

## Potential applications of biosurfactants in animal production and meat research

Cerine Yasmine Boulahlib, Moufida Aggoun, Rabah Arhab, Mohammed

Gagaoua

#### ► To cite this version:

Cerine Yasmine Boulahlib, Moufida Aggoun, Rabah Arhab, Mohammed Gagaoua. Potential applications of biosurfactants in animal production and meat research. AIMS Agriculture and Food, 2024, 9 (1), pp.237-253. 10.3934/agrfood.2024014 . hal-04437975

### HAL Id: hal-04437975 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04437975v1

Submitted on 5 Feb 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



AIMS Agriculture and Food, 9(1): 237–253. DOI: 10.3934/agrfood.2024014 Received: 09 November 2023 Revised: 10 January 2024 Accepted: 29 January 2024 Published: 04 February 2024

http://www.aimspress.com/journal/agriculture

#### Review

# Potential applications of biosurfactants in animal production and meat research

#### Cerine Yasmine Boulahlib<sup>1</sup>, Moufida Aggoun<sup>1</sup>, Rabah Arhab<sup>2</sup> and Mohammed Gagaoua<sup>3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> INATAA, Université Constantine 1 Frères Mentouri, Route de Ain El Bey, Constantine 25000, Algeria
- <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of natural substances, biomolecules and biotechnological applications, Faculty of Nature and Life Sciences, Université Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria
- <sup>3</sup> PEGASE, INRAE, Institut Agro, 35590 Saint-Gilles, France
- \* Correspondence: Email: mohammed.gagaoua@inrae.fr.

Abstract: Muscle foods are perishable products that are subject to several contaminations such as microbial and/or chemical (lipid and protein oxidation) alterations, which result in their deterioration and quality loss. Several processing strategies are used to preserve and improve the stability, shelf-life and quality of meat and meat products, from which natural preservative agents are gaining interest from both industrials and consumers as green and eco-friendly strategies. Among these natural preservatives, biosurfactants are emerging molecules. Their natural origin and biodegradability make them appealing for use in the food industry. In meat research, biosurfactants are of great interest as antimicrobial and antioxidant agents to reduce meat spoilage and wastage as well as for improving the shelf-life of the products. We aimed to discuss the potential applications of biosurfactants with a focus on their antimicrobial and antioxidant activity within the objectives of reducing meat quality deterioration and improving the image quality (acceptability by consumers) of meat and meat products. Additionally, further perspectives under the context of practical applications of biosurfactants in meat emulsification have been discussed, serving as a reference to feed knowledge gaps in this emerging topic of research. Further studies and evaluations of biosurfactants in meat research are needed to establish more evidence of their potential benefits, applicability and feasibility at a larger scale.

**Keywords:** meat quality; meat preservation; biosurfactants; amphiphilic agents; biological activities; antimicrobial and antioxidant agents

#### 1. Introduction

Animal-derived foods, particularly meat and meat products, have a diverse array of nutrient compositions, characterized by significant contents of high-quality proteins, essential amino acids, Bgroup vitamins, minerals, and various other nutrients [1,2], making them important constituents of human nutrition worldwide. However, muscle foods (proteins of animal origin) are perishable products that are subject to several microbial contaminations (growth of pathogens and several microorganisms), resulting in their deterioration and quality loss [3–5]. In fact, meat quality deterioration can be driven by multiple mechanisms, namely microbial proliferation and lipid and protein oxidation, which all together impact the different properties of meat and meat products such color, flavor, texture, and nutritional value [4,6–11]. Besides the internal factors impacting the rate and extent of meat quality deterioration, numerous external factors such as oxygen, temperature, light exposition, preservative compounds, and processing techniques are key in the stability and final quality preservation [4,8]. Lipid and protein oxidation are the major non-microbial issues causing meat deterioration [4]. For example, oxidative reactions occurring during the manufacturing, distribution and storage of meat and meat products induce multiple physicochemical transformations and/or alterations, which consequently generate undesirable aromas that have detrimental impacts on the final quality, leading to consumer dissatisfaction and economic losses [12]. Several strategies are used to preserve and improve the stability, shelf-life, and quality of meat and meat products, namely, cold chain logistics, heat treatments, packaging innovations, and chemical preservatives [2]. However, the increasing concerns on food safety and the potential risks associated with the application of chemical and synthetic preservative agents/molecules [13,14], have resulted in a notable shift towards natural preservatives that seemed to have interesting properties to maintain or improve the stability of muscle foods. Overall, these natural molecules aim to inhibit or delay microbial growth, oxidative reactions, and enzymatic degradation, thereby extending the shelf-life of meat products and ensuring their safer consumption, resulting in consumer satisfaction [15]. For this purpose, numerous bio-preservatives have been explored, among which certain studies demonstrated antioxidant and antimicrobial effects of biosurfactants to preserve and extend the shelf-life of meat and meat-based products (Table 1). We aimed to review the applications of biosurfactants, a class of microorganism-formed compounds, in animal production and meat research, with specific emphasis on their antimicrobial and antioxidant activities, as compounds enabling extended shelf-life of meat products.

#### 2. Biosurfactants

Surface-active agents, commonly named surfactants, have versatile properties and occupy a significant position in the field of colloid and interfacial science due to their inherent amphiphilic nature. This characteristic facilitates the reduction of interfacial tension between disparate phases, including air-water, liquid-liquid (oil-water or water-oil), and liquid-solid interfaces [16]. For their production, two approaches can be distinguished. In the chemical approach, the organic chemistry serves as a founder of the covalent linkage of the amphiphilic (hydrophilic and hydrophobic) molecules, and ensures their structural integrity and functionality. In the biological approach, the biosurfactants may be produced by two primary pathways, either through direct extraction from plants or synthesized by an enzymatic or microbial process. It is worthy to mention that the scientific community refer to the term "biosurfactants" to the amphiphilic surface active agents that are obtained *via* fermentation

process (microbial biosurfactants) [17]. Biosurfactants possess a hydrophilic moiety that can include carbohydrates, amino acids, cyclic peptides, phosphates, carboxylic acids, or alcohols, and a hydrophobic moiety that is mostly composed of long-chain fatty acids, hydroxyl fatty acids, or  $\alpha$ -alkyl  $\beta$ -hydroxy fatty acids. This combination of hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties provides to biosurfactants their amphiphilic property and contributes to their surfactant and antimicrobial activities [18].

