



**HAL**  
open science

## Range use relationship with welfare and performance indicators in four organic broilers strains

Claire Bonnefous, Anne Collin, Laurence L.A. Guilloteau, Karine Germain, Sandrine Mignon-Grasteau, Maxime Reverchon, Simona Mattioli, Cesare Castellini, Vanessa Guesdon, Ludovic Calandreau, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Claire Bonnefous, Anne Collin, Laurence L.A. Guilloteau, Karine Germain, Sandrine Mignon-Grasteau, et al.. Range use relationship with welfare and performance indicators in four organic broilers strains. 74. Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science, Aug 2023, Lyon, France. hal-04193907

**HAL Id: hal-04193907**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04193907>**

Submitted on 1 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License



## Range use relationship with welfare and performance indicators in four organic broilers strains



**C. Bonnefous<sup>1</sup>, A. Collin<sup>1</sup>, L.A. Guilloteau<sup>1</sup>, K. Germain<sup>2</sup>, S. Mignon-Grasteau<sup>1</sup>, M. Reverchon<sup>3</sup>, S. Mattioli<sup>4</sup>, C. Castellini<sup>4</sup>, V. Guesdon<sup>5</sup>, L. Calandreau<sup>6</sup>, C. Berri<sup>1</sup>, E. Le Bihan-Duval<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> INRAE, Université de Tours, BOA, 37380 Nouzilly, France

<sup>2</sup> INRAE, UE EASM, Le Magneraud, CS 40052, 17700, Surgères, France

<sup>3</sup> SYSAAF, Nouzilly, France

<sup>4</sup> Department of Agricultural, Environmental and Food Science, University of Perugia, Borgo XX Giugno 74, 06124, Perugia, Italy

<sup>5</sup> Junia Hauts de France, ISA Lille, 48 Boulevard Vauban, BP 41290, 59014 cedex Lille, France

<sup>2</sup> INRAE, CNRS, IFCE, Université de Tours, PRC, 37380, Nouzilly, France



Societal demand: Expression  
of the natural behaviour of  
animals

*van Asselt et al., 2017*



Production of free-range  
and organic broilers

*IFOAM, 2018*

Issue: lack of range use by some batches of chickens

Range use linearly increases with time per animal but varies  
within one flock

→ May be qualified as a personality trait


*Ferreira et al., 2019; Bonnefous et al., 2023*

What are the consequences of range use on animals and production ?

- Health and welfare
- Physiology and metabolism
- Performance and meat quality

# PPILOW Method – Experiment from February until June 2021


4 strains: 1 per range; 750 animals per strain ; 50% males, 50% females



# PPILOW Method – Experimentation from February until June 2021

Ferreira et al., 2019

FIGURE OF SCAN SAMPLING : ← Observer's path



7 times per day of scan sampling  
from sunrise to sundown

11 to 15 days of scan sampling  
depending on the rearing duration


Distance Index =

number of times recorded in zone A \* 2.5 +  
number of times recorded in zone B \* 10 +  
number of times recorded in zone C \* 22.5 +  
number of times recorded in zone D \* 40

Selection :

25 animals with the lowest final distance index  
= low-rangers  
25 animals with the highest final distance index  
= high-rangers



# PPILOW Method – Experimentation from February until June 2021



# PPILOW Results Performance : growth



Low-rangers  
 High-rangers

Differential body weight may be partly a cause of differential range use?



Differential body weight may be a consequence of differential range use?

