

Introduction to wildland fire physics and behaviour Jean-Luc Dupuy

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Luc Dupuy. Introduction to wildland fire physics and behaviour: Basic fire processes, Fire Behaviour, Fire Behaviour Models. Doctoral. France. 2022, pp.112. hal-04205269

HAL Id: hal-04205269 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04205269

Submitted on 12 Sep 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Type of models

Many fire behaviour models have been developed over last 60 years, including

- 1. Empirical models for rate of spread
- 2. Empirical models for marginal burning
- 3. Empirical models for crowning activity
- 4. Empirical models and algorithms for fire growth
- 5. Semi-empirical models for rate of spread
- 6. Simplified physics-based models for rate of spread
- 7. Physics-based transport models for fire behaviour
- 8. Meso-scale coupled fire-atmosphere models

Fire behaviour models : empirical

2

Australian Rate of spread models - examples

Grassland (1998)	Heathlands (1998)		
Inputs:	Inputs:		
- Wind speed U	- Wind speed U		
- Dead fuel moisture FMC	- Height of shrub layer H		
- % dry/green (curing) C	Formulation :		
Formulation :	R = a . U ^b . H ^d		
R = (a+b . (U - 5)^d) . f(FMC) . g(C)	(based on 117 field fires and 16 wildfire		
(based on 480 observations)	observations)		
European Rate of spread models - e	examples		
Shrubland (Q coccifera garrigue), France	Shrubland fuel types, Portugal		
(Trabaud 1979)	(Fernandes 2001)		
Inputs :	Inputs:		
- Wind speed U	- Wind speed U		
- Height of shrub layer H	- Height of shrub layer H		

- Live fuel moisture M_{ν}

Formulation :

 $R = a \cdot U^b \cdot H^c / M_v^d$ (based on 35 experimental fires)

l fires) (based on 29 field experimental fires)

Formulation :

- Dead fuel moisture FMC

 $R = a \cdot U^b \cdot H^c \cdot exp(-d.FMC)$

Empirical ROS models reviewed by Sullivan 2009

Model	Field or laboratory	Fuel type	FMC function	FMC range (%)	Wind function	Wind speed range $(m s^{-1})$	Add. or Mul.	ROS range (m s ^{-1})
Empirical			FMC=M					
CFS-accel	Laboratory	Pond./excel.	na	na	$0.74(1 - e^{-0.9U})$	0–2.22	na	0.006-0.2
CALM Spinifex	Field	Spinifex	-82.08M	12-31	U^2	1.1–10	Add.	0-1.5
CFBP	Field	Forest	$e^{-0.1386M}(1+M^{5.31})$?	$e^{0.05039U}$?	Mul.	?
PWSTas	Field	Buttongrass	$e^{-0.0243M}$	8.2–96	$U^{1.312}$	0.2–10	Mul.	?
CALM Mallee	Field	Mallee	$e^{-0.11M_{ld}}$	4–32	$U^{1.05}$	1.5-6.9	Mul.	0.13-6.8
CSIRO Grass	Field	Grass	$e^{-0.108M}$	2.7-12.1	$U^{0.844}$	2.9-7.1	Both	0.29-2.07
Heath	Field	Heath/shrub	na	na	$U^{1.21}$	0.11-10.1	na	0.01 - 1.00
UdTM Shrub	Field	Heath/shrub	$e^{-0.027M}$	10-40	$e^{0.092 U}$	0.28-7.5	Mul.	0.01-0.33
CALM Jarrah I	Laboratory	Litter	$\frac{1}{0.003 \pm 0.000922M}$	3–14	$U^{2.22}$	0.0-2.1	Mul.	0.002-0.075
CALM Jarrah II	Field	Forest	$M^{-1.495}$	3-18.6	$U^{2.674}$	0.72-3.33	Mul.	0.003-0.28
UdTM Pinaster	Field	Forest	$e^{-0.035M}$	8-56	$U^{0.868}$	0.3-6.4	Mul.	0.004-0.231
Gorse	Field	Gorse	-0.0004M	22-85	na	<1.4	na	0.004-0.039
Maquis	Field	Maquis	na	15.3-27.7	0.495 <i>U</i>	0.02-0.25	na	0.01-0.15
Helsinki	Field	Moss	na	7–94	e ^{2.286U}	0.1–1.6	na	0-0.057
CSIRO Forest	Field	Forest	$M^{-1.495}$	5.6-9.6	$U^{0.904}$	1.56-4.58	Both	0-0.38
Quasi-empirical								
TRW	Laboratory	Match splints	na	na	$U^{0.5}$	0-4.7	na	0-0.007
NBRU	Laboratory	Match splints	na	na	U^3	0–9	na	0.004-0.38
USFS	Laboratory	Needles/excel.	$\frac{e^{-4.05M}}{(700+2260M)}$	2–33	$U^{0.91}$	0-3.1	Mul.	0-0.23
Coimbra	Laboratory	Needles	na	10-15	na	?	na	?
Nelson	Laboratory/field	Birch sticks	na	na	$U^{1.51}$	0.0–3.66	na	< 0.271

