:10.1016/j.cogdev.2016.09.003
 128. Lafraire J, Rioux C, Giboreau A, et al. Food rejections in children: cognitive and social/environmental factors involved in food neophobia and picky/fussy eating behavior. *Appetite* 2016;96:347–357. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2015.09.008
 129. Nguyen SP. Cross-classification and category representation in children's concepts. *Dev Psychol.* 2007;43:719–731. doi:10.1037/0012-1649.43.3.719
 130. Nguyen SP. An apple a day keeps the doctor away: children's evaluative categories of food. *Appetite.* 2007;48:114–118. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2006.06.001
 131. Heath P, Houston-Price C, Kennedy OB. Increasing food familiarity without the tears. A role for visual exposure? *Appetite.* 2011;57:832–838. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2011.05.315
 132. Owen LH, Kennedy OB, Hill C, et al. Peas, please! Food familiarization through picture books helps parents introduce vegetables into preschoolers' diets. *Appetite.* 2018;128:32–43. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2018.05.140
 133. Heath P, Houston-Price C, Kennedy OB. Let's look at leeks! Picture books increase toddlers' willingness to look at, taste and consume unfamiliar vegetables. *Front Psychol.* 2014;5:191. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00191
 134. Houston-Price C, Butler L, Shiba P. Visual exposure impacts on toddlers' willingness to taste fruits and vegetables. *Appetite* 2009;53:450–453. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2009.08.012
 135. Coulthard H, Sealy A. Play with your food! Sensory play is associated with tasting of fruits and vegetables in preschool children. *Appetite.* 2017;113:84–90. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2017.02.003
 136. Dazeley P, Houston-Price C. Exposure to foods' non-taste sensory properties. A nursery intervention to increase children's willingness to try fruit and vegetables. *Appetite.* 2015;84:1–6. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2014.08.040
 137. Coulthard H, Thakker D. Enjoyment of tactile play is associated with lower food neophobia in preschool children. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2015;115:1134–1140. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2015.02.020
 138. Au TK, Romo LF, DeWitt JE. Considering children's folkbiology in health education. In: Siegal M, Peterson C, eds. *Children's Understanding of Biology and Health.* London: Cambridge University Press; 1999:209–234.
 139. Raman L. Does "Yummy" food help you grow and avoid illness? Children's and adults' understanding of the effect of psychobiological labels on growth and illness. *Child Dev Res.* 2011;2011:1. doi:10.1155/2011/638239
 140. Guérin H, Thibaut JP. Development of representations of foods in 4-12 year old children. *Enfance.* 2008;60:251–260.
 141. Nguyen SP, Gordon CL, McCullough MB. Not as easy as pie. Disentangling the theoretical and applied components of children's health knowledge. *Appetite.* 2011;56:265–268. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2011.01.008
 142. Nguyen SP, Girgis H, Robinson J. Predictors of children's food selection: the role of children's perceptions of the health and taste of foods. *Food Qual Prefer.* 2015;40:106–109. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.09.009
 143. Marty L, Chambaron S, Bournez M, et al. Comparison of implicit and explicit attitudes towards food between normal- and overweight French children. *Food Qual Prefer.* 2017;60:145–153. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.04.013
 144. Jomori MM, Vasconcelos FdAd, Bernardo GL, et al. The concept of cooking skills: a review with contributions to the scientific debate. *Rev Nutr.* 2018;31:119–135. doi:10.1590/1678-98652018000100010
 145. Engler-Stringer R. Food, cooking skills, and health: a literature review. *Can J Diet Pract Res.* 2010;71:141–145. doi:10.3148/71.3.2010.141
 146. Muzaffar H, Metcalfe JJ, Fiese B. Narrative review of culinary interventions with children in schools to promote healthy eating: directions for future research and practice. *Curr Dev Nutr.* 2018;2:nzy016. doi:10.1093/cdn/nzy016
 147. Pickard A, Thibaut J-P, Philippe K, et al. Poor conceptual knowledge in the food domain linked with food rejection dispositions in 3-7-year-old children. *J Exp Child Psychol.* 2023;226:105546. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2022.105546
 148. Birch LL, Marlin DW, Rotter J. Eating as the "Means" activity in a contingency: effects on young children's food preference. *Child Dev.* 1984;55:431. doi:10.2307/1129954
 149. Gallahue D, Ozmun J, Goodway J. *Understanding Motor Development: Infants, Children, Adolescents, Adults.* New York: McGraw Hill. 2012.
