

Impact of negative energy balance on transcriptomic profiles of three endometrial cell types isolated by laser capture microdissection in postpartum dairy cows

Wiruntita Chankeaw, Sandra Lignier, Christophe Richard, Theodoros Ntallaris, Mariam Raliou, Yongzhi Guo, Damien Plassard, Claudia Bevilacqua, Olivier Sandra, Goran Andersson, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Wiruntita Chankeaw, Sandra Lignier, Christophe Richard, Theodoros Ntallaris, Mariam Raliou, et al.. Impact of negative energy balance on transcriptomic profiles of three endometrial cell types isolated by laser capture microdissection in postpartum dairy cows. 2024. hal-04446339

HAL Id: hal-04446339 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04446339

Preprint submitted on 3 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Impact of negative energy balance on transcriptomic profiles of three endometrial cell types isolated by laser capture microdissection in postpartum dairy cows

Wiruntita Chankeaw

Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences

Sandra Lignier

Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, INRAE, BREED, 78350, Jouy-en Josas

Christophe Richard

Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, INRAE, BREED, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas

Theodoros Ntallaris

Department of clinical Sciences, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU, PO Box 7054, 750 07 Uppsala

Mariam Raliou

Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, INRAE, BREED, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas

Yongzhi Guo

Department of Clinical Sciences, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU, PO Box 7054, 750 07 Uppsala

Damien Plassard

GenomEast Platform CERBM GIE, IGBMC 67404 Illkirch cedex

Claudia Bevilacqua

Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, GABI, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas

Olivier Sandra

Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, INRAE, BREED, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas

Goran Andersson

Department of Clinical Sciences, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU, PO, Box 7054, 750 07 Uppsala

Patrice Humblot

Department of Clinical Sciences, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU, PO Box 7054, 750 07 Uppsala

Gilles Charpigny (gilles.charpigny@inrae.fr)

INRA https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3954-7663

Research article

Keywords: negative energy balance, endometrial cells, transcriptome, laser microdissection, 4 inflammation

Posted Date: June 29th, 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-36108/v1

License: © ④ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License Impact of negative energy balance on transcriptomic profiles of three
 endometrial cell types isolated by laser capture microdissection in
 postpartum dairy cows

5	Wiruntita Chankeaw ^{1,5} , Sandra Lignier ² , Christophe Richard ² , Theodoros Ntallaris ¹ , Mariam Raliou ² ,
6	Yongzhi Guo ¹ , Damien Plassard ⁵ , Claudia Bevilacqua ³ , Olivier Sandra ² , Göran Andersson ⁴ , Patrice
7	Humblot ¹ , Gilles Charpigny ^{2,7}
8	
9	¹ Department of Clinical Sciences, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU, PO Box 7054,
10	750 07 Uppsala, Sweden
11	² Université Paris-Saclay, UVSQ, INRAE, BREED, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas, France
12	³ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, GABI, 78350 Jouy en Josas, France
13	⁴ Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU,
14	PO Box 7023, 750 07 Uppsala, Sweden
15	⁵ Faculty of Veterinary Science, Rajamangala University of Technolgy Srivijaya (RUTS), Thungyai,

- 16 Nakhon si thammarat, 80240 Thailand
- ⁶GenomEast Platform CERBM GIE, IGBMC 67404 Illkirch Cedex France
- 18 ⁷ Corresponding author: gilles.charpigny@inrae.fr
- 19

1 Abstract

Background: In postpartum dairy cows, the energy needs to satisfy high milk production induces a more or less pronounced Negative Energy Balance (NEB) status. NEB associated with fat mobilization impairs reproductive function. This study investigated the specific impact of NEB on gene expression in the three main types of endometrial cells at time planned for insemination and implantation. Endometrial cell types (stromal, glandular and luminal epithelial cells) were isolated by laser micro-dissection allowing the study of constitutive gene expression and their specific response to NEB.

9 Methods: Nine Swedish Red cows receiving a control diet or a mild restricted diet to induce
10 differences of energy balance were categorized into mild (MNEB, n = 5) and severe negative energy
11 balance (SNEB, n = 4). The three endometrial cell types: luminal (LE), glandular (GE) epithelium and
12 stroma (ST) were collected by laser microdissection from endometrial biopsies performed at 80 days
13 postpartum.

Results: Transcriptome profiles obtained by RNA sequencing revealed differences in constitutive gene expression between the three cells types and also differences in specific responses related to the severity of NEB. Number of differentially expressed genes between SNEB and MNEB cows was higher in ST than in LE and GE, respectively. SNEB was associated with differential expression of genes related to metabolic processes and embryo-maternal interactions in ST. Under-expression of genes related to cell structure was found in GE whereas genes related to pro-inflammatory pathways were over-expressed. Genes associated to adaptive immunity were under-expressed in LE.

Conclusion: The three different main cells types of the endometrium, have very different patterns of gene expression. The severity of NEB after calving is associated with changes in gene expression at time of breeding. Specific alterations in GEs are associated with activation of pro-inflammatory mechanisms. Concomitantly, changes in the expression of genes related to cell to cell interactions and maternal recognition of pregnancy takes place in ST. The combination of these effects possibly altering the uterine environment and embryo maternal interactions may negatively influence the
 establishment of pregnancy.

Keywords: negative energy balance, endometrial cells, transcriptome, laser microdissection,
inflammation

1 Background

2 The existence of common genetic and epigenetic factors that influence metabolic imbalance, milk 3 production and reproductive performance have been raised for long [1] and are still an important topic 4 in dairy cow industry [2]. A significant decrease in fertility due to genetic improvement for increasing 5 milk production has been reported for decades in dairy cows [3, 4]. Despite a more balanced selection 6 is applied nowadays [5], high milk-yield cows still meet strong negative energy balance (NEB) during 7 the early postpartum period due to the high nutrient and energy demand for body metabolism, milk 8 production, and body weight maintenance [6]. Energy deficiency and excessive lipid mobilization 9 during the postpartum period have been reported to be the cause of unfavorable reproductive 10 performances such as delayed ovarian activity [7], prolonged uterine involution period [8], retained 11 placenta [9], endometritis [10], increased early embryonic losses and decreased conception rates [11]. 12 Previous studies also showed the impacts of metabolic imbalance on gene expression in the 13 endometrium during the early postpartum period [12, 13]. However, these studies were based on RNA 14 prepared from biopsies taken from endometrial tissue sections without discriminating between 15 different cell types. To our knowledge, constitutive gene expression and possible effects of metabolic 16 imbalance on the response of specific endometrial cell types at time of conception remains to be deciphered. 17

18 The uterus is the site of intensive tissue remodeling during the estrous cycle, at time of implantation 19 and placental development in response to the developing embryo [14]. Reciprocally, the control of the 20 endometrium on embryo development steps has been recently documented in mice [15]. In the cow, 21 histology of the endometrium shows a complex association of heterogeneous structures mainly 22 consisting of luminal epithelial cells (LE), glandular epithelial cells (GE) as well as fibroblast-like 23 stromal cells (ST) found in different proportions in caruncular and intercaruncular tissues [16]. These 24 three cell types are functionally responsible for the embryo implantation process under the control of 25 steroid hormones and act in different ways [17]. For instance, bovine uterine stromal cells synthesize and release prostaglandin E-2 (PGE-2), involved in maternal recognition of pregnancy, whereas 26 epithelial cells contribute less to such changes in prostaglandin levels [18]. Uterine epithelial cells 27

1 play key roles for the establishment and maintenance of pregnancy through activation of the innate 2 immune system and secretion of chemokines [19] that support the recruitment and activation of 3 immune cells directed against pathogens. Moreover, LE and GE exhibit unique molecular signatures having cooperative roles at time of establishment of pregnancy [16, 20, 21]. Their morphology [22] 4 5 and biochemical activity [23] differs at time of implantation. RNA-sequencing of the complete 6 transcriptome for the three cell types has been described for equine cells [24]. Laser capture 7 microdissection (LCM) has also been successfully used to retrieve two different uterine epithelial cell types to define the transcriptome and proteomic analysis of the ovine and porcine endometrium, 8 respectively [25, 26]. However, to our knowledge the transcriptomic profile of bovine endometrial 9 cells has not yet been documented. Previously published research, regarding the impact of NEB on 10 uterine function and endometrial transcriptome, suggests that NEB associated with elevated non-11 12 esterified fatty acids (NEFAs) concentrations induces infertility in postpartum cows through dysregulation of immune pathways [12]. However, the understanding of molecular changes induced 13 by NEB from entire endometrial tissues is still unclear and difficult to interpret functionally as 14 15 responses may be affected by other cell types such as endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells and 16 leukocytes [27]. In vitro studies have clearly shown that NEFAs stimulate pro-inflammatory cytokine 17 production and lipid accumulation of endometrial cells [28] and oviductal epithelial cells [29] but the 18 results from these in vitro models need to be confirmed in vivo.

We hypothesized here that NEB may differentially influence the physiology of three endometrial cell types. The objectives of the present study were *i*) to investigate transcriptomic profiles of luminal epithelial cells, glandular epithelial cells and stromal cells which were harvested by LCM, and *ii*) identify possible differences in the profiles between cows diagnosed with either mild or severe NEB during the postpartum period. The collection of endometrial biopsies was performed at time of planned AI and the observed changes in gene expression suggest the existence of long-term impacts of NEB that are cell type-specific.

1 **Results**

2 Body condition score (BCS) and plasma NEFA concentrations.

3 The evolution of residual feed intake with post-partum time in the two groups of cows is presented in 4 (Figure 1A). Throughout the full experimental period, the BCS of SRB cows in both NEB groups 5 tended to decrease (p = 0.08). Mean BCS was 3.65 ± 0.25 at start of the experiment and 3.05 ± 0.22 at 6 120 days postpartum. However, NEB did not have a significant effect on BCS (data not shown). 7 Plasma NEFA concentrations did not differ between NEB groups over the full experimental period. 8 However, SNEB cows presented higher NEFA plasma concentrations compared to MNEB cows at 9 Day 14 pre-partum and Day 14 post-partum (p < 0.05) (Figure.1B). BCS loss from 30 days precalving and 60 days post-calving was associated with the energy balance nadir (r = -0.68, p < 0.05). 10 NEFA concentrations tended to be significantly associated with the residual feed intake values (r = -11 0.28, p = 0.06). 12

13 RNA-Sequencing of cell type-specific samples collected by LCM.

14 The sequencing depth of RNA-seq libraries was in the range of 60 to 100 million reads per sample for 15 each endometrial cell type. A total of 22915 transcripts with a unique Identifier were found. Salmon's 16 method provides both read counts and TPM (transcripts per million), and the latter expression is more appropriate when comparing relative abundance between different cell types or tissues [30]. Before 17 18 comparing the differences in gene expression between the endometrial cell types, transcripts whose 19 average value computed from biological replicates were less than 10 TPM were regarded as biological 20 background noise, partly independent of transcription regulation and discarded. The number of expressed genes detected (higher than 10 TPM) was 6622, 7814 and 8242 for luminal epithelial cells 21 22 (LE), glandular epithelium (GE) and stromal cells (ST), respectively (Figure 2A). In the RNA-Seq 23 analysis, the highest number of detectable expressed genes (8242) in the LCM datasets was obtained for ST and the lowest number of detectable genes (6622) was observed for LE. As displayed on the 24 Venn diagram (Figure 2A), 5672 genes were expressed by all the three cell types. A total of 1236 25 genes were expressed exclusively by ST cells, which represents 15% of all genes expressed by this 26

1 type of cell, while only 551 (7% of all genes expressed) transcripts were specific to the GE cells and 2 330 (5%) transcripts specific to LE cell. The lists of genes specifically expressed by each cell type are 3 provided in additional file (TableS1 TS1-LE TS2-GE TS3-ST.xlsx). An overview of the GO terms associated to genes specifically expressed by each cellular type is visualized in Figure.3. The list of 4 5 5672 genes expressed in common between the three cell types was used as a reference list for 6 PANTHER overrepresentation tests. Over and under-represented GO terms for biological process 7 were visualized using REVIGO algorithm to reduce term redundancy (corresponding tables of GO terms are provided in additional file (TableS2 GO-REVIGO05 TS1-TS2-TS3.xlsx). Respectively 97, 8 9 14 and 13 clusters of GO terms were over-represented in ST, GE and LE cells whereas 45, 11 and 8 were under-represented. Numerous metabolic processes were under-represented in the three lists of 10 genes specifically expressed by each cell type which means that the genes involved in metabolism are 11 12 shared genes. For ST, over-represented biological processes included many regulation processes and response to stimulus, cell communication and cell adhesion, extracellular matrix organization as well 13 14 as developmental process and wound healing. For GE, cilium organization, cilium movement, protein 15 localization to cilium and microtubule-based process were only the four main biological processes 16 enriched. For LE, over-represented biological processes were enzyme linked receptor protein signalling pathway, cell-substrate adhesion, circulatory system process and activation of adenylate 17 18 cyclase activity.

19 Heatmap (Figure 2B) illustrates hierarchical clustering obtained with samples and genes. The clustering unambiguously joins samples of each cell-type. The most expressed genes for each cell 20 type are highlighted and framed by boxes (Figure 2B). The corresponding statistical analyses revealed 21 that 8360 genes were differentially expressed (adjusted p value < 0.05) between GE and LE cells 22 23 (2666 genes greater expressed in GE vs. 5694 in LE). The expression of 10761 genes differs between ST and LE (4298 genes more expressed in ST vs. 6463 more expressed in LE). The level of 24 expression of 10003 genes differs between GE and ST (2900 genes more expressed in GE vs. 7103 in 25 ST). 26

The principal component analysis also reveals a clear separation of the samples from the three cell types (Figure 2C). The first two dimensions explain 80% of the variability. The first dimension distinguishes epithelial cells from ST whereas the variation associated to the second dimension relates to differences of expression between GE and LE. Supplementary tables (Table_S3_PCA_tables.xlsx; sheets TS4 and TS5 for the first dimension, sheets TS6 and TS7 for the second dimension) show the most characteristic genes according to each dimension (correlation coefficient >|0.9|at p<0.01 for dimension 1 and >|0.8| at p<0.01 for dimension-2).

