

TYMV and TRV infect Arabidopsis thaliana by expressing weak suppressors of RNA silencing and inducing host RNASE THREE LIKE1

Hayat Sehki, Agnès Yu, Taline Elmayan, Hervé Vaucheret

► To cite this version:

Hayat Sehki, Agnès Yu, Taline Elmayan, Hervé Vaucheret. TYMV and TRV infect Arabidopsis thaliana by expressing weak suppressors of RNA silencing and inducing host RNASE THREE LIKE1. PLoS Pathogens, 2023, 19 (1), pp.e1010482. 10.1371/journal.ppat.1010482 . hal-04301615

HAL Id: hal-04301615 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04301615

Submitted on 18 Mar 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Sehki H, Yu A, Elmayan T, Vaucheret H (2023) TYMV and TRV infect *Arabidopsis thaliana* by expressing weak suppressors of RNA silencing and inducing host RNASE THREE LIKE1. PLoS Pathog 19(1): e1010482. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.ppat.1010482

Editor: XianBing Wang, China Agricultural University, CHINA

Received: March 30, 2022

Accepted: January 10, 2023

Published: January 25, 2023

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010482

Copyright: © 2023 Sehki et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its <u>Supporting</u> information files.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

TYMV and TRV infect *Arabidopsis thaliana* by expressing weak suppressors of RNA silencing and inducing host RNASE THREE LIKE1

Hayat Sehki^{1,2}, Agnès Yu¹, Taline Elmayan¹, Hervé Vaucheret¹*

1 Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, Versailles, France,

2 Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France

* herve.vaucheret@inrae.fr

Abstract

Post-Transcriptional Gene Silencing (PTGS) is a defense mechanism that targets invading nucleic acids of endogenous (transposons) or exogenous (pathogens, transgenes) origins. During plant infection by viruses, virus-derived primary siRNAs target viral RNAs, resulting in both destruction of single-stranded viral RNAs (execution step) and production of secondary siRNAs (amplification step), which maximizes the plant defense. As a counter-defense, viruses express proteins referred to as Viral Suppressor of RNA silencing (VSR). Some viruses express VSRs that totally inhibit PTGS, whereas other viruses express VSRs that have limited effect. Here we show that infection with the Turnip yellow mosaic virus (TYMV) is enhanced in Arabidopsis ago1, ago2 and dcl4 mutants, which are impaired in the execution of PTGS, but not in dcl2, rdr1 and rdr6 mutants, which are impaired in the amplification of PTGS. Consistently, we show that the TYMV VSR P69 localizes in siRNA-bodies, which are the site of production of secondary siRNAs, and limits PTGS amplification. Moreover, TYMV induces the production of the host enzyme RNASE THREE-LIKE 1 (RTL1) to further reduce siRNA accumulation. Infection with the Tobacco rattle virus (TRV), which also encodes a VSR limiting PTGS amplification, induces RTL1 as well to reduce siRNA accumulation and promote infection. Together, these results suggest that RTL1 could be considered as a host susceptibility gene that is induced by viruses as a strategy to further limit the plant PTGS defense when VSRs are insufficient.

Author summary

RNA silencing is a conserved defense mechanism directed against viruses in various eukaryotic kingdoms. As a counter-defense, viruses generally express proteins referred to as viral suppressor of RNA silencing (VSR), which promote infection by inhibiting one or the other component of the RNA silencing machinery. So far, most of the work on VSRs has concentrated on those that strongly inhibit RNA silencing, causing severe infections and plant death. However, situations where VSRs only partially inhibit RNA silencing could be considered as advantageous for both partners of the infection because infected plants survive, flower and produce seeds despite virus multiplication. In this study, we

Funding: This work was supported in part by a grant from the French National Research Agency (reference ANR-20-CE12-0025-03) to HV. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

show that *Turnip yellow mosaic virus* (TYMV) encodes a weak VSR, P69, which partially inhibits the amplification but not the execution of RNA silencing. In addition, TYMV induces the expression of the endogenous enzyme RNASE THREE-LIKE 1 (RTL1) to further reduce siRNA accumulation. Similarly, *Tobacco rattle virus* (TRV), which also encodes a weak VSR, induces RTL1 to reduce siRNA accumulation and promote infection. We propose that the limited effect of some VSRs on RNA silencing together with the ability of the corresponding viruses to induce the host RTL1 results in a tight balance between virus propagation and plant development, allowing a virus to propagate without killing its host. In the light of these results, RTL1 could be considered as a susceptibility gene induced by viruses encoding weak VSRs.

Introduction

As sessile organisms, plants have developed adaptive mechanisms to rapidly cope with biotic (herbivores, pathogens) or abiotic (light, temperature, nutrient, water. . .) stresses in a fluctuating environment. In the case of pathogen attacks, plants have co-evolved with their pathogens and have put in place a large set of tools to fight against the intruder. One layer of this complex defense involves a process called Post-Transcriptional Gene Silencing (PTGS) [1–3].

Most plant virus belongs to class IV, i.e. their genetic material consists in single-strand RNA (ssRNA) molecule(s). These viruses replicate using their own RNA-dependent RNA Polymerase (RdRP) to form a double-strand RNA (dsRNA) intermediate. In some cases, viral dsRNA can also result from the partial folding of viral ssRNA. Viruses also sometimes produce ssRNA that differ from endogenous mRNA in their structure, lacking either a cap or a polyA tail. Recognized as aberrant RNAs (abRNA), they should be degraded by the cellular RNA quality control (RQC) pathway. However, their amount is probably excessive for the RQC capacity, allowing their transformation into dsRNA by cellular RNA-dependent RNA POLY-MERASE (RDR) enzymes, in particular RDR6, which exhibit high affinity for these types of abRNAs. In any case, viral dsRNA molecules are perfect substrates for plant RNase type III enzymes called DICER-LIKE (DCL). In particular, DCL4 and DCL2 cut viral dsRNA into 21and 22-nt small interfering RNA (siRNA) duplexes, respectively [4-6]. Those duplexes are methylated by HEN1 in their overhang 3' extremities to protect it from uridylation and degradation. Viral siRNA duplexes are loaded onto proteins from the ARGONAUTE (AGO) family, mostly AGO1, but also AGO2, AGO5, AGO7 and AGO10 [7]. The passenger strand of the siRNA duplex is cleaved and eliminated, allowing the annealing of the guide siRNA strand with complementary viral ssRNA molecules and their cleavage owing to the RNaseH activity of AGO proteins. After cleavage by AGO/siRNA complexes, viral ssRNA fragments are degraded by exonucleases. In addition, RNAs targeted by an AGO1/22-nt siRNA complex can attract components of the PTGS amplification machinery, including RDR6, SDE5 and SGS3, allowing their transformation into dsRNA, leading to the production of secondary siRNAs and their subsequent loading onto AGO proteins, which maximizes the elimination of viral RNAs from the plant cell [8-10].

Whereas the PTGS process should eliminate every virus by producing siRNAs from viral dsRNA and returning them against viral ssRNA, examples of plants that actually recover from virus infection are scarce [1]. In fact, most viruses succeed in infecting plants because they encode proteins called VSR, which have the capacity to inhibit PTGS at one or the other step

[2,3,11]. Depending on which PTGS component is targeted by a VSR, PTGS is more or less inhibited, resulting in variable amounts of viruses and symptoms that range between severe and mild. For example, the HC-Pro protein produced by the Turnip mosaic virus (TuMV, a member of the Potyviridae family) or the P19 protein produced by the Tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV, a member of the Tombusviridae family) sequester siRNAs, thus preventing their loading onto AGO proteins [2,3,11]. P38, the VSR encoded by the *Turnip crinckle virus* (TCV, another member of the Tombusviridae family) or 2b, the VSR encoded by the Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV, a member of the Bromoviridae family), inactivate AGO1 activity [2,3,11]. As a result, CMV, TBSV, TCV and TuMV cause severe symptoms because their VSRs totally block the execution of PTGS. Consistently, mutants impaired in PTGS are as sensitive as wildtype plants to infection by such viruses because PTGS is totally inefficient at counteracting these viruses [10,12-14]. On the other hand, some viruses cause mild symptoms, for example Turnip yellow mosaic virus (TYMV), a member of the Tymoviridae family, or Tobacco rattle virus (TRV), a member of the Virgaviridae family, suggesting that PTGS is active against such virus. Consistently, mutants impaired in PTGS accumulate more TRV or TYMV RNAs than wild-type plants [15,16]. The fact that PTGS is active against these viruses was explained by the incapacity of their VSRs to totally suppress PTGS. In the case of TRV, its VSR, called 16K, was shown to only affect the amplification step of PTGS [17]. However, in the case of TYMV, the effect of its VSR, called P69, was not fully understood. One report [18] revealed that P69 inhibits PTGS induced by sense transgenes (S-PTGS) but not PTGS induced by inverted-repeat transgenes (IR-PTGS). These authors also reported that P69 provokes the accumulation of miRNA guide and passenger strands, leading them to propose a mechanism for viral virulence based on miRNA-guided inhibition of host gene expression. Here we investigated further the effect of P69 on PTGS and found that P69 localizes in siRNA-bodies, where it partially inhibits the production of secondary siRNAs, thus limiting PTGS amplification. We also show that TYMV reinforces the limitation of PTGS amplification by inducing the expression of the endogenous enzyme RNASE THREE-LIKE1 (RTL1), which degrades dsRNA precursors of siRNA [19]. Similarly, TRV, which VSR 16K only affects the amplification step of PTGS [17], induces RTL1, which further contributes to limiting the accumulation of antiviral siRNAs. RTL1 could therefore be considered as a susceptibility gene induced by viruses that have limited effect of the plant PTGS defense.

