



HAL
open science

To protect or to hide: Why not both? An investigation of fire-related strategies in Cerrado woody species

Marco Antonio Chiminazzo, Aline Bertolosi Bombo, Tristan Charles-Dominique, Alessandra Fidelis

► To cite this version:

Marco Antonio Chiminazzo, Aline Bertolosi Bombo, Tristan Charles-Dominique, Alessandra Fidelis. To protect or to hide: Why not both? An investigation of fire-related strategies in Cerrado woody species. *Flora*, 2023, 306, pp.152350. 10.1016/j.flora.2023.152350 . hal-04212393

HAL Id: hal-04212393

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04212393>

Submitted on 24 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **To protect or to hide: why not both? An investigation of fire-related strategies**
2 **in Cerrado woody species**

3 Marco Antonio Chiminazzo¹, Aline Bertolosi Bombo¹, Tristan Charles-Dominique^{2,3},
4 Alessandra Fidelis¹

5

6 ¹ Lab of Vegetation Ecology, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Instituto de
7 Biociências, Avenida 24-A, 1515, Rio Claro, 13506-900, Brazil.

8 ² AMAP, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, CNRS, INRAE, IRD, Montpellier, France

9 ³ Institute of Ecology and Environmental Sciences – Paris CNRS UMR 7618,
10 Sorbonne University, Paris, France.

11 **Author for correspondence:** Marco Chiminazzo (marcochiminazzo@gmail.com)

12 Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1174-5556>

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 Two key strategies enable woody species persistence and survival in fire-prone
24 ecosystems after fire: the aboveground protection of stems and buds by thick bark
25 and the allocation of biomass belowground to specialized bud bearing storage
26 organs – both strategies allowing plants to resprout new branches after the
27 aboveground parts are damaged by fire. Here we investigate whether those two
28 strategies can be combined with each other. We compared 24 woody species from
29 the Cerrado (tropical savannas from Brazil) and analyzed their underground storage
30 organs (USOs) in relation to their aboveground bark production and aerial bud
31 protection – two key traits allowing species to first survive and then persist after fire –
32 together with plant potential height. We then compared if bark growth rate, aerial bud
33 protection and plant potential height are linked to the ecological function of the
34 underground storage organs (on spot persistence vs clonal growth through lateral
35 spreading). Species with woody rhizomes (capable of spreading laterally) better
36 protected their aboveground stems with thicker bark when compared to species with
37 xylopodium and root-crown organs (adapted for on spot basal resprouting). A clear
38 division was found concerning how well species are protecting their aerial buds and
39 the type of underground storage organ, with species spreading laterally displaying a
40 greater aerial bud protection. The results suggest that the presence of a specialized
41 organ belowground does not appear to be mutually exclusive with producing
42 thick bark on aboveground stems. It does exist, however, a different expression of
43 bark production and aerial bud protection between species displaying lateral spread
44 and those persisting on spot, suggesting the existence of a trade-off between above-
45 and belowground strategies in species displaying on spot persistence. This
46 highlights that Cerrado species can combine different fire-survival strategies, further

47 questioning which fire conditions promote each strategy and their combination and in
48 which cases trade-offs occur.

49

50 **Keywords:** Belowground organs, bark production, bark thickness, clonal growth,
51 resprouting, underground storage organs.

52

53 **1. Introduction**

54 Species persistence in fire-prone ecosystems is highly dependent on their ability to
55 survive fire. During a fire event, not all parts of the plants are equally exposed to the
56 flames, with different traits being required to promote fire-survival (Chiminazzo et al.,
57 2021; Scalon et al., 2020; Wigley et al., 2020). This is the consequence of the
58 distribution of aboveground structures that are exposed or not to the flames: higher
59 structures such as branches and twigs can be positioned outside the flames and are
60 only exposed to the flame plume. On the other end, older parts of the trunk and/or
61 main stems are located closer to the ground and are thus exposed to flames
62 (Chiminazzo et al., 2021; Dantas and Pausas, 2013; Gignoux et al., 1997; Graves et
63 al., 2014). Plant structures can be positioned belowground and be well insulated by
64 the soil, where they barely suffer from fire damage – a clear contrast with
65 aboveground structures located within the flame zone that are often heavily
66 damaged by the flames (Choczynska and Johnson, 2009; Hoffmann and Solbrig,
67 2003; Kavanagh et al., 2010; Pausas et al., 2018). Consequently, how plants
68 experience fires is strongly dependent on their allocation to above- and/or perennial
69 organs positioned belowground.

70 Many plant species from fire-prone ecosystems have traits that allow them to
71 resprout and persist after fire (Bond and Midgley, 2001), such as the development of

72 new branches mostly from buds located above- and/or belowground (Burrows, 2002;
73 Clarke et al., 2013; Klimešová & Klimeš, 2007). While belowground resprouting is
74 very common (Pausas et al., 2016; Pilon et al., 2021; Zupo et al., 2021),
75 aboveground resprouting usually occur high in the canopy most often in tall trees
76 (Chiminazzo et al., 2021; Scalon et al., 2020; Souchie et al., 2017). Species with this
77 latter strategy usually pay a high cost to protect their trunk (e.g., by producing
78 considerable amounts of bark; Pausas, 2015; Rosell et al., 2014), but gain the ability
79 to maintain a large storage compartment aboveground, allowing to display new
80 foliage in higher strata when compared to species that have resprouted from the
81 ground (Crisp et al., 2011). On the other hand, species with a strategy based on
82 basal and belowground resprouting are assumed to minimize costs of protecting
83 their aerial parts: they rely on both the maintenance of a viable bud bank at the plant
84 base and belowground through the development of perennating organs that can
85 store reserve either at the soil surface or belowground (Pausas et al., 2018).

