

Viral and cellular telomerase RNAs possess host-specific anti-apoptotic functions

Ahmed Kheimar, Laëtitia Trapp-Fragnet, Andelé Conradie, Luca Bertzbach,

Yu You, Mohammad Sabsabi, Benedikt Kaufer

▶ To cite this version:

Ahmed Kheimar, Laëtitia Trapp-Fragnet, Andelé Conradie, Luca Bertzbach, Yu You, et al.. Viral and cellular telomerase RNAs possess host-specific anti-apoptotic functions. Microbiology Spectrum, 2023, 11 (5), pp.e0188723. 10.1128/spectrum.01887-23. hal-04221808

HAL Id: hal-04221808 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04221808v1

Submitted on 18 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



8 Open Peer Review | Virology | Research Article

Viral and cellular telomerase RNAs possess host-specific antiapoptotic functions

Ahmed Kheimar,^{1,2} Laetitia Trapp-Fragnet,³ Andelé M. Conradie,¹ Luca D. Bertzbach,^{1,4} Yu You,¹ Mohammad A. Sabsabi,¹ Benedikt B. Kaufer^{1,5}

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 11.

ABSTRACT Human telomerase RNA (hTR) is overexpressed in many cancers and protects T cells from apoptosis in a telomerase-independent manner. The most prevalent cancer in the animal kingdom is caused by the highly oncogenic herpesvirus Marek's disease virus (MDV). MDV encodes a viral telomerase RNA (vTR) that plays a crucial role in MDV-induced tumorigenesis and shares all four conserved functional domains with hTR. In this study, we assessed whether hTR drives tumor formation in this natural model of herpesvirus-induced tumorigenesis. Therefore, we replaced vTR with hTR in the genome of a highly oncogenic MDV. Furthermore, we investigated the anti-apoptotic activity of vTR, hTR, and their counterpart in the chicken [chicken telomerase RNA (cTR)]. hTR was efficiently expressed and did not alter replication of the recombinant virus. Despite its conserved structure, hTR did not complement the loss of vTR in virus-induced tumorigenesis. Strikingly, hTR did not inhibit apoptosis in chicken cells, but efficiently inhibited apoptosis in human cells. Inverse host restriction has been observed for vTR and cTR in human cells. Our data revealed that vTR, cTR, and hTR possess conserved but host-specific anti-apoptotic functions that likely contribute to MDV-induced tumorigenesis.

IMPORTANCE hTR is overexpressed in many cancers and used as a cancer biomarker. However, the contribution of hTR to tumorigenesis remains elusive. In this study, we assessed the tumor-promoting properties of hTR using a natural virus/host model of herpesvirus-induced tumorigenesis. This avian herpesvirus encodes a telomerase RNA subunit (vTR) that plays a crucial role in viral tumorigenesis and shares all conserved functional domains with hTR. Our data revealed that vTR and cellular TRs of humans and chickens possess host-specific anti-apoptotic functions. This provides important translational insights into therapeutic strategies, as inhibition of apoptosis is crucial for tumorigenesis.

KEYWORDS Marek's disease virus, viral telomerase RNA, human telomerase RNA, apoptosis, tumorigenesis

T elomerase is a ribonucleoprotein complex that is involved in the maintenance of telomeres (1). The enzyme complex consists of two major components, the catalytic subunit telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) and the telomerase RNA (TR). TRs are constitutively expressed and have a highly conserved secondary structure in vertebrates including humans and chickens (2, 3). Intriguingly, human telomerase RNA (hTR) is overexpressed in many cancers (4), including lung cancer (5), prostate cancer (6), and leukemia (7). Furthermore, it has recently been demonstrated that hTR inhibits apoptosis in human T cells independently of its role in the telomerase complex (8). Similarly, a knockdown of hTR in cancer cells using siRNAs induces apoptosis (9).

Editor Donna M. Neumann, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

Address correspondence to Ahmed Kheimar, ahmed1985@zedat.fu-berlin.de, or Benedikt B. Kaufer, b.kaufer@fu-berlin.de.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

See the funding table on p. 11.

Received 5 May 2023 Accepted 7 August 2023 Published 27 September 2023

Copyright © 2023 Kheimar et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



Marek's disease virus (MDV) is a highly oncogenic alphaherpesvirus that causes T-cell lymphoma in chickens and represents a natural small animal model for herpesvirus-induced tumorigenesis (10). MDV encodes a viral TR homologue [viral telomerase RNA (vTR)] that plays a crucial role in MDV-induced tumorigenesis (11); however, the underlying mechanism remains poorly understood. vTR is the most abundant viral transcript in MDV-induced tumor cells (11, 12) and shares the conserved functional domains with cellular TRs (13). We recently demonstrated that overexpression of chicken TR (cTR) complements the loss of vTR in MDV-induced tumorigenesis (14), highlighting that high cTR expression levels can drive tumorigenesis. The secondary structures of vTR, cTR, and hTR are highly conserved, including the pseudoknot (core) domain that harbors the template sequence (CR-1) for the extension of telomeric repeats (15), the CR4-CR5 domain that is essential for proper assembly with TERT (15) and the H/ACA box and CR-7 domain, which are responsible for TR stability and localization (13, 16, 17). In addition, we showed that both vTR and hTR interact with chicken TERT and mediate telomerase activity in vitro (18). Therefore, we investigated whether overexpression of hTR could complement the loss of vTR in MDV-induced tumorigenesis and whether vTR possesses anti-apoptotic functions.

