



**HAL**  
open science

# Genetic variability and phylogenetic characterization of different populations of *Meloidogyne izarcoensis* and reaction of coffee genotypes to this new species detected in Brazil

Sheila Almeida, Marcilene Santos, Daniela Stefanelo, Vanessa Mattos, Paolo Rodrigues-Silva, Gleiciane Sousa, Sônia Salgado, Gustavo Sera, Philippe Castagnone-Sereno, Juvenil Cares, et al.

## ► To cite this version:

Sheila Almeida, Marcilene Santos, Daniela Stefanelo, Vanessa Mattos, Paolo Rodrigues-Silva, et al.. Genetic variability and phylogenetic characterization of different populations of *Meloidogyne izarcoensis* and reaction of coffee genotypes to this new species detected in Brazil. *Plant Pathology*, 2023, 72 (3), pp.636-650. 10.1111/ppa.13686 . hal-04169764

**HAL Id: hal-04169764**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04169764>**

Submitted on 24 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Genetic variability and phylogenetic characterization of different populations of**  
2 ***Meloidogyne izalcoensis* and reaction of coffee genotypes to this new species detected**  
3 **in Brazil.**

4

5 **Sheila F. Almeida<sup>1,2</sup>, Marcilene F.A. Santos<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniela Stefanelo<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Vanessa S.**  
6 **Mattos<sup>2</sup>, Paolo L. Rodrigues-Silva<sup>2</sup>, Gleiciane P. Sousa<sup>2</sup>, Sônia M.L. Salgado<sup>4</sup>,**  
7 **Gustavo H. Sera<sup>5</sup>, Philippe Castagnone-Sereno<sup>6</sup>, Juvenil E. Cares<sup>1</sup>, Regina M.D.G.**  
8 **Carneiro<sup>2</sup>**

9

10 <sup>1</sup>Departamento de Fitopatologia, Universidade de Brasília (UnB), 70910-900, Brasília,  
11 DF, Brazil.

12 <sup>2</sup>EMBRAPA-Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia, 70849-979, C.P. 02372, Brasília, DF,  
13 Brazil.

14 <sup>3</sup>Departamento de Ciências Humanas, Universidade do Estado da Bahia (UNEB), 47802-  
15 660, Barreiras, BA, Brazil.

16 <sup>4</sup>EPAMIG-Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais, 37200-900, C.P. 176,  
17 Lavras, MG, Brazil.

18 <sup>5</sup>IDR-Paraná-Instituto de Desenvolvimento Rural do Paraná – IAPAR-EMATER, 86047-  
19 902, C.P. 10030, Paraná, Londrina, PR.

20 <sup>6</sup>INRAE, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, ISA, Sophia Antipolis, France.

21 **Correspondence**

22 Sheila F. Almeida. Departamento de Fitopatologia, Universidade de Brasília (UnB),  
23 70910-900, Brasília, DF, Brazil. Email: sheilafreitas92@hotmail.com

24 **ORCID**

25 *Sheila F. Almeida* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3815-7452>

26 **Abstract:** A new root-knot nematode (RKN) *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* was detected on  
27 coffee in Brazil. This species was first described on coffee from El Salvador and later  
28 detected in Africa. There are no reports on the genetic diversity of this species. The  
29 genetic resistance of coffee to RKN is considered one of the main nematode management  
30 strategies and the resistance to *M. izalcoensis* has not yet been studied in coffee cultivars.  
31 The objectives of this study were to assess the genetic variability of five *M. izalcoensis*  
32 populations from different geographic locations and their phylogenetic relationships  
33 based on distinct regions of ribosomal DNA (rDNA), mitochondrial gene cytochrome *c*  
34 oxidase II (COII) and nuclear protein coding gene HSP90, and to evaluate the reaction of  
35 different coffee genotypes resistant to other RKN species to the Brazilian population of  
36 *M. izalcoensis*. All populations were identified by esterase phenotype and SCAR specific  
37 markers. Based on RAPD and AFLP markers, a low intraspecific variability was detected  
38 among *M. izalcoensis* populations from Africa, Vietnam and Brazil, except for the  
39 population from El Salvador that showed fewer genetic differences from the other  
40 populations. Phylogenetically, all populations of *M. izalcoensis* from different locations  
41 (El Salvador, Kenya, Tanzania, Vietnam and Brazil) grouped with 90% and 69% bootstrap  
42 support for COII and HSP90 regions, respectively, indicating that these markers are  
43 highly conserved for the species. In addition, both markers allowed the separation  
44 between *M. izalcoensis* populations and other important coffee *Meloidogyne* species,  
45 including *M. exigua*, *M. paranaensis*, *M. incognita*, *M. arabicida* and *M. lopezi*.  
46 Considering the resistance studies, four separated assays were performed with the  
47 objective of evaluating the reaction of fifteen coffee genotypes to *M. izalcoensis*, using  
48 the same inoculum concentration and arranged in a completely randomized design with  
49 eight replications. Overall, it was observed susceptibility of the genotypes tested with  
50 resistance to other *Meloidogyne* spp. (Amphillo x Catuaí, Hybrid of Timor, IAPAR 59,

51 IPR 99, IPR 100, IPR 102, IPR 103, IPR 105, IPR 106, IPR 107 and IPR 108), except for  
52 the rootstock cv. Apoață IAC 2258 which proved to be moderately resistant, with a genetic  
53 segregation for this character of 43.8%.

54 **KEY WORDS**

55 AFLP, COII, *Coffea*, genetic variability, RAPD, resistance, root-knot nematode.

56

57 **INTRODUCTION**

58 Root-knot nematodes (RKN) *Meloidogyne* spp., are amongst the most  
59 economically important plant parasitic nematodes infecting coffee (*Coffea* spp.) in several  
60 countries in the Americas, including Brazil, El Salvador, Guatemala, Costa Rica and  
61 Hawaii, in Africa and in other continents (Campos and Villain, 2005; Villain et al., 2018).  
62 Recently, a new species *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* Carneiro, Almeida, Gomes and  
63 Hernandez, 2005 was detected on coffee in Brazil (Stefanelo et al., 2018). This species  
64 was first described from a population collected in El Salvador where it causes substantial  
65 plant damage (Carneiro et al., 2005a; Villain et al., 2018) and detected in Africa in Kenya  
66 (Kabete) and Tanzania (Mufindi) without damage information (Jorge Júnior et al., 2016).  
67 *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* causes small galls with external egg masses and necrosis at root  
68 ends (Figure 1), showing different root symptoms when compared with other  
69 *Meloidogyne* spp. from coffee in Brazil (Carneiro et al., 2005a; Stefanelo et al., 2018).

70 The morphological diagnosis of *M. izalcoensis* is difficult due to the similarity of  
71 its perennial pattern to that of *M. incognita* and *M. paranaensis* Carneiro, Carneiro,  
72 Abrantes, Santos and Almeida, 1996 (Carneiro et al., 2005a). Biochemically, the esterase  
73 (Est I4) phenotype is unique and can be used to differentiate *M. izalcoensis* from other  
74 RKN species of coffee (Carneiro and Cofcewicz, 2008).

75 Application of molecular tools, particularly neutral molecular markers, e.g.,  
76 random amplified polymorphic DNA – RAPD, amplified fragment length polymorphisms  
77 – AFLP have been extensively used to analyze the genetic diversity within *Meloidogyne*  
78 spp. from coffee, *i.e.*, *M. exigua* Göldi, 1887, *M. incognita* (Kofoid and White, 1919)  
79 Chitwood, 1949 and *M. paranaensis* (Carneiro et al., 2004, 2008; Muniz et al., 2008;  
80 Randig et al., 2002; Santos et al., 2012, 2018). Phylogenetic analyzes of coffee RKN  
81 using molecular data such as rDNA and mt-DNA sequences have been used as

82 characterization methods for different species, and allowed solving evolutionary  
83 processes at deep levels of divergence (Humphrey-Pereira et al., 2014; Janssen et al.,  
84 2017; Santos et al., 2019). However, until now there are practically no reports on the  
85 genetic variability and phylogenetic diversity of *M. izardoensis*. Among genomic  
86 sequences of interest, species-specific SCAR markers were developed for the  
87 identification of Brazilian *Meloidogyne* spp. from coffee: *M. exigua*, *M. incognita* and *M.*  
88 *paranaensis* (Randig et al., 2002) and more recently for *M. izardoensis* and *M. arabicida*  
89 Lopez and Salazar, 1989 (Correa et al., 2013), extending the molecular markers for all  
90 RKN species from the Americas, except for *M. lopezi* Humphreys-Pereira, Flores-  
91 Chavez, Gomez, Salazar, Gomez-Alpizar and Elling, 2014 described later. Also, the gene  
92 encoding heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) has been increasingly used in phylogenetic  
93 studies of plant-parasitic nematodes (Mundo-Ocampo et al., 2008; Skantar and Carta,  
94 2004; Skantar et al., 2008) or for species identification (Skantar et al., 2008), although  
95 sequence divergence among nematode species is generally lower than mitochondrial gene  
96 differences reported for the same taxa (Skantar and Carta, 2004).

