

# Lactic acid fermentation of food waste as storage method prior to biohydrogen production: effect of storage temperature on biohydrogen potential and microbial communities

Eqwan Roslan, Jose Antonio Magdalena, Hassan Mohamed, Afifi Akhiar, Abd Halim Shamsuddin, Hélène Carrere, Eric Trably

# ▶ To cite this version:

Eqwan Roslan, Jose Antonio Magdalena, Hassan Mohamed, Afifi Akhiar, Abd Halim Shamsuddin, et al.. Lactic acid fermentation of food waste as storage method prior to biohydrogen production: effect of storage temperature on biohydrogen potential and microbial communities. Bioresource Technology, 2023, 378, pp.128985. 10.1016/j.biortech.2023.128985. hal-04179818

# HAL Id: hal-04179818 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04179818

Submitted on 10 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Lactic acid fermentation of food waste as storage method prior to biohydrogen
- 2 production: effect of storage temperature on biohydrogen potential and microbial
- 3 communities
- 4
- 5 Eqwan Roslan<sup>a,d,\*</sup>, Jose Antonio Magdalena<sup>a,e</sup>, Hassan Mohamed<sup>b</sup>, Afifi Akhiar<sup>b,c</sup>,
- 6 Abd Halim Shamsuddin<sup>b</sup>, Hélène Carrere<sup>a</sup>, Eric Trably<sup>a</sup>
- <sup>a</sup> INRAE, Université de Montpellier, LBE, 102 avenue des Étangs, 11100 Narbonne,
- 8 France
- 9 <sup>b</sup> Institute of Sustainable Energy, Universiti Tenaga Nasional, 43000 Kajang, Selangor,
- 10 Malaysia
- 11 <sup>c</sup> Centre of Excellence for Water Research and Environmental Sustainability Growth
- 12 (WAREG), Universiti Malaysia Perlis, 02600 Arau, Perlis, Malaysia
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, Universiti Tenaga
- 14 Nasional, 43000 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia
- 15 <sup>e</sup> Vicerrectorado de Investigación y Transferencia de la Universidad Complutense de
- 16 Madrid, 28040 Madrid, Spain
- 17 *\*corresponding author: eqwan@uniten.edu.my*

#### 18 ABSTRACT

19 This study aims to investigate the impact of utilizing lactic acid fermentation (LAF) as

20 storage method of food waste (FW) prior to dark fermentation (DF). LAF of FW was

- 21 carried out in batches at six temperatures (4°C, 10°C, 23°C, 35°C, 45°C, and 55°C) for
- 22 15 days followed by biological hydrogen potential (BHP) tests. Different storage
- 23 temperatures resulted in different metabolites distribution, with either lactate or ethanol
- being dominant (159.2±20.6 mM and 234.4±38.2 mM respectively), but no negative
- 25 impact on BHP (averaging at 94.6±25.1 mL/gVS). Maximum hydrogen production rate
- 26 for stored FW improved by at least 57%. Microbial analysis showed dominance of
- 27 lactic acid bacteria (LAB) namely Lactobacillus sp., Lactococcus sp., Weisella sp.,
- 28 Streptococcus sp. and Bacillus sp. after LAF. Clostridium sp. emerged after DF, co-
- 29 existing with LAB. Coupling LAF as a storage method was demonstrated as a novel

30 strategy of FW management for DF, for a wide range of temperatures.

- 31
- 32 KEYWORDS : biohydrogen, dark fermentation, lactic acid fermentation, mixed
   33 culture, energy

# 1. INTRODUCTION

35 Hydrogen is seen as the fuel of the future, credited to its clean combustion and high 36 energy density (141.9 MJ/kg), significantly higher than other common fossil fuels: 37 methane (55.5 MJ/kg), ethane (51.9 MJ/kg), gasoline (47.5 MJ/kg), diesel (44.8 MJ/kg) 38 and methanol (20 MJ/kg) (Nikolaidis and Poullikkas, 2017). In 2020, demand for 39 hydrogen was 90 Mt; mostly generated from fossil fuels: 79% from dedicated hydrogen 40 production plants and 21% as by-product from gasoline refining processes 41 (International Energy Agency, 2021). Biochemical conversion processes such as dark 42 fermentation (DF) have among the lowest environmental impacts, especially on global 43 warming and acidification potentials as compared to other methods (Aydin and Dincer, 44 2022). FW being rich in macronutrients, have previously been investigated as resources 45 for bioenergy  $(H_2, CH_4)$  using combinations of biological methods, and for other 46 commodity compounds such as organic acids (Sufficiency et al., 2022). However, the 47 main drawback of using FW as a substrate is that organic carbon losses can occur 48 during storage and transportation due to spontaneous fermentation (Parthiba 49 Karthikeyan et al., 2018). To address this issue, LAF has long been used to preserve 50 food, crops or produce silage for animal feed and employed as a storage strategy prior to 51 anaerobic digestion with the objective to maximize the biomethane potential of 52 substrate (Villa et al., 2020). LAF has also been recently proposed to produce high 53 value-added molecules such as lactic acid from FW (Chenebault et al., 2022). However, 54 there is no research on utilizing lactic acid fermentation as a storage method prior to DF 55 for biohydrogen production. This is understandably so as LAB was viewed as 56 detrimental to hydrogen producing bacteria (HPB), leading researchers to steer the 57 process away from LAB proliferation (García-Depraect et al., 2021). However, this is

58 not always the case (Castelló et al., 2020) and the interaction between LAB and HPB 59 warrants further investigation (García-Depraect et al., 2021). In mixed cultures, other 60 microorganisms than HPB are present such as methanogens, propionic fermenters and 61 homoacetogens that can directly consume hydrogen in reactors (Castelló et al., 2020). 62 Microbial communities' composition and activities are therefore crucial to determine 63 the metabolic pathways occurring in DF and subsequently affecting the biohydrogen 64 yield. In this light, the novelty of the present investigation is to study the coupling of 65 LAF as a storage method for DF and its effect on the microbial communities developed. 66 More particularly, storage temperatures will be investigated. This approach opens new 67 possibilities of managing organic substrates for hydrogen production for a wide range of 68 climates.

69

70

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 71 2.1 Substrate composition and characteristics

72 This study used the same FW investigated by Magdalena et al. (2023) and Noguer et al. 73 (2022), consisted of a mixture of minced beef (15%), yoghurt (10%), mixed berries 74 (15%), breaded fish (10%), French fries (20%), mixed vegetables of broccoli, long 75 beans, carrots, and potatoes (7.5%), mixed carrots (7.5%), bread (15%), representing 76 62% carbohydrates, 22% proteins and 16% lipids on total mass basis. The 77 biodegradable COD of the food waste was  $1.28 \pm 0.2$  gCOD/VS, determined using near 78 infrared spectrometry (Noguer et al., 2022b). Particle size was 215.6±273.2 µm 79 (measured in the range 0–2000 µm), analyzed using granulometer (Beckman Coulter 80 LS200). sCOD (21.2 g/L) and tCOD (122.8 g/L) of substrate was determined using 81 commercial kits (Lovibond, Germany). Each component of FW was frozen at -20°C to

- 82 prevent changes in composition over time. It was blended to 10% TS prior to
- 83 fermentation using a hand blender (Dynamic MiniPro) at high speed (13,000 rpm) for a
- total blending time of 10 minutes with Milli-Q water, and directly used for LAF storage.
- 85
- 86 2.2 Lactic acid fermentation as storage

87 700 g of the substrate (at 10% TS) were introduced into a 1 L glass bottle reactor with 88 custom neck for sampling. The headspace was purged with nitrogen gas to ensure 89 anaerobic condition. Reactors were placed at 4°C, 10°C, 23°C, 35°C, 45°C and 55°C, in 90 triplicate for 15 days, to simulate wide range of application temperatures. 15 days was 91 selected based on study of FW lactic acid fermentation by Daly et al. (2020). No stirring 92 was done to simulate static storage, except prior to sampling for homogenization. Five 93 mL of liquid samples were periodically collected using syringe through custom 94 sampling neck, every day for the first three days and every three to five days until the 95 last day, centrifuged and the biomass and supernatant stored at -20°C. Total volume 96 withdrawn was on average  $45 \pm 7$  mL per reactor, well below 10% of the total volume.

