



HAL
open science

Controlling feeding practices and maternal migrant background: an analysis of a multicultural sample

Maria Somaraki, Karin Eli, Anna Ek, Louise Lindberg, Jonna Nyman, Claude Marcus, Carl-Erik Flodmark, Angelo Pietrobelli, Myles Faith, Kimmo Sorjonen, et al.

► To cite this version:

Maria Somaraki, Karin Eli, Anna Ek, Louise Lindberg, Jonna Nyman, et al.. Controlling feeding practices and maternal migrant background: an analysis of a multicultural sample. *Public Health Nutrition*, 2016, 20 (5), pp.848-858. 10.1017/S1368980016002834 . hal-04332472

HAL Id: hal-04332472

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04332472>

Submitted on 8 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Controlling feeding practices and maternal migrant background: An analysis of a multi-** 2 **cultural sample**

3

4 **Abstract**

5 *Objective:* Parental feeding practices shape children's relationships with food and eating.

6 Feeding is embedded socioculturally in values and attitudes related to food and parenting.

7 However, few studies have examined associations between parental feeding practices and
8 migrant background.

9 *Design:* Cross-sectional study. Parental feeding practices (restriction, pressure to eat, and
10 monitoring) were assessed using the Child Feeding Questionnaire. Differences were explored
11 in four subsamples grouped by maternal place of birth: Sweden, Nordic/Western Europe,
12 Eastern/Southern Europe, and countries outside Europe. Crude, partly, and fully adjusted
13 linear regression models were created. **Potential** confounding variables included child's age,
14 gender, and weight status, and mother's age, weight status, education, and concern about child
15 overweight.

16 *Setting:* Malmö and Stockholm, Sweden.

17 *Subjects:* 1,325 mothers (representing 73 countries; mean age 36.5 years; 28.1% of non-
18 Swedish background; 30.7% with overweight/obesity; 62.8 % with university education) of
19 preschoolers (mean age 4.8 years; 50.8% boys; 18.6% with overweight/obesity).

20 *Results:* Non-Swedish-born mothers, whether European-born or non-European-born, were
21 more likely to use restriction. Swedish-born mothers and Nordic/Western European-born
22 mothers reported lower levels of pressure to eat, compared to mothers born in
23 Eastern/Southern Europe, and mothers born outside Europe. Differences in monitoring were
24 small. Among the **potential** confounding variables, child weight status and concern about
25 child overweight were highly influential. Concern about child overweight accounted for some
26 of the effect of maternal origin on restriction.

27 *Conclusion:* Non-European-born mothers were more concerned about children being
28 overweight and more likely to report controlling feeding practices. Future research should
29 examine acculturative and structural factors underlying differences in feeding.

30

31 **Keywords:** feeding practices, migration, obesity, preschoolers

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 Overweight and obesity in childhood may lead to multiple adverse consequences that can
44 impact a child's physical and psychosocial development ⁽¹⁾. The prevalence of overweight and
45 obesity among children and adolescents has increased by about 40% in most Western
46 countries between 1980 and 2013 ⁽²⁾. At the same time, a steeper increase, of about 60%, has
47 been observed in emerging economies ⁽²⁾. As a response to these rising rates, several studies
48 have addressed the etiology of childhood obesity, in order to inform early prevention
49 strategies ⁽³⁾. When exploring the etiology of obesity much emphasis has been placed on the
50 impact of environmental and behavioral changes ^(3,4,5) that influence nutrition and physical
51 activity ⁽⁶⁾. Young children are highly dependent on their parents for food provision, and
52 parental feeding practices may thus have a profound effect on children's eating behaviors and
53 weight status ^(7,8,9,10,11).

54

55 In Sweden, the prevalence of childhood obesity is about 4%, compared to about 8% in
56 Southern Europe; on the population level, childhood obesity is less pronounced in Sweden
57 compared to other Western and European countries ⁽²⁾. Moreover, recent epidemiological
58 studies have found that childhood obesity is stabilizing and leveling off in Sweden although
59 the social gradient has become more evident ^(12,13,14). Weight disparities between children of
60 Swedish background and children of first or second-generation migrant background persist: in
61 Sweden, children of Turkish, Iranian, and South American background have up to three times
62 higher odds of developing overweight or obesity ^(15,16).

63

64 Most research studies on obesity-related parental feeding practices focus on three constructs:
65 restriction, pressure to eat, and monitoring ^(17,18). High levels of restriction, characterized by a
66 high degree of parental regulation of the types and amounts of food consumed by children,
67 have been associated with children's increased eating in the absence of hunger, higher food

68 responsiveness, and lower responsiveness to satiety cues ^(19,20,21,22,23). High levels of pressure
69 to eat, a construct that describes pushing a child to eat without prioritizing his or her internal
70 satiety cues, have been related to fussiness, pickiness, and limited interest in food, along with
71 reduced appetite ^(22,24,25,26). Restriction and pressure to eat have been associated with
72 children's weight status in numerous studies ^(27,28,29,30,31), though a few studies have not found
73 such associations ^(25,32,33). Monitoring is a construct characterized by keeping track of a child's
74 food consumption. Although monitoring has been associated with lower food approach ⁽²²⁾,
75 research on monitoring has been less extensive and less conclusive ⁽³⁴⁾.

76
77 Previous research has identified several correlates of parental feeding practices, including
78 parents' perceptions of their children's weight status, and concerns about their children's being
79 overweight or having abnormal appetite ^(35,36,37,38,39). Parental feeding practices are embedded
80 in broader sociocultural contexts, reflecting social norms and culturally-conditioned values and
81 attitudes ^(40,41). Feeding practices are thus influenced by perceptions of desirable weight status
82 and body shape, which may vary substantially according to sociocultural norms ⁽⁴²⁾ and
83 socioeconomic status ⁽⁴³⁾. Experiences associated with socioeconomic hardship, such as food
84 insecurity, poverty, and hunger, have also been linked to parental feeding practices ⁽⁴⁴⁾.

85
86 Despite the important socioeconomic and sociocultural dimensions of parental feeding
87 practices, most studies of parental feeding have been conducted among largely ethnically
88 homogeneous, middle-class Western populations ⁽⁷⁾. Only a few studies (four in the USA and
89 one in Germany and the UK), all with rather small samples, have focused on differences in
90 controlling feeding practices among parents of different ethnic background; these studies found
91 that controlling feeding practices are used less frequently among white American ^(45,46,47,48) and
92 white European parents ⁽⁴⁹⁾, compared to parents of minority ethnic background. Studies with

93 larger samples, including parents from migrant backgrounds, are needed in order to understand
94 associations between feeding practices and parental ethnic, cultural, and national backgrounds,
95 and thereby inform contemporary public health efforts in Europe.

