Parental feeding practices as potential moderating or mediating factors in the associations between children's early and later growth

Claire Guivarch, Aminata Hallimat Cissé, Marie-Aline Charles, Barbara
Heude, Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain

HAL Id: hal-04176175
https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04176175v1

Submitted on 2 Aug 2023

1 **TITLE**

2 Parental feeding practices as potential moderating or mediating factors in the associations

3 between children's early and later growth

4 **AUTHORS**

5 Claire Guivarch [1], Aminata Hallimat Cissé [1], Marie-Aline Charles [1, 2], Barbara Heude [1],

6 Blandine de Lauzon-Guillain [1]

7 **AFFILIATIONS**

8 [1] Université Paris Cité, INSERM, INRAE, CRESS, Paris, France

9 [2] Unité mixte INSERM-Ined-EFS ELFE, Ined, Aubervilliers, France

10 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

11 Claire Guivarch, INSERM CRESS - Eq6 EAROH, 16 avenue Paul Vaillant Couturier, 94807

12 Villejuif Cedex, France. Tel.: +33-145-595-019.

13 E- mail: claire.guivarch@inserm.fr

14 **COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT**

15 The authors declare that they have nothing to disclose.

27   **RUNNING TITLE**

28   Parental feeding practices and children's growth

29 **ABSTRACT**

30 **Background**: Given inconsistent results in the literature, our objective was to examine the

31 role of early parental feeding practices in children's growth.

32 **Methods**: Analyses were based on 1245 children from the EDEN mother–child cohort.

33 Parental feeding practices were assessed at the 2-year follow-up by using the Comprehensive

34 Feeding Practices Questionnaire. International Obesity Task Force BMI z-scores were derived

35 from weight and height assessed at 2, 4, 6 and 8 years. Associations between parental feeding

36 practices and child BMI z-scores at 4, 6 and 8 years were assessed by multivariable linear

37 regressions, notably adjusted for 2-year BMI z-score. Analyses were stratified by child sex

38 when relevant. Moreover, interaction and mediation analyses were respectively performed to

39 assess whether parental feeding practices could moderate or mediate the associations between

40 early and later growth.

41 **Results**: For a given BMI z-score at 2 years, parental restriction for weight at 2 years was

42 positively associated with child BMI z-scores from 4 to 8 years (at 8 years: β [95% CI] = 0.09

43 [0.01; 0.16]). Among boys only, high use of food as a reward was positively associated with

44 later BMI z-scores (at 8 years: β [95% CI] = 0.15 [0.03; 0.27]). Parental feeding practices

45 were not moderating factors in the associations between early and later growth. Parental

46 restriction for weight was a mediating factor in the associations between 2-year BMI z-score

47 and BMI z-scores up to 8 years (mediation: 2.69% [0.27%; 5.11%] of the total effect at 8

48 years).

49 **Conclusions**: Restriction for weight reasons, often used by parents in response to the child's

50 high appetite in infancy, appears to lie on the pathway between early and later BMI, but not

51 restriction for health, suggesting that parental way of restricting the child's food intake

52 matters.

3

INTRODUCTION

Childhood overweight and obesity affects 39 million children under the age of 5 years worldwide (1) and is associated with short- and long-term adverse outcomes, such as adulthood obesity, cardiovascular diseases and psychosocial difficulties (1, 2). According to the concept of the developmental origins of health and disease, the first 1000 days of life (i.e., from conception to age 2 years) constitute an opportunity window to prevent overweight and obesity (3). It is notably suggested that the factors related to eating behaviors may be easier to modify during their development in the first years of life than later in life (3).

Early eating behaviors and eating habits have been found to be associated with eating behaviors and food intake later in childhood or in adolescence (4-6). The previous literature showed that child early appetite or eating behaviors could be associated with later BMI: appetite, enjoyment of food, emotional overeating and food responsiveness in early life have been found to be positivity associated with BMI later in childhood, whereas slowness in eating and satiety responsiveness have been found to be negatively related to BMI later in childhood (7-9). However, early BMI has also been found to be positively or negatively associated with the same aspects of later child eating behavior (9-12).

Parents play a key role in the development of their child's eating behaviors and eating habits, especially in the first years of life, by being role models (13, 14) and by deciding the type of foods and the portion size offered to the child as well as the feeding time (15). Parental feeding practices are strategies (actions or behaviors) parents adopt to influence their child's food intake or eating behavior (16-18). Several studies assessed the associations between these feeding practices and children's growth, summarized in literature reviews that mainly focused on coercive parental feeding practices (i.e., restriction for health, restriction for weight or pressure to eat) (19). The conclusions of these literature reviews are inconsistent (12, 18, 20-24). Nevertheless, the recent systematic review and meta-analysis of Ruzicka et al.

80   (based on 31 cross-sectional studies, 13 longitudinal studies and 7 randomized control trials)

81   found a positive association between parental restriction and child weight and a negative

82   association between parental pressure to eat and child weight and concluded that more

83   longitudinal studies are required to examine the direction of this association (25).

84   Overall, recent literature reviews concluded that more longitudinal studies are required

85   to assess the complex associations between parental feeding practices and childhood BMI,

86   notably by accounting for the child's eating behavior (21, 23, 25). As parental feeding

87   practices are modifiable, to develop strategies to prevent childhood obesity, it would be of

88   great importance to examine whether parental feeding practices could be considered as

89   potential lever to modulate early growth (moderating factor), by attenuation or reinforcement

90   of the associations between early and later growth, or whether they could lie on the pathway

91   between early and later growth (mediating factor). In this context, the first objective of this

92   prospective study was to assess the associations between parental feeding practices in

93   toddlerhood and children's BMI up to 8 years. The second objective was to examine whether

94   parental feeding practices may be considered as moderating or mediating factors in the

95   associations between early and later child BMI.

