

Environmental pressures and pesticide exposure associated with an increase in the share of plant-based foods in the diet

Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot, Benjamin Allès, Joséphine Brunin, Brigitte Langevin, Hélène Fouillet, Alison Dussiot, Florine Berthy, Anouk Reuzé, Elie Perraud, Pauline Rebouillat, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot, Benjamin Allès, Joséphine Brunin, Brigitte Langevin, Hélène Fouillet, et al.. Environmental pressures and pesticide exposure associated with an increase in the share of plant-based foods in the diet. Scientific Reports, 2023, 13 (1), pp.19317. 10.1038/s41598-023-46032-z . hal-04320664

HAL Id: hal-04320664 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04320664v1

Submitted on 4 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Environmental pressures and pesticide exposure associated with an increase in the share of plant-based foods in the diet

Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot^{1*}, Benjamin Allès¹, Joséphine Brunin^{1,2}, Brigitte Langevin⁴, Hélène Fouillet³, Alison Dussiot⁴, Florine Berthy¹, Anouk Reuzé¹, Elie Perraud³, Pauline Rebouillat¹, Mathilde Touvier¹, Serge Hercberg^{1,5}, François Mariotti³, Denis Lairon⁶, Philippe Pointereau⁴, Julia Baudry¹ ¹ Sorbonne Paris Nord University, Inserm, INRAE, Cnam, Nutritional Epidemiology Research Team (EREN), Epidemiology and Statistics Research Center – University of Paris (CRESS), 93017 Bobigny, France

² ADEME, Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie), 49004 Angers, France

³ Paris-Saclay University, UMR PNCA, AgroParisTech, INRAE, 75005, Paris, France

⁴ Solagro, 75, Voie TOEC, CS 27608, F-31076 Toulouse Cedex 3, France

⁵ Département de Santé Publique, Hôpital Avicenne, 93017 Bobigny, France

⁶ Aix Marseille Université, INSERM, INRAE, C2VN, 13005 Marseille, France

*Corresponding author: Email: <u>emmanuelle.kesse-guyot@inrae.fr</u>

Equipe de Recherche en Epidémiologie Nutritionnelle (EREN)

SMBH Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, 74 rue Marcel Cachin, 93017 Bobigny, France

Running title: Rebalancing plant and animal food

Number of tables: 3/Number of figures: 3

Supplemental material: 5 tables and 2 figures

- 1 Abbreviations:
- 2 DHA: docosahexaenoic acid
- 3 DQI: diet quality index
- 4 EPA: eicosapentaenoic acid
- 5 Food frequency questionnaire: FFQ
- 6 Greenhouse gas emissions: GHGe
- 7 PE: energy from plant food
- 8 PNNS-GS2: Programme National Nutrition Santé guidelines score
- 9 Sweet and fat foods: SFF
- 10

11 Abstract

- 12 Background: Diets rich in plant-based foods are encouraged for human health and to preserve
- 13 resources and the environment but the nutritional quality and safety of such diets is debated.
- 14 *Objective:* This study aimed to model nutritionally adequate diets with increasing plant food content
- 15 and to characterise the derived diets using a multicriteria approach including, nutrients intake,
- 16 environmental pressures and exposure to pesticides
- 17 Methods: Using data of the NutriNet-Santé cohort (N=29,413), we implemented stepwise optimization
- 18 models to identified maximum plant-food content under nutritional constraints. Environmental
- 19 indicators at the production level were derived from the DIALECTE database, and exposure to
- 20 pesticide residues from plant food consumption was estimated using a contamination database.
- 21 Results: Plant-based foods contributed to 64.3% (SD=10.6%) of energy intake in observed diets and
- 22 may reach up to 95% in modelled diets without jeopardizing nutritional status. Compared to the
- 23 observed situation, an increase in plant-based foods in the diets led to increases in soy-based products
- 24 (+480%), dried fruits (+370%), legumes (+317%), whole grains (+251%), oils (+144%) and
- 25 vegetables (+93%). Animal products decreased progressively until total eviction, except for beef (-
- 26 98%). Dietary quality (estimated using the Diet Quality Index Based on the Probability of Adequate
- 27 Nutrient Intake) was improved (up to 17%) as well as GHGe (up to -65%), energy demand (up to -
- 48%), and land occupation (-56%) for production. Exposures to pesticides from plant-based foods
- 29 were increased by 100% conventional production and to a much lesser extent by 100% organic
- 30 production.
- 31 Conclusions: This study shows that shifting to nutritionally-adequate plant-based diets requires an in-
- 32 depth rearrangement of food groups' consumption but allows a drastic reduction environmental
- 33 impact. Increase exposure to pesticide residues and related risks can be mitigated by consuming foods
- 34 produced with low pesticide input .**Keywords:** plant-food, diet optimization, pesticides, greenhouse
- 35 gas emissions, sustainable diet, healthy diet

36 Highlights

- Plant-based content may make up to 95% (as energy) of diet without jeopardizing the
- 38 nutritional status
- 39 Exposure to pesticide increases with the share of plant foods in the diet if they are not organic

Introduction

- 40 Modern western diets, rich in animal products and salt, saturated fat, and sugar, are not sustainable [1].
- 41 Responsible for many chronic diseases, , western diets also have harmful consequences on natural
- 42 resources and strongly contribute to climate change [2,3]. Since 1950s, population's growth,
- 43 modernization and urbanization have led to an intensification of agriculture. In addition, increased
- 44 wealth is associated with increased animal-based foods demand [4]. However, production of animal
- 45 food for humans is very inefficient in terms of energy, especially in intensive production settings [5],
- 46 since a loss of energy occurs throughout the trophic chain.
- 47 Indeed, the scientific literature robustly documents that food systems, particularly intensive and
- 48 industrialized ones, are responsible for major environmental degradation, such as deforestation, water
- 49 use and greenhouse gas emissions (GHGe) [6,7]. Additionally, the production of meat, fish, eggs and
- 50 dairy products uses ~ 83% of agricultural land globally and contributes 56-58% of the emissions
- 51 generated by food production, while providing 37% of the protein supply [8]. Meanwhile some
- 52 extensive grazing systems in Europe contribute to High Nature Value farmland [9] and overall,
- 53 changes in farming practices may help in mitigate harmful impacts [10].
- 54 This explains the drastically lower environmental footprint of plant-based diets and even more for 55 vegetarian or vegan diets [11–15]. Indeed, observational or modelling studies show that the reduction
- 56 of animal products in diets is associated with lower environmental pressures, considering mostly
- r
- 57 indicators related to climate change or land use [16]. For example, we have recently shown that a
- 58 moderate reduction of GHGe was associated with a gradual increase of fruits, vegetables and soy-
- 59 based products in the diet and conversely a decrease of animal products [17]. However, existing
- 60 optimization studies do not consider potential difference in environmental pressures according to
- 61 farming practices.
- 62 Plant-based diets have been consistently associated with long-term health, i.e. lower risk of chronic
- diseases [2,18]. However, plant-based foods include both healthy and unhealthy foods, such as ultra-
- 64 processed foods and/or sweetened beverages, desserts, or salty or sweet snacks, so it is important to
- 65 clarify which healthy and sustainable plant-based products should be substituted for animal-based
- 66 products [19,20]. An issue frequently raised, beyond social norms associated with animal-food
- 67 consumption and taste, is related to the nutrients constituting the animal, versus plant-based, protein
- 68 package, which may compromise nutritional status for protein, zinc, iron and vitamin B12 [21].
- 69 However, it has been shown that in Western countries protein undernutrition is rare (except for the
- roll elderly or frail) insofar as if total protein requirements are covered, amino acid intakes are not limiting
- 71 [22]. Some authors have also emphasized that the amount and quality of plant-protein is often
- ⁷² underestimated or misunderstood [23]. In addition, we have recently shown in an optimization study
- that it is possible to eliminate meat from the diet and that dietary changes can meet the requirements
- for iron, zinc, and vitamin A. In that study, other nutrients supplied mainly by meat, such as vitamins
- 75 B6 and B12, proteins and essential amino acids, were never limiting [24].

- 76 Besides, we have shown that a reduction in the consumption of animal products (meat and dairy
- products) leads to potentially insufficient intakes of iron and zinc based on official recommendations
- 78 [17], but the latter may be overestimated [25].
- 79 Some authors have qualified the increase in demand for protein-rich foods (related to population
- 80 growth and socio-economic development), high biological value of animal proteins, and the low
- 81 environmental pressures of plant protein as a "protein trade-off" ("human versus ecosystem health")
- 82 [26]. In addition, another issue pertained to the potential elevated chronic exposure to pesticide
- 83 residues that are strongly associated with plant-food consumption at the individual level even if food
- 84 maximal level of residues are mostly respected [27]. We previously showed that potential exposure to
- 85 pesticides residues may be drastically increased for people with highly plant-based diet [28].
- 86 The primary objective of the present study was to identify optimized diets gradually higher in plant-
- based foods (expressed as energy and noted %PE) but fully adequate in all nutrient intakes (including
- those conveyed by animal foods, i.e. under nutritional and acceptability constraints), while considering
- the beef/milk coproduct link. A second objective was to study the externalities of these diets by
- 90 describing the optimized diets in terms of environmental pressures and to evaluate pesticide residue
- 91 exposure associated with diet increased in plant-food.