96

97 The aim of the present study is to investigate associations between maternal country of birth
98 and feeding practices, in a large multi-cultural sample in Sweden. Specifically, the study
99 examines associations between maternal origin and self-reported restriction, pressure to eat,
100 and monitoring practices, and assesses the influence of child and maternal characteristics as
101 potentially relevant confounders. Based on research conducted in comparable European
102 settings, we hypothesize that mothers born outside Sweden are more likely to engage in
103 controlling feeding practices ⁽⁴⁹⁾.

104

105 **Methods**

106 **Setting, participants and data collection**

107 The total sample included 1,325 mothers of children, age four to seven years old. Data were
108 collected through the Child Feeding Questionnaire (CFQ) ⁽¹⁷⁾ and a background questionnaire,
109 which included questions regarding socio-demographic and anthropometric characteristics of
110 children and mothers; all distributed questionnaires were in Swedish. Participants were
111 recruited through three groupings: a population-based sample in Malmö, a school-based sample
112 in Stockholm, and a clinically-based sample in Stockholm. In all three groupings, responses
113 were collected by mail. In Malmö, the Swedish Population Registry was used to recruit mothers;
114 876 out of the total of 3,007 mothers of 4-year-olds participated in the study (response rate
115 29%) ⁽⁵⁰⁾. In Stockholm, the school-based sample targeted five schools and 20 preschools from
116 areas with low, medium, and high prevalence of obesity (compared to rates in Stockholm
117 county); 432 out of 931 of parents participated (response rate 46%) ^(35,51). In this study we used

118 only data provided by mothers (n=351 in total). We added a clinically-based sample in order to
119 identify differences between parents of overweight or obese children and parents of normal
120 weight children. This sample consisted of baseline data from mothers of 98 children with
121 obesity, aged four to six years. The children were referred by primary health care centers across
122 the county to an ongoing randomized controlled childhood obesity trial (NCTXXXX)⁽⁵²⁾. The
123 response rate was 87.5%. The recruitment process and data collection procedures have been
124 described in detail elsewhere for the Malmö sample⁽⁵⁰⁾, and for the Stockholm samples^(35,51,52).

125

126 *Parental feeding practices*

127 CFQ is an established, self-administered seven-factor questionnaire assessing parental feeding
128 practices, beliefs, and attitudes related to obesity proneness among children and adolescents
129⁽¹⁷⁾. The Swedish version of CFQ has been validated using confirmatory factor analysis
130 (CFA), and an optimal fit was obtained after excluding two questions regarding parental
131 reward⁽⁵⁰⁾. Restriction, pressure to eat, and monitoring are all CFQ factors. Restriction
132 (Cronbach alpha 0.81) was measured by 6 items:

133 (1) “I have to be sure that my child does not eat too many sweets (candy, ice-cream, cake or
134 pastries)”,

135 (2) “I have to be sure that my child does not eat too many high-fat foods”,

136 (3) “I have to be sure that my child does not eat too much of his/her favorite foods”,

137 (4) “I intentionally keep some foods out of my child’s reach”,

138 (5) “If I did not guide or regulate my child’s eating, he/she would eat too many junk foods”,

139 (6) “If I did not guide or regulate my child’s eating, he/she would eat too much of his/her
140 favorite foods”.

141 The response options were scored: 1=disagree, 2=slightly disagree, 3=neutral, 4=slightly agree,
142 5=agree.

143

144 Pressure to eat (Cronbach alpha 0.69) was measured by 4 items:

145 (1) “My child should always eat all of the food on his/her plate”,

146 (2) “I have to be especially careful to make sure my child eats enough”,

147 (3) “If my child says “I am not hungry”, I try to get him/her to eat anyway”,

148 (4) “If I did not guide or regulate my child’s eating, he/she would eat much less than he/she
149 should”.

150 The response options were scored: 1=disagree, 2=slightly disagree, 3=neutral, 4=slightly agree,
151 5=agree.

152

153 Monitoring (Cronbach alpha 0.77) was measured by 3 items:

154 (1) “How much do you keep track of the sweets (candy, ice cream, cake, pies, pastries) that
155 your child eats?”,

156 (2) “How much do you keep track of the snack food (potato chips, Doritos, cheese puffs) that
157 your child eats?”,

158 (3) “How much do you keep track of the high-fat foods that your child eats?”

159 The response options were scored: 1=never, 2=rarely, 3=sometimes, 4=mostly, 5=always.

160

161 Another CFQ factor is concern about child weight; in this study, concern about child weight
162 (Cronbach alpha 0.86) was examined as a potential confounder. It consists of 3 items: “How
163 concerned are you about your child eating too much when you are not around her?”, “How
164 concerned are you about your child having to diet to maintain a desirable weight?” and “How
165 concerned are you about your child becoming overweight?”. The responses options are:
166 1=unconcerned, 2=a little concerned, 3=concerned, 4=fairly concerned, 5=very concerned.

167

168 Mean scores for each subscale were calculated; for each practice, higher scores represented
169 more frequent use.

170

171 *Background characteristics*

172 A socio-demographic and anthropometric questionnaire was designed using items from
173 established instruments. Data were collected on children's age (in years), gender (boy or girl),
174 height (in cm), and weight (in kg), and on mothers' age (in years), height (in cm), weight (in
175 kg) and level of education (more than 12 years or less than 12 years. Mother's country of birth
176 was self-reported in the background questionnaire based on a question "In which country were
177 you born?" with two answer options: I was born in: 1) Sweden, 2) another country, please
178 specify.

179

180 Body Mass Index (BMI) in kg/m^2 was calculated for both children and their mothers, and was
181 then used to establish the categories of overweight/obese and normal weight. Weight and height
182 were self-reported in the population-based non-clinical samples, and measured by trained health
183 care professionals in the clinical sample. Child weight categories were created using age and
184 gender specific international cut offs for BMI ⁽⁵³⁾.

185

186 *Data analyses*

187 Descriptive statistics are presented as means or percentages for categorical variables. Taking
188 into consideration the large sample size ($n=1,325$) and implications of the central limit theorem
189 (CLT) for normality in larger sample sizes, parametric tests were chosen. One-way analysis of
190 variance (ANOVA) and chi-square (χ^2) analysis were performed in order to explore possible
191 differences in continuous and categorical variables across the groups established through
192 mother's place of birth. Once statistical significance was attained through the ANOVA, post
193 hoc analyses were performed using Bonferroni tests for multiple comparisons, in order to
194 identify differences in continuous variables between the groups based on mother's place of birth
195 (the results not shown). Multiple linear regression was carried out, with restriction, pressure to

196 eat, and monitoring as dependent variables and mother's place of birth as a primary exposure
197 variable, to test the crude (Model I), partly adjusted (for child weight, Model II, and for concern
198 about child weight, Model III) and fully adjusted model (Model IV). Unstandardized regression
199 coefficients were interpreted as the difference in scores between the reference group (Swedish-
200 born mothers) and each of the three categories (Nordic/Western European-born,
201 Eastern/Southern European-born, and non-European-born). The confounders included child's
202 age, gender, and weight status and mother's age, weight status, level of education, and concern
203 about child weight. All p-values <0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. All analyses
204 were performed using SPSS statistical software (version 22.0, IBM Inc., Chicago, USA).