#### 2.1. Biosurfactants sources

The production of biosurfactants depends on some factors that play a crucial role in the efficiency of the production yield, mostly the source of carbon and nitrogen, the carbon/nitrogen ratio, the content of salts and trace elements, and fermentation conditions [19]. The valorization of renewable wastes generated by food industry can be used to produce biosurfactants including bagasse, press mud, vegetables and fruits wastes, oil processing wastes, spent coffee ground, dairy products, fat, tallow, and lard [20,21]. Since the production of biosurfactants depends on carbon presence in the growth medium; lignocellulosic molecules (cellulose, lignin, hemicellulose) are selected for this purpose [20]. Accordingly, recent studies that used different renewable substrates for the production of microbial biosurfactants reported interesting yields (40.5 g/L) using *Pseudomonas* sp. Cultivated, for example, in canola waste frying oil [20,22]. Furthermore, rhamnolipid was produced by *Burkholderia kururiensis* KP23T isolated from an aquifer and glycolipoprotein by *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *tolerans* N2 using sugar cane molasses as substrate [23]. However, the pathogenicity of strains and safety of produced biosurfactants should be assessed before any application.

#### 2.2. Production of biosurfactants from microorganisms

With the growing demand for surface-active agents to mitigate the environmental concerns associated with the use of chemical surfactants, microbial-derived surfactants are receiving increasing attention. In fact, they are regarded as eco-friendly alternatives because of their low toxicity, better biodegradability, high selectivity, and versatile activity/use under extreme conditions [19]. Moreover, microbial-derived surfactants, from both bacteria and yeast [24], present important advantage due to the ability of their production using agri-food wastes and/or renewable sources [21].

Several studies on biosurfactants used lactic acid bacteria (LAB) for their production [25]. The use of LAB is mostly related to their GRAS status, their ability to promote human health, and strengthening the immune system. Thus, they have been proposed and evaluated for food preservation, food fermentation, improvement of the nutritional and sensory properties of food products. LAB have also interesting abilities to produce numerous functional metabolites that possess biopreservative potentials, such as enzymes, bacteriocins, biosurfactants, etc... [26]. The production of biosurfactants (glycolipoproteins biosurfactant) from LAB have been reported from several strains such as *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *tolerans* N2 isolated from fermented cow milk [27]. Another study successfully isolated low-cost glycolipoprotein biosurfactant that is produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* 60 FHE from cheese samples using food wastes [28]. Likewise, Kachrimanidou *et al.* [29] investigated the production of proteinaceous-based biosurfactants by several LAB strains, namely *Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus pentosus, Lactobacillus coryniformis, Lactobacillus paracasei*, and *Lactobacillus plantarum* using Cheese whey permeate as a low-cost fermentation feedstock for biosurfactant production. Our findings presented in this study indicate

promising results for reducing the expenses related to biosurfactant production. Moreover, they support the advancement of refining food industry by-products to bolster the circularity and sustainability of food systems. Other types of biosurfactants were further produced by LAB strains: Cell-bound biosurfactants Phosphoglycoprotein (*Lactobacillus rhamnosus* CCM 1825), cell-bound lipoprotein biosurfactants (*Lactobacillus pentosus* CECT-4023T and cell-bound glycoprotein (*Lactococcus lactis* 53, *Pediococcus acidilactici* F70 [30]. Glycolipid and cell-bound glycolipid biosurfactants have been further produced by several other strains such as *Weissella cibaria* PN3 and *Streptococcus thermophilus* [30]. LAB and many other mesophilic species are positive amphiphilic agents' producers, likely *Brukholederia kururiensis* KP23 that produces rhamnolipid [27].

Different recent studies evidenced the potential of extremophilic bacteria such as thermophilic microorganisms, to produce microbial surface tension agents [31]. For example, *Bacillus subtilis*, a thermophilic strain, was found as a good producer of surfactin that is a cyclic lipopeptide group of biosurfactants, possessing promoting properties such as high surface tension activity, high foaming capacity and stability, and antimicrobial activity [32]. Several other thermophilic bacteria strains are of interest: *Bacillus licheniformis* F2.2 [33], *Bacillus safensis* YKS2 [34] and *Bacillus tequilensis* [35].

Biosurfactants compounds have also been produced from yeasts. For example, *Candida bombicola* produces acidic sophorolipid, acidic glucolipid and alcoholic glucoside [36]. The marine yeast *Cyberlindnera saturnus* SBPN-27 produces the glycolipid cybersan (trigalactomargarate) biosurfactant [37]. Furthermore, anionic biosurfactants with possible glycolipid structure were isolated from *Geotrichum candidum*, *Galactomyces pseudocandidum* and *Candida tropicalis* [38], glycolipid bio-emulsifiers were produced by high-salt-tolerant halophilic *Cryptococcus* sp. YLF [39], and a high-titer liamocin was produced by yeast-like fungus *Aureobasidium pullulans* [40]. Several fungal species have also been identified as promising sources, such as *Mucor circinelloides* UBOCC-A-109190, *Mucor plumbeus* UBOCC-A-111133, and *Mucor mucedo* UBOCC-A-101353 as glycolipid producers [41].

#### 3. Use of biosurfactants in the processing of meat and meat products

Owing to their multiple functional characteristics, mostly emulsifying capacity, stabilization, and antimicrobial and antioxidant activities, biosurfactants are widely used in food industry, however, their utilization in meat research is very limited.

#### 3.1. Antimicrobial activity of biosurfactants

The spoilage of meat products depends on the presence of various bacteria, mostly *Salmonella* spp., *Campylobacter jejuni, Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes, Clostridium* spp., *Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, Brochothrix thermosphacta, Lactobacillus* spp., *Enterobacter*, molds, and yeasts. These spoilage microorganisms have the potential to cause outbreaks that can severely impact both public health and economy [2,42]. Therefore, effective preservation methods and strict quality control measures are crucial to mitigate meat spoilage and ensure food safety. For this purpose, some studies have focused on the use of biosurfactants as antimicrobial, fungicidal, fungistatic, and antibiofilm using different compounds produced from myriad microorganism [25,43–45]. Table 1 represents a few studies that evaluated the potential of biosurfactants as antimicrobial agents against microorganisms commonly present in meat and meat products. In Table 2 are summarized the available studies on the different applications of biosurfactants in meat research to preserve the quality or extend the shelf-life of meat and meat products.