Differential body weight may be a consequence of differential range use



No relationship between range use and body weight

## PPILOW Results Performance: Meat yields and quality

| Item                    | JA757      |            |              | S757N      |            |              | White Bresse |            |              | Dual-purpose |            |              |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
|                         | LR (n=25)  | HR (n=25)  | P            | LR (n=25)  | HR (n=25)  | P            | LR (n=25)    | HR (n=25)  | P            | LR (n=25)    | HR (n=25)  | P            |
| Growth performances     |            |            |              |            |            |              |              |            |              |              |            |              |
| Carcass weight (g)      | 1973 ± 268 | 1748 ± 227 | <b>0.006</b> | 1740 ± 243 | 1606 ± 150 | <b>0.047</b> | 1802 ± 131   | 1672 ± 145 | <b>0.006</b> | 997 ± 120    | 1026 ± 125 | 0.605        |
| Carcass yield (% of BW) | 69.4 ± 1.3 | 68.6 ± 1.5 | 0.072        | 69.0 ± 1.6 | 67.6 ± 1.3 | <b>0.006</b> | 65.7 ± 1.4   | 65.1 ± 1.4 | 0.176        | 63.2 ± 1.3   | 62.3 ± 1.1 | <b>0.009</b> |
| Breast weight (g)       | 233 ± 37   | 201 ± 31   | <b>0.006</b> | 183 ± 30   | 168 ± 18   | <b>0.047</b> | 176 ± 15     | 165 ± 19   | 0.068        | 83 ± 13      | 84 ± 12    | 0.702        |
| Breast yield (% of BW)  | 16.4 ± 1.1 | 15.8 ± 1.0 | 0.072        | 14.5 ± 1.1 | 14.1 ± 1.0 | 0.236        | 12.8 ± 0.7   | 12.8 ± 0.8 | 0.994        | 10.5 ± 0.9   | 10.3 ± 0.6 | 0.605        |
| Thigh weight (g)        | 351 ± 48   | 315 ± 37   | <b>0.012</b> | 322 ± 39   | 300 ± 33   | <b>0.047</b> | 358 ± 27     | 332 ± 27   | <b>0.006</b> | 195 ± 23     | 199 ± 24   | 0.653        |
| Thigh yield (% of BW)   | 24.7 ± 0.9 | 24.8 ± 0.5 | 0.518        | 26.0 ± 1.3 | 25.2 ± 1.0 | <b>0.047</b> | 26.2 ± 0.9   | 25.9 ± 0.8 | 0.316        | 24.8 ± 0.5   | 24.2 ± 0.6 | <b>0.018</b> |
| Meat quality            |            |            |              |            |            |              |              |            |              |              |            |              |
| Yellowness (b*)         | 10.2 ± 1.2 | 11.3 ± 1.4 | <b>0.009</b> | 11.1 ± 1.4 | 11.1 ± 1.7 | 0.973        | 11.9 ± 1.3   | 11.8 ± 1.0 | 0.903        | 10.2 ± 1.4   | 12.0 ± 1.7 | <b>0.002</b> |

In overall, higher cut meat weights and yields in Low Rangers than in High Rangers

→ Trade-off between range use and performances

Higher foraging activity → higher consumption of grass containing carotenoids → higher intake of carotenoids (Mattioli et al., 2022)



# PPILOW Results Physiology and metabolism : blood parameters at slaughter

- ★ JA757
- ★ S757N
- ★ White Bresse
- ★ Dual-purpose




Range use

Retained Energy?

Muscle growth

Creatine kinase activity






Less cellular stress?



Creatine kinase activity is associated with muscle growth rate (Berri et al., 2007)

# PPILOW Results Physiology and metabolism : blood parameters at slaughter

- ★ JA757
- ★ S757N
- ★ White Bresse
- ★ Dual-purpose



Antioxidants (TAS, vitamin E) decrease and oxidation indicator (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) increases with locomotor activity (Mattioli et al., 2017)


# PPILOW Results Physiology and metabolism : blood parameters at slaughter

- ★ JA757
- ★ S757N
- ★ White Bresse
- ★ Dual-purpose

Range use

Potential foraging activity?






n-6/n-3 fatty acids



Foraging activity → consumption of grass with low n-6/n-3 fatty acids → low n-6/n-3 in the blood (Mattioli et al., 2022)

# PPILOW Results Health and Welfare


- ★ JA757
- ★ S757N
- ★ White Bresse
- ★ Dual-purpose



Decreased length and stronger tibial bone in chickens in free-range compared to indoor systems (Fanatico et al., 2005; Stadig et al., 2016)  
 Decreased tibial length association with forced exercise (Foutz et al., 2007)

## PPILOW Conclusions

- Little effect of greater range use in slow-growing birds on welfare and meat quality indicators except meat yellowness
- Confirmed negative relationship of high range use with performance
- Strain-dependent effects on redox status and blood fatty acids, bone and muscle health
- Many effects observed in JA757: highest growth rate and largest HR/LR Final distance index ratio



Genetic selection possibilities to obtain a compromise between ranging behaviour, performance and health?

12

# PPILOW PARTNERS



*Thank you for your attention*

[www.ppilow.eu](http://www.ppilow.eu)



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 816172