97

#### 98 2.3 Biohydrogen potential (BHP) assays

99 After 15 days, the stored food waste (SFW) was fermented in DF assays according to

standardized protocol (Carrillo-Reyes et al., 2020) to assess the influence of the storage

- temperatures on the BHP. 20 g of SFW from each storage bottles were transferred into
- 102 individual 600 mL glass bottles. For each bottle, 20 mL of 1 M MES (2-(N-
- 103 Morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid) were added as buffer to maintain the pH, and final pH
- 104 was adjusted to pH 6 using 8 M NaOH. Finally, Milli-Q water was added to reach a
- total working volume of 0.2 L. The bottle headspace was purged with nitrogen gas to

| 106 | ensure anaerobic condition and placed at 37°C in a water bath. The bottles were             |
|-----|---|
| 107 | connected to a micro gas chromatograph for continuous online gas production                 |
| 108 | measurement and analysis. At the end of the BHP test, 5 mL of liquid were sampled for       |
| 109 | metabolite and microbial analysis.  |
| 110 |   |
| 111 | 2.4 Analytical methods  |
| 112 | 2.4.1 Determination of total solids and volatile solids content                             |
| 113 | Total solid (TS) and volatile solid (VS) were determined by drying samples at 105°C         |
| 114 | (Memmert) for 24 hours followed by 550°C (Nabertherm) for 3 hours. TS and VS                |
| 115 | contents of stored FW were corrected based a method proposed by Kreuger et al. (2011)       |
| 116 | to consider volatilized volatile fatty acids (VFAs) during drying at 105°C.                 |
| 117 |   |
| 118 | 2.4.2 Metabolite analysis by High Performance Liquid Chromatography                         |
| 119 | pH was measured for each liquid sample using a pH-meter (WTW inoLab pH7110)                 |
| 120 | equipped with an electrode probe (WTW Sentix 41). Liquid samples were centrifuged at        |
| 121 | 13,400 rpm for 15 minutes and the supernatant was analyzed for quantification of            |
| 122 | organic acids such as lactate, VFAs and ethanol (Noguer et al., 2022b). Samples were        |
| 123 | acidified with $H_2SO_4$ 0.1M and filtrated through 0.2 $\mu$ m nylon filter (Fisherbrand). |
| 124 | Metabolites were analyzed using HPLC (Thermo Scientific Dionex Ultimate 3000)               |
| 125 | equipped with a refractive index detector (ERC RefractoMax 520). HPLC analysis was          |
| 126 | performed at a flow rate of 0.6 L/min with a column (Aminex HPX-87H Ion exclusion)          |
| 127 | at 50°C equipped with a protective pre-column (Bio-Rad Micro-Guard Cation $H^+$ ).          |
| 128 |   |

# 129 2.4.3 Biogas Analysis by gas chromatography

130 During LAF storage experiments, headspace pressure was measured using handheld 131 digital manometer (Keller LEO2 adapted with hypodermic needle). Gases were sampled 132 using gas-tight syringe and 150 µL were analyzed by gas chromatography (Clarus 580, 133 Perkin Elmer) equipped with RtQbond column (for H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> gases) and a 134 RtMolsieve column (for CO<sub>2</sub>), coupled with thermal conductivity detector and Argon as 135 the carrier gas (Chenebault et al., 2022). During DF experiments, the BHP bottles were 136 automatically sampled every two hours by a micro-gas chromatograph (SRA 1-GC 137 3000) equipped with a PoraPlot U (PPU) 8 m column at 70°C, 20 psi with helium as 138 carrier gas for CO<sub>2</sub> analysis, and Molsieve 5A 10 m column at 80°C, 30 psi with argon 139 as carrier gas for H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> analysis (Noguer et al., 2022b).

140

141 *2.5 Data analysis* 

142 2.5.1 Assessment of biogas production

143 The volume of gas production was calculated using the Equations 1 and 2:

144 
$$\Delta N(n) = \left[ y(n)P(n)\frac{Vh}{RT} \right] - \left[ y(n-1)P(n-1)\frac{Vh}{RT} \right]$$
(1)

145

$$\Delta V(n) = \Delta N(n) \frac{RT_0}{P_0} \tag{2}$$

146 Where  $\Delta N(n)$  is the change of number moles of gas produced at sampling time n, y(n) is 147 the gas composition (% v/v) at a sampling time n, P(n) is headspace pressure at 148 sampling time n, Vh is the headspace volume, R is the gas constant (8.314 J/mol·K), T 149 is the temperature of sampled gas,  $\Delta V(n)$  is the volume of gas produced from the 150 previous measurement, T<sub>0</sub> is 273.15 K and P<sub>0</sub> is 10<sup>5</sup> Pa. The cumulative hydrogen 151 production is the sum of  $\Delta V$  obtained throughout the fermentation period. 152

#### 153 2.5.2 Reaction Advancement

154 The reaction advancement (RA) was defined as the amount of substrate converted to gas155 or metabolites over the initial amount of COD, as expressed in Equation 3.

156 
$$RA = \frac{Total \ metabolites \ in \ gCOD/L}{Initial \ biodegrability \ of \ substrate \ in \ g \ of \ biodegradableCOD/L} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

157

### 158 2.5.3 Model fitting and Statistical Analysis

Hydrogen production data obtained from the BHP reactors were fitted into the modifiedGompertz equation to model gas production growth as proposed by Lay et al. (1996):

161 
$$H_t = P_m \cdot exp\left\{-exp\left[\frac{R_m \cdot e}{P_m}(\lambda - t) + 1\right]\right\}$$
(4)

where  $H_t$  is the cumulative biohydrogen production,  $P_m$  is the maximum biohydrogen production,  $R_m$  is the maximum biohydrogen production rate,  $\lambda$  is the lag phase, t is the fermentation time and *e* is Euler's number (Equation 4).

165ANOVA statistical test was applied to verify statistical significance between the

166 results. When significant difference was observed, a Tukey's test was applied, obtaining

167 Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) to differentiate the statistically different

168 groups of results (Dauptain et al., 2020). ANOVA and Tukey's tests were carried out

using Microsoft Excel Data Analysis Tool and the online tool Astatsa, respectively.

170 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was done using RStudio with factoextra library.