8 Dimension-1 corresponds to a significant over-representation in ST of genes involved in extracellular 9 matrix organization (GO: 0043062) and in integrin signalling pathway (P00034). These genes encode proteins that are compounds of the extracellular region (GO: 0005576) and are represented by an 10 important group of collagen coding genes (COL1A2, COL1A1, COL16A1, COL5A2, COL3A1) and by 11 SULF1 and ECM2. Genes encoding proteins involved in protein binding, CDH11, ADAMTS1, FAP, 12 SERPING1 and SFRP1, are also associated to ST. Finally, a set of metallopeptidases and other 13 proteases coding genes (such as ARHGAP10, MMP9, MMP19, C1R and C1S) that are complementary 14 15 to the previous ones for hydrolase activity (GO: 0016787) and tissue remodeling are also more expressed in ST. Both GE and LE are characterized by an over-representation for a first group of 16 genes involved in cell junction (GO: 0030054) including EPCAM (epithelial adhesion molecule), 17 18 CDH1 (cadherin-1), ITGB6 (integrin beta 6), DSP (desmoplakin) and MYO5B (myosin-VB). Other 19 genes encoding proteins involved in binding are associated with the epithelial type (RHPN2, rhophilin-2, DYNCII). Numerous genes over-expressed in epithelial cells are also closely associated 20 to cellular response to stimulus (GO: 0051715) and signal transduction (GO: 0007165; RAB25, 21 F2RL1, ITGB6, LPAR3, KSR2 and ERBB3). In addition, a large number of genes are involved in 22 23 catalytic activity (GO: 0003824) such as enzymes of metabolism GPT2, PLA2G4A, AKR1B and IDH1. Others genes are associated to EGF signalling pathway (P00018), cell proliferation (MAPK13, 24 25 PEBP4, ERBB3, CCNA1 and RAB25) and transcription regulator activity (GO: 0140110; DLX5, IRF6, KLF5, OCLN, HNF1B and EHF). 26

1 When analyzing differences in expression between types of cells related to the second dimension, a 2 set of 69 genes is over-represented in GE vs LE. An important part of these genes associates to 3 structural cell organization. This includes genes such as actin-binding VIL1 (villin-1) and numerous other encoding proteins involved in microtubule organization (GO: 0007017) including members of 4 5 the dynein complex DNAH5 (dynein heavy chain 5), WDR63 (wd repeat containing protein 63), 6 CCDC65 (dynein regulatory complex subunit), DRC1 (dynein regulatory complex protein 1) and 7 RSPH4A (radial spoke head protein 4). In this category, one gap junction (GJB5) and 2 tight junctions (CLDN10 and CLDN8) are specifically over-expressed in glandular epithelial cells. A complementary 8 9 set of genes over-represented in GE relates also to binding (GO: 0005488) including protein binding (GO: 0005515), signalling receptor binding (GO: 00051102) and calcium binding (IHH), WIF1 and 10 S100B. Relatively few genes were more expressed in LE, the majority of them coding for proteins 11 12 with catalytic activity (GO: 0003824) including hydrolase (BACE2, RCAN1, TINAGL and LCAT) and transferase (GPCRC5A and LCAT) activities. LE are also enriched in specific receptor related G-13 14 protein such as HCRTR1 and GPRC5A (G-protein coupled receptors for orexin and retinoic acid) and 15 receptor SFRP4 which modulates Wnt signalling.

16 Differential gene expression between the three endometrial cell types in NEB cows

The principal component analysis reveals differences in gene expression patterns in MNEB and SNEB cows for the three cell types (Figure 4A). A clear separation between samples issued from the two groups of cows is observed in ST, whereas overlapping gene expression patterns appears in GE and LE. The numbers of differentially expressed genes between MNEB and SNEB cows for each endometrial cell type are given in Table 1 and in the Venn diagram (Figure 4B). The total number of DEGs in ST, GE and LE when comparing SNEB cows to MNEB cows were 1049, 24 and 52.

23

24

Expression		Cell types	
Expression	ST	GE	LE
Over	751	15	1
Under	298	9	51
Total	1049	24	52

Table 1: Number of DEGs, which were identified as being over- or under-expressed, presented in specific endometrial cell types (ST, GE and LE) of SNEB cows when compared to MNEB cows

Seven DEGs are found as common in ST and GE: BTG Anti-Proliferation Factor 2 (BTG2), 5 6 Lymphocyte Antigen 6 Family Member G6C (LY6G6C), C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 4 (CCL4) 7 and JunB Proto-Oncogene, AP-1 Transcription Factor Subunit (JUNB), chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 8 3 (CCL3), chromobox protein homolog 1 and one pseudogene (ENSBTAG00000047824). Three 9 DEGs are common between ST and LE: CRK Proto-Oncogene, Adaptor Protein (CRK), Plexin 10 Domain Containing 1 (PLXDC) and Myotubularin related protein 10 (MTMR10). None of the genes 11 are common to all three cell types. The list of over- and under-expressed mRNAs in ST, GE and LE in sheets S8. S9 and S10 respectively of the additional 12 are given file (TableS4 TS8 TS9 TS10 DEG-SNEBvsMNEB.xlsx). In SNEB animals, a large proportion of 13 14 DEGs were identified as over-expressed in ST (72%) and GE (63%) whereas almost all DEGs were under-expressed in LE (98%) (Table 1). An overview of the differential patterns of gene expression in 15 ST, GE, and LE obtained by LCM between SNEB and MNEB cows are illustrated in volcano plots 16 17 (Figure 5A to 5C).

18 Under-expressed genes in ST (Table 2 and supplemental TableS5_david_ST-underexpressed.pdf)

Either by using the statistical over-representation test from PANTHER with reactome pathways annotation or by browsing pathways ontology classification, the analysis detected four main significant pathways from the 298 under-expressed genes. A first group of genes encode proteins that are involved in the regulation of interferon signalling as well as in inflammation mediated by chemokine and cytokine (P00031) (*RAPGEF1*, *MX1*, *EIF2AK2*, *UBA7*, *ISG15*, *PTPN2*, *MX2*, 1 DDX58, IL1RAP, IL16, CRK, IFIT1, STAT1, IFNGR2, JAK1, STX3, NFATC1 and ALOX12). A 2 second important group of under-expressed genes code for proteins with functions associated with the 3 extracellular matrix and its degradation (KLK1, TPSB1, COL4A4, COL2A1, MMP19, NID1, COL6A6, COL4A3 and COL26A1). A third group of genes code for proteins related to Wnt signalling pathway 4 (P00057) (CDH11, TLE4, LEF1, NFATC1, PRKCH, SMARCD2 and FBXW7). In addition, genes of 5 6 integrin signalling pathway (P00034) are over-represented including ITGA5, ITGA10, RAPGEF1, 7 MAP3K5 and CRK. Around 10% of under-expressed genes in ST from SNEB animals are genes involved in signal transduction (GO: 0007165) and cellular response to stimulus (GO: 0051716). 8



		annotation terms	genes (number)		
	Regulation of IFNG signaling (R-BTA-877312)				
	Cytokine Sig	naling in Immune system (R-BTA-1280215)	16*		
	Antiviral me	chanism by IFN-stimulated genes(R-BTA-1169410)			
	Extracellular matrix organisation (R-BTA-1474244)				
PANTHER pathways	Collagen ch	ain trimerization (R-BTA-8948216)	9		
paanajo	Wnt signalin	g pathway (P00057)	8		
	Integrin sign	aling pathway (P00034)	9		
	Cadherin sig	naling pathway (P00012)	6		
	Apoptosis signaling pathway (P00006)				
	Binding (GO:0005488)				
		> protein binding (GO: 0005515)	53		
		>cytoskeletal protein binding (GO: 0008092)			
PANTHER	>signaling receptor binding (GO: 0005102)				
molecular	>enzyme binding (GO: 0019899)				
function		>cell adhesion molecule binding (GO: 0050839)	5		
	Catalytic act	ivity (GO:0003824)	83		
		>transferase activity (GO: 0016740)	36		
		>hydrolase activity (GO: 0016787)	35		
	cluster 1	GO:0005887, integral component of plasma membrane	Q		
DAVID (6.8)	GUSIELI	G-protein coupled receptor	3		
clusters	cluster 2	Immunoglobulin-like domain	6		
	cluster 3	GO: 0016021 integral component of membrane	27		

¹⁰

Table 2: Gene Functional Classification Result (PANTHER 14.1 and DAVID 6.8) of under-expressed genes 11 12 13 in ST cells from SNEB animals. Main pathways and ontology annotation groups are shown. Asterix

^{*} indicates the significant (FDR P<0.05) over-representation statistical test.

Functional classification using DAVID identifies also a first cluster of nine genes encoding proteins including mainly G-protein coupled receptors (GO: 0005887; integral component of plasma membrane), which were under-expressed in ST from SNEB. Six genes encoding membrane proteins with immunoglobulin-like domains and related to cytokine are part of a second cluster and a last group includes 28 genes coding for component of membrane.

6 Over-expressed genes in ST (Table 3 and additional TableS6_david_ST-overexpressed.pdf)

7 The analysis from the GO molecular function annotation of PANTHER database indicates that 50% 8 of the over-expressed genes from SNEB ST samples are distributed in three main categories: binding 9 (GO:0005488) (n=186), catalytic activity (GO: 0003824) (n=130) and transporter activity 10 (GO:0005215) (n=52). Binding categories includes cytoskeletal protein binding (GO: 0008092) (n=17), enzyme binding (GO: 0019899) (n=24) and signalling receptor binding (GO: 11 0005102)(n=21). Catalytic activity class includes genes involved in hydrolase activity (GO: 0016787) 12 13 (n=57) and transferase activity (GO: 0016740) (n=47). In the transporter activity category 92% of 14 genes are related to transmembrane transporter activity (GO: 0022857) and 8% to lipid transporter 15 activity (GO: 0005319). Considering the PANTHER classification based on biological process annotation, the most frequently reported GO terms are cellular process (GO: 0009987; n=230), cell 16 proliferation (GO: 0008283; n=105), metabolic process (GO: 0008152; n=101) and localization (GO: 17 18 0051179; n=72).

The analysis from PANTHER pathways revealed that genes from three significant pathways are overrepresented in ST from SNEB vs MNEB cows including: (i) genes related to inflammation mediated
by chemokine and cytokine signalling pathway (P00031; *CAMK2B, PLCB4, PRKCZ, PAK4, MYH14, JUNB, ACTA1, MYH11, CCL4, CCL3, ITPR2, PLCH1* and *CCL11*), (ii) genes involved in Wnt
signalling pathway (P00057; *FZD5, PLCB4, CDH3, PRKCZ, CDH1, ACTA1, CTBP2, ITPR2, FRZB,*and *ANKRD6*) and (iii) genes associated to integrin signalling pathway (P00034; *ITGB4, FRK, RAP2A, ITGB6, FLNA, COL4A6, ACTA1, FLNB* and *COL4A5*). In addition, a positive enrichment

was detected for genes related to the sequestration of calcium ion (GO: 0015278) and for genes
 related to cytoskeleton, dynein complex and axoneme.

3 Using medium stringency for functional classification of genes, DAVID further identified 15 clusters. 4 According to ranking from enrichment score the top 11 main clusters group include (i) five genes 5 involved in microtubule and axoneme assembly (GO: 0005874, microtubule; cilium; axoneme), (ii) 6 15 genes related to homeodomain (GO: 0043565), (iii) four genes of myosin complex (GO:0016459), 7 (iv) 6 genes for calcium ion binding, (v) nine genes related to ankirin repeat, (vi) five genes for 8 regulation of Rho protein signal transduction (GO: 0005089), (vii) 13 genes related to extracellular 9 region of the cell, (viii) seven genes for nucleotide and mRNA binding (GO: 0000166), (ix) four genes for protein kinase activity (GO: 0004672), (x) 11 genes related to products being integral 10 components of plasma membrane (GO: 0005887) and (xi) 109 genes coding for membrane associated 11 proteins (GO: 0016021). 12

	annotation terms	genes (number)		
	Inflammation mediated by chemokine and cytokine signaling pathway (P00031)			
PANTHER pathways	Wnt signaling pathway (P00057)	12		
paimajo	Integrin signalling pathway (P00034)	11		
	protein binding	121		
	>cytoskeletal protein binding (GO: 0008092)	18		
	>enzyme binding (GO: 0019899)	25		
	>signaling receptor binding (GO: 0005102)	22		
	catalytic activity	130		
PANTHER	>hydrolase activity (GO: 0016787)	57		
molecular	>transferase activity (GO: 0016740)	47		
function	>oxidoreductase activity (GO: 0016491)	17		
	transporter activity (GO: 0005215)	52		
	>transmembrane transporter activity (GO: 0022857)	48		
	calcium-release channel activity (GO: 0015278)	5 *		
	sequestering of calcium ion (GO: 0051208)	8 *		
	plasma membrane bounded cell projection cytoplasm (GO: 0032838)	10 *		
PANTHER cellular component	cytoskeleton (GO: 0005856)	45 *		
DAVID (6.8)	cluster 1 microtubule ; Cilium ; axoneme (GO: 0005874)	5		

clusters	cluster 2	sequence-specific DNA binding ; Homeodomain (GO: 0043565)	15
	cluster 3	myosin complex (GO: 0016459)	4
	cluster 4	calcium ion binding (GO: 0005509)	6
	cluster 5	Ankyrin repeat	9
	cluster 6	Rho guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity (GO: 0005089)	5
	cluster 7	extracellular region (GO: 0005576)	13
	cluster 8	nucleotide binding ; RNA recognition motif domain (GO: 0000166)	7
	cluster 9	protein kinase activity (GO: 0004672)	4
	cluster 10	integral component of membrane (GO: 0016021)	130
	cluster 11	GTP binding (GO: 000552)	4
	cluster 12	Immunoglobulin-like domain	7

1 2 3 4 5

Table 3: Gene Functional Classification Result (PANTHER 14.1 and DAVID 6.8) of over-expressed genes in ST cells from SNEB animals. Main pathways and ontology annotation groups are shown. Asterix * indicates the significant (FDR P<0.05) over-representation statistical test (only positive enrichment is shown) 6

7 Differential expression in GE (Table 4, Table 5 and additional TableS7_david_GE-8 overexpressed.pdf)

9 Only seven known genes are under-expressed in GE cells from SNEB cows when compared to 10 MNEB ones (CDH18, PPP1R1C, LY6G6C, MT1E, ASB16, PROM2 and SESN2). Four are related to 11 binding functions (CDH18, PROM2, SESN and MT1E) and/or to cell surface component (CDH18, PROM2 and LY6G6C). Due to the very small number of under-expressed genes, no functional cluster 12 is identified from DAVID. Among the 15 over-expressed genes, two pathways are over-represented. 13 14 These genes are equivalently present in two of the three clusters defined by DAVID. Four genes (JUNB, CCL2, CCL4 and CCL3) relates to inflammation mediated by chemokine and cytokine 15 signalling pathway (P00031). Three genes encoding immediate-early transcription factors (FOS, 16 JUNB and ATF3) are over-expressed and associated with two annotation terms: RNA polymerase II 17 proximal promoter sequence-specific DNA binding (GO: 0000978) and Gonadotropin-releasing 18 19 hormone receptor pathway (P06664).