Source (origin)	Biosurfactant tested	Targeted microorganisms	Refs.
Pseudomonas fragi NMC25	Undefined	Psychrophilic bacteria:	[46]
		Acinetobacter	
		Shewanella	
		Serratia	
Bacillus subtilis	Lipopeptides: surfactin, fengycin,	Paecilomyces variotti	[43]
	mycosubtilin and their mixtures	Byssochlamys fulv	
		Candida krusei	
Aneurinibacillus	Lipopeptides	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	[47–49]
aneurinilyticus		Escherichia coli	
		Aspergillus brasiliensis	
		Candida albicans	
Commercial Rhamnolipid	Rhamnolipid	Bacillus cereus	[50]
S. bombicola	Sophorolipid	Staphylococcus aureus	[51]
		Listeria monocytogenes	
		Salmonella enterica	
		Escherichia coli	
Rhodococcus fascians	Trehalose lipid (glycolipid)	Candida albican	[52]
		Escherichia coli	
Pediococcus pentosaceus	Lipoprotein	Bacillus subtilis	[53]
		Pseudomonas aeruginosa	
		Staphylococcus aureus	
		Escherichia coli	
Bacillus cereus	Lipopeptide	Aspergillus niger	[54]
		Penicillium fellutanum	
		Cladosporium cladosporioides	
Lactobacillus rhamnosus	Glycolipid	Bacillus subtilis	[55]
		Pseudomonas aeruginosa	
		Staphylococcus aureus	
		Escherichia coli	
Lactobacillus paracasei	Glycolipoprotein	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	[27,56]
subsp. tolerans N2		Pseudomonas putida	
		Salmonella enteritidis	
		Yersinia enterolitica	
		Escherichia coli	
		Bacillus sp.	
		Staphylococcus aureus	
		Proteus mirabilis	
		Klebsiella pneumoniae	
Commercial Rhamnolipid	Rhamnolipid	Escherichia coli	[57]
		Bacillus cereus	

**Table 1.** Biosurfactants as antimicrobial agents against pathogens commonly tested in food industry with emphasis on meat and meat products.

Continued on the next page

Volume 9, Issue 1, 237–253.

Biosurfactant tested	Targeted microorganisms	Refs.
Mannosylerythritol Lipids-A	Listeria monocytogenes	[57]
Glycolipid	Escherichia coli	[58]
	Staphylococcus aureus	
	Salmonella	
13-Docosenamide	Escherichia coli	[59]
	Staphylococcus aureus	
	Biosurfactant tested Mannosylerythritol Lipids-A Glycolipid 13-Docosenamide	Biosurfactant testedTargeted microorganismsMannosylerythritol Lipids-AListeria monocytogenesGlycolipidEscherichia coli Staphylococcus aureus Salmonella13-DocosenamideEscherichia coli Staphylococcus aureus

The antimicrobial action of biosurfactants is widely explored for food safety purposes, which can act through several mechanisms: i) Modification of the surface charge, wettability and reduction of the interaction of bacterial population with the surface [60,61]; ii) interaction with intracellular constituents and perturbation of the normal functioning of microorganisms, thereby hindering crucial cellular processes, consequently, inhibiting the microbial growth, survival, and proliferation [61,62]; iii) induction at high concentration of necrosis and at low concentration fungal apoptosis [61,63]; and iv) binding to the phospholipid surface of the microbial cytoplasmic membrane through electrostatic forces, which can lead to the diffusion into the inner hydrophobic part of the membrane, consequently, weakening its lipid structure (Figure 1) and leaking its essential molecules [64].



Figure 1. Schematic antibacterial mechanism of surfactant micelles against *E. coli*. (Reprinted with permission from [65]).

Biosurfactant(s)	Sources	Objective of the trials	Type of meat matrix and procedure	Main results	Refs.
Glycolipoprotein	-Lactobacillus	In-situ effects of biosurfactants on the	Raw ground goat meat mixed with the	• Decrease of the total aerobic counts, <i>E</i> .	[25,56]
	paracasei subsp.	microbiological and physicochemical	glycolipid biosurfactant in sterile	coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, leading to	
	Tolerans N2	stabilities of raw ground goat meat stored at	polyethylene bags	increased shelf-life (up to 15 days)	
	-Lactobacillus casei	4 °C		• Better color stability	
	subsp. casei TM1B			• Inhibition of lipid oxidation	
				• Inhibition of the production of basic	
				volatile nitrogen	
-Acetylated starch	Commercial	Formulation and development of a low-fat,	Oil partially or entirely replaced with	• Improvements of the pseudoplastic	[66,67]
-Octenyl succinic		printable, acceptable texture and fibrous	hydrophobically modified biosurfactants	behavior with viscoelastic properties due to	
-Anhydride starch		sensation of plant-based meat analogue ink	in a soy protein-based emulsion, in order	reduced-fat inks	
-Ethyl (hydroxyethyl)		for potential utilization in 3D printing	to develop a reduced-fat meat analogue	• Increase of consistency index recovery,	
cellulose		technology, focusing on exploring the		frequency crossover point, and storage modulus	
-Dodecenyl succinylated		biosurfactants and their functional properties			
inulin					
Undefined	Bacillus subtilis	Evaluate the biological activity of the	Designing an open cleaning system in the	• The cleaning of the equipment and utensils	[68]
	DS03	biosurfactants against pathogenic strains and	meat processing (after sausage	with biosurfactants led to a significant decrease	
		its potential sanitizer in open cleaning	production) where 3 products of the	of E. coli, S. aureus and L. monocytogenes	
		systems in the meat processing laboratory	cleaning out-of-place system were		
			formulated using biosurfactants		
Sophorolipid	Starmerella	Develop a green packaging for the control of	Formulated bioactive film incorporating	• Total inhibition of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> , <i>S.</i>	[69]
	bombicola	foodborne pathogens against poultry	polylactic acid and sophorolipid	aureus and reduction of 50% of Salmonella. spp	
		spoilage	biosurfactant	bacterial population	
Lipopeptide	Enterobacter	Investigate the antioxidant activity, emulsion	Determination of the antioxidant activity	• Significant oxidative stability of raw beef	[70]
	cloacae	stability and the conservation stability of	of the lipopeptide biosurfactant	patties in the presence of the lipopeptide	
		raw beef patties using a biosurfactant			

Table 2. A non-exhaustive list of the various applications of biosurfactants in meat research.