97         The genetic resistance of coffee is considered one of the main nematode  
98 management strategies, since it enables the reduction of nematode population density in  
99 the soil and acceptable economic maintenance of crop yield in infested areas (Villain et  
100 al., 2018). The main focus to produce nematode resistant rootstocks was in *C. canephora*  
101 Pierre ex A. Froehner, *C. liberica* Hiern, *C. dewevrei* De Wild. and T. Durand, and *C.*  
102 *congensis* A. Froehner, since these species present abundant root systems and resistance  
103 to pathogens as well. However, resistance genes found in wild or semi-wild lines of *C.*  
104 *arabica* L. from Ethiopia (Fatobene et al., 2017; Holderbaum et al., 2020), as well as  
105 accessions of Amphillo could be used in intraspecific hybridization with other *C. arabica*  
106 with good agronomic characteristics (Peres et al., 2017).

107 Most sources of resistance to RKN have been identified in *C. canephora* (Bertrand  
108 et al., 2000; Sera et al., 2006). Three cultivars of *C. canephora* are commercially available  
109 to be used as rootstocks for resistance to *M. exigua*, *M. incognita* and *M. paranaensis*:  
110 ‘Apoatã’ IAC 2258, ‘Nemaya’ and ‘Goytacá’ (Clone 14) and can receive grafts of *C.*  
111 *arabica* and *C. canephora*. These robusta cultivars are highly recommended for planting  
112 in extensive *Meloidogyne* spp. infested areas (Ferraz 2008; Fonseca et al., 2008;  
113 Gonçalves et al., 2021; Lima et al., 2015.). Timor hybrid, which is phenotypically an  
114 arabica coffee is probably a natural hybrid between *C. arabica* and *C. canephora* that has  
115 frequently been used in the Brazilian genetic breeding programs as a source of resistance  
116 to *Meloidogyne* spp. Among its derivatives are the cultivars Obatã IAC 1669-20, ‘Tupi’  
117 IAC 1669-33, IAC 125 RN and IAPAR -59, which are resistant to *M. exigua* and to coffee  
118 leaf rust caused by the fungus *Hemileia vastatrix* Berk. and Broome (Fazuoli et al., 2018;  
119 Ferraz, 2008; Muniz et al., 2009; Salgado et al., 2005). Progenies of ‘Icatu Vermelho IAC  
120 4160’ resulted from crosses between *C. arabica* and *C. canephora* have been rated as  
121 resistant to *M. paranaensis* under greenhouse and field conditions (Ferraz, 2008). An  
122 important source of resistance to *M. paranaensis* used by some breeding programs in  
123 Brazil was Icatu IAC 925 (Shigueoka et al., 2016), which was used to develop the cultivar  
124 (IPR 106) (Sera et al., 2020). For *C. arabica*, most commercial cultivars are highly  
125 susceptible to coffee RKN, with the exception of cultivars selected by coffee breeders  
126 Acauã, Catucaí 785-15, IAPAR 59, IAC 125 RN, IPR 100, IPR 106, MGS Catiguá, MGS  
127 Guaiçara and MGS Vereda, which are available in Brazil to be recommended for areas  
128 infested with RKN, depending on the identified nematode species in the planting area.  
129 These genotypes have different sources of resistance (Salgado et al., 2021; Villain et al.,  
130 2018).

131           The first objective of this study was to assess the genetic variability of populations  
132 of *M. izarcoensis* originating from different geographic locations in the world (El  
133 Salvador, Kenya, Tanzania, Vietnam and Brazil) using neutral DNA markers, and their  
134 phylogenetic relationships using distinct regions of ribosomal DNA (rDNA), the  
135 mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase II (COII) gene and the nuclear protein coding gene  
136 HSP90. The second aim of this study was to evaluate the reaction to the Brazilian  
137 population of *M. izarcoensis* of different coffee cultivars harbouring resistance genes to  
138 other *Meloidogyne* spp.

139

## 140 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 141 **Nematode populations**

142           Five *M. izarcoensis* populations from different geographic locations in the world  
143 (El Salvador, Kenya, Tanzania, Vietnam and Brazil) were maintained by periodic  
144 subculturing on tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. Santa Clara) under  
145 greenhouse conditions (Table 1). All genetic and phylogenetic studies were performed  
146 using these populations. They were identified using esterase (Est) phenotyping, according  
147 to Carneiro and Almeida (2001) and confirmed with SCAR-PCR (Correa et al., 2013).  
148 One population of *M. enterolobii* Yang and Eisenback, 1983 was included in the study as  
149 an outgroup.

### 150 **Genomic DNA preparation**

151           For each population, total genomic DNA was extracted and purified from 100 µl  
152 aliquots of eggs following the method described by Randig et al. (2002). Isolated DNA  
153 was quantified in a 1% agarose gel.

### 154 **RAPD analysis**



155 RAPD-PCR reactions were performed in a 13  $\mu$ l final volume containing 1.3  $\mu$ l  
156 10 $\times$  PCR reaction buffer (Invitrogen<sup>®</sup>), 0.4  $\mu$ l 10  $\mu$ M primer, 2  $\mu$ l 1.25 mM dNTPs  
157 (Invitrogen<sup>®</sup>), 0.2  $\mu$ l 5U  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup> Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen<sup>®</sup>) and 3  $\mu$ l total genomic  
158 DNA (3 ng  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>) of each population. The following 30 random 10-mer oligonucleotide  
159 primers (Operon Technologies) were used in the analysis: OPA-12 (TCGGCGATAG),  
160 OPAB-06 (GTGGCTTGGA), OPAU-13 (CCAAGCACAC), OPC-07  
161 (GTCCCGACGA), OPC-09 (CTCACCGTCC), OPF-06 (GGGAATTTCGG), OPG-04  
162 (AGC GTG TCT G), OPG-13 (CTCTCCGCCA), OPJ-19 (GGACACCACT), OPK-01  
163 (CATTCGAGCC), OPK-01 (CATTCGAGCC), OPK-19 (CACAGGCGGA), OPL-19  
164 (GAGTGGTGAC ), OPM-20 (AGGTCTTGGG), OPN-07 (CAGCCCAGAG), OPN-10  
165 (ACAACCTGGGG), OPP-01 (GTAGCACTCC), OPP-06 (GTGGGCTGAC), OPR-03  
166 (ACACAGAGGG), OPR-07 (ACTGGCCTGA), OPT-06 (CAAGGGCAGA), OPU-05  
167 (TTGGCGGCCT), OPV-07 (GAAGCCAGCC), OPW-05 (GGCGGATAAG), OPW-06  
168 (AGGCCCGATG), OPW-15 (ACACCGGAAC), OPX-20 (CCCAGCTAGA), OPY-05  
169 (GGCTGCGACA), OPY-16 (GGGCCAATGT), OPZ-04 (AGGCTGTGCT) and OPZ-17  
170 (CCTTCCCACT). Amplifications were performed on a PTC-100 thermocycler, using the  
171 following settings: 5 min at 94°C; 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 s at 36°C, 2 min at 70°C;  
172 and a final extension of 10 min at 70°C (Randig et al., 2002). PCR products were  
173 separated by electrophoresis in a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide  
174 (0.3  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) and visualized under UV light. All RAPD analyses were repeated at least  
175 twice.

#### 176 **AFLP analysis**

177 For each isolate, 1  $\mu$ g of total genomic DNA was digested overnight at 37°C with  
178 EcoRI (15 U  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>; Invitrogen<sup>®</sup>) and ligated to the specific adapters following the method  
179 of Suazo and Hall (1999). A series of seven random 22-mer primers (Integrated DNA

180 Technologies) were used, consisting of the EcoRI adapter core sequence 5 -  
181 GACTGCGTACCAATTCAGT-3 plus the 3 selective nucleotides (AGT, ACT, ATT,  
182 GGC, CAG, CCT, and TCG). PCR reactions were performed in a 25 µl final volume  
183 containing 1 µl (50 ng µl<sup>-1</sup>) digested DNA, 2.5 µl 10× PCR buffer without magnesium  
184 chloride (Invitrogen), 1 µl 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 µl 10 mM dNTPs, 1 µl 10 µM primer and  
185 0.3 µl Taq DNA polymerase (5 U µl<sup>-1</sup>; Invitrogen). DNA was amplified using the PT-  
186 C100 thermocycler (MJ Research) under the following cycling parameters: 1 min at 95°C;  
187 37 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 56°C, 2 min and 30 s at 72°C; and a final extension  
188 of 10 min at 72°C (Suazo and Hall, 1999). PCR products were separated by  
189 electrophoresis in a 1.5% (w/v) agarose-synergel (0.7% agarose, 0.4% synergel;  
190 Diversified Biotech), stained with ethidium bromide (0.3 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and photographed  
191 under UV light. The analysis was repeated at least twice.

#### 192 **Genetic diversity of *Meloidogyne izarcoensis***

193 DNA fingerprints obtained with RAPD and AFLP markers were used to infer the  
194 genetic diversity of the five populations of *M. izarcoensis* plus one population of *M.*  
195 *enterolobii* used as an outgroup. For each marker, amplified bands were scored as present  
196 or absent from the digitized photographs of the gels and converted into a 0-1 binary  
197 matrix. Phylogenetic reconstruction was performed using the Neighbour-Joining (NJ)  
198 algorithm (Saitou and Nei, 1987) in PAUP\* version 4b10 (Swofford, 2002), considering  
199 the data as unordered with no weighting. Testing of node support for the resulting trees  
200 was performed on 1000 bootstrap replicates with a cut-off value of 50%. Since the two  
201 types of markers could be considered independent from one another, the two datasets  
202 were analyzed into a global NJ analysis using the total evidence approach proposed by  
203 Huelsenbeck et al. (1996) with the same settings as for the individual NJ analyses.

