

# **Adaptive diversification through structural variation in barley**

Murukarthick Jayakodi, Qiongxian Lu, Helene Pidon, M. Timothy Rabanus-Wallace, Micha Bayer, Thomas Lux, Yu Guo, Benjamin Jaegle, Ana Badea, Wubishet Bekele, et al.

# **To cite this version:**

Murukarthick Jayakodi, Qiongxian Lu, Helene Pidon, M. Timothy Rabanus-Wallace, Micha Bayer, et al.. Adaptive diversification through structural variation in barley. 2024. hal-04493576

# **HAL Id: hal-04493576 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04493576>**

Preprint submitted on 7 Mar 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

# 1 **Adaptive diversification through structural variation in barley**

2 Murukarthick Jayakodi<sup>1,31</sup>, Qiongxian Lu<sup>2,31</sup>, Hélène Pidon<sup>1,3,31</sup>, M. Timothy Rabanus-3 Wallace<sup>1,31</sup>, Micha Bayer<sup>4</sup>, Thomas Lux<sup>5</sup>, Yu Guo<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Jaegle<sup>6</sup>, Ana Badea<sup>7</sup>, Wubishet 4 Bekele<sup>8</sup>, Gurcharn S. Brar<sup>9</sup>, Katarzyna Braune<sup>2</sup>, Boyke Bunk<sup>10</sup>, Kenneth J. Chalmers<sup>11</sup>, Brett 5 Chapman<sup>12</sup>, Morten Egevang Jørgensen<sup>2</sup>, Jia-Wu Feng<sup>1</sup>, Manuel Feser<sup>1</sup>, Anne Fiebig<sup>1</sup>, 6 Heidrun Gundlach<sup>5</sup>, Wenbin Guo<sup>4</sup>, Georg Haberer<sup>5</sup>, Mats Hansson<sup>13</sup>, Axel Himmelbach<sup>1</sup>, 7 Iris Hoffie<sup>1</sup>, Robert E. Hoffie<sup>1</sup>, Haifei Hu<sup>12,14</sup>, Sachiko Isobe<sup>15</sup>, Patrick König<sup>1</sup>, Sandip M. 8 Kale<sup>2</sup>, Nadia Kamal<sup>5</sup>, Gabriel Keeble-Gagnère<sup>16</sup>, Beat Keller<sup>6</sup>, Manuela Knauft<sup>1</sup>, Ravi 9 Koppolu<sup>1</sup>, Simon G. Krattinger<sup>17</sup>, Jochen Kumlehn<sup>1</sup>, Peter Langridge<sup>11</sup>, Chengdao Li<sup>12,18</sup>, 10 Marina P. Marone<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Maurer<sup>19</sup>, Klaus F.X. Mayer<sup>5,20</sup>, Michael Melzer<sup>1</sup>, Gary J. 11 Muehlbauer<sup>21</sup>, Emiko Murozuka<sup>2</sup>, Sudharsan Padmarasu<sup>1</sup>, Dragan Perovic<sup>22</sup>, Klaus Pillen<sup>19</sup>, 12 Pierre A. Pin<sup>23</sup>, Curtis J. Pozniak<sup>24</sup>, Luke Ramsay<sup>4</sup>, Pai Rosager Pedas<sup>2</sup>, Twan Rutten<sup>1</sup>, Shun 13 Sakuma<sup>25</sup>, Kazuhiro Sato<sup>26,15</sup>, Danuta Schüler<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Schmutzer<sup>19</sup>, Uwe Scholz<sup>1</sup>, Miriam 14 Schreiber<sup>4</sup>, Kenta Shirasawa<sup>15</sup>, Craig Simpson<sup>4</sup>, Birgitte Skadhauge<sup>2</sup>, Manuel Spannagl<sup>5</sup>, 15 Brian J. Steffenson<sup>27</sup>, Hanne C. Thomsen<sup>2</sup>, Josquin F. Tibbits<sup>16</sup>, Martin Toft Simmelsgaard 16 Nielsen<sup>2</sup>, Corinna Trautewig<sup>1</sup>, Dominique Vequaud<sup>23</sup>, Cynthia Voss<sup>2</sup>, Penghao Wang<sup>12</sup>, 17 Robbie Waugh<sup>4,28</sup>, Sharon Westcott<sup>12</sup>, Magnus Wohlfahrt Rasmussen<sup>2</sup>, Runxuan Zhang<sup>4</sup>, **Xiao-Qi Zhang12, Thomas Wicker6**✉**, Christoph Dockter2**✉**, Martin Mascher** 18 **1,29**✉ **& Nils Stein** 19 **1,30**✉

- 20 <sup>1</sup> Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK) Gatersleben, Seeland,
- 21 Germany, <sup>2</sup>Carlsberg Research Laboratory, Copenhagen, Denmark, <sup>3</sup>IPSiM, Univ Montpellier,
- 22 CNRS, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France, <sup>4</sup>The James Hutton Institute, Dundee,
- 23 UK, <sup>5</sup>PGSB Plant Genome and Systems Biology, Helmholtz Center Munich German
- 24 Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany, <sup>6</sup> Department of Plant
- 25 and Microbial Biology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, <sup>7</sup> Brandon Research and
- 26 Development Centre, Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada, Brandon, Canada, <sup>8</sup>Ottawa Research
- 27 and Development Centre, Agriculture et Agri-Food Canada, Ottawa, Canada, <sup>9</sup> Faculty of
- 28 Land and Food Systems, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada, <sup>10</sup>DSMZ-
- 29 German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH, Braunschweig,
- 30 Germany, <sup>11</sup> School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide, Urrbrae,
- 31 Australia, <sup>12</sup>Western Crop Genetics Alliance, Food Futures Institute/School of Agriculture,
- 32 Murdoch University, Murdoch, Australia, <sup>13</sup> Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund,
- 33 Sweden, <sup>14</sup> Rice Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences,
- 34 Guangzhou, China, <sup>15</sup>Kazusa DNA Research Institute, Kisarazu, Japan, <sup>16</sup>Agriculture Victoria,
- 35 Department of Jobs, Precincts and Regions, Agribio, La Trobe University, Victoria, Bundoora,
- 36 Australia, <sup>17</sup> Plant Science Program, Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering
- 37 Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi
- 38 Arabia, <sup>18</sup> Department of Primary Industry and Regional Development, Government of
- 39 Western Australia, Perth, Australia, <sup>19</sup> Institute of Agricultural and Nutritional Sciences,
- 40 Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, Germany, <sup>20</sup> School of Life Sciences
- 41 Weihenstephan, Technical University Munich, Freising, Germany, <sup>21</sup> Department of
- 42 Agronomy and Plant Genetics, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA, <sup>22</sup> Institute
- 43 for Resistance Research and Stress Tolerance, Julius Kuehn-Institute (JKI), Federal Research
- 44 Centre for Cultivated Plants, Quedlinburg, Germany, <sup>23</sup> SECOBRA Recherches, Maule,
- 45 France, <sup>24</sup> Department of Plant Sciences and Crop Development Centre, University of
- 46 Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada, <sup>25</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, Tottori University, Tottori,
- 47 Japan, <sup>26</sup> Institute of Plant Science and Resources, Okayama University, Kurashiki,
- 48 Japan, <sup>27</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota,
- 49 USA, <sup>28</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK, <sup>29</sup> German Centre for
- 50 Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, <sup>30</sup> Center for
- 51 Integrated Breeding Research, Georg-August-University, Göttingen, Germany. <sup>31</sup> These
- 52 authors contributed equally to this work.
- 53 email: [wicker@botinst.uzh.ch;](mailto:wicker@botinst.uzh.ch) [christoph.dockter@carlsberg.com;](mailto:christoph.dockter@carlsberg.com) [mascher@ipk-](mailto:mascher@ipk-gatersleben.de)54 [gatersleben.de;](mailto:mascher@ipk-gatersleben.de) [stein@ipk-gatersleben.de.](mailto:stein@ipk-gatersleben.de)
- 55

 **Pangenomes are collec^ons of annotated genome sequences of mul^ple individuals of a species. The structural variants uncovered by these datasets are a major asset to gene^c analysis in crop plants. Here, we report a pangenome of barley comprising long-read sequence assemblies of 76 wild and domes^cated genomes and short-read sequence data of 1,315 genotypes. An expanded catalogue of sequence varia^on in the crop includes** 61 structurally complex loci that have become hot spots of gene copy number variation in **evolu^onarily recent ^mes. To demonstrate the u^lity of the pangenome, we focus on four loci involved in disease resistance, plant architecture, nutrient release, and trichome development. Novel allelic varia^on at a powdery mildew resistance locus and popula^on- specific copy number gains in a regulator of vegeta^ve branching were found. Expansion of a family of starch-cleaving enzymes in elite mal^ng barleys was linked to shies in enzyma^c activity in micro-malting trials. Deletion of an enhancer motif is likely to change the developmental trajectory of the hairy appendages on barley grains. Our findings indicate that rapid evolu^on at structurally complex loci may have helped crop plants adapt to new** 70 selective regimes in agricultural ecosystems.

