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Glutathione transferases (GST) are detoxification enzymes that conjugate glutathione to a wide array of molecules. In the honey bee *Apis mellifera*, AmGSTD1 is the sole member of the delta class of GSTs, with expression in antennae. Here, we structurally and biochemically characterized AmGSTD1 to elucidate its function. We showed that AmGSTD1 can efficiently catalyse the glutathione conjugation of classical GST substrates. Additionally, AmGSTD1 exhibits binding properties with a range of odorant compounds. AmGSTD1 has a peculiar interface with a structural motif we propose to call ‘sulfur sandwich’. This motif consists of a cysteine disulfide bridge sandwiched between the sulfur atoms of two methionine residues and is stabilized by CH...S hydrogen bonds and S...S sigma-hole interactions. Thermal stability studies confirmed that this motif is important for AmGSTD1 stability and, thus, could facilitate its functions in olfaction.
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Honey bees, notably *Apis mellifera*, hold significant ecological and economic importance due to their pivotal roles in pollinating crops, flowers and fruit trees [1]. Over the past decade, global declines in bee and pollinator populations have been observed, resulting from a confluence of multiple stressors encompassing biological, environmental and chemical factors [2]. This decline provides a pressing impetus to investigate and comprehend the interactions between honey bees and their environment.

In the realm of highly social insects such as honey bees, chemical communication assumes a vital role in

**Abbreviations**

AmGSTD1, *Apis mellifera* GST Delta 1; AmGSTD1C127S, *Apis mellifera* GST Delta 1 in which the cysteine at position 127 was changed to a serine; AmGSTD1M126L, *Drosophila melanogaster* GST Delta 1 in which the methionine at position 126 was changed to a leucine; CD, circular dichroism; CDNB, 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene; DTT, dithiothreitol; GSH, glutathione; GST, glutathione transferase; ITC, isothiocyanate.
activities such as colony maintenance, developmental processes, regulation of behaviour, caste transitions and defensive behaviours [3]. This mode of communication hinges on distinct anatomical systems responsible for sensing volatile and nonvolatile chemicals—namely the olfactory and gustatory systems. Antennae, the principal olfactory organ of insects, consist of three main segments: the scape, pedicel and flagellum. These segments, particularly the flagellum in hemipterans, are adorned with hair-like sensilla within which receptors, such as odorant receptors, detect a wide array of sensory modalities, including odours, CO₂ and water. Chemical detection in insects entails membrane receptors situated on receptor neurons, supported by a diverse range of proteins. These proteins, including enzymes involved in metabolizing odorant molecules and odorant-binding proteins, are pivotal for transportation, safeguarding against odorant toxicity and modulating perception [4]. Prominent enzyme superfamilies characterized in the insect antennae comprise cytochrome P450 monoxygenases, glutathione transferases (GSTs), UDP-glycosyltransferase and carboxylesterases, which could help to protect these sensitive organs where neurons are directly exposed to xenobiotics, while other players, such as glutathione peroxidases, contribute to oxidative stress resistance [5–7].

Glutathione transferases (EC 2.5.1.18) are part of a ubiquitous protein superfamily present across all cellular organisms, including bacteria, plants, insects and humans [8]. Based on structural similarities and the genes encoding them, insect GSTs are categorized [9] into six canonical classes: Delta, Epsilon, Omega, Sigma, Theta and Zeta [10]. Delta is observed widely in arthropods [11], and Epsilon is probably exclusive to insects [10]. These enzymes are involved in various biological functions, through a range of GST activities, encompassing the covalent conjugation of glutathione (GSH) to hydrophobic compounds with electrophilic centres, rendering products more water-soluble [12]. Additionally, GSTs participate in noncovalent ligand binding, peroxide deactivation, catalytic isomerization and ester hydrolysis, among other processes [13–15]. For instance, DmGSTE14, discovered in Drosophila, plays a pivotal role in hormone production via isomerization, and its absence results in larval developmental arrest [15–17].