In this study, we generated a recombinant MDV expressing hTR instead of vTR. This recombinant virus efficiently expressed hTR in infected cells and replicated in a manner comparable to that of the wild-type (wt) virus. Interestingly, hTR did not compensate for the loss of vTR in MVD-induced tumorigenesis. Because inhibition of apoptosis likely contributes to tumor formation, we assessed the ability of hTR, vTR, and cTR to inhibit apoptosis in human and chicken cells. Strikingly, hTR did not inhibit apoptosis in chicken cells despite its high activity in human cells. We also provide the first evidence that vTR and cTR possess anti-apoptotic functions, but only in chicken cells and not in human cells. Taken together, our study revealed that viral, chicken, and human TRs share common anti-apoptotic functions that are not conserved across the two species.

RESULTS

Generation and characterization of recombinant MDV expressing hTR

To determine whether hTR possesses tumor-promoting functions and could complement the loss of vTR in MDV-induced tumorigenesis, we generated a recombinant virus expressing hTR (vhTR) instead of vTR (Fig. 1A). vTR was first deleted in the MDV genome (v Δ vTR), and then hTR was inserted downstream of the native vTR promoter. The resulting clones were screened by restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), PCR, and Sanger sequencing, and the entire viral genome was confirmed by Illumina MiSeq sequencing (1,000-fold coverage). To determine whether deletion of the vTR or insertion of the hTR affects viral replication, we assessed the replication properties of the recombinant viruses. Plaque size assays revealed that vhTR replicated in a manner comparable to that of the wt virus (Fig. 1B). The replication properties were confirmed by multi-step growth kinetics (Fig. 1C), highlighting that neither deletion of vTR nor insertion of hTR alters MDV replication *in vitro*.

hTR is efficiently expressed in vhTR-infected cells

To confirm the expression of the respective TRs, we infected chicken embryo cells (CECs) with vhTR, v Δ vTR, or wt virus and performed RT-qPCR. As anticipated, vTR was only expressed in cells infected with the wt virus, whereas its expression was completely abrogated in v Δ vTR and vhTR (Fig. 2A). Consistently, hTR was efficiently expressed in vhTR-infected cells at levels comparable to vTR in the wt virus (Fig. 2B).

Overexpression of hTR does not complement the loss of vTR in vivo

To determine whether hTR possesses tumor-promoting properties, we infected 1-day-old chickens with 2,000 plaque-forming units (PFU) of either wt, v Δ vTR, or vhTR. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analyses revealed that v Δ vTR and vhTR replicated comparably to the wt virus



FIG 1 Generation and characterization of the recombinant MDV mutants. (A) Overview of the MDV genome with a focus on the vTR with its conserved regions (CR1– CR8). Recombinant viruses that either lack the entire vTR (v Δ vTR) or encode human TR (vhTR) instead of vTR are shown below. (B) Plaque size assays of indicated recombinant viruses. The plaque sizes are shown as box plots with minimums and maximums. Results are shown as the mean of three independent experiments. No significant differences were detected compared to wt (P > 0.05; one-way analysis of variance, n = 150). (C) Multi-step growth kinetics of indicated viruses. Mean MDV genome copies per million cells are shown for the indicated time points. The chicken inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) gene was used for normalization. Mean genome copies of one independent experiment in triplicates are shown with standard deviations (error bars). No significant differences were detected compared to wt (P > 0.05; mean way must be the original deviations (error bars). No significant differences were detected compared to the indicated time points. The chicken inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) gene was used for normalization. Mean genome copies of one independent experiment in triplicates are shown with standard deviations (error bars). No significant differences were detected compared to wt (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test).

in the blood of infected animals (Fig. 3A). Over the course of infection, the animals were monitored daily for clinical symptoms. Intriguingly, disease incidence was reduced in animals infected with v Δ vTR and vhTR when compared to those infected with the wt virus (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, tumor incidence was significantly reduced in both v Δ vTRand vhTR-infected animals when compared to the wt virus (Fig. 3C), indicating that hTR neither complements vTR nor has tumor-promoting properties in this natural model of virus-induced tumor formation. To assess the potential effects of hTR on tumor dissemination, visceral organs with gross tumors were quantified during necropsy. While wt virus-induced tumors disseminated to various organs, vhTR and v Δ vTR tumors were restricted to a single organ per animal (Fig. 3D). To ensure that hTR was efficiently expressed in vhTR-induced tumors, we performed RT-qPCR on the tumor tissues. RTqPCR revealed that hTR was efficiently expressed in vhTR-induced tumors at levels