Continued on the next page

AIMS Agriculture and Food

Volume 9, Issue 1, 237–253.

Biosurfactant(s)	Sources	Objective of the trials	Type of meat matrix and procedure	Main results	Refs.
Undefined	Pseudomonas fragi	Evaluate the effect of the biosurfactant on	The biosurfactant was spread onto the	• Significant changes of the microbial	[46]
		the spoilage ability and community	surface of chicken breast. Several meat	diversity of the meat matrix, with a dominance	
		dynamics of bacteria on the surface of	quality parameters have been evacuated	of Pseudomonas in the population and effective	
		chilled meat	including the microbiological counts,	reduction of spoilage state of meat	
			TVB-N, pH and meat color		
Lipopeptides	Bacillus	Determine the antioxidant properties of the	Ground beef meat used for patty	• Inhibition of lipid oxidation	[71]
	methylotrophicus	biosurfactant in raw beef patties during	formulations was treated with lipopeptide	• Significant efficiency of the direct use of	
		conservation; develop a novel type of beef	biosurfactant through two ways: patty	biosurfactant in lipid oxidation than coating	
		patties coating: biosurfactant-gelatin-film for	formulation including the biosurfactant,		
		lipid oxidation prevention and shelf-life	and standard beef patty covered with the		
		extension	biosurfactant coating		
Sophorolipids	Starmerella	Develop chicken sausage with biosurfactant	Formulation of the sausage prepared by	• Improvement of the sausage structural	[72]
	bombicola	and exploring their antimicrobial,	mixing chicken ground meat, non-meat	integrity: less porous mass, low cracks and	
		antioxidant and emulsifying properties.	ingredients and additives, enriched with	better emulsion stability	
			different concentrations of sophorolipid	Impact on color	
			biosurfactants	• Antimicrobial activity against <i>C</i> .	
				perfringens and better antioxidant activity	
Quillaja Saponin	Commercial	Develop a natural and edible antioxidant	Formulation of a nanoemulsion using	• Effective green nanoemulsion	[73]
	purchased	agent via a formulation of a thymol	Quillaja Saponin biosurfactant in addition	• Antioxidant capability against lipid	
		nanoemulsion applied on raw chicken breast	to other green solvents, where the meat	oxidation	
		meat using biosurfactant.	samples were dipped during 14 days of		
			storage in 4 °C		

#### 3.2. Antibiofilm activity

Biosurfactants were evidenced in several studies as a sustainable alternative to chemical compounds thanks to their antiadhesive and antibiofilm properties. Studies demonstrated that biosurfactants from two *L. casei* strains exhibited antibiofilm activities against *S. aureus* [74]. Other studies confirmed the biofilm inhibition of *S. aureus* by rhamnolipid produced by *Enterobacter* sp. UJS-RC [75] and others observed a reduction of 60% of *Salmonella* biofilm cells [76]. A study conducted by Mouafo and co-workers [56] reported that the glycolipoprotein biosurfactant from *Lactobacillus paracasei* was able to inhibit biofilm formation of six pathogen strains isolated from braised fish, namely *Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella enteritidis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Yersinia enterolitica, Proteus mirabilis,* and *Klebsiella pneumonia*. It has been shown that biosurfactants are capable of modifying the physio-chemical properties of surfaces, subsequently reducing adhesion and biofilm formation [77].

#### 3.3. Antioxidant activity

In order to mitigate the oxidation of proteins and lipids in muscle foods, natural compounds with antioxidant activity are widely used [1], from which biosurfactants are good candidates [25]. Some, as evidenced in Table 2, have demonstrated significant effects, such as the application of glycoprotein biosurfactant in inhibiting both lipid oxidation and the production of total volatile basic nitrogen in fresh ground goat meat [25]. Antioxidant activity of a lipopeptide biosurfactant was investigated by several mechanisms using several lipid oxidation tests, and it showed a significant impact on the inhibition of lipid oxidation in beef samples [70]. Furthermore, another earlier study confirmed the effective antioxidant activity of lipopeptides in ground beef patties up to 14 days, suggesting that the direct use of biosurfactants is more effective than using gelatin-based antioxidant packaging[71]. Kaiser et al. [72] carried out research to investigate the inhibition of lipid peroxidation of chicken sausages, and their findings revealed promising results using sophorolipid biosurfactant as a potential natural preservative. Quillaja Saponin biosurfactant also seemed to have a significant antioxidant activity on raw chicken breast meat through thymol nanoemulsion formulation [73]. Authors have explained the mechanisms behind this potency via ferric reducing antioxidant power of surfactin and rhamnolipid and further demonstrated that this might be due to hydroxyl groups in the lipopeptide molecular structure, hydrophobic amino acids (valine and leucine), acidic amino acids (aspartic acid and glutamic acid), and sulfur-containing amino acids such as methionine acids [78]. Moreover, biosurfactants exhibit a DPPH scavenging activity in which free radicals are neutralized by transferring either protons to electrons [78] or a hydrogen atom [77]. The results demonstrated the unsaturated lipids' ability to scavenge the reactive oxygen species and to prevent lipid peroxidation. Thus, this scavenging effect might be attributed to the presence of several active residues and to the hydrocarbon fatty acid chain in the peptide ring of the lipopeptide biosurfactant, which can react with the free radicals of DPPH. However, the lipid peroxidation inhibition could be attributed to the presence of both hydrophobic amino acids in the peptide ring and the acyl chain of beta-hydroxy fatty acids, thus improving the solubility of the peptide in the hydrophobic medium [77,78].