Results

Identification of PTGS mutations that aggravate symptoms of TYMV infection

A previous study revealed that *dcl4* mutants infected with TYMV exhibit aggravated symptoms and accumulate twice as much viral RNA compared to wild-type plants, suggesting that TYMV RNAs are targeted by PTGS [16]. This result was somehow surprising considering that previous analyses revealed that *dcl2 dcl4* double mutants but not *dcl2* or *dcl4* single mutants were more susceptible to VSR-deficient CMV and TuMV [1–4]. Moreover, aggravated symptoms and increased viral RNA levels were also observed in *ago1 ago2* and *rdr1 rdr6* doubles mutants but not in the corresponding single mutants infected with VSR-deficient CMV and TuMV [1–4]. Therefore, to further decipher which PTGS components play a role in anti-TYMV PTGS, a series of mutants was infected by TYMV. At first, *ago1, ago2, dcl2, dcl4, rdr1* and *rdr6* single mutants were infected. *ago1, ago2* and *dcl4*, but not *dcl2, rdr1*, and *rdr6* exhibited aggravated symptoms, *i.e.* reduced growth and leaf yellowing, when compared with infected Col (Fig 1). Then, *ago1 ago2, dcl2 dcl4* and *rdr1 rdr6* doubles mutants were infected. Symptoms were aggravated in *ago1 ago2* compared to *ago1* and *ago2*, but symptoms were



Fig 1. The plant PTGS defense limits TYMV infection through the action of AGO1, AGO2 and DCL4. Pictures of mock- and TYMV-infected plants of the indicated genotypes three weeks after infection with TYMV. Plants were grown under short day conditions. The growth curves show the temporal change of rosette area (averaged by four plants, +/-SE) in mock- and TYMV-infected plants from one week pre-inoculation to three weeks post-inoculation. dpg: days post-germination.

unchanged in *dcl2 dcl4* compared to *dcl4*, and in *rdr1 rdr6* compared to Col, *rdr1* and *rdr6* (Fig 1). Quantification of viral RNA confirmed that AGO1, AGO2 and DCL4 contribute to limiting viral RNA levels (S1 Fig). Given that AGO1, AGO2 and DCL4 are sufficient to execute PTGS using primary siRNAs, whereas DCL2, RDR1 and RDR6 are necessary for the amplification of

PTGS, these results suggest that TYMV infection is limited by the action of DCL4-dependent primary siRNAs that are loaded onto AGO1 and AGO2 to execute PTGS. The corollary to this hypothesis is that TYMV eventually infects successfully plants because it inhibits the amplification step of PTGS, making the action of primary siRNAs insufficient to completely prevent infection.

TYMV inhibits the amplification step of PTGS

To further challenge the hypothesis that TYMV inhibits the amplification step of PTGS, several transgenic lines were infected by TYMV. Lines L1 and 6b4 carry the same p35S:GUS*tRbcS* transgene. Line *L1* spontaneously undergoes a form of PTGS referred to as S-PTGS for sense-transgene induced PTGS. On the other hand, line 6b4 stably expresses GUS mRNA. However, the 6b4 locus is prone to trigger S-PTGS. Indeed, 6b4 ski3, 6b4 xrn4 and 6b4 vcs lines, in which RQC is impaired in either the exosome, XRN or decapping function, trigger S-PTGS [20–22]. This indicates that, in a wild-type background, the aberrant RNAs produced by the 6b4 locus are degraded by RQC, but that these aberrant RNAs can be transformed into dsRNA when RQC is not actively degrading them. Silencing of the p35S:GUS-tRbcS transgene carried by the 6b4 locus can also be achieved when the 6b4 locus is brought into the presence of the 306 locus carrying a p35S:hpG transgene. The 306 locus [23] produces an hairpin RNA made of the 5' of the GUS sequence followed by the 3' end of the GUS sequence, itself followed by the 5' of the GUS sequence in reverse orientation (Fig 2A). The 306 locus directly produces a dsRNA that is transformed into siRNA by DCL2 and DCL4 without the requirement of any RDR, resulting in the destruction of GUS mRNA produced by the p35S:GUS-tRbcS transgene of the 6b4 locus. This form of PTGS is referred to as IR-PTGS for inverted repeat-induced PTGS. In wild-type plants, amplification also occurs through RDR6 activity, resulting in the production of secondary siRNAs from the 231 bp fragment of the GUS sequence that is present in the 6b4 locus but not in the 306 locus. The production of these secondary siRNAs through PTGS amplification is abolished in rdr6 mutants, but this does not compromise efficient IR-PTGS of the 6b4 locus because enough primary siRNAs are produced from the 306 locus [23,24].

Silenced lines *L1*, *6b4 ski3*, *6b4 xrn4*, *6b4 vcs* and *6b4-306* were infected with TYMV to determine the impact of TYMV on S-PTGS and IR-PTGS. GUS activity was observed in *L1*, *6b4 ski3*, *6b4 xrn4* and *6b4 vcs*, but not *6b4-306* plants (Fig 2B and 2C), suggesting that TYMV inhibits S-PTGS, which is amplification-dependent, but not IR-PTGS, which is amplification-independent, confirming previous results obtained using different reporters [18].

Given that GUS activity was lower in *L1*-infected plants than in *6b4 ski3-, 6b4 xrn4-* or *6b4 vcs*-infected plants, we asked whether TYMV-induced suppression of S-PTGS could be more efficient when RQC is abolished. To test this hypothesis, plants carrying the *L1* locus in RQC-deficient mutant backgrounds (*ski3* and *vcs*) were infected with TYMV. GUS activity was similar in infected *L1, L1 ski3* and *L1 vcs* (Fig 2C), indicating that TYMV-induced suppression of S-PTGS occurs independently of RQC. Therefore, the stronger suppressing effect of TYMV observed in *6b4 ski3, 6b4 xrn4, 6b4 vcs*, compared to *L1*, is more likely due to the fact that S-PTGS is less efficient in *6b4 ski3, 6b4 xrn4* and *6b4 vcs* than in *L1* [20,22] (Moreno et al, 2013), and thus easier to inhibit.

The TYMV VSR P69 inhibits the amplification step of PTGS

The TYMV genome encodes four proteins, among which P69 appears necessary for cell-tocell movement of the virus [25] and for suppression of *p35S:GUS* S-PTGS [18]. However, the experiments originally performed by Chen et al., 2004 were conducted using a *p35S:P69*



Fig 2. TYMV inhibits S-PTGS but not IR-PTGS. A) Scheme of the *p355:GUS* and *p355:hpG* in *6b4* and *6b4-306* transgenic lines. Probes used for northern blot revelation are indicated. **B) and C)** GUS activity in transgenic Arabidopsis lines infected with TYMV. Plants were grown in long day conditions. GUS activity was measured three weeks after infection and is expressed in arbitrary unity of fluorescence/ug of protein/minutes. 3 stars indicate a student test with a significant level <0.01 between mock and infected plants.



