# Shared-role of vegetation types, elevation and soil affecting plant diversity in an old-tropical mountain hotspot

Priscilla P. LOIOLA1\* https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8760-2618; e-mail: priscilla.loiola@gmail.com Leonor Patrícia Cerdeira MORELLATO1\* https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5265-8988; e-mail: patrícia.morellato@unesp.br Maria Gabriela Gutierrez CAMARGO<sup>1</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3536-6542; e-mail: camargomgg@gmail.com Vitor A. KAMIMURA<sup>1,2</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3276-5812; e-mail: vitorkami@msn.com Jacqueline S. MATTOS<sup>1,3</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3104-0884; e-mail: jsalvidemattos@gmail.com Annia Susin STREHER 1,4 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9276-7289; e-mail: annia.streher@gmail.com Soizig LE STRADIC<sup>1,5</sup> https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2643-3544; e-mail: soizig.lestradic@gmail.com

- \* Corresponding author
- 1 Department of Biodiversity, Laboratory of Phenology, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Av 24A 1515, 13506-900, Rio Claro, São Paulo, Brazil
- 2 Instituto Tecnológico Vale (ITV), R. Boaventura da Silva, 955, Belém, Pará, 66055-090, Brazil
- 3 Laboratory of Evolutionary Ecology and Plant Genomics, Department of Plant Biology, Institute of Biology, University of Campinas, Brazil
- 4 Earth Observation and Geoinformatics Division, National Institute for Space Research (INPE), São José dos Campos, Brazil
- 5 French National Institute for Agriculture, Food, and Environment (INRAE), UMR 1202 INRAE/Univ Bordeaux BIOGECO, Paris, France

**Citation:** Loiola PP, Morellato LPC, Camargo MGG, et al. (2023) Shared-role of vegetation types, elevation and soil affecting plant diversity in an old-tropical Mountain hotspot. Journal of Mountain Science 20(7). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-022-7838-z

**Abstract:** Despite the exceptional species richness and endemism, the environmental drivers of plant diversity along old tropical mountains remain under- explored. The respective importance of vegetation types, elevation, slope, and soil to drive diversity across life-forms is poorly addressed. Here, we tested whether environmental variables drove local and regional plant diversity along an old tropical mountain according to the three main life-forms: graminoids, herbaceous and woody species. We sampled all Angiosperm species on 180 plots across five elevations, at the tropical old-mountain region of Serra do Cipó, South-eastern Brazil. We assessed soil, slope, and vegetation types, and calculated richness and beta-diversity, applying generalized least square models, linear mixed-models and partial Mantel tests to test for relationships. Richness of graminoids and herbaceous species increased with greater elevation and more nutrient-impoverished soils, while woody richness showed the inverse pattern. Beta-diversitywas primarily driven by species turnover, correlated with elevation and soil and higher in less dominant vegetation types, with unique species. Despite the limited elevational range in these old mountains, it still played an important role in filtering woody species, while fostering graminoid and herbaceous species. Conservation and restoration actions need to foster the high regional diversity supported by the old mountain heterogeneous landscape and the diversity of life-forms, especially the dominant and highly diverse grassy component.

Keywords: Beta-diversity; Campos rupestres; Cerrado; Grassland; Herbaceous; Species turnover

# 1 Introduction

The distribution of plant diversity is shaped by a range of factors, including biotic interactions, dispersal limitation, and abiotic filtering (Kraft et al. 2014; Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al. 2017). Elevation, a classical gradient in the ecological literature, primarily driven by climatic variations, is important leading to high regional species turnover (Lomolino 2001; Fontana et al. 2020; Birks 2021). Locally, elevation might show a humped-shaped pattern or decrease local plant diversity upwards, acting as an environmental filter (Kamimura et al. 2022; Moradiet al. 2020). Different from young and snowy mountains, with sharp elevation ranges above 4,000 m, old tropical mountains often reach as high as 2000 m, and elevation might show a positive effect on diversity (e.g., Mattos et al. 2019, 2021; Silveira et al. 2019), whereas biotic interactions, dispersion limitation and other environmental variables, such as soil and vegetation types, might be also add explanation in the diversity distribution (Le- Bagousse-Pinguet et al. 2017; Abrahão et al. 2019;Silveira et al. 2019).

In old tropical mountains, soil texture and chemical composition inherited from long erosion processes, play determinant roles to govern plant occurrences and distribution (Carvalho et al. 2014; Le Stradic et al. 2015; Mattos et al. 2019, 2021). Soils are generally shallow, acidic and nutrient-poor at the mountain top, constraining species assemblages and selecting for trait adaptations to cope with soil conditions (Carvalho et al. 2014; Schaefer et al. 2016; Cunha-Blum et al. 2020). Heterogeneity of microhabitats supports a mosaic of vegetation types and high species turnover, leading to high beta- diversity (e.g., Andrino et al. 2020; Araújo et al. 2022). Vegetation types are not linearly distributed along the elevational gradient in tropical mountains but are rather shaped by local topography and soil granulometry (Carvalho et al. 2014; Le Stradic et al. 2015, 2018b; Schaefer et al. 2016), which also represent strong drivers of plant diversity.

Environmental factors driving community assemblage are different among life-forms: on one hand, woody species might be limited by elevation, low fertility, shallow soils, coarse texture, acidity, and steeper slopes (Mota et al. 2018; Mattos et al. 2019). On the other hand, graminoid and herbaceous species might cope to such edaphic conditions and occupyavailable niches at higher elevations and poor soils (Mattos et al. 2021; Rocha and Pinto 2021). Herbaceous species can show a variety of adaptations, such as dauciform or sand-binding roots, that increasenutrient acquisition and allow their occurrence in harsh environments, as the rocky outcrops (Oliveira et al. 2016; Abrahão et al. 2019). Moreover, different vegetation types reflect variations in soil depth and water infiltration, changing in the dominance and richness among life-forms (Carvalho et al. 2014; Le Stradic et al. 2018b; Silveira et al. 2019).