86 Aboveground, bark plays a key role in woody plants for protecting their structures
87 from fire. The bark creates a protective layer that surrounds vital inner tissues and
88 insulates them from air, fire, cold, and pathogens (Burrows and Chisnall, 2016; De
89 Antonio et al., 2020; Gashaw et al., 2002; Lawes et al., 2013; Rosell et al., 2021).
90 During a fire event, bark notably prevents the heat from killing the cambium and
91 inducing xylem deformation (Gashaw et al., 2002; Hacke et al., 2001; Lawes et al.,
92 2013, 2011; Michaletz et al., 2012); it also protects buds located below its surface
93 allowing future resprouting (Bond and Midgley, 2001; Burrows, 2002; Charles-
94 Dominique et al., 2015; Chiminazzo et al., 2021). In ecosystems with fires fueled by
95 grasses (e.g., savannas), fires are of low intensity and high frequency (Archibald et
96 al., 2018; Bond and Parr, 2010). Hence, in savannas, the main survival strategy of

97 woody species is to produce and accumulate bark fast enough (without bark
98 shedding) before the next fire event to protect their aboveground parts, instead of
99 accumulating a large amount of bark over a long period (Charles-Dominique et al.,
100 2017). Bark is such an important trait for species from fire-prone ecosystem that it
101 can predict community assembly across fire-sensitive forests and fire-prone
102 savannas based on how much bark woody species produce (at least 0.13 mm of
103 bark per growth unit in the Cerrado; Chiminazzo et al., 2023a; also see Charles-
104 Dominique et al., 2017).

105 While bark is important for woody species to protect their aboveground
106 organs, developing perennial belowground organs also accounts for the success of
107 plant species during their development in fire-prone ecosystems (Klimešová and
108 Klimeš, 2007; Maurin et al., 2014; Ott et al., 2019). These organs are related to
109 different functions: in addition to bear buds that will assure post-disturbance
110 resprouting, they can allow clonal growth through lateral spread (as in the case of
111 woody rhizomes), assure a large part of resource storage, improve anchorage, and
112 organize the fine roots involved in resource acquisition (Archibald et al., 2018;
113 Bardgett et al., 2014; Klimešová and Klimeš, 2007; Laliberté, 2017; Maurin et al.,
114 2014; Ott et al., 2019). In disturbance-driven ecosystems, the presence of bud-
115 bearing underground storage organs (USOs) is a key trait assuring plant survival and
116 persistence and accounting for vegetation resilience (Bombo et al., 2022; Pilon et al.,
117 2020; Zupo et al., 2021), with belowground resprouting being 25 times more
118 common than aerial resprouting in the Cerrado (Chiminazzo et al., 2021). Moreover,
119 USOs are also important for species capable of resprouting aboveground as they
120 allow resprouting to occur after the aerial structures were consumed or heavily
121 damaged by the flames. Consequently, USOs allow plants' persistence in the post-

122 fire ecosystem even after their aerial buds were damaged by the flames (Charles-
123 Dominique et al., 2015; Grady and Hoffmann, 2012; Souchie et al., 2017). However,
124 even though there are several advantages for plants investing in belowground
125 biomass, there are drawbacks when it comes to resprouting, since basal resprouting
126 requires more time and resources to reconstitute an equivalent biomass and the
127 plant's spatial occupation prior to the disturbance (Clarke et al., 2013; Crisp et al.,
128 2011)

129 Interestingly, few studies analyzed whether developing USOs trade-off with
130 protecting aboveground structures or not. If having USOs does not preclude
131 protecting the aboveground structures by positioning the buds deep under the bark
132 layer and/or developing a thick bark (Burrows et al., 2010; Charles-Dominique et al.,
133 2015; Chiminazzo et al., 2021), an allocation trade-off is expected as both
134 developing thick bark and large belowground organs incur high costs (e.g., Dantas et
135 al., 2013; Dantas & Pausas, 2013; Gignoux et al., 1997; Lawes et al., 2013; Pausas
136 et al., 2018). Several woody plants have been reported for resprouting both below
137 and aboveground buds after fire (Charles-Dominique et al., 2015; Chiminazzo et al.,
138 2021; Scalon et al., 2020; Souchie et al., 2017), suggesting that under certain
139 conditions, a strategy based on paying costs to both aboveground protection and
140 belowground storage could emerge. Based on these observations, we ask: are
141 species with underground storage organs also protecting their aboveground
142 structures with bark? Does this protection vary according to the type and main
143 function of these organs? For instance, would species with woody rhizomes capable
144 of spreading laterally display different bark production/aerial bud protection when
145 compared to those persisting on spot through organs like the xylopodium and the
146 root crown?

147 Considering the importance of investing in aboveground and belowground
148 perennial organs for species from fire-prone ecosystems, in this study we tested if

- 149 i. species with specialized bud-bearing belowground organs also
150 produce enough bark to allow them to persist in fire-prone
151 ecosystems in the Cerrado (at least 0.13 mm/growth season;
152 Chiminazzo et al., 2023a)
- 153 ii. bark production differs according to the type of specialization of the
154 underground storage organ (lateral spreading vs on spot
155 persistence)

156 We assessed the bark production, aboveground bud protection and potential height
157 of 24 Cerrado woody species that develop common types of underground storage
158 organs with different ecological specialization: woody rhizome (lateral spread),
159 xylopodium and root crown (on spot persistence).

