

Effect of tumbling and antioxidant marinating on the tenderness and nutritional qualities of intermediate tenderness beef cuts from grass-fed crossbreds

Konan C A N'Gatta, Alain Kondjoyan, Raphael Favier, Arnaud Cougoul, Dominique Gruffat, Pierre-Sylvain Mirade

▶ To cite this version:

Konan C A N'Gatta, Alain Kondjoyan, Raphael Favier, Arnaud Cougoul, Dominique Gruffat, et al.. Effect of tumbling and antioxidant marinating on the tenderness and nutritional qualities of intermediate tenderness beef cuts from grass-fed crossbreds. 69th International Congress of Meat Science and Technology, Aug 2023, Padova, Italy. hal-04189716

HAL Id: hal-04189716 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04189716v1

Submitted on 29 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Effect of tumbling and antioxidant marinating on the tenderness and nutritional qualities of intermediate tenderness beef cuts from grass-fed crossbreds


Konan. C.A. N'Gatta^{1#}, Alain Kondjoyan¹, Raphael Favier¹, Arnaud Cougoul², Dominique Gruffat² and Pierre-Sylvain Mirade^{1*}


¹UR370 Qualité des Produits Animaux (QuaPA), INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, 63122 Saint-Genès Champanelle, France ²UMR1213 Unité Mixte de Recherches sur les Herbivores (UMRH), VetAgro Sup, INRAE, Université Clermont Auvergne, 63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France

Contacts: #<u>charles.ngatta@inrae.fr</u>; *<u>pierre-sylvain.mirade@inrae.fr</u>

1. Background

Meat tenderness is a very important quality trait that determines consumer acceptance, repeat purchase and willingness to pay higher prices [1]. Several post-mortem tenderization techniques have been developed to improve meat tenderness. Tumbling, a mechanical tenderization technique, involves placing meat pieces in cylindrical drums subjected to rotation. This method is widely used in the manufacture of cooked ham and promotes the diffusion and absorption of marinades within the muscular tissue [2]. Since the beginning of the 21st century, there has been an increasing interest in the use of natural antioxidants to preserve meat products and enhance their nutritional qualities [3]. This study aimed to investigate the combined effect of tumbling and antioxidant marinating processes on the tenderness and nutritional qualities of beef cuts from young grass-fed cattle.





3. Results

Weight losses (expressed as mean values \pm SEM; n = 8 for each raw treatment and n ranges from 16 to 24 for each cooked treatment) of raw and cooked meat pieces from ST muscles of young cattle, tumbled, (T), tumbled-marianated (TM) or not (Control, CT). Different letters (a-c) refer to significant difference between treatments (P < 0.05).


The cooked T meat pieces exhibited lower cooking losses compared to CT and TM meat pieces.

The disruption of muscle structure in meat pieces would be more pronounced in simple tumbling than in tumblingmarinating.

Shear forces values (expressed as mean values \pm SEM; n = 144 for each treatment) of raw and cooked meat pieces from ST muscles of young cattle, tumbled (T), tumbled marianated (TM) or not (Control, CT). Different letters (a-e) refer to significant difference between treatments (P < 0.05).

marinated (TM) with water and grape seed and olive extract in a v/v 99.6% and w/v 0.4%

Tumbling for 12 hours, corresponding to 9500 consecutive compression cycles at 4 °C



The T and TM meat pieces demonstrated significantly (P < 0.05) lower shear force values than the CT meat pieces.

➢ The raw TM meat pieces were tougher than T meat pieces, but this difference was imperceptible when they were cooked.

Table. Mean quantities (n = 8) of the different groups of fatty acids (mg/100g of meat) contained in raw (R) and cooked (C) meat pieces from ST muscles of young cattle, tumbled (T), tumbled-marinated (TM) or not (Control, CT).

	Raw			Cooked			P-value		
Treatments	СТ	Т	ТМ	СТ	Т	TM	SEM	Cooking	Treatment
Σ SFA	548	537	728	713	865	847	84.9	***(C > R)	0.09
Σ MUFA	503	481	623	639	752	737	73.1	***(C > R)	0.13
Σ PUFA	189	163.4	232.8	260.4	248.4	304	10.7	***(C > R)	***(TM > T = CT)
Σ PUFA n-6	124	110	146	170	162	191	6.04	***(C > R)	***(TM > T = CT)
ΣPUFA n-3	57.6	46.6	76.2	82	74.9	100.7	4.39	***(C > R)	***(TM > T = CT)
Σ PUFA n-3 LC	30.1	22.2	41.1	44.3	36.3	55.7	3.06	***(C > R)	***(MM > CT > T)

*** refer to P-values < 0.001.

The cooking process resulted in an average increase of 36% in fatty acids content, due to the concentration induced by juice losses, as shown by Gruffat *et al* [4].

Meat pieces were cut into six 3 cm-thick meat slices

Cooking in a water bath at 60 °C for 1 h

Analysis on raw and cooked meat slices

- U Weight losses
- □ Shear forces
- □ Fatty acids (FAs) composition
- Using a Linear mixed model in R for the data analysis

➢The antioxidant and PUFAs provided by grape seed and olive extracts promote the increase of n-6, n-3 and n-3 LC in TM meat pieces.

4. Conclusions

Tumbling and antioxidant marinating can be combined to better valorize the beef cuts by improving their tenderness and nutritional qualities. However, it would be relevant to conduct sensory studies to evaluate the consumer's interest in these products.

Acknowledgements

This work was co-funded by the Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes region through the "Contrat de Plan Etat-Région 2015-2020" QUALIMONT project and the "Consortium de Recherches Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes pour la VIANDE de ruminants" (I-SITE CAP 20-25 project). The authors thank Sylvie Bardou-Valette for technical support.



69th International Congress of Meat Science and Technology, 20-25 August 2023, Padova, Italy

<u>References</u>

Warner et al. (2022). *Meat Science* 185: 108657.
Daudin et al. (2016). *Journal of Food Engineering* 170: 83-91.
Falowo et al. (2014). *Food Research International* 64: 171-181.
Gruffat et al. (2021). *Food Chemistry* 343: 128476.