

# Potential of fluorescent tracers to appraise biochar amendment strategies for pesticide mitigation - insights from comparative sorption

Seynabou Sene, Jeanne Dollinger, Claude Hammecker, Manon Lagacherie, Sandrine Negro, Anatja Samouëlian

# ▶ To cite this version:

Seynabou Sene, Jeanne Dollinger, Claude Hammecker, Manon Lagacherie, Sandrine Negro, et al.. Potential of fluorescent tracers to appraise biochar amendment strategies for pesticide mitigation - insights from comparative sorption. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2023, 10.1007/s11356-023-28821-1. hal-04178105

# HAL Id: hal-04178105 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04178105v1

Submitted on 7 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1	Potential of fluorescent tracers to appraise biochar amendment strategies for pesticide
2	mitigation – insights from comparative sorption
3	Seynabou Sene <sup>1</sup> , Jeanne Dollinger <sup>*1</sup> , Claude Hammecker <sup>1</sup> , Manon Lagacherie <sup>1</sup> , Sandrine Negro <sup>1</sup> ,
4	Anatja Samouelian <sup>1</sup>
5	
6	(1): UMR LISAH, Université Montpellier, INRAE, IRD, Institut Agro, 34060 Montpellier, France
7	(*): corresponding author : <u>jeanne.dollinger@inrae.fr</u>

8

9 Abstract: Mitigation of pesticides dispersion in soil and water is required to protect ecosystem health and the anthropic uses of water bodies. Biochar amendments have been suggested to reduce 10 11 pesticide dispersion due to their high sorption potentials. Nevertheless, appraisals at different scales 12 have been limited by the costs of pesticide analyses. The aim of this study was to evaluate the 13 potential of two fluorescent tracers, uranine (UR) and sulforhodamine B (SRB) for use as pesticide 14 proxies in the context of biochar amendments used for mitigation purposes. Therefore, we compared 15 the sorption processes of both fluorescent tracers and those of three pesticides, glyphosate, 2,4-D 16 and difenoconazole for soils, three wood biochars (pine, oak & beech/charm blend) and soil/biochar 17 mixtures representing agricultural usages. The results showed that the sorption of glyphosate by soil 18 was unaffected by amendment with the tested pine, oak and wood blend biochars. In contrast, the 19 sorption coefficients of UR, SRB, 2,4-D and difenoconazole were significantly increased with these 20 biochar amendments. SRB, in particular, exhibited sorption behavior similar to that of the 21 hydrophobic fungicide difenoconazole. This indicate promise for the use of SRB as a proxy for 22 hydrophobic pesticides, in testing biochar amendments.

Keywords: Biochar; Fluorescent dyes; Pesticides; Best management practices; Sorption; Pollution;
 Water quality

25

# 26 Introduction

27

Dispersion of pesticides applied on fields to protect the crops from pests and weeds threatens the health of nearby ecosystems and the human use of water bodies (Tang et al., 2012). Contamination of groundwater, freshwater and terrestrial ecosystems by pesticides is a worldwide phenomenon (Sharma et al., 2019; Tang et al., 2021). To limit the risks to humans and the ecosystems, best management practices (BMPs) are encouraged in agricultural policies (Dosskey et al., 2010; Martinho, 2019; Reichenberger et al., 2007). The use of traditional BMPs for pesticide mitigation is currently being criticized due to maintenance costs and to the high ecological value of these vegetated buffer zones as corridors and shelters for biodiversity (Dollinger et al., 2015a; Dosskey,
2001; Leenhardt et al., 2022). Amendment of crop soils with treated organic wastes provides an
alternative strategy for mitigating pesticide dispersion, and it has additional benefits for crop
production (plant nutrition, soil quality), climate change mitigation and waste disposal (Briceño et al.,
2007; Houot et al., 2014).

40 Biochar has been praised among a range of possible amendments due to the high sorption affinity of 41 many pesticides for this material (Liu et al., 2018; Safaei Khorram et al., 2016; Yavari et al., 2015), and 42 its utility in crop production and carbon sequestration (Ding et al., 2017; Lévesque et al., 2022; Siedt 43 et al., 2021). Biochars are carbon-rich materials obtained by the pyrolysis of organic materials under 44 oxygen-limited atmospheres. Depending on the feedstock, pyrolysis temperature and duration, 45 biochars can develop contrasting physico-chemical properties (specific surface area, porosity, surface 46 functional groups, pH, CEC etc.) that modulate their efficiencies for pesticide retention (Dai et al., 47 2019; Khorram et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Yavari et al., 2015). Biochar ageing after amendment 48 might modify these properties and the sorption affinities of pesticides for these materials (Martin et 49 al., 2012).

The literature contains many studies of pesticide sorption on fresh biochar. However, field scale appraisals of biochar amendment strategies that integrate the long term effects of ageing on pesticide release are lacking (Ding et al., 2017; Safaei Khorram et al., 2016). These evaluations are particularly limited by the high cost of pesticide analyses. Therefore, the use of fluorescent tracers as proxies for pesticides to upscale the evaluation of this mitigation strategy could be a cost-effective alternative.

56 Fluorescent dyes such as uranine (UR) and sulforhodamine B (SRB) were historically used as 57 hydrological tracers to characterize surface and subsurface water flows (Flury and Wai, 2003). In the 58 past decade, research on their sorption, photolytic and degradation properties showed that they 59 could be used as proxies for pesticides when evaluating mitigation strategies such as constructed 60 wetlands, ponds or engineered ditches (Bork et al., 2020; Dollinger et al., 2017; Fernández-Pascual et 61 al., 2019; Lange et al., 2011; Ulrich et al., 2019). Behavior similar to those of moderately hydrophobic 62 herbicides was observed in the aforementioned infrastructures (Durst et al., 2013; Lange et al., 2011; 63 Maillard et al., 2016; Ulrich et al., 2019). To use the fluorescent tracers as proxies for pesticides while 64 studying their dispersion in soils and amended soils, the sorption coefficients of the tracers and targeted pesticides must be similar (Bork et al., 2020; Dollinger et al., 2017). Indeed, sorption is the 65 66 prime process regulating the dispersion of organic contaminants (Wauchope et al., 2002).