FIG 2 Quantification of vTR and hTR expressions in MDV-infected cells. One million CECs were infected with 1,000 PFU of indicated viruses; total RNAs were isolated at 6 dpi and RT-qPCR was performed. The mean copy numbers of (A) vTR and (B) hTR are shown for corresponding viruses relative to the expression levels of the cellular glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). Results are shown as means of three independent experiments with standard errors (error bars).

comparable to vTR in wt virus tumors (Fig. 4A and B). Our data demonstrate that hTR does not drive tumor formation in our natural virus-host model of herpesvirus-induced tumorigenesis.

TRs possess common anti-apoptotic functions that are host specific

To determine why hTR does not complement the loss of vTR in infected chickens, we assessed the anti-apoptotic activities of hTR, vTR, and cTR. To date, only hTR has been shown to possess anti-apoptotic activity in studies using human cells; however, it remains unclear whether this activity is conserved across species between chickens and humans. In addition, it remains unknown whether vTR can inhibit apoptosis, which could contribute to MDV-induced tumorigenesis. To investigate the TR-mediated antiapoptotic activity in chicken T cells, we generated CU91 T cells expressing hTR, cTR, vTR, or an empty vector. Apoptosis was induced using 1-µM staurosporine (STS), and apoptosis levels were measured using Caspase-Glo 3/Caspase-Glo 7, 8, and 9 assays. Strikingly, vTR and cTR significantly inhibited apoptosis in chicken T cells, whereas no inhibition was detected for hTR (Fig. 5A through C). To confirm these findings, we used another chicken T-cell line, called 855-19. As observed in CU91 cells, vTR and cTR significantly inhibited STS-induced apoptosis, whereas hTR did not (Fig. 5D through F). In addition, we assessed the anti-apoptotic activity of the TRs in human 293T cells expressing hTR, cTR, or vTR. As expected, hTR efficiently inhibited apoptosis in STS-treated 293T cells, whereas no inhibition was observed in 293T cells expressing vTR or cTR (Fig. 5G through I). To ensure that the observed effects were not due to differences in the expression levels, we performed RT-qPCR. vTR, cTR, and hTR were efficiently expressed in



FIG 3 hTR does not complement the loss of vTR in MDV-induced tumorigenesis. (A) qPCR detecting MDV genome copies in the blood of chickens infected with wt (n = 9), v Δ vTR (n = 25), and vhTR (n = 24). Mean MDV genome copies per one million cells are shown for the indicated time points. No significant differences were detected compared to wt (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (B) Disease incidence is shown as a percentage of animals that developed clinical symptoms along the course of infection. Significant differences in comparison to wt are indicated by an asterisk (P < 0.0125, Fisher's exact test). (C) Tumor incidence in chickens infected with indicated viruses is shown as a percentage of animals developing gross tumors. Significant differences are indicated by an asterisk (P < 0.0125, Fisher's exact test). (D) Mean number of visceral organs with macroscopic tumors per animal infected with the indicated viruses. Significant differences are indicated by an asterisk (P < 0.0125, Fisher's exact test).

the respective CU91, 855–19 T, and 293T cells (Fig. 6A through C). Taken together, our data revealed that viral and cellular TRs share common anti-apoptotic properties that are not conserved between humans and chickens. The observed anti-apoptotic activity of vTR likely plays an important role in the tumorigenesis of this highly oncogenic herpesvirus.

DISCUSSION

To date, the role of TRs in tumorigenesis has mainly been restricted to their role in the telomerase complex. hTR has recently been shown to possess anti-apoptotic activity independent of its role in the telomerase complex (8). However, it remains unclear whether the anti-apoptotic activity of hTR drives oncogenesis. Viruses have evolved several strategies to block apoptosis and prolong the survival of infected cells (19). The highly oncogenic MDV encodes a TR homologue that plays an important role in virus-induced tumorigenesis; however, the underlying mechanism remains poorly understood (11). We recently demonstrated that a vTR mutant (vTR P6.1) that is not incorporated into the telomerase complex efficiently promotes tumor formation (20). This suggests that vTR possesses pro-oncogenic function(s) that are independent of its telomerase activity. Since TRs share the main functional domains, we investigated whether hTR also



FIG 4 Quantification of vTR and hTR expression levels in MDV-induced tumors. RT-qPCR analysis of (A) vTR and (B) hTR expressions in tumor tissue derived from chickens infected with indicated viruses. Results are shown as the mean of three tumor tissues for each group normalized to cellular GAPDH with standard errors (error bars) (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test).

