



A hydrogeological acrostic: in honour of Ghislain de Marsily

Vazken Andréassian, Valérie Plagnes, Craig Simmons, Pierre Ribstein

► To cite this version:

Vazken Andréassian, Valérie Plagnes, Craig Simmons, Pierre Ribstein. A hydrogeological acrostic: in honour of Ghislain de Marsily. *Comptes Rendus. Géoscience*, 2023, 355 (S1), pp.1-8. 10.5802/cr-geos.229 . hal-04318561

HAL Id: hal-04318561

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04318561>


Submitted on 2 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



INSTITUT DE FRANCE
Académie des sciences

Comptes Rendus

Géoscience

Sciences de la Planète

Vazken Andréassian, Valérie Plagnes, Craig Simmons and Pierre Ribstein

A hydrogeological acrostic: in honour of Ghislain de Marsily

Published online: 24 November 2023

<https://doi.org/10.5802/crgeos.229>

Part of Special Issue: Geo-hydrological Data & Models

Guest editors: Vazken Andréassian (INRAE, France),
Valérie Plagnes (Sorbonne Université, France), Craig Simmons (Flinders University, Australia)
and Pierre Ribstein (Sorbonne Université, France)



This article is licensed under the
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Les Comptes Rendus. Géoscience — Sciences de la Planète sont membres du
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte
www.centre-mersenne.org
e-ISSN : 1778-7025



Geo-hydrological Data & Models

A hydrogeological acrostic: in honour of Ghislain de Marsily

Vazken Andréassian^{Ⓜ,*^a}, Valérie Plagnes^{Ⓜ,^b}, Craig Simmons^{Ⓜ,^c} and Pierre Ribstein^{Ⓜ,^b}

^a INRAE, UR HYCAR, Antony, France

^b Sorbonne Université, UMR METIS, Paris, France

^c University of Newcastle, Newcastle, Australia

E-mails: vazken.andreassian@inrae.fr (V. Andréassian),

valerie.plagnes@sorbonne-universite.fr (V. Plagnes),

Craig.Simmons@newcastle.edu.au (C. Simmons),

pierre.ribstein@sorbonne-universite.fr (P. Ribstein)

Published online: 24 November 2023

Great scientists are sometimes excessively modest, and ostentatious marks of appreciation may embarrass them. This special issue of *Comptes Rendus Géosciences* was initially thought as a hydrogeological firework launched by former students and current friends and colleagues of Professor Ghislain de Marsily to honour his multifaceted achievements and talents.

However, because we do not want to embarrass him more than strictly needed with our expressions of gratitude and admiration, we tried in this editorial to do so with as much discretion as we could. A recent biographical note by Simmons [2021] has already discussed the career, scientific contributions and international recognition of Ghislain de Marsily. These are not repeated here.

Inverse methods are the focus of several papers of this special issue: White and Lavenue [2023] review the *Pilot Point Method* for model inversion and uncertainty quantification, which has become a reference in the domain of inversion for groundwater

modelling. Juda et al. [2023] compare several stochastic inversion methods, using a synthetic example (a pumping test in a fluvial channelized aquifer). Ackerer et al. [2023] discuss the difficulties that occur during the identification of aquifer heterogeneity using inverse methods and underline how seminal ideas introduced by Ghislain de Marsily helped address key inversion issues (stabilization of the inverse problem and parsimonious reproduction of the natural heterogeneity of the subsurface). Delay et al. [2023] also investigated the flow inversion issue, adopting a geophysical point of view with information produced by a seismic survey: they compare inverted hydraulic conductivity maps with the distribution of porous bodies identified by seismic data, and discuss the disappointing lack of a match. They end up questioning the idea of guiding conventional inversions with a geophysically-based prior guess of the subsurface structure.