#### 204 **Phylogenetic analysis of DNA regions**

205 For the phylogenetic analyses, DNA of the five previously characterized  
206 populations of *M. izarcoensis* was amplified and investigated using the primers for ITS1-  
207 5.8S-ITS2 region of rRNA (primer set: forward 5-TTGATTACGTCCTGCCCTTT-3  
208 and reverse 5-TCCTCCGCTAAATGATATG-3; Schmitz et al., 1998); the D2-D3  
209 fragment of the 28S rRNA gene (primer set: forward 5-  
210 ACAAAGTACCGTGAGGGAAAGTTG-3 and reverse 5-  
211 TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA-3; De Ley et al., 1999); mtDNA cytochrome *c* oxidase  
212 II (COII) gene (forward 5'-GGTCAATGTTCAGAAATTTGTGG-3' and reverse 5'-  
213 TACCTTTGACCAATCACGCT-3'; Powers and Harris, 1993) using the PCR conditions  
214 described by Subbotin et al. (2000) and HSP90 (primer set: forward 5'-  
215 GCYGATCTTGTYAACAACCYTGGAAC-3' and reverse 5'-  
216 TCGAACATGTCAAAAGGAGC-3' PCR conditions according to Nischwitz et al.  
217 (2013). PCR products were cleaned using the Wizard® SV Gel/PCR Clean Up System  
218 (Promega) and cloned into the pGem-T® Easy Vector (Promega), following the  
219 manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing of the insert was carried out on two independent  
220 clones by Macrogen.

221 *Meloidogyne izarcoensis* populations cloned sequences were aligned using  
222 ClustalW in Mega 5.0.3 (Tamura et al., 2011) and compared with other retrieved  
223 sequences from the NCBI database of important coffee *Meloidogyne* species, *i.e.* *M.*  
224 *exigua*, *M. paranaensis*, *M. incognita*, *M. arabicida* and *M. lopezi*. The program  
225 MrModeltest (Nylander, 2004) implemented in PAUP\* was used to identify the best-fit  
226 models of each analysis. The phylogenetic trees were generated based on Maximum  
227 Likelihood (ML) analysis in IQtree (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016). The phylogram was  
228 bootstrapped 1,000 times, and only support values above 50% were considered.

229 **Resistance assays**

230 The inoculum of *M. izarcoensis* used in the experiments was multiplied in tomato  
231 and coffee plants for 3 and 8 months, respectively. The eggs of *M. izarcoensis* were  
232 extracted from infected roots according to the protocol described by Hussey and Barker  
233 (1973), using a blender for 40 seconds instead of hand shaking. Plants of coffee were  
234 sown in trays and later transplanted to polyethylene pots (20x40x0,015 cm and capacity  
235 for 5 L), filled with a mixture of autoclaved soil and Bioplant® compost (1:1). When they  
236 hit 4 to 6 pairs of leaves they were inoculated with 10,000 eggs of *M. izarcoensis*/plant.

237 Fifteen coffee genotypes were tested: Catuaí Amarelo IAC 62 and Catuaí  
238 Vermelho IAC 99 (susceptible controls), Catuaí Vermelho x Amphillo 2-161 (28-2-II),  
239 Catuaí Vermelho x Amphillo 2-161 (16-5-III), Hybrid of Timor UFV 408-01 MG (6-I-  
240 III), IAPAR 59, IPR 99, IPR100, IPR 102, IPR 103, IPR 105, IPR 106, IPR 107, IPR 108  
241 and Apatã IAC 2258 (*C. canephora*) (Table 2). The plants were arranged in a completely  
242 randomized design with eight replications for each experiment. Four experiments were  
243 conducted under greenhouse conditions at Embrapa Genetic Resources and  
244 Biotechnology (Cenargen, Brasilia, Brazil): the first one was implanted on 3/27/2018, the  
245 second on 4/11/2019 (repetition), the third and fourth on 3/18/2020 and 6/12/2020,  
246 respectively.

247 The evaluation of the four experiments was performed at 240-350 days after  
248 inoculation (DAI). The following variables were determined: fresh root weight, gall index  
249 (GI), total number of eggs per gram of root, total number of eggs, reproduction factor  
250 (RF) and global reaction. Before evaluating the trials, some supplementary control plants  
251 were always analyzed to determine whether the reproduction factor was high enough to  
252 evaluate the bioassays (Hussey and Jansen, 2002).

253 To determine fresh root weight, roots were carefully washed to remove adhered  
254 soil and, after excess water was removed, they were weighed. The root systems were

255 stained with Phloxine B at 0.0015 % (15 mg/L) for 15-20 minutes and evaluated for gall  
256 index. The gall index (GI) was determined based on a 0 to 5 scale proposed by de Hartman  
257 and Sasser (1985), as follows: 0 = absence; 1 = 1 to 2; 2 = 3 to 10; 3 = 11 to 30; 4 = 31 to  
258 100, and 5 = more than 100 galls per plant. The extraction of eggs was performed  
259 according to the methods mentioned above, using 1% NaOCl. The total number of eggs  
260 per plant was determined under a light microscope using Peters' slides in triple count.

261 The reproduction factor (RF) was calculated as  $RF = FP/IP$ , where FP corresponds  
262 to the final nematode population and IP refers to the initial nematode population (IP =  
263 10,000), according to Oostenbrink (1966). Genotypes for which  $RF < 1$  were considered  
264 resistant (R), while those for which  $RF > 1$  were considered susceptible (S), according to  
265 Sasser et al. (1984). Genotypes were also scored using intermediate criteria as: low  
266 susceptibility (LS), susceptibility (S), high susceptibility (HS) and moderate resistance  
267 (MR), using statistical analysis. Data were transformed in  $\log(x+1)$  prior to analysis of  
268 variance, and treatments were compared using Scott-Knott test ( $P < 0,05$ ), using the  
269 software SISVAR (Ferreira, 2011).

270

## 271 **RESULTS**

### 272 **Characterization of nematode populations**

273 The esterase phenotype (Est I4, Rm: 0.86, 0.96, 1.24, 1.30) allowed the  
274 identification for all *M. izalcoensis* populations (Figure 2), as reported in the description  
275 of Carneiro et al. (2005a). To confirm the identification, the specific SCAR marker  
276 developed for *M. izalcoensis*, i.e., a single amplicon of 670 bp, was obtained for all the  
277 *M. izalcoensis* populations (Iza 1-5) used in this study (Figure 3).

### 278 **Genetic diversity of *Meloidogyne izalcoensis***

279 For the study on genetic diversity, a total of 7 AFLP and 30 RAPD primers were  
280 used. The sizes of amplified fragments ranged from 200 to 4500 bp and the cumulative  
281 number of reproducible amplified fragments was 306 for the two markers. Overall, there  
282 was a low level of polymorphism in the *M. izarcoensis* populations (22%) (Figure 4). The  
283 0-1 binary matrix (absence/presence of fragments) obtained from the entire set of markers  
284 was used to infer the genetic relationships among the isolates. The results from the NJ  
285 dendrogram confirmed a low intraspecific variability among *M. izarcoensis* populations  
286 from Africa (Kenya and Tanzania), Vietnam and Brazil, with 91% bootstrap, while the  
287 population from El Salvador exhibited a few genetic differences from the other  
288 populations (Figure 4).

### 289 **Phylogenetic analysis of DNA regions**

290 In the phylogenetic analysis obtained from the intergenic ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 gene  
291 and the D2D3 region of the 28S gene, respectively, *M. izarcoensis* populations did not  
292 form cohesive clusters (Figure 5a and 5b), suggesting a poor phylogenetic resolution of  
293 these sequences.

294 However, for the two other sequences, all populations of *M. izarcoensis* from  
295 different origins grouped together with 90% and 69% bootstrap support for COII and  
296 Hsp90 regions, respectively (Figures 6 and 7), indicating that these markers are highly  
297 conserved for this species. In addition, both markers allowed the separation between *M.*  
298 *izarcoensis* populations and other important RKN coffee species, including *M. exigua*, *M.*  
299 *paranaensis*, *M. incognita*, *M. arabicida* and *M. lopezi*, showing to be excellent specific  
300 markers for these species.

### 301 **Resistance and susceptibility assays**

302 In the four assays (Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6), the differences of fresh root weight  
303 between all cultivars, especially the Apoatã rootstock and cv IPR 103 were evidenced by

304 statistical analysis, these differences are not very important since plants genetically very  
305 different were compared.