160

161 **2. Materials and Methods**

162 **2.1 Study area**

163 The Cerrado (tropical savanna in Brazil) is composed of different vegetation types
164 ranging from fire-prone savannas and grasslands to fire-sensitive forests (Coutinho,
165 1978; Eiten, 1972). Fire is closely related to the evolution of plant species of the
166 Cerrado and imposes a strong filter promoting fire-adapted species in savannas
167 (Coutinho, 1990; Dantas, Batalha, et al., 2013; Eiten, 1972; Hoffmann et al., 2012;
168 Simon et al., 2009). For this study, we sampled species at the Santa Bárbara
169 Ecological Station (SBES, 22°48'59" S, 19°14'12" W), in southeastern Brazil. This
170 protected area is composed of different fire-prone savanna vegetation types (Melo


171 and Durigan, 2011; Ribeiro and Walter, 2008), from *campo sujo* (open savanna) to
172 *cerrado sensu stricto* (woody savanna), as well as fire-free vegetation types like the
173 *cerradão* and the seasonally semideciduous forests. The vegetation at the SBES is
174 exposed to the markedly seasonality of the Cerrado, experiencing hot and wet
175 summers from October to April (mean annual temperature: 24 °C, total rainfall: 1,000
176 mm) and dry and mild winters from May to September (mean annual temperature:
177 18.6 °C, total rainfall: 400 mm; Melo & Durigan, 2011).

178

179 **2.2 Species selection and traits description**

180 We compiled information from different sources to select Cerrado woody
181 species i) occurring in fire-prone and open-canopy ecosystems; ii) with underground
182 storage organ type described (Pausas et al., 2018; Pilon et al., 2020; and personal
183 observations); iii) and with information about their bark growth rate and aerial bud
184 protection from our previous studies (Chiminazzo et al., 2021; Chiminazzo et al.,
185 2023a). In our previous studies, we sampled at least three individuals of each
186 species depending on their presence in sampled plots across different savannas.
187 We standardized the nomenclature for underground storage organ types following
188 Pausas et al. (2018). Following the criteria, we were able to describe 24 woody
189 species (Table 1) with three different types of belowground bud-bearing organs
190 (Pausas et al., 2018): i) *xylopodium*, a woody basal swelling that usually origins from
191 the hypocotyl and carries axillary or adventitious buds near the soil surface; ii) *root*
192 *crown*, defined by the root-shoot transition zone that carries dormant axillary buds
193 grouped in clusters above the soil surface, and iii) *woody rhizome*, a long woody

194 stem distributed horizontally under the soil surface that carry buds mainly
 195 concentrated on the nodes (Figure 1).



196
 197 **Figure 1** Types of belowground bud-bearing organs. a) Xylopodium associated with
 198 a tuberous tap root in *Stryphnodendron rotundifolium*. b) *Annona crassiflora*
 199 exhibiting a xylopodium and an unidentified belowground system (note that although
 200 not visible in the figures, the belowground system is extensive in the lower soil
 201 horizons, perpendicularly to the xylopodium). c) *Miconia albicans* with a root crown
 202 and associated adventitious roots. d) *Handroanthus ochraceus* exhibiting a woody
 203 rhizome. Scale bars are shown in white at the right bottom of each photo. Dashed
 204 lines indicate soil surface.

205
 206 We then assessed the degree of aerial bud protection for each species as
 207 described in Chiminazzo et al. (2021) and De Antonio et al. (2020). This index

208 informs how well the aerial buds are protected by bark based on a scale ranging
209 from zero (unprotected buds) to three (buds fully covered by bark), with intermediate
210 values (1 and 2) based on how deep the buds or the apical meristem are located
211 within the bark layer (Burrows, 2002; Charles-Dominique et al., 2015; Wigley et al.,
212 2020). For one additional taxon (*Erythroxylum cuneifolium*), we determined the bark
213 growth rate by sampling three individuals growing in an open savanna and following
214 the same methodology as in Charles-Dominique et al. (2017): measuring the
215 thickness of every tissue external to the cambium and dividing it by the number of
216 visible growth rings or by the number of well-developed growth units at the
217 measured section of branches, selected to have an analogous morphology to the
218 main stem (Wigley et al., 2020). Finally, we retrieved information from literature and
219 herbaria about the maximal height of each species (except for *Pouteria subcaerulea*
220 that was recorded visually in our study site).

221

222 **2.3 Statistical analyses**

223 We used generalized mixed effect models (GLMM) to model the variation in bark
224 growth rate (BGR) depending on the type of underground storage organ (USO;
225 xylopodium, root crown, or woody rhizome). We considered the BGR as a response
226 variable, the type of USO as a predictor variable, and the species and their
227 individuals as a random effect. We also used GLMM to model how BGR changes
228 across different species displaying the same type of USO, and across every species
229 regardless of the type of USO. In these two cases, we considered the species as a
230 fixed effect and their individuals as a random effect. After testing the significance of
231 the models, we performed pairwise comparisons between each type of USO and

232 each species displaying the same type of USO. Due to multiple testing across
233 contrasts in these cases, we adjusted the p-values by using the Bonferroni correction
234 method to avoid the type 1 error. The same approach was used to test differences in
235 bark production depending on the specialization of each type of organ (lateral spread
236 or on spot persistence).

237 After checking that BGR indeed varied depending on the type of USO, we
238 performed a principal component analysis (PCA) to visualize if changes in the BGR
239 would be related with each species aerial bud protection (IBP), their maximum
240 height, and their belowground functional syndromes (persisting fire on spot or
241 spreading laterally). The PCA was carried out using the *factoextra* (v 1.0.7) package,
242 while GLMMs were modeled and tested using the *lme4* (v 1.1.29), *lsmeans* (v
243 2.30.0), and *emmeans* (v. 1.7.3) packages. All analyses were performed in the R
244 environment using the R software (v. 4.2.0; R Core Team, 2023).