205

206 ***Results***

207 **Study population**

208 Table 1 provides an overview of the 73 countries including Sweden represented in the sample.
209 Out of 1325 returned answers, 41 mothers did not indicate their country of origin, thus the total
210 sample comprises of 1284. Of the mothers, 923 were born in Sweden, 159 were born in a
211 European country other than Sweden, and 202 were born outside Europe. No country of origin,
212 except Sweden, was represented by more than 5% of the whole sample.

213

214 *Please insert Table 1 here.*

215

216 Mothers born in Europe were from 30 countries. The most represented European country was
217 Denmark (30 mothers), followed by Poland (21 mothers). Mothers who were not born in Europe
218 had origins in 42 countries. The Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region was most
219 represented, with 114 mothers from 12 countries, comprising 10 % of the valid cases; 59
220 mothers were born in Iraq, which was the most represented country outside Europe.

221
222 Based on socio-geographic similarities and comparable group size we created four subsamples:
223 mothers born in Sweden (n=923), mothers born in a Nordic country or in Western Europe
224 (including USA/Australia, due to socio-cultural similarities) (n=70), mothers born in Eastern
225 or Southern Europe (including Russia) (n=93), and mothers born in MENA, Asia, Latin
226 America, or Sub-Saharan Africa, which we grouped under the heading of non-European-born
227 (n=198).

228

229 *Please insert Table 2 here.*

230

231 Table 2 shows the descriptive characteristics of the total sample and of the four subsamples.
232 Significant differences were found between the four subsamples for children's and mothers'
233 weight status and mothers' education level. Mothers born in Sweden had a higher education
234 level and lower levels of overweight and obesity in comparison with the mothers from the three
235 other subsamples. Swedish-born-mothers reported the lowest level of concern about child
236 overweight, the lowest level of restriction and pressure to eat, and the highest level of
237 monitoring practices, compared to mothers from the other three subsamples.

238

239 **Parental feeding practices**

240

241 ***Restriction***

242

243 *Please insert Table 3 here.*

244

245 Table 3 shows multiple linear regression analyses. Maternal origin was found to explain 9% of
246 the variance in restriction for Model I, the crude model. In Model II (adjusted for child's weight
247 status), the explained variance increased to 17%. In model III (adjusted for maternal concern),
248 the explained variance increased to 25%. In the fully adjusted model, the explained variance
249 was 28%. This indicates that child weight and maternal concern seem to be more important
250 than mothers' characteristics, such as age, weight status, and education, in influencing feeding
251 practices. Among the studied variables, maternal concern about child overweight was highly
252 influential. The first two models show similar patterns with regard to the effect of mother's
253 country of birth (0.40, 0.70, 0.80 for the crude model and 0.37, 0.65 and 0.67 for the model
254 adjusted for child's weight status). However, adjusting for concern resulted in a different
255 pattern; this pattern remained consistent after adjusting for all other confounders (0.37, 0.47,
256 0.38 for the model adjusted for concern, and 0.35, 0.43 and 0.34 for the fully adjusted model).
257 This indicates that concern about child overweight accounts for some of the effect of maternal
258 origin on restriction. For example, the difference in maternal concern can explain 52% ($(0.80 -$
259 $0.38) / 0.80 = 0.52$) of the difference in restriction between Swedish and non-European mothers.

260

261 Moreover, in the fully adjusted model, weight status and the age of both the child and the mother
262 were important. Child's ($b=0.27$, 95% CI 0.11-0.42) and mother's ($b=0.13$, 95% CI 0.01-0.24)
263 weight status were positively associated, while child's ($b=-0.16$, 95% CI -0.22- -0.09) and
264 mother's age ($b=-0.01$, 95% CI -0.02 – -0.001) were both negatively associated with restriction.

265

266 *Pressure to eat*

267

268 Maternal origin explained 3.6% of the variance in the use of pressure to eat (Table 3). The
269 explained variance increased to 6.5% when adjusting for child's weight status. Adjusting for

270 concern yielded the same explained variance of 6.5%. In a fully adjusted model, the variance
271 increased to 8.2%. In contrast, for pressure to eat, the effect of mother's country of birth showed
272 a consistent pattern, with Swedish- and Nordic/Western European-born mothers demonstrating
273 the lowest values and non-European-born mothers demonstrating the highest values. In all
274 models, no significant differences were observed between Swedish-born mothers and
275 Nordic/Western European-born mothers with regard to pressure to eat. Mothers born in
276 Eastern/Southern Europe had higher scores on pressure to eat, and non-European-born mothers
277 had the highest scores. As in the case of restrictive feeding practices, child weight status and
278 maternal concern about child overweight were most influential on pressure to eat. In the fully
279 adjusted model, child's weight status ($b=-0.30$, 95% CI -0.46- -0.13) was negatively associated
280 with pressure to eat, as was maternal concern about child weight ($b=-0.14$, 95% CI -0.22- -
281 0.06). Maternal age, education, and BMI only had weak associations with pressure to eat in
282 Model IV.

283

284 *Monitoring*

285

286 In comparison with restriction and pressure to eat, the explained variance in monitoring, was
287 much lower in all models; the explained variance reached 1.3% in the fully adjusted model
288 (Table 3). Non-European-born mothers scored significantly lower in monitoring compared to
289 Swedish-born mothers in all models. In Model II (adjusted for child's weight status), child
290 weight status was positively associated with use of monitoring ($b=0.20$, 95% CI 0.06-0.34). In
291 Model III (adjusted for maternal concern), concern was also positively associated with use of
292 monitoring ($b=0.09$, 95% CI 0.03-0.15); however, none of these two confounders were
293 significantly associated with monitoring in the fully adjusted model. Child's gender and
294 maternal education were not associated with monitoring.

295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318

Discussion

This study is the first to investigate differences in controlling feeding practices between Swedish-born and non-Swedish-born-mothers. The study accounts for potential confounding variables, including mother's education and weight status, child's gender and weight status and mother's concern for child weight, while examining potential associations between mother's region of origin – as defined through groupings by country of birth – and feeding practices. The analysis found significant associations between mothers' migrant backgrounds and their feeding practices. The analysis found that non-Swedish-born mothers, whether European-born or non-European-born, were more likely to report using restriction. In contrast, Swedish-born-mothers and Nordic/Western European-born mothers reported similar levels of pressure to eat; these levels were lower in comparison with mothers born in Eastern/Southern Europe, and mothers born outside Europe. Among the potential confounding variables, child weight status and concern about child overweight were the most influential. Specifically, maternal concern about child overweight accounts for some of the effect of maternal origin on restriction.