20

_	annotation terms	genes (number)
PANTHER	binding (GO: 0005488)	4
function	catalytic activity (GO: 0003824)	1
PANTHER Cellular component	cell surface (GO: 0009986)	3*
DAVID (6.8)	no cluster	

Table 4: Gene Functional Classification Result (PANTHER 14.1 and DAVID 6.8) of under-expressed genes
 in GE cells from SNEB animals. Main pathways and ontology annotation groups are shown. Asterix
 * indicates the significant (FDR P<0.05) over-representation statistical test.

		annotation terms	genes (number)	
	RNA polyr (GO:0000	nerase II proximal promoter sequence-specific DNA binding 978)	3*	
	Inflammat	ion mediated by chemokine and cytokine signaling pathway (P00031)		
PANTHER Molecular	cytokine activity (GO: 0005125); cytokine receptor binding (GO: 0005126)			
function and	Gonadotro	Gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor pathway (P06664)		
pathway	protein binding (GO: 0005515)		7	
	heterocyc	lic compound binding (GO: 1901363)	4	
	catalytic a	ctivity (GO: 0003824)	5	
	cluster 1	positive regulation of inflammatory response (GO: 0050729) ; chemokine-mediated signaling pathway (GO: 0070098)	3	
DAVID (6.8)	cluster 2	RNA polymerase II core promoter proximal region sequence-specific DNA binding (GO: 0000978)	4	
	cluster 3	integral component of membrane (GO: 0016021)	3	

²

Table 5: Gene Functional Classification Result (PANTHER 14.1 and DAVID 6.8) of over-expressed genes
 in GE cells from SNEB animals. Main pathways and ontology annotation groups are shown. Asterix
 * indicates the significant (FDR P<0.05) over-representation statistical test (only positive enrichment is
 shown)

7 Differential expression in LE (Table 6 and additional TableS8_david_LE-underexpressed.pdf)

8 In LE samples, only B4GALT5 is over-expressed in SNEB. No significant enriched GO terms is

9 related to the 55 under-expressed DEGs at FDR p value <0.05. By raising the FDR p value at 0.25,

10 over-represented DEGs corresponds to biological processes associated with complement activation, B

11 cell mediated immunity, defense response to bacterium, cell differentiation and cellular component

12 link with plasma membrane and organelle.

			13
		annotation terms	genes (number)
	binding (GO	:0005488)	13
PANTHER	catalytic act	ivity (GO:0003824)	11
Function	molecular tr	ansducer activity (GO:0060089)	5 15
	molecular fu	inction regulator (GO:0098772)	⁴ 16
DAVID (6.8)	cluster 1	integral component of membrane (GO:0016021)	11
			17

18 Table 6: Gene Functional Classification Result (PANTHER 14.1 and DAVID 6.8) of under-expressed genes

19 in LE cells from SNEB animals. Main pathways and ontology annotation groups are shown. Asterix

20 * indicates the significant (FDR P<0.05) over-representation statistical test (only positive enrichment is

21 shown)

cell type	under/over	KEGG Pathway Id	pathway name	genes
	over- expressed	map04020	calcium signaling	P2RX3, ITPKA, ITPR2, CHRM3, ERBB3, CAMK2B, PLN, PLCB4, SLC25A4, HTR2A, MYLK, ADCY8, TACR1, PTGFR, PTGER3, RYR3, GRIN1
Stromal cells		map04530	tight junction	OCLN, IGSF5, MYH14, CLDN23, PRKCZ, MYH11, CGN, TJP3, LLGL2, CLDN8, CLDN3
	under- expressed	map05162 map05164	measles and influenza A	JAK1, DDX58, ADAR, STAT1, IFIH1, EIF2AK2, IFNGR2, MX1, OAS1Z, OAS1Y, IRF7
Glandular cells	over- expressed	map04010	TNF signaling	FOS, SOCS3, JUNB, CCL2

Table 7: The significant KEGG pathways with over- or under-expressed DEGs for three endometrial cell types (ST, GE and LE) were identified using DAVID database (adjusted p-value < 0.05).

4 KEGG pathway analysis of the DEGs.

5 Significantly enriched KEGG pathways from DAVID database were found in GE and ST, whereas no 6 significant KEGG pathway was detected in LE. In ST cells, DEGs between SNEB and MNEB cows 7 were significantly enriched in four different KEGG pathways. 25 KEGG pathways were recognized 8 by David with the overexpressed genes. Two were found significantly enriched. They are related to 9 calcium signalling pathway (KEGG map04020, fold enrichment = 3.4; 17 DEGs) and tight junctions 10 (KEGG map04530; fold enrichment = 4.8; 11DEGs. With under-expressed DEGs, two KEGG pathways associated with viral infectious diseases (KEGG "measles" map05162 and KEGG 11 12 "Influenza A" map05164; fold enrichment respectively = 5.0 and 4.1; 11 DEGs) are overrepresented (Table 7). The names of these two KEGG pathways do not make sense with endometrial physiology. 13 14 The genes of these pathways are known to be important partners of interferon signalling that is a 15 critical mechanism for establishment of pregnancy (reactome pathways: BTA-913531, BTA-877312). 16 For glandular epithelium, over-expressed DEGs matched to 10 overrepresented KEGG pathways. The KEGG TNF signalling pathway (KEGG map04010) was the only one found to be significantly 17 enriched (Fold enrichment = 21.5). In contrast, no enriched KEGG pathways were found from the set 18 19 of under-expressed DEGs.

The corresponding STRING-generated interaction network obtained from DEGs belonging to the 5
 KEGG pathways associated to ST and GE cells revealed strong interactions (PPI enrichment value < 1.0E-16) between these sets of DEGs that are related to the JAK/STAT signalling (Figure 6).

4 **DISCUSSION**

5 During negative energy balance (NEB), lipolysis in adipose tissue is increased resulting in decreased 6 BCS and increased NEFAs in blood [31]. Changes in BCS and NEFA concentrations were correlated 7 with NEB nadir and plasma NEFA concentrations in SNEB cows were greater than in MNEB cows in 8 the prepartum and early post-partum. Both observations are consistent with earlier findings [32] and 9 shows that the two groups were in a different metabolic status before and during the two first weeks 10 post-partum. The impacts of NEB on bovine reproductive performances are well documented [33]. A wealth of information illustrates the negative effects of NEB and NEFA on ovarian cells [34], 11 embryos [35] and oviduct [36]. On the contrary, relatively few publications have reported effects of 12 13 NEB on the endometrial tissue or cells. In vivo studies showed that NEB had negative impacts on endometrial function through the alteration of immune response and activation of pro-inflammatory 14 and IGF-insulin signalling pathways [37, 38]. However, in those studies information was obtained 15 from full tissue and to our knowledge, the present study is the first time that the specific effects of 16 17 NEB on the three main cell types of the endometrium are reported.

18 Transcriptome of the three endometrial cell types

19 Our results fully confirm that stromal cells, glandular and luminal epithelial cells reveal specific 20 molecular signatures as documented before in studies using LCM in human [39], sheep [26] and horse [40]. Our results based on biopsies collected in the luteal phase, have shown that a higher number of 21 22 genes with a strong constitutive expression in stromal cells compared with epithelial cells (either 23 glandular or luminal) are different from the expression pattern observed at the beginning of pregnancy [40]. This may result from differences between species but could also reveal the changes induced by 24 25 the conceptus on the endometrial transcriptome previously reported from full tissue [37, 41] and 26 epithelial cells [26].

1 Using a cut-off of 10 TPM, different numbers of genes were expressed in the three endometrial cell 2 types. ST expressed 5% and 25% more genes than GE and LE, respectively. However, as reported 3 before from a large variety of tissues [42], and the three laser-dissected cell types of porcine endometrium [43], our results confirm that a high number of genes are expressed in common in 4 5 different endometrial cell types. In the present study, 70 to 85% of genes were expressed in all cells 6 suggesting either "house-keeping" functions or genes encoding proteins with functions common to the 7 endometrium while lower proportions (5%, 7% and 15% for LE, GE and ST, respectively) were restricted to each cell type indicating that they code for proteins supporting the functional specialized 8 9 signature of each cell type. When compared to porcine endometrium [43], the number of genes showing cell-specific expression is in the same order of magnitude for GE and LE, but appears 10 11 different for ST cells where this number is ten times higher. These differences in specific expression 12 between cell types, especially the large number of functions enriched in ST are well reflected by the 13 **REVIGO** analysis (Figure 4).

14 Regardless of the cut off chosen and related limitations, these studies illustrate huge differences in the 15 gene expression patterns between cell types corresponding to specialized functions. This confirms that 16 separating cell types is more appropriate and possibly less biased to decipher the impacts of any factor on a given tissue than former approaches based on full tissue. The clear clustering obtained when 17 18 analysing the full transcriptome, indicates that luminal and glandular epithelial cells are closely 19 related. These similarities may reflect common functional properties and/or may be related to the 20 common epithelial nature of these cells. The genes associated with GE and LE, which distinguish these two epithelial cells from stromal cells, are all related to GO terms typical of epithelia (GO: 21 0030855, epithelial cell differentiation; GO: 0060429, epithelium development; GO: 0045216, cell-22 23 cell junction organization). Examples are given below for critical genes previously cited as key 24 regulators of endometrial epithelial cells. CDH1 is involved in organization of epithelium in mouse 25 and its ablation causes the absence of endometrial glands. Occludin is an important protein for tight 26 junction assembly which preserves the epithelial barrier function. The REVIGO analysis showed that 27 in both epithelial cell types, genes encoding proteins related to metabolism were under-represented.

On the contrary, genes related to cilium function are enriched in GE, whereas those involved in binding/ receptor function and adhesion are over-represented in LE. In addition, LE cells differentiate from GE by the expression of genes like *CYP26A1*, that encodes a key enzyme of trans retinoic acid inactivation, already shown as strongly expressed in luminal epithelial cells of rat endometrium and playing a role in embryo implantation [44]. Endometrial expression of *HCTR1* has been reported to be, with its main ligand orexin-A, an important local regulator of endometrial functions in porcine uterus [45, 46].

8 As mentioned above for GE and LE, the REVIGO analysis showed that genes involved in metabolism 9 were also under-represented in ST. In contrast, a very large number of functions including but not 10 limited to, cell structure, angiogenesis, extra cellular matrix and immunity are enriched in ST whereas 11 a lack of strong expression of these genes is observed in GE and LE. As awaited, among the genes 12 most discriminating stromal cells, those involved in the production of extracellular matrix and 13 collagen are highly represented in ST. COL1A2, COL3A1, COL7A1 and COL3A3 encode proteins that are involved in dynamic remodeling of endometrial extracellular matrix in cattle and regulate embryo 14 15 receptivity [47]. Our data identified genes associated with extracellular matrix organization that had not been previously described in bovine endometrium including LOXL2, responsible for the cross-16 linking of collagen and elastin [48], ECM2 involved in the regulation of cell proliferation and 17 18 differentiation [49] and CRISPDL Lknown to regulate extracellular matrix and branching 19 morphogenesis [50]. These genes encode proteins that may have an important role in the formation of 20 glands and vasculature in bovine endometrium as well as WT1, already known to be preferentially 21 expressed in stromal endometrial cells [51, 52].

We identify here also original genes related to stromal cell differentiation and cell migration such as *CDH11, PRELP, THY1* (the latter encoding a stem cell marker) [53], *GJA1* [54], *OSR2* [55], *P4HA3. PRLEP* gene expression has been reported to be regulated by the embryo in the bovine oviduct [56]. Contrary to the porcine endometrium where its expression was located in epithelial cells, *NTRK2* was mainly expressed here in stromal cells [57]. The expression of the NTRK2 gene, which encodes the receptor of brain derived neurotrophic factor, is conserved in mammalian uterus but its signalling 1 function is not yet understood in the female reproductive system [58]. Genes known to be key 2 regulators of uterine receptivity in different species such as, HOXA10 and HOXA11 belong also to the 3 top list of 50 genes which characterize ST (human [59], mice [60] and goat [61]). This list includes CALPAIN7 [62] and SNAI2 [63] which are involved in embryo attachment and implantation and the 4 5 disintegrins and metalloproteases ADAMTS1 and ADAM23 which are genes encoding key molecules 6 for bovine endometrial remodelling [64]. In addition, a group of stromal genes including SERPING1 7 [65], C1R, C1S [66], SFRP1 and IGF1 are involved in embryo maternal immune modulation and IFN 8 response.