Fig 3. The TYMV protein P69 inhibits S-PTGS but not IR-PTGS. GUS activity in control or transgenic lines transformed with a *pUBQ10:P69* or *p35S:P69* construct. GUS activity is expressed in an arbitrary unit of fluorescence/ µg of protein/min. 3 stars indicate a student test with a significant level <0.01 and 2 stars <0.05 between WT and transformed plants.

construct that probably produces P69 above the level existing in TYMV-infected plants. Therefore, lines *6b4 ski3*, *6b4 xrn4* and *6b4-306* were transformed with either a *p35S:P69* or a *pUBQ10:P69* construct. None of the *6b4-306/p35S:P69* or *6b4-306/pUBQ10:P69* plants exhibited GUS activity (Fig 3). In contrast, GUS activity was observed in most *6b4 ski3/p35S:P69*, *6b4 ski3/pUBQ10:P69*, *6b4 xrn4/p35S:P69* and *6b4 xrn4/pUBQ10:P69* plants, indicating that P69 suppresses S-PTGS. To confirm that P69 has no effect on IR-PTGS, the *pUBQ10:P69* and *p35S:P69* transformants expressing the most *P69*, chosen as the *6b4 ski3/pUBQ10:P69* and *6b4 xrn4/p35S:P69* transformants exhibiting the highest GUS activity, were either selfed or crossed to *6b4-306* and GUS activity was measured in F1 plants. GUS activity was observed in selfed *6b4 ski3/pUBQ10:P69* and *6b4 xrn4/p35S:P69* plants but not in *6b4-306* x *6b4 ski3/pUBQ10:P69* and *6b4 xrn4/p35S:P69* plants, confirming that P69 inhibits amplification-independent IR-PTGS.

The TYMV VSR P69 likely inhibits the production of dsRNA, not its dicing

S-PTGS amplification not only requires the action of an RDR to produce dsRNA, but also depends on the action of DCL2. Indeed, DCL2-dependent 22-nt siRNAs, but not DCL4-dependent 21-nt siRNAs, promote the transformation of targeted ssRNA into dsRNA by RDR6 and the production of secondary siRNAs [8,9,26]. The fact that *dcl2* and *rdr6* are not more susceptible to TYMV than Col indicates that the amplification step is targeted by P69, however it does not say if it is the production of dsRNA or their dicing by DCL2 that is affected by P69. To resolve this question, we examined the accumulation of endogenous siRNAs, which depend either on DCL2 or RDR6 for their production. At first, we examined the accumulation *TAS3* ta-siRNAs, which depend on RDR6 but not DCL2 for their production because it is not

initiated by a cut mediated by a DCL2-dependent 22-nt siRNAs but by a miRNA called miR390. As a result, *rdr6* but not *dcl2* mutants exhibit downward curling of the leaf margin [27]. *pUBQ10:P69* plants also exhibit downward curling of the leaf margins (Fig 4A), and northern blot analysis confirmed that *TAS3* ta-siRNAs accumulate at lower level in *pUBQ10: P69* plants compared to wild-type plants, whereas miR390 level remained unchanged (Fig 4B). Then, we examined the accumulation of the endogenous *IR71* 22-nt siRNAs. Their production requires DCL2 but not RDR6 because *IR71* dsRNA is made by internal folding of a long ssRNA. *IR71* 22-nt siRNAs accumulated at similar level in *pUBQ10:P69* and wild-type plants (Fig 4B), indicating that DCL2 action is not impaired by P69.

To confirm that P69 inhibits the RDR6-dependent amplification step, we took advantage of the *6b4-306* line. In this line, the production of secondary siRNAs from the 231 bp fragment of the *GUS* sequence that is present in the *6b4* locus but not the *306* locus (Fig 2A) depends on RDR6 [23]. In *6b4-306/pUBQ10:P69* and *6b4-306/p35S:P69* plants, IR-PTGS is not abolished due to the action of primary siRNAs produced from the *306* locus (Fig 2B), but the production of secondary siRNAs is reduced in *pUBQ10:P69* plants (Fig 4B) and abolished in *p35S:P69* plants (Fig 4C), confirming that the amplification, but not the execution, of S-PTGS is impaired by P69.

The TYMV VSR P69 localizes in siRNA-bodies where actors of siRNA amplification reside

RDR6 and SGS3, the two major components of S-PTGS amplification, reside in cytoplasmic foci called siRNA-bodies (SB). These foci are very small and almost impossible to detect under normal conditions. However, after heat stress or osmotic stress, larger foci start to appear [21,28–31]. These larger SB resemble Stress Granules (SG), which consist in ribonucleoprotein complexes where mRNAs are stored during stress [32]. After stress, RDR6 and SGS3 colocalize with POLYADENYLATE-BINDING 2 (PAB2), a typical SG marker, suggesting either that SG derive from SB or that SB fuse to SG during stress [21,30].

To get further insight on how P69 inhibits S-PTGS amplification, P69 sub-cellular localization was examined. For this purpose, *pUBQ10:P69-GFP* and *pUBQ10:GFP-P69* constructs were generated and introduced into tobacco leaves by agro-infiltration. A dual cytoplasmic and nuclear localization was observed (Fig 5A), confirming previous results obtained by introduction of a *p35S:P69-GFP* construct into protoplasts [33]. Then, stable *Arabidopsis* transformants carrying *pUBQ10:P69-GFP* were produced. Confocal analyses of roots revealed that these transformants exhibit a diffuse cytoplasmic GFP signal but no clear nuclear signal (Fig 5B). After stress, the GFP signal was observed in foci that resemble SB and SG (Fig 5B).

To determine if P69 localizes in SB and/or SG, *pUBQ10:P69-GFP* plants were crossed to *p35S:SGS3-mCherry* and *pPAB2:PAB2-RFP* plants. Confocal analyses of untreated roots revealed diffuse cytoplasmic GFP, mCherry and RFP signals. However, after heat stress, GFP and mCherry signals colocalized in large foci in *pUBQ10:P69-GFP* x *p35S:SGS3-mCherry* plants, and GFP and RFP signals colocalized in large foci in *pUBQ10:P69-GFP* x *pPAB2: PAB2-RFP* plants (Fig 5B), strongly suggesting that P69 resides in SB and/or SG where it somehow limits PTGS amplification.

RTL1 induction during TYMV infection reinforces the effect of P69

The results described above indicate that the TYMV VSR P69 has the capacity to inhibit the amplification step of the antiviral PTGS. However, the physiological level of P69 during infection appears insufficient to totally inhibit this step, which only appears possible when P69 is expressed constitutively from a transgene (compare Figs 2B and 3) and at high level (compare



Fig 4. The TYMV protein P69 inhibits the production of PTGS secondary siRNAs. A) Phenotype of wild-type plants and transgenic lines carrying a *pUBQ10:P69* construct. Downward leaf curling is typical of the absence of *TAS3* siRNAs. **B)** Accumulation of miR390, *TAS3* and *IR71* endogenous siRNAs and *GUS* primary and secondary siRNAs (using 5'GUS and central GUS probes, respectively, see Fig 2A) in control or transgenic plants transformed with the *pUBQ10:P69* construct. EtBr staining is shown as loading control. **C)** Accumulation of *GUS* primary and secondary

siRNAs in control or transgenic plants transformed with the *35S:P69* construct. EtBr staining is shown as loading control. siRNA accumulation is resumed as band intensity measured with ImageJ and normalized to total RNA bands intensity on EtBr staining.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010482.g004



Fig 5. The TYMV protein P69 colocalizes with SB and/or SG under stress condition in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. **A)** *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves agroinfiltrated with *pUBQ10:P69-GFP* or *pUBQ10:GFP-P69* constructs. GFP (green) and chlorophyll auto-fluorescence (blue) signals were analyzed by confocal microscopy 2 days post-agroinfiltration. The overlay corresponds to the merge between the two signals. Channels are indicated above each column and scale bars (50 μm) are indicated on the overlay. DIC: Differential Interference Contrast. **B**) Subcellular localization of GFP, RFP and mCherry determined before and after a heat stress of 1h at 37°C in 5 days old transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* lines *pUBQ10:P69-GFP* crossed with *p35S:SGS3-mCherry* or *pPAB2:PAB2-RFP* lines. The overlay corresponds to the merge between the GFP and the RFP or mCherry signals. Channels are indicated above each column and scale bars (5 μm) are indicated on the overlay. DIC: Differential Interference Contrast.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010482.g005