Methods

92 **Population**

- 93 This analysis is based on a sample of adults involved in the ongoing web-based prospective NutriNet-
- 94 Santé cohort aiming to investigate relationships between nutrition and health [29]. Participants are
- 95 recruited on a voluntary basis from the general French population. This study is conducted in
- 96 accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures were approved by the Institutional
- 97 Review Board of the French Institute for Health and Medical Research (IRB Inserm
- 98 0000388FWA00005831) and the National Commission on Informatics and Liberty (Commission
- 99 Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés, CNIL 908450 and 909216). Electronic informed consent
- 100 was obtained from all participants. The NutriNet-Santé study is registered in ClinicalTrials.gov101 (NCT03335644).

102 **Dietary data assessment**

- 103 The dietary data were collected using a self-administered validated semi-quantitative food frequency
- 104 questionnaire (FFQ), administered from June to December 2014, extensively described elsewhere
- 105 [30]. For each of the 264 food and beverage items, the questionnaire has been augmented by a five-
- 106 point ordinal scale to evaluate the mode of production, i.e. organic (under official label) or
- 107 conventional [31]. Thus, participants were asked to choose among the following answer modalities:
- 108 "never", "rarely", "half-of-time", "often" or "always" in response to the question 'How often was the
- 109 product of organic origin?'. Organic food consumption was estimated by allocating the respective
- 110 weights: 0, 25, 50, 75 and 100% to the modalities. Consumption reports are for foods as consumed and
- edible part coefficients have been applied. For clarity, food and beverage items were grouped into

- 112 food groups specifically defined for this optimization modelling (see footnotes to Fig. 1). Nutritional
- 113 composition of each item was determined by combining the published NutriNet-Santé food
- 114 composition table (>3000 items) [32] with the 264 FFQ-items as the weighted mean of the nutritional
- 115 content of all corresponding foods. For each food, energy intake from plant or animal source was
- 116 calculated. Energy intake from plant or animal sources was calculated based on validated recipes
- 117 developed by dieticians, taking into account the nature of the ingredients.. Weights were the
- 118 frequencies of consumption in the NutriNet-Santé population. Individual nutrient intakes were
- 119 calculated.

120 Sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics

- 121 Age, education (<high school diploma, high school diploma, and post-secondary graduate), smoking
- 122 status (former, current, or never-smoker), and physical activity assessed using the International
- 123 Physical Activity questionnaire [33] were collected using pre-validated questionnaires updated each
- 124 year. [34,35]. For this study, we used the data closest to the FFQ completion date.

125 **Dietary indicators**

- 126 The nutritional quality of the optimized diets was assessed using three dietary indexes.
- 127 The nutrient-based PANDiet (Diet Quality Index Based on the Probability of Adequate Nutrient
- 128 Intake) contains two subscales reflecting *adequacy* and *moderation* [36]. For each nutrient, the
- 129 'probability of adequacy', i.e. intake above minimum values (adequacy score) or below maximum
- 130 values (moderation score) is calculated on the basis of nutrient reference values. The final score is the
- 131 average of the two sub-scores. The adequacy sub-score is the average of the probabilities of adequacy
- 132 for 28 nutrients and the moderation sub-score includes 6 nutrients and 12 penalty values referring to
- 133 the probabilities of exceeding upper limits of intakes. The PANDiet ranges from 0 to 100 points, with
- 134 a higher score reflecting better adherence to French nutritional recommendations and adequate nutrient
- 135 intake. The calculation to estimate the adequacy of the usual intake for a given nutrient is as follows:
- 136 $Prob\left(\frac{y-r}{SDr}\right)$

137 Where Prob: is the *probnorm* function of SAS®, y: daily mean intake, r: the reference value, SDr: the138 interindividual variability.

- 139 The second score was based on food group consumption and has been recently developed to assess the
- 140 quality of plant and animal foods [37]. Each component (healthy/unhealthy plant-based/animal food
- 141 consumption) ranged between 0 and 5 points for a total maximum score of 85. Components and
- scoring are presented on **Supplemental Fig 1**.
- 143 Third, the sPNNS-GS2 is a validated score, ranging from $-\infty$ to 14.25, reflecting adherence to the 2017
- 144 French food-based dietary guidelines proposed by the High Council of Public Health [38,39]. It is
- 145 composed of 12 weighted components for moderation or adequation and penalty for energy intake was
- applied. Components and scoring are presented in **Supplemental Fig. 2**.

147 Environmental pressure indicators

- 148 Food-related environmental indicators were computed using upstream life cycle analysis (LCA) from
- 149 the DIALECTE database developed by Solagro [40]. This database has the particularity of covering
- 150 conventional and organic farms. GHGe (kg of CO₂ equivalents (CO₂eq)), cumulative energy demand
- 151 (MJ), and land occupation (m^2) were computed at the farm perimeter excluding downstream steps such
- as conditioning, transport, processing, storage or recycling stages. Details, data and computation have
- 153 been broadly described elsewhere [41].
- 154 Data of 92 raw agricultural products, economic allocation (accounting for coproducts), as well as
- 155 cooking and edibility coefficients were used to estimate environmental pressures related to the
- 156 production of the 264 food items. Pesticide residue exposure
- 157 A food contamination database was developed from the data provided by the CVUA in Stuttgart. It 158 consists of 6 billion data points on pesticide residue levels collected in Europe during the period 2012-159 2015 for foods of plant origin, both organic and conventional (the database does not cover foods of 160 animal origin, which are known to be much less contaminated by pesticide residues than foods of plant 161 origin). The data collection and computation have been extensively described elsewhere [30]. The plant-based food of the FFQ were decomposed into 442 ingredients for which the mean of 162 163 contamination for a list of compounds was computed. Pesticide residues included active substances, 164 such as organophosphates, pyrethroids, others and active substances allowed in organic farming such as natural pyrethrins and spinosad. A synthetic indicator was calculated as the sum of exposure inverse 165
- 166 weighted on the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI).

167 Coproduct factors for ruminant products

- 168 As previously published [17], we considered a coproduct factor between milk and beef. Indeed,
- 169 increase in plant protein is associated with a decrease in beef consumption. However, in particular to
- 170 meet calcium requirement, milk consumption is not suppressed implying that cattle breeding persists.
- 171 In 2010 in France, 25 million tons of milk and 1.52 million tons of beef (expressed in carcass weight)
- 172 [42] were produced, of which 41% was from dairy herd, i.e., 0.62 million tons of beef [43].
- 173 Considering a meat to carcass weight ratio of 68% [44], and further yields of 90% during distribution
- 174 (due to 10% distribution losses) and 68% during consumption (due to 32% losses by cooking, bones
- and wastes) [44] and that 1L of milk corresponded to 10g of meat when applying the equation:
- 176

25 million tons of Milk (L) = 1.52 million tons of beef \times 41% \times 68%_{carcass vield} \times 90%_{distribution vield} \times

177

178

68% preparation yield

179 Weighting of nutritional reference

180 The nutritional reference values are established separately for men and women since they have

- 181 significantly different physiological requirements [45]. In addition, a subsequent distinction is made
- 182 between women with high vs low iron requirements. It is estimated that about 20% of menstruating
- 183 women have high iron requirements [45]. In this study, to improve clarity, we defined an average

- 184 individual constituted of 50% men and 50% women, reflecting the French distribution. In addition, for
- 185 women, we considered 50% postmenopausal women and 50% non-menopausal women with low and
- 186 high iron requirement respectively. The assignment of high iron requirements to all menstruating
- 187 women allows to mimic the strictest situation. Reference values for each nutrient were defined as the
- 188 weighted mean and are presented in **Table 1.**
- 189 For mean, 5th and 95th percentiles (see below) values of observed food item intakes, we calculated
- 190 weighted averages after calculation of individual weights so that the proportions defined above were 191 respected.
- 191 Tespected.
- 192 Modelling the increase of the contribution of plant food to energy intake
- 193 Using non-linear optimization modelling, we identified optimized consumptions of 264 different food
- 194 items, as well as their respective proportions in organic. We obtained optimized diets with minimal
- diet departure from the initial (observed) diets, while maintaining a set of constraints including
- 196 nutritional (adequate nutrient intakes), acceptability, and coproduction constraints. An additional
- 197 constraint was imposed, which was the gradual increase of the percentage of energy obtained from
- 198 plant-based foods until the maximal value identified in a preliminary step. Optimized nutritionally
- adequate diets were developed from initial conditions based on observed food consumptions and
- 200 nutritional composition of food items [46,47].
- 201 The list of fixed constraints was as follows:
- Nutritional constraints on daily energy intake and a set of nutrients were defined according to
 the upper and/or lower reference values. Lower bounds were defined as recommended dietary
 allowance (population reference intake), adequate intake, or lower bound of reference range
 for the intake in the French population of ANSES [45] based on the 2021 EFSA opinion [48].
 For adequate intake based on observed mean intake, the lower limit was set at the weighted 5th
 percentile value. Upper bounds were defined as the maximum tolerable intakes for vitamins
- and minerals when available, or the upper limit of the reference intake range otherwise.
- For zinc and iron, bioavailability was considered using the published formula [49,50]. Further
 details are presented in Supplemental Material.
- Acceptability constraints were defined at the food group level, with upper bounds set at the
 weighted 95th percentiles values.
- To comply with some contaminant constraints, such as heavy metals, we added a constraints
 as regarding total fish consumption (≤2 portions / week) [39].
- Coproduction constraint limited the consumption of milk to a proportion of that of beef, using
 the factor between milk and beef defined as reported above.
- 217 <u>The modelling process was conducted in two steps:</u>
- 218 In the first model, we searched to identify the maximal contribution of plant-based foods to diet
- 219 energy (%PE) satisfying the all the fixed constraints, and the objective function was hence defined as
- the equation:

221
$$\operatorname{Max} \% \operatorname{PE} = \sum_{i}^{264} \left[\frac{Kcal - Plant_i \times Opt_i}{Kcal_i \times Opt_i} \right]$$

- 222 where i is the food item, Kcal-Plant_i and Kcal_i denote plant and total energy value in the food item (i),
- 223 respectively and Opt_i denote the daily consumption of the food item (i) in the optimized model.
- 224
- 225 Next, in the main stepwise models, for identifying a culturally acceptable dietary trajectory towards
- that maximal plant-derived energetic content, plant-energy was included as a gradual additional
- 227 constraint (in addition to the fixed constraints) following this equation:
- 228 $\% \text{PE} \geq \lambda\% \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i}^{264} \left[\frac{Kcal Plant_i \times Opt_i}{Kcal_i \times Opt_i} \right] \times 100 \geq \lambda\%$

where i is the food item, Kcal-Plant_i and Kcal_i denotes plant and total energy value for the food item (i), respectively and Opt_i denotes the daily consumption of the food item (i) in the optimized model. λ ranges from the observed value (65%) to the maximum identified in the preliminary step by 5% increment.