Several papers deal with catchment-scale hydrology: Oudin and Lalonde [2023] present an attempt to parameterize the effect of land use within a “Budyko-type” hydrological formula. Using a world-wide set of more than 5000 catchments, they show a

* Corresponding author.

clear modulation of land use on evapotranspiration, suggesting larger and lower evaporation rates over croplands and urban areas respectively. Mathevet *et al.* [2023] present a diagnosis tool (a modification of the classical Taylor diagram) to analyze simulation results over large catchment sets to simultaneously visualize several error components. Kilic *et al.* [2023] presents an attempt to jointly model water and energy fluxes for the entire Seine catchment, both for surface- and groundwater.

Long-term observatories are also dealt with in this special issue: Chabaux *et al.* [2023] use the Strengbach Critical zone observatory (France), integrating petrological and hydrogeological information from boreholes and piezometers, to characterize water circulation channels at the catchment scale.

Aquifer properties are addressed in several of the papers: Dumont *et al.* [2023] present work on the Andesitic volcanic hydrosystems of Indonesia, using electrical resistivity tomography to characterize the aquifer and further parameterize the hydrological model structure. Malard *et al.* [2023] present a flow animation module (FAM) designed to increase the understanding of dynamic hydrogeological processes within a web-based modelling tool called Visual KARSYS. Jost *et al.* [2023] present their investigations relative to the link between gravel pit lakes and aquifers: they detail a gravel pit lake module developed for the CaWaQS modelling platform, which they test against the LAK package of Modflow.

In order to better understand how aquifers and rivers interact during extreme events, Pelletier and Andréassian [2023] study how yearly extremes of groundwater level and streamflow time series are related, using a large set of catchments and piezometers: the result is an aquifer typology, which distinguishes between aquifers that demonstrate correlations between groundwater level and streamflows only with high-flow events, only with low flows, with both types of events or with none of them.

New numerical approaches to characterize aquifers are investigated by Le Moine [2023], who presents a method for interpolating the elevation between talwegs using the Analytic Element Method and discusses a new index named *Height Above the Basal Envelope Surface of Talwegs (HABEST)* to characterize groundwater levels at catchment scale. Zimmer *et al.* [2023] present a retrospective analysis of the development of the theory of subsurface

drainage, showing how a parsimonious parameterization of the physical system was sufficient for predicting the dynamics of subsurface drain flow rates. Gonçalves *et al.* [2023] propose a conceptual model for the hydrogeological functioning of the Tindouf Aquifer, which spreads over Algeria, Morocco, Mauritania and Western Sahara (a region of lifelong interest for Ghislain de Marsily), building upon geomorphological, geological and hydrological remotely sensed and ground observations. Last, Schafmeister [2023] looks at aquifers from the radioactive waste repository point of view (which was also a topic of major interest for Ghislain de Marsily) and describes the current strategy in Germany, as well as the mistakes made in the seventies.

During the last decade, the issue of Water Security has been a topic of major interest for Ghislain de Marsily, and it is thus natural to see several related papers in this special issue: Billen and Garnier [2023] discuss the concept of water-agro-food system, first at the scale of the Seine basin, then enlarging it to the national, European and global scales. They couple it to the Riverstrahler model, in order to simultaneously address issues of food production, river water quality and nutrient delivery to the coastal zone. Meybeck *et al.* [2023] describe the work undertaken since 1989 in the framework of the interdisciplinary PIREN-Seine research program, combining historical river fluxes, material flows, river ecology, environmental history and political ecology. Last, Coudrain *et al.* [2023] discuss the Anthropocene concept, which tends to move science towards action, in order to actively promote solutions that adapt to changes.

Evaluation of hydrogeological models is a domain where Ghislain de Marsily had a remarkable contribution, being himself involved 30 years ago in the “impossible validation” controversy, which Andréassian [2023] revisits insisting on the necessity to look at the issue from two complementary points of view: model’s explanatory power (theoretical content) and the point of view of its predictive power.

Modelling the impact of climatic changes is the topic of several of the contributions of this special issue. Autin *et al.* [2023] use a distributed energy-balance model to reconstruct the maximum extent of a tropical glacier during the Little Ice Age in the late 17th century, using physically coherent climate scenarios constrained by information taken from

paleoclimate proxies and sensitivity studies of past glacier advances. Their results highlight the importance of the seasonal pattern of precipitation to permit the existence of these glaciers.