Fig 4B and 4C). Given that TYMV successfully infect Arabidopsis, we asked if TYMV could take advantage of the endogenous RNASE-THREE-LIKE1 (RTL1) to achieve its infection. Indeed, we previously reported that plants constitutively expressing *RTL1* (*p35S:RTL1*) exhibit aggravated symptoms and accumulate more viral RNA than wild-type plants when they are infected with TYMV [19]. RTL1 is an RNaseIII enzyme that cleaves perfectly paired dsRNA of endogenous or exogenous origin, thus preventing the production of siRNAs, including RDR6-dependent siRNAs [5]. The endogenous RTL1 gene is not expressed in Arabidopsis vegetative tissues, but is induced by various types of viruses, suggesting that RTL1 induction is a general response to virus infection. However, most viruses encode a VSR that suppresses RTL1 activity. TYMV sets apart because its VSR P69 does not inhibit RTL1 activity [5]. To address whether RTL1 induction during TYMV infection could reinforce the effect of P69 on PTGS amplification, the sensitivity of *rtl1* mutants to TYMV infection was analyzed. One T-DNA mutant, referred to as *rtl1-1*, was identified in the SAIL collection. This mutant carries an insertion in the middle of the RNaseIII domain (Figs 6A and S2), thus abolishing RTL1 activity. The second mutant, referred to as rtl1-2, was obtained using the CRISPR-Cas9 technology. A one-base insertion at base 27 after the ATG causes a frameshift and the production of a truncated protein of only 11 amino acids, which lacks both RNaseIII and DRB domains (Figs 6A and S2). These two mutants were back-crossed six times to Col to ensure the elimination of unlinked mutations, and homozygous RTL1/RTL1 and rtl1/rtl1 siblings were identified after selfing. Then, WT plants, rtl1 mutants and a p35S:RTL1 line were infected mechanically with TYMV. The p35S:RTL1 line exhibited increased symptoms compared with Col and rtl1 mutants (Fig 6B). Compared to Col plants, rtl1 mutants exhibited a higher level of TYMV siR-NAs and a lower level of TYMV genomic RNA (gRNA), whereas p35S:RTL1 plants exhibited a lower level of TYMV siRNAs and a higher level of TYMV gRNA (Fig 6C and 6D). Together, these results indicate that RTL1 favors TYMV infection not only when expressed artificially at high level using a p35S:RTL1 transgene but also when expressed at physiological level during infection of WT plants. They also suggest that TYMV infection is successful due to the dual effect of P69 and RTL1 on PTGS.

Because a fraction of the seeds harvested on TYMV-infected Arabidopsis plants transmit the virus and develop symptoms similar to those observed after mechanical infection [34], we investigated whether the *rtl1* mutation could affect the frequency of TYMV transmission through seeds. 396 seeds harvested on Col-infected plants and 396 seeds harvested on *rtl1-1*infected plants were sown on soil, and the number of plants developing TYMV symptoms was scored. No difference in the frequency of infected plants was observed between Col and *rtl1-1* (18% in each case), indicating that RTL1 has no effect on virus transmission through seeds. The amount of TYMV siRNA was also monitored in Col and *rtl1-1* plants that developed TYMV symptoms. Similar to what was observed for mechanically infected plants (Fig 6D), a higher level of TYMV siRNAs was observed in the *rtl1-1* plants that transmitted the virus through seeds compared with Col plants that transmitted the virus through seeds (Fig 6E), confirming that RTL1 actually limits the production of antiviral siRNAs in vegetative tissues.

Counteracting the PTGS defense through RTL1 induction is a strategy also used by TRV

To determine if the RTL1 induction strategy used by TYMV to promote infection can be generalized to other viruses encoding VSR that are not capable of blocking PTGS execution, the relationship between TRV, PTGS and RTL1 was examined. TRV was chosen because like TYMV, TRV causes mild symptoms on Arabidopsis, suggesting that PTGS efficiently limits TRV infection. Supporting this hypothesis, the TRV protein 16K was previously identified as a



Fig 6. RTL1 favors TYMV infection by counteracting anti-viral PTGS. A) Scheme of the *RTL1* gene with its coordinates on chromosome 4. The location of the *rtl1-1* mutation (T-DNA insertion SAILseq_337_F04.1) and of the *rtl1-2* mutation (CRISPR-induced one-base insertion) are indicated. TSS: Transcription Start Site, TTS: Transcription Termination Site. **B)** Pictures of wild type, *rtl1-1* and *rtl1-2* mutants and *p35S:RTL1* plants three weeks after infection with TYMV. Plants were grown under short day conditions. The growth curves show the temporal change of rosette area (averaged by four plants, +/-SE) in mock- and TYMV-infected plants from one week pre-inoculation to three weeks post-inoculation. dpg: days post-germination. **C)** TYMV full-length genomic RNA (gRNA) accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild type, *rtl1-1* and *rtl1-2* mutants and *p35S:RTL1* plants four weeks after infected plants from a pool of 16 infected plants per genotype, run onto an agarose gel and hybridized with a TYMV probe. Data are normalized to Col. **D)** TYMV siRNA accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild type, *rtl1-1* and *rtl1-2* mutants and *p35S:RTL1* plants four weeks after

infection with TYMV. Total RNA was extracted from a pool of 16 infected plants per genotype, run onto an acrylamide gel and hybridized with a TYMV probe. Data are normalized to Col. E) TYMV siRNA accumulation in asymptomatic *vs* symptomatic progeny of *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild type and *rtl1-1* mutants infected with TYMV. Total RNA was extracted from a pool of 8 plants of each type, run onto an acrylamide gel and hybridized with a TYMV probe. Data are normalized to infected Col.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010482.g006

VSR having limited activity on PTGS amplification [17]. The TRV protein 29K was also proposed to act as a VSR, but its activity was even lower than that of 16K [17,35].

To determine if RTL1 plays a role in TRV infection, we first examined if *RTL1* was induced during TRV infection. Results indicate that like other viruses, TRV induces *RTL1* expression (Fig 7A). Then, wild-type plants, *rtl1* mutants and *p35S:RTL1* plants were challenged with TRV. The *dcl2 dcl4* double mutant was used as a control because it was previously shown to be hypersusceptible to TRV infection (Donaire et al., 2008) [15]. Similar to the *dcl2 dcl4* double mutant, *p35S:RTL1* plants exhibited aggravated symptoms (Fig 7B), which correlated with a higher viral gRNA level (Fig 7C) and the absence of 21-22-nt viral siRNA (Fig 7D). These results confirm that PTGS actually strongly limits TRV infection and indicate that PTGS action can be erased when over-expressing *RTL1*. In contrast to *p35S:RTL1* plants, *rtl1* mutants accumulated more viral siRNAs than wild-type plants (Fig 7D), indicating that the induction of endogenous *RTL1* during TRV infection (Fig 7A) actually limits PTGS activity. This increased level of viral siRNAs in *rtl1* mutants correlated with a slightly reduced level of viral gRNA (Fig 7C).

Discussion

The outcome of virus infection is determined by the balance between the virus attacks, the host defenses and the virus counter-defenses. In plants, PTGS acts as a sequence-specific defense mechanism directed against viruses. PTGS is activated by dsRNA intermediates of viral replication and/or folded viral RNAs, leading to the production of primary siRNAs that target viral ssRNA for destruction and production of secondary siRNAs that maximize the plant defense. However, most viruses have evolved counter-defenses based on viral proteins called VSR, which inhibit one or the other step of PTGS, thus impacting the plant PTGS defense. VSRs that target essential steps of PTGS execution, for example by sequestrating siR-NAs or inhibiting AGO-guided cleavage of viral RNAs, cause very severe symptoms because the plant PTGS defense is totally inhibited. As a result, PTGS-deficient mutants and wild-type plants are similarly infected by the corresponding viruses, and only the use of VSR-deficient viruses allows revealing the capacity of PTGS to actually eliminate these viral RNA molecules [10,12–14]. However, not every virus encodes a VSR capable of totally inhibiting PTGS. As a result, several viruses only provoke mild symptoms on wild-type plants because PTGS remains capable of reducing the amount of viral RNA.