Compared to restriction and pressure to eat, the analyses showed much smaller differences between the groups regarding monitoring. In all four groups, most mothers scored higher on monitoring than on the other two practices, possibly reflecting the social desirability of endorsing monitoring practices. This ceiling effect made it difficult to examine associations between maternal background and monitoring, due to the limited variance of the results. Thus, the remainder of the discussion will focus on the analysis of relationships between maternal background, restriction, and pressure to eat.

319 The current study extends the growing body of research on parental feeding practices by linking
320 feeding practices and maternal migrant backgrounds. Only one other European study explored
321 feeding in a multi-cultural context ⁽⁴⁹⁾. The study, which involved British and German mothers
322 of children age 2-12 years, found that German participants of Afro-Caribbean origin used
323 restrictive feeding practices more often and monitoring less often than white German-born and
324 UK-born participants. These results are similar to findings from a US-based study which
325 showed that restrictive feeding was higher among Hispanic mothers of preschoolers, compared
326 to non-Hispanic white mothers ⁽⁴⁷⁾. Another US-based study with slightly older parents also
327 showed that Hispanic parents endorsed the highest levels of restriction and pressure to eat, while
328 non-Hispanic white parents endorsed the lowest levels ⁽⁴⁵⁾. However, while the aforementioned
329 studies focused on ethnicity as a variable, the present study is the first to examine associations
330 between migrant background and feeding practices.

331
332 There are three possible reasons why non-Swedish-born mothers report higher use of
333 controlling feeding practices. First, controlling feeding practices may reflect culturally
334 conditioned modes of parental communication with children. In a cross-cultural study that
335 compared US families of diverse ethnic backgrounds with Swedish families, clear differences
336 were observed in the dynamics of play between parents and infants, with US families showing
337 a much higher interaction tempo than Swedish families ⁽⁵⁴⁾. Interestingly, the researchers
338 observed that the US children were not overwhelmed by the higher tempo, and that the Swedish
339 children were not understimulated by the lower tempo. Similarly, the perception and reception
340 of feeding practices may be culturally conditioned, and it is important to note that most studies
341 where controlling feeding practices were found to be counter-productive were conducted
342 among white US families, with negative influences particularly pronounced for girls ⁽¹⁹⁾. In
343 other studies, especially those conducted among Hispanic and African-American families, no

344 link was observed between the level of controlling feeding practices and weight gain in children
345 ^(46,55,56). It is possible, then, that controlling feeding may not substantially disrupt children's
346 self-regulation of appetite in an environment where controlling feeding practices are more
347 common and have fewer negative connotations.

348

349 Another possible interpretation for the study's findings is that parents are more likely to employ
350 controlling feeding practices when facing stressful situations. Studies have shown links
351 between stress and feeding ⁽⁵⁷⁾, and between stress and childhood obesity ⁽⁵⁸⁾. Furthermore, in
352 the related area of parental mental health, most studies have found associations between
353 parental depression or anxiety and feeding practices ^(57,59,60,61,62,63). It is important to note that
354 behavioral changes caused by depression and stress may differ ⁽⁶⁴⁾. Symptoms may vary
355 considerably depending on the context and length of the depressive or stressful periods. Because
356 experiences of migration are associated with increased long-term stress ^(65,66) and structural
357 vulnerabilities, parents may be less attentive to children's needs and may engage in pressuring
358 or restrictive feeding practices as a result. The results of our recent analysis of associations
359 between sense of coherence (a concept linked to resilience to stress), parental feeding practices,
360 parental SES, and parental migrant origin support this suggested nexus between migration,
361 stress, and controlling feeding ⁽⁶⁷⁾.

362

363 Possible regional differences in concern about child weight offer a further explanation of
364 differences in parental feeding practices. Our results suggest that variations in controlling
365 feeding practices are partly explained by differences in the weight status of children and, even
366 more so, by maternal concern about child overweight; notably, in the case of restriction,
367 maternal concern overrides the effect of maternal origin. In our study, higher proportions of
368 non-Swedish-born mothers reported concern over child weight. These results are in line with a

369 2013 study that analyzed data from eight European countries, and found that about half of
370 parents in Southern Europe were concerned about their children's potential for unhealthy
371 weight, in comparison with one third of parents in Northern and Central Europe ⁽⁴²⁾. Of note,
372 large proportions of parents, especially in Southern Europe, were concerned about their
373 children's potential for both underweight and overweight. The authors argue that "having both
374 concerns may imply the presence of a more universal uneasiness, of which weight concerns
375 may be just one part" ⁽⁴²⁾. Such regional differences may reflect both specific anxieties about
376 eating, weight, and the body, and anxieties born of economic inequality and uncertainty ⁽⁶⁸⁾. As
377 the mothers of non-Swedish origin who participated in our study were able to complete the
378 questionnaire in Swedish – suggesting they had gone through some acculturative processes – it
379 is also possible that increased maternal concern about child overweight was accentuated by
380 integrating into Swedish society, where emphasis is placed on lean weight status and
381 appearance ⁽⁶⁹⁾.

382

383 The present study has several strengths and limitations. The study has a larger sample (n=1,325)
384 than previous studies that examined associations between parental national origin and
385 controlling feeding practices. The large sample and the diversity of participants' birthplaces (a
386 total of 72 apart from those born in Sweden), in combination with the use of a population
387 registry, make the study particularly robust. Since detailed Swedish registers are widely
388 accessible, the final sample was found to reflect demographic aspects measured on the regional
389 level, with the exception of level of education ⁽⁷⁰⁾. The study's main limitation was the use of
390 self-reports to determine BMI in the population-based and school samples. Cultural values
391 affect the reporting of weight and height ^(71,72), such that overestimation and underestimation
392 are possible, especially for those children who have developed obesity ^(73,74). Another limitation
393 is lack of information about father's country of birth, as there might be some differences

