

Epigenetic control is involved in molecular dialogue in plant–microbe symbiosis

Sabine D Zimmermann, Isabelle Gaillard

▶ To cite this version:

Sabine D Zimmermann, Isabelle Gaillard. Epigenetic control is involved in molecular dialogue in plant–microbe symbiosis. New Phytologist, 2023, 238 (6), pp.2259-2260. 10.1111/nph.18916 . hal-04235380

HAL Id: hal-04235380 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04235380

Submitted on 20 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Epigenetic control is involved in molecular dialogue in plantmicrobe symbiosis

Journal:	New Phytologist
Manuscript ID	NPH-C-2023-42833
Manuscript Type:	Commentary
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Feb-2023
Complete List of Authors:	Zimmermann, Sabine; CNRS Montpellier, IPSiM, CNRS, INRAE, SupAgro, Univ. Montpellier, Gaillard, Isabelle; CNRS Montpellier, IPSiM, CNRS, INRAE, SupAgro, Univ. Montpellier,
Key Words:	ectomycorrhizal fungus, epigenetic control, host tree, hypomethylation, Laccaria bicolor, mycorrhizal symbiosis, poplar, transposable element



1	Epigenetic control is involved in molecular dialogue in plant-microbe
2	symbiosis
3	
4	Sabine D. Zimmermann*, and Isabelle Gaillard
5	
6	IPSiM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France
7	
8	*Corresponding author: Sabine D. Zimmermann, sabine.zimmermann@cnrs.fr
9	
10	Total word count (main body of the text): 1212
11	Figures: 0
12	Tables: 0
13	References: 15
14	
15	
16	
17	Quote:
18 19	" new insight concerning the effect of a modified epigenetic background of a host plant on its symbiotic relationship with a mycorrhizal fungal partner"

20

Following the huge amount of insight in gene regulation at the transcriptional level 21 by omic expression studies, revealing transcription factors and gene networks, a 22 23 new step of whole genome regulation levels has added again more complexity to our understanding of gene expression control. Indeed, epigenetic processes affect 24 also gene expression without changes in DNA sequences, as the chromatin 25 structure is the molecular target of these mechanisms. In eukaryotes, the most 26 common epigenetic modification is a DNA cytosine methylation that consists in the 27 addition of a methyl group (CH_3) to a cytosine nucleotide. In plants, genomic DNA 28 (gDNA) methylation is an extensively studied epigenetic mark that can occur in 29 three sequence contexts, namely at the sites CG, CHG, and CHH (where H is A, T, 30 C). Moreover, it is known that transposable elements (TEs) are presumed to be 31 densely methylated and are thus inactive. Within last years, molecular 32 mechanisms of DNA methylation have been extensively studied in model plants 33 and recently reviewed (To & Kakutani, 2022; Kakoulidou & Johannes, 2023). 34 Methodological knowhow for such analyses has been remarkably progressed using 35 whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) performed on gDNA for full methylome 36 analyses (Sow et al., 2021). Moreover, machine learning for systematic detection 37 of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) was developed and reported recently 38 (Hüther et al., 2022). However, few data are so far known regarding the link of 39 epigenetics and plant-microbe interactions (Satgé et al., 2016; Ramos-Cruz et al., 40 2021). Regarding the formation of root nodules as new organs within the legume-41 Rhizobium symbiosis, Satgé et al. (2016) identified DNA methylation to play a 42 43 determining role in *Medicago truncatula* nodules. A demethylase gene, called DEMETER (DME), is involved in the regulation of a high number of genes implicated 44 in plant and bacterial cell differentiation required for nodule organogenesis in 45 symbiotic interactions. Later, Ramos-Cruz et al. (2021) have stated that in addition 46 to host genotypes and the environment, also the host epigenotype will determine 47 the outcome of biotic interactions, potentially leading to plant defense, symbiosis 48 or parasitism. In the case of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis, first studies 49 have shown that epigenetic modifications occur in seeds of Geranium sylvaticum 50 or in roots and leaves of *Geranium robertianum* in symbiosis with the AM fungus 51 52 Funneliformis mosseae (Varga & Soulsbury, 2017, 2019). In this issue of New

53 *Phytologist*, Vigneaud *et al*. (pp. 000-000) analyzed gene regulation at the 54 epigenetic level interfering with ectomycorrhizal (ECM) interaction.

55 Here, the Authors gathered new insight concerning the effect of a modified 56 epigenetic background of a host plant on its symbiotic relationship with a 57 mycorrhizal fungal partner.

