

An online downscaling method to simulate high resolution atmospheric concentrations of pesticides with the 3D Chemistry-Transport Model CHIMERE: application and evaluation

Florian Couvidat, Carole Bedos, Philippe Martin, Thomas Poméon, Etienne

Quivet

▶ To cite this version:

Florian Couvidat, Carole Bedos, Philippe Martin, Thomas Poméon, Etienne Quivet. An online down-scaling method to simulate high resolution atmospheric concentrations of pesticides with the 3D Chemistry-Transport Model CHIMERE: application and evaluation. Atmospheric Environment, 2023, pp.120092. 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120092 . hal-04215019

HAL Id: hal-04215019 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04215019

Submitted on 26 Sep 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Graphical Abstract

An online downscaling method to simulate high resolution atmospheric concentrations of pesticides with the 3D chemistry-transport model CHIMERE: application and evaluation

Florian Couvidat,, Carole Bedos, Philippe Martin, Thomas Poméon, Etienne Quivet



An online downscaling method to simulate high resolution atmospheric concentrations of pesticides with the 3D chemistry-transport model CHIMERE: application and evaluation

Florian Couvidat,^{a,*}, Carole Bedos^b, Philippe Martin^c, Thomas Poméon^d, Etienne Quivet^e

^aINERIS, Institut National de l'Environnement Industriel et des Risques, Parc Technologique ALATA, Verneuil-en-Halatte, 60550, France ^bParis-Saclay Univ. INRAE AgroParisTech UMR ECOSYS 78850 Thiverval-Grignon France ^cUMR SADAPT AgroParisTech INRAE Paris-Saclay Univ. 78850 Thiverval-Grignon France ^dUS ODR INRAE 31326 Castanet-Tolosan France

^eAix Marseille Univ CNRS LCE Marseille France

Abstract

High resolution databases on atmospheric concentrations of pesticides are necessary in order to perform epidemiological studies but there is currently no modeling method to provide high resolution mapping of pesticides concentrations over a whole region. In this study, we propose an online downscaling method for CHIMERE to perform simulations at a sub-kilometer resolution. The main idea of this downscaling approach is to redistribute or interpolate some information simulated on the coarse grid to simulate the transport over a finer subgrid. The performance of the downscaling is analyzed by comparing the CHIMERE nested simulation results at 0.02° and CHIMERE simulation results downscaled from 0.1° to 0.02° .

By applying this method to S-metolachlor, we diagnosed an error generated by the downscaling of a few percents on both background and hotspot concentrations. The method was used to simulate concentrations over France at a resolution of 0.004° with a limited increase of the computational time. Based on these simulations, we estimated that around 3 000 inhabitants were exposed to concentrations of S-metolachlor above 10 ng/m³ from April 15th to May 15th 2014.

Keywords: Pesticide, Air quality, Modelling, Downscaling

1. Introduction

Pesticides are chemical products widely used in agriculture for pest manage ment and therefore to prevent yield losses. Because pesticides can be emitted
 into the atmosphere by the drift of spray droplet and by the volatilization from

^{*}Corresponding author, email: florian.couvidat@ineris.fr

treated surfaces, pesticides can be present in the atmosphere. Numerous studies have confirmed the presence of pesticides in the atmosphere (e.g., studies of
Moussaoui et al. (2012); Coscollà et al. (2017) or Désert et al. (2018)) and their
possible health effects on residents (Cognez et al., 2019; Teysseire et al., 2020).
However, their ubiquity in the atmosphere raises numerous concerns on the exposition of the population as a whole and not only residents living in proximity
of crops where pesticide are applied.

Reliable estimates of pesticide exposure for the whole population are needed 12 in order to perform national-scale or regional-scale epidemiological studies. 3D 13 Chemical Transport Models (CTM) could be used to provide large scale maps of 14 pesticide concentrations. This type of models have been developed to simulate 15 the formation and transport of main pollutants (such as ozone and particulate 16 matter) by representing the physicochemical processes involved in their evolu-17 tion (such as gas-phase chemistry of radicals and major compounds, particle 18 formation, gas/particle partitioning, deposition). 19

Recently, Couvidat et al. (2022) implemented in the CHIMERE CTM (Mailler 20 et al., 2017) a method to simulate the concentrations of pesticides and has shown 21 that CTMs could be applied to the mapping of atmospheric concentrations of 22 pesticides as long as the spatiotemporal distribution of pesticide applications can 23 be adequately estimated. In this method, the model estimates the emissions by 24 volatilization of pesticides from treated surfaces with a distinction between the 25 volatilization from the soil and vegetation compartments. The authors applied 26 the method to simulate the S-metolachlor and folpet atmospheric concentra-27 tions over France and its southeastern region. However, CTM simulations are 28 generally performed at a low resolution (from a few kilometers to hundreds of 29 kilometers) due to the high computational cost. While these models could be 30 applied to estimate the background atmospheric concentrations, the hotspots 31 of concentrations may be missed due to the low resolution. One challenge of 32 applying CTM results for regional-scale epidemiological studies is therefore to 33 reach a sufficient resolution. 34