306 In the first experiment (Table 3), regarding the number of eggs per gram of root,  
307 only the genotype Catuaí Vermelho x Amphillo 2-161 (16-5-III) and the rootstock Apoatã  
308 IAC 2258 differ statistically from the control. When considering the reproduction factor  
309 (RF), the genotypes IPR 100, Hybrid of Timor and Catuaí Vermelho x Amphillo 2-161  
310 (28-2-II) did not differ statistically from the control and were considered highly  
311 susceptible (HS) to *M. izalcoensis*. In contrast, the genotype Catuaí Vermelho x Amphillo  
312 2-161 (16-5-III) and Cv. Apoatã IAC 2258 differed statistically from the control and are  
313 considered susceptible and moderately resistant with a RF reduction of 83 %,  
314 respectively.

315 In the repetition of the first experiment (Table 4), the results were similar to those  
316 of the first trial, with no differences in the reaction between cultivars. Again, the ‘Apoatã  
317 rootstock’ was the only one that proved to be moderately resistant. Analyzing the 16  
318 individual replications of cv Apoatã, a genetic segregation of 43.8% occurred, with RFs  
319 values ranging from 9.8 to 0, showing susceptible and resistant plants in the same  
320 treatments (data not shown).

321 In the third and fourth experiments (Tables 5 and 6), the genotypes IAPAR 59,  
322 IPR 102, IPR 105, IPR 106, IPR 107, IPR 108 and IPR 99 showed a higher number of  
323 eggs per gram of root when compared to the control. In the third experiment, there was  
324 no statistical difference between the cultivars IPR 100, IPR 103 and the control treatment  
325 regarding the number of eggs per gram of root (Table5). As the reproduction factor (RF)  
326 is concerned, the genotypes IAPAR 59, IPR 100, IPR 102, IPR 105, IPR 106, IPR 108  
327 and IPR 99 did not differ statistically from the control and were considered susceptible to  
328 *M. izalcoensis*. In both experiments, the IPR 103 genotype had the lower RF when

329 compared to all other treatments and was considered with low susceptibility (LS).  
330 Probably due to the smaller size of the roots (1/5 of the control), the reproduction factor  
331 was smaller, since this parameter depends on the total number of eggs/plant, which  
332 depends on the root size.

333 The gall index was the same (GI = 5) for all genotypes in the four experiments,  
334 except for the rootstock cv. Apoatã IAC 2258, which presented GI=4 in experiment 1  
335 (Table 3) and GI=3 in experiment 2 (Table 4). All coffee cultivars and genotypes tested  
336 showed characteristic symptoms of *M. izarcoensis*: presence of small rounded galls,  
337 usually in greater numbers on younger roots, necrosis at the root tip and external egg  
338 masses. Nevertheless, Apoatã IAC 2258 showed typical symptoms of *M. izarcoensis* in  
339 some plants evaluated, whereas in other plants these symptoms were not observed (data  
340 not shown). This behavior is probably related to the segregation present in this cultivar as  
341 commented above.

342 Most genotypes evaluated in the four experiments (Catuaí Amarelo 62, Catuaí  
343 Vermelho IAC 99, Hybrid of Timor HT UFV 408-01 MG 0294 pl.1 R1 (6-I-III), Catuaí  
344 Vermelho x Amphillo 2-161 MG 0179 pl3 R1 (28-2-II), Catuaí Vermelho x Amphillo 2-  
345 161 MG 0179 pl1 R1 (16-5-III), IAPAR 59, IPR 99, IPR 100, IPR 102, IPR 105, IPR  
346 106, IPR 107 and IPR 108) showed susceptibility to *M. izarcoensis*. Only the rootstock  
347 cv. Apoatã IAC 2258 can be considered as moderately resistant with some plants  
348 segregating for this character (data not shown).

349

## 350 **DISCUSSION**

351 Intraspecific variation and genetic structure are not well characterized for *M.*  
352 *izarcoensis* populations, but such information is crucial to avoid misidentification and to  
353 optimize effective control strategies (Monteiro et al., 2016). Genetic studies along with



354 traditional taxonomic methods integrated with enzymatic and molecular approaches  
355 proved to be useful in solving problems of nematode identification (Santos et al., 2012;  
356 2018). This is the first study on genetic and phylogenetic characterization of different  
357 populations of *M. izardoensis*, a species from coffee recently detected in Brazil (Stefanelo  
358 et al., 2018).

359 The development of molecular techniques has opened new prospects for  
360 identification of *Meloidogyne* species and for studies on intraspecific variability and  
361 phylogenetic relationships in distinct regions of ribosomal DNA (rDNA), mitochondrial  
362 gene cytochrome *c* oxidase II (COII) and nuclear protein coding gene (Hsp90) for RKNs  
363 (Carneiro et al., 2004; Leite et al., 2020; Randig et al., 2002; Santos et al., 2012; 2018).  
364 SCAR markers have been developed for RKNs often associated with coffee in Brazil and  
365 the Americas (Correa et al., 2013; Randig et al., 2002), *i.e.* *M. exigua*, *M. paranaensis*  
366 and *M. incognita* (Randig et al., 2002) and for the new species *M. izardoensis* and *M.*  
367 *arabidica* (Correa et al., 2013). In the present study, this approach was validated by  
368 analyzing five *M. izardoensis* populations from different geographic locations in the world  
369 and exhibiting the same esterase phenotype (Est I4). While esterase isozyme phenotypes  
370 are restricted to the characterization of RKN females (Carneiro and Cofcewicz, 2008),  
371 PCR-based methods are more suitable for routine diagnosis, especially, in coffee roots  
372 infected with *Meloidogyne* spp., where females collected from fields are frequently in bad  
373 conditions of preservation, making the identification difficult when using esterase  
374 isozyme only (Muniz et al., 2008). PCR is fast, can be used on a large number of samples  
375 and can be applied to eggs and J2 from field soil samples and roots. It also does not require  
376 nematode multiplication on the host plant until they reach female adult stages (Carneiro  
377 et al., 2000).

378 Neutral molecular markers, such as RAPD and AFLP, have been used to analyze  
379 the genetic diversity of *Meloidogyne* species from coffee (Carneiro et al., 2004; Muniz et  
380 al., 2008; Randig et al., 2002; Santos et al., 2012; 2018). Here, using these markers, a low  
381 genetic variability was found among five *M. izarcoensis* populations from different  
382 geographic locations in the world. This low genetic variability was also observed for other  
383 *Meloidogyne* spp. related to coffee, i.e., *M. incognita* (Santos et al., 2012) and *M.*  
384 *paranaensis* (Santos et al., 2018). However, the opposite was observed among  
385 populations of *M. exigua*, among which a high level of genetic variability was observed  
386 (Muniz et al., 2008). This result is to be linked to the mode of reproduction of the  
387 nematodes, i.e., mitotic pathenogenesis for *M. incognita*, *M. paranaensis* and *M.*  
388 *izarcoensis*, and meiotic parthenogenesis for *M. exigua*.

389 Sequences of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2, D2-D3 (28S) rRNA, mitochondrial COII and  
390 HSP90 genes have been used to infer phylogenetic relationships in RKN species and may  
391 allow evolutionary processes to be solved at deep levels of divergence (Humphreys-  
392 Pereira et al., 2014; Janssen et al., 2017; Santos et al., 2019). However, in the present  
393 study, an overall lack of polymorphic sequences was noticed for *M. izarcoensis*  
394 populations.

395 The ribosomal DNA is relatively conserved with high similarity among the three  
396 most common tropical root-knot nematode species, *M. incognita*, *M. arenaria* (Neal,  
397 1889) Chitwood, 1949 and *M. javanica* (Treub, 1885) Chitwood, 1949, and is therefore  
398 not useful as a barcode region for identifying these species (Janssen et al., 2017; Ye et al.,  
399 2015). Despite this limitation, it can be an useful tool for identification of other species  
400 such as *M. chitwoodi* Golden, O'Bannon, Santo and Finley, 1980 and *M. fallax* Karssen,  
401 1996 (Onkendi and Moleleki, 2013; Ye et al., 2015). The combined analyses of 18S and  
402 28S nuclear rDNA sequences also distinguished haplotypes of *Meloidogyne* species on

403 coffee (Herrera et al., 2011). The multi-copy basis of rDNA provides a sample target for  
404 PCR amplification, and it allows the intraspecific variation to be detected in *Meloidogyne*  
405 spp. populations (Adam et al., 2007; Zijlstra et al., 1995). However, in the analyzes  
406 obtained from the D2D3 regions of the 28S and intergenic ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 gene from the  
407 rDNA, *M. izalcoensis* populations did not form cohesive clusters, demonstrating a  
408 phylogenetic limitation of these markers.

409 In complement, the region encoding the HSP90 gene has also been used to  
410 distinguish different *Meloidogyne* species (Blouin, 2002; Onkendi and Moleleki, 2013;  
411 Skantar et al., 2008; Tigano et al., 2005). Here, a low diversity was reported for HSP90  
412 sequences among *M. izalcoensis* populations.

413 From the perspective of identification, the mitochondrial genome provides a rich  
414 source of genetic markers for identification (Hu and Gasser, 2006). This region has a  
415 faster rate of evolution than the corresponding nuclear genes (Blok and Powers, 2009). In  
416 fact, the mitochondrial coding region is already considered a better potential barcode  
417 region for some *Meloidogyne* spp. groups, since intergenic regions have been shown to  
418 contain AT repeats that appear not to correlate with speciation events (Pagan et al., 2015).  
419 This region has been used for diagnosis of *Meloidogyne* spp. (Blok et al., 2002; Brito et  
420 al., 2004; Powers and Harris, 1993). In the present study, *M. izalcoensis* COII sequences  
421 proved to be useful for both species identification and phylogenetic studies, as observed  
422 for some other RKN coffee species, *i.e.*, *M. paranaensis* (Santos et al., 2019). Indeed, this  
423 marker allowed the separation between *M. izalcoensis* populations and other important  
424 *Meloidogyne* species of coffee, including *M. exigua*, *M. paranaensis*, *M. incognita*, *M.*  
425 *arabica* and *M. lopezi*.