#### 3.4. Potential use of biosurfactants in meat emulsification

Several meat products, such as sausages, frankfurters, bologna, and mortadella under the name of emulsion-type meat products, are produced [79]. These emulsion-type products are prepared by finely chopping meat from various sources (pork, beef, mutton, etc.), creating a stable mixture that effectively binds water and entraps fat. This unique emulsion imparts the textural properties to the product when cooked [80], which are considered as oil-in-water emulsions [81]. The quantity of fat plays a crucial role of the enhancement of flavor, texture, hardness, juiciness, mouth feel, moisture, and technological properties of these products such as pork backfat in sausages, meat batters, frankfurters, beef fat in beef burgers, and so on. However, the current concerns of consumers about various aspects of food quality and health is getting significant attention. Consequently, the reformulation of meat derivatives and especially emulsion based ones to enhance their health profile has become a vital strategy, particularly because consumers often perceive them as unhealthy because of the considerable quantity of fat that they contain [80]. Therefore, several studies have been performed to address the reduction of fat content, enhancement of fat profile, and transformation of liquid oil into a semi-solid system through various approaches such as hydrogenation, interesterification, oil bulking systems, and structured emulsions [82]. Accordingly, numerous emulsifying agents have been investigated, encompassing proteins, amphiphilic polysaccharides, protein-polysaccharide complexes, and low molecular weight surfactants. These agents play a crucial role in stabilizing emulsions, leading to improve product quality and functionality in various meat emulsion [83]. In the context of evaluating surfactants and among the very few studies, Serdaroğlu and co-workers [84] investigated the effect of partial beef fat replacement with gelled emulsion on functional and quality properties of model system meat emulsion supplemented with polyglyserol polyricinoleate surfactant. The findings indicated that partial replacement of beef fat with gelled emulsion could enhance cooking yield. Although the inclusion of gelled emulsion significantly influenced the textural properties of the samples, it did not have any adverse effects on water holding capacity and emulsion stability, concluding the potential benefits of using gelled emulsion in meat product formulations for the development of healthier meat products.

The preparation of meat emulsion gels typically involves the production of a protein-stabilized emulsion, but it can be further supplemented with a hydrocolloid stabilizer or other ingredients, such as proteins, polysaccharides, and surfactants, after the formation of the emulsion [85]. This approach ensures the stability and functionality of the resulting emulsion gel [83,86]. For example, soybean protein serves as a source of surfactant molecules, effectively reducing the interfacial tension between oil and water in emulsion gels, which enhances the stability of the emulsion gels and contributes to their overall quality and functionality [83]. However, these surfactants are considered toxic agents causing environmental damage due to their chemical production process [87]. Therefore, as discussed earlier, biosurfactants gained recently a significant interest, including their use as emulsifying agents [88]. Even though many researchers investigated the use of biosurfactants as a green alternative of chemical surfactants in many fields globally and in the food industry, there is a lack of studies exploring biosurfactants in fat meat replacers and emulsion-based meat formulations could yield noteworthy and valuable outcomes. Such investigations may open up new avenues for developing healthier and more sustainable meat products as well as satisfying the consumers' expectations.

#### 4. Conclusions

Green biopreservatives are receiving a huge interest worldwide due to their low toxicity and ecological purposes. In this sense, biosurfactants, which are amphiphilic molecules, are showing advantageous features that can be considered sustainable and biological alternatives to chemical and harmful surfactants, owing to their multiple and functional properties such has emulsification, stabilization, and bacterial and fungal inhibition, consequently enhancing food quality and extending the shelf-life of the products. These characteristics are widely demanded in the meat industry to reduce waste and prevent the perishability of meat products. In this article, we discussed the utilization of biosurfactants in food science with an emphasis on meat and meat products. We highlighted the multiple potential applications of biosurfactants as antimicrobial, antibiofilm, and/or antioxidant agents to tackle the problem of different types of meat products' spoilage and their stability. The effectiveness of the biosurfactants is not confirmed, but all the available data are promising, especially in extending the shelf-life of the products. Notwithstanding, they seemed to have interesting properties to be applied as stabilizers in meat-based emulsions. Further studies and evaluations of biosurfactants in meat research are needed to establish more evidence of their applications' potential and feasibility of use at larger scale.

#### Use of AI tools declaration

The authors declare that they have not used Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools in the creation of this article.

#### **Conflict of interest**

All the authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the work presented here.

#### References

- 1. Manessis G, Kalogianni AI, Lazou T, et al. (2020) Plant-derived natural antioxidants in meat and meat products. *Antioxidants* 9: 1215. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox9121215
- Ji J, Shankar S, Royon F, et al. (2023) Essential oils as natural antimicrobials applied in meat and meat products—A review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 63: 993–1009. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2021.1957766
- 3. Mouafo HT, Baomog AMB, Adjele JJB, et al. (2020) Microbial profile of fresh beef sold in the markets of Ngaoundéré, Cameroon, and Antiadhesive activity of a biosurfactant against selected bacterial pathogens. *J Food Qual* 2020: 5989428. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5989428
- Falowo AB, Fayemi PO, Muchenje V (2014) Natural antioxidants against lipid-protein oxidative deterioration in meat and meat products: A review. *Food Res Int* 64: 171–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.06.022
- 5. Feknous I, Saada D, Boulahlib C, et al. (2023) Poultry meat quality preservation by plant extracts: An overview. *Meat Technol* 64: 80–101. https://doi.org/10.18485/meattech.2023.64.3.2
- Kalogianni AI, Lazou T, Bossis I, et al. (2020) Natural phenolic compounds for the control of oxidation, bacterial spoilage, and foodborne pathogens in meat. *Foods* 9: 794. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9060794