Several methods are used to map atmospheric pollutants at high resolution 35 based on CTM results. A general distinction can be made between the nesting 36 approach and the downscaling approach. The former approach (by nesting) is 37 used to run the model over a smaller geographical domain with higher resolutions 38 (using the larger domain as boundary conditions). Although this method is 39 suitable for simulating small regions, its use can be quickly limited by the CPU 40 time required for simulations. Users would need to launch numerous time-41 consuming simulations over different nested domains to obtain high-resolution 42 mapping of concentrations over large areas. 43

The latter approach (downscaling) can be calculated by interpolation (bilin-44 ear, kriging) from CTM outputs. However, in order to increase spatial accuracy 45 while maintaining correct performance scores, accurate emission proxies (e.g. 46 Bessagnet et al. (2023)) or a Gaussian dispersion model (Denby et al., 2020; 47 Hooyberghs et al., 2022) need to be used with such methods. Finally, thanks 48 to advances in machine learning and the increased availability of satellite data, 49 statistical regression approaches based on land use characteristics (Land Use 50 Regressions models) can offer promising spatial resolutions. However, temporal 51 resolution is often limited to daily time steps at best (e.g. Hough et al. (2021); 52 Shen et al. (2022)). LURs seem to have difficulty competing with CTMs in 53 terms of process dynamics. 54

The objective of the present study is to develop an online method (applied 55 directly inside the CHIMERE CTM and not on simulation results) in order to 56 downscale simulated concentrations from a coarse resolution to a sub-kilometer 57 resolution and to evaluate the performance of the downscaling. Instead of pro-58 cessing simulation results, this method consists in redistributing or interpolating 59 fluxes calculated over the coarse grid onto a finer subgrid in order to compute the 60 atmospheric chemical transport of compounds over this subgrid. This method 61 aims therefore at reproducing the results that would be obtained by nesting 62 without solving again all the physicochemical processes in order to save CPU 63 time. To provide an illustration, the downscaling approach is applied to the sim-64 ulation of S-metolachlor concentrations (the compound from the two pesticides 65 simulated by Couvidat et al. (2022) with the best comparison to measurements 66 performance). 67

The methodology for the downscaling is presented in the Method section. Finally, the performance of the downscaling is evaluated by comparing the results of the France simulation downscaled to 0.02° with the simulation results (without the downscaling) over four separate subdomains directly with a 0.02° resolution. The gain on the computation time due to the downscaling is also studied.

74 2. Method

Concentrations of S-metolachlor are simulated over France from mid April to mid May 2014 (application period of S-metolachlor) with a resolution of 0.1° and are downscaled either to 0.02° or to 0.004°. As simulations at the 0.004° resolution is too time consuming even over small spatial domains, the evaluation of the downscaling approach is performed only for the 0.02° resolution on several sub-domains.

Following Couvidat et al. (2022), the contribution of emission by spray-drift 81 during application to atmospheric concentration was assumed negligible because 82 of the resolution of the model. Indeed, due to the high diameter of spray-drift 83 droplets and their low lifetime in the atmosphere, a resolution of a few meters 84 would be needed to represent adequately their atmospheric transport. There-85 fore, the current downscaling method only aims at representing pesticide con-86 centrations due to emissions by volatilization. Nonetheless, the model considers 87 that spray-drift droplets are instantaneously deposited into the cell where they 88 are emitted and can contribute to subsequent emissions by volatilization. 89

⁹⁰ 2.1. Presentation of the pesticide version of CHIMERE

In order to estimate the atmospheric concentrations of pesticides, the CHIMERE 91 model computes the transport of compounds over a grid covering the studied 92 domain by accounting for advection and vertical diffusion. The vertical grid is 93 discretized into several vertical layers (9 layers in Couvidat et al. (2022) ranging 94 from 30 m to more than 5 000 m). The model uses a soil/vegetation/atmosphere 95 exchange module to compute the emissions by volatilization from treated sur-96 faces. It is based on the approaches of Couvidat and Bessagnet (2021) and 97 Lichiheb et al. (2016) for the volatilization from the soil and leaves, respec-98 tively. 99

This exchange module uses a resistance scheme and parameterizations to consider the multiphase partitioning and diffusion in the soil compartment as well as lifetime of the compounds in the different compartments. The model also accounts for:

• The atmospheric degradation of pesticides by the OH radical (the model can also account for direct photolysis and degradation by O₃ and NO₃ radicals if experimental data on reaction constants are available).

• The gas-particle partitioning of semivolatile pesticides between the gas and the particle phases estimated with the Secondary Organic Aerosol Processor model (Couvidat and Sartelet, 2015).

• The wet deposition (both by in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging) of gases (based on Henry's law constants) and the wet and dry deposition of particles.