233 The objective function was to minimize at each step the total departure (TD) from the previous

- 234 modelled diet, as the equation:
- 235

$$\text{Min TD} = \sum_{i}^{264} \left[\frac{Opt_{i[[n]]} - Opt_{i[[n-1]]}}{SD_i} \right]^2$$

Where $Opt_{i[[n]]}$ and $Opt_{i[[n-1]]}$ denote the daily consumption of food item (i) in the n and n-1 optimized models, respectively and SD_i was the standard deviation of the daily consumption of food item (i) over the whole population in the initial (observed) condition.

239

240 Diet optimization was performed using the procedure SAS/OR ® optmodel (version 9.4; SAS

241 Institute, Inc.) using a non-linear optimization algorithm with multi-start option to warrant that

identified solutions were not only local optima [47].

For each model, we conducted an analysis of the standardized dual values to identify the most so-called active constraints of the model, i.e. constraints limiting the objective gain, i.e. minimizing diet departure while complying with all the constraints, compared to the inactive variables that do not drive the model.

- 247 This allowed the identification of limiting nutrients This analysis was performed using an
- approach described in a previous work [51], by calculating the standardized dual values
- corresponding to the potential gain in objective in the case of a 100% relaxation of the
- 250 limiting bound of the constraint [52].."

251 Statistical analysis

- 252 For the baseline situation of the present study, we considered participants of the NutriNet-Santé study
- who had completed the Org-FFQ between June and December 2014 (N=37,685), with no missing

- covariates (N=37,305), not detected as under- or over-energy reporter (N=35,196), living in mainland
- France (N=34,453), and with available data regarding the place of purchase for the computation of the
- dietary monetary cost as published elsewhere [53], leading to a final sample of 29,413 participants

257 (Supplemental Fig. 3). The sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics of the three initial

- 258 populations (men, premenopausal and menopausal women) and of the average individual were
- estimated as mean (SD) or percentage.
- 260 The optimized diets identified were described for the average individual by the following indicators:
- 261 1) dietary consumption by food groups,
- 262 2) relevant nutrients intakes, as regards plant to animal food rebalancing,
- 263 3) environmental pressures (GHGe, cumulative energy demand and land occupation),

4) exposure to pesticide residues for two scenarios (100% conventional and 100% organic). To do that, we applied the method as recommended by WHO [54]. For each active substance, the estimated daily intake (EDI) (in μ g/kg body weight/d) was calculated under a lower-bound scenario, using the reference method described by Nougadère et al. [55], combining food consumption, contamination, farming practices and body weight after applying edible coefficients for cooking and peeling. A synthetic indicator of exposure was calculated as the average exposure to each molecule.

- 270 Secondary analyses were conducted. First, all the procedures were repeated across 3 subgroups (based
- 271 on tertile value of the distribution of protein from plant-foods to total) with different values of %PE at
- baseline: 50%, 65% and 80%. Second, all the procedures were repeated by modelling the increase in
- the ratio of plant protein instead of the ratio of energy from plants.
- All statistical analyses were performed using SAS® (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA)
- and figures were drawn using R version 3.6. The non-linear optimization problem was performed
- 276 using the NLP solver of the OPTMODEL procedure of SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.,
- 277 Cary, NC, USA).

Results

The characteristics of the reference population are presented in **Supplemental Table 1**. This population initially included 29,413 participants (75 % women), with a mean age of 54.5y. The characteristics of the average individual are also presented. In the observed diet, the proportion of

- energy intake from plant-based foods was on average 65%.
- The first model, aiming at identifying the maximum part of plant-based foods (expressed as a percentage of diet energy) in the diet under nutritional (nutrient requirements by taking iron and zinc bioavailability into account), acceptability and coproduction constraints, revealed that it is possible to reach up to 95% of energy intake from plant-based foods.
- 286 The %PE was then constrained to gradually increased by 5% increments from the basal scenario
- 287 (keeping the observed value of 65% of energy from plant-based foods but meeting nutritional and
- other constraints) to the final scenario (reaching the maximal value of 95% of energy from plant-based
- 289 foods always allowing the satisfaction of constraints).

290 Modeled diet compositions across these scenarios are presented in Fig. 1. Progressive increase by 291 increments of 5% in %PE was associated with a progressive decrease or a total removal of meat 292 (ruminant, pork and poultry), dairy products, eggs, fat and dressing, fruit juices, prepared dishes/fast 293 food, sweet and fat foods (SFF). On contrary, across scenarios, there was a progressive increase in 294 dried fruit, legumes, soy-based products, vegetables, vegetable oil and whole-grain products. We 295 observed a bell-shaped relationship for cereal, fruit, and beverages (fruit nectar, syrup, soda (with or 296 without sugar, plant-based beverages (except soy-based), milk consumed with tea/coffee). Potatoes 297 showed a bell- shaped distribution but a drastic increase in the 95% PE scenario. Of note, some food 298 groups were increased as early as the basal scenario (65%PE) so as to correct the nutritional 299 inadequacies of the observed diets (that did not comply with some nutritional constraints): beef, 300 poultry, eggs, cereals, fast-food, fruit, legumes, whole grain products, oil, prepared dishes/fast food 301 and SFF. Fish was stable across all scenario.

302 Nutrient contents of the diets and dietary indexes are shown in **Table 2**. The basal scenario (65% PE)

303 under nutritional constraints led to an increase in energy intake (both from animal and plant-based

304 foods and similar results for proteins). As a result of nutritional constraints, DHA (docosahexaenoic

305 acid) + EPA (eicosapentaenoic acid), bioavailable iron, fibre and all micronutrient content of the

diet were improved (from plus 1% for vitamin B9 to 21% for bioavailable iron). Then, the gradual

307 increase in %PE (from 65 up to 95%) was associated with decreases in total and animal protein (-28%

and -80% respectively) and an increase in plant protein (+72%). DHA and EPA intakes were stable

309 across scenarios as well as bioavailable zinc and sodium.

- 310 As expected, the basal scenario (65% PE) that corrected the nutritional inadequacies of the observed 311 diet led to a healthier diet as reflected by an overall increase in PANDiet (+8%) and specifically of its 312 adequation subscore. Similarly, the cDOI was improved (+32%) as well as each of its plant and animal 313 subscores. Through scenarios of gradual %PE, the PANDiet gradually increased, until it reaches a 314 plateau. Specifically, its adequation subscore was stable while its moderation subscore improved 315 (+49%). As regards the cDQI, a small decrease was observed due to a decrease in aDQI. As regards 316 the sPNNS-GS2, the basal scenario led to a strong increase in sPNNS-GS2 (+129%). Across scenario, 317 gradual increase % PE led to increase in these both scores (+8 and +22% respectively) with maximal 318 values attained at around 80-85%.
- The active constraints (i.e. limiting the model) in the basal scenario were, in descending order, EPA+DHA, energy intake, alpha-linolenic acid, saturated fatty acids, fiber, sodium, alpha-linoleic acid, and vitamin C. The active constraints in the 95% scenario were, in descending order, energy intake, bioavailable zinc, EPA+DHA, calcium, sodium, iodine, sugar without lactose, vitamin C and vitamin B12. Of note, vitamin B12 was limiting only in the last scenario (data not tabulated).
- Environmental indicators for observed and optimized diets and each modelled scenario are showed in **Table 3.** Due to an increase in energy intake in the optimized diets, imposed by the energy requirements constraint (**Table 1**), the basal model scenario was associated with higher values

327 compared to observed ones for GHGe, energy demand and land occupation, whatever the farming 328 method. In the following scenarios, the gradual increase in energy from plant-based foods led to 329 marked gradual decreases in all indicators, comparable whatever the farming method, around -70% for 330 GHGe, -50% for energy demand and -60% for land occupation between the final and initial.

331 Exposure to pesticide residues from plant-based foods are presented as 100% organic or 100% conventional for each scenario in Fig. 2. When modelling pesticide residues exposures, the increase in 332 333 plant-based foods led to higher exposures to most of pesticides in the 100% conventional scenario, 334 with some fluctuations depending on the structure of the modelled diet, conversely, 100% organic 335 allowed to markedly limit exposure to synthetic pesticides. However, spinosad, which is approved in 336 organic farming, increased. The exposure across scenarios are tabulated in Supplemental Table 2 and 337 Supplemental Table 3 as % of the ADI. In relative value, compared to the observed situation, the 338 synthetic indicator of exposure to pesticides increased in both farming systems (+46% in conventional 339 and +124% in organic), but values in organic were dramatically lower than in conventional (-84% 340 between the organic and conventional scenarios at 95% PE).