The environmental filtering hypothesis predicts higher tolerance with increased environmental stress, in which less species establishes and persist under a given level of abiotic stress (Grime 2006; Enquist et al.2015). However, these predictions contrast with theremarkable high diversity that can be observed within certain plant communities in the tropics (Wright et al. 2004), even in stressful environments (Chesson et al. 2004; Freschet et al. 2011; Gross et al. 2013). The Espinhaço Range, located in Brazil, is the oldest South American mountain range

and support a **mosaic** ofvegetation types, primarily the *campos rupestres* i.e., megadiverse tropical grasslands with scattered shrubs, above 900 m, and also two Cerrado physiognomies from the Savanna biome in the lowlands. The megadiverse flora shows than 1800 species recorded within 200 km (Alves et al. 2014; Caminha-Paiva et al.2022). Identifying the environmental factors leading to changes in diversity is of primary importance tobetter understand and predict how global environmental changes will impact these plant communities (Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al. 2017).

In this study, we assessed whether vegetation types, elevation, slope, and soil impacted plant diversity along an old tropical mountain. We tested the effects of environmental variables on the local and regional diversity, for the whole pooled community and for three life-forms: graminoid, herbaceous and woody species. We expected that local richness of the woody life-form would be limited in higher elevations, steep, and poorer soils (Mota et al. 2018; Bueno et al. 2021; Gastauer et al. 2021). The opposite pattern was expected for graminoids and herbaceous species (Shaeffer et al. 2016; Mattos et al. 2019). Among the environmental drivers considered, soil and vegetation types should be more important locally, while elevation, slope, and vegetation types should be major determinants of regional diversity by supporting high species turnover.

## 2 Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Study area

We carried out the study in the Serra do Cipó mountains, at the southern portion of the Espinhaço Range  $(19^{\circ}10' - 19^{\circ}20' \text{ S and } 40^{\circ}30' - 40^{\circ}40' \text{ W})$ ,

Minas Gerais State, Brazil. The Espinhaço Range isthe oldest and the second longest mountain chain in South America, extending for 1200 km SW-NE in the countryside, reaching 2100 m of altitude (Morellato and Silveira 2018; Silveira et al. 2019). Areas up to 900 m a.s.l. are mainly covered by different physiognomies of cerrado. Between 900 and 1100 m a.s.l., there is a transitional zone hosting both cerrado and the *campos rupestres*, whereas above 1100 m a.s.l. the landscape is dominated by *campo rupestre* (Silveira et al. 2019). *Campos rupestres* represent a mosaic of open vegetation types including wetlands, grasslands, and outcrops, and comprises one of themost speciose and endemic flora of the tropics (fordetails see Morellato and Silveira 2018; Silveira et al.2016, 2019). The regional climate is a subtropical highland climate (Cwb), with dry and cold winters from April/May to October and wet and warm summers from October/November to April (Köppen 1948). Minimum monthly temperatures vary from 10°C to 19°C, and maximum temperatures vary from 25°C to 30°C; mean annual rainfall is 1,313 mm (Le Stradic et al. 2018a; Abrahão et al. 2019).

# 2.2 Sampling design

Five study sites were selected ranging from 824m to 1420 m a.s.l. (see Mattos et al. 2019, 2021 for details). The sites integrate the Long-Term Ecological Research – *Campos rupestres* Serra do Cipó program (LTER-CRSC; Silveira et al. 2019). Six vegetation types were identified: four vegetation types belonging to the *campos rupestres*: rocky outcrops (RO), sandy grasslands (SG), stony grasslands (StG), and wet grasslands (WG), and two Cerrado vegetation types: cerrado shrubland (CS) and cerrado sensu stricto or cerrado woodland (CW) (Table 1, see Silveira et al. 2019).

At each site, we established four transects of 270 m, along which we systematically established nine 1 m<sup>2</sup> plots every 30 m, summing up 36 plots per site and 180 plots in total (see Mattos et al. 2019 for details). In each plot, all Angiosperm species were surveyed monthly from April to October 2016 to ensure exhaustive plant collection and guarantee species identification. Species were classified according to three life-forms: graminoid (including Poaceae, Cyperaceae, Xyridaceae, Rapateaceae), herbaceous (non-graminoid species without lignin tissue) and woody (non-graminoid species with lignin tissue) (Data uploaded to Zenodo). Species were identified with the help of specialists, using identification keys and herbarium collections (Mattos et al. 2019). Species names and synonyms have been checked with Plantminer (Carvalho et al. 2010) and follow Flora 2020 (Brazil Flora Group 2021). Herbarium specimens are lodged at the Herbarium Rioclarense (HRCB) of São Paulo State University.

We surveyed 454 species from 59 families in 180 plots, including all Angiosperms. The richest families were Poaceae (101 species and 1046 records), Asteraceae (40 ssp.; 180 records), Cyperaceae (36 ssp.;

500 records) and Xyridaceae (37 ssp., 206 records). The woody species represented 157 species, herbaceous species

had 145 species, and graminoid species accounted for 138 species. The highest richness was sampled in rocky outcrops (224 species), and the lowest was sampled in wet grasslands (78 species).