Vigneaud et al. (2023) took the symbiotic model plant poplar (Populus 58 tremula x Populus alba) and its ECM fungus Laccaria bicolor and used previously 59 produced six independent epigenetic-modified plant lines obtained by 60 overexpression or RNAi. These transgenic poplar lines were two overexpressing-61 lines for the demethylases Demeter-Like DML (OX-dml1 and OX_dml2) and two 62 RNAi lines for the chromatin remodeler *DDM1* (Decreased in DNA Methylation 1). 63 64 DDM1, conserved in animals, yeast, and plants, is known to facilitate methylation by providing access for DNA methyltransferase. A strong decrease of DDM1 65 expression levels in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana resulted in a significant 66 reduction of DNA methylation (Lippman et al., 2004). All these four poplar lines 67 are hypomethylated lines. The two other used poplar mutants were RNAi-mediated 68 hypermethylated DML lines (Sow et al., 2021). The level of DNA methylation of 69 70 the host tree being manipulated in these transgenic plant lines, the authors observed differential gene and TE methylation together with differential gene 71 72 expression, linked to reduced mycorrhization rates only for the hypomethylated lines. Comparison of wild-type poplar with poplar lines with modified DNA 73 methylation levels showed not only differentially methylated plant genes (86) and 74 TEs (288) and, remarkably, differentially methylated fungal genes (120) and TEs 75 (1441), but also that such manipulation will affect in turn mycorrhizal interactions. 76 In fact, the fungus methylome will become altered when the colonizing fungus 77 interacts with poplar hosts with hypomethylated gDNA, suggesting that disturbing 78 the control of poplar methylation will induce also the remodeling of the fungal 79 genomic methylome. Moreover, hypomethylated poplar results in differential gene 80 (205) expression. From these results, the authors suggested poplar gene 81 candidates potentially involved in physiological processes that could be linked to 82 mycorrhizal interaction, as root initiation, immune responses, hormonal pathways 83 (ethylene and jasmonate), or terpenoid metabolism. Such candidates will need 84 further analyses to rule out their specific roles and regulation. 85

The study by Vigneaud *et al.* (2023) concludes that a number of host genes under epigenetic control is involved in the mutualistic interaction highlighting a new level of gene regulation to the rather complex interaction between host plants and microbial symbionts. In the context of ECM symbiosis playing a major role for nutrition, health and stress tolerance of host trees, these new findings increase our knowledge about the tightly regulated crosstalk between symbiotic partners.

92 Interestingly, the impact of DNA methylation for plant genomic immunity has been demonstrated (Kim & Zilberman, 2014; Hannan Parker et al., 2022; 93 Huang & Jin, 2022). Plants have evolved sophisticated and tightly regulated 94 methylation and demethylation pathways to protect their genes against damage 95 by transposon invasions (Kim & Zilberman, 2014). In response to biotic stress, 96 epigenetic regulation, including DNA methylation, histone and chromatin structure 97 modifications, has been reported to be involved in plant immunity against 98 interacting pathogens (Huang & Jin, 2022). As plants as sessile organisms without 99 specialized cells for immune responses need to tolerate and resist a number of 100 abiotic and biotic stress conditions, among them pathogen attacks, these 101 epigenetic regulation tools are of high importance for survival and adaptation. 102 Moreover, epigenetic modifications can be heritable and thus contribute to the 103 defense priming and transgenerational memory. In fact, biotic stress will alter DNA 104 methylation and modification of the epigenetic regulation would affect resistance 105 to plant diseases (Hannan Parker et al., 2022). Research findings in this field have 106 107 clearly demonstrated a link between plant immunity and TE hypomethylation (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2019). 108

As beneficial plant-microbe interactions occur at a border of plant defense 109 and establishment of symbiotic relations, it could be expected that a tight 110 epigenetic control between host and symbiont would also be involved and needed 111 in this process. Moreover, comparison between beneficial symbiotic interactions 112 and pathogenic attacks might give further clues on the specific impact of epigenetic 113 control between "friend and foe". In perspective, a comparison of epigenetically 114 regulated plant genes as reported in the context of drought stress (Sow et al., 115 2021) without and with the fungal ectomycorrhizal partner in the wild-type 116 117 situation would be interesting to advance the knowledge on the reciprocal crosstalk between both symbiotic partners. In addition, an interesting open question is 118

whether epigenetic regulatory mechanisms might be involved in induced systemic
resistance (ISR) by beneficial microbes (Pieterse *et al.*, 2014).