possesses pro-oncogenic properties in our natural virus-host model of virus-induced tumorigenesis. We generated a recombinant virus expressing hTR instead of vTR. Neither vTR deletion nor hTR insertion altered viral replication in vitro or in vivo. This supports our previous finding that vTR is dispensable for MDV replication (14, 21). To confirm the efficient expression of hTR in vhTR-infected cells, we used RT-qPCR. Our data revealed that hTR was efficiently expressed in the vhTR-infected cells. This expression was comparable to that of vTR in the wt virus, as both vTR and hTR were driven by the same promoter in the viral genome. To investigate whether hTR could complement the loss of vTR in MDV-induced oncogenesis, we infected chickens with the recombinant viruses. Disease development was severely impaired in the absence of vTR, as described previously for partial and complete deletions of vTR (11, 14). Intriguingly, hTR was not able to restore the oncogenic activity of v Δ vTR, as both disease and tumor incidence were severely impaired compared to the wt virus. This was surprising, as the insertion of the cellular TR from the chicken (cTR) completely restored the tumorigenesis of the virus (14). This demonstrated that hTR does not drive tumorigenesis in this natural model of virusinduced tumorigenesis, even though hTR was efficiently expressed in the respective tumors.

Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, we had to terminate the hTR animal experiment earlier (70 dpi) compared to the previous cTR animal experiment (91 days). To address the differences in the timescale, we compared the tumor incidence induced by vcTR and vhTR at 70 dpi. Our analyses revealed that cTR significantly increased tumor incidence compared to v Δ vTR. This was not observed for vhTR, suggesting that hTR does not

10.1128/spectrum.01887-23 6



FIG 5 hTR, cTR, and vTR exhibit anti-apoptotic activity in their respective host cells. The activation of the caspase pathway in 293T cells and chicken T cells (CU91 and 855–19 T) using 1- μ M STS. The caspase activity was measured in different cell lines, and caspase 3/7, caspase 8, and caspase 9 are shown as luminescence units for (A–C) chicken T cells CU91, (D–F) chicken T cells 855–19 T, and (G–I) 293T cells. All CU91, 855–19 T, and 293T cells harboring vTR, cTR, or hTR sequences were cultured with 1- μ M STS (except the DMSO control) for 3 h at 40°C or 37°C. Luminescence was normalized to background levels. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean of three independent experiments performed in duplicates. Asterisks indicate significant differences in comparison to the empty vector control (**P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, and ****P* < 0.001 assessed by one-way analysis of variance).

complement the loss of vTR in MDV-induced tumorigenesis and that the differences in the timescale have no impact on the tumor incidence induced by vhTR. One potential explanation for this could be that hTR is unable to reconstitute a functional telomerase complex with the chicken TERT. This seems less likely, as we previously demonstrated that vTR and hTR efficiently reconstituted telomerase activity with chicken TERT *in vitro* (18). In addition to TR and TERT, many telomerase-associated proteins are required for the assembly of a functional complex at telomeres in living cells, which in turn could contribute to the observed phenotype. A second explanation for the inability of hTR to drive tumorigenesis in chickens may be the improper expression of hTR in vhTR-induced tumors. However, RT-qPCR revealed that hTR was efficiently expressed in the vhTRinduced tumors.

The third explanation is the anti-apoptotic properties of hTR. Therefore, we investigated whether hTR, cTR, or vTR could protect chicken cells from apoptosis. We generated polyclonal CU91 and 855–19 chicken T-cell lines expressing hTR, cTR, or vTR, confirmed their TR expression levels, and assessed their anti-apoptotic activity. Here, we demonstrated for the first time that vTR and cTR possess anti-apoptotic activities in chicken T cells, which are the primary target cells of MDV infection and tumorigenesis. Future studies will further investigate the vTR-mediated anti-apoptotic mechanism(s) and determine whether the activity is dependent or independent of its role in the telomere complex.

In contrast, hTR did not inhibit apoptosis in chicken T cells. This could explain why hTR did not drive tumorigenesis in our model as it lacks the ability to inhibit apoptosis in chicken T cells. To assess whether vTR or cTR could also inhibit apoptosis in human cells,



FIG 6 Quantification of TRs expression levels in CU91, 855–19 T cells, and 293T. Total RNAs were extracted from polyclonal cells expressing vTR, cTR, or hTR and their expression quantified by RT-qPCR. (A) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels in CU91 relative to the expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (B) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels in 855–19 T relative to the expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). (C) vTR, cTR, and hTR expression levels of cellular GAPDH (P > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test).

we induced apoptosis in 293T cells expressing hTR, cTR, or vTR. Caspase activity assays revealed that hTR protected 293T cells from apoptosis, whereas vTR and cTR did not. This revealed that TRs share anti-apoptotic functions; however, the interaction partners and/or the mechanism(s) are not conserved enough to function across these two distantly related species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells

CECs were prepared from Valo specific-pathogen-free (SPF) embryos (Valo BioMedia) and maintained as described previously (22). Reticuloendotheliosis virus-transformed chicken T-cell lines CU91 and 855–19 T cells (23, 24) were grown in suspension in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% non-essential amino acids, 10% fetal bovine serum, and 1% penicillin (100 U/mL)/streptomycin (100 μ g/mL), and maintained at 41°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Generation of hTR expressing viruses

Recombinant MDV encoding hTR instead of vTR was generated using a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) of the very virulent RB-1B strain (pRB-1B\DeltaIRL) by en passant

mutagenesis, as described previously (22, 25). Briefly, the hTR gene (AF221907) (13) was inserted into a previously generated recombinant MDV with a complete deletion of the vTR sequence (v Δ vTR), resulting in the vhTR virus (14, 21). The resulting BAC clones were validated by RFLP using multiple restriction enzymes, PCR, and Illumina MiSeq sequencing. The primers used for mutagenesis and sequencing are listed in Table 1. The recombinant viruses were reconstituted by calcium transfection of CECs with recombinant BAC DNA as described previously (25, 26).

Quantification of vTR and hTR expression in vitro and in vivo

vTR and hTR expression levels were quantified in MDV-infected cells and tumor tissues using RT-qPCR. Briefly, 10^6 CECs were infected with 10^3 PFU of wt, v Δ vTR, or vhTR. Total RNA was isolated from infected CECs and tumor tissues using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) and the innuPREP Virus RNA kit (Analytik Jena GmbH), respectively, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The isolated RNAs were treated with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega), and reverse transcription was performed using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). vTR and hTR expression was quantified using TaqMan qPCR, and the cellular GAPDH reference gene was used to normalize vTR and hTR expression. The vTR, hTR, and GADPH primer and probe sets have been previously published (14, 21) and are shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1 Primers and probes for RT-qPCR, qPCR, DNA sequencing, and construction of the recombinant viruses

Construct		Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$
		CGGAGGAAGCTACAAGAGCCCCACGCGGGGTTCCCCCGGCGCGCGC
	For	GTAATCGATTT
		TCTACTCACAGAGCCCCGCGCGCGCGCTCAACGGCTCCAACGGTCGTGCGCGCGGGGCCGCGCCAGTGTTACAACC-
vΔvTR	Rev	AATTAACC
	For	CGGAGGAAGCTACAAGAGCCCCACGCGGGGTTCCCCCGGCGTTGCGGAGGGTGGGCCTGG
vhTR	Rev	CGCGGCTCAACGGCTCCAACGGTCGTGCGCGCGGGGCCGCGCATGTGTGAGCCGAGTCCTGGG
	For	GCCCCTCTCGCTCG
vTR locus	Rev	CACACGTCCAGGCCAGGA
	For	ACTAT <u>CATATG</u> ² GACAGGCAGTTGTACACCTGCCTG
pvTR-TRs into pLKO5 vector	Rev	ATCTA <u>GAATTC</u> TGCGCGCGGGGCCGC
	For	CCTAATCGGAGGTATT GATGGTACTG
	Rev	CCCTAGCCCGCTGAAAGTC
vTR (RT-qPCR)	Probe	FAM-CCCTCCGCCCGCTGTTTACTCG-TAM
	For	GGTGGTGGCCATTTTTTGTC
	Rev	CTAGAATGAACGGTGGAAGGC
hTR (RT-qPCR)	Probe	FAM-CGCGCTGTTTTTCTCGCTGACTTTC-TAM
	For	TGGAAGGCTCCGCTGTGC
	Rev	GGAGCGCGGCGACAGC
cTR (RT-qPCR)	Probe	FAM-CTAATCGGGGGAATTGATGG -TAM
	For	CGTGTTTTCCGGCATGTG
	Rev	TCCCATACCAATCCTCATCCA
ICP4 (qPCR)	Probe	FAM-CCCCCACCAGGTGCAGGCA-TAM
	For	GAGTGGTTTAAGGAGTTGGATCTGA
	Rev	TTCCAGACCTCCCACCTCAA
iNOS (qPCR)	Probe	FAM-CTCTGCCTGCTGTTGCCAACATGC-TAM
	For	GAAGCTTACTGGAATGGCTTTCC
	Rev	GGCAGGTCAGGTGAACAACA
GAPDH (RT-qPCR)	Probe	FAM-TGTGCCAACCCCCAAT-TAM
	For	CCAGCAGAGAATGGAAAGTCAA
	Rev	TCTCCATTCTTCAGTAAGTCAACTTCA
ß2M (RT-qPCR)	Probe	FAM-ATGTGTCTGGGTTTCATCCATCCGACA-TAM

^aRestriction enzyme sites are underlined.

