



HAL
open science

Assessment of ecological intensification in aquaculture systems

Aurélie Wilfart, Jehane Prudhomme, Jean-Paul Blancheton, Joël Aubin

► **To cite this version:**

Aurélie Wilfart, Jehane Prudhomme, Jean-Paul Blancheton, Joël Aubin. Assessment of ecological intensification in aquaculture systems. *Aquaculture Europe 2011*, Oct 2011, Rhodes (Grèce), Greece. hal-04146756

HAL Id: hal-04146756

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04146756>

Submitted on 30 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.


Assessment of ecological intensification in aquaculture systems

Aurélie Wilfart^{a,b*}, Jehane Prudhomme^{a,b,c}, Jean-Paul Blancheton^c, Joël Aubin^{a,b}

^a INRA, UMR1069 Sol Agro et hydrosystème Spatialisation, F-35000 Rennes, France

^b AGROCAMPUS OUEST, F-35000 Rennes, France

^c IFREMER, Station d'Aquaculture Expérimentale, Laboratoire de Recherche Piscicole de Méditerranée, Chemin de Maguelone, 34250 Palavas-les-Flots, France



Ecologically Intensive Fish Farming



ALIMENTATION
AGRICULTURE
ENVIRONNEMENT



1. Introduction


2. Material and methods

1. Live cycle assessment
2. Emergy accounting
3. The systems



3. Results and discussion

4. Conclusion



Introduction

By 2050, world population will reach 9 billion people:

↑ Food demand

↑ Pressure on natural resources, land, water and biodiversity

Evolution of Agricultural systems is needed

Design new systems: more efficient and more environmental friendly

Need for multi scale and multi impact indicators




Integration of environmental methods in order to
Life cycle assessment (LCA) and Emergy Accounting

1. Obtain multi-scale assessment method
2. Generate consistent indicators based on the same set of input data


Live cycle assessment (LCA) 1/2

LCA goal :
 Listing and assessing the environmental consequences of different options to fulfill a certain function (Guinée et al, 2002)




Live cycle assessment (LCA) 1/2

Inventory: emissions/ resources use



System definition



Characterisation factors

Impacts assessment
Expressed by functional unit (per kg...)

- Eutrophication
- Climate change
- Acidification
- Energy use
- Water demand
- Land occupation

Adapted from Geier, 1999



Energy accounting 1/3

Energy: The ability to cause work (i.e. potential energy)

There are many “forms” of energy to produce something...




Sunlight \neq rain \neq fuels \neq services

EMERGY: The energy required directly and indirectly to make something
 Expressed in energy of the same FORM ... solar energy ;
 Unit = Solar Emery joules = sej





Emergy accounting 2/3




Energy accounting 3/3

Transformity
 $Tr = Y/Energy$

Renewability
 $\%R = 100(R/Y)$

EmergyYield ratio
 $EYR = Y/F$

Environmental loading ratio
 $ELR = (F+N)/R$




The systems

	RSF	PF1	PF2
Type	Recirculated	Pond	Pond
Localisation	Normandie (F)	Lorraine (F)	Lorraine (F)
Species	Salmons	Carps, tenches, roaches, perches, sanders, and pikes	Carps, tenches, roaches, perches and pikes
Production (t)	55	35	3.3
Area (ha)	1.7	96	12
Yield (t/ha)	32.35	0.36	0.28
Feed	Commercial (50 % fishery resources)	100 % natural (wheat grain)	Wheat, rape meal, extruded soybean, commercial starter feed for carp
Fingerlings (origine)	100 % purchased (Scotland)	99 % natural 1 % purchased	50 % natural 50 % purchased
Chemical inputs	53.8 kg/year (disinfection)	Lime, 500 kg/ha/ every 5 years	Lime 1000 kg/year



Live cycle assessment


Results expressed for 1 tonne of fish produced




- Transport tourists
- Delivery
- Transport rendering
- Chemical
- Liquid oxygene
- Smolts/Fingerlings
- Energy
- Feed
- Equipment/Infrastructures
- Production



For 1 tonne of fish produced, RSF has the lower impact for CC, TED, LO, AC.




The major contributor to CC, TED, AC are energy use for ponds and feed for RSF



LO and EU are highly influenced by on farm fish production

Energy accounting 1/2

Contribution of Energy inputs for the RSF, PF1, and PF2



Feed is the major contributor to RSF and PF1
 Capital has an important place in RSF energy flow
 Use of gasoline is one of the major contributor to PF2
 Human labor is a major contributors to the ponds




Emergy accounting 2/2

Emergy indicators	RSF	PF1	PF2
Total emergy flow (Y)	2.83E+18	1.2E+18	3.3E+17
Transformity (sej/j)	5.80E+06	6.90E+6	1.03E+7
% Renewability	11.5	29.0	18.9
Emergy Yield Ratio	1.21	1.52	1.38
Environmental Loading Ratio	7.73	2.45	4.31



RSF has a higher efficiency in emergy use
 Ponds used more renewable inputs (% R), are less dependent to the economic sphere (EYR higher), had less stress on the environment (lower ELR)
 PF1 is more efficient than PF2


Environmental Profile



Comparison of the impacts (environmental and Energy) of the RSF, PF1 and PF2;.

- Environmental profile allows compare systems
- RSF has better potential impacts but is
 - more dependant to economical inputs
 - use less renewable resources
 - rely less on local resources
 - is more sensitive to economical stress





Conclusions 1/2


- Extensive system is not necessarily more sustainable than an intensive system
- For 1 tonne of fish produced, RSF has a more favourable environmental balance than the ponds
- Recirculated systems are clearly disconnected from the surrounding environment and are highly dependent on external resources
- Ponds better value renewable natural resources but have high environmental impacts due to a low valorisation of external inputs

Conclusions 2/2

What should be ecological intensification for aqua system ?

- a decrease of potential impacts per kilograms of final products
- a decrease of economical and external resource dependency
- an increase of renewable natural resources
- an increase of input efficiency.





We can't solve problems by using the
same kind of thinking we used when
we created them

Albert EINSTEIN

Thank you for your attention