171

# 172 2.6 Microbial Community Analysis

173 The biomass recovered after centrifugation was utilized for microbial analysis. DNA

extraction was performed using FastDNA SPIN kit for soil following manufacturer's

175 instructions (MP biomedicals, LCC, California, USA). Amplification was done on the

 $V_3 - V_4$  region of the 16S rRNA using universal primers. The PCR mix consisted of

177 MTP Taq DNA Polymerase (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) (0.05  $u/\mu L$ ) with enzyme

178 buffer, forward (344F: ACGGRAGGCAGCAG) and reverse (802R:

179 TACCAGGGTATCTAATCCT) primers (0.5 mM), dNTP (0.2 mM), sample DNA (5-180 10 ng/ $\mu$ L) and water to reach a final volume of 50  $\mu$ L. 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C 181 for 1 minute, annealing at 65°C for 1 min and elongation at 72°C for 1 min were carried 182 out using thermal cycler (Mastercycler, Eppendorf, Germany). After 35 amplification 183 cycles, a final elongation was performed for 10 minutes at 72°C. Verification of PCR 184 amplifications was done using 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, USA). For sequencing of 185 reaction, GenoToul platform (Toulouse, France http://www.genotoul.fr) using Illumina 186 Miseq sequencer (2 x 300 pb paired-end run) was utilized. Raw sequences were 187 analyzed using Mothur version 1.48.0 for reads cleaning, assembly and quality checking 188 and SILVA release 132 was used for alignment and as taxonomic outlines. For visual 189 processing, Microsoft Excel together with Power Query tool were utilized. Genera with 190 less than 1% relative abundance were grouped as "others".

191

192

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

3.1 Lactic Acid Fermentation as a Storage Method of FW prior to Dark Fermentation *3.1.1 Storage temperatures determined the fermentation pathways and the dynamics of metabolite production*

Gas production, metabolite concentration and pH were measured throughout 15
days of storage. LAF was dominant, indicated by CO<sub>2</sub> production and no detection of
H2 and CH<sub>4</sub>. Figure 1 (a-f) shows the trends of metabolite accumulation within the 15
days of FW storage at 4°C, 10°C, 23°C, 35°C, 45°C and 55°C. Lactate accumulation
was observed at all temperatures, albeit at different rates and final concentrations. At
4°C and 10°C (Figure 1, a-b), lactate production started after day seven and three

202 respectively, reaching a final concentration of 39.6±2.4 mM and 57.7±1.3 mM, 203 respectively. For all other temperatures (Figure 1 c, d, e, f), lactate accumulated before 204 day 2, to reach a final concentration of 136.7±2.6 mM at 23°C, 159.2±20.6 mM at 205 35°C, 66.9±10.9 mM at 45°C, and 22.4±7.0 mM at 55°C. The slower fermentation rate 206 at low temperatures was attributed to a low microbial growth or microbial activity. 207 Consistently, slow production of organic acids from FW was reported at low 208 temperature (15°C) as compared to higher temperatures of 25°C and 35°C (Daly et al., 209 2020). In the present study, the final concentration of lactate at  $35^{\circ}C$  (159.2±20.6 mM) 210 was significantly lower compared to Daly et al., (2020), where concentration reached 211 936 mM after 15 days at the same TS concentration of 10%. This was likely caused by 212 i) the different composition of substrate, which was only carbohydrate-rich residues as 213 compared to the present study, which considered a mixture of carbohydrates, proteins 214 and lipids, and ii) the different amounts of soluble COD over total COD ratio, which 215 was 0.62 (3.6 times higher) compared to 0.173 in the present work. Moreover, Tang et 216 al. (2016) obtained a concentration of 32.8 g/L (364.1 mM) of lactate from FW 217 fermentation at 37°C and 7% TS, more than twice from this study, likely due to the use 218 of pH control at pH 6 and continuous stirring which were not implemented in the 219 present investigation. More consistent with the present study, Chenebault et al, (2022) 220 showed an accumulation of 177 mM (15.9±0.5 g/L) of lactate from FW after 8 days of 221 incubation at 20 % TS, double the TS content of this study. In this study, carried out 222 under very similar conditions (no external inoculation and no pH control) a 223 concentration of 157±8 mM (15.1±0.8 g/L) of lactate was achieved for the same 224 duration of experiment. The yield was  $0.09\pm0.0$  g/gTS compared to this study at 225 0.15±0.0 g/gTS. Yang et al., (2022) reported similar lactate concentration and yield of

168±7 mM and 0.15±0.0 g/gTS, respectively when LAF of FW was carried out at 37°C,
10%TS and no pH control.

| 228 | The initial average pH of the FW was 4.9±0.1 and after 15 days of storage, the          |
|-----|---|
| 229 | pH decreased to a final pH value of 3.6±0.3. The final pH correlated with the final     |
| 230 | lactate concentration, as lactate has a pKa value lower than the other organic acids    |
| 231 | (Latham et al., 2019). Daly et al. (2020) reported a similar result where storage       |
| 232 | fermentation of carbohydrate-rich FW exhibited a final pH ranging between 3 and 4 for   |
| 233 | temperatures of 15°C, 25°C and 35°C. Such low pH might explain why the lactate          |
| 234 | pathways were mostly favored during storage and the absence hydrogen-producing          |
| 235 | fermentation, as previously shown to be governed by pH values (Daly et al., 2020).      |
| 236 | LAF is strongly favored at pH values between 3 and 5.5, and if the pH level is higher,  |
| 237 | butyrate-type fermentation pathway is then promoted (Daly et al., 2020).                |
| 238 |   |
| 239 | 3.1.2 Contribution of homolactic and heterolactic pathways during LAF                   |
| 240 | Lactate production during storage could occur through either the homolactic (only       |
| 241 | lactate) or heterolactic (lactate + ethanol + acetate) pathways and was determined from |
| 242 | the ethanol produced during fermentation. Ethanol production was detected at all        |
| 243 | temperatures except at 55°C, where ethanol was only detected at day 2 (Figure 1, f). At |
| 244 | 4°C, ethanol production started after eight days of fermentation, and continuously      |
| 245 | increased to reach 11.2±2.4 mM at day 15. At 10°C, ethanol was detected after three     |
| 246 | days of storage alongside lactate production. Then ethanol surpassed lactate            |
| 247 | concentration between day 10 and 14, and increased up to 88.4±35.3 mM of ethanol on     |
| 248 | the last day, suggesting a shift in the metabolic pathway. A similar trend was observed |

at 23°C, with a final ethanol concentration of 234.4±38.2 mM. A stoichiometric balance
analysis suggested that three possible metabolic pathways could have occurred:

| 251 | i)          | Homolactic pathway: Glucose $\rightarrow$ 2Lactate                               | (5)       |
|-----|-------------|--|-----------|
| 252 | ii)         | Heterolactic pathway: Glucose $\rightarrow$ Lactate + Ethanol + CO <sub>2</sub>  | (6)       |
| 253 | iii)        | Ethanolic pathway: Glucose $\rightarrow$ 2Ethanol + 2CO <sub>2</sub>             | (7)       |
| 254 | In hetero   | lactic fermentation (Eq. 6) 1 mol of lactate is produced along with 1 r          | nol of    |
| 255 | ethanol a   | nd 1 mol of CO <sub>2</sub> . At 10°C and 23°C, 1.5 and 1.7 mol of ethanol and 2 | and 1.9   |
| 256 | mol of C    | $O_2$ (44.4 mM and 123.3 mM) respectively were produced per mol of l             | actate.   |
| 257 | Because     | there were more than 1 mol per mol of lactate, additional mol of ethan           | ıol       |
| 258 | possibly    | came from a concurrent and direct ethanolic pathway (Eq. 7). At 4°C              | , 35°C    |
| 259 | and 45°C    | e, 0.3 mol, 0.4 mol and 0.5 mol of ethanol were produced per mol of la           | ictate,   |
| 260 | suggestin   | g a dominance of homolactic fermentation. Consistently, Yang et al.,             | (2022)    |
| 261 | reported ?  | 30.7±2.4 mM (1.414±0.112 g/L) of ethanol produced alongside 168.1                | ±7.1 mM   |
| 262 | (15141±6    | 536 mg/L) of lactate at 37°C and 10%TS, equivalent to 0.01 mol of eth            | nanol per |
| 263 | mol of la   | ctate, indicating a largely dominant homolactic pathway. Chenebault              | et al.,   |
| 264 | (2022) re   | ported relatively small amounts of ethanol as compared to lactate duri           | ng LAF    |
| 265 | of FW at    | 24°C and 35°C, with 0.13±0.02 and 0.21±0.01 mol of ethanol per mo                | l of      |
| 266 | lactate, re | espectively, suggesting also a dominance of the homolactic pathway.              |           |
| 267 | Informati   | ion is scarce on LAF of FW at low temperatures (4°C to 24°C) as mos              | t of the  |
| 268 | studies fo  | ocused on the maximization of the lactate production usually performe            | d at      |
| 269 | mesophil    | ic and thermophilic temperatures. At 55°C, no ethanol was detected ex            | xcept     |
| 270 | briefly or  | n the second day with a small amount of 4.2±7.3 mM, suggesting also              |           |
| 271 | dominant    | t homolactic fermentation or ethanol volatilization.                             |           |

| 272 | As secondary intermediate, acetate can be produced in heterolactic fermentation                    |
|-----|--|
| 273 | along with ethanol and $CO_2$ via the 6-phosphogluconate pathway (de Angelis and                   |
| 274 | Gobbetti, 2016) or through lactate degradation by LAB (Bühlmann et al., 2022). In all              |
| 275 | storage temperatures, acetate was produced except at 55°C. At 4°C and 10°C, acetate                |
| 276 | accumulated between day 6 to 8 and 3 to 7, respectively and remained constant at 14                |
| 277 | mM until day 15. At 23°C, 35°C and 45°C storage temperatures, acetate was produced                 |
| 278 | before day 2 and remained constant until day 15, with an average concentration of                  |
| 279 | 16.2±5.6 mM. Yang et al. (2022), reported acetate was produced in a relatively small               |
| 280 | amount compared to lactate when pH was below 5.5. However, after the pH was                        |
| 281 | increased to 6, the amount of acetate increased with the reduction of lactate and the              |
| 282 | production of hydrogen gas, indicating a clear shift to butyric fermentation.                      |
| 202 | In addition, small amounts of propionate $(2+1.7 \text{ mM})$ were detected at $1^{\circ}$ C after |

In addition, small amounts of propionate  $(2\pm1.7 \text{ mM})$  were detected at 4°C after 14 days of fermentation, but not on the final day. Apart from 4°C, propionate was also detected at 23°C at day 12 (4.2±3.7 mM), and its concentration increased until 5.3±4.8 mM on the final day. Theoretically, 1 mol of carbohydrate and H<sub>2</sub> are stoichiometrically converted to 2 mol of propionate.

288 The temperatures of 4°C, 35°C, 45°C and 55°C were more favorable to 289 homolactic fermentation whereas the temperatures of 10°C and 23°C showed a 290 combination of metabolic pathways and a more diverse metabolites distribution (Figure 291 2). Meanwhile, lactate production increased from the low storage temperature of 4°C 292  $(4.6\pm0.2 \text{ gCOD/L})$  and  $10^{\circ}\text{C}$   $(6.0\pm0.2 \text{ gCOD/L})$  to reach a maximal value at  $35^{\circ}\text{C}$ 293  $(15.3\pm2.0 \text{ gCOD/L})$ . At higher temperature, a decrease was observed with  $6.4\pm1.0$ gCOD/L and 2.2±0.7 gCOD/L at 45°C and 55°C. Assessment of the RA indicated that 294 295 significant amounts of the initial biodegradable COD of the FW were transformed after

| 296 | 15 days storage (Figure 2). The extent of RA was highest at $23^{\circ}$ C accounting for $30\pm3\%$ |
|-----|--|
| 297 | and followed by 35°C, 10°C, 45°C, 4°C and 55°C at 19±2%, 12±3%, 9±1%, 5±1%,                          |
| 298 | $2\pm1\%$ . In similar study, Chenebault et al. (2022) achieved only 12.9% of RA at 35°C,            |
| 299 | lower than this study. Daly et al. (2020) reached 25% and 56% RA for FW fermented at                 |
| 300 | 15°C and 35°C, higher than this study attributable to higher activity of LAB.                        |
| 301 |  |

302 *3.1.4 Temperatures affect the dominant genera during storage* 

303 Figure 3 shows the microbial composition at genus level of the microbial communities

304 present at the end of each storage temperature. At least 98.6% of the relative abundance

305 were represented by eight major genera, regardless the temperature considered.

306 *Lactobacillus* sp. was the main genus at 4°C, 23°C, 35°C, 45°C and in one sample at

307 55°C (relative abundance 72.3%, 81.5%, 99.0%, 46.4%). *Lactobacillus* sp. is the

308 largest genus within the group of LAB and is mainly used in food fermentation

including dairy, bread, vegetables, and meat (de Angelis and Gobbetti, 2016).

310 *Streptococcus* sp. was present especially at the temperatures of  $4^{\circ}$ C,  $10^{\circ}$ C and

311 23°C with an average relative abundance of 22 %, 57 % and 1 % respectively.

312 Streptococcus sp. mainly produces lactate, but is also able to produce acetate, formate

and ethanol under carbohydrate-restricted conditions (Gobbetti and Calasso, 2014).

314 Nucleotide alignment using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) showed

315 98.59 % alignment with the species *Streptococcus thermophilus*. *Streptococcus* 

316 *thermophilus* is a food-grade bacteria usually used in the production of cheese and

317 yoghurt (Liu et al., 2020), which might explain the presence in the storage reactors in

this study, as yoghurt is one of the compositions of the FW.