Glutathione transferases were shown to be expressed in the antennae of various orders of insect species [4], such as the dipteran Drosophila melanogaster [18,19], as well as in antennae lepidopteran species [20–28] or coleopteran antennae [29,30]. Insect antennae GSTs serve dual roles in both safeguarding chemosensory organs [31–34] and influencing compound detection in insects [26,28,35–37]. Structural studies have been carried out on members of the two main classes of GSTs in insects, the epsilon and delta classes. They exhibit the canonical GST dimer with the active site harbouring the glutathione binding site hosted by the thioredoxin N-terminal domain and the hydrophobic binding site located on the helical C-terminal domain. Additionally, specific features distinguish the delta from the epsilon in the dimer interface, namely a clasp motif in the delta [38] and a wafer motif in the epsilon [39].

In A. mellifera, 10 functional GST-encoding genes have been identified, a significantly smaller number than the 38 GST-encoding genes found in D. melanogaster (fruit fly) and the 31 genes in Anopheles gambiae (mosquito). Focusing on insect-specific GST classes, A. mellifera lacks any epsilon class enzymes and retains only one member of the delta class (AmGSTD1), in contrast to the 11 delta and 14 epsilon class members in D. melanogaster and 12 delta and 8 epsilon class members in A. gambiae [4,40]. Notably, the AmGSTD1 is prominently expressed in the antennae of drones, workers and queens [5,41]. It has been suggested that honey bees’ foraging and feeding behaviours, as well as their eusocial organization, are responsible for the low amounts of detoxifying genes in the genome. Bees and plants share a mutualistic interaction, in which plants provide nectar and pollen while receiving the benefit of the pollination activities of honey bees. However, honey bees are not physiologically adapted to the constant exposure to chemicals produced by plants and humans. This attribute, however, renders honey bees especially sensitive to certain insecticides [42].

To elucidate the function of honey bee GSTD1 (AmGSTD1), we undertook its production, purification and biochemical and structural analysis. Concurrently, we explored the enzymatic capacity towards classical substrates as well as its capacity to interact with odorants in the context of antennal expression. An original dimerization interface was discovered, which has evolved to enhance the stability supported by the biochemical and structural analysis of two proteinic variants at the positions involved in interface stabilization.

Materials and methods

Cloning, expression and purification of wild-type AmGSTD1, AmGSTD1M126L and AmGSTD1C127S enzymes

The DNA encoding the wild-type protein sequence of A. mellifera GSTD1 (NP_A0A7M7GU7Y) was subjected to codon optimization for expression in Escherichia coli.
Codons corresponding to the initial 26 amino acids, which extended beyond the conventional insect GST sequences and potentially indicated a signal peptide, were excised. The DNA sequences encoding the AmGSTD1M126L and AmGSTD1C127S enzyme variants were generated through substitution of the codon corresponding to a methionine at position 126 with a codon encoding a leucine and the substitution of the codon corresponding to a cysteine at position 127 with a serine. The synthesized DNA sequences were procured from Genewiz (Leipzig, Germany) and subsequently subcloned and inserted into the pET22b vector (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) via the NdeI and SacI restriction sites. The resulting plasmids were utilized for transforming the E. coli BL21 star strain. Colonies isolated from an LB-ampicillin agar plate (50 mg l⁻¹ ampicillin) were employed to inoculate a 50 mL LB-ampicillin culture (50 mg L⁻¹ ampicillin), which was then cultivated overnight at 37 °C.

Subsequently, the 50 mL culture served to inoculate a larger 4 L LB-ampicillin medium. Protein expression was induced at an OD₆₀₀ nm of 0.6 through the addition of 1 mM (final concentration) isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside, followed by continued bacterial growth for 18 h at 37 °C. The resultant cells were harvested through centrifugation (4000 g, 20 min, 4 °C), resuspended in binding buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 0.5 M NaCl, 100 µM DTT and 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.4) and subjected to sonication (Vibracell; Bioblock, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) at 4 °C for disruption. Following centrifugation at 20 000 g for 45 min at 4 °C, the supernatant was loaded onto a GSTrap HP 5 mL column (Cytiva, Washington, DC, USA). The column was washed with PBS buffer, pH 7.0 and GST was eluted utilizing a 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer, pH 7.4, supplemented with 10 mM reduced GSH. Fractions containing GST were pooled, dialysed against 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer at pH 6.5 and concentrated to 10 mg mL⁻¹ using an Amicon Ultra spin column with a molecular weight cut-off of 10 kDa (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Protein purity higher than 99% was confirmed via Coomassie-stained 15% SDS/PAGE gel analysis. The wild-type and variant AmGSTD1 were dialysed against 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5 before kinetic measurements and far-UV circular dichroism.