**Table 1** Main characteristics of the six vegetation types considered along the environmental gradient at Serra do Cipó,

 Espinhaço Range, Brazil.

| Vegetation types          | Main characteristics  |
|---------------------------|---|
| Rocky outcrops<br>(RO)    | Dominated by scattered evergreen<br>sclerophyllous shrubs growing on<br>soil patches formed on depressions<br>among the bare rock surfaces<br>(Benites et al. 2007).  |
| Sandy<br>grasslands (SG)  | Formed by a continuous herbaceous<br>layer, dominated by graminoids<br>along shallow coarse soils with low<br>water-holding capacity, imposing<br>water deficit conditions for plants<br>during part of the year (Le Stradic et<br>al. 2015; Oliveira et al. 2016). |
| Stony grasslands<br>(StG) | subshrubs, found along smoother<br>topography, with stony lag deposits<br>and soil surfaces covered by gravel<br>and quartz concretions (Le Stradic et<br>al. 2015).  |
| Cerrado<br>Woodland (CW)  | Formed by a dense woodland of fire-<br>adapted trees and a restricted<br>herbaceous layer. This vegetation<br>type occurs in the lowlands over<br>deep sandy and nutrient poor soils<br>with high levels of aluminium<br>saturation.                                |
| Cerrado<br>shrubland (CS) | Dominated by an herbaceous-grassy<br>layer with scattered small shrubs<br>and trees. Can be found up to 1000<br>m of elevation.   |
| Wet grasslands<br>(WG)    | Dominated by a tall herbaceous layer<br>with scattered small shrubs,<br>occurring in low lying areas where<br>soils are waterlogged during the<br>summer months, retaining some of<br>the moisture during the dry season<br>(Carvalho et al. 2012).                 |

### 2.3 Environmental variables

For each plot, we recorded the vegetation type (Table 1), and measured the slope with an inclinometer and the geographical coordinates with a GPS (Appendix 1). We sampled soil during the rainy season, using four pooled subsamples taken at each corner of each plot from the upper layer (0 – 20 cm). Soil samples were sent to the Federal University of Lavras, in which chemical composition was determined through the variables: pH, N, P, K,  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ , sum of bases (SB), base saturation (V%), Al saturation (m%), and cation exchange capacity (CEC), and the physical composition through the proportions of sand, silt and clay (Appendix 1, Mattos et al. 2019). Environmental variables were used as predictors, whilediversity indices were used as response variables.

# 2.4 Data analysis

Locally, we calculated the species richness of all Angiosperms species for the whole community and for each life-form using the number of species with the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al. 2022). Beta diversity was calculated using the 'betapart' package (Baselga 2012) through the Sørensen index of multiple-site dissimilarity between plots and vegetation types. We decomposed the dissimilarity for each single pair of plots, considering the number of shared and unique species in the poorest and richest plots (Baselga and Orne 2012). We analysed the relative local contribution to beta diversity (LCBD) of each vegetational type using the 'beta.div' function in the 'adespatial' package (Dray et al. 2021). LCBD quantifies the contribution of individual sites to total beta diversity within a given region, in which high values for a given site are indicative of high dissimilarity between the community and other sites in the region (Legendre and De Cáceres 2013). In our case, LCBD represents the degree of contribution of each vegetation type to the beta diversity, in terms of their species composition, indicating the ecological uniqueness of each vegetation type (Heino and Grönroos 2017). LCBD indices were tested for significance by using 999 independent permutations within the species distribution among vegetationtypes (Table 2).

We applied different statistical tests due differences in the variable's natures. To answer how local richness varies among vegetation types (categorical variable), we applied linear mixed models (LMM) by using the 'lmer' function of the 'lme4' package (Bates et al. 2015), with vegetation types as fixed factors and transects as random factors, to account for different vegetation types across transects. Then, we applied Tukey post-hoc tests to evaluate differences between the vegetation types using the 'emmeans' package (Lenth et al. 2019).

To test whether local richness was related to elevation, slope, and soil variables (fixed factors - continuous variables), we applied generalized least squares fitted linear models (GLSs), accounting forspatial autocorrelation (Beguería and Pueyo 2009), for the whole community and for each life-form. To remove the differences between means (variation between intercepts) and differences in variance in our models, we first standardized all variables, by using z- scores (mean of o and a unit standard deviation) and established the best-fitting model for each group based on the multi-model approach (Burnham andAnderson 2002) using the 'dredge' function of the 'MuMIn' package (Barton 2019). We used the lowest value of Akaike's information criteria, corrected for small sample sizes (AICc). Finally, we assessed the percentage of variance explained by each environmental factor, using the ratio of estimate value of each factor by the total estimate in the models (Le Bagousse-Pinguet et al. 2017). We performed GLS models using the 'gls' function in the 'nlme' package (Pinheiro et al. 2021; Appendix 2).

We partitioned beta-diversity into nestedness and species turnover (Baselga 2010) considering all Angiosperms and the three life-forms. We calculated beta diversity as the breadth of groups (vegetationtypes) in the ordination space, using the mean distance of plot scores to group centroids in aprincipal coordinates analysis, so each plot had a single observation (Anderson et al. 2006). This procedure allows us to assess the multivariate homogeneity of group dispersions (variances) between plots of each vegetation type. Then, beta- diversity variation among plots and vegetation types was tested using LMMs, in which the plot distances were used as response variables, vegetation types were used as fixed effects, and transects as a random factor to account for different vegetation types across the transects. LMMs were fitted using the 'lmer' function of the 'lme4' R package (Bates et al. 2015).

To answer whether beta diversity was correlated with elevation, slope, and soil (continuous) variables, we used the distance-based approach, of comparison of matrices through partial Mantel tests (Manly 1991; Legendre 2000). Here, we assessed the relationship between the pairwise dissimilarities in the community and differences in the environment (Anderson et al. 2010), considering that the rates of species turnover can be dependent on random events modelled by space and geographical distance (Tuomisto et al. 2012). To disentangle the relative contribution of environmental and spatial factors on beta diversity, we used variation partitioning analyses (Legendre 2000). First, we built four distance matrices based on the Euclidean distances of environmental variables and the geographical coordinates of each plot, removing highly correlated soil variables from the analyses (Pearson's r > |0.7|) (see Mattos et al. 2019, for details). Collinearity between environmental variables was also checked according to the variance inflation factor (VIF), in which VIF of 4 or higher were omitted (Zuur et al. 2010). We then ran partial Mantel tests through 999 randomizations to test whether total beta diversity, nestedness and species turnover were correlated with the environmental distance-matrices, using geographical coordinates to account for the spatial structuring of variables (Manly 1991; Legendre 2000). All analyses were performed in R (R Development Core Team 2021).