| 319 | Lactococcus sp., as LAB, was identified at 4°C, 10°C and 23°C with a relative             |
|-----|---|
| 320 | abundance of 2.8%, 21.8% and 1.4% respectively. This genus is a homolactic fermenter      |
| 321 | that produces only lactate as metabolite (Issa and Tahergorabi, 2019), confirming the     |
| 322 | predominance of this pathway at low storage temperatures. BLAST showed 98.83 %            |
| 323 | alignment of this OTUs with Lactococcus plantarum, as closest relative. Lactococcus       |
| 324 | plantarum is a facultatively anaerobic, gram-positive, non-motile bacteria extracted      |
| 325 | from frozen peas and was reported to grow at 10°C but not 45°C (Schleifer et al., 1985).  |
| 326 | This was in-line with this study, where it had the highest relative abundance at 10°C and |
| 327 | probably came from the long beans in the FW composition. Lactococcus lactis is            |
| 328 | usually found in raw dairy and Streptococcus thermophilus is used as a starter in dairy   |
| 329 | products, and subtle interactions between these two species are reported. For instance,   |
| 330 | Lactococcus lactis shows up to 20% increment of viable count when paired with             |
| 331 | Streptococcus thermophilus in a simulated cheese fermentation (Champagne et al.,          |
| 332 | 2009). Although Lactococcus lactis is not present in this study, this particular          |
| 333 | interaction could also have occurred here between other species.                          |
| 334 | Bacillus sp. was observed as most abundant genus in two replicates of the                 |
| 335 | storage reactors at 55°C. Bacillus are facultative anaerobes known to survive in a wide   |
| 336 | range of conditions including high temperatures and a wide range of pH (Jenson, 2014).    |
| 337 | At 55°C lactate was only produced in the first two days before stagnating, suggesting no  |
| 338 | growth of fermentation activity. OTU BLAST showed 99.06% similarity with                  |
| 339 | Lactiplantibacillus plantarum for the first sample at 55°C, and 99.66% similarity with    |
| 340 | Weizmannia coagulans (formerly Bacillus coagulans) for the second and third samples.      |
| 341 | Bacillus coagulans was reported to be temperature resistant, having an optimal growth     |
| 342 | temperature between 35°C to 50°C (Wang et al., 2022).                                     |

343 Weissella as part of Leuconostocaceae family which is known to mostly have 344 heterofermentative LAB, which produces ethanol and CO<sub>2</sub> together with lactate 345 (Lonvaud-Funel, 2014). The two highest amounts of ethanol in FW stored at 10°C and 346 23°C (Figure 2) also had the highest relative abundance of Weisella at 3.8±1.2% and 347  $10.9\pm2.2\%$ . This was confirmed by a statistical correlation between the relative 348 abundance of *Weissella* sp. and ethanol concentration (R2=0.9, p<0.05). 349 *Pseudomonas* sp. was found in all triplicates at 45°C with a relative abundance 350 of 43.8% ±29.7%. *Pseudomonas* sp. are strictly aerobic but some species are able to use 351 nitrate (which can naturally be found in food) as terminal electron acceptor and can 352 grow anaerobically (Dodd, 2014). Pediococcus sp. were also found, but at low relative 353 abundance with the highest relative abundance of  $3.7\pm0.3\%$  at  $23^{\circ}$ C. *Pediococcus* sp. 354 can be homolactic or heterolactic fermenters, with some strains producing equal amount 355 of lactate and ethanol from fermentation of xylose (Raccach, 2014). 356 Figure 4 visualized the correlation between process parameter and outcomes (temperature, CO<sub>2</sub>, VFAs and lactic acid), and microbial communities. CO<sub>2</sub> and ethanol 357 358 production were closely correlated to Weissella sp. and Pediococcus sp. due to their 359 heterolactic fermentative pathway. RA was closely related to CO<sub>2</sub> production, attributed 360 to heterolactic fermentation. Lactococcus sp. and Streptococcus sp. were closely 361 correlated, further supporting the microbial communities relationship. The temperature 362 vector correlated closer to the genera *Pseudomonas sp* and *Bacillus sp* as both were 363 observed at the higher temperatures 45°C and 55°C. Consistently, lactate production 364 correlated with the abundance in Lactobacillus sp. Lactococcus sp. and Streptococcus 365 sp. despite being lactate fermenters were negatively correlated, probably due to their

366 dominance at only 10°C storage temperature. The narrow angle between their two

367 vectors aligns with assumption on relationship between these two genera.

368

369 3.2 Conversion of Stored Food Waste (SFW) to Hydrogen

370 *3.2.1 Biohydrogen potential not impacted by the storage temperature, except at 55*°C

BHP (Biological Hydrogen Potential) tests were carried out to evaluate the effect of the

372 storage temperatures on hydrogen potential. Table 1 shows the maximum production

373 (P<sub>m</sub>), the maximum production rate ( $R_m$ ) and the lag phase ( $\lambda$ ) obtained from the

374 modified Gompertz equation fitted to the experimental data. The average maximum

hydrogen production for SFW was 94.6±25.1 mL/gVS. No significant difference of

376 maximum hydrogen production was observed between the fresh FW (control) and the

377 SFW pre-fermented at 4°C, 10°C, 23°C, 35°C and 45°C (ANOVA, P>0.3), for an

average hydrogen yield of  $83.9\pm10.5$  mLH<sub>2</sub>/gVS. This result clearly evidenced that

379 storing FW in LAF at these temperatures for 15 days did not impact the biohydrogen

380 potential. It is noteworthy that, in this study, the initial concentrations of metabolites in

the BHP reactors were ranging between 1.8 to 17.4 mM for lactate and 0 to 2.1 mM for

acetate, that were far below the inhibitory threshold of 50 mM as reported by (Noguer et

al., 2022a). In addition, hydrogen production at these temperatures was comparable to

the values reported ( $65\pm12 \text{ mL/gVS}$ ) by (Noguer et al., 2022b), using the same

substrate. However, biohydrogen production in the present study was slightly higher

than the one reported in Elbeshbishy et al., (2011), where 42 mL/gVS of hydrogen were

387 produced from untreated FW. Interestingly, these authors investigated the effect of FW

388 pretreatments such as heat, ultrasonic, combination of ultrasonic and heat, and

389 combination of ultrasonic and base, and they reported very similar yields (70 mL/gVS,

90 mL/gVS, 78 mL/gVS and 67 mL/gVS) to those obtained in the present study after
pretreatment, suggesting a difference in carbohydrate accessibility.

As an exception, at  $55^{\circ}$ C, the hydrogen yield was significantly higher at  $141.4\pm34.6$ 

393 mL/gVS or 68% compared to the average of other storage temperatures (Tukey's HSD,

p<0.05). Such increase of hydrogen performances was probably due to heat-

395 pretreatment effect at thermophilic temperature.

396 In contrast with the other parameters, the maximum biohydrogen production rate

 $(R_m)$  significantly improved after FW storage. All SFW showed higher  $R_m$  averaging at

398 62.4±16.2 mL/gVS·d than fresh food waste (FFW) at 29.3±11.1 mL/gVS·d. The

399 difference in production rate in this case, despite the similar yields was attributed to a

400 higher accessibility of the organic matter due to preliminary degradation during storage.

401 3.2.2 Organic acids produced during LAF are mostly consumed or converted in DF

402 Table 2 shows the concentrations of initial and final metabolites in the BHP reactors.

403 Lactate was not detected at the end of the process, suggesting that lactate was converted

404 to hydrogen or other metabolites. Similarly, ethanol was not detected at the end of the

405 BHP process, either being volatilized or converted to other metabolites in a chain

406 elongation process. Few pathways were reported for lactate and ethanol consumption

407 under anaerobic conditions as summarized by Hillion et al. (2018). The presence of

408 caproate in the BHP reactor of SFW stored at 35°C indicated the occurrence of chain

409 elongation probably through butyrate and ethanol or butyrate and lactate producing

410 caproate. For all conditions, butyrate and acetate were the major metabolites which is

411 consistent with the literature (Ghimire et al., 2015).