Here, we examined the case of one such virus, the TYMV. The potent action of PTGS against TYMV was revealed by the increased level of TYMV RNA in Arabidopsis *dcl4* mutants [16], indicating that PTGS is at work against TYMV. Nevertheless, the fact that wild-type plants do not fully recover from TYMV infection indicates that PTGS is not capable to eliminate all viral RNAs, suggesting that at least one PTGS step is inhibited by the TYMV. Investigating the behavior of various PTGS mutants infected by TYMV revealed that *dcl4, ago1* and *ago2* exhibit enhanced symptoms compared with wild-type plants, whereas *dcl2, rdr1* and *rdr6* exhibit symptoms similar to wild-type plants (Fig 1). Because AGO1, AGO2 and DCL4 are involved in the execution of PTGS, whereas DCL2, RDR1 and RDR6 are dispensable for PTGS execution and only contribute to PTGS amplification, these results suggested that only the



Fig 7. RTL1 favors TRV infection by counteracting anti-viral PTGS. A) *RTL1* induction by TRV compared to TYMV. *RTL1* expression was analyzed by qRT-PCR on WT plants three weeks after infection. *GAPDH* expression is used as internal control and data are normalized to non-infected (mock) plants. **B)** Pictures of *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild type, *rtl1-1* and *dcl2 dcl4* mutants and *p35S:RTL1* plants three weeks after infection with TRV. Plants were grown under short day conditions.**C)** TRV genomic RNAs (gRNA) accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild type, *rtl1-1* and *dcl2 dcl4* mutants four weeks after infection with TRV. Total RNA was extracted from a pool of 16 infected plants per genotype and hybridized with a TRV probe. Data are normalized to Col.**D**) TRV siRNA accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild type, *rtl1-1* and *dcl2 dcl4* mutants and *p35S:RTL1* and *dcl2 dcl4* mutants and *p35S:RTL1* plants four weeks after infection with TRV. Total RNA was extracted from a pool of 16 infected plants per genotype and hybridized from a pool of 16 infected plants per genotype and hybridized from a pool of 16 infected plants per genotype and hybridized with a TRV probe. Data are normalized to Col. For siRNA quantification, only 21 and 22-nt bands were considered.

amplification step of PTGS was impaired during TYMV infection. This hypothesis was confirmed by infecting Arabidopsis lines carrying reporter transgenes silenced either by S-PTGS, which requires PTGS amplification components, or IR-PTGS, which does not require PTGS amplification components. TYMV infection suppressed S-PTGS but not IR-PTGS (Fig 2), and this suppression could be recapitulated by expressing the TYMV VSR P69 only (Fig 3). Moreover, the accumulation of endogenous ta-siRNAs, which production requires RDR6 but not DCL2, was impacted in plants expressing the TYMV VSR P69, whereas the accumulation of endogenous endo-siRNAs, which production requires DCL2 but not RDR6, was not impacted in plants expressing the TYMV VSR P69 (Fig 4). Finally, P69 was shown to localize in siRNAbodies where RDR6 resides and where PTGS amplification occurs (Fig 5), indicating that P69 limits the PTGS amplification step occurring in siRNA-bodies, thus reducing the production of secondary siRNAs. Therefore, P69 can be added to the list of VSRs that inhibit PTGS amplification, which includes: i) the VSR protein V2 encoded by the DNA virus *Tomato yellow leaf curl virus* (TYLCV), which competes with the tomato homologue of the Arabidopsis RDR6 cofactor SGS3 for binding to dsRNA [29, 31], ii) the VSR protein TGBp1 encoded by the *Plantago asiatica mosaic virus* (PlAMV), which interacts with SGS3 and RDR6 and coaggregates with SGS3/RDR6 bodies [36], iii) the VSR protein P6 encoded by the *Rice yellow stunt virus* (RYSV), which interacts with RDR6, thus blocking secondary siRNA synthesis [37], iv) the VSR protein β C1 encoded by the *Tomato yellow leaf curl Chinavirus* (TYLCCNV) DNA satellite, which interacts with the endogenous suppressor of silencing calmodulin-like protein (rgs-CAM) *in N. benthamiana* to repress RDR6 expression and secondary siRNA production [38], v) the VSR protein Pns10 encoded by the *Rice dwarf phytoreovirus* (RDV), which downregulates RDR6 [39], and vi) the VSR protein 16K encoded by the *Tobacco rattle virus* (TRV), which somehow limits PTGS amplification [17].

The infectious capacity of viruses expressing VSRs that only partially inhibit the amplification step of PTGS suggested that such viruses could use additional strategies to counteract the plant PTGS defense. This prompted us to investigate whether RTL1 could contribute to reducing the amounts of antiviral siRNAs. RTL1 is naturally not expressed in wild-type Arabidopsis plants, but is induced following virus infection, suggesting that RTL1 induction could be an alternative, although not exclusive, way used by viruses to counteract the plant PTGS defense in addition to expressing VSRs, in particular when expressing VSRs that only partially inhibit PTGS. Supporting this hypothesis, p35S:RTL1 Arabidopsis plants expressing RTL1 constitutively at high level lacked TYMV siRNAs, accumulated high levels of TYMV gRNA, and exhibited severe symptoms when infected by TYMV [19]. Here, we show that the same holds true for TRV (Fig 7), indicating that, at least in Arabidopsis, RTL1 actually is capable of preventing siRNA-mediated degradation of TRV and TYMV RNAs. However, p35S:RTL1 plants accumulated RTL1 above the level observed in wild-type infected plants [19], and the demonstration of the role of RTL1 awaited the analysis of rtl1 mutants. Here we showed that TRV- and TYMV-infected *rtl1* mutants accumulate more TRV or TYMV siRNAs and less TRV or TYMV gRNAs than wild-type plants (Figs 6 and 7). Together, these results suggest a model where viruses causing mild symptoms, e.g. TRV or TYMV, i) produce VSRs, 16K or P69, which partially inhibit the production of secondary siRNAs, and ii) induces the expression of RTL1 to further reduce the production of secondary siRNAs, thus reinforcing the action of the VSRs.

Altogether, our study reinforces the idea that inhibiting PTGS amplification but not PTGS execution is a strategy commonly used by viruses to limit the plant PTGS defense and propagate without killing their host. Indeed, at least in the case of TRV or TYMV infection, the remaining PTGS activity due to primary siRNAs allows infected plants to survive, flower and produce seeds and thus transmit the virus. In the case of TYMV, a fraction of the seeds of an Arabidopsis infected plant transmit the virus [34], which thus spread all around the original site of infection as the newly infected plants and become a new source for TYMV propagation to other host plants. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that the dual action of P69 and RTL1 on PTGS results in a tight balance between virus propagation and plant development. In the light of these results, one could consider the Arabidopsis-TYMV interaction as an elegant model of plant-virus coevolution.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Wild-type plants, transgenic lines, and loss-of-function mutants used in this study are in Arabidopsis Columbia (Col-0) ecotype or result from at least six back-crosses to Col-0. The *6b4* line carries a *p35S*:*GUS* transgene that is stably expressed [23]. The *6b4 ski3*, *6b4 xrn4* and *6b4 vcs* lines exhibit S-PTGS due to dysfunctional RQC [20–22]. The *6b4-306* line exhibits IR-PTGS induced by the *p35S:hpG* construct expressing an hairpin consisting of the first half of the *GUS* sequence [23].

The *ago1-27*, *dcl2-1* (SALK_064627), *dcl4-2* (GABI_160G05), *dcl2-1 dcl4-2*, *rdr1-1* (SAIL_672F1), *rdr6* (*sgs2-1*) and *rdr1-1 rdr6* single and double mutants have been described previously [14, 24, 40–44]. The *ago2-3* (RATM15_3703) from the RIKEN collection was obtained from ABRC. *ago2-3* originally in the Nossen (No-0) ecotype was back-crossed six times to Col-0 for this study. The *ago1-27 ago2-3* double mutant was generated by standard crosses using *ago2-3* back-crossed to Col-0.

The T-DNA insertion mutant *rtl1-1* (SAILseq_337_F04.1) from the Syngenta Arabidopsis Insertion Library collection was obtained from the NASC. The CRISPR-Cas9 technology was used to generate *rtl1-2* mutant. A guide RNA targeting the 5' end of the *RTL1* (At4g15417) coding sequence was obtained using the CRISPOR website (http://crispor. tefor.net), synthesized by IDT (https://eu.idtdna.com) and cloned into the *pDE-Cas9-GentR* vector (Gateway Technology–Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific). Guide RNA and *rtl1-2* mutant sequence are described in <u>S2 Fig</u>. The *p35S:RTL1* plants have been previously described [19].

The *pPAB2:PAB2-RFP* line has been previously described [32]. The transgenic lines expressing *p35S:P69* were obtained using the *p35S:P69* construct previously described [18]. The transgenic lines expressing *p35S:SGS3-mCherry*, *pUBQ10:P69*, *pUBQ10:P69-GFP*, *pUBQ10:GFP-P69* or *pUBQ10:16K* were obtained as described below.