9 Finally, among these first 50 genes that best separate ST from epithelial cells, numerous ones have not
10 been described so far in the mammalian endometrium. For instance, we could not find any
11 information on the expression and function in the endometrium of the following genes and their
12 encoded proteins: *MUSTN1, OSR2, TGM2, PCDH9, PGM5, MXRA5, MAMDC2, MRGPRF*, RASD2,
13 *SULF1, RASL11A, ECM2, OLFML3* and *P4HA3*. These results may help to formulate new hypotheses
14 for exploring new biological roles for stromal genes.

15 Impact of NEB on the three endometrial cell types

16 Overall, our results show that NEB impacts mainly ST whereas GE and LE cells are less affected. 17 More than 10% (13%) of the total number of genes expressed in ST were impaired by NEB status while less than 1% were affected in GE and LE (0.3% and 0.7% respectively). When considering the 18 19 sub groups of genes showing a specific expression related to cell type, NEB did not affect any of 20 those in GE and modified only the expression of TCN1 and B4GALT5 in LE cells. This number is 21 probably under-estimated in LE due to the comparison restricted to a single sample in the SNEB 22 group. By contrast, a relatively high number of genes (about 8%; n=91) specifically expressed by ST are affected by NEB. 23

Impact of NEB on genes related to cytoskeleton and cell adhesion. Genes encoding tropomyosins
 (*TPM1, TPM2*) and myosins (*MYO5C, MYO5B*) proteins which are structural constituents of
 cytoskeleton (GO: 0016459) were over-expressed in ST of SNEB cows. Similar over-expression of

1 tropomyosins and myosins has been reported in the endometrium of fertile cows [67]. The increased 2 expression of myosins was associated to over-expression of genes of the dynein family (DNAH5, 3 DNAH7, DNAH11, DYNC111 and DYNLRB2) which encode proteins that are involved in cell mobility (GO: 0005874). The signification of these changes in the context of fertility deserves further 4 5 investigations. In contrast, a large set of genes related to cell adhesion and cell-cell and cell-6 extracellular matrix adhesion [68], such as integrins (ITGA5, ITGA10), cadherins (CDH2, CDH11, 7 CDH12), AGRN, EGFLAM, TGFBI, type IV collagen (COL4A4), type VIII collagen (COL8A1), ODZ3, SCARB2 and WISP3 were under-expressed in ST of SNEB cows. The lower expression of 8 integrins could be seen as unfavourable to establishment of pregnancy. In humans, ITGB3 mRNA has 9 been cited as a positive marker associated with pregnancy [69, 70]. In sheep, elevated expression of 10 11 ITGAV, ITGA4, and ITGA5 in GE have been found during pregnancy [71]. E-cadherin (CDH1) has 12 been documented as a critical gene for embryo implantation as its under-expression in epithelial cells 13 allows endometrial cells dissociation following blastocyst invasion [72]. Moreover, an increased 14 expression of type IV collagens has been identified in endometrium of low fertility heifers [73], 15 however, the opposite trend was found here in SNEB cows. In ST from the SNEB group, genes 16 belonging to the Wnt pathway (P00057) were either over expressed (ACTG2, FZD5, PLCB4, CDH3, 17 PRKCZ, CDH1, ACTA1, CTBP2, ITPR2, FRZB, ANKRD6 and ACTA2) or under expressed (CDH11, 18 TLE4, LEF1, NFATC1, PRKCH, SMARCD2 and FBXW7). These genes encode proteins that are 19 associated with GO: 0001763 (morphogenesis of a branching structure) GO: 0001944 (vasculature 20 development) including involvement in the morphogenesis and function of the endometrial glands [74, 75] as well as in the development of uterine vasculature [76]. The altered expression of these 21 22 genes by the NEB can have a critical role in the regeneration of the endometrium during the postpartum period. 23

Impact of NEB on genes related to energy metabolism. In SNEB cows, among the 700 genes that are
over-expressed in ST, a large proportion were genes classified to encode proteins related to metabolic
process (GO: 0008152), macromolecule metabolic process (GO: 0043170) and organic substance
metabolic process (GO: 0071704). DEGs were most particularly related to catalytic activity (GO:

1 0003824) revealing the breakdown of nutrient molecules to supply energy to cells. This suggest that 2 SNEB cows still presented an energy deficit in endometrial cells at time planned for breeding, despite 3 that energy balance is progressively restored. SNEB cows presented also an increased expression of many genes encoding proteins with functions related to lipid metabolism (fatty acids, triglyceride and 4 5 cholesterol metabolic processes) such as ACSM3, CPT1B, LPL, PPARGC1A, PRKAA2, GGT1, 6 PLA2G10, CYP2B6, CYP2C18, HACD1, SLC27A6 and PLIN4 in ST. Four of them CYP2B6, 7 CYP2C18, PLA2G10, and GGT1 are involved in arachidonic acid (AA) metabolism. While the release of arachidonic acid following phospholipase activation is usually engaged in the production of 8 9 endometrial prostaglandins via cyclooxygenases enzymes, the conversion of AA by CYP enzymes contribute to oxidative stress and inflammation and may not be favourable to endometrial function 10 11 [77]. The receptivity of fibroblasts to prostaglandins could also be modified through their receptors 12 with the observed extreme over-expression of PTGFR mRNA (the second top of over-expressed DEGs in ST) and PTGER3. The over-expression of SLC27A6, a fatty acid binding protein (FABP) 13 [78] and PLIN4, which controls intra-cellular lipid droplet-associated proteins, are consistent with 14 15 earlier findings in obese mice and human [79, 80]. Our data showing associations between over-16 expression of these genes with increased plasma NEFA concentrations are consistent with the over-17 expression of genes of the PLIN family found in the endometrium of low fertility heifers [73]. Taken 18 together, this information suggests that up-regulation of genes involved in lipid uptake in ST of SNEB 19 cows, associated with elevated NEFA concentration during the peri-parturient period may not be 20 favourable to fertility in postpartum cows. Increased gene expression from the solute carrier family in 21 ST from SNEB cows (such as SLC2A12, SLC45A2 and SLC35A3), which encode proteins involved in 22 carbohydrate transportation, could be seen as a compensatory mechanism as the under-expression of 23 the glucose transporter (SLC2A1) mRNA was detected in endometrial tissue of subfertile dairy cows [81]. 24

Impact of NEB on genes related to growth factors. Interestingly, expression of genes associated with
IGF-insulin signalling, such as *IGF1R* and *IGF2BP2*, was higher in SNEB cows. On the contrary, *IGFBP2, GDF6, EDIL3* and *TGFBI* were under-expressed in ST of SNEB cows. The expression of

1 IGFs were detected in the uterine stroma especially the caruncular areas of cyclic cows [82]. As 2 suggested in the above-referred study and by others [38], the dysregulation of genes related to insulin-3 like growth factors function may delay tissue remodelling during the postpartum period. In our study, the importance of those changes on matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) appeared limited as only one 4 5 gene of the MMPs family (MMP19) was under-expressed in ST of SNEB cows. However, 9 closely 6 related genes involved in the degradation of the cellular matrix and tissue remodelling were also 7 under-expressed in the SNEB cows. On the contrary, growth factor receptors such as GRB7, GRB14 and FGFR2, which are known as stromal-derived paracrine stimulators of epithelial proliferation, 8 9 were over-expressed in ST of SNEB. This increase may be a mechanism for compensating endometrial epithelial defects in order to achieve uterine receptivity [83]. In bovine species, gene 10 11 expression of FGFs and their receptors is upregulated during pregnancy and these factors stimulate interferon-tau (IFN-T) production during the pre-attachment phase of conceptus development [84]. 12 The increase of transcripts encoding proteins of the cyclin family (CCND3 and CCNB1) in ST of 13 14 SNEB cows may also be associated with the modifications of proliferative properties and tissue 15 differentiation in the endometrium for preparing embryo implantation [85]. Our results show that 16 NEB status influences both the over-expression and under-expression of different and numerous 17 growth factors. However, further studies are needed to decipher the consequences of these changes 18 and how they may affect fertility.

19 Impact of NEB on genes related to inflammatory responses. Nearly 20 genes belonging to two pathways [cytokine signalling in immune system pathway (R-BTA-1280215) and inflammation 20 21 mediated by chemokine and cytokine signalling pathway (P00031)] displayed reduced transcripts in 22 ST of SNEB. Among these genes JAK1 and STAT1 have been associated with both IFN- γ and IFN α/β 23 endometrial receptors [86]. It may be hypothesized that the reduced-expression of JAK1 and STAT1 24 may alter JAK/STAT signalling and immune response in stromal cells. Indeed, a large number of IFN-inducible genes (R-BTA-877312), such as MX1, MX2, IFI44, IFI6, IFIH1, IFIT1, IFITM2 and 25 IFNGR2 were under- expressed in ST of SNEB cows. These findings are different from previous 26 27 observations showing over-expression of MX1 and MX2 genes in the full endometrium of SNEB cows

1 during early postpartum [37]. The specificity of stromal cell response to SNEB, may explain 2 differences between studies, however due to the lack of effect on GE, these discrepancies may result 3 also from differences in time postpartum and severity of NEB. The glandular epithelium plays a major role in the activation of the innate immune system as reviewed by [87]. In our study, most of the 4 5 DEGs in GE related to chemokines, immune response processes, TLRs and TNF signalling pathways, 6 such as CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL11, FOS, JUNB, and SOCS3 were strongly over-expressed in SNEB 7 cows. Some of those genes belonged to the C-C motif chemokine ligands (CCLs) family and play an important role in monocyte recruitment in the endometrium [88]. Increased expression of CCL2 8 9 mRNA was found associated with lipid accumulation induced uterine inflammation in obese rats [89]. The present results are similar with previous studies performed with full endometrial tissue, showing 10 the up-regulation of inflammatory response genes in SNEB cows [38]. This is also consistent with 11 12 several studies in mammals showing that metabolic imbalance, increased lipolysis and most 13 particularly NEFAs, play essential functions in the activation of TNF and TLRs signalling to promote the release of pro-inflammatory molecules [90, 91]. Taken together, these studies and our present 14 15 findings suggest that SNEB and NEFAs activate pro-inflammatory pathways in the glandular 16 epithelium and stromal cells. On the contrary, in luminal epithelium, the adaptive immune response 17 (B cell-mediated immunity) and innate immunity, was represented by under-expressed genes such as 18 tracheal antimicrobial peptide (TAP), a beta-defensin gene, which was associated to the NF-KB 19 pathway [92], and by genes coding for immunoglobulin heavy variable chains that participates in the 20 antigen recognition. These observations need further confirmation. Our results indicate that SNEB 21 induces changes in immune responses, which are different in the three endometrial cell types. They 22 show also that these changes are still present, long after NEB has disappeared suggesting long term 23 effects of metabolic imbalance and NEFAs on the pro-inflammatory status of the glandular epithelium 24 and the stroma.

Effect of NEB on genes related to maternal-conceptus recognition. A large set of IFN-inducible
genes such as *MX1*, *MX2*, *STAT1*, *JAK1*, *IFIH1*, *IFNGR2*, *ISG15*, *LY6G6C*, *OAS1Y*, *OAS1Z* and *IRF7*were under-expressed in ST of SNEB cows. A weaker expression of those genes that encode proteins

1 involved in IFN-T signalling could account for the decreased endometrium-related fertility in SNEB 2 cows. In pregnant ruminants, IFN-T is the main pregnancy recognition signal [93], that allows the 3 persistence of the corpus luteum and maintaining elevated progesterone concentrations by blocking oxytocin signalling and PGF2a secretion [94]. Oxytocin signalling has been associated with the 4 5 maintenance of gap-junctions in luteal tissue [95] and intracellular calcium release in endometrial 6 cells [96]. Differentially expressed genes and our STRING protein-protein network revealed in ST of 7 SNEB cows showed an increase in expression of six genes encoding proteins belonging to the 8 oxytocin signalling pathway namely PLCB4, ADCY8, CAMK2B, ITPR2, and MYLK (Figure 6). These 9 changes are consistent with the over-expression of 10 genes related to tight junction such as MYH14, 10 MYH11, PRKCZ, OCLN, CGN, IGSF5, TJP3, CLDN3, CLDN8 and CLDN23. Our data suggest that in ST of SNEB cows, the over- representation of oxytocin signalling and tight junction pathways results 11 12 from the decreased expression of IFN-T inducible genes. The changes in ST are consistent with downstream changes related to PGF2 α produced by both endometrial epithelial and stromal cells [97]. 13 14 Furthermore the deregulation of this signalling pathway in SNEB cows is supported by changes in PTGFR which was over-expressed in ST but under-expressed in GE. In addition, other important 15 16 genes encoding proteins with established functions critical for implantation such as IL1RAP, SOSC3 and AREG were found differentially expressed in SNEB cows. We observed a lower expression of the 17 18 ILIRAP gene in ST of SNEB cows. The IL1RAP protein is a necessary part of the interleukin 1 receptor complex and is regulated by interleukin 1 beta (IL-1 β). The over- expression of *IL1R* and 19 IL1RAP under IL-1ß regulation has been reported in the pig endometrium at day 12 of pregnancy to 20 stimulate the expression of PTGS1 and PTGS2 genes which encode key enzymes for PGE2 and 21 22 PGF2 α synthesis [98]. Blocking IL1R signalling with an IL-1 receptor antagonist led to implantation 23 failure in mice [99]. The reduced expression of IL1RAP in ST of SNEB cows may compromise the 24 establishment of pregnancy, but this deserves further investigation in the cow. SOCS family genes 25 (SOCS1-7) inhibit cytokine signalling through the JAK-STAT pathway and regulate IFNs, growth 26 factors and hormones which are critical for implantation [100]). SOCS1-3 mRNAs are over-expressed at time of implantation in the endometrium of pregnant cows and their expression was induced by 27 IFN-tau in endometrial cells in vitro [101]. The over-expression of SOCS3 mRNA in GE may 28