Australian emprirical models

Many other models have been produced for a variety of Australian vegetation covers, including forests and plantations

A guide to rate of **FIRE SPREAD MODELS** for Australian vegetation afac 🗘

A guide to rate of FIRE SPREAD MODELS

for Australian vegetation

Miguel G. Cruz CSIRO Land and Water, Canberra, ACT

James S. Gould CSIRO Land and Water, Canberra, ACT

Martin E. Alexander University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Andrew L. Sullivan CSIRO Land and Water, Canberra, ACT

W. Lachlan McCaw Department of Parks and Wildlife, Manjimup, WA

> Stuart Matthews CSIRO Land and Water, Sydney, NSW

> > 2015 – Revised edition –

Enquiries should be addressed to: Miguel Cruz CSIRO Land and Water GPO Box 1700, Canberra ACT 2601 Phone: +61 2 6246 4219 Email: miguel.cruz@csiro.au

Printed copies available from the AFAC shop - www.afac.com.au





Australian emprirical models

Model	Inputs (units)	Output (units)	Equations	Common name	
Southern grasslands					
McArthur (1966a, 1973b)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Air temperature (°C) Relative humidity (%) Curing level (%)	<i>R</i> (km/h)	3.1 3.2	Mk 3/4 Grassland Fire Danger Meter	
McArthur (1977)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Curing level (%) Fuel load (t/ha)	<i>R</i> (km/h)	3.3	Mk 5 Grassland Fire Danger Meter	
Cheney <i>et al.</i> (1998)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Curing level (%)	<i>R</i> (km/h)	3.5 3.6 3.10 3.11	CSIRO Grassland Fire Spread Meter	
Grasslands - Hu	mmock spinifex				
Griffin and Allan (1984)	2-m wind speed (m/s) Air temperature (°C) Relative humidity (%) MC (%) live and dead Spinifex cover (%) Bare ground cover (%) Patchiness	<i>R</i> (m/s)	3.12 3.13 3.14	Central Australia spinifex model	
Burrows <i>et al.</i> (1991)	2-m wind speed (km/h) MC (%) live and dead Fuel load (t/ha) Air temperature (°C)	<i>R</i> (m/h)	3.15	Spinifex model	
Burrows <i>et al.</i> (2009)	2-m wind speed (km/h) MC (%) live and dead Fuel load (t/ha) or Fuel cover (%) and height (cm)	Likelihood of fire spread R (m/h)	3.16 3.17 3.18 3.19 3.20	WA spinifex model	