341 A number of sensitivity analyses were conducted. The first method explore the influence of the observed level of energy intake from plant-based foods on the scenarios. Gradual optimized diets 342 343 derived in subsamples with 50 % PE, 65% PE, and 80% PE led to similar shapes of dietary trajectories. 344 There were however some differences since the optimized consumptions of dried fruits and nuts, legumes, soy-based products, vegetables, and whole grain products increased in line with the baseline 345 346 values of the %PE. Food group consumptions in the observed and optimized diets of the final scenario 347 (95% PE) are presented in **Figure 3**. The higher the % PE in the observed situation, the higher the 348 optimized consumption of dried fruits and nuts, legumes, soy-based products, vegetables, and whole 349 grain products.

350 The second sensitivity analyses modelled a gradual increase in plant proteins rather than in plant 351 energy. The maximum contribution of plant proteins achievable for complying with the set of 352 constraints was 80%. Consumptions in scenarios of gradual increase in plant proteins are shown in 353 Supplemental Fig. 4. Findings were similar to those of the increase in %PE models but beef and milk 354 decreased more rapidly while legumes increased more rapidly. In addition, sweet and fat product were 355 higher in optimized diets. Compared to those of the increase in %PE models, findings were similar in 356 terms of trends but maximum PANDiet was lower (76.47 vs. 81.97) (Supplemental Tables 4). Also, 357 decrease in GHGe was stronger in conventional (1.01 vs. 1.46 kgCO2eq/d) and in organic 0.93 vs.

358 1.44 kgCO2eq/d) (Supplemental Table 5).

Discussion

359 In this study evaluating a gradually increase in proportion of plant-based foods in the diet, we showed

that it is possible to increase the caloric proportion of plant foods up to 95% (corresponding to 82% of

361 protein from plant foods), without jeopardizing nutritional requirements in the French context of non-

362 fortified foods. This increase in the proportion of plant-based foods is associated with a significant

- 363 reduction in environmental pressures and, in particular of GHGe (about -65%, in conventional and in
- 364 organic scenario) as well as land occupation (-about -55%, in conventional and in organic scenario).
- 365 Although it has been shown in previous studies that a higher consumption of plant food is related to a
- 366 higher exposure to pesticides, this is the first study to put it in the context of dietary changes for
- 367 environmental sustainability. Nonetheless, compared to a 100% conventional diet, a 100% organic diet
- resulted in significantly lower exposure to pesticides residues (on average -85%).
- 369 The most limiting nutrients that were stuck at their bounds (requirements or upper limits) in nearly all
- 370 the optimized diets across scenarios were DHA+EPA, calcium, sodium, and bioavailable zinc.
- 371 Following previous work documenting a likely overestimated nutritional reference for zinc [25], we
- 372 selected a compromise between nutritional reference and deficiency threshold to set the constraint at
- the observed value to not over-shape the model. In spite of this release, the zinc constraint remained
- the most limiting in the basal scenario (65% PE). Sugars except lactose and sodium were also active
- 375 constraints at the upper bound. As previously documented [56,57], accounting for the bioavailability
- of iron and zinc using validated equations showed that such nutrients are key elements to consider in
- 377 plant-based diets.
- 378 It should be noted that adequate nutrient intake can be achieved up to a scenario with 95% PE (or \approx
- 80% protein from plant foods). This shows that a predominantly plant-based diet can provide adequatenutrient intake.
- 381 In that scenario, some nutrients from animal-based foods were critical, particularly zinc, EPA and
- 382 DHA, calcium, iodine, and vitamin B12 and nutritional constraints were no longer achievable above
- 383 the 95% PE scenario (mostly vitamin B12 and EPA+DHA constraints). Thus, our findings suggest the
- 384 existence of levers for increase plant-foods in the diet without compromising nutritional quality.
- 385 Constraints to ensure nutrient requirements in the modelled diets resulted in an increase in the
- adequacy subscore (+19%) of PANDiet, from the first scenario, but this subscore then remained stable
- in the scenarios of gradual increases in plant foods. In contrast, the moderation subscore of PANDiet
- 388 gradually improved.
- 389 Similarly, the cDQI improved significantly in the first scenario and then increased very slightly, and
- finally decreased. The plant component (pDQI) reached a plateau, while the animal component (aDQI)
- decreases with the gradual removal of animal-based foods. Overall, the quality of the diet is
- 392 significantly improved with increasing plant foods in the diet and appears to peak around 80-85% of
- 393 energy from plant foods. The association between the diet contribution of plant-based foods and diet
- quality [58], estimated through holistic approaches such as dietary indexes, has been documented in
- the scientific literature [58]. However, data are relatively scarce, mostly focused on vegetarians and
- 396 vegans diets in comparisons with meat-eaters through dietary indexes based on food group intakes
- rather than on nutrients intakes and requirements [59].
- 398 Two recent studies have focused on the identification of the healthier plant to total protein ratio to be
- 399 achieved while meeting nutritional references [60,61]. One of these studies focused only on nutritional

400 aspects without reporting environmental pressures and reported an optimal ratio between 45% and

- 401 60% [61]. The second study documented that plant-based protein ratios could range from 15% to 80%
- 402 without undermining the quality of diet [60]. However, as in our study, the optimized diets were

403 different from the observed diets, and environmental pressures were diminished as the proportion of

404 plant proteins increased. It is also worth noting that even though the modeling and population were

different, the 80% plant protein ratio identified in the second study was very close to the value found

406 (the model with 95% energy intake led to a 80% plant-based protein ratio) in our study. Based on

407 observed data, we previously showed that a provegetarian score is positively associated with the

PANDiet score reflecting the probability of adequacy to nutritional references [62]. Of note, we used
the cDQI distinguishing the quality of foods from animal and plant origin [37], which allows a better
understanding of the combination of plant and animal foods that provide nutrients.

411 In terms of food consumption, the gradual increase in protein and energy from plant foods resulted to

412 quite similar diets for both models. However, the models, as combinatory processes based on different

413 objectives, led to some disparities, especially for foods with different protein contents. For example,

414 for dairy products, the model aiming to reduce animal protein will favour milk that is less rich in

415 protein than fresh dairy products. Besides, a salient point concerns the increase in exposure to

416 pesticide residues associated with a diet rich in plant-based food. Indeed, fruit and vegetables are the

417 food groups exhibiting the highest levels of pesticide residues, along with legumes and whole-grain

418 cereals [27] while animal foods are generally much less contaminated. Organic farming prohibits the

419 use of synthetic pesticides and thus organically grown plant-based foods contain fewer and less often

420 pesticide residues than their conventional counterparts thus allowing to reduce exposure to pesticides

421 residues [63,64]. However, contaminations by remnant molecules are possible as the conversion

422 towards organic farming is recent and some molecules are persistent [65].

423 Of note exposure to individual compounds were mostly under ADI but it is now stated that exposure

to low doses of mixture of pesticides residues may be harmful [66].

As pesticide use also depends on crop types, the scenarios of gradual increase in plant-based foods led to increases or decreases in the total exposure. However, the overall food exposure indicator increased in both farming practices, but was six times more in conventional than in organic farming. All specific exposures were lower in organic than in conventional farming, except for the molecules which are

429 authorized in organic farming, namely spinosad and pyrethrins. These findings are in line with those

430 documented recently as regards the level of diet-related pesticides exposure according to different

431 diets [28].

432 Knowledge of the increased risk of disease associated with chronic exposure to pesticides, particularly

in the occupational population, is growing [67–69], but ad hoc studies should be conducted in the

434 general population to better assess the potential risks associated with pesticide mixtures.

435 Consistent with the literature on observational data [13,14,70] or modelled data using optimization

436 algorithms [11–13], the increase in the contribution of plant-based foods to diet was associated herein

437 with lower environmental pressures. We hence obtained a 65% GHGe reduction for the final scenario

438 with 95% of energy from plant-based foods compared to the observed situation, which also

- 439 corresponds to the difference observed between omnivores and vegetarians [71]. This quantified
- 440 reduction corresponds to the lower value of a vegetarian diet reported in the review by
- 441 Aleksandrowicz et al. [13] although the LCA were estimated at the farm level only in our study. We
- 442 also obtained land use and energy demand decreases, which were of very similar extents whatever the
- 443 mode of production. In the organic compared to the conventional production farming system, land
- 444 occupation was higher and energy demand was lower, but the differences according farming practices
- 445 were attenuated across scenario.
- 446 However, diets that are much higher in plant-based foods than in animal foods can raise agronomic
- 447 issues such as the alternative use of permanent grasslands in case of reduction in livestock farming. In
- 448 particular, because some areas, especially mountainous ones, are ideal for livestock farming. It should
- also be noted that carbon sequestration is not sufficient to offset beef emissions, in particular because
- 450 the carbon sinks are eventually saturated [72]. In that context, some strategies, although insufficient at
- 451 present, have been proposed to mitigate gas emissions by ruminants including animal and feed
- 452 management, diet formulation and rumen manipulation [73]. Most of the soybeans used for animal
- 453 feed in France and Europe are imported from Latin America, which contributes significantly to
- deforestation in these countries [74]. Despite public policy efforts [75], this type of soy production is
- unsustainable (because it is transported from a far distance) and cannot be part of a sustainable food
- 456 system. The high consumption of soy products identified in the present study would therefore require a
- 457 reallocation of soybean production locally and appropriate and sustainable management practices to
- 458 allow for sustainable soy production for human consumption [76].
- 459 Overall, our results are coherent with the literature comparing GHG emissions from observed diets
- 460 more or less rich in animal products, with lower emissions for diets richer in plant-based foods
- 461 [13,14], although such observed diets do not necessarily meet the nutritional requirements.
- 462 The final scenario (95% PE) had similarities to the 2030 scenario modelled in the Netherlands, except
- that it included more fruits and vegetables, less dairy products, and significantly more soy-based
- 464 products [77]. Similar to our findings, fish was still needed to ensure EPA+DHA intakes. While the
- 465 LCAs used herein are based on the farm perimeter, GHGe were comparable in this study and ours. We
- 466 recently conducted a diet optimization model study showing that it is possible to reduce GHGs by 50%
- in the NutriNet-Santé population without eliminating all animal-based foods [17]. The present study
- 468 demonstrated that, under nutritional constraints, it is possible to further reduce GHGs by up to -65%
- 469 by eliminating almost all animal products while meeting nutritional requirements.
- 470 The acceptability of these diets is questionable, especially since very high fiber intake may cause
- 471 intestinal discomfort for certain populations [78]. However, the aim of this work is purely cognitive,
- 472 that is, we study the consequences of the degree of vegetation without making recommendations on
- 473 the degree to be achieved.