An analysis of the complex interaction of water quality and climatic changes is proposed by Gascuel-Odoux *et al.* [2023], who propose a retrospective analysis based on data from European observatories. They argue for the need of a coupled approach because water quality integrates current and past (legacy) conditions, flow pathways, and biogeochemical reactivity. Besbes and Chahed [2023] discuss the predictability of water resources (blue and green water) made directly with Global Climate Models (GCMs), in the case of Northern Tunisia. The authors argue that the use of the raw GCMs predictions on large basins is possible with precisions comparable to what can be obtained with Regional Climate Models in medium size basins.

Runoff and the specificities of its generation in Sahelian landscapes is addressed by two papers dealing with the possible impact of climate change in Burkina Faso: Yonaba *et al.* [2023] use the Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model to evaluate possible future changes in surface runoff in the Tougou catchment, paying special attention to the possible interactions between future climate changes and land use changes. Tirogo *et al.* [2023] focus on the impacts of climate change and pumping on groundwater in the Kou catchment: they show that the observed decrease in groundwater levels is due to the combined effect of precipitation deficits and increased pumping.

Submarine springs and karsts are discussed by Fleury *et al.* [2023], who analyze issues for the conservation of the water resources in coastal karst aquifers: they show that the possibility of natural seawater intrusion makes these aquifers particularly fragile, with the combined effect of the increase of sea level, that of more frequent and severe droughts, and that of an increase in withdrawals from aquifers for urban development. Sanz *et al.* [2023] analyze the controversy on the possible salinization mechanisms for coastal brackish springs (which present the puzzling characteristic to be able to discharge high flow rates with significant salinities at elevations of several meters above sea level). They show that spring salinity is mostly controlled by the weight of the water column flowing towards the spring mouth (for low flow rates)

and by energy dissipation (for high flow rates). Labat *et al.* [2023] analyse a long-discharge time series available at an hourly time step for the Baget karstic spring (French Pyrenees). The analyses confirm the multiscale nature and the non-stationarity of the hydrological response. Last, Vasseur [2023] present a geothermal analysis of the Lez karstic spring (located near Montpellier, France): temperature measurements made above and below the underground karst network allow building a model to estimate the amount of geothermal energy captured by the flow system.

In the field of Geostatistics, four contributions are presented: first, Noetinger [2023] presents a study dealing with the upscaling of random fields, for improving quantitative hydrogeological predictions. He discusses how upscaling techniques allow lowering the dimension of the parameter space and, in the stochastic case, reduce the interaction between the conductivity spatial distribution and the flow pattern. Chihi *et al.* [2023] present a geostatistical analysis of a multiscale groundwater reservoir system, combining geological–geophysical investigations, geostatistics, and 3D geological modelling in the Jeffara basin of southeast Tunisia. The behaviour of a complex heterogeneous lacustrine carbonate formation in Argentina is modelled by Teles *et al.* [2023], using a bivariate plurigaussian geostatistical method to constrain the porosity simulation. Last, de Fouquet and Nos [2023] discuss the cokriging of transmissivity using head measurements and show that the estimation is improved in comparison with the case of head data from a single flow.

Little would have been achieved without a deep understanding of geology: First, Ledoux *et al.* [2023] examine chemical osmosis mechanisms and their impact on a collapsed salt cavity: the goal is to understand the mechanisms likely to generate salt fluxes towards surrounding aquifers and to allow the establishment of brine and dissolved salt balance. Davy *et al.* [2023] focus on fractured rock aquifers and propose three scale-independent indicators describing the fundamental characteristics of the flow/permeability relationship.

Yearning for the end of this already-too-long editorial, it seems natural to allocate these last lines to thank all the participants of this special issue for their enthusiasm, as well as the editorial team of the *Compte Rendus Géosciences*, who helped us achieve

what we believe is a well-deserved tribute to our great colleague, friend, researcher and teacher, who has had a lasting impact on Geosciences over at least half a century.