1 contribute to down regulate the JAK/STAT pathway in the neighbouring ST cells, as reported above. 2 AREG was over-expressed in GE of SNEB cows. AREG gene is known as an epidermal growth factor 3 receptor and is involved in cell growth, proliferation, differentiation and migration. It is highly expressed in luminal and glandular epithelium during the secretory phase of menstrual cycle and early 4 5 pregnancy in human and primate [102]. As for SOCS3, it could be speculated that the over-expression 6 of AREG mRNAs in GE may be part of a compensatory mechanisms in response to the increased 7 expression of cytokines in these cells. It would be interesting to compare the amplitude of overexpression of SOCS3 and AREG in the present situation (luteal phase under cyclic conditions) and in 8 pregnancy to evaluate possible impacts of NEB on implantation. 9

10 Conclusion

The present study provides novel and specific information about gene expression in three endometrial 11 cell types from postpartum dairy cows and illustrates specific signatures in ST, LE and GE cells. We 12 13 also show that the impacts of negative energy balance on the gene expression of endometrial cells are 14 cell type specific. Major and specific changes in gene expression were observed in stromal cells illustrating dysregulation of metabolic processes especially lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, 15 cytoskeleton and cell adhesion properties. Altered gene expression of endometrial epithelial cells 16 17 under SNEB condition was related to activation of pro-inflammatory responses via chemokine pathway in GE, whereas down-regulation on adaptive immunity and defence mechanism were found 18 in LE. Strong changes in the expression of genes involved in prostaglandin production and maternal-19 conceptus recognition was found in ST and in GE. Considering the above and the crucial role of IFN-20 21 tau for embryo implantation and maintenance pregnancy, our hypothesis is that the under-expression 22 of IFN-tau responsive genes associated with the increased expression to oxytocin and PGF2a related 23 genes may be detrimental for the establishment of pregnancy in SNEB cows. The changes in gene 24 expression induced by NEB in LE should be considered as preliminary and needs further confirmation 25 whereas the specific response of ST and GE to NEB paves the way for functional studies relating the importance of these changes for the establishment of pregnancy 26

1 Abbreviations

- 2 BCS: Body condition score 3 CIDR: Controlled Internal Drug Release) 4 DAVID: Database for annotation, visualization and integrated discovery 5 DEG: Differentially expressed gene 6 EB: Energy balance 7 ECM: Energy-corrected milk 8 Elisa: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay 9 FDR: False discovery rate 10 GE: Glandular epithelial cell GO: 11 Gene ontology KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes 12 13 LCM: Laser capture microdissection LE: Luminal epithelial cell 14 15 MNEB: Mild energy balance NEB: 16 Negative energy balance 17 NEFAs: Non-esterified fatty acids 18 NorFor: Nordic Feed Evaluation System OCT: Optimal cutting temperature coumpound 19 PANTHER: 20 Protein analysis through evolutionary relationships Principal Component Analysis 21 PCA: 22 PGE2: Prostaglandin-E2 PGF2a: Prostaglandin-F2 α 23
- 24 REVIGO: Reduce, visualize gene ontology

1	RFI:	Residual feed intake
2	RNA:	Ribonucleic acid
3	RNA-Seq:	RNA sequencing
4	SRB:	Swedish Red breed
5	ST:	Stromal cell
6	STRING:	Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins
7	TPM: Transc	cripts per million

1 Declarations

2

3 Ethics approval and consent to participate

- 4 All experimental protocols were approved by the Uppsala Animal Experiment Ethics Board
- 5 (application C329/12, PROLIFIC)(Uppsala University, Sweden) and were carried out in accordance
- 6 with the terms of the Swedish Animal Welfare Act. After the study was completed, all cows were kept
- 7 alive under normal husbandry conditions.

8 Consent for publication

9 « Not applicable »

10 Availability of data and materials

- 11 <u>The data will be deposited pending acceptance of publication</u>. The datasets generated and/or analyzed
- 12 during the current study will be available in the [NCBI/Gene expression omnibus)] repository,
- 13 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/info/seq.html]

14 Competing interests

15 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

16 Funding

17 This work was funded by from European Union [FP7-KBBE-2012-6, Prolific (Pluridisciplinary study

- 18 for a RObust and sustainanLe Improvement of the Fertility In Cows,) Grant agreement number
- 19 311776) for animals, reagents, sequencing and travel. WC was supported by the Rajamangala
- 20 University of Technology Srivijaya (RMUTSV), Thailand. The funders had no role in study design,
- 21 data collection, and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

22 Authors' contributions

- 23 W.C., P.H. and G.C. contributed to the conception and design of the study. S.L., M.R., C.R., C.B. and
- T.N. contributed to sample collection and preparation. G.C., D.M., Y.G., W.C. and P.H. performed
- 25 bioinformatics analysis and integration of data. W.C. performed the experiment, sample collection
- 26 and preparation, data analyses and W.C., G.C., and P.H. drafted the manuscript. All authors provided
- critical feedback and helped shape research, analyses and manuscript. GC and PH are both senior co-
- 28 authorship.

29 Acknowledgements

- 30 We acknowledge Pierrette Reinaud (INRAE, Jouy en Josas, France) and Olivier Dubois for consulting
- during the LCM process and RNA analysis. The authors would like to thank the staff of Swedish
- 32 Research Center, Lövsta, Uppsala, Sweden and Biology of Reproduction, Epigenetic, Environment
- and Development (BREED), INRA, Jouy en Josas, France for their help and support.

34 Authors' information (optional)

1 Methods

Animals and experimental design. This study was approved by the Uppsala Animal Experiment Ethics 2 3 Board (application C329/12, PROLIFIC). After the study was conducted all cows have been kept in usual farm living conditions. The animals used in this study were second lactation cows of the 4 5 Swedish Red breed (SRB; n = 12) fed two different diets *i.e.* i) high-energy diet (control, n=6) 6 targeting 35 kg energy-corrected milk (ECM) and ii) low-energy diet targeting (n=6) 25 kg energy-7 corrected milk (ECM) which was achieved by giving to these cows 50% concentrate. All cows were 8 conducted at the Swedish Livestock Research Centre in Lövsta, Uppsala, Sweden. For each cow, the 9 differential diets were given between 30 days prepartum and 120 days postpartum. The animals were 10 kept in a loose housing barn with a voluntary milking system (VMS, DeLaval, Tumba, Sweden), and had free access to drinking water. The dietary details and management conditions were previously 11 12 described [32]. During the experiment, consumption of concentrate was individually adjusted with an 13 automatic feeding machine while forage was fed ad libitum. At day 60 after calving, estrous was 14 synchronized using an intra-vaginal progesterone device (CIDR, Zoetis, Parsippany, NJ, USA) for a week followed by i.m. injection of 500 µg of prostaglandin analog (Estrumate[®], MSD animal health, 15 Madison, NJ, USA) intramuscular as described [103]. Fifteen days after visual oestrus detection, 16 17 endometrial tissue biopsies were collected under epidural anesthesia with 0.5 mg/kg of 1% lidocaine hydrochloride (1% Xylocaine[®], Astra Zeneca, Cambridge, UK). Timeline for samplings and analysis 18 19 of phenotypic responses are presented in supplemental Figure.S1.

20 *Energy balance (EB) calculation and classification.* The energy balance (EB) (residual feed intake 21 (RFI) expressed in MJ/day) was calculated as the difference between energy consumed and energy 22 used for milk production, body maintenance, growth and pregnancy for each individual cow. 23 Calculations were performed once per week from first week after calving to day 120 as described in 24 [104]. All data used were routinely recorded in the university herd and energy balance calculation was 25 performed with NorFor used as the reference system in the Nordic countries. Based on most differentiated EB profiles, nine out of twelve cows were classified into two NEB groups with either a 26 mild negative energy balance (MNEB) group (n = 5) or a severe negative energy balance (SNEB) 27

group (n = 4). Residual feed intake values in the first week postpartum of these nine cows ranged
 from -52.77 to 21.26 MJ/day and means (± s.e.m.) of 1.30 ± 6.35 and -29.48 ± 7.10 MJ/day were
 observed in the MNEB and SNEB groups, respectively.

4 Body condition score (BCS) and plasma NEFA measurements. Body condition score (BCS) was 5 evaluated and recorded by the same person every two weeks, from 30 days prepartum until 120 days 6 postpartum. BCS was used on a 5 point scale with 0.5 point increments, 1 = very lean to 5 = fat [105]. 7 Blood samples were taken every two weeks from the coccygeal vein in EDTA containing tubes (BD 8 Vacutainer, Kremsmünster, Austria) from 30 days prepartum to 56 days postpartum and then 9 centrifuged at 4000 g for 10 min at 4°C. Following centrifugation, plasma samples were distributed into 0.5 mL aliquots and stored in -20° C until NEFA analyses were performed. NEFA concentrations 10 11 were measured in duplicate by using a non-esterified fatty assay kit (Bio Scientific Corporation, 12 Austin, TX, USA) with detection range 0 - 4 mM. The intra- and inter-assay variability was $4.19 \pm$ 13 3.99% and $2.63 \pm 1.08\%$, respectively.

14 Milk progesterone measurements and estrous cycle stage at time of biopsies. Whole milk samples 15 were collected by the automatic milking machine, VMS (DeLaval) three times per week from Day 7 to Day 120 after calving. Milk progesterone concentrations were measured with a commercial 16 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Ridge way 'M' kit, Ridgeway Science, Gloucester, 17 18 UK) as previously published [32]. The progesterone concentration profile was used to determine the estrous cycle stage at the time of biopsy sampling. All cows selected were in the luteal phase at time 19 20 of endometrial biopsy as shown by their mean (\pm s.e.m.) progesterone concentration (8.78 \pm 2.12 ng/mL; range from 6.66 to 10.90 ng/ml). 21

22 *Collection of endometrial biopsies.* Endometrial biopsies were collected from the uterine horn 23 ipsilateral to the corpus luteum by using Kevorkian-Younge uterine biopsy forceps (Alcyon, Paris, 24 France). Biopsies were cut into 3 pieces (sizes $\approx 4 \times 4 \text{ mm}^2$). One of them was snap frozen in cold 25 isopentane (2-Methylbutane, Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) previously placed in liquid 26 nitrogen for 5 min, and immediately embedded in $\approx 1 \text{ cm}^3$ optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (VWR, Radnor, PA, USA). OCT conditioned biopsies were then put into dry ice and kept
 at -80°C until sectioning. Tissue blocks were 8 μm sectioned with a cryostat (Leica CM1860 Cryostat,
 Wetzlar, Germany) at -20°C under RNA-free conditions. Tissue section slices were mounted on Super
 Frost slides RNA-free which were chilled on ice, following immersion in ice-cold 75% RNA-free
 ethanol and stored at -80°C until staining [106].

6 Laser capture microdissection (LCM) and RNA isolation. All procedures used were those previously 7 published [106]. Tissue sections were mounted on RNAse-free glass slides which were chilled on ice, 8 following immersion in cold 75% RNA-free ethanol at -20°C in the cryostat and then transferred into 9 75% ethanol at RT (30 sec), stained with 1% cresyl violet in ethanol (15 sec), rinsed successively with 75% ethanol (30 sec), 95% ethanol (2 x 1 min), and 100% ethanol (2 x 1 min) (anhydrous Ethanol 10 absolute). Finally, the slides were completely dehydrated by immersion in pure xylene (M-xylene, 11 Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France) for 2×5 min. Stained tissue sections were then 12 immediately air dried. The LCM process was performed by using an ArcturusXT[™] Laser Capture 13 Microdissection System and software (Applied Biosystems®, Arcturus, ThermoFisher Scietific, 14 15 Waltham, MA, USA), within 1 h to avoid RNA degradation. Luminal epithelial cells (LE), glandular epithelial cells (GE) and stromal cells (ST), were harvested in sufficient numbers to obtain at least 5 16 ng of total RNA for each endometrial cell type. Briefly, cells were captured from the slide onto LCM 17 plastic caps (CapSure®Macro LCM Caps, Arcturus) by using infrared laser with the following 18 19 settings: power range 75 to 90mW, time 1300 to 3500usec and 200mV intensity. Collected cells were 20 then placed in a RNAse-free 0.5 mL microcentrifuge tube with 25 µL extraction buffer (provided together with the PicoPureTMRNA isolation kit; KIT0202, Arcturus) and incubated for 30 min at 21 42°C. The histology of each endometrial cell type before and after capture with LCM is presented in 22 23 Figure 7. Captured cells in PicoPure extraction buffer were frozen at -80°C before processing samples for RNA isolation. Total RNA from LCM samples was isolated and mRNA purified using the 24 PicoPureTMRNA isolation kit (KIT0202, Arcturus) following the manufacturer's protocol. RNA 25 integrity value (RIN values) and quantity were evaluated using the Pico RNA chip on the Agilent 26 27 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Mean RNA integrity (RIN) values

obtained from LCM samples and from the full tissue samples issued from the same biopsy were
similar (paired T-test; Table S9).

3 RNA sequencing and data analysis. RNA sequencing libraries prepared from 24 samples (number of 4 samples in each NEB group and endometrial cell types presented in Table S9) were prepared and 5 sequenced on GenomEast Platform (IGBMC, Cedex, France; http://genomeast.igbmc.fr/). Libraries 6 were built using the Clontech SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA kit for Sequencing. Full length 7 cDNA were generated from 4 ng of total RNA using Clontech SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA 8 kit for Sequencing (Takara Bio Europe, Ozyme, Montigny-Le-Bretonneux, France) according to 9 manufacturer's instructions, with 10 cycles of PCR for cDNA amplification by Seq-Amp polymerase. 10 Then, 600 pg of pre-amplified cDNA were then used as input for Tn5 transposon tagmentation using 11 the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) followed by 12 cycles of 12 library amplification. Following purification with Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman-Coulter, 13 Roissy, France), the size and concentration of libraries were assessed by capillary electrophoresis. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 with 50 bp paired-end reads. 14 15 Image analysis and base calling were performed using RTA 2.7.3 and bcl2fastq 2.17.1.14. Gene level 16 exploratory analysis and differential expression were performed using the RNAseq workflow https://bioconductor.org/help/course-17 described by [107] and the update version 18 materials/2017/CSAMA/labs/2-tuesday/lab-03-rnaseq/rnaseqGene CSAMA2017. html). The Salmon 19 method [108] was used to quantify transcript abundance. The cDNA sequence database for Bos taurus 20 was obtained from Ensembl (release-98; Bos taurus.ARS-UCD1.2.cdna.all.fa) and was used to build a reference index for the bovine transcriptome (see details in [108]. After quantifying RNA-seq data, 21 tximport method [109] (R package version 1.8.0) was used to import Salmon's transcript-level 22 23 quantifications to the downstream DESeq2 package (R package, version 1.20.0) for analysis of 24 differential expressed genes (DEGs) with the statistical method proposed [110]. Principal component 25 analysis was performed with DESeq2 and with FactoMineR (R package, version 1.4.1) using the 26 variance stabilizing transformation output files from DESeq2. Heatmap was generated in R software 27 using the pheatmap package (version 1.0.12) and Venn diagrams were plotted with VennDiagram

package (1.6.20). DEGs of specific-endometrial cell samples were identified in comparison between
SNEB and MNEB group with an adjusted *p*-value of 0.05. Volcano plot was applied to gene lists of
each endometrial cell type considering the log2 fold change between SNEB and MNEB on the *x* axis
and the negative log10 of the adjusted *p*-value on the *y* axis.