474 Our study has limitations which should be highlighted. First, composition data in terms of amino acids 475 were not available to better characterize the adequacy of indispensable amino acid beyond that of 476 protein (nitrogen). However, some literature argues that in countries without protein insufficiency, 477 these could not be a limiting issue [79]. Second, life cycle assessments were restricted to the 478 production stage because they were not available in the organic system for the entire system. Although 479 the production stage is the main source of pressure, it would be interesting to be able to consider the 480 pressures up to the plate especially for GHGe and energy demand. In addition, it is well documented 481 that LCA misestimates some ecosystem services in particular for agroecological practices [80]. The 482 environmental analysis encompassed three major indicators [81] which, although important, are not 483 sufficient to conduct a comprehensive analysis in particular as regards blue water and biodiversity 484 loss. Consumption data were collected in 2014 and therefore do not accurately reflect current eating 485 habits. The same applies to environmental and pesticide residue data. Data will need to be updated to 486 allow to examine how models may evolve. Finally, participants were volunteers, and therefore 487 probably more concerned by nutritional issues. Thus, the observed diet (starting point of the 488 optimization) was already richer in plant-based foods than that of the general population but in the 489 secondary analysis showed that similar findings were observed even in a group with low plant-based 490 food in the observed situation.

- 491 Nonetheless, the strengths of our study are multiple. We used a multicriteria approach when modeling
- 492 diets (by considering nutritional requirements, cultural acceptability and coproduction links) and when
- 493 evaluating diet impacts (on both health, environment and safety indicators), by moreover
- 494 distinguishing between the organic and standard/conventional farming systems. We have considered
- the coproduction links between beef and milk, but it would have been interesting to consider the link
- 496 between oil and oilcake for rapeseed, for example, but data are lacking to estimate these factors.
- 497 Finally, the list of foods was highly detailed, allowing to select those with the most nutritional interest,
- 498 and a wide set of nutritional reference values were used, including bioavailability for zinc and iron,
- 499 which may be an issue in plant-based diets.

Conclusion

500 This study documented in an original way the possibility to increase the plant part of the diet up to an 501 extreme level while providing nutritionally adequate diets. This leads to a drastic reduction of some 502 environmental indicators, in particular land occupation and GHGe, and is therefore an important lever 503 in the framework of the climate strategy. However, the increase in plant-based foods consumptions 504 leads to a substantial increase in exposure to pesticide residues, in particular for farming practice using 505 synthetic pesticides, which should be thoroughly characterized in terms of risk. The increase in the 506 proportion of plant-based foods in the diet, which is beneficial for both human health and the planet,

- 507 must therefore be accompanied by appropriate policies allowing a wide access to plant products with a
- 508 low content of pesticide residues (e.g. organic products).
- 509

References

510	1.	Burlingame, B. Sustainable diets and biodiversity - Directions and solutions for policy research
511		and action Proceedings of the International Scientific Symposium Biodiversity and Sustainable
512		Diets United Against Hunger. (FAO, 2012).
513	2.	Willett, W. et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from
514		sustainable food systems. Lancet 393 , 447–492 (2019).
515	3.	Jayedi, A., Soltani, S., Abdolshahi, A. & Shab-Bidar, S. Healthy and unhealthy dietary patterns
516		and the risk of chronic disease: an umbrella review of meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies.
517		Br J Nutr 124, 1133–1144 (2020).
518	4.	The future of food and agriculture: trends and challenges. (Food and Agriculture Organization of
519		the United Nations, 2017).
520	5.	Horrigan, L., Lawrence, R. S. & Walker, P. How sustainable agriculture can address the
521		environmental and human health harms of industrial agriculture. Environ Health Perspect 110,
522		445–456 (2002).
523	6.	Clark, M. A., Springmann, M., Hill, J. & Tilman, D. Multiple health and environmental impacts
524		of foods. PNAS 116, 23357–23362 (2019).
525	7.	HLPE. Nutrition and food systems. A report by the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security
526		and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security, 152 (2017).
527	8.	Poore, J. & Nemecek, T. Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and
528		consumers. Science 360 , 987–992 (2018).
529	9.	Pointereau, P., Doxa, A., Coulon, F., Jiguet, F. & Paracchini, M. L. Analysis of spatial and
530		temporal variations of High Nature Value farmland and links with changes in bird populations : a
531		study on France. (JRC Scientific and Technical reports, 2010). at
532		<https: 10.2788="" 79127="" data.europa.eu="" doi=""></https:>
533	10.	Emmerson, M. et al. in Advances in Ecological Research (eds. Dumbrell, A. J., Kordas, R. L. &
534		Woodward, G.) 55, 43–97 (Academic Press, 2016).

- 535 11. Perignon, M., Vieux, F., Soler, L.-G., Masset, G. & Darmon, N. Improving diet sustainability
- through evolution of food choices: review of epidemiological studies on the environmental impact
 of diets. Nutrition Reviews **75**, 2–17 (2017).
- 538 12. Wilson, N., Cleghorn, C. L., Cobiac, L. J., Mizdrak, A. & Nghiem, N. Achieving Healthy and
- 539 Sustainable Diets: A Review of the Results of Recent Mathematical Optimization Studies. Adv
- 540 Nutr **10**, S389–S403 (2019).
- 13. Aleksandrowicz, L., Green, R., Joy, E. J. M., Smith, P. & Haines, A. The Impacts of Dietary
 Change on Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Land Use, Water Use, and Health: A Systematic Review.
 PLOS ONE 11, e0165797 (2016).
- 544 14. Chai, B. C. et al. Which Diet Has the Least Environmental Impact on Our Planet? A Systematic
 545 Review of Vegan, Vegetarian and Omnivorous Diets. Sustainability 11, 4110 (2019).
- 546 15. Nelson, M. E., Hamm, M. W., Hu, F. B., Abrams, S. A. & Griffin, T. S. Alignment of Healthy
 547 Dietary Patterns and Environmental Sustainability: A Systematic Review12. Adv Nutr 7, 1005–
 548 1025 (2016).
- 549 16. Jones, A. D. et al. A Systematic Review of the Measurement of Sustainable Diets. Advances in
 550 Nutrition: An International Review Journal 7, 641–664 (2016).
- 551 17. Kesse-Guyot, E. et al. Halving food-related greenhouse gas emissions can be achieved by
- redistributing meat consumption: Progressive optimization results of the NutriNet-Santé cohort.
 Sci Total Environ 789, 147901 (2021).
- 18. Medawar, E., Huhn, S., Villringer, A. & Veronica Witte, A. The effects of plant-based diets on
 the body and the brain: a systematic review. Translational Psychiatry 9, 1–17 (2019).
- 556 19. Gehring, J. et al. Consumption of Ultra-Processed Foods by Pesco-Vegetarians, Vegetarians, and
- 557 Vegans: Associations with Duration and Age at Diet Initiation. J Nutr doi:10.1093/jn/nxaa196
- 558 20. Satija, A. et al. Healthful and Unhealthful Plant-Based Diets and the Risk of Coronary
- 559 Heart Disease in U.S. Adults. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. **70**, 411–422 (2017).
- 560 21. Lonnie, M. & Johnstone, A. M. The public health rationale for promoting plant protein as an
- 561 important part of a sustainable and healthy diet. Nutrition Bulletin **45**, 281–293 (2020).

- 562 22. Mariotti, F. & Gardner, C. D. Dietary Protein and Amino Acids in Vegetarian Diets—A Review.
 563 Nutrients 11, (2019).
- 564 23. Katz, D. L., Doughty, K. N., Geagan, K., Jenkins, D. A. & Gardner, C. D. Perspective: The Public
 565 Health Case for Modernizing the Definition of Protein Quality. Adv Nutr 10, 755–764 (2019).
- 566 24. Dussiot, A. et al. Nutritional issues and dietary levers during gradual meat reduction A
- sequential diet optimization study to achieve progressively healthier diets. Clinical Nutrition 41,
 2597–2606 (2022).
- 569 25. Dussiot, A. et al. Modeled healthy eating patterns are largely constrained by currently estimated
 570 requirements for bioavailable iron and zinc. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition
- 571 26. Weindl, I. et al. Sustainable food protein supply reconciling human and ecosystem health: A
- 572 Leibniz Position. Global Food Security **25**, 100367 (2020).
- 573 27. EFSA. The 2019 European Union report on pesticide residues in food. European Food Safety
- 574 Authority (2021). at https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6491
- 575 28. Baudry, J. et al. Estimated dietary exposure to pesticide residues based on organic and
- 576 conventional data in omnivores, pesco-vegetarians, vegetarians and vegans. Food Chem Toxicol
 577 **153**, 112179 (2021).
- 578 29. Hercberg, S. et al. The Nutrinet-Sante Study: a web-based prospective study on the relationship
- between nutrition and health and determinants of dietary patterns and nutritional status. BMC
 Public Health 10, 242 (2010).
- 30. Baudry, J. et al. Improvement of diet sustainability with increased level of organic food in the
 diet: findings from the BioNutriNet cohort. Am J Clin Nutr 109, 1173–1188 (2019).
- 31. Baudry, J. et al. Contribution of Organic Food to the Diet in a Large Sample of French Adults (the
 NutriNet-Santé Cohort Study). Nutrients 7, 8615–8632 (2015).
- 585 32. Etude Nutrinet-Santé. Table de composition des aliments de l'étude Nutrinet-Santé (Nutrinet-
- 586 Santé Study Food Composition Database). Paris: Economica. (2013).
- 587 33. Hagströmer, M., Oja, P. & Sjöström, M. The International Physical Activity Questionnaire
- 588 (IPAQ): a study of concurrent and construct validity. Public Health Nutrition 9, (2006).