 150. Dean M, O'Kane C, Issartel J, et al. Guidelines for designing age-appropriate cooking interventions for children: the development of evidence-based cooking skill recommendations for children, using a multidisciplinary approach. *Appetite.* 2021;161:105125. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2021.105125
 151. Gentier I, D'Hondt E, Shultz S, et al. Fine and gross motor skills differ between healthy-weight and obese children. *Res Dev Disabil.* 2013;34:4043–4051. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2013.08.040
 152. Okely AD, Booth ML, Chey T. Relationships between body composition and fundamental movement skills among children and adolescents. *Res Q Exerc Sport.* 2004;75:238–247. doi:10.1080/02701367.2004.10609157

153. D'Hondt E, Deforche B, Vaeysens R, et al. Gross motor coordination in relation to weight status and age in 5- to 12-year-old boys and girls: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Pediatr Obes*. 2011;6:e556–e564. doi:10.3109/17477166.2010.500388
154. Kokštejn J, Musálék M, Tufano JJ. Are sex differences in fundamental motor skills uniform throughout the entire preschool period? *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0176556. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176556
155. Venetsanou F, Kambas A. Environmental factors affecting preschoolers' motor development. *Early Childhood Educ J*. 2010;37:319–327. doi:10.1007/s10643-009-0350-z
156. Campeau M, Philippe S, Martini R, et al. The baby-led weaning method: a focus on mealtime behaviours, food acceptance and fine motor skills. *Nutr Bull*. 2021;46:476–485. doi:10.1111/nbu.12532
157. Eley C, Lundgren P, Kasza G, et al. Teaching young consumers in Europe: a multi-centre qualitative needs assessment with educators on food hygiene and food safety. *Perspect Public Health*. 2022;142:175–183. doi:10.1177/1757913920972739
158. Eves A, Bielby G, Egan B, et al. Food safety knowledge and behaviours of children (5-7 years). *Health Educ J*. 2010;69:21–30. doi:10.1177/0017896910363313
159. Meysenburg R, Albrecht JA, Litchfield R, et al. Food safety knowledge, practices and beliefs of primary food preparers in families with young children. A mixed methods study. *Appetite* 2014;73:121–131. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2013.10.015
160. Santaló MI, Gibbons S, Naylor P-J. Using food models to enhance sugar literacy among older adolescents: evaluation of a brief experiential nutrition education intervention. *Nutrients*. 2019;11:1763. doi:10.3390/nu11081763
161. Moore S, Donnelly J, Jones S, et al. Effect of educational interventions on understanding and use of nutrition labels: a systematic review. *Nutrients* 2018;10:1432. doi:10.3390/nu10101432
162. LeFevre J-A, Skwarchuk S-L, Smith-Chant BL, et al. Home numeracy experiences and children's math performance in the early school years. *Can J Behav Sci Can Des Sci du Comport*. 2009;41:55–66. doi:10.1037/a0014532
163. Raghuram KP, Barnes MA. Early numeracy skills in preschool-aged children: a review of neurocognitive findings and implications for assessment and intervention. *Clin Neuropsychol*. 2017;31:329–351. doi:10.1080/13854046.2016.1259387
164. Sanders LM, Federico S, Klass P, et al. Literacy and child health. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2009;163:131–140. doi:10.1001/archpediatrics.2008.539
165. Yin HS, Sanders LM, Rothman RL, et al. Assessment of health literacy and numeracy among spanish-speaking parents of young children: validation of the Spanish Parental Health Literacy Activities Test (PHLAT Spanish). *Acad Pediatr*. 2012;12:68–74. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2011.08.008
166. Smith CE, Echelbarger M, Gelman SA, et al. Spendthrifts and tightwads in childhood: feelings about spending predict children's financial decision making. *J Behav Decis Mak*. 2018;3:1:446–460. doi:10.1002/bdm.2071
167. Khan MSR, Putthinun P, Watanapongvanich S, et al. Do financial literacy and financial education influence smoking behavior in the United States? *IJERPH*. 2021;18:2579. doi:10.3390/ijerph18052579
168. Ono S, Yukitadatta P, Taniguchi T, et al. Financial literacy and exercise behavior: evidence from Japan. *Sustainability* 2021;13:4189. doi:10.3390/su13084189