In order to use the model, the spatiotemporal distribution of pesticide ap-113 plications have to be estimated to compute the emissions by volatilization. In 114 Couvidat et al. (2022), the spatial distribution was given by the French BNVD-115 S ("Banque Nationale des Ventes de produits phytopharmaceutiques par les 116 Distributeurs agréés - Spatialisée") database (Martin et al., 2023) that uses 117 mandatory register on pesticide sales to estimate the spatialized usage of pesti-118 cides over parcels. The temporal distribution was estimated based on enquiries 119 on agricultural practices over the southeastern region of France. 120

121 2.2. The online downscaling method

The online downscaling method consists in dividing the CHIMERE grid 122 into a subgrid with a finer resolution and in determining the necessary variables 123 for the computation of concentrations over the subgrid. Emissions rates over 124 the subgrid are determined by redistribution (the amount of emitted pesticides 125 onto a cell is redistributed on the different subcells). Other variable values 126 (meteorological parameters, deposition kinetics, chemical destruction kinetics) 127 are determined by an horizontal bilinear interpolation (interpolation between 128 the four closest cell center of the CHIMERE coarse grid). With this method, 129 concentrations can be efficiently computed without representing explicitly some 130 time consuming processes (such as the transport of all the model, gas-phase 131 chemistry, pesticide volatilization, gas-particle partitioning) over the subgrid. 132 The fraction of the different landuse categories inside each sub-cells is calculated 133 134 in order to determine the appropriate vertical mixing and deposition over the subgrid. 135

In CHIMERE, concentrations at each cell of the grid are computed with a semi-implicit numerical method in order to solve the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial C_{t,X,Y,Z}}{\partial t} = Prod_{t,X,Y,Z} - Loss_{t,X,Y,Z} \times C_{t,X,Y,Z}$$
(1)

With t,X,Y and Z the cell indexes for time, longitude, latitude, and altitude. *Prod*_{t,X,Y,Z} is the production rate of C in cell X,Y,Z (due to emissions or transport of the compound into the cell) and $Loss_{t,X,Y,Z}$ is the loss kinetics of C in cell X,Y,Z (due to chemical degradation, transport, and deposition).

In CHIMERE, a sectional approach is used where particles are separated into N_{bins} (number prescribed by the user) bins according to their diameter. As pesticides are semi-volatile compounds existing in both the gaseous and particle ¹⁴³ phases, each pesticide is represented by N_{bins} +1 CHIMERE species (for the ¹⁴⁴ N_{bin} size diameter bins and the gas phase fraction).

In the downscaling method, developed in this study, each cell of the CHIMERE simulation grid is divided into $N_{red} \times N_{red}$ sub-cells (N_{red} representing an integer number by which the resolution is reduced). The method consists in estimating the production rate $Prod_{t,X,Y,Z}$ and kinetics of loss $Loss_{t,X,Y,Z}$ over the sub-grid by redistributing or interpolating the rates over a finer grid in order to calculate the concentrations at a finer resolution. Eq. 1 is adapted to simulate the evolution of the concentrations on the finer grid such as:

$$\frac{\partial C_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}}{\partial t} = Prod_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} - Loss_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} \times C_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$$
(2)

where x,y represent the longitudinal and latitudinal indexes of the sub-cell inside the cell X,Y,Z. $Prod_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ and $Loss_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ represent the production rate and loss kinetics of the sub-cell x,y inside cell X,Y,Z, respectively.

¹⁴⁸ Moreover, in the downscaling approach, only one new model species (instead ¹⁴⁹ of the N_{bins} +1 CHIMERE species) representing both the gas and particle phases ¹⁵⁰ is transported on the CHIMERE subgrid. The total loss kinetics is determined ¹⁵¹ by ponderating the loss kinetics for the gas-phase and each of the particle bins ¹⁵² accounting for chemical degradation and deposition. More details are provided ¹⁵³ in sections 2.2.1 to 2.2.3.

By simulating a limited number of CHIMERE species (instead of a hundred of CHIMERE species) on the subgrid and by avoiding the computation of some Central Processing Unit (CPU) consuming processes (computation of pesticide volatilization and of the gas-particle partitioning), concentrations of pesticides on a subgrid can be computed with limited CPU time.

 $Prod_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ and $Loss_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ are decomposed as follow:

$$Prod_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} = Emissions_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} + ProdHTrans_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} + ProdVTrans_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} + (3)$$

$$Loss_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} = WetDep_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} + DryDep_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} + ChemLoss_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} + LossHTrans_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} + LossVTrans_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$$
(4)

with $Emissions_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ the emission rate on the subgrid, $ProdHTrans_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ 159 the production rate due to horizontal transport on the subgrid, $ProdVTrans_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ 160 the production rate due to vertical transport on the subhgrid, $DryDep_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ 161 the dry deposition kinetics on the subgrid, $WetDep_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ the dry deposition 162 kinetics on the subgrid, $ChemLoss_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ the chemical degradation kinetics on 163 the subgrid, $LossHTrans_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ the loss kinetics due to horizontal transport on 164 the subgrid and $LossVTrans_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ the loss kinetics due to vertical transport 165 on the subgrid. $DryDep_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ and $Emissions_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ are equal to zero for alti-166 tudes above the ground level (no dry deposition and no emission of pesticides). 167 Currently, concentrations of pesticides entering the French domain are as-168 sumed to be null due to the lack of information on pesticide usages at the Eu-169 ropean scale (that would be necessary to perform a simulation at the European 170 scale and obtain appropriate boundary conditions). 171

172 2.2.1. Dry deposition

¹⁷³ In CHIMERE, the deposition kinetics is calculated as the function of the ¹⁷⁴ deposition velocities (with different parameterizations for gases and particles) ¹⁷⁵ and the landuse.

In each cell of the coarse domain, deposition velocities are computed for each landuse categories (even if this category is absent from the cell) by computing a vertical wind profile with the roughness length of the considered landuse. For each landuse category, the deposition velocities on the subgrid are interpolated and are combined to the fraction of the landuse category in the cell of the subgrid.