245

246 **3. Results**

247 Bark production varied from 0.06 to 0.90 mm/growth unit among all species (Table
248 1). Bark growth rate differed among the species ($P < 0.001$) and among species with
249 the same type of belowground organ ($P < 0.001$). Mean bark production differed
250 across the three types of belowground organs ($P < 0.001$, Table 2). Species with
251 xylopodium showed a mean bark production of 0.23 ± 0.17 mm, while species with
252 root crown had mean bark production of 0.36 ± 0.25 mm and species with woody
253 rhizome displayed bark production of 0.57 ± 0.23 mm/growth unit. A significant
254 difference was detected across organs with different ecological specialization, with
255 bark growth rate and aerial bud protection differing between species with woody

256 rhizome (lateral spread) and xylopodium ($P < 0.001$) and root crown ($P < 0.001$, both
 257 on spot persistence) but not between the xylopodium and the root crown organs.
 258 Lastly, the ordination of species concerning their growth strategy (on spot or
 259 spreading laterally) revealed a clear division explained by their bark growth rate, their
 260 aerial bud protection, and the species potential height, with the principal components
 261 explaining 92,4% of all data variation (Figure 3).


262

263 **[Table 1 should come here. It does not fit the document with the numbered**
 264 **lines, so it was submitted as a separate .doc file]**

265 **Table 2** Relationship between underground storage organs (predictors) and bark
 266 production. Estimates, confidence interval (CI), and their respective p-values (p) are
 267 shown, as well as marginal and conditional R^2 values of the model.

<i>Predictors</i>	Bark production		
	<i>Estimates</i>	<i>CI</i>	<i>p</i>
Intercept	0.37	0.20 — 0.53	<0.001
Woody rhizome	0.21	-0.01 — 0.44	0.065
Xylopodium	-0.13	-0.35 — -0.09	0.244
Marginal R^2 / Conditional R^2	0.269 / 0.896		

268




269

270 **Figure 2:** Bark growth rate according to the species and their belowground organ
 271 (xylopodium, woody rhizome, and root crown), their predominant growth form (shrub

272 or tree) and their aerial bud protection provided by bark (none, low, medium, or high;
 273 Chiminazzo et al., 2021; Wigley et al., 2020). The red dashed line indicates the bark
 274 threshold separating species from fire-sensitive to fire-prone ecosystems in the
 275 Cerrado (0.13 mm; Chiminazzo et al., 2023a). This threshold relates to the minimal
 276 amount of bark produced by woody communities capable of persisting in fire-prone
 277 ecosystems. Species names are colored according to their predominant growth form
 278 (blue = shrubs, brown = trees). USOs illustrations were adapted from Pausas et al.
 279 (2018).

280



281

282 **Figure 3:** Distribution of species with different types of aboveground strategies (on
 283 spot persistence or lateral spread) according to i) their aerial bud protection by bark,
 284 ii) their aerial bark production and, iii) their maximum height (i.e., potential height

285 obtained from herbaria records). The ellipses represent a confidence level of 95% of
286 the centroid calculated using the mean values of the principal components for each
287 category (lateral spread or on spot persistence).

288

289 **4. Discussion**

290 The results of this study reveal that escaping fire belowground and resisting the
291 flames aboveground are strategies that can occur mutually across different types of
292 underground storage organs (USOs). We demonstrate that the ecological
293 specialization of the belowground organ matters for understanding the strategies
294 being adopted by these plants, as species persisting on spot showed less
295 aboveground protection than those spreading laterally and displaying higher
296 potential height. Consequently, these observations raise further questions: why
297 protect stems from fire when these species are already safe from fire damage? And
298 if there is no major constraint to do so, why do not all plants perform both aerial and
299 belowground protection? In the following we discuss what these differences mean for
300 species from fire-prone ecosystems in the Cerrado and why plants displaying both
301 below and aboveground protection reveal that species are highly adapted to fire.

302 Bark production differs among species displaying the same type of
303 underground storage organ. An impressive variation of bark growth rate was found
304 among species, varying from 0.06 and 0.90 mm/growing unit, which should translate
305 into different levels of protection of the aboveground tissues against fire (Charles-
306 Dominique et al., 2017; Pellegrini et al., 2017). Interestingly, each USO type
307 comprised species with their bark production distributed all along the gradient from
308 low to high levels of bark protection aboveground. This suggests that species can

309 allocate resources to both develop thick bark aboveground and invest in bud-bearing
310 underground storage organs – at least in the Cerrado, where fire is the main
311 disturbance in open ecosystems (Dantas et al., 2016; Hoffmann et al., 2012). We
312 suggest that by doing so, species can maintain both an above- and belowground
313 viable bud bank, being able to resprout whichever situation is met: if fires are less
314 severe, aboveground resprouting may occur, while if fires are more severe and
315 plants are top-killed, their persistence will be assured by resprouting from the
316 belowground/basal bud bank (Chiminazzo et al., 2021; Clarke et al., 2013), being
317 very difficult to kill a savanna woody species in the Cerrado

318 Species with a xylopodium (on spot persistence) showed overall a lower bark
319 production when compared to species that developed woody rhizomes (lateral
320 spreading). Root crown species had both low and high bark production and
321 intermediate levels of aerial bud protection (their bud protection was intermediate
322 between species with xylopodium and species with woody rhizomes). Each of the
323 USO type has different level of protection from fire (Pausas et al., 2018): buds are
324 exposed to flames in species with root crowns as they are directly located at the soil
325 surface; by comparison, basal buds of xylopodium are protected from flames located
326 below the soil surface (up to 10 cm deep). For species with root crown, having extra
327 protection aboveground is therefore advantageous, while species with xylopodium
328 have greater chance to resprout after fire since their buds are better insulated from
329 the flames.