#### **3** Results

## 3.1 Species richness in the environment

The total number of species was higher in sandy, stony and rocky outcrops, as the number of graminoid species (Fig. 1). Herbaceous species' richness was highest in stony grasslands, followed by sandy and wet grasslands, compared to the rocky outcrops and two cerrado vegetation types (Fig. 1). Whereas graminoid species occurred in all vegetation types, herbaceous species barely occurred in the cerrado (Fig1). Woody species were richer in the rocky outcrops and in the two cerrado vegetation types (Fig. 1).

After accounting for the spatial structure of environmental variables, richness was high overall and varied according to the life-forms. Local richness of the whole community was positively related to elevation and pH (i.e., less acidic soils) and negatively related to soil P (Fig. 2). Richness of graminoid and herbaceous species were also positively related to elevation and pH and negatively related to soilnutrients, P and K for graminoids and N for herbaceous species (Fig. 2). Woody richness followed an inversed pattern, negatively related to elevation and higher slopes, not related to soil variables (Fig. 2). The variance of richness explained by of each environmental variable in the models selected is shown in the pie charts, along with the unexplained variance (Fig. 2).

# 3.2 Local contribution to regional diversityand shared species

We analysed the number of shared species between vegetation types through LCBD, to understand the species exchange among vegetation types, and the contribution of unique species to regional diversity. The three *campos rupestres* dominant vegetation types i.e., stony and sandy grasslands, and rocky outcrops, shared more species (Fig. 3). Stony and sandy grasslands were an important pool of species for graminoid and herbaceous species (Fig. 3). Both vegetations showed relatively low proportion of unique species (15 and 25%, respectively, Fig. 3). Rocky outcrops were rich in species, shared many species with other vegetation types (154 species), but also presented many unique species (31%), acting as an important source of diversity of all life-forms (Fig. 3). Wet grasslands and the two cerrado (CW and CS) presented a relatively low number of species (approximately 80 species) andyet hosted the largest proportions of unique species (above 30%, Fig. 3, Table 2), highly contributing to regional diversity. Cerrado shrubland and woodland shared most of the species between themselves – woody species – and less species with *campos rupestres*' vegetation types (Fig. 3).

Beta diversity was primarily driven by species turnover (96.3%), positively correlated with differences in elevation (36%, Fig. 4) and in soil (23%; Fig. 4). There was very low nestedness (3.7%). Mantel tests showed that the species turnover for graminoid species drive the overall community, but there were weak correlations for herbaceous and woody species with elevation and soil dissimilarities (Table 2). Although species dissimilarity increased with variation in elevation and in soil composition, only 36% of the total turnover variation was explained by these two factors. Partial Mantel tests and combiningenvironmental variables did not increase the strength of the relationships. At the regional scale, species turnover was significantly lower for stony and sandy grasslands compared to the other vegetation types, both considering all life-forms or only graminoid species (Fig. 5).



**Fig. 1** Boxplots of species richness and their respective distributions (line-charts) from the *campos rupestres* of Serra do Cipó, Brazil. Values shown to the entire plant community and split into the three main life-forms: graminoids, herbaceous, and woody species. Different letters indicate significant differences between vegetation types (P < 0.05) after LMM analysis. Vegetation types were: RO: rocky outcrops; SG: sandy grassland; StG: stony grassland; CS: cerrado shrubland; CW = cerrado woodland; WG = wet grassland. Each boxplot displays the minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum values of species richness per vegetation type.



**Fig. 2** Results of four generalized least squares fitted linear models (GLSs), considering the spatial autocorrelation (P < 0.05), and relating the effects of elevation, soil, and slope on richness in the *campos rupestres* of Serra do Cipó, Espinhaço Range, Brazil. Models were built for all plant species and depicted into the three main life-forms (graminoids, herbaceous and woody species). On the left, significant effects are shown, following the GLS models (P < 0.05). Positive effects are on the right, and negative effects are on the left side of the dashed line. On the right side, the relative importances of environmental variables of each model are shown in percentages: Elev. = Elevation, soil P – phosphorus, pH, slope, soil K – potassium, and soil N – nitrogen, Unexp. = Unexplained variance.



**Fig. 3** Chord diagram showing the shared species (non- unique species) among vegetation types of Serra do Cipó, Espinhaço Range, Brazil. The number species and the unique species (and associated percentages) are presented to the overall community and to three life-forms: graminoids, herbaceous and woody species. The proportion of species between each pair of vegetation types is represented by the width of the edges connecting that pair. Vegetation types: RO: rocky outcrops; SG: sandy grassland; StG: stony grassland; CS: cerrado shrubland; WG = wet grassland; CW = cerrado woodland.



**Fig. 4** Linear relationships between the distance matrices of (a) elevation divergence (m) and (b) soil dissimilarity (considering chemical and physical variables, uncorrelated and standardized, see Methods for further information) and the species dissimilarity (species turnover, the main component of the beta- diversity) of the *campos rupestres* of Serra do Cipó, Espinhaço Range, Brazil. Partial Mantel tests after 999 permutations were used to test for these relationships (correlation and *P* values shown), using a distance- matrix with the coordinates of each plot to account for the spatial structuring of environmental variables. The spatial distance between plots is shown in blue intensity, varying from 0.01 to 10 km. Results depicted into the three life-forms are shown in Table 2.