The apparent deposition kinetics $DryDep_{t,x,y,landuse}$ for a particular landuse of the grid is calculated as a function of the loss kinetics of gaseous and particle compounds such as:

$$DryDep_{t,x,y,landuse} = \sum_{i} f_i DryDep_{t,x,y,landuse,i}$$
(5)

with i the index for the considered pesticide of the gas-phase CHIMERE species (i=1) and for the particle species for each of the bins (i=2 to i= $N_{bins}+1$), f_i the fraction of CHIMERE species to the total concentration of the pesticide (gas + particle) and $DryDep_{t,x,y,landuse,i}$ the loss kinetics of i due to dry deposition for a specific land use.

The loss kinetics due to dry deposition on the sub-cell is constructed by combining the land use on the sub-cell and the interpolated apparent deposition kinetics for specific land use.

$$DryDep_{t,X,Y,Z=0}^{sub,x,y} = \sum_{landuse} L_{x,y,landuse}^{sub,x,y} Bilinear(DryDep)$$
(6)

with Bilinear the bi-linear interpolation function and $L_{x,y,landuse}^{sub,x,y}$ the surface ratio of the considered land use in the sub-cell.

189 2.2.2. Wet deposition

The loss kinetics due to wet deposition is obtained by interpolating the apparent loss kinetics due to wet deposition of total (gas + particles) pesticides such as:

$$WetDep_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} = Bilinear(\sum_{i} f_i WetDep)$$
(7)

With $WetDep_{t,X,Y,Z,i}$ the loss kinetics due to wet deposition of i (i being the index for the pesticides either in the gas-phase or one of the particle phase)

192 2.2.3. Chemical degradation

Similarly to Eq.7, $ChemLoss_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y}$ is calculated by interpolating the apparent loss kinetics due to chemical degradation of total (gas + particles) pesticides such as:

$$ChemLoss_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} = Bilinear(\sum_{i} f_i ChemLoss)$$
(8)

¹⁹³ With $ChemLoss_{t,X,Y,Z,i}$ the loss kinetics over the coarse grid due to chemical ¹⁹⁴ degradation of i (i being the index for the pesticides either in the gas-phase or ¹⁹⁵ in one of the particle bins). As in this study, no heterogeneous degradation of ¹⁹⁶ pesticides is taken into account, $ChemLoss_{t,X,Y,Z,i} = 0$ for particles.

This interpolation is based on the assumption that the chemical degradation of pesticides is linear and that the concentrations of oxidants (only the OH radical in the case of S-metolachlor) can be interpolated. The impact of these assumptions is probably low except in areas with very strong local emissions of nitrogen oxides.

202 2.2.4. Emissions

The atmospheric emissions fluxes are computed with the exchange air/soil/plant cover exchange module with emissions being calculated by volatilization from the soil or the plant cover.

²⁰⁶ Two types of emissions are distinguished:

• Emissions from treated crops. In this case, the calculated mass of pesticide emitted over a cell is redistributed over the different sub-cells according to the applicated amounts given by the BNVD-S on the sub-cells

• Re-emissions (emissions by re-volatilization of pesticides deposited on nontreated surfaces). In this case, the re-distribution of emissions fluxes is calculated as a function of the accumulated amount of pesticides at the surface $Accum_{t,X,Y}^{sub,x,y}$ (due to dry deposition on the first atmospheric vertical level and the cumulated wet deposition on all vertical layer) calculated with the following equation:

$$Accum_{t,X,Y}^{sub,x,y} = \sum_{Z} (WetDep_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} C_{t,X,Y,Z}^{sub,x,y} \times \Delta H_Z) + DryDep_{t,X,Y,Z=0}^{sub,x,y} C_{t,X,Y,Z=0}^{sub,x,y} * \Delta H_{Z=0} - Accum_{t,X,Y}^{sub,x,y} k_{deg}$$
(9)

with k_{deg} the degradation kinetics computed with the lifetime of compounds within the soil and ΔH_Z the thickness of the vertical layer.

218 2.2.5. Transport

216

217

The horizontal transport on the subgrid is solved with the same algorithm than the transport on the coarse grid. The necessary parameter (e.g., wind velocities) are downscaled by bilinear-interpolation.

In CHIMERE, the vertical transport is represented with the K-theory based 222 on the K_z parameter calculated for each landuse categories. The vertical trans-223 port production rate and loss kinetics on the subgrid are computed for a level 224 Z as a function of the K_z parameter on the interpolated on the subgrid and the 225 concentrations on the level above (Z+1) and underneath (Z-1). It should be 226 noted that following the treatment of deposition velocity the K_z explained in 227 section 2.2.1, the K_z is calculated and interpolated for each landuse categories. 228 The resulting K_z on the subgrid therefore accounts for the landuse. 229

In order to limit the number of cells, we added the possibility to simulate the vertical transport on $N_{lev,sub}$ vertical levels (inferior or equal to N_{lev} the number of vertical level of the coarse level). In that case, the model used interpolated concentrations from the coarse above $N_{lev,sub}$ to compute the vertical transport rate. The effect of $N_{lev,sub}$ on the results are discussed in section 3.3.