Model	Inputs (units)	Output (units)	Equations	Common name		
Grasslands - Tropical savannas						
Cheney <i>et al.</i> (1998)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Curing level (%) Overstorey type	<i>R</i> (km/h)	3.5 3.6 3.10 3.11	CSIRO Fire Spread Meter for Northern Australia		
Shrublands – Bu	uttongrass moorlands					
Marsden- Smedley and Catchpole (1995a)	2-m wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Fuel age (years)	<i>R</i> (m/min)	4.1	Buttongrass model		
Shrublands hea	thlands					
Catchpole et al. (1998)	2-m wind speed (m/s) Fuel height (m)	<i>R</i> (m/s)	4.4	Heathland model		
Anderson et al. (2015)	10-m wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Fuel height (m)	R (m/min)	4.5	Heathland model		
Shrublands Mal	lee-heath					
McCaw (1995)	2-m wind speed (m/s) Dead fuel moisture content (%)	<i>R</i> (m/s)	4.7	WA mallee model		
Cruz et al. (2010)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Near-surface Fuel Percent cover Score (PCS) Elevated Fuel Hazard Score (FHS) Overstorey Height (m)	Likelihood of fire spread Likelihood of crown fire spread <i>R</i> (m/min)	4.8 4.10 4.11 4.12 4.13	SA heath SA mallee- heath		
Cruz et al. (2013)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Overstorey Cover (%) Overstorey Height (m)	Likelihood of fire spread Likelihood of crown fire spread <i>R</i> (m/min)	4.14 4.16 4.17 4.18 4.19	Mallee-heath		

Australian emprirical models

Model	Inputs (units)	Output (units)	Equations	Common name		
Dry eucalypt forests – prescribed burning						
McArthur (1962)	1.5-m wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Fuel load (t/ha)	<i>R</i> (m/min)	5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	Leaflet 80; Control Burning Guide		
Sneeuwjagt and Peet (1985)	1.5-m wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Fuel load (t/ha)	<i>R</i> (m/h)	5.9 5.10 5.11 5.12 - 5.17	Red Book; Forest Fire Behaviour Tables		
Cheney et al. (1992)	2-m wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Near-surface fuel height (m)	<i>R</i> (m/min)	5.18	Young Regrowth Forest Burning Guide		
Dry eucalypt fo	rests – wildfire					
McArthur (1967, 1973a)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Air temperature (°C) Relative humidity (%) Drought factor KBDI (mm) Time since rain (days) Rainfall (mm) Last rain amount (mm) Available litter fuel load (t/ha)	<i>R</i> (km/h)	5.19 5.20 5.27	Mk 5 Forest Fire Danger Meter		
Cheney et al. (2012)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Surface Fuel Hazard Score (FHS) Near-surface (Fuel Hazard Score (FHS) Near-surface fuel height (cm) Fuel Hazard Rating (FHR)	<i>R</i> (m/h)	5.28 5.29 5.31	Dry Eucalypt Forest Fire model Vesta model		

Model	Inputs (units)	Output (units)	Equations	Common name	
Wet eucalypt forests – prescribed burning					
Sneeuwjagt and Peet (1985)	1.5-m wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Fuel load (t/ha)	<i>R</i> (m/h)	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.9	Red Book; Forest Fire Behaviour Tables	
Pine plantations	s – prescribed burning				
Byrne (1980); Hunt and Crock (1987)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Relative humidity (%) Available understorey fuel load (t/ha)	<i>R</i> (m/h)	7.1	Prescribed burning guide Mk 3	
Sneeuwjagt and Peet (1985)	1.5-m wind speed (km/h) Dead fuel moisture content (%) Fuel load (t/ha)	<i>R</i> (m/h)	See 5.9 - 5.17 7.2 7.3	Red Book; Forest Fire Behaviour Tables	
Pine plantations	s – wildfire				
Cruz <i>et al.</i> (2008)	10-m open wind speed (km/h) Air temperature (°C) Fine dead fuel moisture content (%) Live foliar moisture content (%) Fuel strata gap (m) Surface fuel model Canopy bulk density (kg/m ³) Stand height (m) Stand density (trees/ha)	<i>R</i> (m/min) Fire type		PPPY – Pine Plantation Pyrometrics	