426 Considering coffee resistance to *M. izalcoensis*, our study both confirmed  
427 previous results and provided new information. Similar results to those obtained here for

428 the Timor Hybrid UFV 408-01 have been reported by Carneiro et al. (2008), testing the  
429 H419-5-4-5 progeny obtained from artificial crossing between the cultivar Catuaí  
430 Amarelo IAC 30 X Timor UV 445-46, which was susceptible to *M. izarcoensis* but  
431 resistant to *M. exigua*. Hernandez *et al.* (2004) did not observe resistance to *M. izarcoensis*  
432 in cultivars of *C. arabica* from Ethiopia, but resistance was observed to *M. paranaensis*  
433 (Boisseau et al., 2009). The same occurred with the genotypes whose source of resistance  
434 are ‘Amphillo’ (*C. arabica* from Ethiopia) (Peres et al., 2017). Probably, resistance from  
435 ‘Amphillo’ is not efficient in controlling *M. izarcoensis*, although it controlled *M.*  
436 *paranaensis* in greenhouse (Peres et al., 2017) and under field conditions (Salgado et al.,  
437 2014).

438         Some of the genotypes or crosses evaluated in this study with the Hybrid of Timor  
439 as resistance source, like IAPAR 59, have already been tested for other *Meloidogyne*  
440 species, in greenhouse conditions and were shown resistant to *M. exigua* and susceptible  
441 to *M. incognita* and *M. paranaensis* (Muniz et al., 2009). Unfortunately, in this study the  
442 five genetic materials tested with this resistance source mentioned in Table 2 were  
443 susceptible to *M. izarcoensis*.

444         Other studies had shown that the rootstock cultivar Apoatã IAC 2258 is resistant  
445 to *M. paranaensis* (Fonseca et al., 2008; Santos et al., 2018), *M. exigua* (Salgado et al.,  
446 2005) and *M. incognita* (Fonseca et al., 2008; Sera et al., 2006). Since 1987, the adoption  
447 of such multi-resistant rootstock cultivars has been recommended for nematode  
448 management in infested coffee-growing areas, being justified in field conditions because  
449 more than one species of *Meloidogyne* may occur simultaneously (Carneiro et al., 2005b;  
450 Sera et al., 2017). The resistance of this rootstock originates from *C. canephora* (clone  
451 T3561) belonging to the CATIE germplasm collection from Costa Rica (Bertrand and  
452 Anthony, 2008). In this study, we observed only moderate resistance of the cultivar

453 Apatã IAC 2258 to *M. izalcoensis*, with confirmed segregation. The same was observed  
454 for different populations of *M. paranaensis* in greenhouse conditions (12% segregation,  
455 Santos et al., 2018). Although the use of resistant cultivars or rootstocks is an  
456 environmentally correct and efficient control method, it shows limitations, such as  
457 segregation for susceptibility to nematodes (10 to 15%), breakage in the grafting region  
458 and need of replanting (about 10 to 15%) (Gonçalves and Silvarolla, 2007).

459 All the cultivars developed by IDR-Paraná evaluated in this study are considered  
460 susceptible to *M. izalcoensis*, although, in other studies, some of these cultivars showed  
461 resistance to other *Meloidogyne* species, and represent an important tool, as they enable  
462 the reduction of nematode population density in an economically acceptable way  
463 (Barrantes et al., 2020). The Arabica cultivar IPR 100 carrying genes from *C. liberica*  
464 (Sera et al., 2017) is resistant to *M. exigua* (Rezende et al., 2017), *M. paranaensis*  
465 (Andreazi et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2018; Sera et al., 2017) and *M. incognita* populations  
466 (Sera et al., 2017). The resistance of cultivar IAPAR 59 to different populations of *M.*  
467 *exigua* was observed by Muniz et al. (2009) and Salgado et al. (2005), but this cultivar is  
468 susceptible to *M. paranaensis*, *M. incognita* (Muniz et al., 2009) and *M. izalcoensis* (this  
469 study). There is evidence that IAPAR 59 resistance to *M. exigua* originates from the Timor  
470 Hybrid, since the resistance to this nematode has been shown to be controlled by one major  
471 gene in this genotype (Noir et al., 2003) with incomplete dominance (Alpizar et al., 2007).  
472 Barrantes et al. (2020) showed that the cultivars IPR 99, IPR 100, IPR 102, IPR 103 and  
473 IPR 107 also showed resistance to *M. exigua* from Costa Rica. Despite the cultivar IPR  
474 106 has not shown resistance to *M. izalcoensis*, the resistance of this cultivar has already  
475 been demonstrated to *M. paranaensis* and *M. incognita* (Sera et al., 2020). It is believed  
476 that the cultivars IPR 98, IPR 99 and IPR 107 inherited the *Mex-1* gene from the Timor  
477 Hybrid CIFC 832/2, as demonstrated for the ‘IAPAR 59’, while cultivars IPR 102 and

478 IPR 103 would have inherited the resistance of *C. canephora* from Icatu (Barrantes et al.,  
479 2020).

480 Sera et al. (2020) evaluated the performance of Arabica coffee cultivars in area  
481 infested by the nematode *M. paranaensis* and *M. incognita* and confirmed that the cultivar  
482 IPR 100 and IPR 106 showed the best performance with a high vegetative vigor and yield,  
483 while the cultivars IPR 103, IAPAR 59, and Catuaí Vermelho IAC 81 showed reduction  
484 in yield production and poor vegetative development of plants with up to 45% of dead  
485 plants after seven years of planting.

486 In studies of genetic diversity of coffee root-knot nematodes, *M. izalcoensis* was  
487 separated phylogenetically from all other species (*M. exigua*, *M. paranaensis*, *M.*  
488 *incognita*, *M. arenaria*, *M. enterolobii* and *M. lopezi*). In this way, it is expected that the  
489 sources of genetic resistance to this species are also different. The present study has  
490 demonstrated that the sources of resistance of the coffee plants to *M. izalcoensis* are not  
491 the same as those previously reported for *M. paranaensis*, *M. incognita* and *M. exigua*.  
492 Although some available sources of resistance as the Hybrid of Timor, Amphillo, Icatu  
493 IAC 925 and IPR 100 (*C. liberica*) showed susceptibility to *M. izalcoensis*, cultivars as  
494 Apotã IAC 2258, whose source of resistance originates from a pure *C. canephora*, are  
495 promising for studying resistance to *M. izalcoensis*. Other pure *C. canephora* genotypes  
496 should be studied, such as the cultivar ‘Goytacá’ (=Clone 14) recently registered by  
497 INCAPER, and the polyclonal cultivars from IAC, composed of clones CcK1, CcR2,  
498 CcR8 and CcR10, which according to Gonçalves et al. (2021) are resistant to *M. exigua*,  
499 *M. paranaensis* and *M. incognita*. Seed fields for commercial production of IAC clones  
500 will be established after registration and protection of the clones.

501

502 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

503 We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Brazilian Consortium of Coffee  
504 to EMBRAPA Recursos Genéticos e Biotecnologia.

505

#### 506 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

507 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

508

#### 509 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

510 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding  
511 author upon reasonable request.

512

#### 513 **REFERENCES**

514 Adam, M.A.M., Phillips, M.S. & Blok, V.C. (2007) Molecular diagnostic key for  
515 identification of single juveniles of seven common and economically important species  
516 of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne* spp.). *Plant Pathology*, 56, 190-197. DOI:  
517 10.1111/j.1365-3059.2006.01455.x

518

519 Alpizar, E., Etienne, H. & Bertrand, B. (2007) Intermediate resistance to *Meloidogyne*  
520 *exigua* root-knot nematode in *Coffea arabica*. *Crop Protection*, 26, 903-910. DOI:  
521 10.1016/j.cropro.2006.08.018

522

523 Andreazi, E., Sera, G.H., Faria, R.T., Sera, T., Fonseca, I.C.B., Machado A.C.Z. *et al.*  
524 (2015) Behavior of 'IPR 100' and 'Apoatã IAC 2258' coffee cultivars under different  
525 levels of *Meloidogyne paranaensis* inoculum. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 9,  
526 1069-1074.

