



HAL
open science

Sensitivity analysis with external stochastic forcings : application to a water and pesticide transfer model

Katarina Radišić, Claire Lauvernet, Arthur Vidard

► To cite this version:

Katarina Radišić, Claire Lauvernet, Arthur Vidard. Sensitivity analysis with external stochastic forcings : application to a water and pesticide transfer model. MEXICO 2023 - Rencontres annuelles du réseau Mexico, AgroParisTech, Dec 2023, Palaiseau, France. pp.1-36. hal-04383540

HAL Id: hal-04383540

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04383540v1>

Submitted on 9 Jan 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Sensitivity analysis with external stochastic forcings : application to a water and pesticide transfer model

Katarina Radišić^{1,2}

Claire Lauvernet¹, Arthur Vidard²

¹INRAE, RiverLy, Lyon-Villeurbanne

²Univ. Grenoble-Alpes, Inria, CNRS, Grenoble-INP, LJK

Context: PESHMELBA


Landscape features speed up or slow down pesticide transfer from the plots to the river.



⇒ The configuration of the catchment can influence the water quality.

Context: PESHMELBA

Landscape features speed up or slow down pesticide transfer from the plots to the river.



⇒ The configuration of the catchment can influence the water quality.

Context: PESHMELBA





Figure: PESHMELBA^a, a process-based, physical, spatially distributed water and pesticide transfer model, representing pesticide fate in agricultural catchments, highly non-linear.

^aEmilie Rouzies et al. (June 2019). “From agricultural catchment to management scenarios: A modular tool to assess effects of landscape features on water and pesticide behavior”. en. In: *Science of The Total Environment* 671, pp. 1144–1160. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.060.

Context: PESHMELBA



- Not all model parameters can be measured directly

Figure: PESHMELBA^a, a process-based, physical, spatially distributed water and pesticide transfer model, representing pesticide fate in agricultural catchments, highly non-linear.

^aEmilie Rouzies et al. (June 2019). "From agricultural catchment to management scenarios: A modular tool to assess effects of landscape features on water and pesticide behavior". en. In: *Science of The Total Environment* 671, pp. 1144–1160. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.060.

Context: PESHMELBA




Figure: PESHMELBA^a, a process-based, physical, spatially distributed water and pesticide transfer model, representing pesticide fate in agricultural catchments, highly non-linear.

^aEmilie Rouzies et al. (June 2019). “From agricultural catchment to management scenarios: A modular tool to assess effects of landscape features on water and pesticide behavior”. en. In: *Science of The Total Environment* 671, pp. 1144–1160. DOI: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.060](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.060).

- Not all model parameters can be measured directly
- → calibrate these model parameters with terrain observations

Context: PESHMELBA




Figure: PESHMELBA^a, a process-based, physical, spatially distributed water and pesticide transfer model, representing pesticide fate in agricultural catchments, highly non-linear.

^aEmilie Rouzies et al. (June 2019). "From agricultural catchment to management scenarios: A modular tool to assess effects of landscape features on water and pesticide behavior". en. In: *Science of The Total Environment* 671, pp. 1144–1160. DOI: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.060](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.060).


- Not all model parameters can be measured directly
- → calibrate these model parameters with terrain observations

- Impact of external uncertainties on the calibration results




Context: model calibration


Context: model calibration




Context: model calibration




Context: model calibration





Context: model calibration





Context: model calibration





Context: model calibration





Context: model calibration





Context: model calibration




Context: model calibration




Context: model calibration



Context: model calibration




Context: model calibration




Before passing to calibration: a **sensitivity analysis on the cost function** can provide the information on the **identifiability** of the parameters, (Mai 2023).

1. Introduction: Sensitivity analysis



The variability in J comes from two types of inputs :


1. Introduction: Sensitivity analysis



The variability in J comes from two types of inputs :

1. *parameters* (θ) : hydrodynamic soil properties.


1. Introduction: Sensitivity analysis



The variability in J comes from two types of inputs :

1. *parameters* (θ) : hydrodynamic soil properties.
2. *stochastic* ($U(\omega)$) : external forcing (rain)

1. Introduction: Sensitivity analysis




The variability in J comes from two types of inputs :

1. *parameters* (θ) : hydrodynamic soil properties.
2. *stochastic* ($U(\omega)$) : external forcing (rain)

Only the parameters can be controlled by the modeler.

1. Introduction: Sensitivity analysis




The variability in J comes from two types of inputs :

1. *parameters* (θ) : hydrodynamic soil properties.
2. *stochastic* ($U(\omega)$) : external forcing (rain)

Only the parameters can be controlled by the modeler.


What is the sensitivity of the output to the parameters, given the uncertainty about the stochastic inputs? (Dell'Oca 2023)

2. Methodology: Sobol' indices as random variables



$$J : \mathcal{D} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$
$$(\theta, \omega) \mapsto J(\theta, \mathbf{U}(\omega)),$$

2. Methodology: Sobol' indices as random variables



$$J : \mathcal{D} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$(\theta, \omega) \mapsto J(\theta, \mathbf{U}(\omega)),$$

$$S_i(\omega) = \frac{\text{Var}_{\theta_i} [\mathbb{E}[Y | \theta_i, \omega]]}{\text{Var}[Y | \omega]}$$

Sobol' indices as random variables,^a.