71

72 Reliable crop yields fueled the rise of human civilizafons. As people embraced a new way of 73 life, cultivated plants, too, had to adapt to the needs of their domesticators. There are 74 different adaptive requirements in a wild compared to an arable habitat. Crop plants and their 75 wild progenitors differ in how many vegetative branches they initiate or how many seeds or 76 fruits they produce and when. For example, barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) in six-rowed forms of 77 the crops, thrice as many grains set as in the ancestral two-rowed forms. This change was 78 brought about by knock-out mutations<sup>1</sup> of a recently evolved regulator<sup>2</sup> of inflorescence 79 development. Consequently, six-rowed barleys came to predominate in most barley-growing 80 regions<sup>3</sup>. Taking a broader view of the environment as a set of exogeneous factors that drive 81 natural selection, barley provides another fascinating, and economically important example. 82 The process of malting involves the sprouting of moist barley grains, driving the release of 83 enzymes that break down starch into fermentable sugars. In the wild, various environmental 84 cues can trigger germination to improve the odds of the emerging seedling encountering 85 favorable weather conditions for subsequent growth<sup>4</sup>. In the malt house, by contrast, 86 germination of modern varieties has to be fast and uniform to satisfy the desired specifications 87 of the industry. In addition to these examples, traits such as disease resistance, plant 88 architecture and nutrient use have been both a focus for plant breeders and studied 89 intensively barley geneticists<sup>5</sup>. While barley genetic analyses flourished during a "classical" 90 period<sup>6</sup> in the first half of the 20th century, it started to lag behind small-genome models due

91 to difficulties in adapting molecular biology techniques to a large genome rich in repeats<sup>7</sup>. 92 However, interest in barley as diploid model for temperate cereals has surged again as DNA 93 sequencing became more powerful. High-quality sequences of several barley genomes have 94 been recently assembled<sup>8</sup>. New sequencing technologies have shifted the focus of barley 95 genomics: from the modest ambifon of a physical map of all genes to a "pangenome", i.e. 96 near-complete sequence assemblies<sup>9</sup> of many genomes. Here, we report a pangenome 97 comprising 76 chromosome-scale sequences assembled from long-reads as well as short-read 98 sequences of 1,315 barley genomes. These data in conjunction with genetic and genomic 99 analyses provide insights into the effects of structural variation at loci related to crop evolution 100 and adaptation.

101

#### 102 *An expanded annotated pangenome of barley*

103

104 As in previous diversity studies<sup>8,10</sup>, we aimed for a judicious mix of representativeness, diversity and integrafon with community resources (**Fig. 1a**, **Extended Data Fig. 1a-c**, **Supplementary Table 1**). We selected (i) diverse domesfcated germplasm with a focus on genebank accessions from barley's center of diversity in the Middle East; (ii) 23 accessions of barley's conspecific wild progenitor *H. vulgare* subsp. *spontaneum* from across that taxon's 109 geographic range (**Extended Data Fig. 1d**); and (iii) cultivars of agronomic or scientific relevance. Examples of the last category are Bonus, Foma and Bowman, three parents of 111 classical mutants<sup>11</sup>. Genome sequences of each accession were assembled to contig-level 112 from PacBio HiFi accurate long reads<sup>12</sup> and scaffolded with conformation capture sequencing (Hi-C) data13 113 to chromosome-scale pseudomolecules (**Extended Data Fig. 2a**, **Supplementary Table 1)**. Gene models were annotated with the help of transcriptional evidence and 115 homology. Illumina RNA sequencing and PacBio isoform sequencing of five different tissues (**Supplementary Table 2**) were generated for 20 accessions. Gene models predicted in these genomes were projected onto the remaining 56 sequence assemblies (**Supplementary Table 3**). Out of 4,896 single-copy genes conserved across the Poales, on average fewer than 92 (1.9%) were absent in the pangenome annotafons (**Supplementary Table 3**). Our assemblies 120 also met the other quality metrics proposed by the EarthBiogenome project<sup>14</sup> (**Supplementary Table 1**).

- 122
- 123 An atlas of structural variation
- 124

125 Gene content variafon was abundant in the barley pangenome. The gene models in the 76 126 genomes were clustered into 95,735 orthologous groups(**Extended Data Fig. 3**), of which only 127 16,672 (17.4%) were present in all 76 genotypes. Of these groups, 14,736 had a single 128 representative in each of the genomes. At the level of individual gene models, a third were 129 considered conserved because they belong to an orthologous group with representafves 130 from each accession (**Extended Data Fig. 3b**). As expected for conspecific populafons 131 connected by gene flow, wild and domesticated barleys were not strongly differentiated in 132 their gene content: of 78,565 orthologous groups subject to presence/absence variation, only 133 863 and 397 were private to wild and domesticated barleys, respectively. The functional 134 annotations of clusters restricted to specific gene pools (wild forms, landraces, cultivars and 135 combinations of these groups) pointed to an involvement in biotic and abiotic stress responses 136 (**Supplementary Table 4**).

137 To expand the catalogue of presence/absence variants (PAV), insertion and deletions (indels) 138 and polymorphic inversions, we aligned the genome sequences and detected structural 139 variants (**Fig. 1b**, **Extended Data Fig. 2b-d**, **Extended Data Fig. 3c**). Noteworthy were two 140 reciprocal interchromosomal translocations, the first in HOR 14273, an Iranian landrace, and 141 the second in HID055, a wild barley from Turkey (Fig. 1b). The latter event joins the short arm 142 of chromosome 2H with the long arm of chromosome 4H (and vice versa) and manifests itself 143 in a biparental population between HID055 and Barke<sup>15</sup> in interchromosomal linkage (Fig. 1c) 144 and incomplete seed set in the offspring. This illustrates that inadvertent selection of 145 germplasm with structural variants can create obstacles for the use of plant genetic resources. 146 The presence of both wild and domesticated barleys in our panel made it possible to compare 147 the levels of structural diversity in the two taxa. Graph structures tabulating the presence and absence of single-copy loci in individual genomes<sup>8</sup> grew faster in wild than in cultivated forms 149 (**Fig. 1d**): a larger amount of single-copy sequence was present in 23 wild barley genomes than 150 in 53 genomes of the domesticate. This pattern was also seen in a whole-genome graph 151 constructed with minigraph<sup>16</sup> (**Extended Data Fig. 4e**). The pangenome graph improves the 152 accuracy of read alignment and variant calling: more reads were aligned as proper pairs, and 153 with fewer mismatches, to the graph than to a single reference genome (**Extended Data Fig.**  154 **4b**). The genome-wide distribution of structural variants encapsulated in the graph matched 155 that inferred from pairwise alignments (**Extended Data Fig. 4c-d**). However, owing to high 156 computational requirements<sup>17</sup>, pangenome graph construction with packages supporting 157 small variants (< 50 bp) is still computationally prohibitive in barley.

158 Despite domestication bottlenecks, genetic diversity is high in cultivated barley<sup>5</sup>. To quantify 159 the completeness of the haplotype inventory of our pangenome, we compared our 160 assemblies against short-read data of a global diversity panel (**Supplementary Table 5**). A core set of 1,000 genotypes selected from a collection of 22,626 barleys<sup>3</sup> was sequenced to three-162 fold haploid genome coverage. Nested therein, 200 genomes<sup>8</sup> were sequenced to 10-fold 163 depth and the gene space of 46 accessions was represented in the contigs assembled from 164 50-fold short-read data (**Extended Data Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table 6)**. A total of 315 elite 165 culfvars of European ancestry were sequenced to 3-fold coverage (**Extended Data Fig. 5a,**  166 **Supplementary Table 5**). More than 164.5 million single-nucleofde polymorphisms (SNPs) 167 and indels were detected across all panels (**Extended Data Fig. 5b**). Overlaying these with the 168 pangenome showed that the 76 chromosome-scale assemblies captured almost all pericentric 169 haplotypes of culfvated barley (**Extended Data Fig. 2d-f**). Coverage decreased to as low as 170 50% in distal regions, where haplotypes of plant genetic resources lacked a close relative in 171 the pangenome more often than those of elite cultivars (**Extended Data Fig. 2e-f**). This 172 suggests that, thanks to broad taxon sampling, short-read sequencing will remain 173 indispensable for the time being, but in the future population-scale long-read sequencing<sup>18</sup> 174 will be a desirable in agricultural genetics as it is in medical genetics.

- 175
- 176 *An inventory of complex loci*

177

178 Long-read sequencing has the power to resolve structurally complex genomic regions, where 179 repeated cycles of tandem duplication, mutation of duplicated genes and elimination by 180 deletion or recombination have created a panoply of diverged copies of one or multiple genes 181 in varied arrangements (**Extended Data Fig. 6a**). Many complex loci are infmately linked to the evolufon of resistance genes19 182 . An illustrafve example is barley's *Mildew resistance locus*  183 *a* (*Mla*)<sup>20,21</sup>, which contains three families of resistance gene homologs, each with multiple 184 members at the locus. A 40 kb region containing members of two families is repeated four 185 times head-to-tail in RGT Planet, but is not present in even a single complete copy in 62 186 accessions of our pangenome (**Extended Data Fig. 6b-c**). *Mla* genes *sensu strictu*, i.e. those 187 that have been experimentally proven to provide functional powdery mildew resistance, are 188 among members of a subfamily that resides outside of this duplication but close to its distal 189 border (**Fig. 2a-b**, **Extended Data Fig. 6b-c**). Twenty-nine *Mla* alleles in the narrow sense have 190 been defined to date<sup>22</sup>. Gene models identical to seven were identified in our pangenome 191 (**Fig. 2a**). However, the sequence variafon went beyond this observafon: 149 unique gene 192 models were different from, but highly similar to known *Mla* alleles, with nucleofde 193 sequences at least 98% identical. Some of these genes were present in multiple copies. HOR 194 8117, a landrace from Nepal, contained 11 different close homologs of *Mla,* two of which were 195 present in five copies each (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). Genome sequences alone cannot inform 196 us how this sequence diversity relates to resistance to powdery mildew or other diseases<sup>23</sup>. 197 Until the advent of long-read sequencing, it was virtually impossible to resolve the structure 198 of the *Mla* locus in multiple genomes at once, but now it is a corollary of pangenomics.