Cloning and transformation

To generate the *pUBQ10:P69*, *pUBQ10:P69-GFP*, *pUBQ10:GFP-P69* constructs, the TYMV *ORF2* (*P69*) sequence was PCR-amplified from *pTY* [45] plasmids, using attb-flanked primers. A C-to-T mutation was introduced by PCR in TYMV *ORF2* at the 9th nucleotide to disrupt TYMV *ORF1* start codon. Sequences were then cloned into the *pDONR207* vector (Gateway Technology–Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a LR reaction was performed with the *pUB-Dest* vector [46] to generate *pUBQ10:P69*. For *P69* subcellular localization studies, a LR reaction was performed with *pUBC-GFP-Dest* and *pUBN-GFP-Dest* vectors [46]. Primers used for cloning are listed in S1 Table.

To generate the *p35S*:*SGS3-mCherry* construct, LR reaction was performed with the *pMDC140-mCherry* vector using a *SGS3* clone in *pDONR207* vector [28]. The *p35S*: *SGS3-mCherry* construct was introduced into the *sgs3-1* mutant and lines showing complementation of the *sgs3* mutation were retained for confocal analysis.

Expression vectors were transferred into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58C1 (pMP90) from *Escherichia coli* DH10B or DH5 α bacteria (Thermo Fisher Scientific), either by electroporation or triparental mating, and Arabidopsis plants were transformed by floral dipping using an infiltration solution (5% sucrose, 10mM MgCl₂, 0.015% SilwetL-77) with Agrobacterium carrying the construct of interest at a final OD₆₀₀ of 1 [47]. Stable transformants were selected on medium supplemented with the corresponding antibiotics.

For agroinfiltration experiments, *N. benthamiana* leaves were infiltrated as described in [48] using an agroinfiltration solution (pH 5.2, 10mM MgCl₂, 10mM MES, 150mM acetosyringone) with Agrobacterium carrying the construct(s) of interest at a final OD₆₀₀ of 1. The *p35S:hpG* and *p35S:GFP* constructs used for this assay have been previously described [19, 23]. Leaves were harvested 3 days post-agroinfiltration for analysis.

Growth condition and virus inoculation

Surface-sterilized seeds were sown *in vitro* on a Bouturage media (pH 5.9, 1.3% S-Medium S0262.0010, 1% Phyto Agar P1003, Duchefa Biochimie), vernalized at 4°C for 48h and transferred in standard long-day conditions (16 hours light, 8 hours dark at 22°C and 65% relative humidity). For subcellular localization assays, seeds were sown on vertical plates and roots were analyzed 5 days post-germination. For GUS analysis, two-week-old plantlets were transferred to soil in greenhouse with standard long-day conditions (16 hours day, 8 hours dark at ~22°C and 45–60% relative humidity).

For virus infection assays, plants were grown directly on soil in controlled growth chamber in standard short-day conditions (8h of light, 16 hours of dark at 21°C and 65% relative humidity).

For infection with *Turnip yellow mosaic virus*, four-week-old plants were infected by mechanical rubbing with carborundum powder and an *inoculum* of previously TYMV-infected *A. thaliana* leaves grinded in a 5mM Na₂HPO₄ 5mM NaH₂PO₄ buffer. For infection with *Tobacco rattle virus*, four-week-old plants were agroinfiltrated with a mix of agrobacteria carrying the *pTRV1* (*YL192*) and *pTRV2-MCS* (*YL156*) vectors [49] at a final OD₆₀₀ of 1. Rosettes of 16 plants were harvested 2 to 4 weeks post-inoculation for analysis.

Quantification of viral symptoms

The projected rosette area per plant was measured with ImageJ on 4 plants per condition per genotype (manual measurement). Rosettes were segmented using Image>Adjust>Color threshold (Color space: Lab, Dark background, adjustment of the a* value). A binary image of the rosettes was obtained with Process>Binary>Make binary. The rosette area was selected with the wand tracing tool and measured with Analyze>Measure. Mean pixel area and standard deviation (error bar) were calculated.

GUS assay

GUS activity was measured as described before [48]. Briefly, leaves grinded in a phosphate buffer (pH 7.2, 50 mM Na2HPO₄, 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 10 mM EDTA) are centrifuged for 20min at 4°C and 3000 rpm. A Bradford protein assay was performed (Protein Assay Dye Reagent 500–0006, BioRad) with a BSA range and protein concentration was quantified with a ELx808 microplate reader (Biotek). Enzymatic activity was measured via the derived products generated from a 4-MUG substrate (M1404, Duchefa) with a Fluoroskan Ascent II (Thermo Fisher Scientific). GUS activity is presented in an arbitrary unit as the ratio between fluorescence data per minute and protein concentration.

RNA analysis

RNA extraction and hybridization were performed as previously described [50]. Briefly, frozen leaves were grinded in liquid nitrogen, added to a NaCl extraction buffer (0.1M NaCl, 2% SDS, 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 9, 10 mM EDTA pH 8, 20 mM β -mercaptoethanol) and total RNA was extracted using a standard Phenol-Chloroform procedure. RNA was recovered in a 3v of 100% EtOH and 1/10v 3M NaOAc (pH 5.2) buffer at -80°C for 1 hour. After a series of centrifugation, RNA pellets were resuspended in sterile water and quantified with a NanoDrop 2000C (Ozyme). For Low Molecular Weight (LMW) northern blot, 5 to 30 ug of RNA were denatured at 85°C for 5 min, separated on a 15% polyacrylamide, 7.5M urea and 1X TBE gel and transferred on a Hybond NX membrane (Amersham). For High Molecular Weight (HMW) northern blot, 5 ug of RNA were denatured at 85°C for 5 min, separated on a 25°C for 5 min, separated on a 0.8 to 1.5% agarose,

0.7% formaldehyde, 20 mM HEPES and 1 mM EDTA pH 7.8 gel and transferred on a Genescreen Plus membrane (NEF-976, NEN/DuPont). PCR-probes were produced using primers listed in S1 Table, purified with a NucleoSpin Gel & PCR Clean-up kit (Machery-Nagel) and radiolabeled dCTP-P³² were incorporated with a Prime-a-gene Labeling System kit (U1100, Promega). Oligonucleotide probes were ordered from GenoScreen and radiolabeled dATP-P³² were incorporated with a T4 Polynucleotide Kinase kit (T4 PNK EK0031, Thermo Fisher Scientific). After blot saturation with salmon sperm, hybridization was performed in a PerfectHyb buffer (H7033, Sigma-Aldrich) overnight at 37°C (dCTP-P³² labeled probes) or at 50°C (dATP-P³² labeled probes) for LMW northern blot and in a Church buffer (7% SDS, 250 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2mM EDTA 200 µg/mL Heparin) overnight at 65°C for HMW northern blot. After exposition on a BAS-MP 2040P imaging plate (Fujifilm), hybridization signal was revealed with a Typhoon-FLA9500 phosphoimager (Ge-Healthcare). RNA band intensity was measured on unsaturated image with ImageJ. Data were normalized to the band intensity of the loading control.

For TYMV quantitative RT-PCR analysis, the quantification method described before [51] was followed to estimate virus accumulation in TYMV-infected tissue. A pTY plasmid [45] was linearized at a unique HindIII restriction site and plasmid copy number was estimated using the Avogadro's constant. A qRT-PCR was performed on a tenfold nine-points dilution series with 3 technical replicates to calculate the standard curve formula y = -2.073x + 7.4178. A qRT-PCR was performed on TYMV-infected leaves as described above except for random primers used instead of oligo dT for cDNA synthesis and data are analyzed with the standard curve to estimate TYMV quantity. Primers are listed in <u>S1 Table</u> and qRT-PCR cycle conditions are listed in <u>S2 Table</u>.

Subcellular localization experiments

GFP, mCherry and RFP signal on epidermis of *N. benthamiana* agroinfiltrated leaves or roots of five-day-old *A. thaliana* seedlings were analyzed with a Leica TSC SP5 confocal and water-immersion objectives before and/or after a heat stress at 37°C for one hour. Images were analyzed with ImageJ.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. The plant PTGS defense limits TYMV infection through the action of AGO1, AGO2 and DCL4. Viral gRNA accumulation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild type and PTGS mutant plants four weeks after infection with TYMV. Total RNA was extracted from a pool of 16 infected plants per genotype. The amount of TYMV gRNA was quantified by qRT-PCR using primers located on the TYMV ORF2 P69. *GAPDH* was used as intern control. Data are normalized to Col.