- 589 34. Vergnaud, A.-C. et al. Agreement between web-based and paper versions of a socio-demographic
 590 questionnaire in the NutriNet-Santé study. Int J Public Health 56, 407–417 (2011).
- 591 35. Touvier, M. et al. Comparison between web-based and paper versions of a self-administered
 592 anthropometric questionnaire. Eur.J.Epidemiol. 25, 287–296 (2010).
- 593 36. Gavelle, E. de, Huneau, J.-F. & Mariotti, F. Patterns of Protein Food Intake Are Associated with
 594 Nutrient Adequacy in the General French Adult Population. Nutrients 10, (2018).
- 595 37. Keaver, L. et al. Plant- and Animal-Based Diet Quality and Mortality Among US Adults: A
- 596 Cohort Study. British Journal of Nutrition 1–29 (undefined/ed). doi:10.1017/S0007114520003670
- 597 38. Chaltiel, D. et al. Programme National Nutrition Santé guidelines score 2 (PNNS-GS2):
- 598 development and validation of a diet quality score reflecting the 2017 French dietary guidelines.
- 599 British Journal of Nutrition **122**, 331–342 (2019).
- 600 39. High Council of Public Health. Statement related to the revision of the 2017-2021 French
- 601 Nutrition and Health Programme's dietary guidelines for adults. (Haut Conseil de la Santé
- 602 Publique, 2017). at https://www.hcsp.fr/explore.cgi/avisrapportsdomaine?clefr=653
- 40. Pointereau, P., Langevin, B. & Gimaret, M. DIALECTE, a comprehensive and quick tool to
- assess the agro-environmental performance of farms. in (2012). at
- 605 http://ifsa.boku.ac.at/cms/index.php?id=ifsa2012
- 41. Seconda, L. et al. Comparing nutritional, economic, and environmental performances of diets
 according to their levels of greenhouse gas emissions. Clim. Change 148, 155–172 (2018).
- 42. Couturier, C., Charru, M., Doublet, S. & Pointereau, P. The Afterres 2050 le scénario. (2016). at
- 609 https://afterres2050.solagro.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Afterres2050-eng.pdf
- 610 43. France Agrimer. Filière bovine, quotas laitiers. **12**, (2012).
- 611 44. Idèle. Chiffres clés Bovins 2016. (2016).
- 45. French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health Safety (Anses). Actualisation
- des repères du PNNS : élaboration des références nutritionnelles. (ANSES, 2016). at <Available
- 614 from: https://www.anses.fr/fr/system/files/NUT2012SA0103Ra-2.pdf>
- 615 46. van Dooren, C. A Review of the Use of Linear Programming to Optimize Diets, Nutritiously,
- 616 Economically and Environmentally. Front Nutr **5**, 48 (2018).

- 617 47. SAS Institute Inc. User's Guide: Mathematical programming. (SAS/OR® 15.1, 2018).
- 618 48. Dietary Reference Values | DRV Finder. EFSA at https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/interactive-
 619 pages/drvs>
- 49. Armah, S. M., Carriquiry, A., Sullivan, D., Cook, J. D. & Reddy, M. B. A complete diet-based
- 621 algorithm for predicting nonheme iron absorption in adults. J. Nutr. **143**, 1136–1140 (2013).
- 50. Miller, L. V., Krebs, N. F. & Hambidge, K. M. A mathematical model of zinc absorption in
- humans as a function of dietary zinc and phytate. J. Nutr. **137**, 135–141 (2007).
- 51. Dussiot, A. et al. Modeled healthy eating patterns are largely constrained by currently estimated
- 625 requirements for bioavailable iron and zinc—a diet optimization study in French adults. The
- 626 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition nqab373 (2021). doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqab373
- 52. Bazaraa, M., Shrali, H. & Shetty, C. Nonlinear Programming: Theory and Algorithms, 3rd Edition
 Wiley. Wiley.com at https://www.wiley.com/en-
- 629 al/Nonlinear+Programming%3A+Theory+and+Algorithms%2C+3rd+Edition-p-9780471486008>
- 630 53. Kesse-Guyot, E. et al. Sustainability analysis of French dietary guidelines using multiple criteria.

631 Nature Sustainability 1–9 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41893-020-0495-8

- 632 54. WHO. GEMS/FOOD Euro Second Workshop on reliable evaluation of low-level contamination
 633 of food. (WHO, 1995).
- 55. Nougadère, A., Reninger, J.-C., Volatier, J.-L. & Leblanc, J.-C. Chronic dietary risk
- characterization for pesticide residues: a ranking and scoring method integrating agricultural uses
 and food contamination data. Food Chem. Toxicol. 49, 1484–1510 (2011).
- 637 56. Barré, T. et al. Integrating nutrient bioavailability and co-production links when identifying
- 638 sustainable diets: How low should we reduce meat consumption? PLoS ONE 13, e0191767
- 639 (2018).
- 57. Deptford, A. et al. Cost of the Diet: a method and software to calculate the lowest cost of meeting
 recommended intakes of energy and nutrients from local foods. BMC Nutrition 3, 26 (2017).
- 58. Nolden, A. A. & Forde, C. G. The Nutritional Quality of Plant-Based Foods. Sustainability 15,
- 643 3324 (2023).

- 644 59. Parker, H. W. & Vadiveloo, M. K. Diet quality of vegetarian diets compared with nonvegetarian
 645 diets: a systematic review. Nutr Rev 77, 144–160 (2019).
- 646 60. Fouillet, H. et al. Plant to animal protein ratio in the diet: nutrient adequacy, long-term health and
 647 environmental pressure. Front Nutr 10, 1178121 (2023).
- 648 61. Vieux, F., Rémond, D., Peyraud, J.-L. & Darmon, N. Approximately Half of Total Protein Intake
- by Adults Must be Animal-Based to Meet Nonprotein, Nutrient-Based Recommendations, With
- 650 Variations Due to Age and Sex. J Nutr **152**, 2514–2525 (2022).
- 651 62. Lacour, C. et al. Environmental Impacts of Plant-Based Diets: How Does Organic Food
- 652 Consumption Contribute to Environmental Sustainability? Front Nutr **5**, 8 (2018).
- 653 63. Baudry, J. et al. Urinary pesticide concentrations in French adults with low and high organic food
- 654 consumption: results from the general population-based NutriNet-Santé. J Expo Sci Environ
- 655 Epidemiol (2018). doi:10.1038/s41370-018-0062-9
- 656 64. Bradman, A. et al. Determinants of organophosphorus pesticide urinary metabolite levels in young
- children living in an agricultural community. Int.J Environ.Res.Public Health 8, 1061–1083
 (2011).
- 659 65. Riedo, J. et al. Widespread Occurrence of Pesticides in Organically Managed Agricultural Soils-
- the Ghost of a Conventional Agricultural Past? Environ Sci Technol **55**, 2919–2928 (2021).
- 66. Rizzati, V., Briand, O., Guillou, H. & Gamet-Payrastre, L. Effects of pesticide mixtures in human
 and animal models: An update of the recent literature. Chem. Biol. Interact. 254, 231–246 (2016).
- 663 67. Mostafalou, S. & Abdollahi, M. Pesticides: an update of human exposure and toxicity.
- 664 Arch.Toxicol. **91**, 549–599 (2017).
- 665 68. Rojas-Rueda, D. et al. Environmental Risk Factors and Health: An Umbrella Review of Meta-
- 666 Analyses. Int J Environ Res Public Health **18**, E704 (2021).
- 667 69. Kumar, M. et al. Environmental Endocrine-Disrupting Chemical Exposure: Role in Non668 Communicable Diseases. Front. Public Health 8, (2020).
- 669 70. Auestad, N. & Fulgoni, V. L., III. What current literature tells us about sustainable diets:
- 670 emerging research linking dietary patterns, environmental sustainability, and economics.
- 671 Adv.Nutr. **6**, 19–36 (2015).