169. Boe M, Hognestad K. Critical thinking in kindergarten. *Child Philos*. 2010;6:151–165.
170. Naderer B. Advertising unhealthy food to children: on the importance of regulations, parenting styles, and media literacy. *Curr Addict Rep*. 2021;8:12–18. doi:10.1007/s40429-020-00348-2
171. Smith R, Kelly B, Yeatman H, et al. Food marketing influences children's attitudes, preferences and consumption: a systematic critical review. *Nutrients* 2019;11:875. doi:10.3390/nu11040875
172. Kelly B, King L, Chapman K, et al. A hierarchy of unhealthy food promotion effects: identifying methodological approaches and knowledge gaps. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105: E 86–e95. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2014.302476
173. Ares G, Velázquez AL, Vidal L, et al. The role of food packaging on children's diet: insights for the design of comprehensive regulations to encourage healthier eating habits in childhood and beyond. *Food Qual Prefer*. 2022;95:104366. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2021.104366
174. Boyland E, McGale L, Maden M, et al. Association of food and nonalcoholic beverage marketing with children and adolescents' eating behaviors and health. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2022;176:e221037. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2022.1037
175. Boyland E, Nolan S, Kelly B, et al. Advertising as a cue to consume: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of acute exposure to unhealthy food and nonalcoholic beverage advertising on intake in children and adults. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2016;103:519–533. doi:10.3945/ajcn.115.120022
176. Campbell MC, Kirmani A. I know what you're doing and why you're doing it. The use of persuasion knowledge model in consumer research. In: Haugtvedt CP, Herr PM, Kardes FR, eds. *Handbook of Consumer Psychology*. New York: Taylor and Francis; 2008:549–573.
177. Rozendaal E, Reijmersdal E, Buijzen M. Children's understanding of persuasion. In: Mihailidis P, Hobbs R, eds. *The International Encyclopedia of Media Literacy*. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 2019:1–6. doi:10.1002/9781118978238.ieml0022
178. Oates C, Blades M, Gunter B, et al. Children's understanding of television advertising: a qualitative approach. *J Mark Commun*. 2003;9:59–71. doi:10.1080/1352726032000080858
179. Escalon H, Courbet D, Julia C, et al. Exposure of French children and adolescents to advertising for foods high in fat, sugar or salt. *Nutrients*. 2021;13:3741. doi:10.3390/nu1313741
180. Tatlow-Golden M, Boyland E, Jewell J, et al. Tackling Food Marketing to Children in a Digital World: Trans-Disciplinary Perspectives: *Children's Rights, Evidence of Impact, Methodological Challenges, Regulatory Options and Policy Implications for the WHO European Region*. Geneva: WHO; 2016.
181. Ares G, Antúnez L, de León C, et al. 'Even if you don't pay attention to it, you know it's there': a qualitative exploration of adolescents' experiences with digital food marketing. *Appetite*. 2022;176:106128. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2022.106128
182. van der Bend DLM, Jakstas T, van Kleef E, et al. Making sense of adolescent-targeted social media food marketing: a qualitative study of expert views on key definitions, priorities and challenges. *Appetite*. 2022;168: 105691. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2021.105691
183. van der Bend DLM, Jakstas T, van Kleef E, et al. Adolescents' exposure to and evaluation of food promotions on social media: a multi-method approach. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2022;19:74. doi:10.1186/s12966-022-01310-3
184. Freeman D, Shapiro S. Tweens' knowledge of marketing tactics. *JAR*. 2014;54:44–55. doi:10.2501/JAR-54-1-044-055
185. Vassallo A, Jones A, Freeman B. Social media: frenemy of public health? *Public Health Nutr*. 2022;25:61–64. doi:10.1017/S136898002100269X
186. Bulger M, Davison P. The promises, challenges, and futures of media literacy. *JMLE*. 2018;10:1–21. doi:10.23860/JMLE-2018-10-1-1
187. Powell RM, Gross T. Food for thought: a novel media literacy intervention on food advertising targeting young children and their parents. *JMLE*. 2018;10:80–94. doi:10.23860/JMLE-2018-10-3-5
188. Mohsen H, Sacre Y, Hanna-Wakim L, et al. Nutrition and food literacy in the MENA region: a review to inform nutrition research and policy makers. *IJERPH*. 2022;19:10190. doi:10.3390/ijerph191610190
189. Whiteley C, Matwiejczyk L. Preschool program improves young children's food literacy and attitudes to vegetables. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2015;47:397–398.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2015.04.002