235 2.3. Evaluation of the downscaling method

The performance of the downscaling method to simulate high-resolution con-236 centrations of S-metolachlor is evaluated by comparing to CHIMERE simulation 237 results obtained by mesting at the same resolution (0.02°) . In that order, the 238 results of a $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ simulation downscaled to $0.02^{\circ} \times 0.02^{\circ}$ are compared 239 to CHIMERE simulations directly at 0.02° over four nested domains. Indeed, 240 it would not have been possible to perform simulations for a domain covering 241 the whole France with a $0.02^{\circ} \times 0.02^{\circ}$ resolution (due to an important com-242 putation time). Simulations were performed over the four nested sub-domains 243 illustrated in Fig. 1: NW (part of Northwestern France), NE (part of Northeast-244 ern France), SW (part of Southwestern France), and SE (part of Southeastern 245 France). The SE subdomain corresponds to the French "Provence-Alpes-Côte 246 d'Azur" subdomain studied by Couvidat et al. (2022). These four sub-domains 247 were selected to cover the different situations encountered at the national scales 248 (areas with high and low emissions, different climate conditions). 249

The results of a simulation over France at $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ are used to determine the boundary conditions over the four subdomains for all pollutants except for S-metolachlor. In order to remove the influence of boundary conditions on the analysis, the results from the downscaled simulation at $0.02^{\circ} \times 0.02^{\circ}$ (with $N_{lev,sub}=N_{lev}$) were used as boundary conditions on the four subdomains (air masses entering the four subdomains have therefore the same concentrations than the downscaled simulation at 0.02°).



Figure 1: Simulated coarse concentrations of S-metolachlor (in ng/m³) over France by CHIMERE at the 0.1° resolution (center) and on four nested sub-domains (in the four corners) at a resolution of 0.02° .

Several metrics are computed in order to evaluate the performance of thedownscaling.

- The correlation coefficient R^2
- The Mean Normalized Bias (MNB): average of the bias (in %) between the downscaled simulation and the reference simulation at 0.02°.

- The Mean Normalized Error (MNE): average of the error (in %) between the downscaled simulation and the reference simulation at 0.02°.
- The Mean Normalized Bias computed for the 1% highest values (1%MNB)
- The Mean Normalized Error for the 1% highest values (1%MNE)
- The last two metrics provide information on the ability to reproduce the simulated hotspots of pesticide concentrations in the 0.02° simulations.

268 2.4. Simulation configuration

The simulation configuration of Couvidat et al. (2022) was reproduced: anthropogenic emissions of gases and particles were taken from the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) inventory (Vestreng, 2003) for the year 2014 and meteorology was taken from the operational analysis of the Integrated Forecasting System (IFS) model of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF). Nine vertical levels up to 500 hPa were used. The thickness of the first layer is around 30 m.

Concentrations of S-metolachlor are simulated from 2014-04-15 (beginning of
the application period for S-metolachlor determined by Couvidat et al. (2022))
to 2014-05-15 (end of the application period).

279 3. Results

280 3.1. Downscaling results

Concentrations downscaled at 0.004° are shown in Fig. 2 and can be compared to the simulation results at 0.1° in Fig. 1. Similar pattern is found at the national scale with the same areas with high concentrations (southwestern France, the Rhône valley, several areas in western France, frontier between France and Germany) indicating that the model is able to simulate the background concentrations even at a low resolution.

The interest in downscaling the concentrations can be seen by zooming on 287 the results on the different sub-domains. Fig. 3 shows the maps of coarse con-288 centrations at 0.1° and of downscaled concentrations at 0.02° . Maps at down-289 scaled concentrations at 0.004° can also be found in Supplementary Materials 290 in figures S1 to S4. With a 0.1° resolution, the maps of concentrations are 291 pixelated and hostpots of concentrations cannot be reproduced. The evolution 292 293 of concentrations in the vicinity of areas with high emissions may not be well reproduced. When downscaled at a higher resolution, the hotspots of concen-294 trations appear more and more clearly while the overall background pattern is 295 unchanged. While the simulated average concentrations over France is around 296 0.2 ng/m^3 for all the coarse and downscaled simulations, the simulated maxi-297 mum concentrations changed significantly: 5.3 ng/m^3 for the coarse simulation 298 at 0.1°, 14.5 ng/m^3 for the downscaled simulation at 0.02° and 116 ng/m^3 for 299 the downscaled simulation at 0.004°. By combining these simulation results to 300 the French population database (Letinois, 2015), we estimated that a small part 301 of the population (around 3 000 inhabitants, 0.005% of the French population) 302 was exposed to concentrations of S-metolachlor above 10 ng/m³ from April 15th 303 to May 15th 2014. 304



Figure 2: Simulations concentrations by CHIMERE (in ng/m^3) of S-metolachlor downscaled to a resolution of 0.004°. $N_{lev,sub}$ is chosen equal to N_{lev} .

305 3.2. Performance of the downscaling method

The performance of the downscaling method (illustrated by Fig. 4) is analyzed by computing R^2 , MNB, MNE, 1%MNB, 1%MNE between the CHIMERE results at 0.02° (not downscaled) and the CHIMERE results at 0.1° downscaled to 0.02° over the four sub-domains. These metrics are shown in Table B1 in Supplementary Materials for the different subdomains and for different value of $N_{lev,sub}$. The metrics are also shown between the coarse simulation at 0.1° and the simulation at 0.02°.