199 We employed a gene-agnostic method<sup>24</sup> to scan the genome sequence of Morex for 200 structurally complex loci harbouring genes, focusing on examples that had evidently caused 201 gene copy number variation across the pangenome via the expansion or collapse of long 202 tandem repeats. A total of 173 loci ranging in size from 20 kb to 2.2 Mb (median: 125 kb) 203 matched our criteria (**Fig. 2c, Supplementary Table 7**). Their copy numbers were variable in 204 the pangenome. The most extreme case was a cluster of genes annotated by homology as 205 thionin genes, which are possibly involved in resistance to herbivory<sup>25</sup>. The locus had as few 206 as three thionin gene copies in the wild barley WBDC103 and up to 78 copies in WBDC199, 207 another wild barley (**Extended Data Fig. 6d**). Genes associated with such complex loci 208 possessed functional annotations suggesting involvement in various biological processes (Fig. 209 **2c, Supplementary Table 7**). Complex loci were enriched in distal chromosomal regions (**Fig.**  210 **2d**). In this regard, they follow the same distal-to-proximal gradient as genetic diversity and 211 recombination frequency. The latter process might play a role in their amplification and 212 contraction owing to unequal homologous recombination between neighboring repeat units<sup>26</sup> 213 (Extended Data Fig. 6a). Molecular dating of the tandem duplications in Morex is consistent 214 with rapid evolution (Extended Data Fig. 7): loci with many gene copies appear to have gained 215 them within the last three million years (**Extended Data Fig. 7c**), after the *H. vulgare* lineage 216 split from that of its closest relative *H. bulbosum<sup>27</sup>*. In addition, 63 loci (36.4%) underwent at 217 least one duplication in the last 10,000 years, that is, after domestication (**Extended Data Fig.** 218 **7d)**. Forty-five loci expanded so recently that the genes they harboured were identical 219 duplicates of each other.

220 One interesting case of such recent diversification was a duplication at the *HvTB1* locus (also 221 known as *INTERMEDIUM-C* [*INT-C*] or *SIX ROWED SPIKE 5*). HvTB1 is a TEOSINTE BRANCHED 222 1, CYCLOIDEA, PCF1 (TCP) transcription factor involved in basal branching (tillering) and other 223 aspects of plant architecture in cereal grasses<sup>28-30</sup>. In barley, both tillering and the fertility of 224 lateral spikelets is increased in knock-out mutants<sup>30,31</sup>. Just two alleles, *Int-c.a* and *int-c.b.* 225 dominate in six-rowed and two-rowed forms<sup>30</sup>, respectively, and  $HvTBI$  is not genetically 226 linked to the *SIX ROWED SPIKE 1* gene. Both alleles of *HvTB1* are thought to be funcfonal and 227 occur also in wild barley<sup>30,32</sup>. These patterns have defied easy explanation. Expression 228 differences owing to regulatory variation have been postulated but not proven<sup>30</sup>. The 229 pangenome adds another twist. *HvTB1* is a single-copy gene in all 22 *H. spontaneum* 230 accessions and 23 two-rowed domesticates except HOR 7385 (**Supplementary Table 8**). Six231 rowed forms, however, have up to four copies of a 21 kb segment that contains *HvTB1* and ~5 232 kb of its upstream sequence (Fig. 2b). The reference cultivar Morex has three copies, although 233 these were falsely collapsed in previous short-read assemblies of that variety<sup>33</sup>. On top of 234 variable copy numbers, the pangenome revealed six hitherto unknown HvTB1 protein variants 235 (**Extended Data Fig. 6d, Supplementary Table 8**). Reduced fllering in maize has been 236 attributed to overexpression of *TB1*. The barley pangenome will help developmental 237 geneticists reveal if copy number gains had analogous effects in six-rowed forms.

238

239 *Amplifica9on of* α-*amylases in mal9ng barley*

240

 Among the complex loci we examined, the *amy1\_1* locus of α-amylases is arguably the one of greatest economic importance. These enzymes cleave the polysaccharide starch into short-243 chain forms, which are then digested further into sugars<sup>34</sup>. In both wild and cultivated forms, 244 the speed and efficiency of that process determines the energy supply to and hence the vigor 245 of the young seedling<sup>35</sup>. In grains of domesticated barley, the enzymatic conversion of starch 246 into fermentable sugars by  $\alpha$ -amylases initiates the malting process. Barley  $\alpha$ -amylases are subdivided into four families, which occupy disfnct genomic loci (**Extended Data Fig. 8a, Supplementary Tables 9 and 10**). Earlier genome sequences assembled from short reads 249 hinted at the presence of structural variation at the *amy1* 1 locus on chromosome 6H, 250 respectively, but failed to resolve copy numbers<sup>36</sup>. By contrast, each of our long-read 251 assemblies covered *amy1* 1 in a single contig (**Extended Data Fig. 9a**). Copy numbers of *amy1\_1* in 76 complete genomes varied between two and eight, with on average more copies in domesfcated than in wild forms **(Fig. 3a, b)**. Individual copies were addressable by 21-mers that overlap sequence variants. We counted these 21-mers in the short-reads of 1,315 genotypes and also determined SNP haplotypes around the *amy1\_1* locus in these data (**Extended Data Figs. 8e-f, 9b**, **Supplementary Tables 11 and 12**). Eight clusters were 257 discernible and could be related to population structure. Three-quarters of hulless barleys were in cluster #7. Six-rowed barleys belonged mostly to clusters #1 and #6. Among 315 European variefes, clusters #5 and #6 were most common. Clusters #3 and #8 with fewer *amy1* 1 copies were exclusive to plant genetic resources. Barleys from eastern and central Asian countries tend to have high copy numbers. *Amy1\_1* copy numbers were higher on 262 average in elite varieties than in other barleys (Fig. 3b). Structural diversity was accompanied by differences in gene sequence owing to SNPs and indels in open reading frames and promoters. The 76 genome assemblies had 94 disfnct *amy1\_1* haplotypes (**Fig. 3c**, **Extended Data Fig. 8b**, **Supplementary Tables 13-16**). Twelve had inserfons of transposable elements (**Supplementary Table 17**). At the protein level, there were 38 unique AMY1\_1 isoforms (**Supplementary Tables 18 and 19**), some of which were predicted to affect protein<sup>37</sup> stability 268 and thereby influence  $\alpha$ -amylase activity (**Fig. 3d, e**).

269 We investigated in more detail the elite malting barleys Morex, Barke and RGT Planet (Fig. 3, 270 **Supplementary Tables 20 and 21**). Prior to its use as a genome reference culfvar, Morex was 271 a successful variety in North America. It had six nearly identical (> 99 % similarity) *amy1 1* 272 copies. (Fig. 3a). The fifth copy was disrupted by the insertion of a transposable element. Full-273 length copies were verified by PacBio amplicon sequencing. Barke, a European culfvar, had 274 six full-length copies, albeit of a different haplotype. RGT Planet, currently a successful culfvar 275 in many barley-growing regions around the world, had five copies, one of which was likely to 276 be inactivated by a 32 bp deletion in a pyr-box (CTTT(A/T) core) promoter binding site that is 277 essential for  $\alpha$ -amylase transcription<sup>38</sup>. We tested overall  $\alpha$ -amylase activity in micro-malting

278 trials with RGT Planet and near-isogenic lines (NILs) that carried Morex and Barke *amy1\_1* 279 haplotypes in the genomic background of RGT Planet. It was observed that  $\alpha$ -amylase activity 280 was highest in amy1\_1-Barke NILs (**Fig. 3e**). The Barke haplotype is common not only in 281 cultivars favored by European maltsters, but also among those from other regions of the 282 world, where barley  $\alpha$ -amylases need to be abundant enough to cleave starch from adjuncts 283 such as maize and rice (Supplementary Table 22). The patterns of sequence variation at 284 *amy1\_1* uncovered by the barley pangenome pave the way for the targeted deployment, 285 possibly even design, of *amy1\_1* haplotypes in breeding.

286

288

#### 287 A regulatory variation controls trichome development

289 Our last example sits at the intersection of developmental genetics, breeding and 290 domestication. Hairy appendages to grains and awns are conducive to seed dispersal in wild 291 plants, but have lost this function in domesticates<sup>39</sup>. A pertinent example are the hairs on the 292 rachillae of barley grains. In barley, the rachilla is the rudimentary secondary axis of the 293 inflorescence, where multiple grains are set in wheat<sup>40</sup>. In the single-grained spikelets of 294 barley, the rachilla is a thin and hairy thread-like structure nested in the ventral crease of the 295 grains. The long hairs of the rachillae of wild barleys and most cultivated forms are unicellular, 296 while the short hairs of some domesticated types are multicellular and branched (Fig. 4a, 297 **Extended Data Fig. 10a**). This seemingly minor difference in a vestigial organ belies its 298 importance in variety registration trials<sup>41</sup>, where breeders would like to predict the trait with 299 a diagnostic marker. *Short rachilla hair* 1 (*srh1*) is also a classical locus in barley genetics<sup>42</sup>. It 300 has been mapped genetically<sup>8,43</sup> (Fig. 4b) and both long- and short-haired genotypes are 301 included in our pangenome. Fine-mapping in a population of 2,398 recombinant inbred lines 302 derived from a cross<sup>36,44</sup> between cultivars Morex (short, *srh1*) and Barke (long, *Srh1*) 303 delimited the causal variant to a 113 kb interval on the long arm of chromosome 5H (**Fig. 4c,**  304 **Supplementary Table 23**). Outside of this interval (which is itself devoid of annotated gene 305 models), but within 11 kb of the distal flanking marker is a homolog of a *SIAMESE-RELATED* 306 (*SMR*) gene of the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana<sup>45,46</sup>*. Members of this family of cyclin-307 dependent kinase inhibitors control endoreduplication in trichomes of that species. In barley, 308 hair cell development is likewise accompanied by endopolyploidy-dependent cell size 309 increases (**Extended Data Fig. 10b**). The SMR-homolog was expressed in the rachilla's 310 developing trichomes(**Extended Data Fig. 10e**), but there were no differences between Morex 311 and Barke in the sequence of this otherwise plausible candidate gene. Despite this conflicting 312 evidence, we proceeded with mutafonal analysis and obtained several mutants using FIND-IT47 313 (**Extended Data Fig. 10c,d**) and Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis (**Fig. 4d**, 314 **Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Tables 24 and 25**). Mutants of long-haired genotypes 315 with knock-out variants or a nonsynonymous change in a Pro phosphorylation motif (Thr62-316 Pro63) had short, multicellular rachillae, supporting the idea that the gene in question, 317 *HORVU.MOREX.r3.5HG0492730,* is indeed *HvSRH1*. Sequence variants in *HvSRH1* idenffied in 318 the pangenome did not lend itself to easy explanation: 18 protein haplotypes caused by 23 319 non-synonymous variants bore no obvious relafon to the phenotype (**Supplementary Table**  320 **26**). Thus, we then examined regulatory variafon. All 14 short-haired genotypes in the 321 pangenome lacked a 4,273 bp sequence (**Fig. 4c**), which was excepfonally well conserved in 322 long-haired types, with 95% overall idenfty to Barke. Within this sequence, we found the 323 moff CATCGGATCCTT, matching the sequence [ATC]T[ATC]GGATNC[CT][ATC], which is recognized by regulators of SMR expression in *A. thaliana48* 324 . That sequence was repeated five