(TIFF)

S2 Fig. Description of the *rtl1-2* **mutant.** A guide RNA targeting *RTL1* (AT4G15417) sequence (indicated in yellow) was synthesized as described in the Materials and Methods. Sanger sequencing was performed to identified mutations and a BLAST analysis was conducted with SerialCloner. A T is introduced at the 27th position (arrow in red) 3-nt before the PAM site (indicated in blue). A premature stop-codon appeared at the 8th amino acid and a second TasI restriction site at the insertion, allowing genotyping with primers indicated in green. Segregation of the *Cas9* cassette was followed by PCR and loss of antibiotic resistance. *rtl1-2* was back-crossed six times to Col before analysis. (TIFF)

S1 Table. Primers used for genotyping of newly described mutant, probes synthesis and hybridization. (XLSX)

S2 Table. qRT-PCR programs. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Cécile Antonelli for the *pPAB2:PAB2-RFP* line, Shou-Wei Ding for the *p35S:P69* plasmid, and Isabelle Jupin for the *TYMV* plasmid. This work has benefited from the support of IJPB's Plant Observatory technological platforms. The IJPB benefits from the support of Saclay Plant Sciences-SPS (ANR-17- EUR-0007). This work has benefited from a French State grant (Saclay Plant Sciences, reference ANR-17-EUR-0007, EUR SPS-GSR) managed by the French National Research Agency under an Investments for the Future program (reference ANR-11- IDEX-0003-02).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Hayat Sehki, Taline Elmayan, Hervé Vaucheret.

Formal analysis: Hayat Sehki, Agnès Yu, Taline Elmayan, Hervé Vaucheret.

Funding acquisition: Hervé Vaucheret.

Investigation: Hayat Sehki, Taline Elmayan, Hervé Vaucheret.

Methodology: Hayat Sehki, Agnès Yu, Taline Elmayan, Hervé Vaucheret.

Project administration: Hervé Vaucheret.

Resources: Hervé Vaucheret.

Supervision: Hervé Vaucheret.

Writing - original draft: Hayat Sehki.

Writing - review & editing: Hayat Sehki, Taline Elmayan, Hervé Vaucheret.

References

- Baulcombe D. RNA silencing in plants. Nature. 2004; 431(7006):356–63. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature02874 PMID: 15372043.
- Ding SW, Voinnet O. Antiviral immunity directed by small RNAs. Cell. 2007; 130(3):413–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.07.039</u> PMID: 17693253
- Pumplin N, Voinnet O. RNA silencing suppression by plant pathogens: defence, counter-defence and counter-counter-defence. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2013; 11(11):745–60. Epub 2013/10/17. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nrmicro3120</u> PMID: 24129510.
- Bouche N, Lauressergues D, Gasciolli V, Vaucheret H. An antagonistic function for Arabidopsis DCL2 in development and a new function for DCL4 in generating viral siRNAs. Embo J. 2006; 25(14):3347– 56. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601217 PMID: 16810317.
- Deleris A, Gallego-Bartolome J, Bao J, Kasschau KD, Carrington JC, Voinnet O. Hierarchical Action and Inhibition of Plant Dicer-Like Proteins in Antiviral Defense. Science. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1128214 PMID: 16741077.
- Fusaro AF, Matthew L, Smith NA, Curtin SJ, Dedic-Hagan J, Ellacott GA, et al. RNA interference-inducing hairpin RNAs in plants act through the viral defence pathway. EMBO Rep. 2006; 7(11):1168–75. Epub 2006/10/14. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400837 PMID: 17039251.
- Carbonell A, Carrington JC. Antiviral roles of plant ARGONAUTES. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2015; 27:111– 7. Epub 2015/07/21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2015.06.013 PMID: 26190744.

- Chen HM, Chen LT, Patel K, Li YH, Baulcombe DC, Wu SH. 22-Nucleotide RNAs trigger secondary siRNA biogenesis in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107(34):15269–74. Epub 2010/07/21. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001738107 PMID: 20643946.
- Cuperus JT, Carbonell A, Fahlgren N, Garcia-Ruiz H, Burke RT, Takeda A, et al. Unique functionality of 22-nt miRNAs in triggering RDR6-dependent siRNA biogenesis from target transcripts in Arabidopsis. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010; 17(8):997–1003. Epub 2010/06/22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1866</u> PMID: 20562854.
- Wang XB, Jovel J, Udomporn P, Wang Y, Wu Q, Li WX, et al. The 21-nucleotide, but not 22-nucleotide, viral secondary small interfering RNAs direct potent antiviral defense by two cooperative argonautes in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell. 2011; 23(4):1625–38. Epub 2011/04/07. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc. 110.082305 PMID: 21467580.
- Csorba T, Kontra L, Burgyan J. Viral silencing suppressors: Tools forged to fine-tune host-pathogen coexistence. Virology. 2015; 479–480:85–103. Epub 2015/03/15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.02.028</u> PMID: 25766638.
- Garcia-Ruiz H, Carbonell A, Hoyer JS, Fahlgren N, Gilbert KB, Takeda A, et al. Roles and programming of Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE proteins during Turnip mosaic virus infection. PLoS Pathog. 2015; 11(3): e1004755. Epub 2015/03/26. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004755 PMID: 25806948.
- Garcia-Ruiz H, Takeda A, Chapman EJ, Sullivan CM, Fahlgren N, Brempelis KJ, et al. Arabidopsis RNA-dependent RNA polymerases and dicer-like proteins in antiviral defense and small interfering RNA biogenesis during Turnip Mosaic Virus infection. Plant Cell. 2010; 22(2):481–96. Epub 2010/03/ 02. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.073056 PMID: 20190077.
- Wang XB, Wu Q, Ito T, Cillo F, Li WX, Chen X, et al. RNAi-mediated viral immunity requires amplification of virus-derived siRNAs in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107(1):484–9. Epub 2009/12/08. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904086107 PMID: 19966292.
- Donaire L, Barajas D, Martinez-Garcia B, Martinez-Priego L, Pagan I, Llave C. Structural and genetic requirements for the biogenesis of tobacco rattle virus-derived small interfering RNAs. J Virol. 2008; 82 (11):5167–77. Epub 2008/03/21. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00272-08 PMID: 18353962.
- Jakubiec A, Yang SW, Chua NH. Arabidopsis DRB4 protein in antiviral defense against Turnip yellow mosaic virus infection. Plant J. 2012; 69(1):14–25. Epub 2011/09/03. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04765.x PMID: 21883552.
- Martinez-Priego L, Donaire L, Barajas D, Llave C. Silencing suppressor activity of the Tobacco rattle virus-encoded 16-kDa protein and interference with endogenous small RNA-guided regulatory pathways. Virology. 2008; 376(2):346–56. Epub 2008/05/06. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2008.03.024</u> PMID: 18456303.
- Chen J, Li WX, Xie D, Peng JR, Ding SW. Viral virulence protein suppresses RNA silencing-mediated defense but upregulates the role of microRNA in host gene expression. Plant Cell. 2004; 16:1302–13. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.018986 PMID: 15100397
- Shamandi N, Zytnicki M, Charbonnel C, Elvira-Matelot E, Bochnakian A, Comella P, et al. Plants encode a general siRNA suppressor that is induced and suppressed by viruses. PLoS Biol. 2015; 13 (12):e1002326. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002326 PMID: 26696443
- 20. Gy I, Gasciolli V, Lauressergues D, Morel JB, Gombert J, Proux F, et al. Arabidopsis FIERY1, XRN2, and XRN3 Are Endogenous RNA Silencing Suppressors. Plant Cell. 2007; 19(11):3451–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.055319</u> PMID: 17993620
- Moreno AB, Martinez de Alba AE, Bardou F, Crespi MD, Vaucheret H, Maizel A, et al. Cytoplasmic and nuclear quality control and turnover of single-stranded RNA modulate post-transcriptional gene silencing in plants. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013; 41(8):4699–708. Epub 2013/03/14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkt152</u> PMID: 23482394.
- 22. Yu A, Saudemont B, Bouteiller N, Elvira-Matelot E, Lepere G, Parent JS, et al. Second-Site Mutagenesis of a Hypomorphic argonaute1 Allele Identifies SUPERKILLER3 as an Endogenous Suppressor of Transgene Posttranscriptional Gene Silencing. Plant Physiol. 2015; 169(2):1266–74. Epub 2015/08/20. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00585 PMID: 26286717.
- 23. Beclin C, Boutet S, Waterhouse P, Vaucheret H. A branched pathway for transgene-induced RNA silencing in plants. Curr Biol. 2002; 12(8):684–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(02)00792-3 PMID: 11967158
- Mlotshwa S, Pruss GJ, Peragine A, Endres MW, Li J, Chen X, et al. DICER-LIKE2 plays a primary role in transitive silencing of transgenes in Arabidopsis. PLoS One. 2008; 3(3):e1755. Epub 2008/03/13. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001755 PMID: 18335032.
- Bozarth CS, Weiland JJ, Dreher TW. Expression of ORF-69 of turnip yellow mosaic virus is necessary for viral spread in plants. Virology. 1992; 187(1):124–30. Epub 1992/03/01. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0042-6822(92)90301-5</u> PMID: 1736523.