330 Together with bark production, aerial bud protection by bark also differed
331 among species displaying lateral spread and those persisting on spot. In this study,
332 most Cerrado species sampled with woody rhizomes (lateral spread) are single-
333 stemmed trees or treelets, different from species with xylopodium or root crown that

334 often grow as multi-stemmed shrubs. Species with rhizomes had the greatest
335 potential height. The need for thick bark and better bud protection is probably higher
336 in woody rhizome that have a notably smaller bud bank than species with
337 xylopodium and root crown (Bombo et al., 2022); conversely, species with
338 xylopodium and root crown have a greater chance of resprouting from belowground
339 – which in turn help explaining their multi-stemmed architecture (e.g., Götmark et al.,
340 2016; Scheffer et al., 2014; Chiminazzo et al., 2023b). On the other hand, likely
341 compensating for the smaller bud bank, species with woody rhizomes showed to
342 better protect their aboveground buds with bark and position them outside the flame
343 zone. We advocate that this strategy is beneficial for species with woody rhizomes,
344 since they can colonize a greater space in the ecosystem (Klimešová et al., 2018)
345 while maintaining their branches and stems better protected from the flames in taller
346 strata (Chiminazzo et al., 2021; Crisp et al., 2011). These observations combined
347 with their greater potential height suggest that these species are more likely to
348 eventually escape the firetrap (see Bond and van Wilgen, 1996).

349 Although Cerrado woody plants can produce great amounts of bark, investing
350 in bark aboveground does not necessarily mean branch capacity of surviving fire.
351 This capacity relies on different traits that confer thermal insulation during fire events,
352 like branch and bark density, inner bark proportion in relation to the outer bark, bark
353 water content, and stem diameter (Hacke et al., 2001; Hoffmann et al., 2012;
354 Kavanagh et al., 2010; Lawes et al., 2011; Loram-Lourenço et al., 2020; Pausas,
355 2015; Rosell et al., 2021, 2014; Scalon et al., 2021). According to Chiminazzo et al.
356 (2023), a minimum of 0.13 mm of bark production is enough to differentiate species
357 from fire-prone and fire-sensitive ecosystems in the Cerrado. However, this does not
358 indicate that all species producing bark above the threshold are able to have their

359 aerial parts well-protected against fire: some species produce bark above the
360 threshold, but their stems rarely reach enough diameter to survive fire (e.g.,
361 *Chromolaena squalida*, *Lippia origanoides*) – thus often being top killed and
362 resprouting from belowground. Therefore, further studies should address
363 experimental approaches combining bark production with plant architecture and
364 allometry to better disentangle bark production from growing and resprouting
365 patterns.

366 Finally, the results of this study highlight the importance of considering
367 belowground traits when studying plant functional differentiation (e.g., Bardgett et al.,
368 2014; Klimešová et al., 2018; Laliberté, 2017; Ott et al., 2019). Taking into account
369 the morphology of belowground organs (i.e., where and how the buds are protected)
370 together with aboveground traits (here, bark production and aerial bud protection),
371 showed to relate with the type of growing strategy that plants display in the Cerrado
372 fire-prone ecosystems (persisting on spot or spreading laterally) and to how much of
373 biomass they invest aboveground through their potential height. Although trade-offs
374 are often expected concerning alternative strategies, e.g., either escaping or
375 resisting the flames (Dantas & Pausas, 2013), our results suggest that species
376 combining several fire-survival strategies might also be selected in fire-prone
377 ecosystems.

378

379 **5. Conclusions**

380 The great variation in rates of bark production aboveground throughout different
381 types of bud-bearing underground storage organs indicate that Cerrado woody
382 species invest in strategies that confer both above- and belowground protection

383 against fire, allowing them to persist both if fires are more or less severe. Therefore,
384 escaping the 'firetrap' can be combined with resisting the flame action, as Cerrado
385 species are both fire-resisting aboveground (through investments in bark and aerial
386 bud protection) and fire-escaping belowground (by hiding buds in organs
387 belowground). Further studies should quantify which strategies are being promoted
388 under different fire regimes and how they may impact vegetation feedbacks.

389

390

391 **6. Funding**

392 MAC received financial support from FAPESP (2018/21300-6, 2021/09269-9), ABB
393 received financial support from FAPESP (2017/02934-1) and CNPq (150307/2022-5)
394 and AF receives grant from CNPq (312689/2021-7). This study received financial
395 support from CNPq (406505/2018-7), FAPESP (2015/06743-0) and National
396 Geographic Society (NGS 519036-18).

397

398 **7. Author contributions**

399 AF conceived and designed the study. MAC wrote the original draft and led the
400 writing of the manuscript. MAC, ABB, and AF performed field sampling. MAC and
401 TC-D analyzed the data. All authors participated actively in the execution of the
402 study and gave final approval for publication.

