

Digestate application and cropping system changes associated with biogas plant development. Variable effects on soil health depending on the baseline

Florent Levavasseur, Léa Boros, Sabine Houot

► To cite this version:

Florent Levavasseur, Léa Boros, Sabine Houot. Digestate application and cropping system changes associated with biogas plant development. Variable effects on soil health depending on the baseline. European Biogas Conference 2023, Oct 2023, Brussels, Belgium. hal-04262809

HAL Id: hal-04262809 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04262809v1

Submitted on 27 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. European Biomethane Week: European Biogas Conference 2023 Breakout session 3: Fostering soil health with sustainable biogas systems Wednesday 25 October 2023, Brussels

Digestate application and cropping system changes associated with biogas plant development Variable effects on soil health depending on the baseline Florent Levavasseur, Léa Boros, Sabine Houot INRAE, UMR ECOSYS, Palaiseau, France







Introduction

- Digestate = residual fraction of digested biomass in a biogas plant
- Variable digestate characteristics depending on the biomass digested and the considered fraction (raw, liquid, solid) (Guilayn et al., 2019)
- Digestate contains organic matter & nutrients

 \rightarrow potential to increase soil organic matter and soil biological and physical properties

- \rightarrow potential to replace mineral fertilizers (non-renewable resources)
- Digestate application is subject to nutrient losses like any fertilizers
- Effects a priori depend on cropping practices (rate, period...)



AD & soil organic matter

• "Loss" of labile organic matter in the biogas plant ($CH_4 + CO_2$) \rightarrow less organic matter returned to soil

Increased stability of digested organic matter returned to soil

AD & soil organic matter

- Loss" of labile organic matter in the biogas plant ($CH_4 + CO_2$) \rightarrow less organic matter returned to soil
 - Increased stability of digested organic matter returned to soil

Without the introduction of additional biomass in AD: Stable to slight \u00dd of soil organic matter

(Levavasseur et al. 2023, Moinard, 2021, Thomsen et al., 2013, Wentzel et al., 2015)



AD & soil organic matter

- Loss" of labile organic matter in the biogas plant ($CH_4 + CO_2$) \rightarrow less organic matter returned to soil
 - Increased stability of digested organic matter returned to soil

Without the introduction of additional biomass in AD: Stable to slight \u00dd of soil organic matter

(Levavasseur et al. 2023, Moinard, 2021, Thomsen et al., 2013, Wentzel et al., 2015)

Introduction of more biomass in the biogas plant (e.g., food wastes) and/or increased production of cover crop biomass for AD: Slight ↗ of soil organic matter

(Levavasseur et al. 2023, Moinard, 2021)

Relative C storage after 20 years

(*Moinard*, 2021)



Raw cattle manure

Digested cattle manure

Digested cattle manure + imported organic wastes

AD, soil nutrient supply and losses

• Nutrient supply:

- Higher N fertilizer value compared to undigested biomass, but usually lower compared to mineral fertilizer (Gutser et al., 2005)
- A fertilizer savings (N, P, K...) with A imports of organic wastes in the biogas plant with A risks of excess nutrients at farm scale (Moinard, 2021, Möller & Müller, 2012)
- Potential competition for N between cover crops grown for AD and main crops (Launay et al., 2022)



AD, soil nutrient supply and losses

• Nutrient supply:

- Higher N fertilizer value compared to undigested biomass, but usually lower compared to mineral fertilizer (Gutser et al., 2005)
- A fertilizer savings (N, P, K...) with A imports of organic wastes in the biogas plant with A risks of excess nutrients at farm scale (Moinard, 2021, Möller & Müller, 2012)
- Potential competition for N between cover crops grown for AD and main crops (Launay et al., 2022)

Nutrient losses:

- Ammonia volatilization: contrasted effects depending on digestate dry matter, pH and N mineralization (Pedersen & Hafner, 2023) → may be an important issue to limit
- Nitrate leaching: limited effect if digestate <u>is used properly (right period and rate)</u>, while cover crops grown for AD may limit nitrate leaching (Launay et al., 2022)
- N₂O emissions: no clear effects (Launay et al., 2022)



AD, soil biology, physical properties and soil contamination

- Limited studies about the effect of digestates on soil biology (Karimi et al., 2022, van Midden et al., 2023)
 - Contrasting effects depending on the type of digestates, the control (inorganic / undigested organic fertilizer), the considered species...
 - Less stimulating effect than undisgeted biomass?
 - Some temporary toxic effects on fauna observed related to NH₃