**Kinetic measurements**

CDNB, GSH, isothiocyanates (ITCs) and odorants were purchased from Merck (Kenilworth, NJ, USA). All substrates except GSH were dissolved in ethanol or methanol. The final solvent concentration in the reaction system was kept at a maximum of 5% (v/v).

Specific activities were determined spectrophotometrically at 20 °C using 1 mM GSH, a variable concentration of substrate and a concentration of enzyme adapted from the enzymatic rate. A 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, was used for all assays. The Kₐ for GSH was measured with a variable concentration of GSH and a 1 mM concentration of CDNB. CDNB glutathionylation was monitored at 340 nm (with an extinction coefficient, ε, of 9.6 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹). Similarly, GSH-conjugated ITCs were monitored at 274 nm for allyl-ITC (ε = 7.45 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹); benzyl-ITC at 274 nm (ε = 9.25 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹); butyl-ITC at 274 nm (ε = 7.75 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹); hexyl-ITC at 274 nm (ε = 6.55 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹); phenethyl-ITC at 274 nm (ε = 8.89 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹); and propyl-ITC at 274 nm (ε = 8.35 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

Steady-state kinetic parameters were determined under the respective assay conditions, utilizing varying substrate concentrations. Nonlinear regression was employed to fit the Michaelis–Menten and Hill equations to the data, yielding values for Kₐ, Vₘₐₓ and the Hill coefficient. Vₘₐₓ values were subsequently converted into kₐ values based on the molar concentration of the enzyme.

To measure the ability of AmGSTD1 to interact with odorant molecules, we used a previously published method [35,43,44]. The CDNB enzyme-based competition assay allows us to identify compounds that are conjugated or bind the enzyme without conjugation (ligandin function) to decrease enzymatic activity towards CDNB. CDNB conjugation was measured at 20 °C using 1 mM GSH and CDNB, 10 mM AmGSTD1 and two concentrations (10 and 100 µM) of the tested odorant in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. The slope of the initial rate of the reaction was measured in triplicate and compared with the slope obtained in the same conditions but supplemented with a 10 or 100 µM concentration of the tested molecule. Stock solutions of molecules were prepared in methanol at a concentration of 100 mM. All solutions were aggregate-free and stored at −20 °C. The inhibition percentage was calculated using a previous method [35,43,44], and the values presented are the mean of three independent measurements with standard deviations.

**Far-UV circular dichroism**

Far-UV circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded using a JASCO J-815 spectropolarimeter (Tokyo, Japan) equipped with Peltier temperature control. The proteins were prepared at a concentration of 2.5 µg mL⁻¹ in 0.01 M phosphate buffer at pH 6.5. Using a 1-cm path-length quartz cell, the protein sample spectra were recorded with a scan speed of 50 nm min⁻¹ between 200 and 240 nm. Spectra were averaged over three scans. For each enzyme, the spectra were consistently recorded within the same quartz cell while systematically increasing the temperature in 1 °C intervals from 30 °C to 80 °C. The values obtained at 220 nm were plotted as a function of the temperature for each enzyme. The thermal denaturation data were processed using CDPro software (version 2.18) [45]. Data were normalized and fitted to the two-state model using the standard Autotfit procedure, which allowed us to calculate the
Crystallization and diffraction data collection of wild-type AmGSTD1, AmGSTD1\textsuperscript{M126L} and AmGSTD1\textsuperscript{C127S}