403

404

405 **8. References**

- 406 Archibald, S., Lehmann, C.E.R., Belcher, C.M., Bond, W.J., Bradstock, R.A., Daniau,
407 A.-L., Dexter, K.G., Forrestel, E.J., Greve, M., He, T., Higgins, S.I., Hoffmann,
408 W.A., Lamont, B.B., McGlenn, D.J., Moncrieff, G.R., Osborne, C.P., Pausas,
409 J.G., Price, O., Ripley, B.S., Rogers, B.M., Schwilk, D.W., Simon, M.F.,
410 Turetsky, M.R., Van der Werf, G.R., Zanne, A.E., 2018. Biological and
411 geophysical feedbacks with fire in the Earth system. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 13,
412 033003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa9ead>
- 413 Bardgett, R.D., Mommer, L., De Vries, F.T., 2014. Going underground: root traits as
414 drivers of ecosystem processes. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 29, 692–699.
415 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.006>
- 416 Bombo, A.B., Appezzato-da-Glória, B., Fidelis, A., 2022. Fire exclusion changes
417 belowground bud bank and bud-bearing organ composition jeopardizing open
418 savanna resilience. *Oecologia* 199, 153–164. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-022-05172-1)
419 [022-05172-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-022-05172-1)
- 420 Bond, W.J., van Wilgen, B.W., 1996. *Fire and plants*. Chapman & Hall, London, UK.
- 421 Bond, W.J., Midgley, J.J., 2001. Ecology of sprouting in woody plants: the
422 persistence niche. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 16, 45–51.
423 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(00\)02033-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)02033-4)
- 424 Bond, W.J., Parr, C.L., 2010. Beyond the forest edge: Ecology, diversity and
425 conservation of the grassy biomes. *Biol. Conserv.* 143, 2395–2404.
426 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.012>
- 427 Burrows, G.E., 2002. Epicormic strand structure in *Angophora*, *Eucalyptus* and
428 *Lophostemon* (Myrtaceae) - implications for fire resistance and recovery. *New*
429 *Phytol.* 153, 111–131. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0028-646X.2001.00299.x>
- 430 Burrows, G.E., Chisnall, L.K., 2016. Buds buried in bark: the reason why *Quercus*
431 *suber* (cork oak) is an excellent post-fire epicormic resprouter. *Trees* 30, 241–
432 254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-1293-1>
- 433 Burrows, G.E., Hornby, S.K., Waters, D.A., Bellairs, S.M., Prior, L.D., Bowman,
434 D.M.J.S., 2010. A wide diversity of epicormic structures is present in
435 Myrtaceae species in the northern Australian savanna biome - implications for
436 adaptation to fire. *Aust. J. Bot.* 58, 493–507. <https://doi.org/10.1071/BT10107>
- 437 Charles-Dominique, T., Beckett, H., Midgley, G.F., Bond, W.J., 2015. Bud protection:
438 a key trait for species sorting in a forest-savanna mosaic. *New Phytol.* 207,
439 1052–1060. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13406>
- 440 Charles-Dominique, T., Midgley, G.F., Bond, W.J., 2017. Fire frequency filters
441 species by bark traits in a savanna-forest mosaic. *J. Veg. Sci.* 28, 728–735.
442 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12528>
- 443 Chiminazzo, M.A., Bombo, A.B., Charles-Dominique, T., Fidelis, A., 2021. Your best
444 buds are worth protecting: Variation in bud protection in a fire-prone cerrado
445 system. *Funct. Ecol.* 35, 2424–2434. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13907>

- 446 Chiminazzo, M.A., Bombo, A.B., Charles-Dominique, T., Fidelis, A., 2023a. Bark
447 production of generalist and specialist species across savannas and forests in
448 the Cerrado. *Ann. Bot.* 131(4), 613-621 <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcad014>
- 449 Chiminazzo, M.A., Charles-Dominique, T., Rossatto, D.R., Bombo, A.B., Fidelis, A.,
450 2023b. Why woody plant modularity through time and space must be
451 integrated in fire research? *AoB PLANTS* 15, plad029.
452 <https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plad029>
- 453 Choczynska, J., Johnson, E.A., 2009. A soil heat and water transfer model to predict
454 belowground grass rhizome bud death in a grass fire: Belowground grass
455 rhizome bud death in a fire. *J. Veg. Sci.* 20, 277–287.
456 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.05757.x>
- 457 Clarke, P.J., Lawes, M.J., Midgley, J.J., Lamont, B.B., Ojeda, F., Burrows, G.E.,
458 Enright, N.J., Knox, K.J.E., 2013. Resprouting as a key functional trait: how
459 buds, protection and resources drive persistence after fire. *New Phytol.* 197,
460 19–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12001>
- 461 Coutinho, L.M., 1990. Fire in the Ecology of the Brazilian Cerrado, in: Goldammer,
462 J.G. (Ed.), *Fire in the Tropical Biota*, Ecological Studies. Springer Berlin
463 Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 82–105. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-75395-4_6)
464 [75395-4_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-75395-4_6)
- 465 Coutinho, L.M., 1978. O conceito de cerrado. *Rev. Bras. Botânica* 1, 17–23.
- 466 Crisp, M.D., Burrows, G.E., Cook, L.G., Thornhill, A.H., Bowman, D.M.J.S., 2011.
467 Flammable biomes dominated by eucalypts originated at the Cretaceous–
468 Palaeogene boundary. *Nat. Commun.* 2, 193.
469 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1191>
- 470 Dantas, V. de L., Pausas, J.G., 2013. The lanky and the corky: fire-escape strategies
471 in savanna woody species. *J. Ecol.* 101, 1265–1272.
472 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12118>
- 473 Dantas, V. de L., Hirota, M., Oliveira, R.S., Pausas, J.G., 2016. Disturbance
474 maintains alternative biome states. *Ecol. Lett.* 19, 12–19.
475 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12537>
- 476 De Antonio, A.C., Scalon, M.C., Rossatto, D.R., 2020. The role of bud protection and
477 bark density in frost resistance of savanna trees. *Plant Biol.* 22, 55–61.
478 <https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.13050>
- 479 Eiten, G., 1972. The Cerrado Vegetation of Brazil. *Bot. Rev.* 38, 201–341.
- 480 Gashaw, M., Michelsen, A., Friis, I., Jensen, M., Demissew, S., Woldu, Z., 2002.
481 Post-fire regeneration strategies and tree bark resistance to heating in
482 frequently burning tropical savanna woodlands and grasslands in Ethiopia.
483 *Nord. J. Bot.* 22, 19–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-1051.2002.tb01615.x>
- 484 Gignoux, J., Clobert, J., Menaut, J.-C., 1997. Alternative fire resistance strategies in
485 savanna trees. *Oecologia* 110, 576–583.
486 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050198>