**Fig. 5** Boxplots indicating the variation in composition dissimilarity associated with species turnover, i.e., the major component of beta-diversity, of the six vegetation types of the *campos rupestres* of Serra do Cipó, Espinhaço Range, Brazil. Significant differences after LLM analysis are indicated by different letters (P < 0.05). Analyses were done to the pooled community, and depicted into three life-forms: graminoids, herbaceous and woody species, and for vegetation types: RO: rocky outcrops; SG: sandy grassland; StG: stony grassland; CS: cerrado shrubland; CW = cerrado woodland; WG = wet grassland.

**Table 2** (a) Local contribution to the overall beta-diversity (LCBD, values range from 0 to 1) of each of the six vegetation types. RO: rocky outcrops; SG: sandy grassland; StG: stony grassland; CS: cerrado shrubland; CW = cerrado woodland; WG = wet grassland; Elevation: Elev.. Significant differences (P < 0.05) are highlighted with \*, and indicate increased values tested against the null distribution. (b) Coefficient of correlation from partial Mantel tests (r) between beta diversity and elevation, slope, and soil variables corrected for the spatial structuring of the environment, and their respective P values. Analyses were applied to the whole community and to each of the three life-forms: graminoids, herbaceous and woody species. Grouping environmental variables did not increase the Mantel - r coefficients in the analysis, so only single correlations are shown.

| (a)  |            |            |            |            |          | (b) |          |            |            |          |  |
|------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-----|----------|------------|------------|----------|--|
| LCBD | Comm.      | Graminoids | Herbaceous | Woody      | Beta-Div |     | Comm.    | Graminoids | Herbaceous | Woody    |  |
| RO   | 0.14       | 0.15       | 0.16       | 0.14       | Flore    | r   | 0.36     | 0.34       | 0.10       | 0.14     |  |
| SG   | 0.14       | 0.13       | 0.13       | 0.15       | Elev.    | P   | < 0.001* | < 0.001*   | < 0.001*   | < 0.001* |  |
| StG  | 0.15       | 0.12       | 0.14       | 0.15       | G.;]     | r   | 0.24     | 0.21       | 0.09       | 0.10     |  |
| CS   | 0.17       | 0.18       | 0.17       | 0.17       | 5011     | P   | < 0.001* | < 0.001*   | < 0.001*   | < 0.001* |  |
| CW   | $0.21^{*}$ | $0.22^{*}$ | $0.20^{*}$ | $0.20^{*}$ | Clana    | r   | 0.069    | 0.033      | 0.029      | 0.068    |  |
| WG   | 0.19*      | $0.20^{*}$ | $0.20^{*}$ | 0.19*      | Stope    | Ρ   | 0.03*    | 0.172      | 0.113      | 0.01*    |  |

# 4 Discussion

Along old tropical mountains at the Serra do Cipó, not only elevation, but also soil, slope, and vegetation types drove plant species diversity. The heterogeneity of vegetation types is an important source of diversity, emphasizing the role of the environmental mosaic to promote biodiversity at regional scale (Marx et al. 2017; Vasconcellos et al. 2020; Qian et al. 2021). Drivers of diversity and the direction of the patterns were different for graminoid, herbaceous or woodyspecies. Richness of graminoid and herbaceous species increased with elevation and nutrient impoverished soils, contrary to woody species. Both graminoid and herbaceous species represented a large share (64.3%) of the plant species diversity regionally, highlighting their extreme relevance for the

conservation of open ecosystems (Mattos et al. 2019, 2021), especially considering current anthropogenic threats (Fernandes et al. 2016, 2020). A combined effect of elevation, edaphic conditions and vegetation types supports the high biodiversity in the *campos rupestres* and might have shaped its long evolution (Mattos et al. 2019, 2021; Vasconcellos et al. 2020). Constrained edaphic conditions at higher elevations, including shallow, highly lixiviated, and nutrient-poor soils, are related to plant species' specializations, such as *Vellozia* roots capability of dissolving quartzite rocks (Abrahão et al. 2020; Cunha-Blum et al. 2020). Increased fertility is expected to decrease species richness due to the dominance of a few species (Venterink 2011), but in low productivity sites, extremely constrained soil conditions may represent a major species filter, reducing herbaceous' species dominance and promoting richness. In *campos rupestres*, plant species are highly adapted to extremely low soil P content and even show P-toxicity symptoms (Lamberset al. 2010; Oliveira et al. 2015). Nutrient-poor sites athigh elevations might have acted as refuge in the past, and play the role of islands nowadays, favoring in-situspeciation and species diversity (Vasconcelos et al. 2020). Whereas graminoid and herbaceous species were able to overcome these restrictions, as we observed increasing richness under low P, N and K (see also Oliveira et al. 2015; Abrahão et al. 2020), constraining edaphic conditions were unfavorable for woody species.

Vegetation types contributed differently to local and regional plant diversity and among life-forms. While the most common vegetation types, stony and sandy grasslands, were locally richest, they contributed the least to the regional species turnover, hosting fewer unique species. Sandy and stony grasslands are the matrix of *campos rupestres* and represent the largest pools of species, while cerrados, rocky outcrops and wet grasslands retained a larger proportion of unique species, contributing to the high regional diversity. Both wet grasslands and rocky outcrops correspond to habitats within the matrix of grasslands, with lower fire frequency, acting as islands for speciation (Marx et al. 2017; Vasconcellos et al. 2020). Wet grasslands show specific edaphicconditions, including coarser texture and regular flooding, that might select for singular environmental pressure to species establishment. In the cerrado, relatively higher fertility, especially of N and P, favor shrubs and trees, not typical of the *campos rupestres* sensu stricto (Silveira et al. 2016). The rocky outcrops presented highest woody richness, and a relatively high regional diversity for the pooled community, as for graminoid and herbaceous species. This vegetation type, typical of *campos rupestres* and of the landscape of Espinhaço range (Morellato and Silveira 2018), may act as a refugium for species sensitive to environmental disturbances of surrounding grasslands, such as fire, explaining the high species richness and uniqueness of this habitat (Mattos et al. 2019, 2021; Vasconcellos et al. 2020).