Few studies have investigated the dependence of linear adsorption coefficients (Kd) on soil 67 properties and compared the Kd values to those for a range of herbicides. Those studies reported 68 69 that the range of soil Kd values for UR were equivalent to those of moderately hydrophobic 70 herbicides (metolachlor, diuron and isoproturon). Kd was, likewise, positively correlated with the 71 organic carbon (OC) content and negatively correlated with the pH of the soil (Bork et al., 2020; 72 Dollinger et al., 2017; Sabatini, 2000). In contrast, the Kd values for SRB with soil and sediments are 73 high, comparable to those of glyphosate, and positively correlated with the clay content and 74 negatively correlated with OC content (Bork et al., 2020; Dollinger et al., 2017). For materials rich in 75 organic matter such as litters, the Kd values of both tracers (UR and SRB) are high and similar to 76 those of hydrophobic herbicides but different from those of glyphosate. To our knowledge, there are no data for sorption of fluorescent tracers on biochar. 77

The study was designed to determine whether the fluorescent tracers SRB and UR could be used as proxies for pesticides in designing optimal biochar amendment strategies to improve agricultural water quality. Therefore, we hypothesized that similar sorption coefficients for the tracers and the tested pesticides with soils, biochars and soil/biochar mixtures would result in similar dispersion behaviours at the field scale.

Three pesticides that are extensively used worldwide for a wide range of crops including cereals, orchards and vineyards, were selected for this study. These pesticides are: glyphosate, a hydrophilic broad-spectrum postemergence herbicide; 2,4-D, a hydrophilic selective postemergence herbicide; and difenoconazole, a hydrophobic systemic fungicide. In addition to their extensive usage and their threats to human and ecosystem health (PPDB, 2022), these molecules were selected for their contrasting hydrophobicities and water solubilities in order to cover a range of potential behaviours.

89

90

# 91 2. Material and Methods

92

# 93 **2.1. Chemicals**

The main physico-chemical properties of the tracers and pesticides used herein are displayed in Table 1. UR is hydrophobic and exhibits high aqueous solubility. It is negatively charged above pH 8.7. In contrast, SRB is more hydrophilic and its solubility is greater than that of UR. SRB is a zwitterion at environmental pH ranges. Both SRB and UR were supplied by Fluotechnik (Cavaillon, France).

98 Glyphosate has a very high aqueous solubility and is a zwitterion at pH 10.2. 2,4-D also has a very 99 high aqueous solubility but is negatively charged at environmental pHs. Difenoconazole has low 100 aqueous solubility and is uncharged at environmental pHs. Nonlabelled glyphosate, 2,4-D and 101 difenoconazole were supplied by Merck (France) and 14C-labelled pesticides were supplied by 102 ISOBIO (Fleurus, Belgium).

103 Sodium azide and calcium chloride were supplied by Merck. All chemicals used were analytical grade.

104 Table 1 could be inserted here

105

## 106 **2.2. Origin of the soils, biochars and activated carbon**

#### 107 2.2.1 Provenance of the biochars

The studied biochars were derived from oak wood (OB), pine wood (PB), and a blend of oak, beech and charm wood named Gyé Biochar (GB). They were supplied by the Carbonex Company (Gyé-sur-Seine, France). Pyrolyses were performed at a temperature of 456 °C for 14 hours. To compare these biochars to a universal sorbent medium commonly used for water treatment, we included an activated carbon (AquaSorbTM 2000) derived from pyrolyzed mine coal.

113

# 114 2.2.2 Soil sampling

115 Two soils were sampled in a vineyard area located in Roujan, in the south of France (Molénat et al.,

116 2018). Both are calcareous loamy soils classified as gleyic cambisol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2014).

117 The main difference between the two soils lies in their organic matter contents (Table 2).

#### 118 Table 2 could be inserted here

119

# 120 **2.3. Characterization of the soils and biochars**

# 121 **2.3.1** Determination of physico-chemical properties

The values of pH, CEC, CHN and OC of the biochars and the activated carbon are presented in Table 2 and were performed by the US 49 laboratory of Cirad (Montpellier, France). The physico-chemical properties of the soils reported in Table 2 were determined at the LAS laboratory of INRAE (Arras, France). The specific surface areas of both soils and the biochars were determined by the European

126 Membranes Institute (IEMM) (Montpellier, France) with the BET method and a Micromeritics-127 Analyser ASAP 202.

128

### 129 **2.3.2** Determination of surface functional groups with mid-infrared spectroscopy

To determine the superficial chemical compositions of the solid matrices and especially the functional groups, all solid materials were analysed by Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometry (FTIR) with a MIRS Thermo Nicolet 6700 (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Madison, WI, USA). The matrices were ground and sieved at 200  $\mu$ m. They were dried at 40 °C just before the analyses performed with a diffuse reflectance cell over the range 4000 to 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> with a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

135

#### 136 **2.4. Determination of sorption coefficients**

#### 137 2.4.1 Adsorption isotherms

138 Adsorption isotherms were generated for each of the raw materials (biochars, activated carbon and 139 soils) and the selected molecules (glyphosate, 2,4-D & difenoconazole). The adsorption batch 140 procedure was designed while following OECD guideline n°106 (OECD, 2000). 14C-labelled 141 glyphosate, 2,4-D and difenoconazole were used for the experiments. The concentrations of the difenoconazole and 2,4-D solutions were 5, 10, 50, 100 and 1000  $\mu$ g/L and they were 20, 100, 200, 142 143 500 and 1000  $\mu$ g/L for the glyphosate solutions. A solution containing 100% labelled pesticide was 144 used for the three lowest concentrations and a solution of 50% labelled/nonlabelled pesticide was 145 used for the two highest concentrations to optimize quantification via liquid scintillation (LSC). The concentrations of the SRB solutions were 50, 75, 100, 500 and 1000 µg/L, and those of UR were 25, 146 147 37.5, 50, 250, and 500 µg/L. The background electrolyte comprised of 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> plus 200 mg/L 148 NaN<sub>3</sub> except for the glyphosate study for which the background electrolyte contained only NaN<sub>3</sub> to 149 avoid an artificial increase in sorption via cation bridging (Dollinger et al., 2015b). The solid-to-liquid 150 ratios for all materials were 1:10 (g/mL). The solid matrices and the pesticide or fluorescent dye 151 solutions were equilibrated in glass tubes for 24h at a shaking speed of 150 rpm. The tubes were 152 then centrifuged at 3000 rpm (1770 g) for 10 min and the supernatant was sampled and analysed. The experiments were all conducted in triplicate. 153

SRB and UR contents were analysed with a BMG Labtech-CLARIOstar fluorescent spectrophotometerbased on the method described by Lange et al. (2011). The excitation/emission wavelengths were

474/560 ± 10 nm for UR and 545/590 ± 10 nm for SRB. Labelled pesticides were analysed with a
 Tricarb liquid scintillation counter of Perkin Elmer.