394 between families with one parent of non-Swedish background and families with two parents of
395 non-Swedish background. An additional limitation may have been posed by language: the
396 questionnaires were in Swedish only, which may have affected the quality of responses
397 **provided by participants** who were not fluent in **Swedish, and may have influenced the sample**
398 **as well**. Furthermore, the use of a cross-sectional design did not allow us to analyze if the
399 mothers of foreign origin have changed their feeding practices after moving to Sweden as a
400 result of being influenced by the new culture. Finally, the interpretation of the results was
401 limited by the parameters set for comparison; in the analysis, the participants' countries of
402 origin were grouped based on their geographic location (European and non-European) in most
403 cases, and socio-cultural similarities in a few cases. The extent to which place of birth correlates
404 with food and feeding practices can vary considerably between individuals, as well as between
405 and within migrant groups, and it is likely that some non-Swedish-born participants were
406 acculturated to aspects of the mainstream Swedish lifestyle, including dietary practices ⁽⁷⁵⁾. As
407 recent critiques have shown, acculturation is a multidimensional process that reflects migration
408 type (e.g. economic versus asylum seeking migration), the structures and values of the receiving
409 society, the ethnic identification, values and practices of migrant groups, and the varying
410 degrees of social inclusion and exclusion migrants encounter ⁽⁷⁶⁾. Since acculturation is a non-
411 linear process, the study did not assume a participant's extent of acculturation based on
412 demographic variables (e.g. years of residence in Sweden), and the analysis, therefore, did not
413 aim to provide a subgrouping of non-Swedish-born participants based on extent of
414 acculturation.

415

416 **Future research**

417 The findings suggest that additional research is needed to elucidate the reasons underlying the
418 use of pressuring and restrictive practices by mothers of migrant backgrounds. Although recent

419 research has identified parental resilience to stress ⁽⁶⁷⁾ and parental SES ⁽⁴⁵⁾ as associated with
420 feeding practices, it is also possible that child feeding practices are embedded in broader food-
421 related practices ⁽¹¹⁾. Feeding practices are related to the preservation of cultural, communal,
422 and familial identities in the context of migration ^(77,78), and it is still unknown how migration
423 influences child weight status and parental concern about child overweight. Differences within
424 the relatively large groups of European-born and non-European-born mothers need to be
425 explored further. Similarly, analysis by migration type (e.g. economic migration versus asylum
426 seeking) would allow for a more nuanced understanding of associations between diverging
427 processes of migration – upon the trauma and stress they involve – and parental feeding
428 practices. Finally, future research should examine associations between parental migration and
429 additional feeding practices – particularly encouragement and role modeling ⁽⁷⁹⁾.

430

431 **Conclusion**

432 Differences in controlling feeding practices between Swedish-born and non-Swedish-born
433 mothers were identified in a large sample of mothers of diverse countries of birth. Swedish-
434 born and Nordic/Western European-born mothers were less likely to report using pressuring or
435 restrictive feeding practices– practices that may promote unhealthy eating behaviors among
436 children. Child weight and maternal concern about child overweight were important
437 confounding variables, especially for restriction. The study highlights the importance of
438 national and migration background in influencing parental feeding practices. Future research
439 should examine the processes that underlie differences between Swedish-born and non-
440 Swedish-born mothers' feeding practices. This could inform the development of inclusive
441 interventions for promoting healthy feeding and eating practices in diverse societies, with
442 sensitivity to the values and experiences of migrant parents, and an understanding of the
443 hardships and structural barriers they encounter throughout the migration process.

444 **References**

- 445
- 446 1. Farpour-Lambert NJ, Baker JL, Hassapidou M *et al.* (2015) Childhood obesity is a chronic disease
447 demanding specific health care - A position statement from the Childhood Obesity Task Force (COTF)
448 of the European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO). *Obes Facts* **8**, 342-349.
- 449 2. Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M *et al.* (2014) Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight
450 and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of
451 Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* **384**, 766-781.
- 452 3. Lobstein T, Jackson-Leach R, Moodie ML *et al.* (2015) Child and adolescent obesity: Part of a bigger
453 picture. *Lancet* **385**, 2510-2520.
- 454 4. Craigie AM, Lake AA, Kelly SA *et al.* (2011) Tracking of obesity-related behaviours from childhood
455 to adulthood: A systematic review. *Maturitas* **70**, 266-284.
- 456 5. Mattes R, Foster GD (2014) Food environment and obesity. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **22**, 2459-2461.
- 457 6. Biro FM, Wien M (2010) Childhood obesity and adult morbidities. *Am J Clin Nutr* **91**, 1499S-1505S.
- 458 7. Ventura AK, Birch LL (2008) Does parenting affect children's eating and weight status? *Int J Behav*
459 *Nutr Phys Act* **5**, 15.
- 460 8. de Lauzon-Guillain B, Oliveira A, Charles MA *et al.* (2012) A review of methods to assess parental
461 feeding practices and preschool children's eating behavior: The need for further development of tools. *J*
462 *Acad Nutr Diet* **112**, 1578-1602, 1602 e1571-1578.
- 463 9. Hartman MA, Hosper K, Stronks K (2011) Targeting physical activity and nutrition interventions
464 towards mothers with young children: A review on components that contribute to attendance and
465 effectiveness. *Public Health Nutr* **14**, 1364-1381.
- 466 10. Power TG (2013) Parenting dimensions and styles: A brief history and recommendations for future
467 research. *Child Obes* **9 Suppl**, S14-21.
- 468 11. Birch LL, Davison KK (2001) Family environmental factors influencing the developing behavioral
469 controls of food intake and childhood overweight. *Pediatr Clin North Am* **48**, 893-907.
- 470 12. Rokholm B, Baker JL, Sorensen TI (2010) The levelling off of the obesity epidemic since the year
471 1999--a review of evidence and perspectives. *Obes Rev* **11**, 835-846.
- 472 13. Sundblom E, Petzold M, Rasmussen F *et al.* (2008) Childhood overweight and obesity prevalences
473 levelling off in stockholm but socioeconomic differences persist. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **32**, 1525-1530.
- 474 14. Sjoberg A, Moraesus L, Yngve A *et al.* (2011) Overweight and obesity in a representative sample of
475 schoolchildren - exploring the urban-rural gradient in sweden. *Obes Rev* **12**, 305-314.
- 476 15. Besharat Pour M, Bergstrom A, Bottai M *et al.* (2014) Effect of parental migration background on
477 childhood nutrition, physical activity, and Body Mass Index. *J Obes* **2014**, 406529.
- 478 16. Khanolkar AR, Sovio U, Bartlett JW *et al.* (2013) Socioeconomic and early-life factors and risk of
479 being overweight or obese in children of Swedish- and foreign-born parents. *Pediatr Res* **74**, 356-363.