5 Gene ontology and KEGG Pathway Analysis. Lists of genes expressed by the three types of 6 endometrial cells as well as sets of over- or under-expressed DEGs between SNEB and MNEB were 7 annotated into three categories of Gene Ontology (GO) pathways such as biological process (BP), 8 cellular component (CC) and molecular function (MP) using PANTHER classification system 9 (Protein Analysis THrough Evolutionary Relationships version 14.0, http://pantherdb.org). PANTHER overrepresentation tests were performed using all genes from the whole Bos taurus 10 11 genome or from specified list. Lists of GO terms were summarized and visualized in semantic space 12 by REVIGO (http://revigo.irb.hr/) [111]. The SimRel semantic similarity score was used and the 13 threshold was set at 0.15. Moreover, the analysis of enriched Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and 14 Genomes (KEGG) pathways was performed using Database for Annotation, Visualization and 15 Integrated Discovery software (DAVID version 6.8, https://david.ncifcrf.gov/summary.jsp). If a KEGG pathway was determined to be significantly enriched (Benjamini- adjusted p-value < 0.05), 16 this significant process/pathway was reported. By using DEGs which are involved in significant 17 18 KEGG pathways, a molecular interaction network analysis was generated by using STRING database 19 (STRING version 10.5, http://string-db.org/) (Szklarczyk et al. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015 43(Database issue):D447-52) at medium confidence level (0.4) for giving an overview of the genes networks and 20 21 their interactions.

22 Statistical analysis

The statistical analyzes for phenotype parameters (BCS, NEFA concentrations) were performed using the Statistical Analysis System Software (SAS[®] version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and analyzed by mixed models with repeated measurement (Proc MIXED). All variables were checked for normality and data were log10-transformed if needed. The effect of the cow was considered as

1 random when running the models. The model included NEB group, diet group and time of sampling 2 defined as fixed effects and their second order interactions. Non-significant effects were progressively 3 removed from models. Scheffe's post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons and also the "estimate" and "contrast" statements under Proc MIXED were used for pairwise comparisons. 4 5 Individual BCS loss from start of experiment until a nadir (the lowest postpartum value) and a nadir 6 of feed residual intake (RFI) value after calving were recorded. Pearson correlation coefficients 7 between the different variables were calculated using the Proc CORR function. The results of BCS, NEFA's concentration, and milk progesterone concentration are presented as LSmeans \pm S.E.M. 8 9 Differences with associated p-value < 0.05 were considered to be significant. In the statistical analysis of transcriptome profiles, generalized linear model was fitted and Wald test were performed to 10 determine which of the observed fold changes were significantly different between severe and mild 11 12 negative energy balance groups. p-values < 0.05 were considered to identify DEGs according to 13 procedures described by [107].

1		Reference s
2 3	1.	Butler W, Everett R, Coppock C: The relationships between energy balance, milk production and ovulation in postpartum Holstein cows . <i>Journal of animal science</i> 1981 , 53 (3):742-748.
4 5	2.	Britt JH, Cushman RA, Dechow CD, Dobson H, Humblot P, Hutjens MF, Jones GA, Ruegg PS, Sheldon IM, Stevenson JS: Invited review: Learning from the future—A vision for dairy
6		farms and cows in 2067. Journal of Dairy Science 2018, 101(5):3722-3741.
7	3.	Harrison R, Ford S, Young J, Conley A, Freeman A: Increased Milk Production Versus
8		Reproductive and Energy Status of High Producing Dairy Cows1 . Journal of Dairy Science
9 10	Л	1990, 73 (10):2749-2758. Butter WP: Energy belance relationshing with follioular development , evulation and
10	4.	fortility in postportum doing course. Livest Bred Sci 2002, 92 ,211,218
11	F	Pertinity in postpartum dairy cows Livest Prod Sci 2003, 83:211-218.
12	э.	Barbat A, Le Mezec P, Ducrocq V, Mattalia S, Fritz S, Bolchard D, Ponsart C, Humbiol P.
13 14		<i>Journal of Reproduction and Development</i> 2010, 56 (S):S15-S21.
15	6.	Grummer RR, Mashek DG, Havirli A: Dry matter intake and energy balance in the transition
16		period. Veterinary Clinics: Food Animal Practice 2004, 20 (3):447-470.
17	7.	Senatore E, Butler W, Oltenacu P: Relationships between energy balance and post-partum
18		ovarian activity and fertility in first lactation dairy cows. Animal Science 1996, 62(1):17-23.
19	8.	Swangchan-Uthai T, Chen QS, Kirton SE, Fenwick MA, Cheng ZR, Patton J, Fouladi-Nashta AA,
20		Wathes DC: Influence of energy balance on the antimicrobial peptides S100A8 and S100A9
21		in the endometrium of the post-partum dairy cow. Reproduction 2013, 145(5):527-539.
22	9.	Butler W, Smith R: Interrelationships between energy balance and postpartum
23		reproductive function in dairy cattle. Journal of dairy science 1989, 72(3):767-783.
24	10.	Esposito G, Irons PC, Webb EC, Chapwanya A: Interactions between negative energy
25		balance, metabolic diseases, uterine health and immune response in transition dairy cows.
26		Anim Reprod Sci 2014, 144 (3-4):60-71.
27	11.	Leroy JL, De Bie J, Jordaens L, Desmet K, Smits A, Marei WF, Bols PE, Van Hoeck V: Negative
28		energy balance and metabolic stress in relation to oocyte and embryo quality: an update
29		on possible pathways reducing fertility in dairy cows. In: Animal Reproduction. vol. 14;
30		2017: 497-506.
31	12.	Wathes DC, Cheng ZR, Chowdhury W, Fenwick MA, Fitzpatrick R, Morris DG, Patton J,
32		Murphy JJ: Negative energy balance alters global gene expression and immune responses
33		in the uterus of postpartum dairy cows. Physiological Genomics 2009, 39(1):1-13.
34	13.	Wathes DC, Clempson AM, Pollott GE: Associations between lipid metabolism and fertility
35		in the dairy cow. Reprod Fert Develop 2013, 25(1):48-61.
36	14.	Martin L, Finn C: Hormonal regulation of cell division in epithelial and connective tissues of
37		the mouse uterus. Journal of Endocrinology 1968, 41(3):363-371.
38	15.	Bazer FW, Burghardt RC, Johnson GA, Spencer TE, Wu G: Mechanisms for the establishment
39		and maintenance of pregnancy: synergies from scientific collaborations [†] . Biology of
40		Reproduction 2018, 99 (1):225-241.
41	16.	Gray CA, Bartol FF, Tarleton BJ, Wiley AA, Johnson GA, Bazer FW, Spencer TE:
42		Developmental biology of uterine glands. Biol Reprod 2001, 65(5):1311-1323.
43	17.	Forde N, Lonergan P: Transcriptomic analysis of the bovine endometrium: What is required
44		to establish uterine receptivity to implantation in cattle? <i>J Reprod Dev</i> 2012, 58 (2):189-195.
45	18.	Fortier M, Guilbault L, Grasso F: Specific properties of epithelial and stromal cells from the
46		endometrium of cows. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 1988, 83(1):239-248.
47	19.	Schaefer TM, Desouza K, Fahey JV, Beagley KW, Wira CR: Toll-like receptor (TLR) expression
48		and TLR-mediated cytokine/chemokine production by human uterine epithelial cells.
49		Immunology 2004, 112 (3):428-436.

1	20.	Niklaus AL, Pollard JW: Mining the Mouse Transcriptome of Receptive Endometrium
2		Reveals Distinct Molecular Signatures for the Luminal and Glandular Epithelium.
3		Endocrinology 2006, 147 (7):3375-3390.
4	21.	Gray CA, Taylor KM, Ramsey WS, Hill JR, Bazer FW, Bartol FF, Spencer TE: Endometrial
5		glands are required for preimplantation conceptus elongation and survival. Biol Reprod
6		2001, 64 (6):1608-1613.
7	22.	Demir R, Kayisli U, Celik-Ozenci C, Korgun E, Demir-Weusten A, Arici A: Structural
8		differentiation of human uterine luminal and glandular epithelium during early pregnancy:
9		an ultrastructural and immunohistochemical study. Placenta 2002, 23(8-9):672-684.
10	23.	Fazleabas A, Bazer F, Roberts RM: Purification and properties of a progesterone-induced
11		plasmin/trypsin inhibitor from uterine secretions of pigs and its immunocytochemical
12		localization in the pregnant uterus. Journal of Biological Chemistry 1982, 257(12):6886-
13		6897.
14	24.	Scaravaggi I, Borel N, Romer R, Imboden I, Ulbrich SE, Zeng S, Bollwein H, Bauersachs S: Cell
15		type-specific endometrial transcriptome changes during initial recognition of pregnancy in
16		the mare. Reproduction, Fertility and Development 2018.
17	25.	Hood BL, Liu B, Alkhas A, Shoji Y, Challa R, Wang G, Ferguson S, Oliver J, Mitchell D, Bateman
18		NW et al: Proteomics of the Human Endometrial Glandular Epithelium and Stroma from
19		the Proliferative and Secretory Phases of the Menstrual Cycle1. Biology of Reproduction
20		2015, 92 (4):106, 101-108-106, 101-108.
21	26.	Brooks K, Burns GW, Moraes JG, Spencer TE: Analysis of the Uterine Epithelial and
22		Conceptus Transcriptome and Luminal Fluid Proteome During the Peri-Implantation Period
23		of Pregnancy in Sheep. Biol Reprod 2016, 95(4):88.
24	27.	Marchi T, Braakman RBH, Stingl C, Duijn MM, Smid M, Foekens JA, Luider TM, Martens JWM,
25		Umar A: The advantage of laser-capture microdissection over whole tissue analysis in
26		proteomic profiling studies. PROTEOMICS 2016, 16(10):1474-1485.
27	28.	Chankeaw W, Guo Y, Båge R, Svensson A, Andersson G, Humblot P: Elevated non-esterified
28		fatty acids impair survival and promote lipid accumulation and pro-inflammatory cytokine
29		production in bovine endometrial epithelial cells. Reproduction, Fertility and Development
30		2018.
31	29.	Ohtsu A, Tanaka H, Seno K, Iwata H, Kuwayama T, Shirasuna K: Palmitic acid stimulates
32		interleukin-8 via the TLR4/NF-κB/ROS pathway and induces mitochondrial dysfunction in
33		bovine oviduct epithelial cells. American Journal of Reproductive Immunology 2017,
34		77 (6):e12642.
35	30.	Wagner GP, Kin K, Lynch VJ: Measurement of mRNA abundance using RNA-seq data: RPKM
36		measure is inconsistent among samples. Theory Biosci 2012, 131 (4):281-285.
37	31.	Adewuyi AA, Gruys E, van Eerdenburg FJ: Non esterified fatty acids (NEFA) in dairy cattle. A
38		review. Vet Q 2005, 27 (3):117-126.
39	32.	Ntallaris T, Humblot P, Bage R, Sjunnesson Y, Dupont J, Berglund B: Effect of energy balance
40		profiles on metabolic and reproductive response in Holstein and Swedish Red cows.
41	~~	Theriogenology 2017, 90 :276-283.
42	33.	Butler ST, Marr AL, Pelton SH, Radcliff RP, Lucy MC, Butler WR: Insulin restores GH
43		responsiveness during lactation-induced negative energy balance in dairy cattle: effects on
44	24	expression of IGF-I and GH receptor 1A. J Endocrinol 2003, 1/6(2):205-217.
45	34.	Jorritsma R, Cesar ML, Hermans JI, Kruitwagen CL, Vos PL, Kruip TA: Effects of non-esterified
46		ratty acids on bovine granulosa cells and developmental potential of oocytes in vitro. Anim
4/	25	Keproa Sci 2004, 81(3-4):225-235.
4ð 40	35.	van noeck v, Sturmey KG, Bermejo-Alvarez P, Kizos D, Gutierrez-Adan A, Leese HJ, Bols PE,
49		Leroy JL: Elevated non-esterified fatty acid concentrations during bovine oocyte
50		maturation compromise early empryo physiology. PLos One 2011, 6(8):e23183.