- 672 71. Rabès, A. et al. Greenhouse gas emissions, energy demand and land use associated with
- 673 omnivorous, pesco-vegetarian, vegetarian, and vegan diets accounting for farming practices.
- 674 Sustainable Production and Consumption **22**, 138–146 (2020).
- 675 72. Smith, P. Do grasslands act as a perpetual sink for carbon? Global Change Biology 20, 2708–
 676 2711 (2014).
- 677 73. Arndt, C. et al. Full adoption of the most effective strategies to mitigate methane emissions by
 678 ruminants can help meet the 1.5°C target by 2030 but not 2050. Proceedings of the National
 679 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America (2022). doi:10.1073/pnas.2111294119
- 680 74. Gerber, P. H. et al. Tackling Climate Change through Livestock A global assessment of
- 681 emissions and mitigation opportunities. (2013). at http://www.fao.org/3/i3437e/i3437e00.htm
- 682 75. Heilmayr, R., Rausch, L. L., Munger, J. & Gibbs, H. K. Brazil's Amazon Soy Moratorium
 683 reduced deforestation. Nat Food 1, 801–810 (2020).
- 684 76. Magrini, M.-B. et al. Why are grain-legumes rarely present in cropping systems despite their
- 685 environmental and nutritional benefits? Analyzing lock-in in the French agrifood system.
- 686 Ecological Economics **126**, 152–162 (2016).
- 687 77. Broekema, R. et al. Future-proof and sustainable healthy diets based on current eating patterns in
 688 the Netherlands. Am J Clin Nutr 112, 1338–1347 (2020).
- 689 78. Ioniță-Mîndrican, C.-B. et al. Therapeutic Benefits and Dietary Restrictions of Fiber Intake: A
 690 State of the Art Review. Nutrients 14, 2641 (2022).
- 691 79. Clark, M. et al. The Role of Healthy Diets in Environmentally Sustainable Food Systems. Food
 692 Nutr Bull 41, 31S-58S (2020).
- 693 80. van der Werf, H. M. G., Knudsen, M. T. & Cederberg, C. Towards better representation of
- 694 organic agriculture in life cycle assessment. Nature Sustainability **3**, 419–425 (2020).
- 695 81. Kramer, G. F., Tyszler, M., Veer, P. V. & Blonk, H. Decreasing the overall environmental impact
- 696 of the Dutch diet: how to find healthy and sustainable diets with limited changes. Public Health
- 697 Nutr **20**, 1699–1709 (2017).

Acknowledgements

- 698 We thank Cédric Agaesse, Alexandre De Sa, Rebecca Lutchia (dietitians); Thi Hong Van Duong,
- 699 Younes Esseddik (IT manager), Régis Gatibelza, Jagatjit Mohinder and Aladi Timera (computer
- 700 scientists); Julien Allegre, Nathalie Arnault, Laurent Bourhis and Fabien Szabo de Edelenyi, PhD
- 701 (supervisor) (data-manager/statisticians) for their technical contribution to the NutriNet-Santé study
- and Nathalie Druesne-Pecollo, PhD (operational coordination). We thank all the volunteers of the
- 703 NutriNet-Santé cohort.

Data availability

- Script and data would be available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author
- 705 <u>emmanuelle.kesse-guyot@inrae.fr</u>. Researchers from public institutions can submit a collaboration
- request including information on the institution and a brief description of the project to
- 707 <u>collaboration@etude-nutrinet-sante.fr</u>. All requests will be reviewed by the steering committee of the
- 708 NutriNet-Santé study. A financial contribution may be requested. If the collaboration is accepted, a
- data access agreement will be necessary and appropriate authorizations from the competent
- administrative authorities may be needed. In accordance with existing regulations, no personal data
- 711 will be accessible.

The authors' contributions

- 712 EKG, BL, PR, SH, DL, PP and JB, conducted the research and implemented databases.
- 713 EKG conducted the diet optimization and BA, HF, AD, FM and JB provided intellectual guidance.
- 714 EKG performed statistical analyses and drafted the manuscript.
- All authors critically helped in the interpretation of results, revised the manuscript and provided
- relevant intellectual input. They all read and approved the final manuscript.
- 717 EKG had primary responsibility for the final content, she is the guarantor.

Conflict of Interest

718 No author declared conflict of interest.

Funding

- 719 The NutriNet-Santé study is funded by French Ministry of Health and Social Affairs, Santé Publique
- France, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Institut National de la
- 721 Recherche Agronomique, Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers, and Sorbonne Paris Nord
- 722 University. The BioNutriNet project was supported by the French National Research Agency
- 723 (Agence Nationale de la Recherche) in the context of the 2013 Programme de Recherche
- 724 Systèmes Alimentaires Durables (ANR-13-ALID-0001). The funders had no role in the study
- design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data, preparation of the manuscript, and decision
- to submit the paper.

	Unit	Men		Women		Average individual ¹		
		Lower reference	Upper reference	Lower reference	Upper reference	Lower reference	Upper reference	
Energy intake	Kcal/d	ER - 8%	ER + 8%	ER - 8%	ER + 8%	ER - 8%	ER + 8%	
Protein	kg of BW/d	0.83	2.3	0.83	2.3	0.83	2.3	
Vitamin A	$\mu g/d$	750	3000	650	3000	700	3000	
Vitamin B1	$\mu g \ /1000 \ kcal/d$	0.3	-	0.3	-	0.3	-	
Vitamin B2	mg /1000 kcal/d	0.55	-	0.55	-	0.55	-	
Vitamin B3	µg /1000 kcal/d	5.44	900	5.44	900	5.44	900	
Vitamin B5	mg/d	P5	-	P5	-	Weighted P5	-	
Vitamin B6	mg/d	1.7	25	1.6	25	1.65	25	
Vitamin B9	µg/d	330	-	330	-	330	-	
Vitamin B12	µg/d	4	-	4	-	4	-	
Vitamin C	mg/d	110	-	110	-	110	-	
Vitamin E	g/d	P5	-	P5	-	Weighted P5	-	
Vitamin K	µg/d	P5	-	P5	-	Weighted P5	-	
Calcium	mg/d	950	2500	950	2500	950	2500	
Copper	mg/d	P5	5	P5	5	Weighted P5		
Bioavailable Iron	mg/d	1.76	-	2.56 / 1.76 ²	-	1.92	-	
Iodine	µg/f	150	600	150	600	150	600	
Magnesium	mg/d	P5	-	P5	-	Weighted P5	-	
Manganese	mg/d	P5	-	P5	-	Weighted P5	-	
Phosphorus	mg/d	550	-	550	-	550	-	
Potassium	mg/d	3500	-	3500	-	3500	-	
Selenium	µg/d	70	300	70	300	70	300	
Sodium	mg/d	1500	2300	1500 mg	2300	1500	2300	
Bioavailable zinc	mg/d	(0.642 +		(0.642 + 0.038)		3.3 ³		
	-	0.038 kg of		kg of body				
		body weight)		weight)				
Saturated fatty acids	% EI/d	-	12	-	12	-	12	
Linoleic acid	% EI/d	4	-	4	-	4	-	
Alpha-linolenic acid	% EI/d	1	-	1	-	1	-	
linoleic acid / alpha-linolenic	-	-	5	-	5	-	5	

Table 1: Nutritional constraints used in the optimization models

eicosapentaenoic	g/d						
acid +		0.5		0.5		0.5	
docosahexaenoic		0.5	-	0.3	-	0.3	-
acid							
Sugar without	g/d		100		100		100
lactose		-	100	-	100	-	100
fibre	g/d	30	-	30	-	30	-

728 Abbreviations: ER, energy requirement;

729 ¹ The average individual was the weighted mean as follows: 50% men, 25% women M^2 , 25% M^4

730 ² High and low iron requirements

731

732 Table 2: Nutritional and health indicators across scenarios of increase in % of energy from

733 plant-based foods¹

	Oha	65%	∆65 %	700/	750/	900/	050/	000/	050/	$\varDelta_{95\%}$	$\varDelta_{95\%}$
	Obs	basal	vs. obs	70%	/5%	80%0	83%0	90%	95%	vs. obs	vs. 65%
Nutrients											
EI (Kcal/d)	2001	2370	18%	2372	2461	2380	2370	2370	2375	19%	0%
EI from plant-based food (Kcal/d)	1415	1658	17%	1661	1846	1904	2016	2134	2256	59%	36%
EI from animal-based food (Kcal/d)	586	713	22%	711	615	475	355	236	119	-80%	-83%
EI from plant food (%)	71	70	-2%	70	75	80	85	90	95	34%	36%
Protein intake (g/d)	91	107	18%	107	103	95	87	81	77	-15%	-28%
% EI from protein	18	18	0%	18	17	16	15	14	13	-28%	-28%
Plant protein (g/d)	29	37	27%	37	41	44	48	53	63	118%	72%
Animal protein (g/d)	62	70	13%	70	62	51	39	28	14	-78%	-80%
% Protein from plant-based food	31	34	11%	35	40	47	55	65	82	164%	138%
Vitamin B12 (µg/d)	6.5	7.09	9%	7.08	6.7	6.5	6.36	6.37	4	-38%	-44%
DHA+EPA (g/d)	0.44	0.50	14%	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	14%	0%
Selenium	81.34	87.53	8%	87.52	85.7	81.15	73.1	70.43	74.18	-9%	-15%
Potassium	3808	3560	-7%	3561	3616	3652	3660	3838	4769	25%	34%
Vitamin B9	419.42	424.37	1%	424.54	438.64	467.6	500.5	574.62	719.88	72%	70%
Bioavailable zinc (mg/d)	3.3	3.41	3%	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0%	-3%
Bioavailable iron (mg/d)	1.7	2.06	21%	2.06	2.02	2.06	2.09	2.2	2.29	35%	11%
Calcium (mg/d)	1115	948	-15%	948	948	947	947	947	950	-15%	0%
Fibers (g/d)	23.35	30	28%	30	32.32	34.87	36.68	40.15	47.84	105%	59%
Sodium (mg/d)	2502	2294	-8%	2294	2294	2294	2294	2294	2300	-8%	0%
Indexes											
PANDiet	64.98	70.28	8%	70.32	72.11	77.92	81.24	81.12	81.97	26%	17%
PANDiet adequation subscore	78.86	93.51	19%	93.51	93.45	93.54	93.53	93.69	93.85	19%	0%
PANDiet moderation subscore	51.1	47.06	-8%	47.12	50.77	62.3	68.95	68.56	70.08	37%	9%
cDQI	48.43	63.88	32%	63.89	64.56	65.83	56.55	55.75	58.7	21%	-8%
pDQI	32.86	42.6	30%	42.59	42.45	43.75	43.33	42.4	44.58	36%	5%
aDQI	15.57	21.28	37%	21.29	22.11	22.07	13.22	13.35	14.12	-9%	-34%
PNNS-GS2	2.73	6.25	129%	6.25	6.73	7.25	6.75	6.75	6.75	147%	8%

Abbreviations: aDQI, animal diet quality index; cDQI, diet quality index; DHA, docosahexaenoic

acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; PANDiet, Diet Quality Index Based on the Probability of Adequate

736 Nutrient Intake; sPNNS-GS2: simplified Programme National Nutrition Santé guidelines score; Obs,

737 observed diet; pDQI, plant diet quality index; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids;

¹Values are estimates for incremental 5% increases in the % of energy intake from plant-based foods.