412

413 3.2.3 Conversion to Hydrogen by Different Genera for SFW Stored at Different

414 *Temperatures* 

415 Figure 5 shows the composition of the microbial communities in terms of relative

416 abundance at genus level, at the end of the BHP assays. As the BHP tests were

417 performed on LAF residues, four genera present in the LAF reactors remained in the DF

418 reactors: *Lactobacillus* sp., *Lactococcus* sp. and *Streptococcus* sp., from the

419 Lactobacillaceae family, and Bacillus sp., from the Bacillaceae family. After DF, nine

420 additional genera emerged, the most dominant being *Clostridium* sp. with 26.8±4.4%,

421 35.8±4.3%, 41.5±4.4%, 52.7±0.3%, 41.3±2.8%, 24.6±3.8% and 29.0±12.2% of relative

422 abundance for the SFW stored at 4°C, 10°C, 23°C, 35°C, 45°C and 55°C respectively.

423 Clostridium sp. are considered as the genus having the most efficient HPB in DF

424 (Castelló et al., 2020). The presence of both LAB and HPB in the DF reactors, indicated

425 the ability of co-existence between them. This is in-line with recent studies that

426 suggested the presence of positive relationship between LAB and HPB despite earlier

427 studies suggesting the opposite (García-Depraect et al., 2021). The presence of both can

428 even produce a positive relationship in the form of cross-feeding, or the former assisting

429 hydrolysis for the later to produce hydrogen (García-Depraect et al., 2021). The

430 emergence of the HPBs was here favored by the initial adjustment of the pH to 6, in the

431 BHP test. These results evidenced that endogenous FW inoculum is sufficient in the

432 case of coupling LAF and DF. Endogenous HPB found in FW were thus able to survive

the low pH of LAF for at least 15 days. This also resonated with previous observations

434 made by Dauptain et al. (2020) who reported that indigenous bacteria were as effective

435 at producing biohydrogen as exogenous thermal-pretreated inoculum. Interestingly,

*Enterococcus* sp., a LAB not significantly present after storage, emerged after DF with
5.6±2.2% and 10.4±3.3% relative abundance at 10°C and 55°C storage.

438 Figure 6 shows that hydrogen production positively correlated with butyrate, a 439 common metabolic pathway in DF. Weirdly, *Clostridium* sp. despite being known as 440 main contributor in hydrogen DF production showed inverse correlation with hydrogen 441 accumulation and was closely correlated to acetate production. It can therefore be 442 assumed that some hydrogen was consumed to produce acetate, as some *Clostridium* sp. 443 were reported to be efficient homoacetogens in DF, consuming 4 mol of hydrogen gas 444 to produce 1 mol of acetate (Castelló et al., 2020). The emergence of Enterococcus sp. 445 and the positive correlation with hydrogen production might indicate a strong HPB 446 activity of *Enterococcus* sp. when BHP tests are fed with pre-stored FW.

Although storage temperatures was shown to have no significant impact on BHPs
(except at 55°C), the impact of other storage parameters such as concentration and
storage duration during LAF on DF should be investigated in future work.

450

### 451 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

452 Temperature was crucial in determining the metabolite profile developed during 453 storage, where ethanol was the most abundant product at lower temperatures whilst 454 lactate was promoted at higher temperatures. LAF of FW was demonstrated to be 455 efficient to store transitorily the food waste without affecting the hydrogen potential 456 (94.6±25.1 mL/gVS on average). LAF as storage increased the maximum production 457 rate in subsequent BHP. Although LAB dominated after storage at low pH (3-4), 458 indigenous HPB emerged during DF when conditions were more favorable at pH 6 and 459 37°C, where both co-existed with one another.

461 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 462 The authors would like to thank Gaëlle Santa-Catalina's work concerning biomolecular 463 tasks and 16S sequencing. Equan Roslan would like to thank The Embassy of France in 464 Malaysia, AAIBE Chair for Renewable Energy (202005KETTHA) and Universiti 465 Tenaga Nasional for funding his PhD study. Jose Antonio Magdalena would like to 466 thank the UCM for financing his contract at LBE-INRAE, with funds of the Ministry of 467 Universities for the requalification of the Spanish University System for 2021–2023 468 (Margarita Salas), coming from the EU-Next generation EU funding. Experiments and 469 analysis were performed at the Bio2E platform (doi:10.5454/1.557234103446854E12) 470 471 6. **REFERENCES** 1) Aydin, M.I., Dincer, I., 2022. A life cycle impact analysis of various hydrogen 472 473 production methods for public transportation sector. Int J Hydrogen Energy 47, 474 39666–39677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.09.125 475 2) Bühlmann, C.H., Mickan, B.S., Tait, S., Batstone, D.J., Mercer, G.D., Bahri, P.A., 476 2022. Lactic acid from mixed food waste fermentation using an adapted inoculum: 477 Influence of pH and temperature regulation on yield and product spectrum. J Clean 478 Prod 373, 133716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133716 3) Carrillo-Reyes, J., Buitrón, G., Moreno-Andrade, I., Tapia-Rodríguez, A.C., Palomo-479 480 Briones, R., Razo-Flores, E., Aguilar-Juárez, O., Arreola-Vargas, J., Bernet, N., 481 Braga, A.F.M., Braga, L., Castelló, E., Chatellard, L., Etchebehere, C., Fuentes, L., 482 León-Becerril, E., Méndez-Acosta, H.O., Ruiz-Filippi, G., Tapia-Venegas, E.,

| 483 | Trably, E., Wenzel, J., Zaiat, M., 2020. Standardized protocol for determination of   |
|-----|---|
| 484 | biohydrogen potential. MethodsX 7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2019.11.027          |
| 485 | 4) Castelló, E., Nunes Ferraz-Junior, A.D., Andreani, C., Anzola-Rojas, M. del P.,    |
| 486 | Borzacconi, L., Buitrón, G., Carrillo-Reyes, J., Gomes, S.D., Maintinguer, S.I.,      |
| 487 | Moreno-Andrade, I., Palomo-Briones, R., Razo-Flores, E., Schiappacasse-Dasati,        |
| 488 | M., Tapia-Venegas, E., Valdez-Vázquez, I., Vesga-Baron, A., Zaiat, M.,                |
| 489 | Etchebehere, C., 2020. Stability problems in the hydrogen production by dark          |
| 490 | fermentation: Possible causes and solutions. Renewable and Sustainable Energy         |
| 491 | Reviews 119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109602                               |
| 492 | 5) Champagne, C.P., Gagnon, D., St-Gelais, D., Vuillemard, J.C., 2009. Interactions   |
| 493 | between Lactococcus lactis and Streptococcus thermophilus strains in Cheddar          |
| 494 | cheese processing conditions. Int Dairy J 19, 669–674.                                |
| 495 | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2009.06.002   |
| 496 | 6) Chenebault, C., Moscoviz, R., Trably, E., Escudié, R., Percheron, B., 2022. Lactic |
| 497 | acid production from food waste using a microbial consortium: Focus on key            |
| 498 | parameters for process upscaling and fermentation residues valorization. Bioresour    |
| 499 | Technol 354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127230                           |
|     |   |