1 36. Fenwick MA, Llewellyn S, Fitzpatrick R, Kenny DA, Murphy JJ, Patton J, Wathes DC: Negative 2 energy balance in dairy cows is associated with specific changes in IGF-binding protein 3 expression in the oviduct. Reproduction 2008, 135(1):63-75. 4 37. Wathes DC, Cheng Z, Chowdhury W, Fenwick MA, Fitzpatrick R, Morris DG, Patton J, Murphy 5 JJ: Negative energy balance alters global gene expression and immune responses in the 6 uterus of postpartum dairy cows. Physiol Genomics 2009, 39(1):1-13. 7 38. Wathes DC, Cheng Z, Fenwick MA, Fitzpatrick R, Patton J: Influence of energy balance on the 8 somatotrophic axis and matrix metalloproteinase expression in the endometrium of the 9 postpartum dairy cow. Reproduction 2011, 141(2):269-281. Yanaihara A, Otsuka Y, Iwasaki S, Koide K, Aida T, Okai T: Comparison in gene expression of 10 39. 11 secretory human endometrium using laser microdissection. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2004, 12 **2**:66. 13 40. Scaravaggi I, Borel N, Romer R, Imboden I, Ulbrich SE, Zeng S, Bollwein H, Bauersachs S: Cell 14 type-specific endometrial transcriptome changes during initial recognition of pregnancy in 15 the mare. Reprod Fertil Dev 2019, **31**(3):496-508. 16 41. Cerri RL, Thompson IM, Kim IH, Ealy AD, Hansen PJ, Staples CR, Li JL, Santos JE, Thatcher 17 WW: Effects of lactation and pregnancy on gene expression of endometrium of Holstein 18 cows at day 17 of the estrous cycle or pregnancy. J Dairy Sci 2012, 95(10):5657-5675. 19 42. Uhlen M, Fagerberg L, Hallstrom BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, Sivertsson A, 20 Kampf C, Sjostedt E, Asplund A et al: Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. Science 2015, 347(6220):1260419. 21 22 Zeng S, Bick J, Ulbrich SE, Bauersachs S: Cell type-specific analysis of transcriptome changes 43. 23 in the porcine endometrium on Day 12 of pregnancy. BMC Genomics 2018, 19(1):459. 24 44. Xia HF, Ma JJ, Sun J, Yang Y, Peng JP: Retinoic acid metabolizing enzyme CYP26A1 is 25 implicated in rat embryo implantation. Hum Reprod 2010, 25(12):2985-2998. 26 45. Dobrzyn K, Szeszko K, Kiezun M, Kisielewska K, Rytelewska E, Gudelska M, Wyrebek J, Bors K, 27 Kaminski T, Smolinska N: In vitro effect of orexin A on the transcriptomic profile of the 28 endometrium during early pregnancy in pigs. Anim Reprod Sci 2019, 200:31-42. 29 46. Smolinska N, Kiezun M, Dobrzyn K, Szeszko K, Maleszka A, Kaminski T: Expression of the 30 orexin system in the porcine uterus, conceptus and trophoblast during early pregnancy. 31 Animal 2015, 9(11):1820-1831. 32 47. Scolari SC, Pugliesi G, Strefezzi RF, Andrade SC, Coutinho LL, Binelli M: Dynamic remodeling 33 of endometrial extracellular matrix regulates embryo receptivity in cattle. Reproduction 34 2016. 35 48. Hein S, Yamamoto SY, Okazaki K, Jourdan-LeSaux C, Csiszar K, Bryant-Greenwood GD: Lysyl 36 oxidases: expression in the fetal membranes and placenta. Placenta 2001, 22(1):49-57. 37 49. Liu C, Tong H, Li S, Yan Y: Effect of ECM2 expression on bovine skeletal muscle-derived 38 satellite cell differentiation. Cell Biol Int 2018, 42(5):525-532. 39 50. Gibbs GM, Roelants K, O'Bryan MK: The CAP superfamily: cysteine-rich secretory proteins, 40 antigen 5, and pathogenesis-related 1 proteins--roles in reproduction, cancer, and immune 41 defense. Endocr Rev 2008, 29(7):865-897. 42 51. Gurates B, Sebastian S, Yang S, Zhou J, Tamura M, Fang Z, Suzuki T, Sasano H, Bulun SE: WT1 43 and DAX-1 inhibit aromatase P450 expression in human endometrial and endometriotic 44 stromal cells. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2002, 87(9):4369-4377. 45 52. Hayashi K, Spencer TE: WNT pathways in the neonatal ovine uterus: potential specification 46 of endometrial gland morphogenesis by SFRP2. Biol Reprod 2006, 74(4):721-733. 47 53. Gargett CE, Schwab KE, Zillwood RM, Nguyen HP, Wu D: Isolation and culture of epithelial 48 progenitors and mesenchymal stem cells from human endometrium. Biol Reprod 2009, 49 80(6):1136-1145.

1	54.	Yu J, Berga SL, Zou W, Sun HY, Johnston-MacAnanny E, Yalcinkaya T, Sidell N, Bagchi IC,
2		Bagchi MK, Taylor RN: Gap junction blockade induces apoptosis in human endometrial
3		stromal cells. Mol Reprod Dev 2014, 81(7):666-675.
4	55.	Yotova I, Hsu E, Do C, Gaba A, Sczabolcs M, Dekan S, Kenner L, Wenzl R, Tycko B: Epigenetic
5		Alterations Affecting Transcription Factors and Signaling Pathways in Stromal Cells of
6		Endometriosis. PLoS One 2017, 12(1):e0170859.
7	56.	Rodriguez-Alonso B, Hamdi M, Sanchez JM, Maillo V, Gutierrez-Adan A, Lonergan P, Rizos D:
8		An approach to study the local embryo effect on gene expression in the bovine oviduct
9		epithelium in vivo. Reprod Domest Anim 2019, 54(12):1516-1523.
10	57.	Lim W, Bae H, Bazer FW, Song G: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor improves proliferation
11		of endometrial epithelial cells by inhibition of endoplasmic reticulum stress during early
12		pregnancy. J Cell Physiol 2017, 232(12):3641-3651.
13	58.	Wessels JM, Wu L, Leyland NA, Wang H, Foster WG: The brain-uterus connection: brain
14		derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and its receptor (Ntrk2) are conserved in the
15		mammalian uterus. PLoS One 2014, 9(4):e94036.
16	59.	Xu B, Geerts D, Bu Z, Ai J, Jin L, Li Y, Zhang H, Zhu G: Regulation of endometrial receptivity
17		by the highly expressed HOXA9. HOXA11 and HOXD10 HOX-class homeobox genes. Hum
18		Reprod 2014. 29 (4):781-790.
19	60.	Daftary GS, Taylor HS: Implantation in the human: the role of HOX genes. Semin Reprod
20		Med 2000. 18 (3):311-320.
21	61.	Zhang Y. Zhang L. Yu C. Du X. Liu X. Liu J. An X. Wang J. Song Y. Li G <i>et al</i> : Effects of interferon
22	•	tau on endometrial epithelial cells in caprine in vitro. Gene Expr Patterns 2017. 25-26:142-
23		148.
24	62	Yan O, Huang C, Jiang Y, Shan H, Jiang R, Wang J, Jiu J, Ding J, Yan G, Sun H: Calpain7 impairs
25	•=-	embryo implantation by downregulating beta3-integrin expression via degradation of
26		HOXA10 Cell Death Dis 2018 9(3):291
27	63	Du F Yang R Ma HI Wang OY Wei SI: Expression of transcriptional repressor Slug gene in
28	001	mouse endometrium and its effect during embryo implantation. Appl Biochem Biotechnol
29		2009 157 (2)·346-355
30	64	Mishra B. Koshi K. Kizaki K. Ushizawa K. Takahashi T. Hosoe M. Sato T. Ito A. Hashizume K.
31	01.	Expression of ADAMTS1 mRNA in bovine endometrium and placenta during gestation.
32		Domest Anim Endocrinol 2013 45 (1):43-48
32	65	Genis S. Aris A. Kaur M. Cerri BLA: Effect of metritis on endometrium tissue transcriptome
34	05.	during nuernerium in Holstein lactating cows. Theriogenology 2018 122 :116-123
25	66	Bauersachs S. Mitko K. Illbrich SE. Blum H. Wolf E: Transcriptome studies of hoving
36	00.	andometrium reveal molecular profiles characteristic for specific stages of estrous cycle
30		and early pregnancy. Exp Clin Endocrinol Dighetes 2008 116(7):371-384
20	67	Moran B. Butler ST. Moore SG. MacHugh DE. Creevey CI: Differential game expression in the
20	07.	andometrium reveals cytecholetal and immunological genes in lactating dairy cows
39 40		constically divergent for fortility traits. Reprod Eartil Day 2017, 20(2):274, 282
40	69	Kimming & Masteren I & Cyclic modulation of integrin evenesion in hoving and matrium
41	08.	Rimmins 5, MacLaren LA: Cyclic modulation of integrin expression in bovine endometrium.
42	<u> </u>	Biol Reprod 1999, 61 (5):1207-1274.
43	69.	Lessey BA, Castelbaum AJ, Buck CA, Lei Y, Yowell CW, Sun J: Further characterization of
44		endometrial integrins during the menstrual cycle and in pregnancy. Fertility and sterility
45	70	1994, 62 (3):497-506.
46	70.	chen G, Xin A, Liu Y, Shi C, Chen J, Tang X, Chen Y, Yu M, Peng X, Li L: Integrins β 1 and β 3 are
4/		biomarkers of uterine condition for embryo transfer. Journal of translational medicine
48	74	2016, 14 (1):303.
49	/1.	Spencer IE, Bazer FW: Uterine and placental factors regulating conceptus growth in
50		domestic animals. J Anim Sci 2004, 82 E-Suppl:E4-13.

1	72.	Achache H, Revel A: Endometrial receptivity markers, the journey to successful embryo
2		implantation. Hum Reprod Update 2006, 12 (6):731-746.
3	73.	Killeen AP, Diskin MG, Morris DG, Kenny DA, Waters SM: Endometrial gene expression in
4		high-and low-fertility heifers in the late luteal phase of the estrous cycle and a comparison
5		with midluteal gene expression. Physiological genomics 2016, 48(4):306-319.
6	74.	Cooke PS, Spencer TE, Bartol FF, Hayashi K: Uterine glands: development, function and
7		experimental model systems. Mol Hum Reprod 2013, 19(9):547-558.
8	75.	Filant J, Spencer TE: Uterine glands: biological roles in conceptus implantation, uterine
9		receptivity and decidualization. The International journal of developmental biology 2014,
10		58 (2-4):107-116.
11	76.	Bazer FW, Wu G, Spencer TE, Johnson GA, Burghardt RC, Bayless K: Novel pathways for
12		implantation and establishment and maintenance of pregnancy in mammals. Mol Hum
13		<i>Reprod</i> 2010, 16 (3):135-152.
14	77.	Roman RJ: P-450 metabolites of arachidonic acid in the control of cardiovascular function.
15		Physiol Rev 2002, 82 (1):131-185.
16	78.	Bionaz M, Loor JJ: ACSL1, AGPAT6, FABP3, LPIN1, and SLC27A6 are the most abundant
17		isoforms in bovine mammary tissue and their expression is affected by stage of lactation. J
18		Nutr 2008, 138 (6):1019-1024.
19	79.	Chen W, Chang B, Wu X, Li L, Sleeman M, Chan L: Inactivation of Plin4 downregulates Plin5
20		and reduces cardiac lipid accumulation in mice. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2013,
21		304 (7):E770-779.
22	80.	Itabe H, Yamaguchi T, Nimura S, Sasabe N: Perilipins: a diversity of intracellular lipid droplet
23		proteins. Lipids Health Dis 2017, 16 (1):83.
24	81.	Walker CG, Littlejohn MD, Mitchell MD, Roche JR, Meier S: Endometrial gene expression
25		during early pregnancy differs between fertile and subfertile dairy cow strains. Physiol
26		Genomics 2012, 44 (1):47-58.
27	82.	Llewellyn S, Fitzpatrick R, Kenny DA, Patton J, Wathes DC: Endometrial expression of the
28		insulin-like growth factor system during uterine involution in the postpartum dairy cow.
29		Domest Anim Endocrinol 2008, 34 (4):391-402.
30	83.	Li R, He J, Chen X, Ding Y, Wang Y, Long C, Shen L, Liu X: Mmu-miR-193 is involved in embryo
31		implantation in mouse uterus by regulating GRB7 gene expression. Reprod Sci 2014,
32		21 (6):733-742.
33	84.	Cooke FN, Pennington KA, Yang Q, Ealy AD: Several fibroblast growth factors are expressed
34		during pre-attachment bovine conceptus development and regulate interferon-tau
35		expression from trophectoderm. <i>Reproduction</i> 2009, 137 (2):259-269.
36	85.	Tan J, Raja S, Davis MK, Tawfik O, Dey SK, Das SK: Evidence for coordinated interaction of
37		cyclin D3 with p21 and cdk6 in directing the development of uterine stromal cell
38		decidualization and polyploidy during implantation. <i>Mech Dev</i> 2002, 111 (1-2):99-113.
39	86.	O'Shea JJ, Gadina M, Schreiber RD: Cytokine signaling in 2002: new surprises in the Jak/Stat
40		pathway. Cell 2002, 109 Suppl :S121-131.
41	87.	Wira CR, Grant-Tschudy KS, Crane-Godreau MA: Epithelial cells in the female reproductive
42		tract: a central role as sentinels of immune protection. Am J Reprod Immunol 2005,
43		53 (2):65-76.
44	88.	Du MR, Wang SC, Li DJ: The integrative roles of chemokines at the maternal-fetal interface
45		in early pregnancy. Cell Mol Immunol 2014, 11 (5):438-448.
46	89.	Shankar K. Zhong Y. Kang P. Lau F. Blackburn ML. Chen JR. Borengasser SJ. Ronis MJ. Badger
47		TM: Maternal obesity promotes a proinflammatory signature in rat uterus and blastocyst.
48		Endocrinology 2011, 152 (11):4158-4170.
49	90.	Konner AC, Bruning JC: Toll-like receptors: linking inflammation to metabolism. Trends
50		Endocrinol Metab 2011, 22 (1):16-23.