- 480 17. Birch LL, Fisher JO, Grimm-Thomas K *et al.* (2001) Confirmatory factor analysis of the Child
481 Feeding Questionnaire: A measure of parental attitudes, beliefs and practices about child feeding and
482 obesity proneness. *Appetite* **36**, 201-210.
- 483 18. Musher-Eizenman DR, Kiefner A (2013) Food parenting: A selective review of current measurement
484 and an empirical examination to inform future measurement. *Child Obes* **9 Suppl**, S32-39.
- 485 19. Birch LL, Fisher JO, Davison KK (2003) Learning to overeat: Maternal use of restrictive feeding
486 practices promotes girls' eating in the absence of hunger. *Am J Clin Nutr* **78**, 215-220.
- 487 20. Fisher JO, Birch LL (2002) Eating in the absence of hunger and overweight in girls from 5 to 7 y of
488 age. *Am J Clin Nutr* **76**, 226-231.
- 489 21. Francis LA, Birch LL (2005) Maternal weight status modulates the effects of restriction on
490 daughters' eating and weight. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **29**, 942-949.
- 491 22. Jansen PW, Roza SJ, Jaddoe VW *et al.* (2012) Children's eating behavior, feeding practices of
492 parents and weight problems in early childhood: Results from the population-based Generation R study.
493 *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **9**, 130.
- 494 23. Rollins BY, Loken E, Savage JS *et al.* (2014) Maternal controlling feeding practices and girls'
495 inhibitory control interact to predict changes in BMI and eating in the absence of hunger from 5 to 7 y.
496 *Am J Clin Nutr* **99**, 249-257.
- 497 24. Galloway AT, Fiorito L, Lee Y *et al.* (2005) Parental pressure, dietary patterns, and weight status
498 among girls who are "picky eaters". *J Am Diet Assoc* **105**, 541-548.
- 499 25. Gregory JE, Paxton SJ, Brozovic AM (2010) Pressure to eat and restriction are associated with child
500 eating behaviours and maternal concern about child weight, but not child Body Mass Index, in 2- to 4-
501 year-old children. *Appetite* **54**, 550-556.
- 502 26. Galloway AT, Fiorito LM, Francis LA *et al.* (2006) 'Finish your soup': Counterproductive effects of
503 pressuring children to eat on intake and affect. *Appetite* **46**, 318-323.
- 504 27. Rodgers RF, Paxton SJ, Massey R *et al.* (2013) Maternal feeding practices predict weight gain and
505 obesogenic eating behaviors in young children: A prospective study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **10**, 24.
- 506 28. Faith MS, Berkowitz RI, Stallings VA *et al.* (2004) Parental feeding attitudes and styles and child
507 body mass index: Prospective analysis of a gene-environment interaction. *Pediatrics* **114**, e429-436.
- 508 29. Birch LL, Fisher JO (2000) Mothers' child-feeding practices influence daughters' eating and weight.
509 *Am J Clin Nutr* **71**, 1054-1061.
- 510 30. Farrow CV, Blissett J (2008) Controlling feeding practices: Cause or consequence of early child
511 weight? *Pediatrics* **121**, e164-169.
- 512 31. Farrow C, Blissett J, Haycraft E (2011) Does child weight influence how mothers report their feeding
513 practices? *Int J Pediatr Obes* **6**, 306-313.
- 514 32. Hurley KM, Cross MB, Hughes SO (2011) A systematic review of responsive feeding and child
515 obesity in high-income countries. *J Nutr* **141**, 495-501.

- 516 33. Rhee KE, Coleman SM, Appugliese DP *et al.* (2009) Maternal feeding practices become more
517 controlling after and not before excessive rates of weight gain. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **17**, 1724-1729.
- 518 34. Afonso L, Lopes C, Severo M *et al.* (2016) Bidirectional association between parental child-feeding
519 practices and body mass index at 4 and 7 y of age. *Am J Clin Nutr* **103**, 861-867.
- 520 35. Anonymous (2016a).
- 521 36. Jansen PW, Tharner A, van der Ende J *et al.* (2014) Feeding practices and child weight: Is the
522 association bidirectional in preschool children? *Am J Clin Nutr* **100**, 1329-1336.
- 523 37. Webber L, Cooke L, Hill C *et al.* (2010) Child adiposity and maternal feeding practices: A
524 longitudinal analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr* **92**, 1423-1428.
- 525 38. May AL, Donohue M, Scanlon KS *et al.* (2007) Child-feeding strategies are associated with maternal
526 concern about children becoming overweight, but not children's weight status. *J Am Diet Assoc* **107**,
527 1167-1175.
- 528 39. McPhie S, Skouteris H, Daniels L *et al.* (2014) Maternal correlates of maternal child feeding
529 practices: A systematic review. *Matern Child Nutr* **10**, 18-43.
- 530 40. Bornstein MH (2012) Cultural approaches to parenting. *Parent Sci Pract* **12**, 212-221.
- 531 41. Patrick H, Hennessy E, McSpadden K *et al.* (2013) Parenting styles and practices in children's
532 obesogenic behaviors: Scientific gaps and future research directions. *Child Obes* **9 Suppl**, S73-86.
- 533 42. Regber S, Novak M, Eiben G *et al.* (2013) Parental perceptions of and concerns about child's body
534 weight in eight European countries--the Idefics study. *Pediatr Obes* **8**, 118-129.
- 535 43. Sjoberg A, Lissner L, Albertsson-Wikland K *et al.* (2008) Recent anthropometric trends among
536 Swedish school children: Evidence for decreasing prevalence of overweight in girls. *Acta Paediatr* **97**,
537 118-123.
- 538 44. Kaufman L, Karpati A (2007) Understanding the sociocultural roots of childhood obesity: Food
539 practices among Latino families of Bushwick, Brooklyn. *Soc Sci Med* **64**, 2177-2188.
- 540 45. Cardel M, Willig AL, Dulin-Keita A *et al.* (2012) Parental feeding practices and socioeconomic
541 status are associated with child adiposity in a multi-ethnic sample of children. *Appetite* **58**, 347-353.
- 542 46. Cachelin FM, Thompson D (2013) Predictors of maternal child-feeding practices in an ethnically
543 diverse sample and the relationship to child obesity. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **21**, 1676-1683.
- 544 47. Worobey J, Borrelli A, Espinosa C *et al.* (2013) Feeding practices of mothers from varied income
545 and racial/ethnic groups. *Early Child Dev Care* **183**, 1661-1668.
- 546 48. Wehrly SE, Bonilla C, Perez M *et al.* (2014) Controlling parental feeding practices and child body
547 composition in ethnically and economically diverse preschool children. *Appetite* **73**, 163-171.
- 548 49. Blissett J, Bennett C (2013) Cultural differences in parental feeding practices and children's eating
549 behaviours and their relationships with child BMI: A comparison of black Afro-caribbean, white British
550 and white German samples. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **67**, 180-184.
- 551 50. Anonymous (2014).
- 552 51. Anonymous (2015a).