96   **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

97   **Study population**

98   The EDEN mother–child study is a French prospective cohort that investigates the prenatal

99   and postnatal determinants of child growth, development and health (26). A total of 2002

100 pregnant women before 24 weeks of amenorrhea were recruited in two university hospitals

101 (Nancy and Poitiers) from 2003 to 2006. Exclusion criteria were multiple pregnancies, known

102 diabetes before pregnancy, French illiteracy and planning to move outside the region in the

103 next 3 years. Written consent was obtained from both parents. The study was approved by the

104 ethics committee of the university hospital of Kremlin- Bicêtre (ID 0270 of December 12,

105　2002) and data files were declared to the National Committee for Processed Data and

106　Freedom (CNIL, ID 902267 of December 12, 2002).

107　**Child growth**

108　The child's weight and length/height were measured at each clinical examination (at birth, 1,

109　3 and 5 years) (26). Moreover, at each follow-up (at 4, 8 and 12 months, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8

110　years), parents reported weight and length/height data, collected in the child's health booklet

111　(26). In order to study children's anthropometric measurements exactly at the same age,

112　individual growth curves for weight and length/height were computed by using the Jenss-

113　Bayley growth curve model, as described previously (26, 27). To account for the increase in

114　BMI variability with child's age, the data from the individual growth curves were used to

115　calculate the child's BMI z-scores at ages 2, 4, 6 and 8 years, using the International Obesity

116　Task Force references (28).

117　**Parental feeding practices**

118　Parental feeding practices were assessed at the 2-year follow-up (i.e., when the child was 2

119　years old) with the French version (29) of the Comprehensive Feeding Practices

120　Questionnaire (30). In the present analysis, five scales of the questionnaire were used:

121　restriction for health (4 items; in the EDEN mother–child cohort: Cronbach α = 0.79),

122　restriction for weight (4 items; Cronbach α = 0.67), pressure to eat (3 items; Cronbach α =

123　0.59), using food as a reward (Rewards, 3 items; Cronbach α = 0.45) and using food to

124　regulate the child's emotions (Emotion regulation, 3 items; Cronbach α = 0.66). Each item is

125　associated with a score from 1 (never or disagree) to 5 (always or agree). Item scores are

126　averaged within each scale. Scores of coercive parental feeding practices (i.e., restriction for

127　health, restriction for weight and pressure to eat) were considered as continuous variables.

128　Because of the skewed distribution of scores, parental feeding practices of using food as a

129　reward or to regulate the child's emotions were considered as binary variables, according to

130 the median in our sample. "Low use" of a specific parental feeding practice was defined by a

131 score below the median and "high use" by a score equal to or above the median.

Other variables

133 The baseline questionnaire administered during pregnancy or at birth was used to collect

134 information on parental characteristics, including maternal age at delivery (in years),

135 primiparity (yes/no), maternal education level (< high school diploma, high school diploma,

136 and 2-year and ≥ 5-year university degree), household income (in €/month: ≤ €1500, €1501 to

137 €2300, €2301 to €3000 and > 3000€), maternal smoking status during pregnancy (yes/no) and

138 parental BMI. Maternal BMI was classified into 4 categories (underweight: < 18.5 kg/m2,

139 normal BMI: ≥ 18.5 to < 25 kg/m^2, overweight: ≥ 25 to < 30 kg/m^2 and obese: ≥ 30 kg/m^2).

140 Paternal BMI was studied in 3 categories because of the low number of underweight fathers

141 (underweight or normal BMI: < 25 kg/m^2, overweight: ≥ 25 to < 30 kg/m^2 and obese: ≥ 30

142 kg/m^2).

143 Data on child characteristics were collected at birth and during the first year of life and

144 included sex, birth weight (in kg), preterm birth (yes/no) and any breastfeeding duration (< 1

145 month, 1 to < 4 months and ≥ 4 months). Moreover, maternal perception of the child's

146 appetite was assessed with one item at 4, 8, 12 and 24 months. A 4-to-24-month appetite

147 indicator in 3 categories (low, intermediate and high appetite) was then developed, as

148 previously described (31).

Sample selection

150 Of the 2002 recruited women, 76 were excluded because they left the study before or at the

151 time of delivery; 24 because of miscarriage, intrauterine death, or discontinuation of

152 pregnancy for medical reasons and 9 because they delivered outside the study hospitals. Data

153 on birthweight were available for 1899 newborns. Individuals with missing data for at least

154   one parental feeding practice (n=492), child growth (n=6) and potential confounders (n=156)

155   were excluded, which led to a complete-case sample of 1245 (Figure 1).

156   **Statistical analyses**

157   Comparisons between excluded and included participants were assessed by chi-squared tests

158   for categorical variables and Student t tests for continuous variables.

159   Associations between 2-year parental feeding practices and BMI z-scores at 4, 6 and 8

160   years were investigated with multivariable linear regression models. One model was run per

161   parental feeding practice (exposure variable) and per child BMI z-score (outcome, i.e., at each

162   age). Potential confounders were identified from the literature and selected according to the

163   directed acyclic graph method (32): study center, parental characteristics (primiparity,

164   maternal age at delivery, maternal education level, household income, maternal smoking

165   status during pregnancy, parental BMI) and child's characteristics (sex, preterm birth, any

166   breastfeeding duration, 4-to-24-month appetite and 2-year BMI z-score). In preliminary

167   analyses, child sex significantly modified the associations between parental use of food as a

168   reward and later child growth (i.e., $p_{interaction} \leq 0.10$). Then, these analyses were stratified by

169   child sex.