1 91. Graugnard DE, Moyes KM, Trevisi E, Khan MJ, Keisler D, Drackley JK, Bertoni G, Loor JJ: Liver 2 lipid content and inflammometabolic indices in peripartal dairy cows are altered in 3 response to prepartal energy intake and postpartal intramammary inflammatory 4 challenge. J Dairy Sci 2013, 96(2):918-935. 5 92. Lopez-Meza JE, Gutierrez-Barroso A, Ochoa-Zarzosa A: Expression of tracheal antimicrobial 6 peptide in bovine mammary epithelial cells. Res Vet Sci 2009, 87(1):59-63. 7 93. Thatcher WW, Guzeloglu A, Mattos R, Binelli M, Hansen TR, Pru JK: Uterine-conceptus 8 interactions and reproductive failure in cattle. Theriogenology 2001, 56(9):1435-1450. 9 94. Spencer TE, Johnson GA, Bazer FW, Burghardt RC: Fetal-maternal interactions during the 10 establishment of pregnancy in ruminants. Soc Reprod Fertil Suppl 2007, 64:379-396. 11 95. Khan-Dawood FS, Yang J, Dawood MY: Hormonal regulation of connexin-43 in baboon 12 corpora lutea. J Endocrinol 1998, 157(3):405-414. 13 96. Blanks AM, Shmygol A, Thornton S: Regulation of oxytocin receptors and oxytocin receptor 14 signaling. Semin Reprod Med 2007, 25(1):52-59. 15 97. Arosh JA, Parent J, Chapdelaine P, Sirois J, Fortier MA: Expression of cyclooxygenases 1 and 16 2 and prostaglandin E synthase in bovine endometrial tissue during the estrous cycle. Biol 17 *Reprod* 2002, **67**(1):161-169. 18 98. Seo H, Choi Y, Yu I, Shim J, Lee CK, Hyun SH, Lee E, Ka H: Analysis of ENPP2 in the Uterine 19 Endometrium of Pigs Carrying Somatic Cell Nuclear Transfer Cloned Embryos. Asian-20 Australas J Anim Sci 2013, 26(9):1255-1261. 21 99. Simon C, Frances A, Piquette GN, el Danasouri I, Zurawski G, Dang W, Polan ML: Embryonic 22 implantation in mice is blocked by interleukin-1 receptor antagonist. Endocrinology 1994, 23 **134**(2):521-528. 24 100. Krebs DL, Hilton DJ: SOCS proteins: negative regulators of cytokine signaling. Stem Cells 25 2001, 19(5):378-387. 26 101. Carvalho AV, Reinaud P, Forde N, Healey GD, Eozenou C, Giraud-Delville C, Mansouri-Attia N, 27 Gall L, Richard C, Lonergan P et al: SOCS genes expression during physiological and 28 perturbed implantation in bovine endometrium. Reproduction 2014, 148(6):545-557. 29 102. Yue ZP, Yang ZM, Wei P, Li SJ, Wang HB, Tan JH, Harper MJ: Leukemia inhibitory factor, 30 leukemia inhibitory factor receptor, and glycoprotein 130 in rhesus monkey uterus during 31 menstrual cycle and early pregnancy. Biol Reprod 2000, 63(2):508-512. 32 103. Johnson S, Funston R, Hall J, Lamb G, Lauderdale J, Patterson D, Perry G: Protocols for 33 synchronization of estrus and ovulation. Proceedings Applied Reproductive Strategies in 34 Beef Cattle San Antonio, TX 2010. 35 104. Ntallaris T, Humblot P, Båge R, Sjunnesson Y, Dupont J, Berglund B: Effect of energy balance 36 profiles on metabolic and reproductive response in Holstein and Swedish Red cows. 37 *Theriogenology* 2017, **90**:276-283. 38 105. Edmonson A, Lean I, Weaver L, Farver T, Webster G: A body condition scoring chart for 39 Holstein dairy cows. Journal of dairy science 1989, 72(1):68-78. 40 106. Bevilacqua C, Makhzami S, Helbling J-C, Defrenaix P, Martin P: Maintaining RNA integrity in 41 a homogeneous population of mammary epithelial cells isolated by Laser Capture 42 Microdissection. BMC cell biology 2010, 11(1):95. 43 107. Love MI, Anders S, Kim V, Huber W: RNA-Seq workflow: gene-level exploratory analysis and 44 differential expression. F1000Res 2015, 4:1070. 45 108. Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C: Salmon provides fast and bias-aware 46 quantification of transcript expression. Nat Methods 2017, 14(4):417-419. 47 109. Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD: Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-level 48 estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res 2015, 4:1521. 49 110. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S: Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-50 seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 2014, 15(12):550.

- Supek F, Bosnjak M, Skunca N, Smuc T: **REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of gene ontology terms**. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(7):e21800.

Legends of figures

1	
Т	

2 Figure 1:

3]	Residual feed intake	(A) an	d plasma NEFA	concentrations	$(\mu mol/l;$	LSmeans \pm s.e.m.) (B)) of LO	CM-
----	----------------------	--------	---------------	----------------	---------------	----------------------	-----	----	---------	-----

- 4 selected SRB cows between observed start of the experiment and 56 days after calving in MNEB (■
- solid line; n = 5) and SNEB (\circ dashed line; n = 4) group. Significant differences were observed at 14
- 6 days before (a vs b; p < 0.05), and 14 days after calving (c vs d ; p < 0.05).

7

8 Figure 2: Transcriptomic analysis of endometrial cell types

9 (A) Venn diagram from genes expressed more than 10 TPM in specific endometrial cells (LE, luminal
10 epithelial cells; GE, glandular epithelial cells; ST, stromal cells) (numbers of identified genes are
11 indicated).

12 (B) Heat map of genes expressed by ST, GE and LE cells and clustering of the three cellular types

13 (the colors show the relative level of expression. Boxes highlight the more expressed genes for each

14 cell type [(a): stromal cells; (d): luminal epithelial cell type; (c): glandular epithelial cells: (d):

- 15 epithelial cell type].
- 16 (C) Principal component analysis for clustering expressed genes of the three endometrial cell types.

17 Confidence ellipses around the barycenter of each cell type are shown.

18

Figure 3: Scatterplot representation of biological process GO terms in semantic space using REVIGO.
GO terms overrepresented in the list of genes specific to the three different cell-types of bovine
endometrium (ST: stromal cells; GE: glandular epithelial cells; LE: luminal epithelial cells). Each
circle corresponds to log 10 p-values according to the color scale shown at the bottom left of each
figure. The size of each circle is proportional to the size of GO terms.

1	Figure 4: Effect of energy balance on transcriptome of endometrial cell types
2	(A) Principal component analysis of all three cell types: stromal cells (ST), glandular epithelium
3	(GE), and luminal epithelium (LE) among two groups of cow (severe negative energy balance; SNEB
4	and moderate negative energy balance; MNEB).
5	(B) Venn diagrams from differentially expressed genes differentially expressed (DEGs) between
6	SNEB and MNEB in each endometrial cell types (ST, GE and LE).
7	
8	Figure 5: Volcano plots of distribution of differentially expressed genes between SNEB and MNEB
9	for the three endometrial cell types ST (A), GE (B) and LE (C). The dotted lines in green and blue
10	represent the cut-off, respectively for the statistical significance [-Log10 (P-value), y-axis] and for +/-
11	2 log2fold change of gene expression [x-axis]. Differentially expressed genes are shown in red dots.
12	
13	Figure 6: STRING-generated protein-protein network at medium confidence level (0.4) from DEGs of
14	ST and GE endometrial cell types selected from significant KEGG pathways (Table 8) in comparison
15	between SNEB and MNEB cows.
16	
17	Figure 7: Isolation of the three bovine endometrial cell types by LCM: stromal cells (ST), glandular
18	epithelial cells (GE) and luminal epithelial cells (LE), before [(1): left column and arrows)] and after
19	[(2): right column] capture by LCM. (400x magnification)
20	
21	
22	
23	

1 Additional Files

2	Additional file 1 (TableS1_TS1-LE_TS2-GE_TS3-ST.xlsx):
3	Title of data: List of genes specifically expressed by the three endometrial cell types (excel file):
4	Sheet 1: list of genes specifically expressed by luminal cells
5	Sheet 2: list of genes specifically expressed by glandular cells
6	Sheet 3: list of genes specifically expressed by stromal cells
7	
8	Additional file 2 (TableS2_GO-REVIGO05_TS1-TS2-TS3.xlsx):
9	Title of data: List of GO term for under and over expressed genes three endometrial cell types (excel
10	file):
11	Sheet 1: over-represented GO terms for ST
12	Sheet 2: under-represented GO terms for ST
13	Sheet 3: over-represented GO terms for GE
14	Sheet 4: under-represented GO terms for GE
15	Sheet 5: over-represented GO terms for LE
16	Sheet 6: under-represented GO terms for LE
17	
18	Additional file 3 (TableS3_PCA_tables.xlsx):
19	Title of data: List of genes expressed by endometrial cells according to the first two dimensions of the
20	Principal Component Analysis (excel file):

21 Sheet 1: TS4_prolificPCAdim1_r+0.9_p0.01; genes positively correlated to first dimension

1	Sheet 2: TS5_prolificPCAdim1_r-0.9_p0.01; genes negatively correlated to first dimension
2	Sheet 3: TS6_prolificPCAdim2_r+0.8_p0.01; genes positively correlated to second dimension
3	Sheet 4: TS7_prolificPCAdim2_r-0.8_p0.01; genes negatively correlated to second dimension
4	
5	Additional file 4 (TableS4_TS8_TS9_TS10_DEG-SNEBvsMNEB.xlsx):
6	Title of data: List of differentially expressed genes between SNEB and MNEB (excel file):
7	Sheet 1: list of DEG for stromal cells between SNEB vs MNEB
8	Sheet 2: list of DEG for glandular cells between SNEB vs MNEB
9	Sheet 3: list of DEG for luminal cells between SNEB vs MNEB
10	
11	Additional file 5 (TableS5_david_ST-underexpressed.pdf):
12	Title of data: Gene Functional Classification Result (DAVID 6.8) of under-expressed genes in ST
13	cells from SNEB animals
14	
15	Additional file 6 (TableS6_david_ST-overexpressed.pdf):
16	Title of data: Gene Functional Classification Result (DAVID 6.8) of over-expressed genes in ST cells
17	from SNEB animals
18	
19	Additional file 7 (TableS7_david_GE-overexpressed.pdf):
20	Title of data: Gene Functional Classification Result (DAVID 6.8) of over-expressed genes in GE cells
21	from SNEB animals

1 <u>Additional file 8 (TableS8_david_LE-underexpressed.pdf):</u>

2 Gene Functional Classification Result (DAVID 6.8) of under-expressed genes in LE cells from SNEB
3 animals

4

5 <u>Additional file 9 (TableS9.pdf):</u>

6 Title of data: Number of samples of each cell type from MNEB and SNEB group. RNA Integrity

7 Number (RIN)] [mean value (± s.e.m)] and average number of tissue sections required to obtain at

8 least 10 ng of total RNA in each endometrial cell type.

9

10 <u>Additional file 10 (FigS1.pdf)</u>:

- 11 Title of data: Experimental design including 12 cows. From energy balance profiles 9 cows were
- 12 selected for LCM of endometrial tissue biopsies (5 mild NEB and 4 severe NEB cows). An arrow
- 13 with dash line indicate a timing for BCS measurement and blood sampling for NEFA measurement

Figures



figure 1

Figure 1

Residual feed intake (A) and plasma NEFA concentrations (μ mol/I; LSmeans ± s.e.m.) (B) of LCM selected SRB cows between observed start of the experiment and 56 days after calving in MNEB (\square solid line; n = 5)

and SNEB (\Box dashed line; n = 4) group. Significant differences were observed at 14 days before (a vs b; p < 0.05), and 14 days after calving (c vs d; p < 0.05).



Figure 2

Transcriptomic analysis of endometrial cell types (A) Venn diagram from genes expressed more than 10 TPM in specific endometrial cells (LE, luminal epithelial cells; GE, glandular epithelial cells; ST, stromal cells) (numbers of identified genes are indicated). (C) Principal component analysis for clustering

figure 2

expressed genes of the three endometrial cell types. Confidence ellipses around the barycenter of each cell type are shown.



figure 3

Figure 3

Scatterplot representation of biological process GO terms in semantic space using REVIGO. GO terms overrepresented in the list of genes specific to the three different cell-types of bovine endometrium (ST: stromal cells; GE: glandular epithelial cells; LE: luminal epithelial cells). Each circle corresponds to log 10

p-values according to the color scale shown at the bottom left of each figure. The size of each circle is proportional to the size of GO terms.



figure 4

Figure 4

Effect of energy balance on transcriptome of endometrial cell types (A) Principal component analysis of all three cell types: stromal cells (ST), glandular epithelium (GE), and luminal epithelium (LE) among two groups of cow (severe negative energy balance; SNEB and moderate negative energy balance; MNEB). (B)

Venn diagrams from differentially expressed genes differentially expressed (DEGs) between SNEB and MNEB in each endometrial cell types (ST, GE and LE).



Figure 5

Figure 5

Volcano plots of distribution of differentially expressed genes between SNEB and MNEB for the three endometrial cell types ST (A), GE (B) and LE (C). The dotted lines in green and blue represent the cut-off,

respectively for the statistical significance [-Log10 (P-value), y-axis] and for +/- 2 log2fold change of gene expression [x-axis]. Differentially expressed genes are shown in red dots.



Figure 6

STRING-generated protein-protein network at medium confidence level (0.4) from DEGs of ST and GE endometrial cell types selected from significant KEGG pathways (Table 8) in comparison between SNEB and MNEB cows.



figure 7

Figure 7

Isolation of the three bovine endometrial cell types by LCM: stromal cells (ST), glandular epithelial cells (GE) and luminal epithelial cells (LE), before [(1): left column and arrows)] and after [(2): right column] capture by LCM. (400x magnification)

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- FigS1.pdf
- TableS1TS1LETS2GETS3ST.xlsx
- TableS5davidSTunderexpressed.pdf
- TableS2GOREVIG005TS1TS2TS3.xlsx
- TableS6davidSToverexpressed.pdf
- TableS3PCAtables.xlsx
- TableS7davidGEoverexpressed.pdf
- TableS4TS8TS9TS10DEGSNEBvsMNEB.xlsx
- TableS8davidLEunderexpressed.pdf
- TableS9.pdf