325 times in Barke. The closest unit in long-haired types was no further than 13.6 kb from the 326 gene, while the minimum distance between the gene and its putative enhancer motif in short- haired types was 22.3 kb, owing to the 4.3 kb delefon (**Fig. 4c**). *HvSRH1* expression during rachilla hair elongafon is higher in long-haired than in short-haired genotypes(**Extended Data Fig. 10f**). Gene edits of the enhancer region, guided by the pangenome sequences, will further elucidate the transcripfonal regulafon of *HvSRH1*.

- 331
- 332 *Discussion*

333

334 The recently published human draft pangenome demonstrated how contiguous long-read 335 sequences help make sense of reams of sequence data<sup>49</sup>. Our study on barley pangenome 336 sheds light on crop evolufon and breeding. The shortcomings of previous short-read 337 assemblies made it all but impossible to see patterns that now emerge from their long-read 338 counterparts. We were able for the first time to study the evolution of structurally complex 339 loci of nearly identical tandem repeats. Our developmental insights are admittedly still 340 cursory: true to the hypothesis-generating remit of genomics, and at least as many questions 341 were raised as answered. We studied four loci – *Mla*, *HvTB1*, *amy1\_1*, *HvSRH1* – and the traits 342 they control: disease resistance, plant architecture, starch mobilizafon and the hairiness of a 343 rudimentary appendage to the grain. In two of these examples, phenotypic diversity has 344 visibly increased in domesfcated forms: there are no six-rowed or short-haired wild barleys. 345 Malting created new selective pressures that only cultivated forms experienced. Novel allelic 346 variation at disease resistance loci is both illustrative of the power of pangenomics and in line 347 with our understanding of how disease resistance genes evolve. Structural variation at 348 *amy1* 1 has been known for some time, but previous attempts at resolving the structure of 349 the locus had been thwarted by incomplete genome sequences. Tandem duplications and 350 deletions of regulatory elements, respectively, at *HvTB1* and *HvSRH1* was surprising since for 351 many years barley genefcists considered the loci as monofactorial recessive. Much of the 352 variation seems to have arisen after domestication, either because mutations that appear with 353 clock-like regularity were absent or copy numbers were lower in the wild progenitor than in 354 the domesticated forms. A common concern among crop conservationists is dangerously 355 reduced genetic diversity in cultivated plants<sup>50</sup>. But crop evolution need not be a 356 unidirectional loss of diversity. This study has shown that valuable diversity can arise after 357 domestication. Rapid evolution at structurally complex loci may endow domesticated plants 358 with a means of adaptive diversification that aptly fulfills the needs of farmers and breeders. 359 More diverse crop pangenomes will help us understand how the counteracting forces of past 360 domestication bottlenecks and newly arisen structural variants influence future crop 361 improvement in changing climates.

362

# 363 **Acknowledgments**

 We are grateful for the technical assistance of Susanne König, Ines Walde, Sabine Sommerfeld, John Fuller, Nicola McCallum and Malcolm Macaulay and thank Thomas Münch, Jens Bauernfeind and Heiko Miehe for IT administrafon. Andreas Börner supported the development of the core1000 diversity panel. Sequencing of Chikurin Ibaraki 1 was performed at the Insftute for Clinical Molecular Biology, Competence Centre for Genomic Analysis (CCGA), Kiel University, Kiel, Germany under the supervision of Dr. Janina Fuß. Sequencing of HOR 4224 was performed at Genomics & Transcriptomics Labor (BMFZ) Heinrich-Heine-Universität, Universitätsklinikum Düsseldorf, Germany under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Karl 372 Köhrer. RGT Planet and Maximus were sequenced at Genomics WA under the supervision of 373 Dr. Alka Saxena and at Novogene, respectively. N.S., M.M., K.F.X.M., M.Spannagl and U.S. were 374 supported by grants from the German Ministry of Research and Education (BMBF, 031B0190 375 and 031B0884). D.P. was supported by BMBF grant 031B0199B and the German Federal 376 Ministry of Food and Agriculture (grant 2818BIJP01). U.S.'s research is supported by the 377 German Research Foundation (DFG, grant 442032008). C.D., Q.L., P.R.P. and B.S. gratefully 378 acknowledge support from the Carlsberg Foundafon to B.S. (grants CF15-0236, CF15-0476, 379 CF15-0672) and thank F. Lok, S. Knudsen, G. B. Fincher and K. G. Jørgensen for providing 380 valuable scientific thoughts and discussions on barley  $\alpha$ -amylases and malt quality. R.W., M.B., 381 M.Schreiber, W.G., R.Z. and C.S. received funding from the Rural and Environment Science and 382 Analytical Services Division (RESAS, grant KJHI-B1-2). W.G. and R.Z. were supported by grant 383 BB/S020160/1 from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC). C.P. 384 acknowledges support from Genome Canada and Genome Prairie. B.K. acknowledges a grant 385 from the Swiss National Science Foundation (310030 204165). C.L., K.C. and P.L. were 386 supported by a grant from the Grain Research and Development Corporation (UMU1806-387 002RTX), by the Department of Primary Industry and Regional Development Western Australia 388 and by Pawsey Australia (for computational resources). K.P. and T.S. received a grant from DFG 389 (HAPPAN, 433162815). G.S.B. has received support from the Saskatchewan Ministry of 390 Agriculture (grant ADF20200165) and from the Saskatchewan Barley Development 391 Commission, Western Grains Research Foundation, Alberta Barley Commission and the 392 Manitoba Crop Alliance (grant ADF20210677). A.B. and W.B. are recipients of the TUGBOAT 393 grant from the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada – Genomics Research and Development 394 Initiative and the grant "Unlocking barley endophyte microbiome to enhance plant health and 395 grain quality" from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada – A-Base – Foundational Science. M.H. 396 is supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR 2022-03858), the Swedish Research Council 397 for Environment, Agricultural Sciences, and Spafal Planning (FORMAS 2018-01026), the Erik 398 Philip-Sörensen Foundation and the Royal Physiographic Society in Lund. K. Sato's research is 399 funded by the Japan Science and Technology Agency (grant no. 18076896) and the Japan 400 Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS, grant no. 23H00333). K.Shirasawa is supported by 401 the JSPS grants nos. 22H05172 and 22H05181. S.S. acknowledges the Research Support 402 Project for the Next Generation at Tottori University. B.S. is supported by the Lieberman-403 Okinow Endowment at the University of Minnesota and S.G.K. by baseline funding at KAUST. 404 The authors acknowledge the Research/Scientific Computing teams at The James Hutton 405 Insftute and NIAB for providing computafonal resources and technical support for the "UK's 406 Crop Diversity Bioinformafcs HPC" (BBSRC grant BB/S019669/1), use of which has contributed 407 to the results reported in this paper.

408

#### 409 Author contributions

 N.S. and M.Mascher designed the study. N.S. coordinated experiments and sequencing. M.Mascher and M.J. supervised sequence assembly. M.Spannagl and K.F.X.M. supervised 412 annotation. U.S. supervised data management and submission. Selection of genotypes: A.B., W.B., G.S.B., K.J.C., Y.G., M.H., B.K., S.G.K., P.L., C.L., M.Mascher, A.M., G.J.M., D.P., K.P., C.J.P., S.S., K.Sato, T.S., B.S., N.S., R.W. Genome sequencing: B.B., A.H., S.I., M.K., C.L., S.P., S.S., K.Sato, T.S., M.Schreiber, K.Shirasawa, N.S., S.W., X.Z. Sequence assembly: B.C., H.H., M.J., G.K.-G., M.Mascher, S.P., K.Sato, T.S., J.F.T. Transcriptome sequencing and analysis: W.G., A.H., S.P., C.S., 417 N.S., R.Z. Annotation: H.G., G.H., N.K., T.L., K.F.X.M., M.Spannagl. Analysis and interpretation of structural variants: M.B., B.C., J.-W.F., Y.G., M.J., C.L., M.P.M., A.M., S.P., H.P., K.P., T.S.,

 M.Schreiber, P.W. Analysis of complex loci: B.J., B.K., M.T.R.-W., N.S., T.W. Analysis of *amy1\_1* locus: K.B., C.D., M.E.J., B.J., M.J., S.M.K., Q.L., M.P.M., E.M., P.A.P., P.R.P., B.S., H.C.T., M.T.S.N., D.V., C.V., M.W.R. *srh1* analysis: C.D., M.E.J., I.H., R.E.H., M.J., R.K., J.K., Q.L., M.Melzer, H.P., L.R., P.R.P., T.R., B.S., N.S., H.C.T., C.T., C.V., M.W.R. Data management and submission: M.B., M.F., A.F., M.J., P.K., M.Mascher, D.S., U.S. Wrifng: M.B., C.D., M.E.J., M.J., Q.L., M.Mascher, 424 N.S., T.W. Coordination: C.D., M.Mascher, N.S. All authors read and commented on the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

 K.B., C.D., M.E.J., S.M.K., Q.L., E.M., P.R.P., B.S., H.C.T., M.T.S.N., C.V., M.W.R. are current or previous Carlsberg A/S employees. P.A.P. and D.V. are SECOBRA Recherches employees. All 430 other authors declare no competing interests.