Generic ROS models for grassland and shrubland

Grassland (Cheney et al 1998)

when $U_{10} < 5 \text{ km h}^{-1}$

$$R_{n} = [0.054 + 0.269 U_{10}] \cdot \phi M \cdot \phi C$$

$$R_{cu} = [0.054 + 0.209 U_{10}] \cdot \phi M \cdot \phi$$

when
$$U_{10} > 5 \text{ km h}^{-1}$$

 $R_n = [1.4 + 0.838 (U_{10} - 5)^{.844}] \cdot \phi M \cdot \phi C$

$$R_{cu} = [1.1 + 0.715 (U_{10} - 5)^{.844}] .\phi M .\phi C$$

Dead fuel moisture coefficient

$$\phi M = \exp(-0.108 M_f)$$
 if $M_f < 12\%$ (14)

$$\phi$$
M = 0.684 - 0.0342 M_f if M_f > 12%, U₁₀ < 10 km h⁻¹ (15)

$$\phi M = 0.547 - 0.0228 M_f$$
 if $M_f > 12\%, U_{10} > 10 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ (16)

where M_f = dead fuel moisture content (%): application bounds 2 - 24%.

where

 R_n = quasi-steady rate of spread in undisturbed natural pastures (km h⁻¹).

 R_{cu} = quasi-steady rate of spread in cut, grazed, or par tially trampled pastures (km h⁻¹)

 $U_{10} = 10 \text{ m wind speed in the open (km h⁻¹) application bounds (0-80 km h⁻¹).}$

 ϕ M = moisture coefficient (equations 14, 15, 16) ϕ C = curing coefficient (equation 17)

Curring coefficient

 $\phi C = 1.120/[1 + 59.2 \exp(-0.124 (C-50))]$ (17)

where C is the degree of grass curing (%): application bounds 50 - 100%.

Fire behaviour models : empirical

Generic ROS models for grassland and shrubland

Shrubland (Anderson et al 2015)

$$R = \begin{cases} [R_0 + 0.2(a(5w_f)^b - R_0)U_{10}]h^c \exp(-k_d M_d), U_{10} < 5\\ a(w_f U_{10})^b h^c \exp(-k_d M_d), U_{10} \ge 5 \end{cases}$$



Fire behaviour models : empirical

The Canadian Fire Behaviour Prediction System (1992)



The Fire Weather Index (FWI) System

It is a widely used <u>fire danger (not fire beahviour</u>) prediction system



Fire behaviour models : empirical

The Canadian Fire Behaviour Prediction System (1992)

Rate of spread prediction

Inputs:

- Fuel types (currently 16 types)
- Wind and fuel moisture through the ISI

Formulation :

One equation for eah fuel type (500 fire experiments) :

 $R = a \cdot [1 - exp(-b \cdot ISI)^{c}]$

Terrain slope effect : an empirical law to correct for slope



C1 – Spruce-Lichen Woodland



C6 – Conifer plantation



M1 – Boreal Mixedwood-Leafless



C3 – Mature Jack or Lodgepole Pine



S2 – White Spruce – Balsam Slash



M4 – Dead Balsam Fir Mixedwood

The Rothermel model (1972) – USDA Forest Service

The model is based on an energy balance for fuel bed pre-heating (Frandsen 1971), parameterized from laboratory experiments .

When fire approaches at rate R, a fuel elementary volume ΔV receives heat from flames and hot embers in the form of horizontal and vertical 'propagating fluxes' J, leading to its ignition:



No slope, no wind

The 'propagating flux' J_0 is assumed proportional to the heat flux released by the combustion of a unit area of fuel bed, called the <u>reaction intensity</u> (this is different from fireline intensity)

$$I_R = \frac{\Delta H_c wa}{\tau}$$
 (kW/m²)

 ΔH_c is the low heat of combustion of the fuel (kJ/kg)

 w_a is the fuel load consumed (kg/m²)

au is a characteristic time of fuel combustion (s)



No slope, no wind conditions

 ΔH_c

The 'propagating flux' J_0 is assumed proportional to the heat flux released by the combustion of a unit area of fuel bed, called the <u>reaction intensity</u> (this is different from fireline intensity)

$$I_R = \frac{\Delta H_c wa}{\tau}$$

$$\Delta H_c \text{ is the low of combustion of the fuel (kJ/kg)}$$

$$w_a \text{ is the available fuel load (kg/m^2)}$$

$$\tau \text{ is a characteristic time of fuel combustion (s)}$$

The 'propagating flux' J_0 then writes

$$J_0 = \xi IR$$



No slope, no wind conditions

The reaction intensity and the 'propagation flux' are then estimated from independent measurements in fire experiments.