Conflicts of interest

Authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Ackerer, P., Carrera, J., and Delay, F. (2023). Identification of aquifer heterogeneity through inverse methods. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–14. Online first.
- Andréassian, V. (2023). On the (im)possible validation of hydrogeological models. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–9. Online first.
- Autin, P., Sicart, J. E., Rabatel, A., Hock, R., and Jomelli, V. (2023). Climate reconstruction of the Little Ice Age maximum extent of the tropical Zongo Glacier using a distributed energy balance model. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–18. Online first.
- Besbes, M. and Chahed, J. (2023). Predictability of water resources with global climate models. Case of Northern Tunisia. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–22. Online first.
- Billen, G. and Garnier, J. (2023). The water-agro-food system: upscaling from the Seine river basin to the global scale. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–15. Online first.
- Chabaux, F., Lerouge, C., Gal, F., Ranchoux, C., Viville, D., Négrel, P., and Dezayes, C. (2023). Water pathway geometry in the critical zone (Strengbach Critical Zone Observatory—France). *C. R. Géosci.* (in press). Online first.
- Chihi, H., Hammami, M. A., Mezni, I., Belayouni, H., and Mammou, A. B. (2023). Multiscale modeling of reservoir systems using geostatistical methods. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–31. Online first.
- Coudrain, A., Le Duff, M., and Mitja, D. (2023). The Anthropocene is shifting the paradigm of geosciences and science. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–18. Online first.
- Davy, P., Le Goc, R., Darcel, C., and Selroos, J.-O. (2023). Scaling of fractured rock flow. Proposition of indicators for selection of DFN based flow models. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–23. Online first.
- de Fouquet, C. and Nos, J. (2023). Cokriging of transmissivity from head measurements revisited in the case of two quasi steady state flows. *C. R. Géosci.* (in press). Online first.
- Delay, F., Mari, J.-L., Porel, G., Chabaux, F., and Ackerer, P. (2023). Is subsurface geophysics as seismic and acoustic investigations a rescue to groundwater flow inversion? *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–20. Online first.
- Dumont, M., Plagnes, V., Lachassagne, P., Guérin, R., Nugraha, B., Mohamad, F., Oudin, L., Fadillah, A., Valdès, D., Brocard, G., Bonjour, J.-L., Saadi, M., Esneu, A.-S., Muhammad, A., Hendarmawan, and Dörfli, N. (2023). Water cycle modelling strengthened by probabilistic integration of field data for groundwater management of a quite unknown tropical volcanic hydrosystem. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–23. Online first.
- Fleury, P., Pistre, S., and Bakalowicz, M. (2023). Coastal karst aquifers and submarine springs: what future for their water resources? *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–14. Online first.
- Gascuel-Oudou, C., Fovet, O., Fauchaux, M., Salmon-Monviola, J., and Strohmenger, L. (2023). How to assess water quality change in temperate headwater catchments of western Europe under climate change: examples and perspectives. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–11. Online first.
- Gonçalvès, J., Nutz, A., Séraphin, P., Chekireb, A., Kabiri, L., and Deschamps, P. (2023). Dealing with hydrologic data scarcity: the case of the Tindouf basin. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–19. Online first.
- Jost, A., Wang, S., Verbeke, T., Colleoni, F., and Flipo, N. (2023). Hydrodynamic relationships between gravel pit lakes and aquifers: brief review and insights from numerical investigations. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–25. Online first.
- Juda, P., Straubhaar, J., and Renard, P. (2023). Comparison of three recent discrete stochastic inversion methods and influence of the prior choice. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–26. Online first.
- Kilic, D., Rivièrre, A., Gallois, N., Ducharne, A., Wang, S., Peylin, P., and Flipo, N. (2023). Assessing water and energy fluxes in a regional hydrosystem: case study of the Seine basin. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–21. Online first.
- Labat, D., Guilloteau, T., Lamagnère, S., Dumareau, T., Haryouli, G., Béranger, S., Granouillac, F., and Sivel, V. (2023). New insights from an enhance-