- 
- **List of supplementary items**
- 
- **Supplementary Figure 1:** Structure and copy number variafon at *Mla* at different thresholds for alignment similarity.
- **Supplementary Figure 2:** Targeted mutagenesis at *HvSRH1.*
- **Supplementary Table 1:** Passport data of 76 genotypes and statistics and accession codes of
- their long-read assemblies
- **Supplementary Table 2:** Accession codes of transcriptome data
- **Supplementary Table 3:** Gene annotation statistics
- **Supplementary Table 4:** Gene ontology enrichment in genepool-specific orthologous groups
- **Supplementary Table 5**: Passport data of 1,315 genotypes sequenced with short reads,
- accession codes and mapping stats
- **Supplementary Table 6**: Stafsfcs and accession codes of 46 gene-space assemblies
- **Supplementary Table 7:** List of 173 structurally complex loci.
- **Supplementary Table 8:** Allelic profiles of 76 barley accessions at the 4H\_015772 locus (*Int-c*) and at *Vrs1*.
- **Supplementary Table 9:** α-amylase gene IDs and chromosomal locafons in Morex.
- **Supplementary Table 10:** Sequence idenfty matrix of germinafon-related *amy1*, *amy2* and
- *amy3* genes in the Morex genome. *amy4* genes involved in general starch metabolism were
- 451 excluded due to low sequence identity with other  $\alpha$ -amylases.
- **Supplementary Table 11:** SNP haplotype clustering analyses and k-mer based *amy1\_1* copy
- 453 number estimation in 1,000 plant genetic resources
- **Supplementary Table 12:** SNP haplotype clustering analyses and k-mer based *amy1\_1* copy 455 number estimation in 315 European elite cultivars
- **Supplementary Table 13:** Overview of *amy1\_1* unique ORFs (start to stop codon including
- intron). HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 was used as the reference.
- **Supplementary Table 14:** Overview of *amy1\_1* ORF haplotypes (ORFHap#).
- **Supplementary Table 15:** Overview of *amy1\_1* unique CDS.
- HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 was used as the reference.
- **Supplementary Table 16:** Overview of *amy1\_1* CDS haplotypes (CDSHap#).
- **Supplementary Table 17:** *amy1\_1* genes with inserfons of transposable elements in the
- genome assemblies.
- **Supplementary Table 18:** Overview of *amy1\_1* unique proteins.
- HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 was used as the reference.

 **Supplementary Table 19:** Overview of *amy1\_1* protein haplotypes (ProtHap#). **Supplementary Table 20:** Amino acid variafon in three *amy1\_1* haplotypes commonly found 468 in elite varieties (Morex, Barke and RGT Planet). **Supplementary Table 21:** DynaMut2 predicfon of protein stability changes by amino acid 470 variants found in three BPGv2 representatives of widely used *amy1* 1 haplotypes found in elite breeding material (Morex, Barke and RGT Planet). **Supplementary Table 22:** *amy1\_1*-Barke haplotype genotyping of AMBA(American Malfng 473 Barley Association)-recommended two-row spring malting barley varieties accredited for adjunct brewing. **Supplementary Table 23:** PACE markers designed in this study. **Supplementary Table 24:** Oligonucleofdes used for gRNA cloning and for PCR amplificafon of the target region. **Supplementary Table 25:** Summary of lesions induced in *HvSRH1* by Cas9-mediated targeted mutagenesis. **Supplementary Table 26:** *srh1* phenotypes and *HvSRH1* gene coordinates in 76 pangenome accessions. **References** 1 Komatsuda, T. *et al.* Six-rowed barley originated from a mutafon in a homeodomain-486 leucine zipper I-class homeobox gene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 1424-1429 (2007). [hrps://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.0608580104](https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.0608580104) 488 2 Sakuma, S. *et al.* Divergence of expression pattern contributed to neofuncfonalizafon of duplicated HD-Zip I transcripfon factor in barley. *New Phytologist* **197**, 939-948 (2013). [hrps://doi.org:hrps://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12068](https://doi.org:https:/doi.org/10.1111/nph.12068) 3 Milner, S. G. *et al.* Genebank genomics highlights the diversity of a global barley collecfon. *Nat Genet* **51**, 319-326 (2019). [hrps://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-018-0266-](https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-018-0266-x) [x](https://doi.org:10.1038/s41588-018-0266-x) 494 4 Abbo, S. *et al.* Plant domestication versus crop evolution: a conceptual framework for cereals and grain legumes. *Trends in Plant Science* **19**, 351-360 (2014). [hrps://doi.org:hrps://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.12.002](https://doi.org:https:/doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.12.002) 5 Dawson, I. K. *et al.* Barley: a translafonal model for adaptafon to climate change. *New Phytol* **206**, 913-931 (2015). [hrps://doi.org:10.1111/nph.13266](https://doi.org:10.1111/nph.13266) 499 6 Lundqvist, U. Scandinavian mutation research in barley – a historical review. *Hereditas* **151**, 123-131 (2014). [hrps://doi.org:10.1111/hrd2.00077](https://doi.org:10.1111/hrd2.00077) 501 7 Schulte, D. *et al.* The international barley sequencing consortium--at the threshold of efficient access to the barley genome. *Plant Physiol* **149**, 142-147 (2009). [hrps://doi.org:10.1104/pp.108.128967](https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.108.128967) 8 Jayakodi, M. *et al.* The barley pan-genome reveals the hidden legacy of mutafon breeding. *Nature* **588**, 284-289 (2020). [hrps://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-020-2947-8](https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-020-2947-8) 506 9 Mascher, M. *et al.* Long-read sequence assembly: a technical evaluation in barley. *Plant Cell* (2021). https://doi.org:10.1093/plcell/koab077 10 Russell, J. *et al.* Exome sequencing of geographically diverse barley landraces and wild relafves gives insights into environmental adaptafon. *Nat Genet* **48**, 1024-1030 (2016). [hrps://doi.org:10.1038/ng.3612](https://doi.org:10.1038/ng.3612) 11 Druka, A. *et al.* Genefc Dissecfon of Barley Morphology and Development. *Plant Physiology* **155**, 617-627 (2011). [hrps://doi.org:10.1104/pp.110.166249](https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.110.166249)



560 27 Brassac, J. & Blattner, F. R. Species-Level Phylogeny and Polyploid Relationships in Hordeum (Poaceae) Inferred by Next-Generafon Sequencing and In Silico Cloning of Mulfple Nuclear Loci. *Syst Biol* **64**, 792-808 (2015). [hrps://doi.org:10.1093/sysbio/syv035](https://doi.org:10.1093/sysbio/syv035) 28 Doebley, J., Stec, A. & Hubbard, L. The evolufon of apical dominance in maize. *Nature* **386**, 485-488 (1997)[. hrps://doi.org:10.1038/386485a0](https://doi.org:10.1038/386485a0) 29 Dixon, L. E. *et al.* TEOSINTE BRANCHED1 Regulates Inflorescence Architecture and Development in Bread Wheat (Trifcum aesfvum). *The Plant Cell* **30**, 563-581 (2018). [hrps://doi.org:10.1105/tpc.17.00961](https://doi.org:10.1105/tpc.17.00961) 30 Ramsay, L. *et al.* INTERMEDIUM-C, a modifier of lateral spikelet ferflity in barley, is an ortholog of the maize domesfcafon gene TEOSINTE BRANCHED 1. *Nature Genetics* 43, 169-172 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1038/ng.745 572 31 Lundqvist, U., ABEBE, B. & LUNDQVIST, A. Gene interaction of induced intermedium mutafons of two-row barley. *Hereditas* **111**, 37-47 (1989). [hrps://doi.org:10.1111/j.1601-5223.1989.tb00374.x](https://doi.org:10.1111/j.1601-5223.1989.tb00374.x) 32 Youssef, H. M. *et al.* Natural diversity of inflorescence architecture traces crypfc 576 domestication genes in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolu9on* **64**, 843-853 (2017). [hrps://doi.org:10.1007/s10722-017-0504-6](https://doi.org:10.1007/s10722-017-0504-6) 33 Monat, C. *et al.* TRITEX: chromosome-scale sequence assembly of Trifceae genomes with open-source tools. *Genome Biol* **20**, 284 (2019). [hrps://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-019-1899-5](https://doi.org:10.1186/s13059-019-1899-5) 34 Janeček, Š., Svensson, B. & MacGregor, E. A. α-Amylase: an enzyme specificity found in various families of glycoside hydrolases. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **71**, 1149-1170 (2014). [hrps://doi.org:10.1007/s00018-013-1388-z](https://doi.org:10.1007/s00018-013-1388-z) 35 Karrer, E. E., Chandler, J. M., Foolad, M. R. & Rodriguez, R. L. Correlafon between α-585 amylase gene expression and seedling vigor in rice. *Euphytica* 66, 163-169 (1992). [hrps://doi.org:10.1007/BF00025299](https://doi.org:10.1007/BF00025299) 587 36 Mascher, M. *et al.* A chromosome conformation capture ordered sequence of the barley genome. *Nature* **544**, 427-433 (2017). [hrps://doi.org:10.1038/nature22043](https://doi.org:10.1038/nature22043) 37 Kadziola, A., Søgaard, M., Svensson, B. & Haser, R. Molecular structure of a barley α-590 amylase-inhibitor complex: implications for starch binding and catalysis11Edited by R. Huber. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **278**, 205-217 (1998). 592 https://doi.org:https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1998.1683 593 38 Zou, X., Neuman, D. & Shen, Q. J. Interactions of two transcriptional repressors and 594 two transcriptional activators in modulating gibberellin signaling in aleurone cells. *Plant Physiol* **148**, 176-186 (2008). [hrps://doi.org:10.1104/pp.108.123653](https://doi.org:10.1104/pp.108.123653) 596 39 Fuller, D. Q. Contrasting Patterns in Crop Domestication and Domestication Rates: Recent Archaeobotanical Insights from the Old World. *Annals of Botany* **100**, 903-924 598 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1093/aob/mcm048 40 Sakuma, S. & Koppolu, R. Form follows funcfon in Trifceae inflorescences. *Breed Sci* **73**, 46-56 (2023). [hrps://doi.org:10.1270/jsbbs.22085](https://doi.org:10.1270/jsbbs.22085) 41 Yu, J. K. & Chung, Y. S. Plant Variety Protecfon: Current Pracfces and Insights. *Genes (Basel)* **12** (2021). [hrps://doi.org:10.3390/genes12081127](https://doi.org:10.3390/genes12081127) 42 Engledow, F. Inheritance in barley: I. The lateral florets and the rachilla. *Journal of Gene9cs* **10**, 93-108 (1920).