170   Moderation occurs when the association between the exposure and the outcome differs

171   depending on the level of a third variable, called moderating factor (33). In the current study,

172   moderation analyses were used in order to examine whether parental feeding practices could

173   be considered as potential lever to modulate early growth, by attenuation or reinforcement of

174   the associations between early and later child growth. To assess whether parental feeding

175   practices could be moderating factor in the associations between the exposure (i.e., 2-year

176   BMI z-score) and the outcome (i.e., BMI z-score at 4, 6 or 8 years), an interaction term (2-

177   year BMI z-score * parental feeding practice) was added in each multivariable linear

178   regression model. One model was performed per potential moderating factor and per outcome

9

179   (i.e., at each age). All models were adjusted for study center, primiparity, maternal age at

180   delivery, maternal education level, household income, maternal smoking status during

181   pregnancy, parental BMI, child sex, preterm birth, any breastfeeding duration and 4-to-24-

182   month appetite. When the $p_{interaction}$ was ≤ 0.10, analyses were stratified by parental feeding

183   practices.

184   Mediation occurs when a third variable lies on the causal pathway between the

185   exposure and the outcome (33). In the current study, mediation analyses were used to study if

186   parental feeding practices could lie on the pathway between early and later growth. To study

187   whether parental feeding practices could be mediating factors in the associations between

188   child 2-year BMI z-score and later child BMI z-scores at 4, 6 and 8 years, mediation analyses

189   using the counterfactual framework were performed (CAUSALMED procedure, SAS

190   statistical software) (34, 35). This method was used because it allows for mediation analyses

191   of a continuous or binary mediating factor or exposure, continuous outcome and categorical

192   or continuous covariables. Covariables included in the mediation models were the same as the

193   adjustment factors included in moderation analyses. Supplemental analyses were performed

194   by adding an interaction term between the exposure and the potential mediating factor, as

195   suggested previously (36). Because this interaction term did not modify the results (data not

196   shown), we present the mediation analyses without this interaction term.

197   The main analyses were conducted on the complete-case sample. In sensitivity

198   analyses, missing data on adjustment factors were handled by using the hot deck method,

199   which replaces each missing value with an observed data of respondents sharing the same

200   characteristics (37). Analyses were conducted with SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC,

201   USA). The code book and analytic code will be made available upon request.

RESULTS

203 As compared with excluded children (n=757), included children (n=1245) were more

204 frequently born to mothers who were older (30 vs 29 years, p < 0.0001), primiparous (48% vs

205 39%, p = 0.0003), with higher education level (37% vs 23% with at least a 5-year university

206 degree, p < 0.0001), with higher household income (31% vs 20% with > 3000€/month, p <

207 0.0001), and with lower BMI before pregnancy (23.1 vs 23.5 kg/m^2, p = 0.05) and who less

208 frequently smoked during pregnancy (21% vs 36%, p < 0.0001). As compared with excluded

209 children, included children were breastfed longer (36% vs 25% breastfed for at least 4

210 months, p < 0.0001). Included participants were similar to excluded ones regarding paternal

211 BMI, child sex, birth weight and preterm birth. Characteristics of included participants are in

212 Table 1.

213 **Associations between parental feeding practices and child growth**

214 Even after accounting for 2-year BMI z-score, parental restriction for weight was positively

215 associated with child BMI z-scores between 4 and 8 years (Table 2). Among boys only,

216 parental use of food as a reward was positively associated with child BMI z-scores between 4

217 and 8 years (Table 3). Parental restriction for health, pressure to eat and use of food to

218 regulate the child's emotions were not related to child BMI z-scores between 4 and 8 years

219 (Table 2).

220 Similar results were found after the hot deck imputation, except for parental restriction

221 for health, which was positively associated with child BMI z-scores at 6 and 8 years (Tables 2

222 and 3).

223 **Moderation and mediation analyses**

224 Parental feeding practices were not moderating factors in the associations between child early

225 and later BMI z-scores (all $p_{interaction}$ > 0.10).

11

226   Parental restriction for weight was a mediating factor in the associations between early

227   and later BMI z-scores (Table 4). The effect of parental restriction for weight on BMI z-score

228   is providing by the natural indirect effect (Table 4). The percentage of the association

229   explained by restriction for weight ranged from 0.78% [0.03%; 1.52%] at 4 years to 2.69%

230   [0.27%; 5.11%] at 8 years (Table 4). Similar results were found after hot deck imputation

231   (Table 4). Among boys, parental use of food as a reward was not a mediating factor in the

232   associations between early and later BMI z-scores (Table S1).

233   **DISCUSSION**

234   In this prospective study, parental restriction for weight and high use of food as a reward

235   (among boys) at 2 years were positively associated with child BMI z-scores up 8 years.

236   Parental feeding practices were not moderating factors in the association between early and

237   later BMI z-scores. Moreover, parental restriction for weight lied on the pathway between 2-

238   year BMI z-score and BMI z-scores between 4 and 8 years.