158 The linear adsorption coefficients Kd were calculated with Equation (1) and the Freundlich Kf and n 159 coefficients were calculated with Equation 2 (Wauchope et al., 2002).

160Cs = Kd \* Caq(Equation 1)161 $Cs = Kf * Caq^n$ (Equation 2)

where Caq is the concentration in the aqueous phase at equilibrium ( $\mu$ g/L), Kd is the linear adsorption coefficient (L/kg), Kf ( $\mu$ g<sup>(1 - n)</sup> L<sup>n</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) and n (-) are the Freundlich coefficients and Cs the concentration in the solid material ( $\mu$ g/kg).

165

# 166 **2.4.2.** Adsorption coefficients of the soil-biochar mixtures

167 Adsorption coefficients (Kd) were also determined for soil/biochar mixtures representing the 168 agricultural amendments. The oak and pine biochars were mixed with soil S1 and S2 at rates of 1 and 169 5%, respectively. These 8 mixtures were prepared directly in glass tubes to control the masses of 170 both components (soil and biochar). For glyphosate, 2,4-D and difenoconazole, 10 mL of a 100  $\mu$ g/L 171 solution containing 50:50% 14C-labelled/nonlabelled pesticide solutions was equilibrated with 1 g of 172 the mixture for 24 h at a shaking speed of 150 rpm. The tubes were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm 173 (1770 g) for 10 min and the supernatant was sampled and analysed. The experiments were all conducted in triplicate. For SRB and UR, the experimental conditions were equivalent but the 174 175 concentration of SRB was 500  $\mu$ g/L and that of UR was 250  $\mu$ g/L.

176

## 177 2.5. Statistical analyses

Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed with R software (R Core Team, 2021) to evaluate the effects of biochar amendments in the soils (with factors being the type of soil, type and proportion of biochar amendment) on the adsorption of a given molecule. We also performed Kruskal-Wallis tests to evaluate the contrasts between the sorption coefficients of the five molecules for a given soil/biochar mixture (factor was the type of molecule). Pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests were then computed to analyse the differences between the factors.

184

185

#### 186 **3. Results and discussion**

187

# 188 **3.1.** Contrasts in reactive properties of the sorbent materials

The three biochars used as amendments in this study exhibited similar physico-chemical properties (Table 2). The pine biochar had a slightly lower pH and higher carbon content and C/N ratio than the other two biochars. The oak derived-biochar had the lowest specific surface area. Unlike the biochars, the activated carbon had an extremely high specific surface area. The soils were both loamy soil with high pH and they differed mainly in their OC contents, which was low for S1 and high for S2.

#### 194 Figure 1 could be inserted here

195 The FTIR studies of the surface functional groups (Fig. 1) revealed that there were no marked 196 differences among the 3 biochars. While the absorbance intensities differed slightly for all spectral 197 bands of the biochars, there were no differences in the peak shapes and positions. The FTIR spectra 198 indicated the presence of many functional groups on the surfaces of the biochars, including OH, CH<sub>2</sub>, 199 C=O, C=C, C-O and COO<sup>-</sup> most of which originated from hydrophobic organic molecules (Table 3). The 200 surface chemistries of the biochars contrasted greatly with that of the activated carbon, for which 201 absolutely no peaks were detected (Fig. 1). The spectra of the biochars and soil differed mainly in the 202 bands at 3700-3500 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2520-2500 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 900-400 cm<sup>-1</sup> associated to the mineral fraction (Table 3). Peaks in the 1820-1760 and 1700-1540 cm<sup>-1</sup> ranges were observed for the soils but not for the 203 204 biochars. These bands corresponded to hydrophilic organic molecules (Table 3).

# 205 Table 3 could be inserted here

206 The grain sizes of the feedstocks and the pyrolysis procedures were the same for the three types of 207 wood, which explains the great similarities of the 3 biochars. Woody feedstocks usually provide 208 biochars with high OC content and those of OB, PB and GB (80-82%) were in the high range of values 209 reported in the literature and varied from 39 to 95% (Ding et al., 2017; Lévesque et al., 2022). The 210 specific surface areas were extremely low (0.06-0.6 m<sup>2</sup>/g) compared to values reported in the 211 literature, which varied from 20 to 300 m<sup>2</sup>/g (Ding et al., 2017; Lévesque et al., 2022). This could be 212 due to the grain sizes of the feedstocks (whole wood logs), which did not allow good heat 213 conduction, and the relatively low pyrolysis temperatures (456°C). The specific surface areas of the 214 biochars were 4 to 5 orders of magnitude lower than that of the activated carbon, while the other 215 properties, except the CEC, were equivalent (Table 2). The specific surface area of the activated 216 carbon was consistent with values reported in the literature (Wang et al., 2022).

The relatively low pyrolysis temperature used to prepare the biochars likely led to the great diversity of the surface functional groups (Lévesque et al., 2022). For activated carbons, the presence and nature of the surface functional groups depend on the feedstock and the activation process (Bhatnagar et al., 2013; Rivera-Utrilla et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2022). The lack of detectable functional groups on the surface of the activated carbon (Fig. 1) was likely due both to the feedstock used (mine coal) and to the thermal activation.

223

## 3.2. Sorption mechanisms of fluorescent tracers and pesticides for the raw sorbent materials

The adsorption coefficients of the fluorescent tracers and pesticides on the raw sorbent materials are presented in Table 4. The linear and Freundlich models (Equations 1 and 2) exhibited equivalent fits to the experimental data. Moreover, the range of n values indicated a weak dependency of the sorption equilibrium on the concentrations of the contaminants, especially for the soils and biochars. Therefore, we compared sorption affinities over the range of materials and contaminants with the Kd coefficients.