631

<del>051</del><br>632 **Figure 1:** A species-wide pangenome of *Hordeum vulgare.* (a) Principal component analysis  $\frac{6}{33}$  showing domesticated accessions (n=53) in the pangenome panel in the global diversity 634 space. Regions of origins are color coded. The proportion of variance explained by each PC in 635 panels is given in the axis labels. Other PCs are shown in **Extended Data Fig. 1a**. **(b)** Example ● 636 of large structural variants including interchromosomal translocations and inversions 637 between pangenome accessions. (c) Interchromosomal linkage disequilibrium (LD) in 638 segregating offspring derived from a cross between HID055 and Barke. LD is indicated by the 639 intensity of red color. (d) Size of the single-copy pangenome in wild and domesticated<br>640 barleys as a function of sample size. r<br>የ s are shown in Ex are color coded. The proportion of v de<br>d a<br>in:<br>axi<br>ac<br>de (d ነ<br>የ  $\overline{ }$  nel in t lan e pangenome panel in the global div *rd*<br>−tl<br>he ar<br>hrd

- 640 barleys as a function of sample size.<br>641
- 641
- 642



#### 643

644

 **Figure 2: Structurally complex loci in the barley pangenome. (a)** Presence/absence of known *Mla* alleles in the barley pangenome. Black and white squares denote presence and absence, respecfvely. The names of *Mla* alleles (y-axis) and genotypes (x-axis) are coloured 648 according to subfamily and domestication statues, respectively. (green – domesticated; orange – wild). Only the genomes containing known alleles are displayed. **(b)** Dot plot alignment of complex locus Chr04\_015772 which contains *Int-c* genes. The plot shows an alignment of Morex (six-rowed barley) and Bowman (two-rowed barley). In Morex, *Int-c* and its surrounding sequence is present in three copies. Genes are indicated as black boxes along the axes of the plot. Individual tandem repeat units are 96-100% idenfcal. **(c)** Complex loci are enriched in distal chromosomal regions. The seven barley chromosomes were divided 655 into ten equally sized bins, and cumulative data for all chromosomes is shown. The bar plot indicates the number of loci, while the box blot shows the extent of CNV for all loci in the bin. **(d)** CNV levels and numbers of encoded protein variants idenffied in 76 barley accessions. The x-axis shows the level of CNV (i.e. the difference between the accession with the fewest copies to that with the most copies for each locus). The y-axis shows the total number of protein variants idenffied in all 76 barley accessions. Labels mark genes families with the highest copy numbers or the highest CNV levels. 662



#### 

 **Figure 3. Structural diversity at the** *amy1\_1* **locus and its importance in mal^ng. (a)** Simplified structure of the *amy1\_1* locus in selected pangenome assemblies. A detailed 667 depiction of the  $amv1$  1 locus across all 76 assemblies is shown in **Extended Data Fig. 9a**. Idenfcal ORFs have the same colours in **(a)** and **(c)**. **(b)** Distribufon of *amy1\_1* copy numbers in wild and domesfcated accessions of the pangenome. **(c)** Non-synonymous sequence exchanges in 12 non-redundant *amy1\_1* ORFs in the malfng barleys Morex, Barke and RGT 671 Planet. The positions of sequence variants and respective amino acid variations are marked by black lines. ORF numbers refer to **Supplementary Table 13**. **(d,e**) X-ray crystal structure 673 (pdb: 1BG9; ref. <sup>36</sup>) of α-amylase bound to acarbose as a substrate analogue (magenta and yellow spheres in panel **(d)**. In panel **(e)**, *amy1\_1* amino acid variants (found in Morex, Barke and RGT Planet, **Supplementary Table 20**) are added as coloured spheres. **(f)** α-amylase acfvity of micro-malted near-isogenic lines (NILs) containing *amy1\_1*-Morex, Barke and RGT Planet haplotypes.



#### 679

680

681 **Figure 4. A dele^on in an enhancer mo^f is associated with trichome branching. (a)**  682 Schematic drawing of a seed from a hulled and awned barley. The rachilla is a rudimentary 683 structure attached to the base of the seed, representing reduced lateral branches in the 684 barley inflorescence. On the right, scanning electron micrographs are shown of a short-685 haired and a long-haired rachilla of genotypes Morex and Barke, respecfvely. **(b)** Genome-686 wide associafon study (GWAS) for rachilla hair phenotype in the core1000. **(c)** Top part: 687 schematic representation of the high-resolution genetic linkage analysis at the *Srh1* locus. 688 Blue and purple horizontal bars represent the overlapping biparental and GWAS mapping 689 intervals in reference to the 160 kb physical interval in the Morex genome (black line below 690 the colored bars). Note, the SMR-like gene sits outside the high-resolution biparental 691 mapping interval. Bottom part: connector plot showing orthologous regions in the 692 genotypes Barke (long hairs) and RGT Planet (short hairs). A region harboring a conserved 693 enhancer element (yellow rectangle) is present in Barke, but absent in Morex and RGT 694 Planet. **(d)** Rachilla hair phenotype of the Cas9-induced knock-out mutants of the SMR-like 695 gene. From left to right: wild-type Golden Promise (GP); wild-type segregant from the 696 brhE72P19 family; independent mutant segregants showing the short-hair phenotype. 697

#### 698 **Extended Data Figures**





# 700

Extended Data Figure 1: A globally representative diversity panel of domesticated and wild

702 **barley. (a)** Higher principal components (PC) of the barley diversity space with pangenome

703 accessions highlighted. **(b)** The first two PCs of the diversity space of 412 wild barley

- 704 (*Hordeum vulgare* subsp. s*pontaneum*) with pangenome accessions highlighted. **(c)**
- 705 Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of those wild barleys. The branch tips corresponding to
- 706 accessions selected for the pangnome are marked with red circles. The proporfon of
- 707 variance explained by each PC in panels **(a)** and **(b)** is given in the axis labels. **(d)** Map

**a**

<b>Quality category</b>	<b>Metric</b>	Domesticate (N=53) Wild (N=23)	
Continuity	Avg. contig N50	18	14
	Max. contig N50	37	21
	Min. contig N50	10	8
	Avg. no. of gaps	445	556
Chromosome status	Avg. chromosome anchoring rate (%)	98.0	98.1
	Avg. chromosome anchored size (Gb)	4.19	4.21
	unanchored size (Mb)	47	53
Structural accuracy	False duplications (%)	0.012	0.010
	Curation (Hi-C)	Manual	Manual
Base accuracy	Consensus quality value (QV)	66.0	66.3
	k-mer completeness (%)	97.5	97.6
Functional completeness BUSCO (%)		96.4	96.5







709 **Extended Data Figure 2: A pangenomic diversity map of barley. (a)** Assembly statistics of 76 711 chromosome-scale reference genomes sequences. **(b)** Counts of presence/absence variants. 712 **(c)** Counts of inversion polymorphisms spanning 2 kb or more. **(d)** Selecfon of threshold 713 based on pairwise differences (number of SNPs per Mb) for the binary classification into 714 similar/dissimilar haplotypes. **(f)** The proporfon of samples with a close match to one of the 715 76 pangenome accessions is shown for plant genetic resources (PGR) and elite cultivars in 716 sliding windows along the genome (size: 1 Mb, shift: 500 kb). (h) Distribution of the share of 717 similar windows in individual PGR and culfvar genomes.