- 7. Domínguez R, Pateiro M, Munekata PES, et al. (2022) Protein oxidation in muscle foods: A comprehensive review. *Antioxidants* 11: 60. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox11010060
- 8. Gagaoua M, Pinto VZ, Göksen G, et al. (2022) Electrospinning as a promising process to preserve the quality and safety of meat and meat products. *Coatings* 12: 644. https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings12050644
- 9. Lamri M, Bhattacharya T, Boukid F, et al. (2021) Nanotechnology as a processing and packaging tool to improve meat quality and safety. *Foods* 10: 2633. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10112633
- 10. Gagaoua M, Alessandroni L, Das A, et al. (2023) Intrinsic and extrinsic factors impacting fresh goat meat quality: An overview. *Sci J Meat Technol* 64: 20–40. https://doi.org/10.18485/meattech.2023.64.1.3
- Wang J, Ren B, Bak KH, et al. (2023) Preservative effects of composite biopreservatives on goat meat during chilled storage: Insights into meat quality, high-throughput sequencing and molecular docking. *LWT* 184: 115033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.115033
- 12. Pateiro M, Barba FJ, Domínguez R, et al. (2018) Essential oils as natural additives to prevent oxidation reactions in meat and meat products: A review. *Food Res Int* 113: 156–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.07.014
- Ji J, Shankar S, Royon F, et al. (2023) Essential oils as natural antimicrobials applied in meat and meat products—A review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 63: 993–1009. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2021.1957766
- 14. Zhang F, Zhang M, Chen Y, et al. (2021) Antimicrobial, anti-biofilm properties of three naturally occurring antimicrobial peptides against spoilage bacteria, and their synergistic effect with chemical preservatives in food storage. *Food Control* 123: 107729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107729
- 15. Feknous I, Saada D, Boulahlib C, et al. (2023) Poultry meat quality preservation by plant extracts: an overview. *Meat Technol* 64: 80–101. https://doi.org/10.18485/meattech.2023.64.3.2
- Padma Ishwarya S, Nisha P (2022) Insights into the composition, structure-function relationship, and molecular organization of surfactants from spent coffee grounds. *Food Hydrocolloids* 124: 107204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.107204
- Baccile N, Poirier A (2023) Chapter 1—Microbial bio-based amphiphiles (biosurfactants): General aspects on critical micelle concentration, surface tension, and phase behavior. In: Soberón-Chávez G (Ed.), *Biosurfactants*, Academic Press, 3–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-91697-4.00001-6
- Płaza GA, Chojniak J, Banat IM (2014) Biosurfactant Mediated Biosynthesis of Selected Metallic Nanoparticles. *Int J Mol Sci* 15: 13720–13737. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms150813720
- Aslam R, Mobin M, Zehra S, et al. (2023) Biosurfactants: Types, Sources, and Production. In: Aslam R, Mobin M, Aslam J et al. (Eds.), *Advancements in Biosurfactants Research*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 3–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21682-4\_1
- 20. Gunjal A (2023) Biosurfactants from renewable sources—A review. *Nepal J Environ Sci* 10: 15–23. https://doi.org/10.3126/njes.v10i2.48538
- Domínguez Rivera Á, Martínez Urbina MÁ, López y López VE (2019) Advances on research in the use of agro-industrial waste in biosurfactant production. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 35: 155. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-019-2729-3
- 22. Soares da Silva RdCF, de Almeida DG, Brasileiro PPF, et al. (2019) Production, formulation and cost estimation of a commercial biosurfactant. *Biodegradation* 30: 191–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-018-9830-4

- 23. Tavares LFD, Silva PM, Junqueira M, et al. (2013) Characterization of rhamnolipids produced by wild-type and engineered Burkholderia kururiensis. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 97: 1909–1921. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4454-9
- Fernandes NdAT, Simões LA, Dias DR (2023) Biosurfactants produced by yeasts: Fermentation, screening, recovery, purification, characterization, and applications. *Fermentation* 9: 207. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation9030207
- Mouafo HT, Mbawala A, Tanaji K, et al. (2020) Improvement of the shelf life of raw ground goat meat by using biosurfactants produced by lactobacilli strains as biopreservatives. *LWT* 133: 110071. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110071
- Sharma D, Saharan BS, Kapil S (2016) Biosurfactants of Probiotic Lactic Acid Bacteria. In: Sharma D, Saharan BS, Kapil S (Eds.), *Biosurfactants of Lactic Acid Bacteria*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 17–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26215-4\_2
- Hippolyte MT, Augustin M, Hervé TM, et al. (2018) Application of response surface methodology to improve the production of antimicrobial biosurfactants by Lactobacillus paracasei subsp. tolerans N2 using sugar cane molasses as substrate. *Bioresour Bioprocess* 5: 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-018-0234-4
- 28. Sakr EAE, Ahmed HAE, Abo Saif FAA (2021) Characterization of low-cost glycolipoprotein biosurfactant produced by Lactobacillus plantarum 60 FHE isolated from cheese samples using food wastes through response surface methodology and its potential as antimicrobial, antiviral, and anticancer activities. *Int J Biol Macromol* 170: 94–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.12.140
- Kachrimanidou V, Alimpoumpa D, Papadaki A, et al. (2022) Cheese whey utilization for biosurfactant production: Evaluation of bioprocessing strategies using novel Lactobacillus strains. *Biomass Convers Biorefin* 12: 4621–4635. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-022-02767-9
- Mouafo HT, Sokamte AT, Mbawala A, et al. (2022) Biosurfactants from lactic acid bacteria: A critical review on production, extraction, structural characterization and food application. *Food Biosci* 46: 101598. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2022.101598
- Eswari JS, Dhagat S, Sen R (2019) Biosurfactants, Bioemulsifiers, and Biopolymers from Thermophilic Microorganisms. In: Eswari JS, Dhagat S, Sen R (Eds.), *Thermophiles for Biotech Industry: A Bioprocess Technology Perspective*, Singapore: Springer Singapore, 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-32-9919-1\_5
- 32. Sakthipriya N, Doble M, Sangwai JS (2015) Action of biosurfactant producing thermophilic Bacillus subtilis on waxy crude oil and long chain paraffins. *Int Biodeterior Biodegrad* 105: 168–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.09.004
- Thaniyavarn J, Roongsawang N, Kameyama T, et al. (2003) Production and characterization of biosurfactants from Bacillus licheniformis F2.2. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 67: 1239–1244. https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.67.1239
- 34. Kalaimurugan D, Balamuralikrishnan B, Govindarajan RK, et al. (2022) Production and characterization of a novel biosurfactant molecule from Bacillus safensis YKS2 and assessment of its efficiencies in wastewater treatment by a directed metagenomic approach. *Sustainability* 14: 2142. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042142
- 35. Nayarisseri A (2019) Screening, isolation and characterization of biosurfactant-producing Bacillus tequilensis strain ANSKLAB04 from brackish river water. *Int J Environ Sci Technol* 16: 7103–7112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-018-2089-9

