



**HAL**  
open science

## On the visual inspection of streamflow time series

Laurent Strohmenger, Guillaume Thirel

► **To cite this version:**

Laurent Strohmenger, Guillaume Thirel. On the visual inspection of streamflow time series: distributions and impacts of non-natural flow records. IAHS Symposium, 28th General Assembly of the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics, Jul 2023, Berlin (Germany), Germany. 1p., 2023, 10.5194/hess-2023-58 . hal-04172603

**HAL Id: hal-04172603**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04172603>**

Submitted on 27 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Laurent Strohmenger<sup>1</sup>  
Guillaume Thirel<sup>1</sup>  
and the Explore2 consortium  
<sup>1</sup>Université Paris Saclay, INRAE, UR HYCAR, Antony, France  
Contact: Laurent.Strohmenger@inrae.fr

# On the visual inspection of streamflow time series Distributions and impacts of non-natural flow records

## Objectives

Large datasets of streamflow measurements are widely used to infer hydrological processes (frequency of floods and droughts, river flows regimes, ...).

Streamflow measurements may suffer from what we can consider as anomalies due to instrument failure, missing data interpolation, and human influences.

Visual inspection of streamflow time series by humans is highly recommended. Yet, no study has examined:

- (1) how evaluators differ in detecting anomalies
- (2) the temporal distribution of anomalies
- (3) the influence of anomalies on hydrologic indicators

## Methods

### Large visual inspection of streamflow time series

- 611 stations in France labeled as "good quality"
- 43 evaluators (academic and operational hydrologists)
- each time series was analyzed by two evaluators

### Hydrological indicators

- QMNA5**: annual min. monthly flow with a 5-year return period
- VCN30<sub>5</sub>**: annual min. of a 30-day moving average of flow with a 5-year return period
- Q1-99**: 1<sup>st</sup>, 5, 50, 95 and 99<sup>th</sup> daily flow quantiles
- QJXA10**: annual max. daily flow with a 10-year return period

## Results

### (1) Subjectivity of the evaluators

- evaluators reported from 0.04 to 2.92 % (on median) of time series as anomaly, with an overall median of 0.7 % of time steps reported.
- evaluators agreed on only 12 % (overall median) of time steps reported as anomaly

### (2) Temporality of anomalies

- annual frequency of anomalies decreases from 1976 to 2019
- anomalies more frequently reported during summer
- more anomalies reported for years with climatic events such as heatwaves and droughts (1976, 1978, 1985, 1989, and 2003)

### (3) Impact of anomalies on hydrological indicators

- higher change rates on low-flow indicators (Q1, Q5, QMNA5)
- change rates < 1 % on high-flow indicators (Q95, Q99, QJXA10)

## Conclusions

Detection of anomalies is highly dependent on the evaluator, raising questions on best practices for data cleaning.

The anomalies were more frequently reported in summer: challenges to monitor low flows, higher human influences.

An automatic detection of anomalies would be very valuable for cleaning streamflow time series, although its training raises questions.

### Examples of anomalies in streamflow time series