The coarse simulation is characterized by a large MNE of 25% over all domains (and varying from 18.1% to 35.2% over each sub-domains) and on average concentrations tend to be overestimated (MNB=11%). However, the highest concentrations are strongly underestimated by the coarse simulation (MNB=-43.1%).

The downscaling method managed to reproduce concentrations with a low 318 bias compared to the coarse simulation as 90% of the simulated values have 319 a bias between -14% and 11% for the downscaled simulation at 0.02° against 320 a bias between -32% and 82% in the coarse simulation. When applying the 321 downscaling, the correlation coefficient is increased significantly and reaches 322 near unity $(R^2=0.99, R^2=0.9)$ for the coarse simulation) especially for the SW 323 domain where the \mathbb{R}^2 is increased from 0.62 for the coarse simulation to 0.99 for 324 the downscaled simulation (with $N_{lev,sub}=9$). MNE is also significantly reduced 325 from 43.1% to 6.2% (with $N_{lev,sub}=9$). While the concentrations tend to be 326 overestimated by the coarse simulations, the concentrations seems to be under-327 estimated by the downscaling method but at a low extent (MFB=-2.6% over the 328 four subdomains and reaching -4.6% for the SW subdomain). The downscaling 329 approach managed to capture the highest values as the 1%MNB is decreased 330 from -43.1% to -2.0% with a 1%MNE (6.1%) close to the average MNE. 331



Figure 3: Maps of coarse simulated concentrations of S-metolachor at the 0.1° resolution and of the downscaled concentrations at 0.02° (in ng/m³). $N_{lev,sub}$ is chosen equal to N_{lev} .

332 3.3. Computational time

The potential increase in computational time due to the downscaling approach has to be assessed. As CPU time can be an important limitation for the use of CTM, it is important to diagnose the impact of the downscaling method on CPU time. Table 1 shows the CPU time increase under different configurations (different downscaled resolution and different value of $N_{lev,sub}$).



Figure 4: Distributions of the concentrations (in ng/m^3) on the coarse domain (0.1° in red) and of the downscaled concentrations (0.02° in blue) as a function of the concentrations simulated on the 4 subdomains. The dashed lines provide the 90% interval including 90% of the simulations values with the lowest bias.

Downscaling the 0.1° results to a 0.02° resolution leads to an increase of CPU 338 time of only 7% while launching CHIMERE directly at the a resolution of 0.02° 339 would result in a CPU time increase close to a factor 125 (a factor 5x5 to de-340 crease the resolution of the horizontal grid combined to a factor 5 on temporal 341 resolution in order to respect the Courant-Friedrich-Levy condition). The CPU 342 time needed to run the downscaling approach is also much lower than the CPU 343 time needed to perform simulations over the four nested sub-domains (increase 344 of CPU time by a factor 3.79). 345

While the CPU time increase is modest for a downscaling to a 0.02° resolu-346 tion, it may lead to an important increase of CPU time to downscale to a 0.004° 347 resolution (increase by a factor 9.16 with $N_{lev,sub}=9$). It should be noted that 348 downscaling several pesticide at the same time may result in even greater CPU 349 time. One possible way to limit the CPU time is to limit the number of vertical 350 layer on the subgrid $N_{lev,sub}$. According to Table B1, using $N_{lev,sub}=3$ (3 layers 351 covering an altitude around 250 m) may consist in a good compromise as the 352 performance (MNE=7.9%, 1%MNE=6.6%) is similar to the performance with 353 $N_{lev.sub} = 9$ (MNE=6.5%, 1%MNE=6.1%). 354

Configuration	Relative computation
	time
France simulation at 0.1°	1
France simulation at 0.02°	125
France simulation at 0.1° + simulation on the four nested sub-domains	3.79
France simulation at 0.1° downscaled to 0.02° ($N_{lev\ sub}=1$)	1.03
France simulation at 0.1° downscaled to 0.02° ($N_{lev\ sub}=9$)	1.07
France simulation at 0.1° downscaled to 0.004° $(N_{lev,sub}=1)$	1.63
France simulation at 0.1° downscaled to 0.004° $(N_{lev,sub}=9)$	9.16

Table 1: Relative computational time compared to the France simulation at a resolution of 0.1°. The number corresponds to a downscaling method applied only on a single pesticide (S-metolachlor).

355 3.4. Comparison with measurements

Atmospheric measurement data of pesticides are scarce. However, since 356 2011, the French Regional Networks for Air Quality compiled the atmospheric 357 concentrations of pesticides in the PhytAtmo database. It aggregates about 358 7,000 samples at 176 sites throughout mainland France and overseas for 321 359 active substances sought (AtmoFrance, 2019). S-metolachlor was measured at 360 24 stations in 2014, and at 91 stations between 2015 and 2020. However, the 361 temporal coverage of these measurements are often partial and occur generally 362 during a few days over the month. 363

According to this database, while the stations are not necessarily located near hotspots, concentrations of S-metolachlor can exceed 10 ng/m^3 in coherence with our simulation results showing hotspots above 10 ng/m^3 . Since 2014, ³⁶⁷ concentrations above 10 ng/m³ and up to 51 ng/m³ where detected 12 times at
³⁶⁸ 3 different stations (for around 32 000 samples above the detection limit).