^aHart, Alexanderian, and Gremaud 2017; Jimenez, Le Maître, and Knio 2017; Zhu and Sudret 2021.

Estimation of Sobol' indices with polynomial chaos expansion,^a:

$$J(\theta) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{N}^K} c_\alpha \psi_\alpha(\theta) \approx \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} c_\alpha \psi_\alpha(\theta)$$



$$\hat{S}_i = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}: \\ \alpha_i > 0, \\ \alpha_{j \neq i} = 0}} c_\alpha^2 / D,$$

$$D = \text{Var} \left[\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} c_\alpha \psi_\alpha(\theta) \right] = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \\ \alpha \neq 0}} c_\alpha^2$$

^aSudret 2008; Marelli and Sudret 2014.


2. Methodology: metamodel validation

The Q^2 of the PCE metamodels are calculated on an independent test set for each rain:




We deem the metamodels a correct approximation of the original and proceed to Sobol' indices calculation.




3. Case study: moisture profiles




Rain — u_A — u_B — other



3. Case study: moisture profiles




3. Case study: Sobol' indices under one rain realization






Name	Definition	Unit	Distribution
$\theta_{s, surf}$	water content at saturation (surface)	$[L^3 L^{-3}]$	$\mathcal{N}(0.3375, 0.0338^2)$
$\theta_{s, inter}$	water content at saturation (intermediary)	$[L^3 L^{-3}]$	$\mathcal{N}(0.3322, 0.0332^2)$
$\theta_{s, deep}$	water content at saturation (deep)	$[L^3 L^{-3}]$	$\mathcal{N}(0.316, 0.0316^2)$
$\theta_{r, deep}$	residual water content (deep)	$[L^3 L^{-3}]$	$\mathcal{N}(0.0612, 0.0153^2)$
mn_{deep}	Van Genuchten retention curve parameter (deep)	$[-]$	$\mathcal{N}(0.1791, 0.0179^2)$
hg_{deep}	Van Genuchten retention curve parameter (deep)	$[-]$	$\mathcal{N}(-9.69, 0.969^2)$

4. Results: Sobol' indices depending on rain



Parameter	$\theta_{s.surf}$	$\theta_{s.inter}$	$\theta_{s.deep}$	mn_{deep}	$\theta_{r.deep}$	hg_{deep}
$\hat{\mu}(S_i^T)$	0.11	0.17	0.58	0.09	0.10	< 0.01
$\hat{\sigma}(S_i^T)$	0.04	0.07	0.19	0.11	0.11	< 0.01

4. Results: Sobol' indices depending on rain







5. Conclusion:

1. Sobol' indices were obtained for 6 input parameters in 200 different rain realizations.
2. the sensitivity analysis disentangles the variability in the parameters θ from the one in the stochastic forcing ω .
3. the parameter hg was found non-identifiable in all rain realizations.
4. the ranking of the input parameters varies depending on ω





What's next? :

1. other ways of synthesizing information of $S(\omega)$?
2. based on the sensitivity analysis results, implement a robust calibration method
3. study different model outputs, such as transferred pesticide mass.
4. study different stochastic inputs, such as pesticide application dates.

Bibliography I

-  Dell'Oca, A. (June 2023). "Sensitivity Analysis: An Operational Picture". en. In: *Water Resources Research* 59.6, e2022WR033780. DOI: 10.1029/2022WR033780.
-  Hart, J. L., A. Alexanderian, and P. A. Gremaud (Jan. 2017). "Efficient Computation of Sobol' Indices for Stochastic Models". en. In: *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing* 39.4, A1514–A1530. DOI: 10.1137/16M106193X.
-  Jimenez, M. Navarro, O. P. Le Maître, and O. M. Knio (Jan. 2017). "Nonintrusive Polynomial Chaos Expansions for Sensitivity Analysis in Stochastic Differential Equations". en. In: *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification* 5.1, pp. 378–402. DOI: 10.1137/16M1061989.
-  Mai, Juliane (May 2023). "Ten strategies towards successful calibration of environmental models". en. In: *Journal of Hydrology* 620, p. 129414. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhydro1.2023.129414.

Bibliography II

-  Marelli, Stefano and Bruno Sudret (July 2014). “UQLab: A Framework for Uncertainty Quantification in Matlab”. en. In: *Vulnerability, Uncertainty, and Risk*. University of Liverpool, United Kingdom: American Society of Civil Engineers, pp. 2554–2563. DOI: 10.1061/9780784413609.257.
-  Rouzies, Emilie et al. (June 2019). “From agricultural catchment to management scenarios: A modular tool to assess effects of landscape features on water and pesticide behavior”. en. In: *Science of The Total Environment* 671, pp. 1144–1160. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.060.
-  Sudret, Bruno (July 2008). “Global sensitivity analysis using polynomial chaos expansions”. en. In: *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 93.7, pp. 964–979. DOI: 10.1016/j.ress.2007.04.002.
-  Zhu, Xujia and Bruno Sudret (Oct. 2021). “Global sensitivity analysis for stochastic simulators based on generalized lambda surrogate models”. en. In: *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 214, p. 107815. DOI: 10.1016/j.ress.2021.107815.