AD, soil biology, physical properties and soil contamination

- Limited studies about the effect of digestates on soil biology (Karimi et al., 2022, van Midden et al., 2023)
 - Contrasting effects depending on the type of digestates, the control (inorganic / undigested organic fertilizer), the considered species...
 - Less stimulating effect than undisgeted biomass?
 - Some temporary toxic effects on fauna observed related to NH₃
- Limited and contrasting results on soil aggregate stability (Cooke, 2023)
- ¬ risk of soil compaction with digestate
 application compared to mineral fertilizer
 application (Lantz and Börjesson, 2014) → use of specific
 machinery
- Soil contamination: similar issues than with undigested biomass



Umbilical spreading www.bioenergie-promotion.fr

AD & changes in cropping systems

(PhD Léa Boros, ongoing work)

• Example of changes observed with AD based on energy cover crop



AD & changes in cropping systems

• Example of changes observed with AD based on energy cover crop



- Changes in crop rotation, competition with food / feed, ↗ soil cover
- Changes in fertilization, pesticide use, irrigation, soil tillage...
- → Effects on soil health ? Environmental balance ? Climate change-resilience ? 6/7

Take-home message

- Imported biomass in the biogas plant cause the main effects of AD: increased soil organic matter, fertilizer savings...
- Relative effects of AD depend on the "control" situation : no fertilization, mineral or organic fertilization
- Changes in cropping systems and their impacts deserve to be better studied

Thanks for your attention

- Cooke, J. (2023). Study and prediction of the impact of anaerobic digestion process parameters on the composition of digestates, and their effect on the structural stability of soils. PhD thesis. L'Institut Agro Rennes Angers.
- Guilayn, F., Jimenez, J., Rouez, M., Crest, M., & Patureau, D. (2019). Digestate mechanical separation: Efficiency profiles based on anaerobic digestion feedstock and equipment choice. Bioresource Technology, 274, 180–189. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.11.090</u>
- Gutser, R., Ebertseder, Th., Weber, A., Schraml, M., & Schmidhalter, U. (2005). Short-term and residual availability of nitrogen after long-term application of
 organic fertilizers on arable land. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 168(4), 439–446. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200520510</u>
- Karimi, B., Sadet-Bourgeteau, S., Cannavacciuolo, M., Chauvin, C., Flamin, C., Haumont, A., Jean-Baptiste, V., Reibel, A., Vrignaud, G., & Ranjard, L. (2022). Impact
 of biogas digestates on soil microbiota in agriculture: A review. Environmental Chemistry Letters. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-022-01451-8</u>
- Lantz, M., & Börjesson, P. (2014). Greenhouse gas and energyassessment of the biogas from co-digestion injected into the natural gas grid: A Swedish case-study including effects on soil properties. Renewable Energy, 71, 387–395. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.05.048</u>
- Launay, C., Houot, S., Frédéric, S., Girault, R., Levavasseur, F., Marsac, S., & Constantin, J. (2022). Incorporating energy cover crops for biogas production into agricultural systems: Benefits and environmental impacts. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 42(4), 57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-022-00790-8</u>
- Levavasseur, F., Kouakou, P. K., Constantin, J., Cresson, R., Ferchaud, F., Girault, R., Jean-Baptiste, V., Lagrange, H., Marsac, S., Pellerin, S., & Houot, S. (2023). Energy cover crops for biogas production increase soil organic carbon stocks: A modeling approach. GCB Bioenergy, 15(2), 224–238. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.13018</u>
- Moinard, V. (2021). Conséquences de l'introduction de la méthanisation dans une exploitation de polyculture-élevage sur les cycles du carbone et de l'azote. Combinaison de l'expérimentation et de la modélisation à l'échelle de la ferme [Phdthesis, Université Paris-Saclay]. <u>https://pastel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-03485490</u>
- Möller, K., & Müller, T. (2012). Effects of anaerobic digestion on digestate nutrient availability and crop growth: A review. Engineering in Life Sciences, 12(3), 242–257. https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201100085
- Pedersen, J., & Hafner, S. D. (2023). Ammonia emissions after field application of anaerobically digested animal slurry: Literature review and perspectives. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 357, 108697. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2023.108697</u>
- Thomsen, I. K., Olesen, J. E., Møller, H. B., Sørensen, P., & Christensen, B. T. (2013). Carbon dynamics and retention in soil after anaerobic digestion of dairy cattle feed and faeces. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 58, 82–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.006
- van Midden, C., Harris, J., Shaw, L., Sizmur, T., & Pawlett, M. (2023). The impact of anaerobic digestate on soil life: A review. Applied Soil Ecology, 191, 105066. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2023.105066</u>
- Wentzel, S., Schmidt, R., Piepho, H.-P., Semmler-Busch, U., & Joergensen, R. G. (2015). Response of soil fertility indices to long-term application of biogas and raw slurry under organic farming. Applied Soil Ecology, 96, 99–107. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.06.015</u>