High S-metolachlor concentrations (around 14 ng/m³) were measured at the
Ohnenheim station. The simulated concentrations at this station is strongly
underestimated with both the downscaled simulation at 0.004° (concentration around 0.35 ng/m³) and the coarse simulation (concentration around 0.26 ng/m³).
Difficulties to reproduce exactly the spatiotemporal distribution of application may explain the differences between the model and measurements.

With the exclusion of this station, a better spatial correlation was obtained 375 with the coarse simulation (0.75) than with the downscaled simulation (0.65). 376 However, these differences in the correlation is probably not statiscally signif-377 icant. On the 16 stations with measurements during the period of simulation, 378 results were improved for 5 stations (relative error decreased by 16% to 67%) 379 and degraded for 7 stations (relative error increased by 11% to 38%). Due to low 380 number of stations and the poor temporal coverage, it is therefore difficult to 381 evaluate the gain of performance due to the downscaling approach. Moreover, 382 errors due to the spatiotemporal distribution of applications in the vicinity of 383 the station probably increases with the resolution. Valari and Menut (2008) has 384 indeed shown that model results do not improve monotonously with resolution 385 and that after a certain point discrepancies with measurements become larger 386 due to insufficient precision in input data. 387

388 4. Conclusions

A downscaling method have been developed and applied on the simulation of high resolution concentrations of S-metolachlor. The developed downscaling method performs reasonably well (MNE around 7.9%) and can be used to simulate the hotspots of pesticide concentrations. The method developed in this study is an important step toward high-resolution CTM simulations and the use of CTM simulation for epidemiological studies on pesticides.

The current methodology does not account for spray-drift droplets as the lifetime of these droplets can be considered too low compared to the resolution of the model. One possible solution could be to implement plume-in-grid approaches (Karamchandani et al., 2006), in which a subgrid-scale representation of plumes is embedded into CTMs. Other models aiming at representing the local transport of spray-drift in the vicinity of the crops could also be used (Raupach et al., 2001; Tsai et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2018).

402 Acknowledgements

This work has been carried out thanks to the support of the COPP'R project 403 funded by the PRIMEQUAL – AGRIQA "Agriculture et qualité de l'air" and 404 the ECOPHYTO programs. We would like to thank all the participants of 405 the project for the useful discussions: Sophie Vannier (Vaucluse Chamber of 406 Agriculture). We thank the other member of BNVD-S Team for providing the 407 database and for the necessary discussions: Rémy Ballot, Corentin Barbu, Eric 408 Cahuzac (INRAE), Christophe Lescot, Laure Malherbe (INERIS). We especially 409 thank Eric Cahuzac who lead the BNVD-S project at the start of our work on 410 the modeling of pesticides. Simulations were performed using the TGCC-CCRT 411 supercomputers under the GENCI time allocation gen7485. 412

413 **References**

AtmoFrance, 2019.Base de donnée de surveillance de 414 les à 2002.pesticides dans l'air par aasqa partir de 415 https://atmo-france.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/PhytAtmo 416 -donnees-pesticides_2002_2019.xlsx. Accessed: 2021-03-24 417

⁴¹⁸ Bessagnet, B., Pisoni, E., de Meij, A., Létinois, L., Thunis, P., 2023. A simple
⁴¹⁹ and fast method to downscale chemistry transport model output fields from
⁴²⁰ the regional to the urban/district scale. Environ. Model Softw. 164, 105692.
⁴²¹ doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2023.105692.

Cognez, N., Warembourg, C., Zaros, C., Metten, M., Bouvier, G., Garlantézec,
R., Charles, M., Béranger, R., Chevrier, C., 2019. Residential sources of
pesticide exposure during pregnancy and the risks of hypospadias and cryptorchidism: the french elfe birth cohort. Occup. Environ. Med. 76, 672–679.
doi:10.1136/oemed-2019-105801.

⁴²⁷ Coscollà, C., López, A., Yahyaoui, A., Colin, P., Robin, C., Poinsignon, Q.,
⁴²⁸ Yusà, V., 2017. Human exposure and risk assessment to airborne pesti⁴²⁹ cides in a rural french community. Sci. Total Environ. 584-585, 856 - 868.
⁴³⁰ doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.132.

Couvidat, F., Bedos, C., Gagnaire, N., Carra, M., Ruelle, B., Martin, P.,
Poméon, T., Alletto, L., Armengaud, A., Quivet, E., 2022. Simulating the
impact of volatilization on atmospheric concentrations of pesticides with the
3d chemistry-transport model chimere: Method development and application
to s-metolachlor and folpet. Journal of Hazardous Materials 424, 127497.
doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127497.

Couvidat, F., Bessagnet, B., 2021. Role of ecosystem-atmosphere exchanges of
 semi-volatile organic compounds in organic aerosol formation. Atmos. Envi ron., 118541doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118541.

Couvidat, F., Sartelet, K., 2015. The secondary organic aerosol processor
(SOAP v1.0) model: a unified model with different ranges of complexity
based on the molecular surrogate approach. Geosci. Model Dev. 8, 1111–
1138. doi:10.5194/gmd-8-1111-2015.

⁴⁴⁴ Denby, B.R., Gauss, M., Wind, P., Mu, Q., Grøtting Wærsted, E., Fagerli, H.,
⁴⁴⁵ Valdebenito, A., Klein, H., 2020. Description of the uemep_v5 downscaling
⁴⁴⁶ approach for the emep msc-w chemistry transport model. Geosci. Model Dev.
⁴⁴⁷ 13, 6303–6323. doi:10.5194/gmd-13-6303-2020.