Plaque size assays and growth kinetics

The replication properties of the recombinant viruses were assessed using plaque size assays and multi-step growth kinetics as described previously (27). Briefly, 10^6 CECs were infected with 100 PFU of either wt, v Δ vTR, or vhTR virus. Infected cells were fixed at 6 days post-infection (dpi), and plaque areas were measured using the Bioreader System (Bio-Sys; Karben, Germany), from which plaque diameters were calculated. For multi-step growth kinetics, infected cells were harvested daily for 6 days; viral DNA was extracted using the RTP DNA/RNA virus Mini kit (Stratec; Berlin, Germany), and viral copies were quantified by qPCR. The viral genome copies were normalized to the chicken inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) gene. Primers and probes specific for the MDV immediate-early gene ICP4 and the cellular iNOS gene are shown in Table 1.

Animal experiment

One-day-old male and female SPF chickens (Valo BioMedia) were randomly assigned to three groups. Animals were infected subcutaneously with 2,000 PFU of either wt (n = 9), v Δ vTR (n = 25), or vhTR (n = 24). Peripheral blood was collected from infected chickens at 4, 7, 10, 14, 21, and 28 dpi to determine MDV genome copies in the blood, as previously described (26, 28). Chickens were monitored daily for clinical symptoms throughout the experiment. The animal experiment was performed in a blinded manner to eliminate bias and avoid subjectivity in the assessment of clinical symptoms. The animals were euthanized and examined for tumor lesions once the clinical symptoms were evident or at 70 dpi after the termination of the experiment. To confirm the presence of the introduced mutations in the viral genome, DNA was extracted from the tumor tissues, and the target region was analyzed by Sanger sequencing.

Quantification of virus genome copies

DNA was isolated from the blood samples of the infected animals using the DNA E-Z96 blood kit (Omega Biotek, USA). Virus genome copies were assessed by qPCR using primers and probes specific for ICP4 and iNOS (Table 1) as described above (26, 28). Viral genome copies were normalized to the iNOS gene, as previously described (29).

Lentivirus production and generation of clonal cell lines

To facilitate the expression of vTR, cTR, or hTR in chicken T cells, we generated lentiviral vectors using the pLKO5.sgRNA.EFS.PAC vector (a gift from Benjamin Ebert; Addgene, #57825) (30). The vTR, cTR, or hTR sequences, including the native vTR promoter, were amplified by PCR from the corresponding recombinant viruses and inserted into the pLKO5 vector between the Ndel and EcoRI sites. The primers used for cloning and sequencing are listed in Table 1. TR-expressing lentiviruses were generated by transfect-ing 293T cells with pCMVDR8.91, pCMV-VSV-G, pLKO5.vTR, pLKO5.cTR, pLKO5.hTR, or the empty vector control. Lentiviruses were harvested 36 h post-transfection and used for transduction as described previously (31). After transduction of CU91, 855–19 T cells, and 293T cells, cells were selected with 1- or 2-µg/mL puromycin, respectively, for 5 days. The expression levels of vTR, cTR, and hTR in the polyclonal cells were confirmed by RT-qPCR.

Apoptosis assays

Apoptosis was induced in 293T or chicken T cells using 1- μ M STS (Sigma-Aldrich), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was used as a control. The level of apoptosis was evaluated using the Caspase-Glo 3/Caspase-Glo 7, Caspase-Glo 8, and Caspase-Glo 9 assay systems (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were seeded at a density of 5 × 10⁴ cells/well in 96-well plates and incubated with STS for 3 h, after which 100- μ L Caspase-Glo reagent was added to the cells. After mixing, cells were transferred to a 96-well white-walled plate and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Luminescence of the samples was measured using a Synergy H1 Hybrid Reader

(BioTek, Germany). Relative light units were calculated by subtracting the blank control (media only) values.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software (SPSS, Inc.) and GraphPad Prism v.7. The data were first analyzed for normal distribution. Plaque size assays were performed using one-way analysis of variance. qPCR data were evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis test. The results were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05. Fisher's exact test and Kaplan-Meier survival analysis using log-rank test (Mantel-Cox test) were used for animal experimental data as indicated, with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, and were considered significant if P < 0.0125.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Ann Reum (Institute of Virology, Freie Universität Berlin) and Marion Müller and Yvonne Weber (Institute of Immunology, Freie Universität Berlin) for their technical assistance. The authors thank all lab members for the helpful discussions.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft grant KA 3492/8–1 awarded to B.B.K.

All authors critically read and revised the manuscript and approved the final version.