- 36. Dhar P, Thornhill M, Roelants S, et al. (2021) Linking molecular structures of yeast-derived biosurfactants with their foaming, interfacial, and flotation properties. *Miner Eng* 174: 107270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2021.107270
- 37. Senthil Balan S, Ganesh Kumar C, Jayalakshmi S (2019) Physicochemical, structural and biological evaluation of Cybersan (trigalactomargarate), a new glycolipid biosurfactant produced by a marine yeast, Cyberlindnera saturnus strain SBPN-27. *Proc Biochem* 80: 171–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2019.02.005
- Eldin AM, Kamel Z, Hossam N (2019) Isolation and genetic identification of yeast producing biosurfactants, evaluated by different screening methods. *Microchem J* 146: 309–314. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.01.020
- Derguine-Mecheri L, Kebbouche-Gana S, Khemili-Talbi S, et al. (2018) Screening and biosurfactant/bioemulsifier production from a high-salt-tolerant halophilic Cryptococcus strain YLF isolated from crude oil. J Pet Sci Eng 162: 712–724. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2017.10.088
- Saur KM, Brumhard O, Scholz K, et al. (2019) A pH shift induces high-titer liamocin production in Aureobasidium pullulans. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 103: 4741–4752. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-09677-3
- Chotard M, Mounier J, Meye R, et al. (2022) Biosurfactant-producing Mucor strains: Selection, screening, and chemical characterization. *Appl Microbiol* 2: 248–259. https://doi.org/10.3390/applmicrobiol2010018
- 42. Gautam S, Lapčík L, Lapčíková B, et al. (2023) Emulsion-based coatings for preservation of meat and related products. *Foods* 12: 832. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12040832
- 43. Kourmentza K, Gromada X, Michael N, et al. (2021) Antimicrobial activity of lipopeptide biosurfactants against foodborne pathogen and food spoilage microorganisms and their cytotoxicity. *Front Microbiol* 11: 561060. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.561060
- 44. Shao L, Chen S, Wang H, et al. (2021) Advances in understanding the predominance, phenotypes, and mechanisms of bacteria related to meat spoilage. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 118: 822–832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2021.11.007
- 45. Liu Q, Dong P, Fengou LC, et al. (2023) Preliminary investigation into the prediction of indicators of beef spoilage using Raman and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. *Meat Sci* 200: 109168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2023.109168
- 46. Chen Y, Ma F, Wu Y, et al. (2023) Biosurfactant from Pseudomonas fragi enhances the competitive advantage of Pseudomonas but reduces the overall spoilage ability of the microbial community in chilled meat. *Food Microbiol* 115: 104311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2023.104311
- 47. López-Prieto A, Vecino X, Rodríguez-López L, et al. (2019) A multifunctional biosurfactant extract obtained from corn steep water as bactericide for agrifood industry. *Foods* 8: 410. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8090410
- 48. López-Prieto A, Vecino X, Rodríguez-López L, et al. (2020) Fungistatic and fungicidal capacity of a biosurfactant extract obtained from corn steep water. *Foods* 9: 662. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9050662
- 49. López-Prieto A, Rodríguez-López L, Rincón-Fontán M, et al. (2021) Characterization of extracellular and cell bound biosurfactants produced by Aneurinibacillus aneurinilyticus isolated from commercial corn steep liquor. *Microbiol Res* 242: 126614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2020.126614

- 50. Bertuso PdC, Mayer DMD, Nitschke M (2021) Combining celery oleoresin, limonene and rhamnolipid as new strategy to control endospore-forming Bacillus cereus. *Foods* 10: 455. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10020455
- Silveira VAI, Kobayashi RKT, de Oliveira Junior AG, et al. (2021) Antimicrobial effects of sophorolipid in combination with lactic acid against poultry-relevant isolates. *Braz J Microbiol* 52: 1769–1778. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42770-021-00545-9
- 52. Janek T, Krasowska A, Czyżnikowska Ż, et al. (2018) Trehalose lipid biosurfactant reduces adhesion of microbial pathogens to polystyrene and silicone surfaces: An experimental and computational approach. *Front Microbiol* 9: 02441. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02441
- 53. Adnan M, Siddiqui AJ, Hamadou WS, et al. (2021) Functional and structural characterization of Pediococcus pentosaceus-derived biosurfactant and its biomedical potential against bacterial adhesion, quorum sensing, and biofilm formation. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 10: 1371. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10111371
- 54. Durval IJB, Meira HM, de Veras BO, et al. (2021) Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using a biosurfactant from Bacillus cereus UCP 1615 as stabilizing agent and its application as an antifungal agent. *Fermentation* 7: 233. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation7040233
- 55. Patel M, Siddiqui AJ, Hamadou WS, et al. (2021) Inhibition of bacterial adhesion and antibiofilm activities of a glycolipid biosurfactant from Lactobacillus rhamnosus with its physicochemical and functional properties. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 10: 1546. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10121546
- 56. Mouafo HT, Sokamte AT, Manet L, et al. (2023) Biofilm inhibition, antibacterial and antiadhesive properties of a novel biosurfactant from Lactobacillus paracasei N2 against multi-antibiotics-resistant pathogens isolated from braised fish. *Fermentation* 9: 646. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation9070646
- 57. Fatima F, Singh V (2022) Assessment of antibacterial properties of electrospun fish collagen/poly (vinyl) alcohol nanofibers with biosurfactant rhamnolipid. *Mater Today: Proc* 67: 187–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.06.286
- 58. Dejwatthanakomol C, Anuntagool J, Morikawa M, et al. (2016) Production of biosurfactant by Wickerhamomyces anomalus PY189 and its application in lemongrass oil encapsulation. J Qual Res 42: 252–258. https://doi.org/10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2016.42.252
- Garg M, Priyanka, Chatterjee M (2018) Isolation, characterization and antibacterial effect of biosurfactant from Candida parapsilosis. *Biotechnol Rep* 18: e00251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2018.e00251
- 60. Ashraf A, Ahmed AA, Fatma I, et al. (2019) Characterization and bioactivities of Lactobacillus plantarum and Pediococcus acidilactici isolated from meat and meat products. *Nature Sci* 17: 187–193.
- 61. Kaveh S, Hashemi SMB, Abedi E, et al. (2023) Bio-preservation of meat and fermented meat products by lactic acid bacteria strains and their antibacterial metabolites. *Sustainability* 15: 10154. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310154
- 62. Barrantes K, Araya JJ, Chacón L, et al. (2021) Chapter 11—Antiviral, antimicrobial, and antibiofilm properties of biosurfactants. In: Sarma H, Prasad MNV (Eds.), *Biosurfactants for a Sustainable Future: Production and Applications in the Environment and Biomedicine*, 245–268. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119671022.ch11
- 63. Qi G, Zhu F, Du P, et al. (2010) Lipopeptide induces apoptosis in fungal cells by a mitochondriadependent pathway. *Peptides* 31: 1978–1986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2010.08.003