Altogether, Vigneaud et al. (2023) convincingly and nicely demonstrated 121 122 with their contribution the impact of the host plant epigenetic machinery on the interaction with a beneficial ectomycorrhizal fungus. A series of further challenging 123 questions concerning the crosstalk between host plant and beneficial microbes, the 124 125 specificity of beneficial interactions compared to pathogen attacks, and the involvement of epigenetic regulation in reported ISR might be raised in future 126 research. Finally, more generally, these questions will become important to master 127 plant-microbe interactions, priming of defense and/or better use of beneficial 128 associations. 129

130

131 References

Hannan Parker A, Wilkinson SW, Ton J. 2022. Epigenetics: a catalyst of plant
immunity against pathogens. *New Phytologist* 233: 66-83.
https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17699

Huang C-Y, Jin H. 2022. Coordinated epigenetic regulation in plants: a potent
managerial tool to conquer biotic stress. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12: 795274.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.795274

Hüther P, Hagmann J, Nunn A, Kakoulidou I, Pisupati R, Langenberger D,
Weigel D, Johannes F, Schultheiss SJ, Becker C. 2022. MethylScore, a
pipeline for accurate and context-aware identification of differentially methylated
regions from population-scale plant whole-genome bisulfite sequencing data. *Quantitative Plant Biology* 3: E19. https://doi.org/10.1017/qpb.2022.14

Kakoulidou I, Johannes F. 2023. DNA methylation remodeling in F1 hybrids. *Plant Journal* in press. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.16137

145 Kim MY, Zilberman D. 2014. DNA methylation as a system of plant genomic
146 immunity. *Systems Biology* 19: 320-326.
147 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2014.01.014

Lippman Z, Gendrel AV, Black M, Vaughn MW, Dedhia N, McCombie WR,
Lavine K, Mittal V, May B, Kasschau KD et al. 2004. Role of transposable

- 150 elements in heterochromatin and epigenetic control. *Nature* **430**: 471–476.
- 151 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02651
- 152 Pieterse CMJ, Zamioudis C, Berendsen RL, Weller DM, Van Wees SCM,

Bakker PAHM. 2014. Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 52: 347-375. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto082712-102340

- Ramos-Cruz D, Troyee AN, Becker C. 2021. Epigenetics in plant organismic
 interactions. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 61: 102060.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2021.102060
- Satgé C, Moreau S, Sallet E, Lefort G, Auriac M-C, Remblière C, Cottret L,
 Gallardo K, Noirot C, Jardinaud M-F et al. 2016. Reprogramming of DNA
 methylation is critical for nodule development in *Medicago truncatula*. *Nature Plants* 2: 16166. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2016.166
- Sow MD, Le Gac A-L, Fichot R, Lanciano S, Delaunay A, Le Jan I, LesageDescauses M-C, Citerne S, Caius J, Brunaud V et al. 2021. RNAi suppression
 of DNA methylation affects the drought stress response and genome integrity in
 transgenic poplar. *New Phytologist* 232: 80–97.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17555
- To TK, Kakutani T. 2022. Crosstalk among pathways to generate DNA
 methylome. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 68: 102248.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2022.102248
- Varga S, Soulsbury CD. 2017. Paternal arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal status
 affects DNA methylation in seeds. *Biology Letters* 13: 20170407. DOI:
 10.1098/rsbl.2017.0407
- Varga S, Soulsbury CD. 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi change host plant
 DNA methylation systemically. *Plant Biology* 21: 278.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12917
- Vigneaud J, Kohler A, Sow MD, Delaunay A Fauchery L, Guinet F, Daviaud
 C, Barry K, Keymanesh K, Johnson J et al. 2023. DNA hypomethylation of the
 host tree impairs interaction with mutualistic ectomycorrhizal fungus. *New Phytologist* ##: 000-000.

Wilkinson SW, Magerøy MH, López Sánchez A, Smith LM, Furci L, Cotton 181 TEA, Krokene P, Ton J. 2019. Surviving in a hostile world: plant strategies to 182 resist pests and diseases. Annual Review of Phytopathology 57: 505-529. 183 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-082718-095959 184

- 185
- 186
- 187

188 **Key words**

- ectomycorrhizal fungus, epigenetic control, host tree, hypomethylation, Laccaria 189
- bicolor, mycorrhizal symbiosis, poplar, transposable element 190

, is, pc,