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹Institute of Virology, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

²Department of Poultry Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Sohag University, Sohag, Egypt

³INRAE, UMR1282 Infectiologie et Santé Publique, Equipe Biologie des Virus Aviaires INRAE, Nouzilly, France

⁴Department of Viral Transformation, Leibniz Institute of Virology (LIV), Hamburg, Germany

⁵Veterinary Centre for Resistance Research (TZR), Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Ahmed Kheimar ^(b) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8121-8981 Andelé M. Conradie ^(b) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9975-0642 Luca D. Bertzbach ^(b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0698-5395 Benedikt B. Kaufer ^(b) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1328-2695

FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)	KA 3492/8-1	Benedikt B. Kaufer

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

September/October 2023 Volume 11 Issue 5

Ahmed Kheimar, Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft | Laetitia Trapp-Fragnet, Investigation, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Andelé M. Conradie, Investigation, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Luca D. Bertzbach, Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Yu You, Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review and editing | Mohammad A. Sabsabi, Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review and editing | Benedikt B. Kaufer,

Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing

DATA AVAILABILITY

All relevant data are presented in this study and are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

ETHICS APPROVAL

All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with German and European guidelines and were approved by the governmental authority, the Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales of Berlin, Germany (approval number G0218/12).

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available online.

Open Peer Review

PEER REVIEW HISTORY (review-history.pdf). An accounting of the reviewer comments and feedback.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jafri MA, Ansari SA, Alqahtani MH, Shay JW. 2016. Roles of telomeres and telomerase in cancer, and advances in telomerase-targeted therapies. Genome Med 8:69. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0324-x
- Martin-Rivera L, Blasco MA. 2001. Identification of functional domains and dominant negative mutations in vertebrate telomerase RNA using an in vivo reconstitution system. J Biol Chem 276:5856–5865. https://doi. org/10.1074/jbc.M008419200
- Chen JL, Blasco MA, Greider CW. 2000. Secondary structure of vertebrate telomerase RNA. Cell 100:503–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80687-x
- Cao Y, Bryan TM, Reddel RR. 2008. Increased copy number of the TERT and TERC telomerase subunit genes in cancer cells. Cancer Sci 99:1092– 1099. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2008.00815.x
- Penzo M, Ludovini V, Treré D, Siggillino A, Vannucci J, Bellezza G, Crinò L, Montanaro L. 2015. Dyskerin and TERC expression may condition survival in lung cancer patients. Oncotarget 6:21755–21760. https://doi. org/10.18632/oncotarget.4580
- Baena-Del Valle JA, Zheng Q, Esopi DM, Rubenstein M, Hubbard GK, Moncaliano MC, Hruszkewycz A, Vaghasia A, Yegnasubramanian S, Wheelan SJ, Meeker AK, Heaphy CM, Graham MK, De Marzo AM. 2018. MYC drives overexpression of telomerase RNA (hTR/TERC) in prostate cancer. J Pathol 244:11–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.4980
- Nowak T, Januszkiewicz D, Zawada M, Pernak M, Lewandowski K, Rembowska J, Nowicka K, Mankowski P, Nowak J. 2006. Amplification of hTERT and hTERC genes in leukemic cells with high expression and activity of telomerase. Oncol Rep 16:301–305.
- Gazzaniga FS, Blackburn EH. 2014. An antiapoptotic role for telomerase RNA in human immune cells independent of telomere integrity or telomerase enzymatic activity. Blood 124:3675–3684. https://doi.org/10. 1182/blood-2014-06-582254
- Wen R, Liu J, Li W, Yang W, Mao L, Zheng J. 2006. Attenuation of telomerase activity by siRNA targeted telomerase RNA leads to apoptosis and inhibition of proliferation in human renal carcinoma cells. Chin J Clin Oncol 3:326–331. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11805-006-0097-x
- Osterrieder N, Kamil JP, Schumacher D, Tischer BK, Trapp S. 2006. Marek's disease virus: from miasma to model. Nat Rev Microbiol 4:283– 294. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1382
- Trapp S, Parcells MS, Kamil JP, Schumacher D, Tischer BK, Kumar PM, Nair VK, Osterrieder N. 2006. A virus-encoded telomerase RNA promotes malignant T cell lymphomagenesis. J Exp Med 203:1307–1317. https:// doi.org/10.1084/jem.20052240