718



719 720

721 **Extended Data Figure 3: Gene annotation and orthologous framework. (a)** Workflow for 722 annotating, projecting and clustering gene models. The upper panel describes the workflow 723 for the de-novo gene predictions, the lower panel for the gene projections (b) Histogram

724 showing the number of pagenome genotypes contribufng to individual hierarchical

725 orthologous groups (HOGs). The pie chart shows the rafo between conserved and variable

- 726 genes. **(c)** GENESPACE alignments of 76 barley genomes, grouped by wild barley, culfvated
- 727 barley and landraces.



728 729

730 **Extended Data Figure 4:** Graph-based pan-genome analysis with Minigraph. **(a)** Descripfve 731 statistics per chromosome and for joint graph. (b) Comparative statistics of read mappings 732 from five publicly available Illumina whole genome shotgun sequence read runs against the 733 pan-genome graph and the MorexV3 linear reference sequence. **(c)** Size distribufon of 734 structural variants (SVs) in graph. **(d)** Chromosomal distribufon of SVs. Centromere posifons 735 are indicated by vertical dashed lines in red. (e) Pan-genome graph growth curves generated 736 with the odgi heaps tool. One hundred permutations were computed for each number of 737 genomes included. Values of gamma > 0 in Heaps' law indicate an open pan-genome. Plots 738 shown are for all accessions (left,  $n = 76$ ), domesticated accessions only (cultivars + 739 landraces, centre, n = 53) and *H. spontaneum* accessions (right, n = 23). 740

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.02.14.580266; this version posted February 18, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in<br>preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted b



741 742

```
Extended Data Figure 5: Short-read data complement the pangenome infrastructure. (a)
743
```
Accessions selected for short-read sequencing. Nested coresets of 1000, 200 and 50 744 accessions (core1000, core200, core50) are shown in the global diversity space of barley as 745 represented by a principal component (PCA). The top-right subpanel shows a PCA of 315 746 747 elite cultivars. Accessions are according to genepool (2-rowed spring, 2-rowed winter, 6-748 rowed winter). The proportion of variance explained by the PCA is shown in the axis labels. (b) Counts of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and short insertions and deletions 749 750 (indels) detected in those data.

751



<sup>752</sup>

753 754 **Extended Data Figure 6. Complex loci are hot spots for copy number variation (CNV). (a) The schematic** 755 model shows how, once an initial duplication is established, unequal homologous recombination (unequal 756 crossing-over, UECO) between repeat units can lead to rapid expansion and contraction of the loci, thereby 757 leading to CNV of genes. **(b)** Structure of the *Mla* region across the 76 pangenome accessions. The gene 758 models present in the Morex genome are shown on top. **(c)** Dot plot alignment of the example locus 759 chr7H\_019630 which contains a cluster of thionin genes. The sequences of cv. Morex (horizontal) and wild 760 barley HID101 (vertical) were aligned. Predicted intact genes are indicated as black boxes along the left and top 761 axes. Predicted pseudogenes are shown in red. The axis scale is kb. The filled rectangle at positio axes. Predicted pseudogenes are shown in red. The axis scale is kb. The filled rectangle at positions ~520-720 762 kb in Morex represents an array of short tandem repeats which does not contain genes and does not have 763 sequence homology to the gene-containing tandem repeats of the locus. **(d)**. Predicted protein variants of *Int-c* 764 (*HvTB1*) genes. Previously described alleles are highlighted in yellow. Color code: H-phob: Hydrophopic aa, H-765 phil: Hydrophilic aa, +charged: positively charged aa, - charged: negatively charged aa, Struct: structural aa, 766 Cystein or Prolin, Gly: Gycin.



# 767

**Extended Data Figure 7.** Molecular dating of divergence times between duplicated gene 769 copies in complex loci. **(a)** Dot plot example of locus hc\_chr3H\_566239 which underwent 770 multiple waves of tandem duplications, which is reflected in varying levels of sequence 771 identity between tandem repeats (color-coded). (b) Schematic mechanism for how different 772 levels of sequence idenfty between tandem repeats evolve. In the example, an ancestral 773 duplication was followed by two independent subsequent duplications, leading to varying 774 levels of sequence idenfty between tandem repeat units. Genes are indicated as orange 775 boxes while blue arrows indicate the tandem repeats they are embedded in. **(c)** Divergence 776 time estimates between duplicates gene copies in complex loci. Shown are only those 777 complex loci which have at least six tandem-duplicated genes. Each dot represents one 778 divergence time estimate for a duplicated gene pair from the respective locus. The x-axis 779 shows the estimated divergence time in million years. At the right-hand side, classification of 780 proteins encoded by genes in the locus are shown. Note that several loci had multiple waves 781 of gene duplications over the past 3 million years. (d) Subset of those loci shown in (c) that 782 had at least one gene duplication within the past 20,000 years. The divergence time 783 esfmates appear in groups, since they represent the presence of 0, 1 and 2 nucleofde 784 substitutions, respectively, in the approx. 4 kb of aligned sequences that were used for 785 molecular dating.



786

787 **Extended Data Figure 8.** *amy1\_1* **locus structure and copy number in 76 assemblies and 1,315**  788 whole genome sequenced accessions. (a) Chromosomal location of 12 α-amylase genes in the 789 MorexV3 genome assembly. **(b)** Summary of *amy1\_1* locus sequence diversity in 76 pangenome 790 assemblies (**Supplementary Tables 13-16, 18-19**). Total *amy1\_1* ORFs in pangenome and unique 791 copies and haplotypes of ORF, CDS and protein. Haplotype denotes unique combinations of ORF, CDS 792 and protein in individual accessions. (c) Comparison of *amy1* 1 copy numbers identified in the 793 pangenome assemblies versus *k*-mer based estimation from raw reads. Grey bars denote copy 794 number from pangenome, blue dots denote k-mer estimated copy number. (d) amy1 1 copy number 795 estimation in 76 pangenome assemblies ("Pangenome"), 1,000 whole-genome sequenced plant 796 genetic resources ("PGR"), and 315 whole-genome sequenced European elite cultivars ("Cultivars") 797 using *k*-mer based methods. (e) Distribution of accessions with *amy1* 1 copy numbers >5 per 798 country (as percentage of total accessions in country for countries with ≥10 accessions). **(f)** *amy1\_1* 799 copy number within each haplotype cluster (see E**xtended Data Figure 9b**). Red color refers to 1,000 800 plant genetic resource accessions, green refers to 76 pangenome accessions and blue refers to 315 801 European elite cultivars . Cluster #5, #6 and #7 contain Barke, RGT Planet and Morex, respectively.



802<br>803 803 **Extended Data Figure 9. Haplotype structure of the** *amy1\_1* **locus. (a)** Structural diversity in the vicinity of 804 *amy1\_1* in the 76 pangenome assemblies. Each line shows the gene order in the sequence assembly of one<br>805 genotype. The Morex V3 reference is shown on top. Coloured rectangles stand for gene models extracted fr genotype. The Morex V3 reference is shown on top. Coloured rectangles stand for gene models extracted from 806 BLAST alignments against the corresponding gene models in MorexV3. Black rectangles represent *amy1\_1* 807 homologs and grey rectangles other genes. Blue and red rectangles represent marker genes used to define the<br>808 synteny, delimit the region, and sort the accessions based on the distance between endpoints. Lines connec synteny, delimit the region, and sort the accessions based on the distance between endpoints. Lines connect 809 genes models between different genomes. Accession names are given on the right axis and are coloured 810 according to type (blue – wild, green – domesticated). In HOR 8148, five copies assigned to 6H are shown. Two 811 copies assigned to an unanchored contig are not shown. **(b)** SNP haplotype clusters at the *amy1\_1* locus among<br>812 1,315 genomes of domesticated and wild barley accessions, including genomes of 315 elite barley cultiv 812 1,315 genomes of domesticated and wild barley accessions, including genomes of 315 elite barley cultivars.<br>813 The 6H:516.385.490-517.116.415 bp in the Morex V3 genome sequence is shown. Haplotype clusters #5. #6 The 6H:516,385,490-517,116,415 bp in the Morex V3 genome sequence is shown. Haplotype clusters #5, #6 814 and #7 contain the elite malting varieties Barke, RGT Planet and Morex, respectively.



815<br>816 816 **Extended Data Figure 10: Genetic dissection of the** *srh1* **locus. (a)** Light microscopy of short- and long-haired 817 rachillae at developmental stage W8.5-9 using DAPI staining to visualize the nuclei. Size difference 817 rachillae at developmental stage W8.5-9 using DAPI staining to visualize the nuclei. Size differences of nuclei in<br>818 epidermal and trichome cells are very obvious. (b) Densitometric measurement of DNA content in epid 818 epidermal and trichome cells are very obvious. **(b)** Densitometric measurement of DNA content in epidermal 819 and trichome cells of DAPI stained rachillae of genotypes Morex and Barke, respectively. While trichome cells 820 in short-haired rachillae undergo only one cycle of endoreduplication, the cells in long haired trichomes show 821 eight to sixteen-fold higher DNA contents than epidermal cells indicating three to four cycles of 822 endoreduplication. (c) *srh1* mutant discovery. FIND-IT screenings identified a mutant with short-fuzzy hairs 823 (top) in the background of the long-haired variety Etincel (bottom). The mutants are a P64S non-synonymous<br>824 sequence exchange. Scale bar - 1mm. (d) Principal coordinate analysis of SNP array genotyping data of diffe 824 sequence exchange. Scale bar - 1mm. **(d)** Principal coordinate analysis of SNP array genotyping data of different 825 barley genotypes. Etincel and its mutant cluster together, proving their isogenicity. **(e)** mRNA *in* 825 barley genotypes. Etincel and its mutant cluster together, proving their isogenicity. **(e)** mRNA *in situ*<br>826 hybridization of *HvSRH1* in longitudinal spikelet sections of Bowman with anti-sense (left) and sense 826 hybridization of *HvSRH1* in longitudinal spikelet sections of Bowman with anti-sense (left) and sense (right)<br>827 probes. The blue arrow indicates the position of a rachilla hair. (f) *HvSRH1* transcript abundance in probes. The blue arrow indicates the position of a rachilla hair. (f) *HvSRH1* transcript abundance in RNA 828 sequencing data of rachilla tissue in Barke (BA, long-haired), Morex (MX, short-haired), Bowman (BW, long-829 haired) and a short-haired near-isogenic line of Bowman (BW-srh). Samples were taken at two development<br>830 stages: rachilla hair initiation (RI) and elongation (RE). Abundance was measured as transcripts per million stages: rachilla hair initiation (RI) and elongation (RE). Abundance was measured as transcripts per million 831 (TPM).