- 500 7) Daly, S.E., Usack, J.G., Harroff, L.A., Booth, J.G., Keleman, M.P., Angenent, L.T.,
- 501 2020. Systematic Analysis of Factors That Affect Food-Waste Storage: Toward
- 502 Maximizing Lactate Accumulation for Resource Recovery. ACS Sustain Chem Eng
- 503 8, 13934–13944. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c03161
- 504 8) Dauptain, K., Trably, E., Santa-Catalina, G., Bernet, N., Carrere, H., 2020. Role of
- 505 indigenous bacteria in dark fermentation of organic substrates. Bioresour Technol
- 506 313, 123665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.123665

| 507 | 9) de Angelis, M., Gobbetti, M., 2016. Lactobacillus SPP.: General Characteristics ☆. |
|-----|---|
| 508 | Reference Module in Food Science. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-100596-           |
| 509 | 5.00851-9   |

- 510 10) Dodd, C.E.R., 2014. Pseudomonas: Introduction. Encyclopedia of Food
- 511 Microbiology: Second Edition 3, 244–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-

512 384730-0.00282-2

- 513 11) Elbeshbishy, E., Hafez, H., Dhar, B.R., Nakhla, G., 2011. Single and combined
- effect of various pretreatment methods for biohydrogen production from food waste.
- 515 Int J Hydrogen Energy 36, 11379–11387.
- 516 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.02.067
- 517 12) García-Depraect, O., Castro-Muñoz, R., Muñoz, R., Rene, E.R., León-Becerril,
- 518 E., Valdez-Vazquez, I., Kumar, G., Reyes-Alvarado, L.C., Martínez-Mendoza, L.J.,
- 519 Carrillo-Reyes, J., Buitrón, G., 2021. A review on the factors influencing
- 520 biohydrogen production from lactate: The key to unlocking enhanced dark
- 521 fermentative processes. Bioresour Technol 324.
- 522 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124595
- 523 13) Ghimire, A., Frunzo, L., Pirozzi, F., Trably, E., Escudie, R., Lens, P.N.L.,
- 524 Esposito, G., 2015. A review on dark fermentative biohydrogen production from
- 525 organic biomass: Process parameters and use of by-products. Appl Energy 144, 73–
- 526 95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.01.045
- 527 14) Gobbetti, M., Calasso, M., 2014. Streptococcus: Introduction. Encyclopedia of
- 528 Food Microbiology: Second Edition 3, 535–553. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-
- **529 384730-0.00324-4**

| 530 | 15) Hillion, M. lou, Moscoviz, R., Trably, E., Leblanc, Y., Bernet, N., Torrijos, M., |
|-----|---|
| 531 | Escudié, R., 2018. Co-ensiling as a new technique for long-term storage of agro-      |
| 532 | industrial waste with low sugar content prior to anaerobic digestion. Waste           |
| 533 | Management 71, 147-155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.10.024                  |
| 534 | 16) International Energy Agency, 2021. Global Hydrogen Review 2021. Global            |
| 535 | Hydrogen Review 2021. https://doi.org/10.1787/39351842-en                             |
| 536 | 17) Issa, A.T., Tahergorabi, R., 2019. Milk Bacteria and Gastrointestinal Tract:      |
| 537 | Microbial Composition of Milk. Microbial Composition of Milk., Dietary                |
| 538 | Interventions in Gastrointestinal Diseases: Foods, Nutrients, and Dietary             |
| 539 | Supplements. Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814468-8.00022-3         |
| 540 | 18) Jenson, I., 2014. Bacillus: Introduction. Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology:      |
| 541 | Second Edition 1, 111–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384730-0.00018-5         |
| 542 | 19) Kreuger, E., Nges, I., Björnsson, L., 2011. Ensiling of crops for biogas          |
| 543 | production: Effects on methane yield and total solids determination. Biotechnol       |
| 544 | Biofuels 4, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-4-44                               |
| 545 | 20) Latham, K.G., Ferguson, A., Donne, S.W., 2019. Influence of ammonium salts        |
| 546 | and temperature on the yield, morphology and chemical structure of hydrothermally     |
| 547 | carbonized saccharides. SN Appl Sci 1, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-018-      |
| 548 | 0055-2  |
| 549 | 21) Lay, JJ., Li, YY., Noike, T., 1996. Effect Of Moisture Content And Of             |
| 550 | Chemical Fermentation Nature On Methane Characteristics Solid Wastes. J. Environ.     |
| 551 | Syst. and Eng. 1, 101–108.  |
|     |   |

- 552 22) Liu, G., Qiao, Y., Zhang, Y., Leng, C., Chen, H., Sun, J., Fan, X., Li, A., Feng,
- 553 Z., 2020. Metabolic Profiles of Carbohydrates in Streptococcus thermophilus During

- 554 pH-Controlled Batch Fermentation. Front Microbiol 11, 1–11.
- 555 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01131
- 556 23) Lonvaud-Funel, A., 2014. Leuconostocaceae Family, Second Edi. ed,
- 557 Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology: Second Edition. Elsevier.
- 558 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384730-0.00185-3
- 559 24) Magdalena, J.A., Pérez-Bernal, M.F., Bernet, N., Trably, E., 2023. Sequential
- 560 dark fermentation and microbial electrolysis cells for hydrogen production: Volatile
- fatty acids influence and energy considerations. Bioresour Technol 374.
- 562 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2023.128803
- 563 25) Nikolaidis, P., Poullikkas, A., 2017. A comparative overview of hydrogen
- production processes. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 67, 597–611.
- 565 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.044
- 566 26) Noguer, M.C., Escudié, R., Bernet, N., Eric, T., 2022a. Populational and
- 567 metabolic shifts induced by acetate, butyrate and lactate in dark fermentation. Int J
- 568 Hydrogen Energy 47, 28385–28398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.06.163
- 569 27) Noguer, M.C., Magdalena, J.A., Bernet, N., Escudi, R., 2022b. Enhanced
- 570 Fermentative Hydrogen Production from Food Waste in Continuous Reactor after
- 571 Butyric Acid Treatment. Energies (Basel) 15, 1–18.
- 572 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/en15114048
- 573 28) Parthiba Karthikeyan, O., Trably, E., Mehariya, S., Bernet, N., Wong, J.W.C.,
- 574 Carrere, H., 2018. Pretreatment of food waste for methane and hydrogen recovery: A
- 575 review. Bioresour Technol 249, 1025–1039.
- 576 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.105