For UR, SRB, difenoconazole and 2,4-D the linear adsorption coefficients (Kd) were lowest for the soils, intermediate and with approximately for the three biochars, and 1 to 3 orders of magnitude higher for the activated carbon (Table 4). The Kd values of the biochars were relatively low for 2,4-D, moderate for UR and high for SRB and difenoconazole. Glyphosate stood out from this trend with extremely low Kd values for the biochars and high values for the soils and activated carbon.

#### 236 Table 4 could be inserted here

237 The Kd values for the biochars showed a significant disparity due to the heterogeneity of the biochar 238 pellets. In addition, the extreme range of sorption affinities among the materials and molecules 239 made accurate measurement of the sorption coefficients challenging. On the one hand, the very 240 strong adsorption of the tracers and difenoconazole on the activated carbon resulted in equilibrium 241 concentrations close to the detection limit, which explains the poor fit of the experimental data. In 242 contrast, the very low level for adsorption of glyphosate on the biochars resulted in very limited 243 reductions of the initial aqueous concentrations and consequently generated uncertainty in the 244 experimental data. Increasing the solid/liquid ratio to increase the gap between the initial and 245 equilibrium aqueous concentrations was complicated because, due to their porous textures, the 246 biochars tend to absorb liquids.

247 The extremely low Kd values for glyphosate adsorption on the biochars and high Kd values 248 adsorption on the soils were consistent with the physico-chemical properties of the molecules (Table 249 1). Indeed, glyphosate is composed almost exclusively of hydrophilic groups (phosphonate, carboxylic 250 and amine), while the other molecules also contain hydrophobic groups (such as phenol/benzene 251 rings). Hydrophobic interactions are unlikely to occur for glyphosate, and anion attraction is limited 252 by the pH range of the studied materials. Given the very low specific surface areas of the biochars, 253 pore filling is likely to be a minor effect, which may explain the high Kd for activated carbon 254 (Dissanayake Herath et al., 2019) (Tables 2 and 4). Possible interactions between glyphosate and the 255 biochars are therefore limited, which explains the low Kd values (Dai et al., 2019; Ding et al., 2017). 256 Those values were consistent with those reported in the literature (Hall et al., 2018). In soils, 257 glyphosate adsorption is driven by interactions with the mineral fractions, cation bridging and 258 partitioning into the clay layers and iron/aluminium oxides (Dollinger et al., 2015b).

259 For the other molecules, PB exhibited the highest Kd values among the three biochars, which was 260 explained by its higher OC content and, most important, its lower pH. Hydrophobic interactions and 261 hydrogen bonds likely drive the interactions of these molecules with the studied biochars. This is in 262 accordance with sorption affinities, which increase in the order 2,4-D<UR<SRB≈difenoconazole. Pore 263 filling is likely a minor mechanism for these biochars given the low specific surface areas, but that 264 along with hydrophobic interactions, could explain the very high Kd seen for activated carbon. There 265 are only limited literature data for these pesticides and biochars that are not surface-activated with 266 metal ions. For UR, difenoconazole and 2,4-D, the Kd of soil S1 with a low OC content was 3 to 6 267 times lower than that of soil S2, confirming the role of the soil OC in sorption of these molecules 268 (Bork et al., 2020; Dollinger et al., 2017; Werner et al., 2013). The Kd values for SRB differ only slightly 269 for the 2 soils. The higher OC content in S2 negatively affects the Kd for SRB, but is compensated by 270 the higher clay content that drives sorption of this molecule on the soils (Bork et al., 2020; Dollinger 271 et al., 2017).

272

#### **3.3.** Comparative efficiencies of biochar soil-amendments for pesticides and the fluorescent tracers

The adsorption coefficients of the tracers and pesticides were compared for soil/biochar mixtures relevant to agricultural systems. The proportions of biochar in the mixtures were 1 and 5%, respectively. This corresponded to amendment rates of 13 to 65 t/ha for surface incorporation in crop soils (down to 10 cm) and an average soil density of 1.3 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Figure 2 presents the Kd values of the mixtures normalized with the soil Kd values.

279 Figure 2 could be inserted here

The sorption coefficients of both tracers, 2,4-D and difenoconazole were significantly affected by the biochar amendments (0.008< P-value <0.001). The effects of the biochar amendments were contrasted for the soils, the molecules and the amendment rates (Fig. 2). However, consistent with their equivalent Kd values (Table 2), the biochar type (OB or PB) did not affect the sorption coefficients of those molecules in the mixture of equivalent amendment rates. Unlike the other pesticides, biochar amendment with OB and PB did not significantly affect glyphosate sorption on soil S1 (P-value=0.4) (Fig. 2).

Amendment with 1% OB or PB only slightly modified UR and SRB sorption, but it doubled 2,4-D and difenoconazole sorption. Amendment with 5% OB or PB had a limited impact on UR sorption, doubled the level of SRB sorption and increased the sorption coefficients of 2,4-D and difenoconazole by 3 to 6 times in S1 and by 2 to 4 times in S2. The increases in Kd values for SRB, 2,4-D and difenoconazole with increased biochar amendment rates were less marked for soil S2, which had a high OC content, than for soil S1. The trends for sorption level increases with increasing biochar contents were comparable for SRB, 2,4-D and difenoconazole.

Neither the type nor the content of the biochar influenced glyphosate sorption (Fig. 2), which was consistent with the very low affinities of this herbicide for the three biochars (Table 4). The behaviour of glyphosate differed from those of UR and SRB. These tracers do not appear to be suitable proxies for glyphosate in this specific context. However, given the negligible impact of biochar amendment on its sorption level, there is limited interest in further appraise of the efficiency of those biochar amendments to mitigate the dispersion of glyphosate in the environment.

SRB seems able to react to discrepancies in sorption behaviour related to the soil type and biochar amendment rate, as observed for a range of pesticides, and especially with hydrophobic pesticides such as difenoconazole. However, the absolute Kd values increased in the order 2,4-D<<SRB<difenoconazole. SRB can be a useful probe with which to compare the retention efficiency of different amendment strategies for a range of pesticides but precise values for pesticide retention might require a preliminary comparison of the Kd values.