# 5 Conclusion

By depicting the diversity of the vascular plant communities into life-forms, we show that the three life-forms, i.e. graminoid, herbaceous and woody species, respond differently to the environmental gradients and within the vegetation types. We suggest that biotic interactions and dispersal limitation may play additional roles explaining species richness and turnover (Carstensen et al. 2018; Vasconcellos et al. 2020; Mattos et al. 2021; Monteiro et al. 2021). Importantly, the heterogeneity of vegetation types within the landscape allows high regional species turnover, while local richness was largely determined by graminoid species.

Old tropical mountains must be considered in the present scenario of climate change and anthropogenic impacts on biodiversity (Vačkář et al. 2012; Fernandes et al. 2020; Pörtner et al. 2021), which are leading to shifts in the environmental ranges of species and life-forms, impacting the overall mountain biodiversity (Zu et al. 2021). We argue that conservation needs to be taken at large scale, accounting for the diverse responses of life-forms to the environment, to ensure effective practices. We highlight the urgent need to account for the dominant and highly diverse grassy component for any conservation, management, and restoration measure. Also, we support the plead for conserving the entire elevation gradient (Fernandes et al. 2018, 2020) anda large variety of habitats and landscapes (Monteiro et al. 2020; Araújo et al. 2022) to ensure proper conservation of all plant life-forms and include spatially-restrict and endemic species, which would, otherwise, be lost to multiple anthropogenic threats.

# Acknowledgments

We thank the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) for financial support through the grants: #2009/54208-6, Fapesp-Microsoft Research Institute #2013/50155-0, Fapesp-Vale #2010/51307-0, #2021/10639-5 to LPCM and through fellowships FAPESP#2015/10754-8 to MGGC and #2019/09248-1 to ASS; We also thank the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – (CAPES) for scholarships granted to MGGC (Process #88887.583309/2020-00), PPL (#88887.583146/2020-00), and JSS (CAPES Finance Code 001). We thank the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for the grants: CNPq-PVE #400717/2013-1 and PDJ #150404/2016-6 to SLS, for the productivity fellowship and grant #311820/2018-2, #306563/2022-3 to LPCM. We also thank ICMBio for granting the permits to work at Serra do Cipó National Park (PNSC) and its buffer zone. We thank the Reserva Vellozia, Pousada Pouso do Elefante, and Cedro Company for allowing access to private areas, and the PELD-CRSC for the infrastructure and support.

## **Author Contribution**

PPL and LPCM conceptualized the study, LPCM provided supervision and acquired funding, MGCC, ASS, SLS and JSM collected the data, PPL and VAK provided formal analysis and figures, all authors wrote the original draft and revisions of the manuscript and PPL edited the final manuscript.

## **Ethics Declaration**

**Data Availability:** Data is available at Zenodo, upon https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6994853. The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **Electronic supplementary material**

Supplementary material (Appendixes 1-2) is available in the online version of this article at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-022-7838-z.

## References

- Abrahão A, Costa PB, Lambers H, et al. (2019) Soil types select for plants with matching nutrient-acquisition and – use traits in hyperdiverse and severely nutrient-impoverished *campos rupestres* and *cerrado* in Central Brazil. J Ecol 107:1302-1316. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13111
- Abrahão A, Costa PB, Teodoro GS, et al. (2020) Vellozioid roots allow for habitat specialisation among rock- and soil-dwelling Velloziaceae in campos rupestres. Funct Ecol 34:442-457. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13479
- Alves RJV, Silva, NG, Oliveira JA, Medeiros D. 2014. Circumscribing *campo rupestre* – megadiverse Brazilian rocky montane savanas. Braz J Biol. 74:355-362. https://doi.org/10.1590/1519-6984.23212
- Anderson MJ, Ellingsen KE, McArdle BH (2006) Multivariate dispersion as a measure of beta diversity. Ecol Lett 9:683-693. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00926.x
- Anderson MJ, Crist TO, Chase JM, et al. (2010) Navigating the multiple meanings of beta diversity: a roadmap for the practice ecologist. Ecol Lett 14:19-28. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01552.x
- Andrino CO, Barbosa-Silva RG, Lovo J, et al. (2020) Iron islands in the Amazon: investigating plant beta diversity of canga outcrops. PhytoKeys 165:1-25. https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.165.54819
- Araújo FC, Aguiar-Campos N, Souza CR, et al. (2022) Old climatically-buffedered infertile landscapes (OCBILs): more than harsh habitats, Atlantic Fores inselbergs can be driversof evolutionary diversity. J Mt Sci 19:2528-2543. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-021-7013-y
- Barton K (2019) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. R Package Version 1.43.6.
- http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/mumin/
- Baselga A (2010) Partitioning the turnover and nestedness components of beta diversity. Global Ecol Biogeogr 19:134-143.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00490.x

- Baselga A (2012) The relationship between species replacement, dissimilarity derived from nestedness, and nestedness. GlobalEcol Biogeogr 21:1223-1232. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00756.x
- Baselga A, Orme CDL (2012) betapart: an R package for

thestudy of beta diversity. Methods Ecol Evol 3:808-812. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00224.x

- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using *lme4*. J Stat Softw 67:1-48. https://doi.org/10.18637/iss.v067.i01
- https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01 Benites VM, Schaefer CEGR, Simas FNB, Santos HG (2007)Soils associated with rock outcrops in the Brazilian mountainranges Mantiqueira and Espinhaço. Braz J Biol 30:569-577. https://doi.org/10.1500/S0100-84042007000400002
- https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-84042007000400003 Beguería S, Pueyo Y (2009) A comparison of simultaneous autoregressive and generalized least squares models for dealing with spatial autocorrelation. Global Ecol Biogeogr 18:273-279. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00446.x
- Birks HJB (2021) High-elevation limits and the ecology of highelevation vascular plants: legacies from Alexander von Humboldt. Front Biogeogr 13:e53226. https://doi.org/10.21425/f5fbg53226
- Brazil Flora Group (2021) Brazilian Flora Project Projeto Florado Brasil. v393. 274p. Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botanicodo Rio de Janeiro. Dataset/Checklist. https://doi.org/10.15468/1mtkaw
- Bueno ML, Rezende VL, de Paula LF, et al. (2021) Understanding how environmental heterogeneity and elevation drives the distribution of woody communities acrossvegetation types within the *campo rupestre* in South America.J M Sci 18:1192-1207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-020-6125-0
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information Theoretic Approach (2nd ed.). Springer, New York, NY.
- Caminha-Paiva D, Gomes VM, Cunha-Blum J, et al. (2022)Floristic mosaics of the threatened Brazilian campo rupestre.Nature Conserv Res 7:10-18. https://doi.org/10.24189/ncr.2022.004
- Carstensen DW, Trøjelsgaard K, Ollerton J, Morellato LPC(2018) Local versus regional specialization in plant-pollinatornetworks. Oikos 127:531-537. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.04436
- Carvalho GH, Cianciaruso MV, Batalha MA (2010) Plantminer:A web tool for checking and gathering plant speciestaxonomic information. Env Model Soft 25:815-816. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2009.11.014
- Carvalho F, Godoy EL, Lisboa FJG, et al. (2014) Relationship between physical and Chemical soil attributes and plant species diversity in tropical Mountain ecosystems from Brazil. J Mt Sci

11:875-883.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-013-2792-4

- Carvalho F, Souza FA, Carrenho R, Moreira FMS, Jesus EC, Fernandes GW. 2012. The mosaic of habitats in the highaltitude Brazilian rupestrian fields is a hotspot for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. App Soil Ecol. 52:9-19. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.10.001
- Cunha-Blum J, Oki Y, Solar R, Fernandes GW (2020) More is not always better: responses of the endemic plant Vellozia nanuzae to additional nutrients. Acta Bot Bras 34:487-496. https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-33062020abb0041
- Dray S, Bauman D, Blanchet G, et al. (2021) adespatial: multivariate multiscale spatial analysis. R package version 0.3-19.

https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=adespatial

Fernandes GW, Almeida HA, Nunes CA, et al. (2016) Cerrado to Rupestrian Grasslands: patterns of species distribution and the forces shaping them along an altitudinal gradient. In: Fernandes GW (ed.), Ecology and Conservation of Mountaintop Grasslands in Brazil. Springer, Switzerland. pp 345-377

Fernandes GW, Arantes-Garcia L, Barbosa M, et al. (2020) Biodiversity and ecosystem services in the Campo Rupestre: a road map for the sustainability of the hottest Brazilian biodiversity hotspot. Persp Ecol Conserv 18:213-222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2020.10.004

- Fernandes GW, Barbosa NPU, Alberton B, et al. (2018) The deadly route to collapse and the uncertain fate of the rupestrian grasslands. Biodiv Conserv 27:2587-2603. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1556-4
- Fontana V, Guariento E, Hilpold A, et al. (2020) Species richness and beta diversity patterns of multiple taxa along an elevational gradient in pastured grasslands in the European Alps. Sci Rep 10:12516.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69569-9

- Gastauer M, Mitre SK, Carvalho CS, et al. (2021) Landscape heterogeneity and habitat amount drive plant diversity in Amazonian canga ecosystems. Landsc Ecol 36:393-406. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-020-01151-0
- Heino J, Grönroos M (2017) Exploring species and site contributions to beta-diversity in stream insect assemblages. Oecologia 183:151-160. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3754-7

Kamimura VA, Marcusso GM, Sabino GP, et al. (2022) Family legacy: contrasting diversity-elevation relationships on a coastal Atlantic Forest Mountain system. Plant Ecol 223:977-993.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-022-01251-8

- Köppen W (1948) Grundriss der Klimakunde. Gruyter, Berlim. Kraft N, Adler PB, Godoy O, et al. (2014) Community assembly.
- coexistence and the environmental filtering metaphor. Func Ecol 29:592-599.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12345

- Lambers H, Brundrett MC, Raven JA, Hopper SD (2010) Plantmineral nutrition in ancient landscapes : high plant species diversity on infertile soils is linked to functional diversity for nutritional strategies. Plant Soil 334: 11-31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0444-9
- Le Bagousse-Pinguet Y, Gross N, Maestre FT, et al. (2017) Testing the environmental filtering concept in global drylands.J Ecol 105:1058-1069. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12735

Le Stradic S, Buisson E, Fernandes GW (2015) Vegetation composition and structure of some neotropical mountain grasslands in Brazil. J Mt Sci 12:864-877. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-013-2866-3

Le Stradic S, Hernandéz P, Fernandes GW, Buisson E (2018a)Regeneration after fire in campo rupestre: Shortand long-term vegetation dynamics. Flora 238:191-200. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2016.12.001

Le Stradic S, Buisson E, Fernandes GW, Morellato LPC (2018b) Reproductive phenology of two contrasting NeotropicalMountain grasslands. J Veg Sci 29:15-24. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12596

Legendre P (2000) Comparison of permutation methods for the partial correlation and partial mantel tests. J Stats Comp Sim 67:37-73.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00949650008812035

Legendre P, De Cáceres M (2013) Beta diversity as the

variance of community data: dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning.Ecol Lett. 16:951-963.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12141

Lenth R, Singmann H, Love J, et al. (2019) Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means (Version 1.3.4).

https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans

Lomolino MV (2001) Elevation gradients of species-density: Historical and prospective views. Global Ecol Biogeogr 10:3-13.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1466-822x.2001.00229.x

Manly BJF (1991) Randomization and Monte Carlo methods in biology. Chapman and Hall, London.