The basal scenario (65%) correspond to the modelled diet when the proportion of energy intake from

plant-based foods is set at the observed value of proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods

value of the second sec

plant-based foods energy from 65% up to 95%.

743 Table 3: Environmental indicators for observed diet and trajectories of increase in proportion of

744 energy intake from plant-based foods¹

Obs	65%	∆ _{65% vs. obs}	70%	75%	80%	85%	90%	95%	$\varDelta_{95\% vs. obs}$	∆ 95% vs. 65%
uction										
4.06	4.57	13%	4.56	4.08	3.58	3.03	2.17	1.46	-64%	-68%
18.14	19.43	7%	19.41	18.06	15.97	13.69	11.92	9.37	-48%	-52%
9.79	11.56	18%	11.55	10.57	9.54	8.33	6.11	4.48	-54%	-61%
ı										
4.09	4.68	14%	4.67	4.13	3.61	3.03	2.14	1.44	-65%	-69%
16.63	18.74	13%	18.71	17.4	15.52	13.51	11.58	9.54	-43%	-49%
13.35	15.74	18%	15.72	14.29	12.67	10.88	7.98	5.81	-56%	-63%
	Obs action 4.06 18.14 9.79 1 4.09 16.63 13.35	Obs 65% action	Obs 65% \$\Delta_{65\% vs. obs}\$ action	Obs 65% $\varDelta_{65\% \nu s. obs}$ 70% action	Obs 65% $\varDelta_{65\% \nu s. obs}$ 70% 75% action	Obs 65% $\varDelta_{65\% vs. obs}$ 70% 75% 80% action 4.06 4.57 13% 4.56 4.08 3.58 18.14 19.43 7% 19.41 18.06 15.97 9.79 11.56 18% 11.55 10.57 9.54 1 4.09 4.68 14% 4.67 4.13 3.61 16.63 18.74 13% 18.71 17.4 15.52 13.35 15.74 18% 15.72 14.29 12.67	Obs 65% $\varDelta_{65\% vs. obs}$ 70% 75% 80% 85% action 4.06 4.57 13% 4.56 4.08 3.58 3.03 18.14 19.43 7% 19.41 18.06 15.97 13.69 9.79 11.56 18% 11.55 10.57 9.54 8.33 1 4.09 4.68 14% 4.67 4.13 3.61 3.03 16.63 18.74 13% 18.71 17.4 15.52 13.51 13.35 15.74 18% 15.72 14.29 12.67 10.88	Obs 65% $\varDelta_{65\% \nu s. obs}$ 70% 75% 80% 85% 90% action 4.06 4.57 13% 4.56 4.08 3.58 3.03 2.17 18.14 19.43 7% 19.41 18.06 15.97 13.69 11.92 9.79 11.56 18% 11.55 10.57 9.54 8.33 6.11 1 4.09 4.68 14% 4.67 4.13 3.61 3.03 2.14 16.63 18.74 13% 18.71 17.4 15.52 13.51 11.58 13.35 15.74 18% 15.72 14.29 12.67 10.88 7.98	Obs65% $\varDelta_{65\% vs. obs}$ 70%75%80%85%90%95%action4.064.5713%4.564.083.583.032.171.4618.1419.437%19.4118.0615.9713.6911.929.379.7911.5618%11.5510.579.548.336.114.4814.094.6814%4.674.133.613.032.141.4416.6318.7413%18.7117.415.5213.5111.589.5413.3515.7418%15.7214.2912.6710.887.985.81	Obs65% $\varDelta_{65\% \nu s. obs}$ 70%75%80%85%90%95% $\varDelta_{95\% \nu s. obs}$ action4.064.5713%4.564.083.583.032.171.46-64%18.1419.437%19.4118.0615.9713.6911.929.37-48%9.7911.5618%11.5510.579.548.336.114.48-54%14.094.6814%4.674.133.613.032.141.44-65%16.6318.7413%18.7117.415.5213.5111.589.54-43%13.3515.7418%15.7214.2912.6710.887.985.81-56%

745 Abbreviations: GHGe, greenhouse gas emissions; Obs, observed diet

¹Values are estimates for incremental 5% increases in the % of energy intake from plant-based foods.

The basal scenario (65%) correspond to the modelled diet when the proportion of energy intake from

plant-based foods is set at the observed value of proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods

vunder nutritional, fish consumption limitation and coproducts constraints. Next scenarios increase

plant-based foods energy from 65% up to 95%.

Figure 1: Composition (g/d) of the observed and optimized scenarios modelling modelled diets with gradual increase in the proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods^{1,2}

Abbreviations: Obs, observed diet. ¹Food group consumption (g/d) in the observed diets and in the modelled diets being nutritionally, culturally and environmentally optimized so as to ensure gradual increase in the proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods. The basal scenario (65%) correspond to the modelled diet when the proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods is set at the observed value of proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods under nutritional, fish consumption limitation and coproducts constraints. Next scenarios increase plant-based foods energy from 65% up to 95%. ²Vegetables include all vegetables and soups, fruit include fresh fruit, fruit in syrup and compote, dried fruit and seeds, fish include seafood, dairy product include yogurts, fresh cheese and cheese, potatoes include other tubers, cereals include breakfast cereal low in sugar, bread semolina, rice and pasta, sweet and fat foods include croissants, pastries, chocolate, biscuits, milky dessert, ice cream, honey and marmalade, cakes, chips, salted oilseeds, salted biscuits, beverages include fruit nectar, syrup, soda (with or without sugar), plant-based beverages (except soy-based), milk consumed with tea/coffee, fastfood include sandwich, prepared foods such as pizza, hamburger, ravioli, panini, salted pancake, etc., soy-based food include tofu, soy meat substitute and vegetable patties, soy yogurt, soy milk, and fats include fresh cream and butter.

Figure 2: Estimated daily exposure to pesticide residues (µg/kg bw/day), in observed and modelled diets with gradual increase in proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods, according to 100%-conventional and 100%-organic modelling^{1,2,3}

Abbreviations: ADI: acceptable daily intake; Obs, observed diet.

¹ The basal scenario (65%) correspond to the modelled diet when the proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods is set at the observed value of proportion of energy intake from plant-based foods under nutritional, fish consumption limitation and coproducts constraints. Next scenarios increase plant-based foods energy from 65% up to 95%.

² The overall estimation is calculated as the sum of individual exposure weighted by 1/DJA (without anthraquinone which has no ADI)

³ Natural pyrethrins and Spinosad are authorized in certified organic production.

Figure 3: Variations in the composition (g/d) of the observed diet and 95% energy from plant food modelled diets according to observed level of plant food consumption^{1,2}

Abbreviations: Obs, observed diet. SFF, sweet and fat foods

"Obs Low" corresponds to observed consumption in the group with at least 50% of energy from plant food at baseline. "Obs Mid" corresponds to observed consumption in the group with at least 65% of energy from plant food at baseline. "Obs High" corresponds to observed consumption in the group with at least 80% of energy from plant food at baseline. "95% Low" corresponds to the final scenario in the group with at least 50% of energy from plant food at baseline. "95% Mid" corresponds to the final scenario in the group with at least 65% of energy from plant food at baseline. "95% High" corresponds to the final scenario in the group with at least 65% of energy from plant food at baseline. "95% High" corresponds to the final scenario in the group with at least 80% of energy from plant food at baseline. "95% High" corresponds to the final scenario in the group with at least 80% of energy from plant food at baseline. "95% High" corresponds to the final scenario in the group with at least 80% of energy from plant food at baseline. "95% High" corresponds to the final scenario in the group with at least 80% of energy from plant food at baseline. "95% High" corresponds to the final scenario in the group with at least 80% of energy from plant food at baseline. "Prood group consumption (g/d) in the observed diets and in the 95% PE model according initial % PE. ²Vegetables include all vegetables and soups, fruit include fresh fruit, fruit in syrup and compote, dried fruit and seeds, fish include seafood, dairy product include yogurts, fresh cheese and cheese, potatoes include other tubers, cereals include breakfast cereal low in sugar, bread semolina, rice and pasta, sweet and fat foods include croissants, pastries, chocolate, biscuits, milky dessert, ice cream, honey and marmalade, cakes, chips, salted oilseeds, salted biscuits, beverages include fruit nectar, syrup, soda (with or without sugar), plant-based beverages (except soy-based), milk consumed with tea/coffee, fast-

food include sandwich, prepared foods such as pizza, hamburger, ravioli, panini, salted pancake, etc., soy-based foods include tofu, soy meat substitute and soy yogurt, soy milk, and fats include fresh cream and butter.