



HAL
open science

Fertilizer regime modifies grassland sensitivity to interannual climate variability.

Frédérique Louault, Juliette Bloor

► To cite this version:

Frédérique Louault, Juliette Bloor. Fertilizer regime modifies grassland sensitivity to interannual climate variability.. 29th EGF General Meeting, Jun 2022, Caen, France. , Grassland Science in Europe, 27, 2022, Grassland at the heart of circular and sustainable food systems. hal-04229999

HAL Id: hal-04229999

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04229999>

Submitted on 5 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fertiliser regime modifies grassland sensitivity to interannual climate variability

Louault F., Bloor J.M.G.

INRAE, University of Clermont Auvergne, VetAgro Sup, UMR UREP, Clermont-Ferrand, France



Rationale

Improved understanding of the interactions between management practices and climate variability is critical for the development of sustainable grassland management and the identification of agricultural ‘best practices’ to improve the resistance of grassland forage production in a changing environment. In the present work, we investigated the interactive effects of fertilizer regime and climate variability (temperature, rainfall) on yield, forage quality and plant diversity in an upland grassland subjected to a gradient of nutrient availability treatments over a 15-year period.

Materials and methods



No fertilization Plot 18 PK Plot 19 NPK Plot 20

Experimental fields in June 2020, 15th year of experimentation, of one of the four repetitions per treatment. Photos: F. Louault

- Measurements were carried at a long-term research platform in the Massif Central, France (ANAEE-F SOERE-ACBB: Theix) on twelve mown grassland plots (NPK (251 kg N ha⁻¹, 28 kg P ha⁻¹, 177 kg K ha⁻¹), PK (21 kg P ha⁻¹, 128 kg K ha⁻¹) and ‘None’ (no fertilization).
- Field-scale biomass and forage quality (total N content, dry mass digestibility) was assessed across each paddock at the three cutting dates per year during the growing season (May, July, October).
- Botanical surveys are carried out each year in May using the transect method to determine species presence/absence at 40 points per field.

Results

Forage production and quality generally showed positive responses to rainfall and negative responses to temperature, whereas the reverse was true for climate-diversity relationships (Table 1). Three variables showed interactive effects of fertilizer and climate (Figure 1), driven by greater responsiveness in the PK treatment (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Interactive effects of fertilizer treatments and climate indices recorded during the study. Fertilizer treatments are given by: NPK, green filled circles; PK, orange filled squares, ‘None’, blue triangles. Means and standard errors are presented; n= 4.

Conclusions

Interactions between fertilizer treatment and climatic variables assessed at the annual scale were not confined to one particular grassland property or climatic index, and were relatively limited. In general, interactions were driven by responses in the PK treatment, possibly linked to greater sensitivity of legumes to climatic variation.

Table 1. Directionality of responses of grassland properties to climatic variation and fertilizer treatment. Results represent significant main effects based on GLM analysis (no results are presented for climate variables where fertilizer treatments interact with climate, cf Figure 1; n.s., non-significant climate effects). Fertilizer treatment rankings apply only for cases with no Tmt*Climate interactions.

Variable	Mean annual temperature	Total annual rainfall	Fertilizer treatment
Biomass production (g/m ²)	(see Fig. 1)	↗	NPK > PK > None
Crude protein (%)	↘	(see Fig. 1)	NPK > PK = None
Dry matter digestibility (%)	n.s.	↘	NPK < PK = None
Species number	↗	↘	NPK < PK < None
Evenness	↗	(see Fig. 1)	NPK < PK = None