239   Several studies assessed the associations between parental restrictive feeding practices

240   and children's later BMI, providing inconsistent findings. Of note, most studies examining

241   parental restrictive practices used the Child Feeding Questionnaire (38), with global score on

242   parental restriction, whereas the Comprehensive Feeding Practices Questionnaire (30), used in

243   the present study, distinguishes between parental restriction for health reasons or to prevent

244   weight issues. This discrepancy may limit some comparisons. A recent systematic review and

245   meta-analysis highlighted a weak but significant association between restrictive feeding

246   practice and child weight and considered that more longitudinal studies are needed to

247   conclude on the direction of the association (25). Indeed, this meta-analysis was mainly based

248   on cross-sectional studies, and findings were less consistent in other narrative or systematic

249   reviews including more longitudinal studies (21, 22). In a systematic review including only

250   prospective studies, restrictive feeding practices were not considered related to later growth

12

251 parameters (23). In the current study, parental restriction for weight was positively related to

252 later BMI up to 8 years in all analyses, and the positive association with restriction for health

253 was found only at 6 and 8 years after hot deck imputation. Further prospective studies are

254 needed to confirm these results.

255 Results concerning the association between parental pressure to eat and child BMI are

256 inconsistent in the literature (22). Most literature reviews concluded a negative association

257 (18, 20, 21, 25). Nevertheless, in line with the results of the current study, a literature review

258 and a recent study concluded that parental pressure to eat was not associated with children's

259 BMI (23, 39). More prospective studies are needed to explore this issue.

260 Concerning parental use of food for non-nutritional purposes, in a recent review,

261 parental use of food as a reward was positively associated with children's later BMI, with no

262 association found for parental use of food to regulate the child's emotions (23). In line with

263 these results, we did not find any association between parental use of food to regulate the

264 child's emotions but rather a positive association between parental use of food as a reward

265 and later BMI, among boys only. Further studies are needed to confirm this result and to

266 investigate the mechanisms that could explain the potential moderating effect of child sex.

267 If some studies examined whether children's eating behaviors could lie on the pathway

268 between parental feeding practices and child BMI (40), to our knowledge, no study has

269 examined whether parental feeding practices could lie on the pathway between early and later

270 BMI. Because parental feeding practices are modifiable factors that could be targeted by

271 strategies to prevent childhood obesity, it seems important to understand their potential role in

272 this association. In the current study, parental feeding practices were not moderating factors in

273 the associations between early and later BMI. In others words, favoring a given feeding

274 practice does not appear to be a promising lever to inflect the BMI curve. Moreover, parental

275 restriction for weight lied on the pathway between early and later child BMI. Overall, our

276 results suggest that parental restriction for weight does not seem effective in limiting later

277 child BMI and could even be counterproductive, and should therefore be avoiding by parents

278 to limit childhood obesity. These findings are contradictory to what was found in adult

279 populations, in which cognitive restraint seems to attenuate the association between genetic

280 susceptibility to obesity and BMI (41). For children, parents seem to use these restrictive

281 feeding practices to deal with their child's high appetite in toddlerhood (31). Differences

282 regarding potential impact of restriction on BMI among children and adults could be

283 explained in part by adults choosing to restrict their own food intake, whereas young children

284 do not decide for themselves. Interestingly, contrary to restriction for weight, restriction for

285 health was not a mediating factor in the associations between early and later child BMI. This

286 difference could partly be explained by what distinguishes these restrictive feeding practices.

287 Indeed, restriction for health (i.e., parental control of the child's food intake with the purpose

288 of limiting less healthy foods and sweets (30)) could refer to the restriction of foods with high

289 levels of salt, sugar or fat, whereas restriction for weight (i.e., parental control of the child's

290 food intake with the purpose of decreasing or maintaining the child's weight (30)) could refer

291 to the restriction of food quantities consumed by the child, in order to limit child's later BMI.

292 These results suggest that parental restriction per se could not be problematic, but parental

293 way of doing it. Moreover, as it is suggested in the literature that parental restriction could be

294 perceived or not by the child (overt vs covert restriction) (42), distinguishing the nature of the

295 parental restriction in the associations between parental feeding practices and growth will be

296 of great interest. Future studies are warranted to investigate how to advise parents whose

297 children are perceived as having a high appetite in toddlerhood to prevent the risk of

298 childhood obesity.

299   Most previous studies investigating associations between parental feeding practices

300   and child growth focused on controlling feeding practices, so further studies focusing on

301   parental responsive feeding practices are needed.

302   In the present study, parental feeding practices were reported by parents, which could

303   imply a social desirability bias (43). To limit this bias, parental feeding practices were

304   assessed by using a validated questionnaire (30) applicable in a French sample (29). The

305   internal consistency of parental feeding practices was acceptable, except for the scale of

306   parental use of food as a reward, which had a low Cronbach α, thus limiting the interpretation

307   of the results concerning this feeding practice. However, our results concerning this feeding

308   practice seem to be consistent with those of the literature (23), thereby suggesting the

309   reliability of the present findings. Families included in the EDEN mother–child cohort have a

310   higher socio-economic position than the French population (26); hence, these findings should

311   be confirmed in more vulnerable families.

312   This study highlights that restriction for weight, often used by parents to deal with

313   children's high appetite in infancy, may lie on the pathway between early and later BMI.

314   Future longitudinal studies are needed to identify which parental feeding practices, notably

315   responsive feeding practices, could attenuate the association between early and BMI later in

316   childhood in order to target them in childhood obesity prevention strategies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CG and BLG designed the research, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. AHC analysed the data. BH and MAC oversaw the EDEN study. BH and MAC were responsible for data collection in EDEN. BLG had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors reviewed drafts, provided critical feedback, read and approved the final manuscript, were responsible for the final content of the paper and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have nothing to disclose.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data underlying the findings cannot be made freely available for ethical and legal restrictions imposed because this study includes a substantial number of variables that together could be used to re-identify the participants based on a few key characteristics and then be used to access other personal data. Therefore, the French ethics authority strictly forbids making these data freely available. However, they can be obtained upon request from the EDEN principal investigator. Readers may contact barbara.heude@inserm.fr to request

341   the data. The code book and analytic code will be made available upon request pending

342   application and approval.