- Marx HE, Dentant C, Renaud J, et al. (2017) Riders in the sky (islands): using a mega-phylogenetic approach to understand plant species distribution and coexistence at the altitudinal limits of angiosperm plant life. J Biogeogr 44:2618-2630. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13073
- Mattos JS, Morellato LPC, Batalha MA (2021) Plant communities in tropical ancient mountains: how are they spatially and evolutionary structured? Bot J Linn Soc 197:15-24. https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boab017
- Mattos JS, Morellato LPC, Camargo MGG, Batalha MA (2019) Plant phylogenetic diversity of tropical mountaintop rocky grasslands: local and regional constraints. Plant Ecol 220:1119-1129.https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6237
- Monteiro BL, Camargo MGG, Loiola PP, et al. (2021) Pollinationof the campo rupestre: a test of hypothesis for an ancienttropical mountain vegetation. Biol J Linn Soc 133:512-530. https://doi.org/10.1093/biolinnean/blaa205
- Monteiro L, Brum FT, Pressey RL, et al. (2020) Evaluating the impact of future actions in minimizing vegetation loss from land conversion in the Brazilian Cerrado, considering adjustment of species to climate change. Biodiv Conserv 29:1701-1722. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1627-6
- Moradi H, Fattorini S, Oldeland J (2020) Influence of elevationon the species-area relationship. J Biogeogr 47:2029-2041. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13851
- Morellato LPC, Silveira FAO (2018) Plant life in campo rupestre: New lessons from an ancient biodiversity hotspot. Flora 238:1-10.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2017.12.001

Mota GS, Luz GR, Mota NM, et al. (2018) Changes in species composition, vegetation structure, and life forms along an altitudinal gradient of rupestrian grasslands in south-eastern Brazil. Flora 238:32-42.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2017.03.010

Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, et al. (2022) vegan: Community Ecology Package (2.6-2). R package. https://cran.rproject.org/package=vegan.

Oliveira RS, Abrahão A, Pereira C, et al. (2016) Ecophysiology of Campos Rupestres Plants. In: Fernandes GW (ed.), Ecology and conservation of Mountain Top grasslands in Brazil. Springer International Publishing. pp 228-262.

Oliveira RS, Galvão HC, Campos MCR, et al. (2015) Mineral nutrition of campos rupestres plant species on contrasting nutrient-impoverished soil types. New Phytol 205:1183-1194. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13175

- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, et al. (2021) nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-153. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>.
- Pörtner HO, Scholes RJ, Agard J, et al. (2021) IPBES-IPCC cosponsored workshop report on biodiversity and climate change. IPBES and IPCC. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4782538
- Qian H, Ricklefs RE, Thuiller W (2021) Evolutionary assembly of flowering plants into sky islands. Nature Ecol Evol 5:640-646.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01423-1

- R Development Core Team (2021) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. http://www.r-project.org/
- Rocha LGS, Pinto JRR (2021) Diversity and structural changes in Cerrado Rupestre under effects of disturbances. Braz J Bot 44:1-13.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-020-00687-4

Schaefer CEGR, Corrêa GR, Candido HG, et al. (2016) The physical environment of rupestrian grasslands (campos rupestres) in Brazil: geological, geomorphological and pedological characteristics, and interplays. In: Fernandes GW (eds.), Ecology and conservation of mountaintop grasslands in Brazil. Heidelberg: Springer International. pp 15-53.

- Silveira FA, Barbosa M, Beiroz W, et al. (2019) Tropical mountains as natural laboratories to study global changes: a long-term ecological research project in a megadiverse biodiversity hotspot. Perspect Ecol Evol Syst 38:64-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2019.04.001 Silveira FAO, Negreiros D, Barbosa NPU, et al. (2016) Ecology
- Silveira FAO, Negreiros D, Barbosa NPU, et al. (2016) Ecology and evolution of plant diversity in the endangered campo rupestre: a neglected conservation priority. Plant Soil 403:129-152.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2637-8

- Tuomisto H, Ruokolainen L, Ruokolainen K (2012) Modellingniche and neutral dynamics: on the ecological interpretationof variation partitioning results. Ecography 35:961-971. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07339.x
- Vačkář D, Ten Brink B, Loh J, et al. (2012) Review of multispecies indices for monitoring human impacts on biodiversity. Ecol Indic 17:58-67. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2011.04.024
- Vasconcellos TNC, Alcantara S, Andrino CO, et al. (2020) Fastdiversification through a mosaic of evolutionary histories characterizes the endemic flora of ancient Neotropicalmountains. Proc Royal Soc B 287:20192933. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.2933
- Venterink HO (2011) Does phosphorus limitation promote species-rich plant communities? Plant Soil 345:1-9 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0796-9
- Zhou Y, Ochola AC, Njogu AW, et al. (2019) The species richness pattern of vascular plants along a tropical elevational gradient and the test of elevational Rapoport's rule depend on different life - forms and phytogeographic affinities. Ecol Evol9:4495-4503.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5027 Zu K, Wang Z, Zhu X, et al. (2021) Upward shift and elevational range contractions of subtropical mountain plants in responseto climate change. Sci Tot Env

783:146896. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.146896

Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS (2010) A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Methods Ecol Evol 1:3-14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x