- 553 52. Anonymous (2015b).
- 554 53. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM *et al.* (2000) Establishing a standard definition for child overweight
555 and obesity worldwide: International survey. *BMJ* **320**, 1240-1243.
- 556 54. Hedenbro M, Shapiro AF, Gottman JM (2006) Play with me at my speed: Describing differences in
557 the tempo of parent-infant interactions in the Lausanne triadic play paradigm in two cultures. *Fam*
558 *Process* **45**, 485-498.
- 559 54. Powers SW, Chamberlin LA, van Schaick KB *et al.* (2006) Maternal feeding strategies, child eating
560 behaviors, and child BMI in low-income African-american preschoolers. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **14**,
561 2026-2033.
- 562 56. Robinson TN, Kiernan M, Matheson DM *et al.* (2001) Is parental control over children's eating
563 associated with childhood obesity? Results from a population-based sample of third graders. *Obes Res*
564 **9**, 306-312.
- 565 57. Gemmill AW, Worotniuk T, Holt CJ *et al.* (2013) Maternal psychological factors and controlled
566 child feeding practices in relation to child Body Mass Index. *Child Obes* **9**, 326-337.
- 567 58. Shankardass K, McConnell R, Jerrett M *et al.* (2014) Parental stress increases Body Mass Index
568 trajectory in pre-adolescents. *Pediatr Obes* **9**, 435-442.
- 569 59. El-Behadli AF, Sharp C, Hughes SO *et al.* (2015) Maternal depression, stress and feeding styles:
570 Towards a framework for theory and research in child obesity. *Br J Nutr* **113 Suppl**, S55-71.
- 571 60. Mitchell S, Brennan L, Hayes L *et al.* (2009) Maternal psychosocial predictors of controlling
572 parental feeding styles and practices. *Appetite* **53**, 384-389.
- 573 61. Haycraft E, Farrow C, Blissett J (2013) Maternal symptoms of depression are related to observations
574 of controlling feeding practices in mothers of young children. *J Fam Psychol* **27**, 159-164.
- 575 62. Goulding AN, Rosenblum KL, Miller AL *et al.* (2014) Associations between maternal depressive
576 symptoms and child feeding practices in a cross-sectional study of low-income mothers and their young
577 children. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **11**, 75.
- 578 63. Hurley KM, Black MM, Papas MA *et al.* (2008) Maternal symptoms of stress, depression, and
579 anxiety are related to nonresponsive feeding styles in a statewide sample of wic participants. *J Nutr* **138**,
580 799-805.
- 581 64. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health (UK) (2010) *Depression: The treatment and*
582 *management of depression in adults (updated edition), (nice clinical guidelines, no 90)*. Leicester (UK):
583 British Psychological Society.
- 584 65. Ornelas IJ, Perreira KM (2011) The role of migration in the development of depressive symptoms
585 among Latino immigrant parents in the USA. *Soc Sci Med* **73**, 1169-1177.
- 586 66. Arbona C, Olvera N, Rodriguez N *et al.* (2010) Acculturative stress among documented and
587 undocumented Latino immigrants in the united states. *Hisp J Behav Sci* **32**, 362-384.
- 588 67. Anonymous (2016b).

- 589 68. Offer A, Pechey R, Ulijaszek S (2010) Obesity under affluence varies by welfare regimes: The effect
590 of fast food, insecurity, and inequality. *Econ Hum Biol* **8**, 297-308.
- 591 69. Brewis AA, Wutich A, Falletta-Cowden A *et al.* (2011) Body norms and fat stigma in global
592 perspectives. *Current Anthropology* **52**, 269-276.
- 593 70. Statistics Sweden (2009). www.scb.se
- 594 71. Johnson WD, Bouchard C, Newton RL, Jr. *et al.* (2009) Ethnic differences in self-reported and
595 measured obesity. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* **17**, 571-577.
- 596 72. Wen M, Kowaleski-Jones L (2012) Sex and ethnic differences in validity of self-reported adult
597 height, weight and Body Mass Index. *Ethn Dis* **22**, 72-78.
- 598 73. Himes JH (2009) Challenges of accurately measuring and using BMI and other indicators of obesity
599 in children. *Pediatrics* **124 Suppl 1**, S3-22.
- 600 74. Huybrechts I, Himes JH, Ottevaere C *et al.* (2011) Validity of parent-reported weight and height of
601 preschool children measured at home or estimated without home measurement: A validation study. *BMC*
602 *Pediatr* **11**, 63.
- 603 75. Satia-Abouta J, Patterson RE, Neuhouser ML *et al.* (2002) Dietary acculturation: Applications to
604 nutrition research and dietetics. *J Am Diet Assoc* **102**, 1105-1118.
- 605 76. Schwartz SJ, Unger JB, Zamboanga BL *et al.* (2010) Rethinking the concept of acculturation:
606 Implications for theory and research. *Am Psychol* **65**, 237-251.
- 607 77. Sukovic M, Sharf BF, Sharkey JR *et al.* (2011) Seasoning for the soul: Empowerment through food
608 preparation among Mexican women in the Texas colonias. *Food and Foodways* **19**, 228-247.
- 609 78. Rabikowska M (2010) The ritualisation of food, home and national identity among Polish migrants
610 in London. *Social Identities* **16**, 377-398.
- 611 79. Gevers DW, Kremers SP, de Vries NK *et al.* (2014) Clarifying concepts of food parenting practices.
612 A Delphi study with an application to snacking behavior. *Appetite* **79**, 51-57.

613

614

615 **Tables**616 Table 1. Mother's reported country of origin, grouped by socio-geographic similarities.
617

Swedish, total	923	Non-European, total	198
Nordic, other than Sweden, total	53	Middle East and North Africa, total	114
1. Denmark	30	1. Iraq	59
2. Finland	18	2. Iran	17
3. Norway	3	3. Jordan	2
4. Iceland	2	4. Kuwait	1
		5. Lebanon	20
Western Europe, total	13	6. Morocco	1
1. Belgium	2	7. Libya	2
2. Great Britain	2	8. Palestinian Territories / Palestine	1
3. France	2	9. Sudan	1
4. The Netherlands	1	10. Syria	3
5. Austria	1	11. Turkey	6
6. Germany	5	12. United Arab Emirates	1
Eastern Europe and the Balkans, total	87	East, South and Southeast Asia , total	50
1. Estonia	3	1. Afghanistan	10
2. Kosovo	8	2. Bangladesh	1
3. Croatia	3	3. Cambodia	2
4. Lithuania	3	4. China	7
5. FYROM / Macedonia	7	5. Japan	3
6. Moldova	1	6. South Korea	6
7. Montenegro	1	7. Pakistan	4
8. Poland	21	8. The Philippines	3
9. Romania	5	9. Sri Lanka	2
10. Russia	4	10. Taiwan	1
11. Serbia	6	11. Thailand	5
12. Slovakia	1	12. Vietnam	6
13. Czech Republic	3		
14. Ukraine	2	Sub-Saharan Africa, total	15
15. The former Yugoslavia	1	1. Congo	2
16. Bosnia	17	2. Eritrea	1
17. Bulgaria	1	3. Ethiopia	3
		4. Gambia	1
Southern Europe, total	6	5. Ghana	1
1. Greece	1	6. Ivory Coast	1
2. Italy	4	7. Somalia	4
3. Spain	1	8. Tanzania	1
		9. Uganda	1
		Central and South America, total	19
		1. Argentina	2
		2. Bolivia	1
		3. Brazil	1
		4. Chile	10
		5. Ecuador	2
		6. Guatemala	1
		7. Honduras	2
		Other, total	4
		1. Australia ^a	1
		2. USA ^a	3

618 ^a Included in the "Nordic/Western European" group due to socio-cultural similarities with Sweden.