- 487 Götmark, F., Götmark, E., Jensen, A.M., 2016. Why Be a Shrub? A Basic Model and
488 Hypotheses for the Adaptive Values of a Common Growth Form. *Front. Plant*
489 *Sci.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01095>
- 490 Grady, J.M., Hoffmann, W.A., 2012. Caught in a fire trap: Recurring fire creates
491 stable size equilibria in woody resprouters. *Ecology* 93, 2052–2060.
492 <https://doi.org/10.1890/12-0354.1>
- 493 Graves, S.J., Rifai, S.W., Putz, F.E., 2014. Outer bark thickness decreases more
494 with height on stems of fire-resistant than fire-sensitive Floridian oaks
495 (*Quercus* spp.; Fagaceae). *Am. J. Bot.* 101, 2183–2188.
496 <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1400412>
- 497 Hacke, U.G., Sperry, J.S., Pockman, W.T., Davis, S.D., McCulloh, K.A., 2001.
498 Trends in wood density and structure are linked to prevention of xylem
499 implosion by negative pressure. *Oecologia* 126, 457–461.
500 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100628>
- 501 Hoffmann, W.A., Geiger, E.L., Gotsch, S.G., Rossatto, D.R., Silva, L.C.R., Lau, O.L.,
502 Haridasan, M., Franco, A.C., 2012. Ecological thresholds at the savanna-
503 forest boundary: how plant traits, resources and fire govern the distribution of
504 tropical biomes. *Ecol. Lett.* 15, 759–768. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01789.x)
505 [0248.2012.01789.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01789.x)
- 506 Hoffmann, W.A., Sanders, R.W., Just, M.G., Wall, W.A., Hohmann, M.G., 2020.
507 Better lucky than good: How savanna trees escape the fire trap in a variable
508 world. *Ecology* 101. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2895>
- 509 Hoffmann, W.A., Solbrig, O.T., 2003. The role of topkill in the differential response of
510 savanna woody species to fire. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 180, 273–286.
511 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(02\)00566-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(02)00566-2)
- 512 Kavanagh, K.L., Dickinson, M.B., Bova, A.S., 2010. A Way Forward for Fire-Caused
513 Tree Mortality Prediction: Modeling A Physiological Consequence of Fire. *Fire*
514 *Ecol.* 6, 80–94. <https://doi.org/10.4996/fireecology.0601080>
- 515 Klimešová, J., Klimeš, L., 2007. Bud banks and their role in vegetative regeneration
516 – A literature review and proposal for simple classification and assessment.
517 *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 8, 115–129.
518 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2006.10.002>
- 519 Klimešová, J., Martínková, J., Herben, T., 2018. Horizontal growth: An overlooked
520 dimension in plant trait space. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 32, 18–21.
521 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2018.02.002>
- 522 Laliberté, E., 2017. Below-ground frontiers in trait-based plant ecology. *New Phytol.*
523 213, 1597–1603. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14247>
- 524 Lawes, M.J., Midgley, J.J., Clarke, P.J., 2013. Costs and benefits of relative bark
525 thickness in relation to fire damage: a savanna/forest contrast. *J. Ecol.* 101,
526 517–524. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12035>
- 527 Lawes, M.J., Richards, A., Dathe, J., Midgley, J.J., 2011. Bark thickness determines
528 fire resistance from fire-prone tropical savanna in north Australia. *Plant Ecol.*
529 212, 2057–2069.

- 530 Loram-Lourenço, L., Farnese, F. dos S., Sousa, L.F. de, Alves, R.D.F.B., Andrade,
531 M.C.P. de, Almeida, S.E. da S., Moura, L.M. de F., Costa, A.C., Silva, F.G.,
532 Galmés, J., Cochard, H., Franco, A.C., Menezes-Silva, P.E., 2020. A
533 Structure Shaped by Fire, but Also Water: Ecological Consequences of the
534 Variability in Bark Properties Across 31 Species From the Brazilian Cerrado.
535 *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 1718. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01718>
- 536 Maurin, O., Davies, T.J., Burrows, J.E., Daru, B.H., Yessoufou, K., Muasya, A.M.,
537 Bank, M., Bond, W.J., 2014. Savanna fire and the origins of the ‘underground
538 forests’ of Africa. *New Phytol.* 204, 201–214.
539 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12936>
- 540 Melo, A.C.G., Durigan, G., 2011. Estação Ecológica de Santa Bárbara - Plano de
541 Manejo. Instituto Florestal, Secretaria do Meio Ambiente.
- 542 Michaletz, S.T., Johnson, E.A., Tyree, M.T., 2012. Moving beyond the cambium
543 necrosis hypothesis of post-fire tree mortality: cavitation and deformation of
544 xylem in forest fires. *New Phytol.* 194, 254-263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.04021.x>
- 546 Ott, J.P., Klimešová, J., Hartnett, D.C., 2019. The ecology and significance of below-
547 ground bud banks in plants. *Ann. Bot.* 123, 1099–1118.
548 <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz051>
- 549 Pausas, J.G., 2015. Bark thickness and fire regime. *Funct. Ecol.* 29, 315–327.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12372>
- 551 Pausas, J.G., Lamont, B.B., Paula, S., Appezzato-da-Glória, B., Fidelis, A., 2018.
552 Unearthing belowground bud banks in fire-prone ecosystems. *New Phytol.*
553 217, 1435–1448. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14982>
- 554 Pausas, J.G., Pratt, R.B., Keeley, J.E., Jacobsen, A.L., Ramirez, A.R., Vilagrosa, A.,
555 Paula, S., Kaneakua-Pia, I.N., Davis, S.D., 2016. Towards understanding
556 resprouting at the global scale. *New Phytol.* 209, 945–954.
557 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13644>
- 558 Pellegrini, A.F.A., Anderegg, W.R.L., Paine, C.E.T., Hoffmann, W.A., Kartzinel, T.,
559 Rabin, S.S., Sheil, D., Franco, A.C., Pacala, S.W., 2017. Convergence of bark
560 investment according to fire and climate structures ecosystem vulnerability to
561 future change. *Ecol. Lett.* 20, 307–316. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12725>
- 562 Pilon, N.A.L., Cava, M.G.B., Hoffmann, W.A., Abreu, R.C.R., Fidelis, A., Durigan, G.,
563 2020. The diversity of post-fire regeneration strategies in the cerrado ground
564 layer. *J. Ecol.* 1365-2745.13456. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13456>
- 565 R Core Team, 2019. R system.
- 566 Ribeiro, J.F., Walter, B.M.T., 2008. As principais fitofisionomias do bioma Cerrado,
567 in: *Cerrado: Ecologia e Flora*, Embrapa Cerrados. Planaltina, pp. 151–212.
- 568 Rosell, J.A., Gleason, S., Méndez-Alonzo, R., Chang, Y., Westoby, M., 2014. Bark
569 functional ecology: evidence for trade-offs, functional coordination, and
570 environment producing bark diversity. *New Phytol.* 201, 486–497.
571 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12541>