306

307

#### 308 Conclusion

This study was undertaken to evaluate the use of fluorescent tracers, UR and SRB, as proxies for pesticides to evaluate biochar amendment strategies designed to mitigate pesticide dispersion at the field scale. This evaluation was based on the hypothesis that similar coefficients for the sorption of the tracers and the pesticides on soils, biochars and soil/biochar mixtures would result in similar

dispersion behaviours at the field scale. The results showed that, unlike activated carbon, the 313 biochars were not efficient sorbents for all types of pesticides. Over the range of tested pesticides, 314 315 the sorption affinities for the tested woody biochars increased with increasing pesticide 316 hydrophobicity. The sorption levels of the hydrophilic herbicide glyphosate was unaffected by biochar amendment, in contrast with the tracers UR and SRB. Neither tracer is therefore a good 317 proxy for glyphosate in this context. However, given its very low affinity for biochars, amendment 318 319 strategies are unlikely to reduce glyphosate dispersion in crop fields. In contrasts, the sorption levels 320 of SRB, difenoconazole, 2,4-D and, to a lesser extent, UR, were significantly increased by the biochar 321 amendments. These tracers could potentially become suitable surrogates to test the efficiencies of 322 biochar amendments to mitigate dispersion of hydrophobic pesticide.

The three woody biochars tested in this study had very similar physico-chemical properties. They all had particularly low specific surface areas and high organic carbon contents compared to the range of biochar properties described in the literature. Extending these comparative sorption studies to biochars with a wider range of properties would help provide greater insight into the potential of these tracers for use as pesticide proxiesin this specific context. In addition, the range of tested pesticides should be extended to include neutral to moderately hydrophobic molecules.

329

# 330 Acknowledgement

- 331 We want to thank Clara GARYGA, Carmelo JEAN-LOUIS and Florinne LACAZE who contributed to data
- collection as part of their MSc studies. We also want to thank the Carbonex company for providing
- the biochars and funding for the experiments.

334

# 335 References

- Bhatnagar, A., Hogland, W., Marques, M., Sillanpää, M., 2013. An overview of the modification
   methods of activated carbon for its water treatment applications. Chem. Eng. J. 219, 499–
- 338 511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.12.038
- Bork, M., Lange, J., Graf-Rosenfellner, M., Lang, F., 2020. Controls of fluorescent tracer retention by
   soils and sediments. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 24, 977–989. https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-24 977-2020
- Briceño, G., Palma, G., Durán, N., 2007. Influence of Organic Amendment on the Biodegradation and
  Movement of Pesticides. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37, 233–271.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380600987406
- Cocozza, C., D'Orazio, V., Miano, T.M., Shotyk, W., 2003. Characterization of solid and aqueous
   phases of a peat bog profile using molecular fluorescence spectroscopy, ESR and FT-IR, and
   comparison with physical properties. Org. Geochem. 34, 49–60.
- 348 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(02)00208-5

- 349 Cox, R.J., Peterson, H.L., Young, J., Cusik, C., Espinoza, E.O., 2000. The forensic analysis of soil organic 350 by FTIR. Forensic Sci. Int. 108, 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(99)00203-0
- 351 Dai, Y., Zhang, N., Xing, C., Cui, Q., Sun, Q., 2019. The adsorption, regeneration and engineering 352 applications of biochar for removal organic pollutants: A review. Chemosphere 223, 12–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.161 353
- Ding, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, S., Huang, X., Li, Z., Tan, X., Zeng, G., Zhou, L., 2017. Potential Benefits of Biochar 354 in Agricultural Soils: A Review. Pedosphere 27, 645-661. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-355 356 0160(17)60375-8
- 357 Dissanayake Herath, G.A., Poh, L.S., Ng, W.J., 2019. Statistical optimization of glyphosate adsorption 358 by biochar and activated carbon with response surface methodology. Chemosphere 227, 359 533–540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.04.078
- 360 Dollinger, J., Dagès, C., Bailly, J.-S., Lagacherie, P., Voltz, M., 2015a. Managing ditches for 361 agroecological engineering of landscape. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 35, 999–1020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-015-0301-6 362
- 363 Dollinger, J., Dagès, C., Voltz, M., 2017. Using fluorescent dyes as proxies to study herbicide removal by sorption in buffer zones. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 24, 11752–11763. 364 365 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8703-4
- 366 Dollinger, J., Dagès, C., Voltz, M., 2015b. Glyphosate sorption to soils and sediments predicted by 367 pedotransfer functions. Environ. Chem. Lett. 13, 293–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-368 015-0515-5
- 369 Dosskey, M.G., 2001. Toward quantifying water pollution abatement in response to installing buffers 370 on crop land. Environ. Manage. 28, 577–598. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002670010245
- 371 Dosskey, M.G., Vidon, P., Gurwick, N.P., Allan, C.J., Duval, T.P., Lowrance, R., 2010. The Role of 372 Riparian Vegetation in Protecting and Improving Chemical Water Quality in Streams1. JAWRA J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 46, 261–277. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2010.00419.x 373
- 374 Durst, R., Imfeld, G., Lange, J., 2013. Transport of pesticides and artificial tracers in vertical-flow lab-375 scale wetlands. Water Resour. Res. 49, 554–564. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20080
- 376 Ellerbrock, R.H., Kaiser, M., 2005. Stability and composition of different soluble soil organic matter 377 fractions-evidence from δ13C and FTIR signatures. Geoderma, Mechanisms and regulation of 378 organic matter stabilisation in soils 128, 28–37. 379
  - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.12.025
- Fernández-Pascual, E., Zaman, S., Bork, M., Lang, F., Lange, J., 2019. Long-term mesocosm 380 381 experiments to investigate degradation of fluorescent tracers. J. Hydrol. X 2, 100014. 382 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydroa.2018.100014
- 383 Flury, M., Wai, N.N., 2003. Dyes as tracers for vadose zone hydrology. Rev. Geophys. 41. 384 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001RG000109
- Hall, K.E., Spokas, K.A., Gamiz, B., Cox, L., Papiernik, S.K., Koskinen, W.C., 2018. Glyphosate 385 386 sorption/desorption on biochars – interactions of physical and chemical processes. Pest Manag. Sci. 74, 1206-1212. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4530 387
- 388 Houot, S., Pons, M.N., Pradel, M., Tibi, A., Aubry, C., Augusto, L., Barbier, R., Benoît, P., Brugère, H., 389 Caillaud, M.A., Casellas, M., Chatelet, A., Dabert, P., De Mareschal, S., Doussan, I., Etrillard, 390 C., Fuchs, J., Génermont, S., Giamberini, L., Hélias, A., Jardé, E., Le Perchec, S., Lupton, S., 391 Marron, N., Ménasseri, S., Mollier, A., More, C., Mougin, C., Nguyen, C., Parnaudeau, V., 392 Patureau, D., Pourcher, A.M., Rychen, G., Savini, I., Smolders, E., Topp, E., Vieublé, L., Viguié, 393 C., 2014. Valorisation des matières fertilisantes d'origine résiduaire sur les sols à usage 394 agricole ou forestier, impacts agronomiques, environnementaux, socio-économiques. 395 (Expertise scientifique collective). INRA -CNRS -IRSTEA, France.
- Khorram, M.S., Sarmah, A.K., Yu, Y., 2018. The Effects of Biochar Properties on Fomesafen 396 397 Adsorption-Desorption Capacity of Biochar-Amended Soil. Water. Air. Soil Pollut. 229, 60. 398 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-017-3603-2
- 399 Lange, J., Schuetz, T., Gregoire, C., Elsässer, D., Schulz, R., Passeport, E., Tournebize, J., 2011. Multi-400 tracer experiments to characterise contaminant mitigation capacities for different types of