- ment of hydrogeological archives of a French karst watershed over the last 50 years. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–9. Online first.
- Le Moine, N. (2023). On the computation of the basal envelope surface of talwegs using the analytic element method. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–19. Online first.
- Ledoux, E., Hertz, E., Robinet, J.-C., and Combes, P. (2023). Reflections on the role of chemical osmosis mechanisms on the long-term behavior of a collapsed salt cavity. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–29. Online first.
- Malard, A., Dörfliger, N., Jeannin, P.-Y., and Laube, S. (2023). Contribution of the dynamic visualization of a 3D hydrogeological conceptual model to the participatory management of groundwater resources. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–14. Online first.
- Mathevet, T., Le Moine, N., Andréassian, V., Gupta, H., and Oudin, L. (2023). Multi-objective assessment of hydrological model performances using Nash–Sutcliffe and Kling–Gupta efficiencies on a worldwide large sample of watersheds. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–25. Online first.
- Meybeck, M., Bouleau, G., Carré, C., Garnier, J., and Lestel, L. (2023). Rivers help us to quantify the socio-ecological functioning of their basin at the Anthropocene: the Seine example (1850–2020). *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–19. Online first.
- Noetinger, B. (2023). Random fields and up scaling, towards a more predictive probabilistic quantitative hydrogeology. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–14. Online first.
- Oudin, L. and Lalonde, M. (2023). Pitfalls of space-time trading when parametrizing a land use dependent hydrological model. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–17. Online first.
- Pelletier, A. and Andréassian, V. (2023). An underground view of surface hydrology: what can piezometers tell us about river floods and droughts? *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–11. Online first.
- Sanz, E., Carrera, J., Ayora, C., Barón, A., and González, C. (2023). Assessing the salinization mechanisms of coastal brackish springs. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–22. Online first.
- Schafmeister, M.-T. (2023). High-level radioactive waste repository: How geology combined with societal principles can lead to public acceptance—the German experiment. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–15. Online first.
- Simmons, C. T. (2021). Ghislain de Marsily. In Daya Sagar, B. S. et al., editors, *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Geosciences*, Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series. Springer Nature, Switzerland AG.
- Teles, V., Hamon, Y., Deschamps, R., Rohais, S., Nader, F. H., Heckenmeyer, E., Gasparrini, M., Barbier, M., Lerat, O., Joseph, P., and Doligez, B. (2023). Modelling the coupled heterogeneities of the lacustrine microbialite-bearing carbonate reservoir of the Yacoraite Formation (Salta, Argentina). *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–20. Online first.
- Tirogo, J., Jost, A., Biao, A., Koussoubé, Y., Ribstein, P., and Dakouré, D. (2023). Impacts of climate change and pumping on groundwater resources in the Kou River basin, Burkina Faso. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–25. Online first.
- Vasseur, G. (2023). Geothermal heat advected by the recharge of underground conduit. Case study of the karstic spring of Lez (Hérault, France). *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–25. Online first.
- White, J. and Lavenue, M. (2023). Advances in the pilot point inverse method: Où En Sommes-Nous maintenant? *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–9. Online first.
- Yonaba, R., Mounirou, L. A., Tazen, F., Koïta, M., Biao, A. C., Zouré, C. O., Queloz, P., Karambiri, H., and Yacouba, H. (2023). Future climate or land use? Attribution of changes in surface runoff in a typical Sahelian landscape. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–28. Online first.
- Zimmer, D., Tournebise, J., Bouarfa, S., Kao, C., and Lesaffre, B. (2023). Land drainage functioning and hydrological impacts in rural catchments: model development and field experiments. *C. R. Géosci.*, 355(S1), 1–19. Online first.