190. Wickham CA, Carbone ET. What's technology cooking up? A systematic review of the use of technology in adolescent food literacy programs. *Appetite* 2018;125:333–344. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2018.02.001
191. Wolfenden L, Wyse RJ, Britton BL, et al. Interventions for increasing fruit and vegetable consumption in children aged 5 years and under. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;14:CD008552. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008552.pub2
192. Brown T, Moore TH, Hooper L, et al.; Cochrane Public Health Group. Interventions for preventing obesity in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2019;2019: CD001871. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001871.pub4
193. Knai C, Pomerleau J, Lock K, et al. Getting children to eat more fruit and vegetables: a systematic review. *Prev Med*. 2006;42:85–95. doi:10.1016/j.jypmed.2005.11.012
194. Sørensen K, Van Den Broucke S, Fullam J, et al.; (HLS-EU) Consortium Health Literacy Project European. Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12:80. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-80
195. Pettigrew S. Pleasure: an under-utilised 'P' in social marketing for healthy eating. *Appetite* 2016;104:60–69. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2015.10.004
196. Ares G, Girona A, Rodríguez R, et al. Social representations of breastfeeding and infant formula: an exploratory study with mothers and health professionals to inform policy making. *Appetite*. 2020;151:104683. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2020.104683
197. Brunet G, Vidal L, Bove I, et al. The social representations of complementary feeding. *Appetite*. 2021;165:105324. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2021.105324
198. Trudel-Guy C, Bédard A, Comeau L, et al. Impact of pleasure-oriented messages on food choices: is it more effective than traditional health-oriented messages to promote healthy eating? *Appetite*. 2019;143:104392. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2019.104392
199. De Rosso S, Nicklaus S, Ducrot P, et al. Information seeking of French parents regarding infant and young child feeding: practices, needs and determinants. *Public Health Nutr*. 2022;25:879–892. doi:10.1017/S1368980021003086
200. De Rosso S, Schwartz C, Ducrot P, et al. The perceptions and needs of French parents and pediatricians concerning information on complementary feeding. *Nutrients*. 2021;13:2142. doi:10.3390/nu13072142
201. French SD, Green SE, O'Connor DA, et al. Developing theory-informed behaviour change interventions to implement evidence into practice: a systematic approach using the theoretical domains framework. *Implement Sci*. 2012;7:38. doi:10.1186/1748-5908-7-38
202. De Rosso S, Ducrot P, Chabanet C, et al. Increasing parental knowledge about child feeding: evaluation of the effect of public health policy communication media in France. *Front Public Health*. 2022;10:782620. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2022.782620

203. Carruth BR, Skinner JD. Mothers' sources of information about feeding their children ages 2 months to 54 months. *J Nutr Educ*. 2001;33:143–147. doi:10.1016/S1499-4046(06)60183-8
204. Mustonen S, Rantanen R, Tuorila H. Effect of sensory education on school children's food perception: a 2-year follow-up study. *Food Qual Prefer*. 2009;20:230–240. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2008.10.003
205. Battjes-Fries MCE, Haveman-Nies A, Zeinstra GG, et al. Effectiveness of taste lessons with and without additional experiential learning activities on children's willingness to taste vegetables. *Appetite*. 2017;109:201–208. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.05.020
206. Mustonen S, Tuorila H. Sensory education decreases food neophobia score and encourages trying unfamiliar foods in 8–12-year-old children. *Food Qual Prefer*. 2010;21:353–360. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2009.09.001
207. Sharma A, Moon J, Bailey-Davis L, et al. Food choices and service evaluation under time constraints: the school lunch environment. *IJCHM*. 2017;29:3191–3210. doi:10.1108/IJCHM-06-2015-0269
208. Wills W, Backett-Milburn K, Gregory S, et al. The influence of the secondary school setting on the food practices of young teenagers from disadvantaged backgrounds in Scotland. *Health Educ Res*. 2005;20:458–465. doi:10.1093/her/cyg132
209. Peralta LR, Dudley DA, Cotton WG. Teaching healthy eating to elementary school students: a scoping review of nutrition education resources. *J Sch Health*. 2016;86:334–345. doi:10.1111/josh.12382