- 64. Ekprasert J, Kanakai S, Yosprasong S (3920) Improved biosurfactant production by B14, stability studies, and its antimicrobial activity. *Pol J Microbiol* 69: 273–282. https://doi.org/10.33073/pjm-2020-030
- Zhou C, Wang F, Chen H, et al. (2016) Selective Antimicrobial Activities and Action Mechanism of Micelles Self-Assembled by Cationic Oligomeric Surfactants. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 8: 4242–4249. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.5b12688
- 66. Shahbazi M, Jäger H, Ettelaie R, et al. (2021) Construction of 3D printed reduced-fat meat analogue by emulsion gels. Part I: Flow behavior, thixotropic feature, and network structure of soy protein-based inks. *Food Hydrocolloids* 120: 106967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106967
- 67. Wen Y, Chao C, Che QT, et al. (2023) Development of plant-based meat analogs using 3D printing: Status and opportunities. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 132: 76–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.12.010
- 68. Cruz Mendoza I, Villavicencio-Vasquez M, Aguayo P, et al. (2022) Biosurfactant from Bacillus subtilis DS03: Properties and application in cleaning out place system in a pilot sausages processing. *Microorganisms* 10: 1518. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10081518
- 69. Silveira VAI, Marim BM, Hipólito A, et al. (2020) Characterization and antimicrobial properties of bioactive packaging films based on polylactic acid-sophorolipid for the control of foodborne pathogens. *Food Packag Shelf Life* 26: 100591. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2020.100591
- 70. Hmidet N, Jemil N, Ouerfelli M, et al. (2020) Antioxidant properties of Enterobacter cloacae C3 lipopeptides in vitro and in model food emulsion. J Food Proc Preserv 44: e14337. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14337
- Jemil N, Ouerfelli M, Almajano MP, et al. (2020) The conservative effects of lipopeptides from Bacillus methylotrophicus DCS1 on sunflower oil-in-water emulsion and raw beef patties quality. *Food Chem* 303: 125364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125364
- 72. Kaiser TR, Agonilha DB, de Araújo Rocha R, et al. (2023) Effects of incorporation of sophorolipids on the texture profile, microbiological quality and oxidative stability of chicken sausages. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 58: 4397–4403. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.16545
- Sedaghat Doost A, Van Camp J, Dewettinck K, et al. (2019) Production of thymol nanoemulsions stabilized using Quillaja Saponin as a biosurfactant: Antioxidant activity enhancement. *Food Chem* 293: 134–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.04.090
- 74. Merghni A, Dallel I, Noumi E, et al. (2017) Antioxidant and antiproliferative potential of biosurfactants isolated from Lactobacillus casei and their anti-biofilm effect in oral Staphylococcus aureus strains. *Microb Pathog* 104: 84–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2017.01.017
- 75. Chandankere R, Ravikumar Y, Zabed HM, et al. (2020) Conversion of agroindustrial wastes to rhamnolipid by Enterobacter sp. UJS-RC and its role against biofilm-forming foodborne pathogens. *J Agric Food Chem* 68: 15478–15489. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c05028
- 76. Wang H, Wang H, Xing T, et al. (2016) Removal of Salmonella biofilm formed under meat processing environment by surfactant in combination with bio-enzyme. *LWT-Food Sci Technol* 66: 298–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.10.049
- 77. Ben Ayed H, Bardaa S, Moalla D, et al. (2015) Wound healing and in vitro antioxidant activities of lipopeptides mixture produced by Bacillus mojavensis A21. *Proc Biochem* 50: 1023–1030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2015.02.019

- 78. Abdollahi S, Tofighi Z, Babaee T, et al. (2020) Evaluation of anti-oxidant and anti-biofilm activities of biogenic surfactants derived from Bacillus amyloliquefaciens and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Iran J Pharm Res* 19: 115–126.
- 79. Kyriakopoulou K, Keppler JK, van der Goot AJ (2021) Functionality of ingredients and additives in plant-based meat analogues. *Foods* 10: 600. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10030600
- de Souza Paglarini C, de Figueiredo Furtado G, Biachi JP, et al. (2018) Functional emulsion gels with potential application in meat products. J Food Eng 222: 29–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2017.10.026
- Santhi D, Kalaikannan A, Sureshkumar S (2017) Factors influencing meat emulsion properties and product texture: A review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 57: 2021–2027. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2013.858027
- 82. Guo J, Cui L, Meng Z (2023) Oleogels/emulsion gels as novel saturated fat replacers in meat products: A review. *Food Hydrocolloids* 137: 108313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2022.108313
- 83. Ren Y, Huang L, Zhang Y, et al. (2022) Application of emulsion gels as fat substitutes in meat products. *Foods* 11: 1950. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11131950
- 84. Serdaroğlu M, Nacak B, Karabıyıkoğlu M, et al. (2016) Effects of partial beef fat replacement with gelled emulsion on functional and quality properties of model system meat emulsions. *Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour* 36: 744–751. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2016.36.6.744
- 85. Ren Y, Huang L, Zhang Y, et al. (2022) Application of emulsion gels as fat substitutes in meat products. *Foods* 11: 1950. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11131950
- 86. Utama DT, Jeong H, Kim J, et al. (2018) Formula optimization of a Perilla-canola oil (O/W) emulsion and its potential application as an animal fat replacer in meat emulsion. *Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour* 38: 580–592.
- Zanutto TCN, Lourenço LA, Maass D (2023) Innovative and sustainable production processes for biosurfactants. In: Aslam R, Mobin M, Aslam J, et al. (Eds.), *Advancements in Biosurfactants Research*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 25–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21682-4\_2
- Alara OR, Abdurahman NH, Alara JA, et al. (2023) Biosurfactants as emulsifying agents in food formulation. In: Aslam R, Mobin M, Aslam J, et al. (Eds.), *Advancements in Biosurfactants Research*, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 157–170. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-21682-4\_8



© 2024 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)