Appendix

This appendix lists (in alphabetic order) the original works of Ghislain de Marsily which were cited by the papers in this special issue. This list is obviously not an exhaustive one, and is only aimed at providing a rapidly accessible account of Ghislain de Marsily's scientific production.

Ahmed, S., **de Marsily**, G., and Talbot, A. (1988). Combined use of hydraulic and electrical properties of an aquifer in a geostatistical estimation of transmissivity. *Groundwater*, 26(1), 78–86.

- Arfib, B. and **de Marsily**, G. (2004). Modeling the salinity of an inland coastal brackish karstic spring with a conduit-matrix model. *Water Resour. Res.*, 40(11), article no. W11506, 1–10.
- Arfib, B., **de Marsily**, G., and Ganoulis, J. (2002). Les sources karstiques côtières en Méditerranée: étude des mécanismes de pollution saline de l'Almyros d'Héraklion (Crète), observations et modélisation. *Bull. Soc. Géol. France*, 173(3), 245–253.
- Cacas, M. C., Ledoux, E., **de Marsily**, G., Barbreau, A., Calmels, P., Gaillard, B., and Magritta, R. (1990). Modeling fracture flow with a stochastic discrete fracture network: calibration and validation. 2. The transport model. *Water Resour. Res.*, 26(3), 491–500.
- Cacas, M. C., Ledoux, E., **de Marsily**, G., Tillie, B., Barbreau, A., Durand, E., Feuga, G., and Peaudecerf, P. (1990). Modeling fracture flow with a stochastic discrete fracture network: calibration and validation. 1. The flow model. *Water Resour. Res.*, 26(3), 479–489.
- Castro, M. C., Goblet, P., Ledoux, E., Violette, S., and **de Marsily**, G. (1998). Noble gases as natural tracers of water circulation in the Paris Basin: 2. Calibration of a groundwater flow model using noble gas isotope data, *Water Resour. Res.*, 34(10), 2467–2483.
- Chihi, H., **de Marsily**, G., Belayouni, H., and Yahyaoui, H. (2015). Relationship between tectonic structures and hydrogeochemical compartmentalization in aquifers: Example of the “Jeffara of Medenine” system, south-east Tunisia. *J. Hydrol. Reg. Stud.*, 4(part B), 410–430.
- Chihi, H., **de Marsily**, G., Bourges, M., and Sbeaa, M. (2016). A constrained geostatistical approach for efficient multilevel aquifer system characterization. *J. Water Resour. Hydraul. Eng.*, 5(3), 80–95.
- de Marsily**, G. (1978). De l'identification des systèmes hydro-géologiques. *Thèse de doctorat d'Etat de l'Université Pierre et Marie Curie*, Paris.
- de Marsily**, G. (1980). Introduction. In: **de Marsily**, G. and Merriam, D. F., editors, (1982). *Predictive Geology with Emphasis on Nuclear-Waste Disposal*, pages xi–xv. Pergamon Press, England.
- de Marsily**, G. (1982). Predictive Geology—with emphasis to nuclear waste disposal. In: **de Marsily**, G. and Merriam, D. F., editors, *Proceedings IAMG at 26th IGC Paris 1980*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK.
- de Marsily**, G. (1986). *Quantitative Hydrogeology*, page 440. Academic Press, New York.
- de Marsily**, G. (1994). Quelques réflexions sur l'utilisation des modèles en hydrologie. [Tribune libre]. *Rev. Sci. Eau/J. Water Sci.*, 7(3), 219–234.
- de Marsily**, G. (2003). Perspective importance of the maintenance of temporary ponds in arid climates for the recharge of groundwater. *C. R. Geosci.*, 335, 933–934.
- de Marsily**, G. (2007). An overview of the world's water resources problems in 2050. *Ecohydrol. Hydrobiol.*, 7, 147–155.
- de Marsily**, G. (2008). Eau, changements climatiques, alimentation et évolution démographique. *Rev. Sci. Eau*, 21(2), 111–128.
- de Marsily**, G. (2009). *L'eau, un trésor en partage*. Dunod, Paris.
- de Marsily**, G. (2020). Will we soon run out of water? *Ann. Nutr. Metab.*, 76(1), 10–16.
- de Marsily**, G. and Tardieu, B. (2018). *Stratégie d'utilisation des ressources du sous-sol pour la transition énergétique française : les métaux rares*, Académie des Sciences, Académie des Technologies, Paris, France, <https://www.academie-sciences.fr/fr/Rapports-ouvrages-avis-et-recommandations-de-l-Academie/utilisation-des-ressources-du-sous-sol-pour-la-transition-energetique.html>.
- de Marsily**, G. and Abarca-del-Rio, R. (2016). Water and food in the twenty-first century. In: *Remote Sensing and Water Resources*, pages 313–337. Springer, Cham.
- de Marsily**, G. and Besbes, M. (2017). Les Eaux souterraines. In: *Annales Des Mines - Responsabilité et Environnement*, 2017/2 B°86, pages 25–30.
- de Marsily**, G. and Chabaux, F. (2020). Des géosciences aux sciences de la Planète / From Geoscience to Sciences of the Planet. *C. R. Géosci.*, 352, 1–6.
- de Marsily**, G., Combes, P., and Goblet, P. (1992). Comment on “Ground-water models cannot be validated”, by L. F. Konikow and J. D. Bredehoeft. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 15, 367–369.
- de Marsily**, G., Delay, F., Gonçalves, J., Renard, Ph., Teles, V., and Violette, S. (2005). Dealing with spatial heterogeneity. *Hydrogeol. J.*, 13, 161–183.
- de Marsily**, G., Delay, F., Teles, V., and Schafmeister, M. T. (1998). Some current methods to represent the heterogeneity of natural media in hydrogeology. *Hydrogeol. J.*, 6, 115–130.