210. Murimi MW, Moyeda-Carabaza AF, Nguyen B, et al. Factors that contribute to effective nutrition education interventions in children: a systematic review. *Nutr Rev*. 2018;76:553–580. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nyy020
211. Lichtenstein AH, Ludwig DS. Bring back home economics education. *JAMA*. 2010;303:1857–1858. doi:10.1001/jama.2010.592
212. Lawlis T, Knox M, Jamieson M. School canteens: a systematic review of the policy, perceptions and use from an Australian perspective. *Nutr Diet*. 2016;73:389–398. doi:10.1111/1747-0080.12279
213. Girona A, Iragola V, Alcaire F, et al. Factors underlying compliance with a healthy snacking initiative in the school environment: accounts of school principals in Montevideo (Uruguay). *Public Health Nutr*. 2019;22:726–737. doi:10.1017/S1368980018003488
214. Thorndike AN, Gardner CD, Kendrick KB, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Advocacy Coordinating Committee. Strengthening US food policies and programs to promote equity in nutrition security: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2022;145: e1077–e1093. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000001072
215. Bryan CJ, Yeager DS, Hinojosa CP. A values-alignment intervention protects adolescents from the effects of food marketing. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2019;3:596–603. doi:10.1038/s41562-019-0586-6
216. Boyland E, McGale L, Maden M, et al. Systematic review of the effect of policies to restrict the marketing of foods and non-alcoholic beverages to which children are exposed. *Obes Rev*. 2022;23:e13447. doi:10.1111/obr.13447
217. Ma Y, He FJ, Yin Y, et al. Gradual reduction of sugar in soft drinks without substitution as a strategy to reduce overweight, obesity, and type 2 diabetes: a modelling study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2016;4:105–114. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(15)00477-5
218. MacGregor GA, Hashem KM. Action on sugar-lessons from UK salt reduction programme. *Lancet*. 2014;383:929–931. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60200-2
219. Wyness LA, Buttriss JL, Stanner SA. Reducing the population's sodium intake: the UK Food Standards Agency's salt reduction programme. *Public Health Nutr*. 2012;15:254–261. doi:10.1017/S1368980011000966
220. Garde A, Byrne S. Combatting obesogenic commercial practices through the implementation of the best interests of the child principle. In: Garde A and De Schutter O, eds. *Ending Childhood Obesity*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing; 2020:251–281. doi:10.4337/9781788114028.00017.
221. Garde A. Harmful commercial marketing and children's rights: for a better use of EU powers. *Eur J Risk Regul*. 2020;11:841–850. doi:10.1017/err.2020.83
222. Moore JB, Horti A, Fielding BA. Evaluation of the nutrient content of yogurts: a comprehensive survey of yogurt products in the major UK supermarkets. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8:e021387. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021387
223. Schwartz MB, Vartanian LR, Wharton CM, et al. Examining the nutritional quality of breakfast cereals marketed to children. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2008;108:702–705. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2008.01.003
224. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, United Nations Children's Fund, World Food Program. *Ready to Learn and Thrive: School Health and Nutrition around the World*. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization; 2023.
225. O'Hara S, Toussaint EC. Food access in crisis: food security and COVID-19. *Ecol Econ*. 2021;180: 106859. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2020.106859
226. Food and Agriculture Organization, International Fund for Agricultural Development, UNICEF, World Food Program, World Health Organization. *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2021. Transforming Food Systems for Food Security, Improved Nutrition and Affordable Healthy Diets for All*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization; 2021
227. Begley A, Paynter E, Butcher L, et al. Examining the association between food literacy and food insecurity. *Nutrients*. 2019;11:445. doi:10.3390/nu11020445
228. Gallegos D. The nexus between food literacy, food security and disadvantage. In: Vigden H, ed. *Food Literacy*. Oxon, UK: Routledge; 2016:134–150.
229. Burchi F, De Muro P. From food availability to nutritional capabilities: advancing food security analysis. *Food Policy*. 2016;60:10–19. doi:10.1016/j.foodpol.2015.03.008