401 artificial wetlands. Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 91, 768–785. 402 https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2010.525635 Leenhardt, S., Mamy, L., Pesce, S., Sanchez, W., Achard, A.L., Amichot, M., Artigas, J., Aviron, S., 403 404 Barthélémy, C., Beaudoin, R., Bedos, C., Bérard, A., Berny, P., Bertrand, C., Bertrand, C., 405 Betoulle, S., Bureau-Point, E., Charles, S., Chaumont, A., Chauvel, B., Coeurdassier, M., Corio-406 Costet, M.F., Coutellec, M.A., Crouzet, O., Doussan, I., Faburé, J., Fritsch, C., Gallai, N., 407 Gonzalez, P., Gouy, V., Hedde, M., Langlais, A., Le Bellec, F., Leboulanger, C., Le Gall, M., Le 408 Perchec, S., Margoum, C., Martin-Laurent, F., Mongruel, R., Morin, S., Mougin, C., Munaron, 409 D., Nélieu, S., Pelosi, C., Rault, M., Sabater, S., Stachowski-Hberkorn, S., Sucré, E., Thomas, 410 M., Tournebize, J., 2022. Impacts des produits phytopharmaceutiques sur la biodiversité et 411 les services écosystémiques, Synthèse du rapport d'ESCo, in: ESCO. France, p. 138. 412 Lévesque, V., Oelbermann, M., Ziadi, N., 2022. Biochar in temperate soils: opportunities and 413 challenges. Can. J. Soil Sci. 102, 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjss-2021-0047 414 Liu, Y., Lonappan, L., Brar, S.K., Yang, S., 2018. Impact of biochar amendment in agricultural soils on 415 the sorption, desorption, and degradation of pesticides: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 645, 60-416 70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.099 417 Maillard, E., Lange, J., Schreiber, S., Dollinger, J., Herbstritt, B., Millet, M., Imfeld, G., 2016. 418 Dissipation of hydrological tracers and the herbicide S-metolachlor in batch and continuous-419 flow wetlands. Chemosphere 144, 2489–2496. 420 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.11.027 421 Martin, S.M., Kookana, R.S., Van Zwieten, L., Krull, E., 2012. Marked changes in herbicide sorption-422 desorption upon ageing of biochars in soil. J. Hazard. Mater. 231–232, 70–78. 423 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.06.040 424 Martinho, V.J.P.D., 2019. Best management practices from agricultural economics: Mitigating air, soil 425 and water pollution. Sci. Total Environ. 688, 346–360. 426 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.199 427 Molénat, J., Raclot, D., Zitouna, R., Andrieux, P., Coulouma, G., Feurer, D., Grunberger, O., 428 Lamachère, J. m., Bailly, J. s., Belotti, J. l., Ben Azzez, K., Ben Mechlia, N., Ben Younès Louati, 429 M., Biarnès, A., Blanca, Y., Carrière, D., Chaabane, H., Dagès, C., Debabria, A., Dubreuil, A., 430 Fabre, J. c., Fages, D., Floure, C., Garnier, F., Geniez, C., Gomez, C., Hamdi, R., Huttel, O., 431 Jacob, F., Jenhaoui, Z., Lagacherie, P., Le Bissonnais, Y., Louati, R., Louchart, X., Mekki, I., 432 Moussa, R., Negro, S., Pépin, Y., Prévot, L., Samouelian, A., Seidel, J. I., Trotoux, G., Troiano, 433 S., Vinatier, F., Zante, P., Zrelli, J., Albergel, J., Voltz, M., 2018. OMERE: A Long-Term 434 Observatory of Soil and Water Resources, in Interaction with Agricultural and Land 435 Management in Mediterranean Hilly Catchments. Vadose Zone J. 17, 180086. 436 https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2018.04.0086 437 Niemeyer, J., Chen, Y., Bollag, J.-M., 1992. Characterization of Humic Acids, Composts, and Peat by 438 Diffuse Reflectance Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 56, 135– 439 140. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1992.03615995005600010021x 440 Parolo, M.E., Savini, M.C., Loewy, R.M., 2017. Characterization of soil organic matter by FT-IR 441 spectroscopy and its relationship with chlorpyrifos sorption. J. Environ. Manage. 196, 316-442 322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.03.018 443 R Core Team, 2021. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Reichenberger, S., Bach, M., Skitschak, A., Frede, H.-G., 2007. Mitigation strategies to reduce 444 445 pesticide inputs into ground- and surface water and their effectiveness; A review. Sci. Total 446 Environ. 384, 1–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.04.046 447 Rivera-Utrilla, J., Sánchez-Polo, M., Gómez-Serrano, V., Álvarez, P.M., Alvim-Ferraz, M.C.M., Dias, 448 J.M., 2011. Activated carbon modifications to enhance its water treatment applications. An 449 overview. J. Hazard. Mater. 187, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.01.033 450 Sabatini, D.A., 2000. Sorption and Intraparticle Diffusion of Fluorescent Dyes with Consolidated 451 Aquifer Media. Groundwater 38, 651–656. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-452 6584.2000.tb02700.x