- de Marsily**, G., Delhomme, J. P., Coudrain-Ribstein, A., and Lavenue, A. M. (2000). Four decades of inverse problems in hydrogeology. In: Zhang, D. and Winter, C. L., editors, *Theory, Modeling, and Field Investigation in Hydrogeology: A Special Volume in Honor of Shlomo P. Neuman's 60th Birthday*, Geological Society of America Special Paper 348, pages 1–17. Boulder, Colorado.
- de Marsily**, G., Delhomme, J.-P., Delay, E., and Buoro, A. (1999). Regards sur 40 ans de problèmes inverses en hydrogéologie. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. IIA Earth Planet. Sci.*, 329(2), 73–87.
- de Marsily**, G. and Lallier-Vergès, E. (2015). Les recherches sur l'eau: de nombreux défis pour l'avenir. In: *L'eau à découvert*, éditions du CNRS, pages 320–321.
- de Marsily**, G., Lavedan, G., Boucher, M., and Fasamino, G. (1984). Interpretation of interference tests in a well field using geostatistical techniques to fit the permeability distribution in a reservoir model. In: *Geostatistics for Natural Resources Characterization*, pages 831–849. NATO advanced Study Institute.
- de Marsily**, G., Ledoux, E., Levassor, A., Poitrinal, D., and Salem, A. (1978). Modelling of large multilayered aquifer systems: theory and applications. *J. Hydrol.*, 36, 1–34.
- de Marsily**, G. and Besbes, M. (2017). Les eaux souterraines. In: *Responsabilités et Environnement*, 2017/2 (N°86), pages 25–30.
- Dieng, B., Ledoux, E., and **de Marsily**, G. (1990). Palaeohydrogeology of the Senegal sedimentary basin: a tentative explanation of the piezometric depressions. *J. Hydrol.*, 118, 357–371.
- Emselem, Y. and **de Marsily**, G. (1971). An automatic solution for the inverse problem. *Water Resour. Res.*, 7(5), 1264–1283.
- Fleury, P., Bakalowicz, M., and **de Marsily**, G. (2007). Submarine springs and coastal karst aquifers: A review. *J. Hydrol.*, 339, 79–92.
- Fleury, P., Bakalowicz, M., **de Marsily**, G., and Cortes, J. M. (2007). Functioning of a coastal karstic system with a submarine outlet, in southern Spain. *Hydrogeol. J.*, 16(1), 75–85.
- Garnier, J., Meybeck, M., Ayrault, S., Billen, G., Blanchoud, H., Carré, C., Flipo, N., Gasperi, J., Lestel, L., **de Marsily**, G., Mouchel, J. M., Servais, P., and Tales, E. (2022). Continental Atlantic rivers: the Seine basin. In: Tockner, K., Zarfl, C., and Robinson, C. T., editors, *Rivers of Europe*, pages 291–330. Elsevier, 2nd edition.
- Lavenue, A. M., RamaRao, B. S., **de Marsily**, G., and Marietta, M. G. (1995). Pilot point methodology for automated calibration of an ensemble of conditionally simulated transmissivity fields: 2. Application. *Water Resour. Res.*, 31(3), 495–516.