- 577 29) Raccach, M., 2014. Pediococcus. Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology: Second
- 578 Edition 3, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384730-0.00247-0
- 579 30) Schleifer, K.H., Kraus, J., Dvorak, C., Kilpper-Bälz, R., Collins, M.D., Fischer,
- 580 W., 1985. Transfer of Streptococcus lactis and Related Streptococci to the Genus
- 581 Lactococcus gen. nov. Syst Appl Microbiol 6, 183–195.
- 582 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(85)80052-7
- 583 31) Sufficiency, E., Qamar, S.A., Ferreira, L.F.R., Franco, M., Iqbal, H.M.N., Bilal,
- 584 M., 2022. Emerging biotechnological strategies for food waste management: A green
- 585 leap towards achieving high-value products and environmental abatement. Energy
- 586 Nexus 6, 100077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nexus.2022.100077
- 587 32) Tang, J., Wang, X., Hu, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., 2016. Lactic acid fermentation
- from food waste with indigenous microbiota: Effects of pH, temperature and high
- 589 OLR. Waste Management 52, 278–285.
- 590 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.03.034
- 591 33) Villa, R., Ortega Rodriguez, L., Fenech, C., Anika, O.C., 2020. Ensiling for
- anaerobic digestion: A review of key considerations to maximise methane yields.
- 593 Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 134, 110401.
- 594 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110401
- 595 34) Wang, L., Wang, J., Du, L., Fang, X., Liao, Z., 2022. Application of
- 596 Weizmannia coagulans in the medical and livestock industry. Ann Microbiol 72.
- 597 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13213-022-01687-3
- 598 35) Yang, L., Chen, L., Li, H., Deng, Z., Liu, J., 2022. Lactic acid production from
- 599 mesophilic and thermophilic fermentation of food waste at different pH. J Environ
- 600 Manage 304, 114312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.114312

| 603 | 1)      | Figure 1: Accumulation of metabolites during 15 days of storage at temperature      |
|-----|---------|---|
| 604 |         | of a) 4°C, b) 10°C, c) 23°C, d) 35°C, e) 45°C and f) 55°C. Metabolites not          |
| 605 |         | detected or below quantification limit were not plotted.                            |
| 606 | 2)      | Figure 2: Cumulated final metabolites concentration of stored food waste (SFW)      |
| 607 |         | according to the storage temperature and extent of the reaction advancement         |
| 608 | 3)      | Figure 3: Relative abundance at genera level of the microbial communities after     |
| 609 |         | 15 days storage of FW at different temperatures (triplicates are individually       |
| 610 |         | presented)  |
| 611 | 4)      | Figure 4: PCA analysis of process outcomes (gas and metabolites) and microbial      |
| 612 |         | communities with process parameter (storage temperatures) for LAF storage of        |
| 613 |         | FW'   |
| 614 | 5)      | Figure 5: Relative abundance at genera level at the end of conversion of FW to      |
| 615 |         | hydrogen in DF assays   |
| 616 | 6)      | Figure 6: PCA analysis of process outcomes (gas and metabolites) and microbial      |
| 617 |         | communities with modified Gompertz model parameters (HPR and lag phase)             |
| 618 |         | for BHP assays of stored FW   |
| 619 |         |   |
| 620 | List of | table captions  |
| 621 | 1)      | Table 1: Variable values Pm, Rm and $\lambda$ from Modified Gompertz Equation       |
| 622 |         | Fitting. Superscript letters represent Tukey's test results with values sharing the |
| 623 |         | same letters are not statistically different  |
| 624 | 2)      | Table 2: Initial and final concentration of metabolites in the BHP reactors         |

Table 1: Variable values Pm, Rm and λ from Modified Gompertz Equation Fitting.
Superscript letters represent Tukey's test results with values sharing the same letters are not statistically different.

| Substrate storage temperature (°C) | P <sub>m</sub> , maximum<br>production (mL/gVS) | R <sub>m</sub> , maximum<br>production rate<br>(mL/gVS·d) | $\lambda$ , lag phase (d) |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|
| Fresh FW                           | $76.8 \pm 11.9^{a}$                             | 29.3±11.1ª  | $0.8 \pm 0.1^{cd}$        |
| 4                                  | $80.0{\pm}5.7^{a}$                              | $46.1 \pm 5.9^{ab}$                                       | $0.4{\pm}0.1^{a}$         |
| 10                                 | $89.3 \pm 0.5^{a}$                              | 53.4±10.1 <sup>ab</sup>                                   | $0.7 \pm 0.0^{bc}$        |
| 23                                 | $79.0{\pm}5.1^{a}$                              | $71.8 \pm 17.8^{b}$                                       | 1.1±0.0 <sup>e</sup>      |
| 35                                 | $94.0{\pm}19.9^{a}$                             | 69.5±14.3 <sup>b</sup>                                    | $0.5 \pm 0.1^{ab}$        |
| 45                                 | 83.4±2.1ª                                       | $57.8 \pm 10.6^{ab}$                                      | $0.6 \pm 0.0^{ab}$        |
| 55                                 | $141.4 \pm 34.6^{b}$                            | $75.6 \pm 20.6^{b}$                                       | $0.9 \pm 0.1^{d}$         |

Table 2: Initial and final concentration of metabolites in the BHP reactors

|                                   | Intial              |                     |                        |                     |                   | Final               |                     |                      |                      |                   |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| FW storage<br>temperature<br>(°C) | Lactate<br>(gCOD/L) | Acetate<br>(gCOD/L) | propionate<br>(gCOD/L) | Ethanol<br>(gCOD/L) | Total<br>(gCOD/L) | Lactate<br>(gCOD/L) | Acetate<br>(gCOD/L) | Butyrate<br>(gCOD/L) | Caproate<br>(gCOD/L) | Total<br>(gCOD/L) |
| 4                                 | $0.4\pm0.0$         | $0.1\pm0.0$         | -                      | $0.1\pm0.0$         | $0.6\pm0.0$       | -                   | $1.2\pm0.2$         | $2.8\pm0.4$          | -                    | $4.0\pm0.6$       |
| 10                                | $0.6\pm0.0$         | $0.1\pm0.0$         | -                      | $0.8\pm0.3$         | $1.5 \pm 0.4$     | -                   | $0.9\pm0.1$         | $3.9\pm0.1$          | -                    | $4.7\pm0.1$       |
| 23                                | $1.3\pm0.0$         | $0.1\pm0.0$         | $0.1\pm0.1$            | $2.3\pm0.4$         | $3.8\pm0.4$       | -                   | $0.5\pm0.5$         | $3.2\pm0.1$          | -                    | $3.8 \pm 0.4$     |
| 35                                | $1.5\pm0.2$         | $0.1\pm0.0$         | -                      | $0.6\pm0.1$         | $2.3\pm0.3$       | -                   | $0.7\pm0.0$         | $3.2\pm0.8$          | $0.7 \pm 1.2$        | $4.5\pm0.5$       |
| 45                                | $0.6\pm0.1$         | $0.1\pm0.0$         | -                      | $0.3\pm0.0$         | $1.0\pm0.1$       | $0.1 \pm 0.2$       | -                   | $3.4\pm0.8$          | -                    | $3.5\pm0.7$       |
| 55                                | $0.2\pm0.1$         | -                   | -                      | -                   | $0.3\pm0.1$       | -                   | -                   | $3.6\pm0.1$          | -                    | $3.6\pm0.1$       |



Figure 1: Accumulation of metabolites during 15 days of storage at temperature of a)
4°C, b) 10°C, c) 23°C, d) 35°C, e) 45°C and f) 55°C. Metabolites not detected or below
quantification limit were not plotted.



Figure 2: Cumulated final metabolites concentration of stored food waste (SFW)
according to the storage temperature and extent of the reaction advancement.



Figure 3: Relative abundance at genera level of the microbial communities after 15
days storage of FW at different temperatures (triplicates are individually presented)



*Figure 4: PCA analysis of process outcomes (gas and metabolites) and microbial* 

*communities with process parameter (storage temperatures) for LAF storage of FW* 







Figure 5: Relative abundance at genera level at the end of conversion of FW to hydrogen in DF assays



Figure 6: PCA analysis of process outcomes (gas and metabolites) and microbial
communities with modified Gompertz model parameters (HPR and lag phase) for BHP
assays of stored FW