Before crystallization assays, the wild-type and variant AmGSTD1 were dialysed against 20 mM pH 8.0 Tris–HCl and concentrated to 15 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}. Crystallization trials were performed manually at 20 °C. Wild-type AmGSTD1 was crystallized in microbatches under oil by mixing 1 μL of protein with 1 μL of solution containing 16% (w/v) PEG4000, 10% (w/v) 2-propanol, 0.1 μM HEPES pH 7.5 and 0.2 μM ammonium sulfate. The drops were covered with 30 μL of paraffin oil (CAS n°8042-47-5; Merck). The variant AmGSTD1\textsuperscript{M126L} was crystallized in sitting drop by mixing 1 μL of protein with 1 μL of solution containing 15% (w/v) PEG4000, 0.1 μM trisodium citrate pH 5.6 and 0.2 μM ammonium sulfate in a drop equilibrated against a reservoir filled with 100 μL of the same solution. The variant AmGSTD1\textsuperscript{C127S} was crystallized in sitting drop by mixing 1 μL of protein with 1 μL of solution containing 22% (w/v) PEG4000, 0.1 μM sodium acetate and 0.2 μM ammonium sulfate in a drop equilibrated against a reservoir filled with 100 μL of the same solution. All crystals appeared within a few days. Crystals were cryoprotected with 20% (w/v) glycerol and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen before synchrotron data collection. Diffraction experiments were performed on the beamline FIP2 of synchrotron ESRF and synchrotron data collection. Diffraction experiments were indexed and integrated with XDS\textsuperscript{[46]} and scaled with XDS\textsuperscript{[46]} L of paraffin oil (CAS n°166-192-3; Merck). The variant AmGSTD1\textsuperscript{M126L} was crystallized in sitting drop by mixing 1 μL of protein with 1 μL of solution containing 15% (w/v) PEG4000, 0.1 μM trisodium citrate pH 5.6 and 0.2 μM ammonium sulfate in a drop equilibrated against a reservoir filled with 100 μL of the same solution. All crystals appeared within a few days. Crystals were cryoprotected with 20% (w/v) glycerol and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen before synchrotron data collection. Diffraction experiments were performed on the beamline FIP2 of synchrotron ESRF and on the beamline PROXMA1 of the synchrotron SOLEIL (Saint-Aubin, France). Wild-type AmGSTD1 crystals, AmGSTD1\textsuperscript{M126L} crystals and AmGSTD1\textsuperscript{C127S} crystals diffracted to 2.05, 1.75 and 1.50 Å, respectively. The datasets were indexed and integrated with xds\textsuperscript{[46]} and scaled with Aimless\textsuperscript{[47]}. The structure of AmGSTD1 was solved by molecular replacement using the coordinates of Nilaparvata lugens GST Delta (PDB code 3WYW)\textsuperscript{[48]} as a model in Babel\textsuperscript{[49]}. The 3D structure was built graphically with Coot\textsuperscript{[50]} and refined with Phenix\textsuperscript{[51]}. Validation was carried out with MOLPROBITY\textsuperscript{[52]}. The 3D structure was built graphically with Coot\textsuperscript{[50]} and refined with Phenix\textsuperscript{[51]}. Validation was carried out with MOLPROBITY\textsuperscript{[52]}; calculation results are depicted in Fig. S1. Previous studies have shown that these calculation conditions are suitable for characterizing sigma-hole interactions involving halogen and halogen atoms\textsuperscript{[55]}.

Table 1. Steady-state parameters of wild-type AmGSTD1 for CDNB, GSH and different selected ITCs. \(K_M\) and \(k_{cat}\) values were calculated by nonlinear regression based on the Michaelis–Menten model and Hill equation. The Michaelis–Menten model was applicable for all the tested substrates except phenethyl-ITC. The \(K_M\) value for GSH was determined using 1 mM CDNB substrate. The values for the electrophilic substrates were similarly obtained with a 1 mM concentration of GSH. N.A., not applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>CDNB</th>
<th>GSH</th>
<th>Allyl-ITC</th>
<th>Propyl-ITC</th>
<th>Butyl-ITC</th>
<th>Hexyl-ITC</th>
<th>Benzyl-ITC</th>
<th>Phenethyl-ITC</th>
<th>Sulforaphane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(k_{cat}) (min\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>1620 ± 60</td>
<td>2500 ± 220</td>
<td>322 ± 62</td>
<td>262 ± 12</td>
<td>168 ± 3</td>
<td>88 ± 8</td>
<td>128 ± 16</td>
<td>40 ± 2</td>
<td>188 ± 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K_M) (μM)</td>
<td>84 ± 14</td>
<td>723 ± 166</td>
<td>192 ± 84</td>
<td>183 ± 19</td>
<td>96 ± 7</td>
<td>48 ± 13</td>
<td>96 ± 4</td>
<td>17 ± 1</td>
<td>266 ± 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill coefficient</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of AmGSTD1 among the 11 Delta GSTs found in *D. melanogaster*. Using a saturating concentration of CDNB, the $K_M$ for GSH was measured in the same range as $K_M$ values measured for Delta *D. melanogaster* GSTs. The GSH efficiency is 58 s$^{-1}\cdot$mm$^{-1}$ compared to 360 s$^{-1}\cdot$mm$^{-1}$ previously measured for the DmGSTD11 orthologue enzyme. Considering the pronounced spontaneous reactivity of ITCs with GSH, determination of the ITC $K_M$ value is possible only when $K_M$ towards ITCs is low, thereby allowing work with lower ITC concentrations. In the case of AmGSTD1, $K_M$ and $k_{cat}$ values were measured for all six tested ITCs due to low $K_M$ towards these six molecules. AmGSTD1 is highly efficient with these six substrates. Significantly, a trend emerges as the carbon chain length increases, resulting in a concomitant decrease in the Michaelis–Menten constant ($K_M$). Moreover, heightened steric hindrance, which is predominantly conspicuous within aromatic ITCs, demonstrates a discernible correlation with the reduction in $K_M$. In contrast, this diminution in $K_M$, concurrent with the elongation of the carbon chain, corresponds to a commensurate decrement in the catalytic rate. The distinctive case of sulforaphane provides compelling evidence that the sulfoxide functional moiety yields an unfavourable effect, thereby counterbalancing the influence of a four-carbon chain and inducing a threefold elevation in $K_M$ relative to the four-carbon butyl-ITC reference. Phenethyl-ITC was the only tested substrate in this study that exhibited strong positive cooperativity, supported by a high value of the Hill coefficient (5 ± 1). A cooperativity mechanism was previously observed for other insect GSTs of the Delta family in *D. melanogaster* or *Anopheles dirus* [57,58].