- Chbab N, Egerer A, Veiga I, Jarosinski KW, Osterrieder N. 2010. Viral control of vTR expression is critical for efficient formation and dissemination of lymphoma induced by Marek's disease virus (MDV). Vet Res 41:56. https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres/2010026
- Fragnet L, Blasco MA, Klapper W, Rasschaert D. 2003. The RNA subunit of telomerase is encoded by Marek's disease virus. J Virol 77:5985–5996. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.77.10.5985-5996.2003
- Kheimar A, Trimpert J, Groenke N, Kaufer BB. 2019. Overexpression of cellular telomerase RNA enhances virus-induced cancer formation. Oncogene 38:1778–1786. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-018-0544-1
- Kaufer BB, Arndt S, Trapp S, Osterrieder N, Jarosinski KW. 2011. Herpesvirus telomerase RNA (vTR) with a mutated template sequence abrogates herpesvirus-induced lymphomagenesis. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002333. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002333
- Chen J-L, Opperman KK, Greider CW. 2002. A critical stem–loop structure in the CR4–CR5 domain of mammalian telomerase RNA. Nucleic Acids Res 30:592–597. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.2.592
- Fragnet L, Kut E, Rasschaert D. 2005. Comparative functional study of the viral telomerase RNA based on natural mutations. J Biol Chem 280:23502–23515. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M501163200
- Trapp-Fragnet L, Marie-Egyptienne DT, Fakhoury J, Rasschaert D, Autexier C. 2012. The human telomerase catalytic subunit and viral telomerase RNA reconstitute a functional telomerase complex in a cellfree system, but not in human cells. Cell Mol Biol Lett 17:598–615. https:/ /doi.org/10.2478/s11658-012-0031-6
- You Y, Cheng A-C, Wang M-S, Jia R-Y, Sun K-F, Yang Q, Wu Y, Zhu D, Chen S, Liu M-F, Zhao X-X, Chen X-Y. 2017. The suppression of apoptosis by A-Herpesvirus. Cell Death Dis 8:e2749–e2749. https://doi.org/10.1038/ cddis.2017.139
- Kaufer BB, Trapp S, Jarosinski KW, Osterrieder N. 2010. Herpesvirus Telomerase RNA(vTR)-Dependent lymphoma formation does not require interaction of vTR with telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT). PLoS Pathog 6:e1001073. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001073
- Kheimar A, Kaufer BB. 2018. Epstein-Barr virus-encoded RNAs (EBERs) complement the loss of Herpesvirus telomerase RNA (vTR) in virusinduced tumor formation. Sci Rep 8:209. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-017-18638-7
- Tischer BK, von Einem J, Kaufer B, Osterrieder N. 2006. Two-step redmediated recombination for versatile high-efficiency markerless DNA manipulation in *Escherichia coli*. Biotechniques 40:191–197. https://doi. org/10.2144/000112096

- Pratt WD, Morgan RW, Schat KA. 1992. Characterization of reticuloendotheliosis virus-transformed avian T-lymphoblastoid cell lines infected with Marek's disease virus. J Virol 66:7239–7244. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JVI.66.12.7239-7244.1992
- Viertlboeck BC, Crooijmans RPMA, Groenen MAM, Göbel TWF. 2004. Chicken Ig-like receptor B2, a member of a multigene family, is mainly expressed on B lymphocytes, recruits both Src Homology 2 domain containing protein tyrosine phosphatase (SHP)-1 and SHP-2, and inhibits proliferation. J Immunol 173:7385–7393. https://doi.org/10.4049/ jimmunol.173.12.7385
- Engel AT, Selvaraj RK, Kamil JP, Osterrieder N, Kaufer BB. 2012. Marek's disease viral Interleukin-8 promotes lymphoma formation through targeted recruitment of B cells and CD4+ CD25+ T cells. J Virol 86:8536– 8545. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00556-12
- Jarosinski KW, Margulis NG, Kamil JP, Spatz SJ, Nair VK, Osterrieder N. 2007. Horizontal transmission of Marek's disease virus requires US2, the Ul13 protein kinase, and gC. J Virol 81:10575–10587. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.01065-07
- Vychodil T, Conradie AM, Trimpert J, Aswad A, Bertzbach LD, Kaufer BB.
 2021. Marek's disease virus requires both copies of the inverted repeat

regions for efficient *in vivo* replication and pathogenesis. J Virol 95:e01256-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01256-20

- Jarosinski K, Kattenhorn L, Kaufer B, Ploegh H, Osterrieder N. 2007. A herpesvirus ubiquitin-specific protease is critical for efficient T cell lymphoma formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:20025–20030. https:// /doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706295104
- Kaufer BB, Jarosinski KW, Osterrieder N. 2011. Herpesvirus telomeric repeats facilitate genomic integration into host telomeres and mobilization of viral DNA during reactivation. J Exp Med 208:605–615. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20101402
- Heckl D, Kowalczyk MS, Yudovich D, Belizaire R, Puram RV, McConkey ME, Thielke A, Aster JC, Regev A, Ebert BL. 2014. Generation of mouse models of myeloid malignancy with combinatorial genetic lesions using CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing. Nat Biotechnol 32:941–946. https://doi. org/10.1038/nbt.2951
- Sanyal A, Wallaschek N, Glass M, Flamand L, Wight DJ, Kaufer BB. 2018. The ND10 complex represses lytic human herpesvirus 6A replication and promotes silencing of the viral genome. Viruses 10:401. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/v10080401