REFERENCES

1.   World Health Organization. Obesity and overweight: World Health Organization (WHO) Press; 2021 [Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight.

2.   Reilly JJ, Kelly J. Long-term impact of overweight and obesity in childhood and adolescence on morbidity and premature mortality in adulthood: systematic review. Int J Obes (Lond). 2011;35(7):891-8.

3.   Anzman SL, Rollins BY, Birch LL. Parental influence on children's early eating environments and obesity risk: implications for prevention. Int J Obes (Lond). 2010;34(7):1116-24.

4.   Ashcroft J, Semmler C, Carnell S, van Jaarsveld CH, Wardle J. Continuity and stability of eating behaviour traits in children. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2008;62(8):985-90.

5.   Nicklaus S, Boggio V, Chabanet C, Issanchou S. A prospective study of food variety seeking in childhood, adolescence and early adult life. Appetite. 2005;44(3):289-97.

6.   Nicklaus S, Remy E. Early origins of overeating: tracking between early food habits and later eating patterns. Curr Obes Rep. 2013;2(2):179-84.

7.   de Lauzon-Guillain B, Koudou YA, Botton J, Forhan A, Carles S, Pelloux V, et al. Association between genetic obesity susceptibility and mother-reported eating behaviour in children up to 5 years. Pediatr Obes. 2019;14(5):e12496.

8.   van Jaarsveld CH, Llewellyn CH, Johnson L, Wardle J. Prospective associations between appetitive traits and weight gain in infancy. Am J Clin Nutr. 2011;94(6):1562-7.

9.   Kininmonth A, Smith A, Carnell S, Steinsbekk S, Fildes A, Llewellyn C. The association between childhood adiposity and appetite assessed using the Child Eating Behavior Questionnaire and Baby Eating Behavior Questionnaire: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2021;2(5).

10.   Derks IP, Sijbrands EJG, Wake M, Qureshi F, van der Ende J, Hillegers MHJ, et al. Eating behavior and body composition across childhood: a prospective cohort study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2018;15(1):96.

11.   Steinsbekk S, Wichstrøm L. Predictors of Change in BMI From the Age of 4 to 8. J Pediatr Psychol. 2015;40(10):1056-64.

12.   Grammer AC, Balantekin KN, Barch DM, Markson L, Wilfley DE. Parent-Child Influences on child eating self-regulation and weight in early childhood: A systematic review. Appetite. 2021:105733.

13.   Brown R, Ogden J. Children's eating attitudes and behaviour: a study of the modelling and control theories of parental influence. Health Educ Res. 2004;19(3):261-71.

14.   Carper JL, Orlet Fisher J, Birch LL. Young girls' emerging dietary restraint and disinhibition are related to parental control in child feeding. Appetite. 2000;35(2):121-9.

15.   Savage JS, Fisher JO, Birch LL. Parental influence on eating behavior: conception to adolescence. J Law Med Ethics. 2007;35(1):22-34.

16.   Haines J, Downing KL, Tang L, Campbell KJ, Hesketh KD. Associations between maternal concern about child's weight and related behaviours and maternal weight-related parenting practices: a cross-sectional study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2018;15(1):104.

17.   Patel C, Karasouli E, Shuttlewood E, Meyer C. Food Parenting Practices among Parents with Overweight and Obesity: A Systematic Review. Nutrients. 2018;10(12).

18.   Ventura AK, Birch LL. Does parenting affect children's eating and weight status? Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2008;5:15.

19.   Vaughn AE, Ward DS, Fisher JO, Faith MS, Hughes SO, Kremers SP, et al. Fundamental constructs in food parenting practices: a content map to guide future research. Nutr Rev. 2016;74(2):98-117.

20.   Clark HR, Goyder E, Bissell P, Blank L, Peters J. How do parents' child-feeding behaviours influence child weight? Implications for childhood obesity policy. J Public Health (Oxf). 2007;29(2):132-41.

21.   Shloim N, Edelson LR, Martin N, Hetherington MM. Parenting Styles, Feeding Styles, Feeding Practices, and Weight Status in 4-12 Year-Old Children: A Systematic Review of the Literature. Front Psychol. 2015;6:1849.

22.   Loth KA, Fulkerson JA, Neumark-Sztainer D. Food-related parenting practices and child and adolescent weight and weight-related behaviors. Clin Pract (Lond). 2014;11(2):207-20.

23.   Beckers D, Karssen LT, Vink JM, Burk WJ, Larsen JK. Food parenting practices and Children's weight outcomes: A systematic review of prospective studies. Appetite. 2020:105010.

24.   Faith MS, Scanlon KS, Birch LL, Francis LA, Sherry B. Parent-child feeding strategies and their relationships to child eating and weight status. Obes Res. 2004;12(11):1711-22.

25.   Ruzicka EB, Darling KE, Sato AF. Controlling child feeding practices and child weight: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2020;22(3).

26.   Heude B, Forhan A, Slama R, Douhaud L, Bedel S, Saurel-Cubizolles MJ, et al. Cohort Profile: The EDEN mother-child cohort on the prenatal and early postnatal determinants of child health and development. Int J Epidemiol. 2016;45(2):353-63.

27.   Botton J, Heude B, Maccario J, Ducimetière P, Charles MA. Postnatal weight and height growth velocities at different ages between birth and 5 y and body composition in adolescent boys and girls. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87(6):1760-8.