- Reaction intensity estimated from mass loss measurement on spreading fire Note : reaction inetensity is decomposed in several terms, not detailed here
- Propagation flux known from ROS (R) and fuel consumption (ε) measurements :

$$J_0 = R\varepsilon \rho_b Q_{ig}$$



I Fire interface approaching weighed fuel



I Fire burning into weighed fuel



III Steady weight loss rate achieved

Fire behaviour models : semi-empirical

The Rothermel model (1972)

No slope, no wind conditions

The terms of the model are fitted to fuel bed parameters :

- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ the surface to volume ratio of fuel elements (m⁻¹)
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ the packing ratio of the fuel bed (= $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{b}/\boldsymbol{\rho}$)
- $oldsymbol{\delta}$ the fuel bed depth (m)

M fuel moisture content





Fire behaviour models : semi-empirical

The Rothermel model (1972)

Wind and slope effects



Final model formulation

$$R = \frac{\xi \ IR \ (1 + \phi_W + \phi_S)}{\rho_b \ \varepsilon \ Qig}$$

The formulation was extended to a mixture of fuels, so the model can simulate effects in different fuel types



This model is the most widely used fire behaviour model worldwide. It is intended for the prediction of <u>surface fire</u> rate of spread.

It has been embedded in a number of fire prediction systems, in particular in North-America:

BEHAVE, FLAMAP, FARSITE, ...

Fuel models have been built for operational use in US (and elsewhere) : a set of fuel structure parameters (σ , β , δ) is attribued to each fuel type.

Its relative simplicity and full parameterization allowed this wide success.

The model outputs must be controlled against fire observations, and fuel models may need to be adjusted, depending on the context of application.

Physics-based model : what is it ?

Basically, it is <u>a set of mathematical equations</u> describing the major fire processes and their interactions in both time and space.

It is based on the conservation laws of physics for mass, momentum and energy. Well known examples of such equations are models for weather forecasts.

For wildfires, these equations describe :

- the atmospheric processes in interaction with the fire and the vegetation: wind flow and fire-induced flow
- the thermal degradation and combustion of fuel
- the heat transfer between flames, embers and the fuels

To date two models have been developed that are operational at stand to landscape scales:

- FIRETEC (Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA ; co-developed with INRAe 10-15 years ago)
- WFDS (Wildland Fire Dynamics Simulator, an extension of the FDS from the NIST, USA More 'research' models have been produced as well (e.g. FIRESTAR, University of Marseille)

Fire behaviour models : physics-based

Physics-based transport model : what is it ?

These equations must be <u>solved numerically</u> in time and space thanks to (high-performance) computers.

For this, time and space are discretized in time steps and spatial cells organized according to a grid geometry (grid cells).

In each grid cell, the time evolution of the following state variables is computed :

temperature, mass density, chemical species, flow velocity.



Side view of a simulated forest fire (FIRETEC)



Spatial resolution : 2 to 10 m grid cells (usually 2 m) Spatial domains : 300 to 3000 m en (x,y), 600 à 1500 m (z)



Wind effect



Wind 25 km/h

Wind 50 km/h

Slope effect



No slope

Slope 30%

Fuel moisture effect



Beginning of summer: Pines 100 %, Shrubs 70 % End of summer: Pines 90%, Shrubs 56 %

Fuel treatment depth needed to reduce heat fluxes in wildland-urban interface

	Flat te	errain	Slope 30%		
	Radiative flux (kW/m ²)	Temperature (°C)	Radiative flux (kW/m²)	Temperature (°C)	
10 m	22-28	329-422	30-39	280-336	
30 m	8.3-9.2	110-143	12-13.2	105-120	
50 m	5.4-5.9	59-70	8-9.1	68-78	

Radiative fluxes and temperatures (1 min time-averaged)



Movies showing several FIRETEC studies