- Lavenue, M. and **de Marsily**, G. (2001). Three-dimensional interference test interpretation in a fractured aquifer using the pilot point inverse method. *Water Resour. Res.*, 37(11), 2659–2675.
- Ledoux, E., Girard, G., **de Marsily**, G., Villeneuve, J. P., and Deschenes, J. (1989). *Spatially Distributed Modeling: Conceptual Approach, Coupling Surface Water and Groundwater*, pages 435–454. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.
- Matheron, G. and **de Marsily**, G. (1980). Is transport in porous media always diffusive? a counterexample. *Water Resour. Res.*, 16(5), 901–917.
- Meybeck, M., Fustec, E., and **de Marsily**, G., 1998. *La Seine en son bassin: fonctionnement écologique d'un système fluvial anthropisé*, page 736. Elsevier, Masson.
- RamaRao, B. S., Lavenue, A. M., **de Marsily**, G., and Marietta, M. G. (1995). Pilot point methodology for automated calibration of an ensemble of conditionally simulated transmissivity fields: 1. Theory and computational experiments. *Water Resour. Res.*, 31(3), 475–493.
- Renard, P. and **de Marsily**, G. (1997). Calculating equivalent permeability: a review. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 20(5), 253–278.
- Rousseau-Gueutin, P., Love, A. J., Vasseur, G., Robinson, N. I., Simmons, C. T., and **de Marsily**, G. (2013). Time to reach near-steady state in large aquifers. *Water Resour. Res.*, 49, 6893–6908.
- Schafmeister, M.-Th. and **de Marsily**, G. (1994). The influence of correlation length of highly conductive zones in alluvial media on the transport behavior. In: Dracos, T. and Stauffer, S., editors, *Transport and Reactive Processes in Aquifers*, pages 171–176. Balkema, Rotterdam.
- Teles, V., Bravard, J.-P., **de Marsily**, G., and Perrier, E. (2001). Modeling of the construction of the Rhône alluvial plain since 15,000 years BP. *Sedimentology*, 48, 1209–1224.
- Teles, V., Delay, E., **de Marsily**, G. (2004). Comparison of genesis and geostatistical methods for characterizing the heterogeneity of alluvial media:

- Groundwater flow and simulations. *J. Hydrol.*, 294, 103–121.
- Zimmerman, D., **de Marsily**, G., Gotway, C. A., Marietta, M. G., Axness, C. L., Beauheim, R. L., Bras, R. L., Carrera, J., Dagan, G., Davies, P. B., Gallegos, D. P., Galli, A., Gómez-Hernández, J., Grindrod, P., Gutjahr, A. L., Kitanidis, P. K., Lavenue, A. M., McLaughlin, D., Neuman, S. P., RamaRao, B. S., Ravenne, C., and Rubin, Y. (1998). A comparison of seven geostatistically based inverse approaches to estimate transmissivities for modeling advective transport by groundwater flow. *Water Resour. Res.*, 34(6), 1373–1413.