28.   Cole TJ, Lobstein T. Extended international (IOTF) body mass index cut-offs for thinness, overweight and obesity. Pediatric obesity. 2012;7(4):284-94.

29.   Musher-Eizenman D, de Lauzon-Guillain B, Holub SC, Leporc E, Charles MA. Child and parent characteristics related to parental feeding practices. A cross-cultural examination in the US and France. Appetite. 2009;52(1):89-95.

30.   Musher-Eizenman D, Holub S. Comprehensive Feeding Practices Questionnaire: validation of a new measure of parental feeding practices. J Pediatr Psychol. 2007;32(8):960-72.

31.   Guivarch C, Charles MA, Forhan A, Ong KK, Heude B, de Lauzon-Guillain B. Associations between Children's Genetic Susceptibility to Obesity, Infant's Appetite and Parental Feeding Practices in Toddlerhood. Nutrients. 2021;13(5).

32.   Ferguson KD, McCann M, Katikireddi SV, Thomson H, Green MJ, Smith DJ, et al. Evidence synthesis for constructing directed acyclic graphs (ESC-DAGs): a novel and systematic method for building directed acyclic graphs. Int J Epidemiol. 2020;49(1):322-9.

33.   Hayes AF. Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach: Guilford publications; 2017.

34.   Vanderweele TJ, Vansteelandt S. Conceptual issues concerning mediation, interventions and composition. Stat Interface. 2009;2(4):457-68.

35.   Valeri L, Vanderweele TJ. Mediation analysis allowing for exposure-mediator interactions and causal interpretation: theoretical assumptions and implementation with SAS and SPSS macros. Psychol Methods. 2013;18(2):137-50.

36.   VanderWeele TJ. A unification of mediation and interaction: a 4-way decomposition. Epidemiology. 2014;25(5):749-61.

37.   Andridge RR, Little RJ. The Use of Sample Weights in Hot Deck Imputation. J Off Stat. 2009;25(1):21-36.

38.   Birch LL, Fisher JO, Grimm-Thomas K, Markey CN, Sawyer R, Johnson SL. Confirmatory factor analysis of the Child Feeding Questionnaire: a measure of parental

442 attitudes, beliefs and practices about child feeding and obesity proneness. Appetite.
443 2001;36(3):201-10.
444 39.   Quah PL, Ng JC, Fries LR, Chan MJ, Aris IM, Lee YS, et al. Longitudinal Analysis
445 Between Maternal Feeding Practices and Body Mass Index (BMI): A Study in Asian
446 Singaporean Preschoolers. Front Nutr. 2019;6:32.
447 40.   Boswell N, Byrne R, Davies PSW. An examination of children's eating behaviours as
448 mediators of the relationship between parents' feeding practices and early childhood body
449 mass index z-scores. Obes Sci Pract. 2019;5(2):168-76.
450 41.   de Lauzon-Guillain B, Clifton EA, Day FR, Clement K, Brage S, Forouhi NG, et al.
451 Mediation and modification of genetic susceptibility to obesity by eating behaviors. Am J
452 Clin Nutr. 2017;106(4):996-1004.
453 42.   Ogden J, Reynolds R, Smith A. Expanding the concept of parental control: a role for
454 overt and covert control in children's snacking behaviour? Appetite. 2006;47(1):100-6.
455 43.   Bergmeier HJ, Skouteris H, Hetherington M. Systematic research review of
456 observational approaches used to evaluate mother-child mealtime interactions during
457 preschool years. Am J Clin Nutr. 2015;101(1):7-15.

458

459 **FIGURE LEGEND**

460 Figure 1. Flow of participants in the study

TABLES

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population (n=1245)

	% (n) or Mean (SD) or Median (Q1-Q3)	Missing value % (n) [a]
Parental characteristics		
Center		0
Poitiers	47.6% (593)	
Nancy	52.4% (652)	
Maternal age at delivery (year)	29.9 (4.7)	0
Primiparous	47.6% (592)	0.1% (2)
Maternal education level		0.5% (7)
< High school diploma	22.1% (275)	
High school diploma	17.7% (220)	
2 years university degree	23.8% (296)	
≥ 5 years university degree	36.5% (454)	
Household income (€/month)		0.6% (9)
≤ 1500	11.1% (138)	
1501-2300	29.7% (370)	
2301-3000	28.4% (354)	
> 3000	30.8% (383)	
Smoker during pregnancy	21.3% (265)	2.2% (31)
Maternal BMI before pregnancy (kg/m^2)	23.1 (4.3)	1.9% (27)
Paternal BMI before pregnancy (kg/m^2)	25.0 (3.5)	7.0% (98)
Parental feeding practices (1-5 scores)		
Restriction for health	3.4 (1.0)	0
Restriction for weight	1.7 (0.6)	0
Pressure to eat	2.3 (0.8)	0
Food as a reward	1.3 (1.0 - 1.7)	0
Emotional feeding	1.3 (1.0 - 1.7)	0
Child characteristics		
Boys	52.0% (647)	0
Birth weight (kg)	3.3 (0.5)	0
Preterm birth (< 37 gestational weeks)	5.4% (67)	0
Any breastfeeding duration (month)		0.1% (1)
< 1	32.9% (410)	
1 to < 4	31.5% (392)	
≥ 4	35.6% (443)	
4-to-24-month appetite		0
Low	10.8% (135)	
Intermediate	78.3% (975)	
High	10.8% (135)	
IOTF BMI z-scores		
At 2 years	-0.4 (0.9)	0
At 4 years	-0.1 (0.9)	0
At 6 years	0.3 (0.9)	0
At 8 years	0.2 (0.9)	0

IOTF: International Obesity Task Force.