527

528 Barrantes, M.R., Valeiro, D.R., Figueroa, L.S., Sera, T. & Sera, G.H. (2020) *Coffea*  
529 *arabica* cultivars with resistance to nematode *Meloidogyne exigua* originated from Costa  
530 Rica. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 14, 1-6. DOI: 10.21475/ajcs.20.14.01.p1328  
531

532 Bertrand, B. & Anthony, F. (2008) Genetics of resistance to root-knot nematodes  
533 (*Meloidogyne* spp.) and breeding. In: Souza, R.M. (Ed.) *Plant-Parasitic Nematodes of*  
534 *Coffee*. USA: APS Press & Springer, pp.165-190.  
535

536 Bertrand, B., Peña-Durán, M.X., Anzueto, F., Cilas, C., Etienne, H., Anthony, F. & Eskes,  
537 A.B (2000) Genetic study of *Coffea canephora* coffee tree resistance to *Meloidogyne*  
538 *incognita* nematodes in Guatemala and *Meloidogyne* sp. nematodes in El Salvador for  
539 selection of rootstock varieties in Central America. *Euphytica*, 113, 79-86.  
540

541 Blok, V.C., Wishart, J., Fargette, M., Berthier, K. & Phillips, M.S. (2002) Mitochondrial  
542 DNA differences distinguishing *Meloidogyne mayaguensis* from the major species of  
543 tropical root-knot nematodes. *Nematology*, 4, 773-781. DOI:  
544 10.1163/156854102760402559  
545

546 Blok, V.C., & Powers, T.O. (2009) Biochemical and molecular identification. In: Perry,  
547 R. N., Moens, M. & Starr, J.L. (Eds.). *Root-knot nematodes*. Wallingford, UK: CABI  
548 Internacional, pp. 98-118.  
549

550 Blouin, M.S. (2002) Molecular prospecting for cryptic species of nematodes:  
551 mitochondrial DNA versus internal transcribed spacer. *International Journal for*  
552 *Parasitology*, 32, 527-531. DOI: 10.1016/s0020-7519(01)00357-5



553

554 Boisseau, M., Aribi, J., Souza, F.R., Carneiro, R.M.D.G. & Anthony, F. (2009) Resistance  
555 to *Meloidogyne paranaensis* in wild *Coffea arabica*. *Tropical Plant Pathology*, 34, 38-  
556 41. DOI: 10.1590/S1982-56762009000100006

557

558 Brito, J.A., Powers, T.O., Mullin, P.G., Inserra, R.N. & Dickson, D.W. (2004)  
559 Morphological and molecular characterization of *Meloidogyne mayaguensis* isolates from  
560 Florida. *Journal of Nematology*, 36, 232-240.

561

562 Campos, V. & Villain, L. (2005) Nematode parasites of coffee and cocoa. In: Luc, M.,  
563 Skora, R.A. & Bridge, J. (Eds.) *Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Subtropical and Tropical*  
564 *Agriculture*. Wallingford, UK: CAB Bioscience, pp. 529-580.

565

566 Carneiro, R.M.D.G., Almeida, M.R.A. & Quénéhervé, P. (2000) Enzyme phenotypes of  
567 *Meloidogyne* spp. populations. *Nematology*, 2, 645-654. DOI:  
568 10.1163/156854100509510

569

570 Carneiro, R.M.D. & Almeida, M.R.A. (2001) Técnica de eletroforese usada no estudo de  
571 enzimas dos nematóides de galhas para identificação de espécies. *Nematologia*  
572 *Brasileira*, 25, 35-44.

573

574 Carneiro, R.M.D.G., Almeida, M.R.A., Gomes, A.C.M.M. & Hernandez, A. (2005a)  
575 *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Meloidogynidae), a root-knot nematode  
576 parasitising coffee in El Salvador. *Nematology*, 7, 819-832. DOI:  
577 10.1163/156854105776186361

578

579 Carneiro, R.M.D.G. & Cofcewicz, E.T. (2008) Taxonomy of coffee-parasitic root-knot  
580 nematodes, *Meloidogyne* spp. In: Souza, R.M. (Ed.) *Plant-parasitic nematodes of coffee*.  
581 New York, NY, USA: APS Press & Springer, pp. 87-122. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4020-  
582 8720-2

583

584 Carneiro, R.M.D.G., Randig, O., Almeida, M.R.A. & Gonçalves, W. (2005b)  
585 Identificação e caracterização de espécies de *Meloidogyne* em cafeeiro nos estados de São  
586 Paulo e Minas Gerais através dos fenótipos de esterase e SCAR-Multiplex-PCR.  
587 *Nematologia Brasileira*, 29, 233-241.

588

589 Carneiro, R.M.D.G., Mesquita, L.F.G., Gonçalves, W. & Pereira, A.A. (2008)  
590 Pathogenicity of *Meloidogyne* spp. (Tylenchida: Meloidogynidae) from Brazil and  
591 Central America on two genotypes of *Coffea arabica*. *Tropical Plant Pathology*, 33, 309-  
592 312. DOI: 10.1590/S1982-56762008000400008

593

594 Carneiro, R.M.D.G., Tigano, M.S, Randig, O., Almeida, M.R.A. & Sarah, J.L. (2004)  
595 Identification and genetic diversity of *Meloidogyne* spp. (Tylenchida: Meloidogynidae)  
596 on coffee from Brazil, Central America and Hawaii. *Nematology*, 6, 287-298. DOI:  
597 10.1163/1568541041217942

598

599 Correa, V.R., Santos, M.F.A., Almeida, M.R.A., Peixoto, J. R., Castagnone-Sereno, P. &  
600 Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2013) Species-specific DNA markers for identification of two root-  
601 knot nematodes of coffee: *Meloidogyne arabicida* and *M. izarcoensis*. *European Journal*  
602 *of Plant Pathology*, 137, 305-313. DOI: 10.1007/s10658-013-0242-3

603

604 De Ley, P., Felix, M.A., Frisse, L.M., Nadler, S.A., Sternberg, P.W. & Thomas, W.K.  
605 (1999) Molecular and morphological characterisation of two reproductive species with  
606 mirror image anatomy (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). *Nematology*, 1, 591-612. DOI:  
607 10.1163/156854199508559

608

609 Fatobene, B.J.R., Andrade, V.T., Aloise, G.S., Silvarolla, M.B., Gonçalves, W. &  
610 Guerreiro-Filho, O. (2017) Wild *Coffea arabica* resistant to *Meloidogyne paranaensis*  
611 and genetic parameters for resistance. *Euphytica*, 213,196. DOI: 10.1007/s10681-017-  
612 1986-1

613

614 Fazuoli, L.C., Braghini, M.T., Silvarolla, M.B., Gonçalves, G., Mistro, J.C., Gallo, P.B &  
615 Guerreiro Filho, G. (2018) IAC 125 RN – A dwarf coffee cultivar resistant to leaf rust  
616 and root-knot nematode. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*, 18, 237-240. DOI:  
617 10.1590/1984-70332018v18n2c35

618

619 Ferraz, L.C.B. (2008) Brazil . In: Souza, R.M. (Ed.) *Plant-parasitic nematodes of coffee*.  
620 New York, NY, USA: APS Press & Springer, pp. 225-248. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4020-  
621 8720-2.

622

623 Ferreira, D.F. (2011). Sisvar: um sistema computacional de análise estatística. *Ciência*  
624 *Agrotecnologia*, 35, 1039-1042.

625

626 Fonseca, A.F.A. da., Ferrão, R.G., Ferrão, M.A.G., Volpi, P.S., Verdin Filho, A.C. &  
627 Fazuoli, L.C. (2008) Cultivares de café Robusta. In: Carvalho, C.H.S.de (Ed.) *Cultivares*

628 *de café: origem, características e recomendações*. Brasília, DF: Embrapa-Café, pp. 255-  
629 280.

630

631 Gonçalves, W. & Silvarolla, M.B. (2007) A luta contra a doença causada pelos nematoides  
632 parasitos do cafeeiro. *O Agrônomo*. Campinas, pp. 54-56.

633

634 Gonçalves, W., Andrade, V.T., Fatobene, B.J.R., Caixet, L.B., Padilha, L., Oliveira,  
635 C.M.G., Rosa, J.M.O., Rodrigues, L.M.R. & Guerreiro Filho, O. (2021). Selection  
636 strategy of a *Coffea canephora* rootstock with simultaneous nematode resistance to  
637 *Meloidogyne exigua*, *M. incognita* and *M. paranaensis*. *European Journal Plant*  
638 *Pathology*, 160, 81-95. DOI: 10.1007/s10658-021-02225-8

639

640 Hartman, R.M. & Sasser, J.N. (1985) Identification of *Meloidogyne* species on the basis  
641 of differential host test and perineal pattern morphology. In: Barker, K.R., Carter, C.C. &  
642 Sasser, J.N. (Eds.). *An advanced treatise on Meloidogyne*. Methodology. Raleigh, NC,  
643 USA: North Carolina State University Graphics, pp. 69-77.

644

645 Herrera, I., Bryngelsson, T., Monzón, A. & Geleta, M. (2011). Identification of coffee  
646 root-knot nematodes based on perineal pattern, SCAR markers and nuclear ribosomal  
647 DNA sequences. *Nematologia Mediterranea*, 39, 101-110.