- 26. Taochy C, Gursanscky NR, Cao J, Fletcher SJ, Dressel U, Mitter N, et al. A Genetic Screen for Impaired Systemic RNAi Highlights the Crucial Role of DICER-LIKE 2. Plant Physiol. 2017; 175(3):1424–37. Epub 2017/09/21. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.01181 PMID: 28928141.
- Adenot X, Elmayan T, Lauressergues D, Boutet S, Bouche N, Gasciolli V, et al. DRB4-dependent TAS3 trans-acting siRNAs control leaf morphology through AGO7. Curr Biol. 2006; 16(9):927–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.03.035</u> PMID: 16682354.
- Elmayan T, Adenot X, Gissot L, Lauressergues D, Gy I, Vaucheret H. A neomorphic sgs3 allele stabilizing miRNA cleavage products reveals that SGS3 acts as a homodimer. FEBS J. 2009; 276(3):835–44. Epub 2009/01/16. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2008.06828.x PMID: 19143842.
- 29. Glick E, Zrachya A, Levy Y, Mett A, Gidoni D, Belausov E, et al. Interaction with host SGS3 is required for suppression of RNA silencing by tomato yellow leaf curl virus V2 protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105(1):157–61. Epub 2008/01/01. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0709036105 PMID: 18165314.
- Jouannet V, Moreno AB, Elmayan T, Vaucheret H, Crespi MD, Maizel A. Cytoplasmic Arabidopsis AGO7 accumulates in membrane-associated siRNA bodies and is required for ta-siRNA biogenesis. EMBO J. 2012; 31(7):1704–13. Epub 2012/02/14. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.20 PMID: 22327216.
- Kumakura N, Takeda A, Fujioka Y, Motose H, Takano R, Watanabe Y. SGS3 and RDR6 interact and colocalize in cytoplasmic SGS3/RDR6-bodies. FEBS Lett. 2009; 583(8):1261–6. Epub 2009/04/01. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2009.03.055 PMID: 19332064.
- Weber C, Nover L, Fauth M. Plant stress granules and mRNA processing bodies are distinct from heat stress granules. Plant J. 2008; 56(4):517–30. Epub 2008/07/23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X. 2008.03623.x PMID: 18643965.
- Ni F, Wu L, Wang Q, Hong J, Qi Y, Zhou X. Turnip Yellow Mosaic Virus P69 Interacts with and Suppresses GLK Transcription Factors to Cause Pale-Green Symptoms in Arabidopsis. Mol Plant. 2017; 10(5):764–6. Epub 2016/12/15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2016.12.003 PMID: 27964999.
- de Assis Filho FM, Sherwood JL. Evaluation of Seed Transmission of Turnip yellow mosaic virus and Tobacco mosaic virus in Arabidopsis thaliana. Phytopathology. 2000; 90(11):1233–8. Epub 2008/10/ 24. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.2000.90.11.1233 PMID: 18944425.
- 35. Deng X, Kelloniemi J, Haikonen T, Vuorinen AL, Elomaa P, Teeri TH, et al. Modification of Tobacco rattle virus RNA1 to serve as a VIGS vector reveals that the 29K movement protein is an RNA silencing suppressor of the virus. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2013; 26(5):503–14. Epub 2013/01/31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-12-12-0280-R PMID: 23360458</u>.
- Okano Y, Senshu H, Hashimoto M, Neriya Y, Netsu O, Minato N, et al. In Planta Recognition of a Double-Stranded RNA Synthesis Protein Complex by a Potexviral RNA Silencing Suppressor. Plant Cell. 2014; 26(5):2168–83. Epub 2014/06/01. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.113.120535 PMID: 24879427.
- Guo H, Song X, Xie C, Huo Y, Zhang F, Chen X, et al. Rice yellow stunt rhabdovirus protein 6 suppresses systemic RNA silencing by blocking RDR6-mediated secondary siRNA synthesis. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2013; 26(8):927–36. Epub 2013/05/03. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-02-13-0040-R PMID: 23634838.
- Li F, Huang C, Li Z, Zhou X. Suppression of RNA silencing by a plant DNA virus satellite requires a host calmodulin-like protein to repress RDR6 expression. PLoS Pathog. 2014; 10(2):e1003921. Epub 2014/ 02/12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003921 PMID: 24516387.
- 39. Ren B, Guo Y, Gao F, Zhou P, Wu F, Meng Z, et al. Multiple functions of Rice dwarf phytoreovirus Pns10 in suppressing systemic RNA silencing. J Virol. 2010; 84(24):12914–23. Epub 2010/10/12. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00864-10 PMID: 20926568.
- Morel JB, Godon C, Mourrain P, Beclin C, Boutet S, Feuerbach F, et al. Fertile hypomorphic ARGO-NAUTE (ago1) mutants impaired in post-transcriptional gene silencing and virus resistance. Plant Cell. 2002; 14(3):629–39. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.010358 PMID: 11910010.
- Mourrain P, Beclin C, Elmayan T, Feuerbach F, Godon C, Morel JB, et al. Arabidopsis SGS2 and SGS3 genes are required for posttranscriptional gene silencing and natural virus resistance. Cell. 2000; 101 (5):533–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80863-6 PMID: 10850495
- 42. Nakazawa Y, Hiraguri A, Moriyama H, Fukuhara T. The dsRNA-binding protein DRB4 interacts with the Dicer-like protein DCL4 in vivo and functions in the trans-acting siRNA pathway. Plant Mol Biol. 2007; 63(6):777–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-006-9125-8 PMID: 17221360.
- Xie Z, Allen E, Wilken A, Carrington JC. DICER-LIKE 4 functions in trans-acting small interfering RNA biogenesis and vegetative phase change in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102 (36):12984–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506426102 PMID: 16129836.
- 44. Xie Z, Johansen LK, Gustafson AM, Kasschau KD, Lellis AD, Zilberman D, et al. Genetic and functional diversification of small RNA pathways in plants. PLoS Biol. 2004; 2:642–52. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020104 PMID: 15024409

- **45.** Pflieger S, Blanchet S, Camborde L, Drugeon G, Rousseau A, Noizet M, et al. Efficient virus-induced gene silencing in Arabidopsis using a 'one-step' TYMV-derived vector. Plant J. 2008; 56(4):678–90. Epub 2008/07/23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03620.x PMID: 18643968.
- 46. Grefen C, Donald N, Hashimoto K, Kudla J, Schumacher K, Blatt MR. A ubiquitin-10 promoter-based vector set for fluorescent protein tagging facilitates temporal stability and native protein distribution in transient and stable expression studies. Plant J. 2010; 64(2):355–65. Epub 2010/08/26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04322.x PMID: 20735773</u>.
- Clough SJ, Bent AF. Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 1998; 16(6):735–43. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x PMID: 10069079.
- Elvira-Matelot E, Hachet M, Shamandi N, Comella P, Saez-Vasquez J, Zytnicki M, et al. Arabidopsis RNASE THREE LIKE2 Modulates the Expression of Protein-Coding Genes via 24-Nucleotide Small Interfering RNA-Directed DNA Methylation. Plant Cell. 2016; 28(2):406–25. Epub 2016/01/15. https:// doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00540 PMID: 26764378.
- 49. Fu DQ, Zhu BZ, Zhu HL, Jiang WB, Luo YB. Virus-induced gene silencing in tomato fruit. Plant J. 2005; 43(2):299–308. Epub 2005/07/07. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02441.x PMID: 15998315.
- Mallory AC, Hinze A, Tucker MR, Bouche N, Gasciolli V, Elmayan T, et al. Redundant and specific roles of the ARGONAUTE proteins AGO1 and ZLL in development and small RNA-directed gene silencing. PLoS Genet. 2009; 5(9):e1000646. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000646 PMID: 19763164.
- Shirima RR, Maeda DG, Kanju E, Ceasar G, Tibazarwa FI, Legg JP. Absolute quantification of cassava brown streak virus mRNA by real-time qPCR. J Virol Methods. 2017; 245:5–13. Epub 2017/03/21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2017.03.003 PMID: 28315718.