[a] These missing values were imputed using the hot deck method (37), and were used in

supplemental analyses (after hot deck imputation, N=1401).

466   **Table 2. Associations between parental feeding practices and child BMI z-scores (main sample)**

	4-year BMI z-score		6-year BMI z-score		8-year BMI z-score	
	β [95% CI]	p	β [95% CI]	p	β [95% CI]	p
Unadjusted analyses (N=1245)						
Restriction for health (1-5 score)	0.05 [0.00; 0.10]	0.05	0.06 [0.01; 0.10]	0.02	0.06 [0.01; 0.11]	0.01
Restriction for weight (1-5 score)	0.33 [0.25; 0.41]	<0.0001	0.27 [0.19; 0.35]	<0.0001	0.23 [0.15; 0.31]	<0.0001
Pressure to eat (1-5 score)	-0.10 [-0.16; -0.04]	0.001	-0.08 [-0.14; -0.02]	0.01	-0.07 [-0.13; -0.01]	0.03
High emotional feeding [a]	0.08 [-0.02; 0.19]	0.1	0.08 [-0.02; 0.17]	0.1	0.07 [-0.03; 0.17]	0.2
Adjusted analyses (N=1245) [b]						
Restriction for health (1-5 score)	0.01 [-0.02; 0.03]	0.7	0.02 [-0.01; 0.06]	0.2	0.04 [0.00; 0.08]	0.08
Restriction for weight (1-5 score)	0.05 [0.00; 0.09]	0.03	0.07 [0.01; 0.14]	0.02	0.09 [0.01; 0.16]	0.02
Pressure to eat (1-5 score)	0.00 [-0.03; 0.03]	0.9	0.00 [-0.04; 0.05]	1	0.00 [-0.05; 0.05]	1
High emotional feeding [a]	0.01 [-0.04; 0.06]	0.7	0.02 [-0.06; 0.09]	0.6	0.02 [-0.06; 0.11]	0.6
Adjusted analyses, after imputation (N=1401) [b]						
Restriction for health (1-5 score)	0.01 [-0.01; 0.04]	0.2	0.04 [0.00; 0.07]	0.04	0.05 [0.01; 0.09]	0.01
Restriction for weight (1-5 score)	0.05 [0.01; 0.09]	0.01	0.08 [0.02; 0.14]	0.008	0.10 [0.03; 0.17]	0.008
Pressure to eat (1-5 score)	0.00 [-0.03; 0.03]	1	0.00 [-0.04; 0.04]	1	0.00 [-0.06; 0.05]	0.8
High emotional feeding [a]	0.02 [-0.03; 0.07]	0.4	0.03 [-0.04; 0.10]	0.4	0.03 [-0.05; 0.11]	0.5

467   [a] High parental emotional feeding is defined by a score equal to or above the median.

468   [b] Linear regression models adjusted for study center, maternal age at delivery, primiparity, maternal education level, household income, maternal

469   smoking status during pregnancy, parental BMI, child sex, preterm birth, any breastfeeding duration, 4-to-24-month appetite and 2-year BMI z-

470   score.

471   One model was performed for each parental feeding practice and at each age.

472 **Table 3. Associations between parental use of food as a reward and child BMI z-scores, by child sex**

Exposure: high use of food as a reward [a]	4-year BMI z-score β [95% CI]	p	6-year BMI z-score β [95% CI]	p	8-year BMI z-score β [95% CI]	p
Boys						
Unadjusted analyses (N=1245)	0.01 [-0.14; 0.16]	0.9	0.06 [-0.07; 0.20]	0.4	0.08 [-0.06; 0.22]	0.2
Adjusted analyses (N=1245) [b]	0.09 [0.02; 0.17]	0.01	0.14 [0.03; 0.25]	0.01	0.15 [0.03; 0.27]	0.01
Adjusted analyses, after imputation (N=1401) [b]	0.08 [0.01; 0.15]	0.03	0.12 [0.02; 0.22]	0.02	0.14 [0.02; 0.26]	0.02
Girls						
Unadjusted analyses (N=1245)	0.09 [-0.05; 0.23]	0.2	0.09 [-0.04; 0.23]	0.2	0.10 [-0.04; 0.24]	0.2
Adjusted analyses (N=1245) [b]	-0.04 [-0.11; 0.04]	0.3	-0.03 [-0.13; 0.08]	0.6	0.00 [-0.13; 0.12]	0.9
Adjusted analyses, after imputation (N=1401) [b]	-0.02 [-0.09; 0.05]	0.6	0.00 [-0.10; 0.10]	1	0.02 [-0.10; 0.14]	0.8

473 [a] High parental use of food as a reward is defined by a score equal to or above the median.

474 [b] Linear regression models adjusted for study center, maternal age at delivery, primiparity, maternal education level, household income, maternal

475 smoking status during pregnancy, parental BMI, preterm birth, any breastfeeding duration, 4-to-24-month appetite and 2-year BMI z-score.