648

649 Hernandez, A., Fargette, M. & Sarah, J.L. (2004) Characterization of *Meloidogyne* spp.  
650 (Tylenchida: Meloidogynidae) from coffee plantations in Central America and Brazil.  
651 *Nematology*, 6, 193-204. DOI: 10.1163/1568541041217933

652

653 Holderbaum, M.M., Ito, D.S., Santiago, D.C., Shigueoka, L.H., Fernandes, L.E. & Sera,  
654 G.H. (2020) Arabica coffee accessions originated from Ethiopia with resistance to  
655 nematode *Meloidogyne paranaensis*. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 14, 1209-1213.  
656 DOI: 10.21475/ajcs.20.14.08.p1763  
657  
658 Huelsenbeck, J.P., Bull, J.J. & Cunningham, C.W. (1996) Combining data in  
659 phylogenetic analysis. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 11, 152-158. DOI: 10.1016/0169-  
660 5347(96)10006-9  
661  
662 Humphreys-Pereira, D.A., Flores-Chaves, L., Gómez, M., Salazar, L.; Gómez-Alpízar, L.  
663 & Elling, A.A. (2014) *Meloidogyne lopezi* n. sp. (Nematoda: Meloidogynidae), a new  
664 root-knot nematode associated with coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) in Costa Rica, its diagnosis  
665 and phylogenetic relationship with other coffee-parasitising *Meloidogyne* species.  
666 *Nematology*, 16, 643-661. DOI: 10.1163/15685411-00002794  
667  
668 Hu, M. & Gasser, R.B. (2006) Mitochondrial genomes of parasitic nematodes – progress  
669 and perspectives. *Trends in Parasitology*, 22, 78-84. DOI: 10.1016/j.pt.2005.12.003  
670  
671 Hussey, R.S. & Janssen, G.J.W. (2002) Root-knot nematodes: *Meloidogyne* species. In:  
672 Starr, J.L., Cook, R. & Bridge, J. (Eds.) *Plant resistance to parasitic nematodes*.  
673 Wallingford: CABI Publishing, pp. 43-70. DOI:10.1079/9780851994666.0043.  
674  
675 Hussey, R.S. & Barker, K.R.A. (1973) Comparison of methods of collecting inocula of  
676 *Meloidogyne* spp., including a new technique. *Plant Disease Reporter*, 57, 1025-1028.  
677

678 Janssen, T., Karssen, G., Topalović, O., Coyne, D. & Bert, W. (2017) Integrative  
679 taxonomy of root-knot nematodes reveals multiple independent origins of mitotic  
680 parthenogenesis. *Plos One*, 12, e0172190. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0172190.

681

682 Jorge Júnior, A.S., Cares, J.E., Mattos, V.S., Santos, M.F.A., Coyne, D.L. & Carneiro,  
683 R.M.D.G. (2016) First report of *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* (Nematoda: Meloidogynidae)  
684 on coffee, cabbage and other crops in Africa. *Plant Disease*, 100, 2173-2173. DOI:  
685 10.1094/PDIS-03-16-0294-PDN

686

687 Leite, R.R., Mattos, V.S., Gomes, A.C.M.M., Py, L.G., Souza, D.A., Castagnone-Sereno,  
688 P., Cares, J.E. & Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2020) Integrative taxonomy of *Meloidogyne*  
689 *ottersoni* (Thorne, 1969), Franklin, 1971 (Nematoda: Meloidogynidae) parasitizing  
690 flooded rice in Brazil. *European Journal Plant Pathology*, 157: 943-959. DOI:  
691 10.1007/s10658-020-02049-y

692

693 Lima, E. A., Furlanetto, C., Nicole, M., Gomes, A. C. M. M., Almeida, M. R. A.,  
694 JorgeJunior, A., Correa, V. R., Salgado, S. M., Ferrão, M. A. G. and Carneiro, R. M. D.  
695 G. (2015). The multi-resistant reaction of drought-tolerant coffee ‘Conilon Clone 14’ to  
696 *Meloidogyne* spp. and late hypersensitive-like response in *Coffea canephora*.  
697 *Phytopathology*, 105(6), 805–814.

698

699 Monteiro, J.M.S., Cares, J.E., Gomes, A.C.M.M., Correa, V.R., Mattos, V.S., Santos,  
700 M.F.A., Almeida, M.R.A., Santos, C.D.G., Castagnone-Sereno, P. & Carneiro, R.M.D.G.  
701 (2016) First report of, and additional information on, *Meloidogyne konaensis* (Nematoda:

702 Meloidogyninae) parasitising various crops in Brazil. *Nematology*, 18, 831-844. DOI:  
703 10.1163/ 15685411-00002997

704

705 Mundo-Ocampo, M., Troccoli, A., Subbotin, S.A., Del Cid, J., Baldwin, J.G. & Inserra,  
706 R.N. (2008) Synonymy of *Afenestrata* with *Heterodera* supported by phylogenetics with  
707 molecular and morphological characterisation of *H. koreana* comb. n. and *H. orientalis*  
708 comb. n. (Tylenchida: Heteroderidae). *Nematology*, 10, 611-632. DOI:  
709 10.1163/156854108785787190

710

711 Muniz, M.F.S., Campos, V.P., Castagnone-Sereno, P., Castro, J.M.C., Almeida, M.R.A.  
712 & Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2008) Diversity of *Meloidogyne exigua* (Tylenchida:  
713 Meloidogynidae) populations from coffee and rubber tree. *Nematology*, 10, 897-910.  
714 DOI: 10.1163/156854108786161418

715

716 Muniz, M.F.S., Campos, V.P., Moita, A.W., Gonçalves, W., Almeida, M.R.A.,  
717 Sousa, F.R.de & Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2009) Reaction of coffee genotypes to  
718 different populations of *Meloidogyne* spp.: detection of a naturally virulent *M.*  
719 *exigua* population. *Tropical Plant Pathology*, 34, 370-378. DOI: 10.1590/S1982-  
720 56762009000600002

721

722 Nischwitz, C., Skantar, A. Handoo, Z.A., Hult, M.N., Schmitt, M.E., & McClure, M.A.  
723 (2013). Occurrence of *Meloidogyne fallax* in North America, and Molecular  
724 Characterization of *M. fallax* and *M. minor* from U.S. Golf Course Greens. *Plant Disease*,  
725 97, 1424-1430. DOI: 10.1094/ PDIS-03-13-0263-RE

726

727 Nylander, J. A. A. (2004). *MrModelTest, version 2*. Evolutionary Biology Centre. Uppsala  
728 University.

729

730 Onkendi, E.M. & Moleleki, L.N. (2013) Distribution and genetic diversity of root-knot  
731 nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) in potatoes from South Africa. *Plant Pathology*, 62, 1184-  
732 1192. DOI: 10.1111/ppa.12035

733

734 Oostenbrink, M. (1966) Major characteristics of the relation between nematodes and  
735 plants. *Mededelingen Van de Landbouwhogeschool*, 66: 1-46.

736

737 Pagan, C., Coyne, D., Carneiro, R., Kariuki, G., Luambano, N., Affokpon, A., &  
738 Williamson, V.M. (2015) Mitochondrial haplotype-based identification of ethanol-  
739 preserved root-knot nematodes from Africa. *Phytopathology*, 105, 350-357. DOI:  
740 10.1094/PHYTO-08-14-0225-R

741

742 Peres, A.C.J., Salgado, S.M.L., Correa, V.R., Santos, M.F.A., Mattos, V.S., Monteiro,  
743 J.M.S. & Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2017) Resistance of *Coffea arabica* genotypes against  
744 *Meloidogyne paranaensis* and *M. incognita* under controlled and field conditions.  
745 *Nematology*, 19, 617-627. DOI: 10.1163/15685411-00003075

746

747 Powers, T.O. & Harris, T.S. (1993) A polymerase chain reaction method for identification  
748 of five major *Meloidogyne* species. *Journal of Nematology*, 25, 1-6.

749



750 Randig, O., Bongiovanni, M., Carneiro, R.M.D.G. & Castagnone-Sereno, P. (2002)  
751 Genetic diversity of root-knot nematodes from Brazil and development of SCAR markers  
752 specific for the coffee-damaging species. *Genome*, 45, 862-870. DOI: 10.1139/g02-054  
753

754 Rezende, R.M., Andrade, V.T., Salgado, S.M.L., de Rezende, J.C., Menezes, J.O. &  
755 Carvalho, G.R. (2017) Genetic gain in the resistance of Arabica coffee progenies to root-  
756 knot nematode. *Crop Science*, 57, 1355-1362. DOI: 10.2135/cropsci2016.07.0606  
757

758 Saitou, N. & Nei, M. (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for  
759 reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 4, 406-425. DOI:  
760 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454  
761

762 Salgado, S.M.L., Fatobene, B. J. dos R., Terra, W. C. & Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2021)  
763 Nematoides parasitas do cafeeiro: aspectos gerais e controle. Boletim Técnico 111. Belo  
764 Horizonte, EPAMIG, 56 p.  
765

766 Salgado, S.M.L., Resende, M.L.V. & Campos, V.P. (2005) Reprodução de *Meloidogyne*  
767 *exigua* em cultivares de cafeeiros resistentes e suscetíveis. *Fitopatologia Brasileira*, 30,  
768 413-415. DOI: 10.1590/S0100-41582005000400013  
769

770 Salgado, S.M.L., Rezende, J.C & Nunes, J.A.R. (2014) Selection of coffee progenies for  
771 resistance to nematode *Meloidogyne paranaensis* in infested area. *Crop Breeding and*  
772 *Applied Biotechnology*, 14, 94-101. DOI: 10.1590/1984-70332014v14n2a17  
773