619 Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the study population.

	Total population (N=1325)	Sweden (N=923)	Nordic country/ Western Europe (N=70)	Eastern and Southern Europe (N=93)	Non-European country (N=198)	p-value
	Mean or %	Mean or %	Mean or %	Mean or %	Mean or %	
Child's gender						0.563 ^a
Boy	50.8	50.1	47.1	48.4	54.9	
Girl	49.2	49.9	52.9	51.6	45.1	
Child's age in years	4.80	4.85	4.76	4.60	4.63	<0.001 ^b
Child's weight status						<0.001 ^a
No overweight or obese	81.4	85.3	81.8	78.3	68.9	
Overweight or obese	18.6	14.7	18.2	21.7	31.1	
Mother's age in years	36.5	37.0	36.5	33.6	35.2	<0.001 ^b
Mother's weight status						<0.0001 ^a
No overweight or obese	69.3	72.1	67.2	69.6	55.3	
Overweight or obese	30.7	27.9	32.8	30.4	44.7	
Mother's education						<0.001 ^a
12 years or fewer	37.2	29.8	32.9	60.2	63.6	
More than 12 years	62.8	70.2	67.1	39.8	36.4	
CFQ Concern	1.48	1.30	1.33	1.79	2.25	<0.001 ^b
CFQ Restriction	2.63	2.44	2.86	3.13	3.25	<0.001 ^b
CFQ Pressure to eat	2.81	2.70	2.73	3.11	3.24	<0.001 ^b
CFQ Monitoring	3.86	3.91	3.89	3.80	3.63	0.001 ^b

620 P-value: significance level of 0.05. ^aChi-square (χ^2) for categorical variables ^bOne-way ANOVA for continuous
621 variables
622

623

624

625

626

627 Table 3. Crude and adjusted unstandardized regression effects (with 95% CI) when predicting
 628 feeding practices from various child and mother characteristics.

Model/Predictor	Restriction	Pressure to Eat	Monitoring
Model I	<i>Adj. R² = 0.092</i>	<i>Adj. R² = 0.036</i>	<i>Adj. R² = 0.003</i>
Nordic/Western European ^a	0.40 (0.15; 0.64)*	-0.03 (-0.28; 0.21)	0.03 (-0.2; 0.26)
Eastern/Southern European ^a	0.70 (0.47; 0.93)**	0.40 (0.18; 0.63)**	-0.03 (-0.24; 0.18)
Non-European ^a	0.80 (0.62; 0.97)**	0.50 (0.33; 0.67)**	-0.20 (-0.36; -0.04)*
Model II	<i>Adj. R² = 0.166</i>	<i>Adj. R² = 0.065</i>	<i>Adj. R² = 0.009</i>
Nordic/Western European ^a	0.37 (0.13; 0.61)*	-0.02 (-0.26; 0.22)	0.02 (-0.21; 0.25)
Eastern/Southern European ^a	0.66 (0.43; 0.87)**	0.43 (0.21; 0.65)**	-0.04 (-0.25; 0.17)
Non-European ^a	0.67 (0.50; 0.84)**	0.58 (0.41; 0.75)**	-0.23 (-0.4; -0.07)*
Child's overweight/obesity ^b	0.72 (0.57; 0.86)**	-0.44 (-0.58; -0.29)**	0.20 (0.06; 0.34)*
Model III	<i>Adj. R² = 0.250</i>	<i>Adj. R² = 0.065</i>	<i>Adj. R² = 0.009</i>
Nordic/Western European ^a	0.37 (0.15; 0.60)*	-0.02 (-0.27; 0.22)	0.02 (-0.21; 0.25)
Eastern/Southern European ^a	0.47 (0.26; 0.68)**	0.50 (0.27; 0.72)**	-0.07 (-0.28; 0.14)
Non-European ^a	0.38 (0.22; 0.55)**	0.68 (0.50; 0.85)**	-0.28 (-0.44; -0.11)*
CFQ Concern	0.48 (0.42; 0.54)**	-0.20 (-0.27; -0.13)**	0.09 (0.03; 0.15)*
Model IV	<i>Adj. R² = 0.280</i>	<i>Adj. R² = 0.082</i>	<i>Adj. R² = 0.013</i>
Nordic/Western European ^a	0.35 (0.13; 0.57)*	-0.02 (-0.26; 0.22)	0.02 (-0.21; 0.24)
Eastern/Southern European ^a	0.43 (0.22; 0.64)**	0.40 (0.17; 0.63)*	-0.09 (-0.31; 0.12)
Non-European ^a	0.34 (0.17; 0.50)**	0.61 (0.43; 0.79)**	-0.28 (-0.46; -0.11)*
Child girl ^c	0.02 (-0.08; 0.12)	0.03 (-0.08; 0.14)	0.08 (-0.02; 0.18)
Child's age	-0.16 (-0.22; -0.09)**	-0.04 (-0.12; 0.03)	-0.03 (-0.1; 0.03)
Child's overweight/obesity ^b	0.27 (0.11; 0.42)*	-0.30 (-0.46; -0.13)**	0.14 (-0.02; 0.3)
Mother's age	-0.01 (-0.02; -0.001)*	-0.01 (-0.03; -0.002)*	-0.01 (-0.02; 0.001)
Mother's overweight/obesity ^b	0.13 (0.01; 0.24)*	-0.01 (-0.13; 0.11)	0.01 (-0.1; 0.13)
Mother's education \geq 12 years ^d	0.09 (-0.02; 0.21)	-0.10 (-0.22; 0.02)	0.03 (-0.08; 0.15)
CFQ Concern	0.42 (0.35; 0.50)**	-0.14 (-0.22; -0.06)**	0.06 (-0.02; 0.13)

^a Compared with Swedish mothers, ^b compared with normal weight, ^c compared with male child, ^d compared with < 12 years,
 * $p < .05$, ** $p < .001$