⁴⁴⁸ Désert, M., Ravier, S., Gille, G., Quinapallo, A., Armengaud, A., Pochet,
⁴⁴⁹ G., Savelli, J.L., Wortham, H., Quivet, E., 2018. Spatial and tempo⁴⁵⁰ ral distribution of current-use pesticides in ambient air of provence-alpes⁴⁵¹ côte-d'azur region and corsica, france. Atmos. Environ. 192, 241 – 256.
⁴⁵² doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.08.054.

⁴⁵³ Hooyberghs, H., De Craemer, S., Lefebvre, W., Vranckx, S., Maiheu, B.,
⁴⁵⁴ Trimpeneers, E., Vanpoucke, C., Janssen, S., Meysman, F., Fierens, F., 2022.
⁴⁵⁵ Validation and optimization of the atmo-street air quality model chain by

- means of a large-scale citizen-science dataset. Atmos. Environ. 272, 118946.
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2022.118946.
- Hough, I., Sarafian, R., Shtein, A., Zhou, B., Lepeule, J., Kloog, I.,
 2021. Gaussian markov random fields improve ensemble predictions of
 daily 1 km pm2.5 and pm10 across france. Atmos. Environ. 264, 118693.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118693.
- Karamchandani, P., Vijayaraghavan, K., Chen, S.Y., Seigneur, C., Edgerton,
 E.S., 2006. Plume-in-grid modeling for particulate matter. Atmos. Environ.
 40, 7280–7297. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.06.033.
- Letinois, L., 2015. Méthodologie de répartition spatiale de la population. Technical Report. LCSQA, Laboratoire central de surveillance de la qualité de
 l'air, France.
- Lichiheb, N., Personne, E., Bedos, C., Van den Berg, F., Barriuso, E.,
 2016. Implementation of the effects of physicochemical properties on
 the foliar penetration of pesticides and its potential for estimating pesticide volatilization from plants. Sci. Total Environ. 550, 1022–1031.
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.058.
- Mailler, S., Menut, L., Khvorostyanov, D., Valari, M., Couvidat, F., Siour, G.,
 Turquety, S., Briant, R., Tuccella, P., Bessagnet, B., Colette, A., Létinois,
 L., Markakis, K., Meleux, F., 2017. CHIMERE-2017: from urban to hemispheric chemistry-transport modeling. Geosci. Model Dev. 10, 2397–2423.
 doi:10.5194/gmd-10-2397-2017.
- Martin, P., Ramalanjaona, L., Truche, C., Ballot, R., Carozzi, M., Pomeon,
 T., 2023. Modelling the spatialisation of pesticide sales to monitor environmental policies in france. Journal of Cleaner Production 403, 136880.
 doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136880.
- Moussaoui, Y., Tuduri, L., Kerchich, Y., Meklati, B., Eppe, G., 2012. Atmospheric concentrations of pcdd/fs, dl-pcbs and some pesticides in northern algeria using passive air sampling. Chemosphere 88, 270 277.
 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.02.025.
- Raupach, M., Briggs, P., Ahmad, N., Edge, V., 2001. Endosulfan transport: Ii.
 modeling airborne dispersal and deposition by spray and vapor. J. Environ.
 Qual. 30, 729–740. doi:10.2134/jeq2001.303729x.
- Shen, Y., de Hoogh, K., Schmitz, O., Clinton, N., Tuxen-Bettman, K., Brandt,
 J., Christensen, J.H., Frohn, L.M., Geels, C., Karssenberg, D., Vermeulen, R.,
 Hoek, G., 2022. Europe-wide air pollution modeling from 2000 to 2019 using
 geographically weighted regression. Environment International 168, 107485.
 doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107485.
- Teysseire, R., Manangama, G., Baldi, I., Carles, C., Brochard, P., Bedos, C.,
 Delva, F., 2020. Assessment of residential exposures to agricultural pesticides:
- ⁴⁹⁶ A scoping review. PLOS ONE 15, 1–19. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0232258.

- ⁴⁹⁷ Tsai, M.Y., Elgethun, K., Ramaprasad, J., Yost, M.G., Felsot, A.S., Hebert,
 ⁴⁹⁸ V.R., Fenske, R.A., 2005. The washington aerial spray drift study: Modeling
 ⁴⁹⁹ pesticide spray drift deposition from an aerial application. Atmos. Environ.
 ⁵⁰⁰ 39, 6194 6203. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.07.011.
- Valari, M., Menut, L., 2008. Does an increase in air quality models' resolution bring surface ozone concentrations closer to reality? J. Atmos. Ocean.
 Technol. 25, 1955 1968. doi:10.1175/2008JTECHA1123.1.
- V., 2003. Review and revision. Vestreng, Emission data re-504 ported to CLRTAP Tech. Rep., EMEP MSW-W. Technical Re-505 port. Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway. URL: 506 https://emep.int/publ/reports/2003/mscw_note_1_2003.pdf. 507
- Zhang, X., Luo, Y., Goh, K.S., 2018. Modeling spray drift and runoff-related
 inputs of pesticides to receiving water. Environ. Pollut. 234, 48 58.
 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.032.

18