**Wild-type AmGSTD1 interact with odorants**

AmGSTD1 was previously shown to be highly expressed in *A. mellifera* antennae, suggesting its potential role in olfaction [41]. Taking into account its efficient catalytic activity towards classical substrates, which supports the functionality of this enzyme, 27 different odorants that are mainly found in plants and/or have shown an activity involving the chemosensory system were tested at two concentrations (Fig. 1, Table S2). A low inhibitory effect was observed for most of them, corresponding to their capacity to interact with AmGSTD1. The interaction can be due to a catalytic activity towards the tested odorant as well as a binding without catalysis. These interactions are not surprising due to the large range of molecules able to interact with GSTs in a general manner. However, only three odorants were able to inhibit AmGSTD1 at more than 30% at a concentration of 100 μM: alpha-pinene, benzaldehyde and benzyl alcohol, three biological relevant odorants for bees. Benzaldehyde, an odorant molecule detected by bee’s antennae and triggering aversive behaviour, appears to be the best binder towards the tested molecules. Previously, the closest GST orthologue of AmGSTD1 in the sphinx moth *Manduca sexta* was shown to be highly expressed in the olfactory sensilla and active towards trans-2-hexenal, a plant-derived green leaf aldehyde known to stimulate the olfactory system [28]. In *Grapholita molesta*, *Plodia interpunctella* and *Sitophilus zeamais*, orthologues of AmGSTD1 were shown to metabolize alcohols, esters and aldehydes [37, 59, 60]. Recently, the GSTD1 from *Holotrichia parallela*, identified from the antennal transcriptome, was shown to catalyse the glutathione conjugation of various unsaturated aldehyde volatilets [61]. Altogether, this suggests a possible role of AmGSTD1 in bee olfaction acting towards various odorants.