774 Santos, M.F.A., Correa, V.R., Peixoto, J.R., Mattos, V.S., Silva, J.G.P., Moita, A.W.,  
775 Salgado, S.M.L., Castagnone-Sereno, P. & Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2018) Genetic variability  
776 of *Meloidogyne paranaensis* populations and their aggressiveness to susceptible coffee  
777 genotypes. *Plant Pathology*, 67, 193-201. DOI: 10.1111/ppa.12718  
778

779 Santos, M.F.A., Furlanetto, C., Almeida, M.R.A., Carneiro, M.D.G., Mota, F.C., Gomes,  
780 A.C.M.M., Silveira, N.O.R., Silva, J.G.P., Castagnone-Sereno, P., Tigano, M.S. &  
781 Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2012) Biometrical, biological and molecular characteristics of  
782 *Meloidogyne incognita* isolates and related species. *European Journal Plant Pathology*,  
783 134, 671-684. DOI: 10.1007/s10658-012-0018-1  
784

785 Santos, M.F.A, Mattos, V.S, Monteiro, J.M.S., Almeida, M.R.A., Jorge Jr, A.S., Cares,  
786 J.E., Castagnone-Sereno, P., Coyne, D. & Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2019) Diversity of  
787 *Meloidogyne* spp. from peri-urban areas of sub-Saharan Africa and their genetic similarity  
788 with populations from the Latin America. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*,  
789 105, 110-118. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2018.08.004  
790

791 Sasser, J.N., Carter, C.C. & Hartman, K.M. (1984) Standardization of host suitability  
792 studies and reporting of resistance to root-knot nematodes. Raleigh, U.S.A. North  
793 Carolina State University.  
794

795 Schmitz, V.B., Burgermeister, W., & Braasch, H. (1998) Molecular genetic classification  
796 of Central European *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* and *M. fallax* populations. *Nachrichtenblatt*  
797 *des Deutschen Pflanzenschutzdienstes*, 50, 310-317.  
798

799 Sera, G.H., Machado, A.C.Z., Ito, D.S., Shigueoka, L.H., Silva, A. & Sera, T. (2020) IPR  
800 106: new Arabica coffee cultivar, resistant to some *Meloidogyne paranaensis* and *M.*  
801 *incognita* nematode populations of Paraná. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology.*  
802 20, e30552031. DOI: 10.1590/1984-70332020v20n3c52  
803  
804 Sera, G.H., Sera, T., Azevedo, J.A., Mata, J.S., Ribeiro Filho, C., Doi, D.S., Ito, D.S. &  
805 Fonseca, I.C.B. (2006) Porta-enxertos de café robusta resistentes aos nematoides  
806 *Meloidogyne paranaensis* e *M. incognita* raças 1 e 2. *Ciências Agrárias*, 27, 171-184.  
807 DOI: 10.5433/1679-0359.2006v27n2p171  
808  
809 Sera, T., Sera, G.H., Fazuoli, L.C., Machado, A.C.Z., Ito, D.S., Shigueoka, L.H. & Silva,  
810 S.A (2017) IPR 100 - Rustic dwarf Arabica coffee cultivar with resistance  
811 nematodes *Meloidogyne paranaensis* and *M. incognita*. *Crop Breeding and Applied*  
812 *Biotechnology*, 17, 175-179. DOI: 10.1590/1984-70332017v17n2c26  
813  
814 Shigueoka, L.H., Sera, G.H., Sera, T., Fonseca, I.C.B., Andreazi, E., Carvalho, F.G.,  
815 Carducci, C. & Ito, D.S. (2016) Reaction of Arabica coffee progenies derivative from  
816 Icatu to *Meloidogyne paranaensis*. *Plant Protection*, 75, 193-198. DOI:  
817 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-4499.229>  
818  
819 Skantar, A.M. & Carta, L.K. (2004) Molecular characterization and phylogenetic  
820 evaluation of the Hsp90 gene from selected nematodes. *Journal of Nematology*, 36, 466-  
821 480.  
822

823 Skantar, A.M., Carta, L.K. & Handoo, Z.A. (2008) Molecular and morphological  
824 characterization of an unusual *Meloidogyne arenaria* population from traveler's tree  
825 *Ravenala madagascariensis*. *Journal of Nematology*, 40, 179-189.  
826

827 Stefanelo, D.R., Santos, M.F.A., Mattos, V.S., Braghini, M.T., Mendonça, J.S.F., Cares,  
828 J.E. & Carneiro, R.M.D.G. (2018) *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* parasitizing coffee in Minas  
829 Gerais state: the first record in Brazil. *Tropical Plant Pathology*, 44, 209-212. DOI:  
830 10.1007/s40858-018-0251-z  
831

832 Suazo, A. & Hall H.G. (1999) Modification of the AFLP protocol applied to honey bee  
833 (*Apis mellifera* L.) DNA. *BioTechniques*, 26, 704-709. DOI: 10.2144/99264st07  
834

835 Subbotin, S.A, Waeyenberge, L. & Moens, M. (2000) Identification of cyst forming  
836 nematodes of the genus *Heterodera* (Nematoda: Heteroderidae) based on the ribosomal  
837 DNA RFLPs. *Nematology*, 2, 153-164. DOI: 10.1163/156854100509042  
838

839 Swofford, D.L. (2002) *PAUP\**. *Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (and Other*  
840 *Methods)*. Sunderland, MA, USA: Sinauer Associates. DOI:  
841 10.1002/0471650129.dob0522  
842

843 Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., & Kumar, S. (2011).  
844 MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood,  
845 evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and*  
846 *Evolution*, 28, 2731-2739. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msr121  
847

848 Tigano, M.S., Carneiro, R.M.D.G, Jeyaprakash, A., Dickson, D.W. & Adams, B.J. (2005)  
849 Phylogeny of *Meloidogyne* spp. based on 18S rDNA and the intergenic region of  
850 mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Nematology*, 7, 851-862. DOI:  
851 10.1163/156854105776186325  
852  
853 Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.T., von Haeseler, A.V, & Minh, B.Q. (2016) W-IQ-TREE: a  
854 fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research*,  
855 44, W232-W235. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkw256  
856  
857 Villain, L., Salgado, S.M.L. & Trinh, P.Q. (2018) Nematode parasites of coffee and cocoa.  
858 In: Sikora, R.A., Coyne, D.L., Hallman, J. & Timper, P. (Eds.) *Plant parasitic nematodes*  
859 *in subtropical and tropical agriculture*. Wallingford, UK: CABI, p. 536-583.  
860  
861 Ye, W., Zeng, Y. & Kerns, J. (2015) Molecular characterization and diagnosis of root-  
862 knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) from turfgrasses in North Carolina, USA. *Plos One*,  
863 10, e0143556. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0143556  
864  
865 Zijlstra, C., Lever, A.E.M., Uenk, B.J., & Van Silfhout, C.H. (1995) Differences between  
866 ITS regions of isolates of rootknot nematodes *Meloidogyne hapla* and *M. chitwoodi*.  
867 *Phytopathology*, 85, 1231-1237.

868

## 869 **FIGURES LEGENDS**

870 **FIGURE 1.** Symptoms caused by the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* on  
871 coffee (*Coffea arabica* cv. Mundo Novo) roots stained with Phoxina B . A) Small round

872 galls induced by the nematode, egg-mass outside the gall tissue and necrosis at the end of  
873 roots. B) Whole root view. Photos: Cláudio Bezerra.

874 **FIGURE 2.** Esterase phenotypes of *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* (Est I4). *M. javanica* (Est  
875 J3) is included as a reference.

876 **FIGURE 3.** PCR amplification patterns for five populations of *Meloidogyne izalcoensis*  
877 generated with specific SCAR primer izAB02F/R (Correa et al., 2013). (1-5): *M.*  
878 *izalcoensis*, (-) DNA: negative control. M: 1kb Plus DNA ladder (Invitrogen).

879 **FIGURE 4.** Concatenated Neighbor-Joining (NJ) tree showing the analysis of genetic  
880 variability (by RAPD and AFLP) of *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* populations. Numbers to  
881 the left of the arms are the bootstrap values of 1000 replicates. Population codes detailed  
882 in Table 1.

883 **FIGURE 5.** Maximum Likelihood analysis showing the phylogenetic relationships of  
884 *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* populations with related species based on the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2  
885 rRNA sequences (a), and on the D2-D3 fragment of 28S rRNA sequences (b),  
886 respectively. Numbers to the left of the branches are bootstrap values for 1000  
887 replications. Populations codes and accession numbers for gene sequences retrieved from  
888 Genbank are listed following species names.

889 **FIGURE 6.** Maximum Likelihood analysis showing the phylogenetic relationships of  
890 *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* populations with related species based on the COII-mtDNA  
891 sequences. Numbers to the left of the branches are bootstrap values for 1000 replications.  
892 Populations codes and accession numbers for gene sequences retrieved from Genbank are  
893 listed following species names.

894 **FIGURE 7.** Maximum Likelihood analysis showing the phylogenetic relationships of  
895 *Meloidogyne izalcoensis* populations with related species based on the Hsp90 gene  
896 sequences. Numbers to the left of the branches are bootstrap values for 1000 replications.

897 Populations codes and accession numbers for gene sequences retrieved from Genbank are

898 listed following species names.

899