572 Rosell, J.A., Piper, F.I., Jiménez-Vera, C., Vergílio, P.C.B., Marcati, C.R., Castorena,
573 M., Olson, M.E., 2021. Inner bark as a crucial tissue for non-structural
574 carbohydrate storage across three tropical woody plant communities. *Plant*
575 *Cell Environ.* 44, 156–170. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13903>

576 Scalon, M.C., Domingos, F.M.C.B., Cruz, W.J.A. da, Júnior, B.H.M., Marimon, B.S.,
577 Oliveras, I., 2020. Diversity of functional trade-offs enhances survival after fire
578 in Neotropical savanna species. *J. Veg. Sci.* 31, 139–150.
579 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12823>

580 Scheffer, M., Vergnon, R., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Hantson, S., Holmgren, M., van Nes,
581 E.H., Xu, C., 2014. Why trees and shrubs but rarely trubs? *Trends Ecol. Evol.*
582 29, 433–434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.06.001>

583 Simon, M.F., Grether, R., de Queiroz, L.P., Skema, C., Pennington, R.T., Hughes,
584 C.E., 2009. Recent assembly of the Cerrado, a neotropical plant diversity
585 hotspot, by in situ evolution of adaptations to fire. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106,
586 20359–20364. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903410106>

587 Souchie, F.F., Pinto, J.R.R., Lenza, E., Gomes, L., Maracahipes-Santos, L., Silvério,
588 D.V., 2017. Post-fire resprouting strategies of woody vegetation in the
589 Brazilian savanna. *Acta Bot. Bras.* 31, 260–266. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-33062016abb0376>

591 White, F., 1976. The underground forests of Africa: a preliminary review. *Gard. Bull.*
592 *Singap.* 29, 57–71.

593 Wigley, B.J., Charles-Dominique, T., Hempson, G.P., Stevens, N., TeBeest, M.,
594 Archibald, S., Bond, W.J., Bunney, K., Coetsee, C., Donaldson, J., Fidelis, A.,
595 Gao, X., Gignoux, J., Lehmann, C., Massad, T.J., Midgley, J.J., Millan, M.,
596 Schwilk, D., Siebert, F., Solofondranohatra, C., Staver, A.C., Zhou, Y., Kruger,
597 L.M., 2020. A handbook for the standardised sampling of plant functional traits
598 in disturbance-prone ecosystems, with a focus on open ecosystems. *Aust. J.*
599 *Bot.* <https://doi.org/10.1071/BT20048>

600 Zupo, T., Daibes, L.F., Pausas, J.G., Fidelis, A., 2021. Post-fire regeneration
601 strategies in a frequently burned Cerrado community. *J. Veg. Sci.* 32.
602 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12968>

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611 **9. Figure captions**

612 **Figure 1** Types of belowground bud-bearing organs. a) Xylopodium associated with
613 a tuberous tap root in *Stryphnodendron rotundifolium*. b) *Annona crassiflora*
614 exhibiting a xylopodium and an unidentified belowground system (note that although
615 not visible in the figures, the belowground system is extensive in the lower soil
616 horizons, perpendicularly to the xylopodium). c) *Miconia albicans* with a root crown
617 and associated adventitious roots. d) *Handroanthus ochraceus* exhibiting a woody
618 rhizome. Scale bars are shown in white at the right bottom of each photo. Dashed
619 lines indicate soil surface.

620

621 **Figure 2:** Bark growth rate according to the species and their belowground organ
622 (xylopodium, woody rhizome and root crown), their predominant growth form (shrub
623 or tree) and their aerial bud protection provided by bark (none, low, medium, or high;
624 Chiminazzo et al., 2021; Wigley et al., 2020). The red dashed line indicates the bark
625 threshold separating species from fire-sensitive to fire-prone ecosystems in the
626 Cerrado (0.13 mm; Chiminazzo et al., 2023a). This threshold relates to the minimal
627 amount of bark produced by woody communities capable of persisting in fire-prone
628 ecosystems. Species names are colored according to their predominant growth form
629 (blue = shrubs, brown = trees). USOs illustrations were adapted from Pausas et al.
630 (2018).

631

632 **Figure 3:** Distribution of species with different types of aboveground strategies (on
633 spot persistence or lateral spread) according to i) their aerial bud protection by bark,
634 ii) their aerial bark production and, iii) their maximum height. The ellipses represent a

635 confidence level of 95% of the centroid calculated using the mean values of the
636 principal components for each category (lateral spread or on spot persistence).