**Wild-type AmGSTD1 crystal structure analysis**

We solved the crystal structure of AmGSTD1 at 2.05 Å resolution in the *P*$_2_1$/$2$/$2$ space group, corresponding to one subunit in the asymmetric unit (Table S1). The crystal structure of AmGSTD1 corresponds to the typical GST fold with an N-terminal thioredoxin-like $\alpha$/β domain and a C-terminal bundle of $\alpha$-helices (Fig. 2A). The closest structural homologues showing an RMSD below 1.00 Å, as identified by PDBBeFold [62], were the structures of GST delta proteins from *N. lugens* (PDB entry 3WYW), *A. gambiae* (PDB entry 1PN9) and GST delta 2 from *D. melanogaster* (PDB entry 5F0G). By the time we conducted the study, a structure of GST delta from *A. mellifera* in complex with glutathione was released without publication in the PDB (PDB entry 7RHP). Monomeric superposition of our structure onto this GSH-bound form yielded an RMSD of 0.29 Å, which shows the high structural similarity between the two structures (Fig. 2A). This comparison allowed us to identify the glutathione binding site residues, as our structure lacks any ligand. The glutathione binding site residues are Glu89 and Ser90, which stabilize the gamma-glutamyl moiety; Ile77, which precedes cis-Pro78 and stabilizes the main chain of the cysteinyl moiety by its main chain; Ser34, which interacts with the cysteinyl side chain; and His75, which interacts by H-bond with the carboxy terminus of glutathione (Fig. 2B). These residues are mainly conserved in the Delta class, as mentioned.
previously [35]. Additionally, our structure is devoid of any visible residues in the electron density map in the region between Val56 and Thr76, corresponding to the alpha 2 helix, which could be a flexible region important for glutathione binding, as previously shown through molecular dynamics simulation [35]. The absence of any ligand precluded an exact identification of the hydrophobic binding site (H site) residues. However, the superimposition of AmGSTD1 structure onto the previously solved structure of A. dirus GST delta 4 in complex with hexyl-glutathione allowed to identify putative H site residues (Fig. S2). This H site is in close vicinity of the G site as conserved in the GST family and is mainly constituted by aromatic residues.

The biological dimer of AmGSTD1, as predicted by the PISA server [63], can be generated by using crystallographic symmetry. An in-depth analysis of the interface of AmGSTD1 was carried out. AmGSTD1 exhibits the typical motif of Delta GSTs in its interface, namely the clasp motif (Fig. 2C). This structural feature in AmGSTD1 corresponds to the aromatic residue Tyr123 from subunit A that fits between helices alpha 3 and alpha 4 from subunit B. Both Tyr123 side chains from subunits A and B are located around the twofold axis, making this structural motif important for the stability of the dimer [38]. Additionally, AmGSTD1 shows original features for a GST Delta, detailed in the following. Cys127 forms a disulfide bridge with the same Cys residue from its neighbouring subunit, thus constituting a covalent dimer (Fig. 2C). Additionally, in the vicinity of the Cys127-Cys127 disulfide bridge, the side chains of both Met126 residues interact with the S-S bond. This close proximity of the four sulfur atoms (from the Cys and Met residues) forms an unusual and unique structural motif that we propose to call the ‘sulfur sandwich’ (Fig. 2C). The distance between the sulfur atoms of Cys127 and Met126, at 2.9 Å, is significantly less than the sum of their van der Waals radii (3.6 Å). Consequently, this proximity cannot be interpreted as a van der Waals interaction. The sulfur sandwich interaction was tentatively characterized through DFT calculations on a model dimer (Met-Cys)2 (Fig. S1), displaying the same structure than the motif ‘Met126Cys127 —Cys127Met126’ in the oxidized form of AmGSTD. This analysis revealed two interactions between the Cys sulfur atom and the side chain of Met126: an...
126C-H...S127 hydrogen bond involving a methylene moiety of Met126 and an 126S...S127 sigma-hole interaction. Although the geometry of the latter interaction is not optimal [64], it is noteworthy as it is still relatively unexplored in the field of three-dimensional protein structures [65]. This sulfur sandwich is not present in the reduced structure (PDB 7RHP), as Cys127 is oriented towards their respective monomers (Fig. 2D). The sulfur sandwich probably forms upon cysteine oxidation and formation of the disulfide bond, with the methionine residues adding σ-hole effects and thus additional stability to the covalent link.

Crystal structures and thermal stability of the variants AmGSTD1_M126L and AmGSTD1_C127S

To test the role of the cysteine and methionine residues in the sulfur sandwich, the two variants AmGSTD1_M126L and AmGSTD1_C127S were produced and purified, and both crystal structures were solved (isomorphous to the wild-type) (Table S1).
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In conclusion, the biochemical and structural characterization of AmGSTD1 reveals original features for the sole member of this class in the honey bee. The efficient activity rate of AmGSTD1 is compatible with a fast metabolism of odorant molecules, which is indispensable for liberating the olfactory receptor and facilitating renewed detection. From an evolutionary point of view, our results suggest that keeping only one member of GST Delta could be a disadvantage to metabolize exogenous molecules, but this could have been compensated by good kinetics, as well as an enhanced stability thanks to a unique structural feature, the sulfur sandwich.
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