

# Evapotranspiration mapping from remote sensing data: uncertainties and ensemble estimates based on multimodel-multidata simulations

Albert Olioso, Simon Carrière, Aubin Allies, Hugo Desrutins, José Sobrino, Dražen Skoković, Jérôme Demarty, Gilles Boulet, Marie Weiss, Samuel Buis

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Albert Olioso, Simon Carrière, Aubin Allies, Hugo Desrutins, José Sobrino, et al.. Evapotranspiration mapping from remote sensing data: uncertainties and ensemble estimates based on multimodelmultidata simulations. Recent Advances in Quantitative Remote Sensing (RAQRS), Universitat de Valencia, Sep 2022, Valencia, Spain. hal-04233982

# HAL Id: hal-04233982 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04233982v1

Submitted on 9 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Evapotranspiration mapping from remote sensing data: uncertainties and ensemble estimates based on multimodel-multidata simulations

Albert Olioso<sup>1(2)</sup>, Simon Carrière<sup>2,3</sup>, Aubin Allies<sup>4</sup>, Hugo Desrutin<sup>2</sup>, José Sobrino<sup>5</sup>, Dražen Skoković<sup>5</sup>, Jérôme Demarty<sup>4</sup>, Gilles Boulet<sup>6</sup>, Marie Weiss<sup>2</sup>, Samuel Buis<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INRAE (Mediterranean Forest Ecology Lab, URFM), Avignon, France
<sup>2</sup> INRAE – Avignon Université (Mediterranean Agroecosystem Modeling Lab, EMMAH), Avignon, France
<sup>3</sup> Sorbone University (METIS), Paris, France
<sup>4</sup> IRD (HydroScience Lab, HSM), Montpellier, France
<sup>5</sup> University of Valencia (Global Change Unit), Burjassot, Spain
<sup>6</sup> IRD (CESBIO), Toulouse, France

## Contexte

**ET** is a major component of the hydrological cycle, but

f 
ightarrow in many situations, ET and its evolution are not well known

iglarrow there is a lot of uncertainties in ET monitoring

□ Many models exist, but none looks satisfactory in every situations (season, type of climate, type of surfaces...

 $\rightarrow$  ensemble modelling

Ensemble modelling approaches were developed in various field of research (ex. Climate, hydrology, agronomy...) assuming they are providing an optimal or suboptimal solution

**Work done in the frame of TRISHNA and LSTM mission preparations** 

Evapotranspiration (ET) can be derived using various models based on thermal infrared data



No consensus on a best model => ensemble averaging considering both models and data sources

Many unknowns remain concerning <u>the uncertainties in the derivation of ET</u>, in particular for discriminating uncertainties from input data and models

**Evapotranspiration model : EVASPA (EVapotranspiration Assessment from SPAce)** 



#### **Ensemble calculations**

 $ET_{ensemble} \text{ defined as :} \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} - \text{ median of } ET_i \quad \text{(n ensemble members ~ n individual estimates)} \\ - \text{ weighted average of } ET_i \quad \text{with weighting coefficients : } a_i \end{array} \right.$ 

Computed pixels by pixels : E

$$T_{ensemble} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i ET_i$$

The weighting coefficient  $a_i$  may be set to:

- to 1/n
   a priori values depending on a previous knowledge on the quality of the models
   values depending on the evaluation of each members against in-situ data

### Uncertainty : defined as an indicator of the dispersion of the member values:

- the standard deviation of Eti
- the range

The impact of each input or model uncertainty in the global uncertainty in ET can be derived (one factor at a time variation, anova ...)

## Example 1 : uncertainty analysis, simple averaging

ESA experiment in Grosseto (Italy) in support of the LSTM program : July 2018

Airborne images in the solar and the thermal domains

Various sources for input data :

- incident radiations,
- LAI,
- fCOVER,
- surface temperature...

Various « models » for

- **Ground heat flux**
- Evaporative fraction EF

Agricultural area (~10 X 10 km)











## All together, ~400 000 cases $(ET_i)$

#### Ground heat flux ratio to Rn



## **Example 1 : Uncertainty in Ts:**

several processings were performed mainly differing in the atmospheric profiles used for the atmospheric corrections







Standard deviation of the 4 Ts maps

## Example 1 : Evapotranspiration map

## Average of all the calculations for D2H2

## Novice case – average ET =3.4 mm d<sup>-1</sup>



## Expert case - average ET =2.8 mm d<sup>-1</sup>







**Example 1 : Uncertainty in ET** (mm d<sup>-1</sup>) D2H2 case



#### **Example 1 : Summary**

- uncertainty in ET is large, up to 1.5 mm.d<sup>-1</sup> (for D2H2 and when expressed as standard deviation)
- uncertainty is significantly lower in the expert case than in the novice case
- ranking of uncertainty sources highlights

impact of Ts is low
 largest impacts: evaporative fraction, G/Rn ratio
 model formulations have a larger impact than input data



## **Example 2 : application to Niger with MODIS data : Weighted averaging**



1.55°E

3.15°E

**Evaluation against flux towers and comparison to other ET products** 

See papers by Allies et al. in Remote Sensing 2021 and Journal of Hydrology 2022

#### Example 2 :

EF calculation methods are more or less adapted to each season => a priori definition of the weighting coefficients



(Allies et al. 2021, Remote Sensing)

(Allies et al. 2021, Remote Sensing)

## Example 2 :



## Top : ET maps (average)

Bottom : uncertainty maps (range of estimations  $ET_i$ )





RMSE = 0.5 mm d<sup>-1</sup>

(Allies et al. 2021, Remote Sensing)

## Example 3 : training weighting coefficients using in situ data (« bayesian » model averaging)

ET monitoring in wetlands of the Rhône river delta from MODIS data (sansouire : saltmarsh scrubs in Camargue)



#### EVASPA ->

ensemble members  $ET_i$  calculated for various EF methods and incident radiation sources -> 42 members

3 years dataset (2009 – 2011)

+ 20 year dataset for application in hydrological modelling



## Example 3 : Evapotranspiration estimations compared to in situ data

- MODIS data (Ts, albedo, NDVI)
- several EF models
- several incoming radiation

- 42 estimations of ET











**Example 3 :** Evaluation of ensemble ET and weighted ensemble ET



## Applications to the whole area



Monthly ET obtained over the Crau aquifer (600 km<sup>2</sup>) for MODIS TERRA and MODIS AQUA data :

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Summary

Ensemble modelling applied to multi-data source – multi-model (or algorithm) may be used for:

- monitoring ET
- providing uncertainty in the estimates

(however this uncertainty is only epistemic and does not include estimation errors)

- providing information on the main uncertainty factors:

-in all analysed cases, surface temperature was not the main limitation in ET estimations -for contextual models, the main sources of uncertainty concern algorithm (EF and ground heat flux) -for other models, including aerodynamic equations, meteorological forcing of wind speed and air temperature have also a strong impact (not shown here)

The EVASPA algorithm is a simple algorithm that will be the basis for implementing ET products in the frame of the TRISHNA program

## **Ranking of uncertainty sources**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Uncertainty ranking for D2H1 (July 20<sup>th</sup> morning)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)