- 453 Safaei Khorram, M., Zhang, Q., Lin, D., Zheng, Y., Fang, H., Yu, Y., 2016. Biochar: A review of its impact
  454 on pesticide behavior in soil environments and its potential applications. J. Environ. Sci. 44,
  455 269–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2015.12.027
- Sharma, A., Kumar, V., Shahzad, B., Tanveer, M., Sidhu, G.P.S., Handa, N., Kohli, S.K., Yadav, P., Bali,
  A.S., Parihar, R.D., Dar, O.I., Singh, K., Jasrotia, S., Bakshi, P., Ramakrishnan, M., Kumar, S.,
  Bhardwaj, R., Thukral, A.K., 2019. Worldwide pesticide usage and its impacts on ecosystem.
  SN Appl. Sci. 1, 1446. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1485-1
- Siedt, M., Schäffer, A., Smith, K.E.C., Nabel, M., Roß-Nickoll, M., van Dongen, J.T., 2021. Comparing
  straw, compost, and biochar regarding their suitability as agricultural soil amendments to
  affect soil structure, nutrient leaching, microbial communities, and the fate of pesticides. Sci.
  Total Environ. 751, 141607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141607
- Simkovic, I., Dlapa, P., Doerr, S.H., Mataix-Solera, J., Sasinkova, V., 2008. Thermal destruction of soil
  water repellency and associated changes to soil organic matter as observed by FTIR
  spectroscopy. CATENA, Fire Effects on Soil Properties 74, 205–211.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2008.03.003
- Tang, F.H.M., Lenzen, M., McBratney, A., Maggi, F., 2021. Risk of pesticide pollution at the global
   scale. Nat. Geosci. 14, 206–210. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00712-5
- 470 Tang, X., Zhu, B., Katou, H., 2012. A review of rapid transport of pesticides from sloping farmland to
  471 surface waters: Processes and mitigation strategies. J. Environ. Sci. 24, 351–361.
  472 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(11)60753-5
- 473 Ulrich, U., Lange, J., Pfannerstill, M., Loose, L., Fohrer, N., 2019. Hydrological tracers, the herbicide
  474 metazachlor and its transformation products in a retention pond during transient flow
  475 conditions. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 26, 26706–26720. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019476 05815-6
- Verchot, L.V., Dutaur, L., Shepherd, K.D., Albrecht, A., 2011. Organic matter stabilization in soil
  aggregates: Understanding the biogeochemical mechanisms that determine the fate of
  carbon inputs in soils. Geoderma 161, 182–193.
- 480 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.12.017
- Wang, X., Cheng, H., Ye, G., Fan, J., Yao, F., Wang, Y., Jiao, Y., Zhu, W., Huang, H., Ye, D., 2022. Key
  factors and primary modification methods of activated carbon and their application in
  adsorption of carbon-based gases: A review. Chemosphere 287, 131995.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131995
- Wauchope, R.D., Yeh, S., Linders, J.B.H.J., Kloskowski, R., Tanaka, K., Rubin, B., Katayama, A., Kördel,
  W., Gerstl, Z., Lane, M., Unsworth, J.B., 2002. Pesticide soil sorption parameters: theory,
  measurement, uses, limitations and reliability. Pest Manag. Sci. 58, 419–445.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.489
- Wen, J., Li, Z., Huang, B., Luo, N., Huang, M., Yang, R., Zhang, Q., Zhai, X., Zeng, G., 2018. The
  complexation of rhizosphere and nonrhizosphere soil organic matter with chromium: Using
  elemental analysis combined with FTIR spectroscopy. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 154, 52–58.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.02.014
- Werner, D., Garratt, J.A., Pigott, G., 2013. Sorption of 2,4-D and other phenoxy herbicides to soil,
  organic matter, and minerals. J. Soils Sediments 13, 129–139.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-012-0589-7
- Xiao, K., Abbt-Braun, G., Horn, H., 2020. Changes in the characteristics of dissolved organic matter
   during sludge treatment: A critical review. Water Res. 187, 116441.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116441
- Yavari, S., Malakahmad, A., Sapari, N.B., 2015. Biochar efficiency in pesticides sorption as a function
  of production variables—a review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22, 13824–13841.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5114-2
- 502

504	Statements & Declarations
505	Availability of data and materials
506	The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding
507	author on reasonable request.
508	
509	Competing interests
510	The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships
511	that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.
512	
513	Authors' contributions
514	All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and
515	analysis were performed by Seynabou Sene, Jeanne Dollinger, Manon Lagacherie and Sandrine
516	Negro. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Seynabou Sene and Jeanne Dollinger and all
517	authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final
518	manuscript.
519	
520	Funding
521	The study was funded by the Carbonex Company in the framework of a research and development
522	project.
523	
524	Ethical Approval
525	Not applicable
526	
527	Consent to Participate
528	Not applicable
529	
530	Consent to Publish
531	Not applicable



*Figure 1: FTIR spectra of the raw materials (activated carbon, biochars and soils). a.) FTIR spectra for the activated carbon (AC), the oak biochar (OB), the pine biochar (PB) and the Gyé biochar (GB). b.) FTIR spectra for soil 1 (S1) with low organic matter content and soil 2 (S2) with high organic matter content.* 



Figure 2: Modification of the adsorption coefficient Kd following the addition of 1 and 5% oak (OB) or pine (PB) biochar in soil. a.) Kd values for the soil/biochar mixtures normalized with Kd for soil S1, which has a low organic matter content. b.) Kd values for the soil/biochar mixtures normalized with Kd for soil S2, which has a high organic matter content. The error bars represent the standard deviation of the three replicates. Please note that given the very low and similar Kd of glyphosate for the biochars (<0.5 L/kg) and the minimal effect of OB and PB addition in S1 (a.), the values were not determined for S2. Pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to evaluate the significant differences (P-value $\leq$ 0.1) among the molecules in a given mixture, as displayed by the letters a, b, c, d and e.