476 One model was performed for each parental feeding practice and at each age.

Table 4. Mediation analyses of parental restriction for weight in the associations between 2-year BMI and later BMI

	4-year BMI z-score		6-year BMI z-score		8-year BMI z-score	
	β [95% CI]	p	β [95% CI]	p	β [95% CI]	p
Exposure: 2-year BMI z-score, complete-case analyses (N=1245)						
Total effect	0.86 [0.83; 0.89]	<0.0001	0.59 [0.55; 0.64]	<0.0001	0.44 [0.39; 0.49]	<0.0001
Natural direct effect	0.85 [0.82; 0.88]	<0.0001	0.58 [0.54; 0.63]	<0.0001	0.42 [0.37; 0.48]	<0.0001
Natural indirect effect	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	0.04	0.01 [0.00; 0.02]	0.03	0.01 [0.00; 0.02]	0.03
Percentage mediated by restriction for weight	0.78 [0.03; 1.52]	0.04	1.69 [0.17; 3.21]	0.03	2.69 [0.27; 5.11]	0.03
Exposure: 2-year BMI z-score, imputed analyses (N=1401)						
Total effect	0.86 [0.83; 0.89]	<0.0001	0.59 [0.55; 0.63]	<0.0001	0.43 [0.38; 0.48]	<0.0001
Natural direct effect	0.85 [0.82; 0.88]	<0.0001	0.58 [0.54; 0.62]	<0.0001	0.42 [0.37; 0.47]	<0.0001
Natural indirect effect	0.01 [0.00; 0.01]	0.02	0.01 [0.00; 0.02]	0.01	0.01 [0.00; 0.02]	0.01
Percentage mediated by restriction for weight	0.88 [0.14; 1.61]	0.02	1.96 [0.43; 3.50]	0.01	3.14 [0.68; 5.59]	0.01

478 Mediation analyses adjusted for study centre, maternal age at delivery, primiparity, maternal education level, household income, maternal

479 smoking status during pregnancy, parental BMI, child sex, preterm birth, any breastfeeding duration and 4-to-24-month appetite.

480 One mediation analysis was performed at each age.

Parental feeding practices as potential moderating or mediating factors in the associations between children's early and later growth. Guivarch C., Cissé A.H., Charles MA., Heude B., de Lauzon-Guillain B. Supplemental Material.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Table S1. Mediation analyses of parental use of food as a reward in the associations between 2-year BMI and later BMI, among boys only

	4-year BMI z-score		6-year BMI z-score		8-year BMI z-score	
	β [95% CI]	p	β [95% CI]	p	β [95% CI]	p
Exposure: 2-year BMI z-score, complete-case analyses (N=647)						
Total effect	0.88 [0.84; 0.93]	<0.0001	0.61 [0.55; 0.67]	<0.0001	0.43 [0.37; 0.50]	<0.0001
Natural direct effect	0.88 [0.84; 0.93]	<0.0001	0.61 [0.55; 0.67]	<0.0001	0.44 [0.37; 0.50]	<0.0001
Natural indirect effect	0.00 [-0.01; 0.00]	0.7	0.00 [-0.01; 0.01]	0.7	0.00 [-0.01; 0.01]	0.7
Percentage mediated by use of food as a reward	-0.11 [-0.62; 0.40]	0.7	-0.23 [-1.32; 0.85]	0.7	-0.36 [-2.02; 1.31]	0.7
Exposure: 2-year BMI z-score, imputed analyses (N=729)						
Total effect	0.88 [0.84; 0.92]	<0.0001	0.60 [0.54; 0.66]	<0.0001	0.43 [0.36; 0.50]	<0.0001
Natural direct effect	0.88 [0.84; 0.92]	<0.0001	0.61 [0.55; 0.66]	<0.0001	0.43 [0.36; 0.50]	<0.0001
Natural indirect effect	0.00 [-0.01; 0.00]	0.5	0.00 [-0.01; 0.00]	0.5	0.00 [-0.01; 0.00]	0.5
Percentage mediated by use of food as a reward	-0.14 [-0.56; 0.28]	0.5	-0.32 [-1.26; 0.61]	0.5	-0.53 [-2.06; 1.01]	0.5

Mediation analyses adjusted for study centre, maternal age at delivery, primiparity, maternal education level, household income, maternal smoking status during pregnancy, parental BMI, preterm birth, any breastfeeding duration and 4-to-24-month appetite.

One mediation analysis was performed at each age.

Parental feeding practices as potential moderating or mediating factors in the associations between children's early and later growth. Guivarch C., Cissé A.H., Charles MA., Heude B., de Lauzon-Guillain B. Supplemental Material.

Table S2. STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(*a*) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	3
		(*b*) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	4-5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	5-8
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5-8
Participants	6	(*a*) *Cohort study*—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up *Case-control study*—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls *Cross-sectional study*—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	5-8
		(*b*) *Cohort study*—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed *Case-control study*—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	NA
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-9
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-9
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	7-8 Figure 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	6-9
Statistical methods	12	(*a*) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	8-9
		(*b*) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	8-9
		(*c*) Explain how missing data were addressed	9

Parental feeding practices as potential moderating or mediating factors in the associations between children's early and later growth. Guivarch C., Cissé A.H., Charles MA., Heude B., de Lauzon-Guillain B. Supplemental Material.

		(*d*) *Cohort study*—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
		Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
		(*e*) Describe any sensitivity analyses	8-9
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Figure 1
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	7-8 Figure 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	9-10 Table 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1
		(c) *Cohort study*—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
Outcome data	15*	*Cohort study*—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Table 1
		*Case-control study—*Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	
Main results	16	(*a*) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	10-11 Tables 2, 3, 4
		(*b*) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	6-7
		(*c*) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	9-11 Suppl. table 1
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	11
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11-14
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other	11-14

Parental feeding practices as potential moderating or mediating factors in the associations between children's early and later growth. Guivarch C., Cissé A.H., Charles MA., Heude B., de Lauzon-Guillain B. Supplemental Material.

		relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	13-14

Other information

Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	1-2

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.