	Uranine	Sulforhodamine B	Difenoconazole	2,4-D	Glyphosate
Structure	N2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			CI OH	
Molecular Formula <sup>a</sup>	$C_{20}H_{10}Na_2O_5$	$C_{27}H_{30}N_2O_7S_2.Na$	$C_{19}H_{17}CI_2N_3O_3$	$C_8H_6Cl_2O_3$	$C_3H_8NO_5P$
Molecular Mass (g/mol)ª	376.3	580.7	406.3	221.0	169.1
Aqueous solubility (mg/L)	25 000 <sup>b</sup>	70 000 <sup>b</sup>	15 <sup>c</sup>	24 300 <sup>c</sup>	10 500 <sup>c</sup>
Log Kow	3.9 <sup>d</sup>	1.3 <sup>d</sup>	4.4 <sup>c</sup>	-0.8 <sup>c</sup>	-3.2 <sup>c</sup>
рКа	$8.7 - 9.3^{d}$	$1.1 - 2.2^{d}$	1.1 <sup>c</sup>	3.4 <sup>c</sup>	2.2 – 5.5 – 10.2 <sup>c</sup>

# Table 1: Physico-chemical properties of the tracers and pesticides

a: ChemID plus; b: Sabatini (2000); c: FOOTPRINT PPDB (2022) ; d: Dollinger et al. (2017)

Material	<b>рН</b> <sub>н20</sub>	CEC	Organic Carbon (OC)	C/N	Specific Surface Area (SSA)	Sand	Silt	Clay
	-	cmole/kg	%	-	m²/g	%	%	%
ОВ	8.46	9.80	79.81	348.51	0.06	NR	NR	NR
РВ	7.27	6.30	81.33	956.77	0.26	NR	NR	NR
GB	8.97	6.00	80.76	416.31	0.60	NR	NR	NR
AC	7.66	1.10	82.07	506.63	1095.59	NR	NR	NR
<b>S1</b>	8.48	11.62	0.82	10.07	21.63	40.10	37.90	22.00
<b>S2</b>	8.27	16.62	3.04	12.38	19.33	34.50	41.40	24.10

Table 2: Physico-chemical properties of the materials (biochars, activated carbon and soils)

OB: Oak biochar; PB: Pine biochar; GB: Gyé biochar; AC: Activated carbon; S1: Soil 1; S2: Soil 2.

Spectral band (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Functional group	Putative origin	References
3700-3550	OH (stretching)	Phyllosilicate minerals	Parolo et al., 2017; Simkovic et al., 2008
3500-3200	OH (vibration/stretching)	Phenols, humic substances, lignin	Cox et al., 2000; Parolo et al., 2017; Wen et al., 2018
3000-2800	CH <sub>2</sub> (stretching vibration)	Fats, wax, lipids, humic substances	Cocozza et al., 2003; Niemeyer et al., 1992; Verchot et al., 2011; Wen et al., 2018
2520-2500	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (vibration)	Minerals	Parolo et al., 2017;
1920-1840	C=O (stretching)	Carboxilic acids	Wen et al., 2018;
1820-1760	C=O (stretching)	Hydrophylic SOM	Simkovic et al., 2008; Verchot et al., 2011
1700-1540	C=O / COO- / C=C	Aromatic organic matter	Ellerbrock and Kaiser, 2005; Simkovic et al., 2008; Wen et al., 2018
1300-1200	C–O stretching or OH deformations	alcohols, ethers, phenols, carboxylic acids and esters	Parolo et al., 2017; Verchot et al., 2011
900-400	Si-O (stretching)	Minerals	Parolo et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2020

# Table 3: Peak assignments for the FTIR spectra

Molecule	Material	Linear model		Freundlie	Freundlich model		
		Kd	R <sup>2</sup>	Kf	n	R <sup>2</sup>	
		L/kg	-	$\mu g^{(1-n)} L^n k g^{-1}$	-	-	
	OB	9.04	0.99	28.62	0.77	0.99	
	PB	12.11	0.98	59.70	0.67	0.98	
Uranina	GB	9.69	0.97	45.38	0.69	0.97	
Oralline	AC	11372.17	0.60	7639.22	0.80	0.70	
	<b>S1</b>	0.45	0.99	0.05	1.36	1.00	
	S2	2.84	0.99	2.46	1.03	0.94	
	OB	716.97	0.96	706.72	0.96	0.97	
	PB	919.60	0.79	799.69	0.82	0.57	
Sulforbodomino B	GB	851.73	0.99	1027.06	0.89	0.95	
Sufformoualitie B	AC	20160.32	0.44	31703.12	1.57	0.44	
	<b>S1</b>	10.40	0.99	19.92	0.90	0.99	
	S2	13.71	0.97	36.77	0.83	0.99	
	OB	674.75	0.90	1239.29	0.92	0.96	
	PB	1391.09	0.95	1393.05	1.09	0.96	
Difenecenazele	GB	320.63	1.00	663.83	0.84	0.97	
Diferioconazoie	AC	5861.87	0.79	6189.77	1.41	0.93	
	<b>S1</b>	11.99	1.00	14.12	0.98	0.99	
	S2	41.75	1.00	71.67	0.92	0.97	
	OB	1.09	0.99	1.90	0.94	0.99	
	PB	2.29	0.99	3.61	0.95	0.99	
240	GB	1.02	1.00	1.68	0.94	1.00	
2,4-0	AC	7409.98	0.80	6185.54	1.44	0.82	
	<b>S1</b>	0.15	0.99	0.36	0.87	1.00	
	S2	0.43	1.00	1.53	0.82	0.99	
	OB	<0.50	-	nd	nd	-	
	PB	<0.50	-	nd	nd	-	
Glynhosate	GB	<0.50	-	nd	nd	-	
Gigphosate	AC	94.00*	-	nd	nd	-	
	<b>S1</b>	24.01	1.00	26.20	0.95	0.99	
	S2	22.07	1.00	27.38	0.94	1.00	

Table 4: Adsorption coefficients of the raw materials

*OB: Oak biochar; PB: Pine biochar; GB: Gyé biochar; AC: Activated carbon; S1: Soil 1; S2: Soil 2. Please* note that the Kd value for glyphosate and activate carbon was taken from the literature (Dissanayake Herath et al., 2019). Freundlich isotherms for glyphosate adsorption on the biochars could not be derived from the experimental data due to the very low adsorption levels.