832

#### 833 **Plant growth and high molecular weight DNA isola^on**

834

835 Twenty-five seeds each from the selected accessions (**Supplementary Tables 1 and 6**) were 836 sown on 16 cm diameter pots with compost soil. Plants were grown under greenhouse 837 conditions with sodium halogen artificial 21°C in the day for 16 hrs and 18°C at night for 8 hrs. 838 Leaves (8 g) were collected from 7-day old seedlings, ground with liquid nitrogen to a fine 839 powder and stored at -80 $^{\circ}$ C.

840 High molecular weight (HMW) DNA was purified from the powder, essentially as described<sup>1</sup>. 841 Briefly, nuclei were isolated, digested with proteinase K and lysed with SDS. Here, a standard 842 watercolor brush with synthetic hair (size 8) was used to re-suspend the nuclei for digestion 843 and lysis. HMW DNA was purified using phenol-chloroform extraction and precipitation with 844 ethanol as described<sup>1</sup>. Subsequently, the HMW DNA was dissolved in 50 ml TE (pH 8,0) and 845 precipitated by the addifon of 5 ml 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5,2) and 100 ml ice-cold ethanol. 846 The suspension was mixed by slow circular movements resulting in the formation of a white 847 precipitate (HMW DNA), which was collected using a wide-bore 5 ml pipette tip and 848 transferred for 30 sec into a tube containing 5 ml 75% ethanol. The washing was repeated 849 twice. The HMW DNA was transferred into a 2 ml tube using a wide-bore tip, collected with a 850 polystyrene spatula, air-dried in a fresh 2 ml tube and dissolved in 500 µl 10 mM Tris-Cl (pH 851 8.0). For quantification the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 852 MA, USA) was used. The DNA size-profile was recorded using the Femto Pulse system and the 853 Genomic DNA 165 kb kit (Agilent Technologies Inc, CA, USA). In typical experiments the peak 854 of the size-profile of the HMW DNA for library preparation was around 165 kb.

855

#### 856 **DNA library preparation and Pacbio HiFi sequencing**

857

 For fragmentafon of the HMW DNA into 20 kb fragments, a Megaruptor 3 device (speed: 30) was used (Diagenode, NJ, USA). A minimum of two HiFi SMRTbell libraries were prepared for 860 each barley genotype following essentially the manufacturer's instructions and the SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit (Pacific Biosciences, CA, USA). The final HiFi libraries were size selected (narrow size range: 18-21 kb) using the SageELF system with a 0,75% Agarose Gel 863 Cassette (Sage Sciences, MA, USA) according to standard manufacturer's protocols.

864 HiFi CCS reads were generated operating the PacBio Sequel IIe instrument (Pacific Biosciences, 865 CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Per genotype about four 8 M SMRT cells 866 (average yield: 24 Gb HiFi CCS per 8 M SMART cell) were sequenced to obtain an approximate 867 haploid genome coverage of about 20-fold. In typical experiments the concentrafon of the 868 HiFi library on plate was 80-95 pM. 30 h movie time, 2 h pre-extension and sequencing 869 chemistry v2.0 were used. The resulting raw data was processed using the CCS4 algorithm 870 (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/ccs).

871

# 872 **Hi-C library prepara^on and Illumina sequencing**

873

874 *In situ* Hi-C libraries were prepared from one-week old barley seedlings based on the 875 previously published protocol<sup>2</sup>. Dovetail Omni-C data were generated for Bowman, Aizu6, 876 Golden Melon, 10TJ18 as per manufacturer's instructions 877 (https://dovetailgenomics.com/products/omni-c-product-page/). Sequencing and Hi-C raw 878 data processing was performed as described before<sup>3,4</sup>.

879

#### 880

#### 881 **Genome sequence assembly and valida^on**

882 PacBio HiFi reads were assembled using hifiasm (v0.11-r302)<sup>5</sup>. Pseudomolecule construction 883 was done with the TRITEX pipeline<sup>6</sup>. Chimeric contigs and orientation errors were identified 884 through manual inspection of Hi-C contact matrices. Genome completeness and consensus 885 accuracy were evaluated using Merqury (v1.3)<sup>7</sup>. Levels of duplication and heterozygosity were 886 assessed with Merqury and FindGSE (v1.94)<sup>8</sup>. BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy 887 Orthologs) (v.3.0.2)<sup>9</sup> with the embryophyta odb9 data set was run on the final assemblies.

888

#### 889 **Single-copy pangenome construction**

890 The single-copy regions in each chromosome-level assembly were identified by filtering 31-891 mers occurring more than once in the genomic regions by BBDuk (BBMap 37.93, 892 https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/software-tools/bbtools). Then, the single-copy regions 893 were obtained in BED format and their sequences were retrieved using BEDTools (v2.29.2)<sup>10</sup>. 894 The single-copy sequences were clustered using MMseqs2 (Many-against-Many sequence 895 searching)<sup>11</sup> with the parameters " --cluster-mode " and setting over 95% sequence identity. 896 A representative from each cluster (the largest in a cluster) was selected to estimate the 897 pangenome size.

898

# 899 **Illumina resequencing**

900 A total of 1,000 plant genetic resources and 315 elite barley varieties (**Supplementary Table** 901 **5**) were used for whole genome resequencing. Illumina Nextera libraries were prepared and 902 sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 at IPK Gatersleben (**Supplementary Table 5**).

903

# 904 **SNP and SV calling**

905 Reciprocal genome alignment in which each of the pangenome assemblies was aligned to the 906 MorexV3 assembly with the latter acting either as alignment query or reference. Alignment 907 was done with Minimap2 (version 2.20)<sup>12</sup>. From the resultant two alignment tables, insertion 908 and deletions were called by Assemblytics (version 1.2.1)<sup>13</sup> and only deletions were selected 909 in both alignments to convert into presence/absence variants relative to the Morex reference 910 genome. Further, balanced rearrangements (inversions, translocations) were scanned for with 911 SyRI<sup>14</sup>. To call SNPs, raw sequencing reads were trimmed using cutadapt (version 3.3)<sup>15</sup> and 912 aligned to the MorexV3 reference genome using Minimap2 (version 2.20)<sup>12</sup>. The resulting 913 alignments were sorted with Novosort (V3.09.01) (http://www.novocraft.com). BCFtools 914 (version 1.9)<sup>16</sup> was used to call SNPs and short insertions and deletions. GWAS for was done 915 with GEMMA<sup>17</sup>.

916

# 917 Preparation and Illumina sequencing of narrow-size WGS libraries for core50

918 10 µg DNA in 130 µl were sheared in tubes (Covaris microTUBE AFA Fiber Pre-Slit Snap Cap) 919 to an average size of approximately 250 bp using a Covaris S220 focused-ultrasonicator (peak 920 incidence power: 175 W, duty factor: 10%; cycles per burst: 200; time: 180 sec) according to 921 standard manufacturer's protocols (Covaris Ltd., Brighton, UK). The sheared DNA was size 922 selected using a BluePippin device and a 1.5% agarose cassette with internal R2 marker (Sage 923 Sciences, MA, USA). A tight size setting at 260 bp was used for the purification of fragments in 924 the narrow range between 200-300 bp (typical yield: 1-3 µg). The size selected DNA was used 925 for the preparafon of PCR-free whole genome shotgun libraries using the Roche KAPA Hyper 926 Prep kit according to the manufacturer's protocols (Roche Diagnostics Deutschland GmbH,

927 Mannheim, Germany). A total of 10 to 12 libraries were provided with unique barcodes, 928 pooled at equimolar concentrations and quantified by qPCR using the KAPA Library 929 Quantification Kit for Illumina Platforms according to standard protocols (Roche Diagnostics 930 Deutschland GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The pools were sequenced (2x 151 bp, paired-931 end) using four S4 XP flowcells and the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system (Illumina Inc., San 932 Diego, CA, USA) at IPK Gatersleben.

933

#### 934 Contig assembly of core50 sequencing data

935 Raw reads were demultiplexed based on index sequences and duplicate reads were removed 936 from the sequencing data using Fastuniq<sup>18</sup>. The read1 and read2 sequences were merged 937 based on the overlap using bbmerge.sh from bbmap (v37.28)<sup>19</sup>. The merged reads were error 938 corrected using BFC (v181)  $^{20}$ . The error corrected merged reads were used as an input for 939 Minia3 (v3.2.0)<sup>21</sup> to assemble reads into unitigs with the following parameters, -no-bulge-940 removal -no-tip-removal -no-ec-removal -out-compress 9 -debloom original. The Minia3 941 source was assembled to enable k-mer size up to 512 as described in the Minia3 manual. 942 Iterafve Minia3 runs with increasing k-mer sizes (100, 150, 200, 250 and 300) were used for 943 assembly generation as provided in the GATB Minia pipeline (https://github.com/GATB/gatb944 [minia-pipeline\)](https://github.com/GATB/gatb-minia-pipeline). In the first iteration, k-mer size of 50 was used to assemble input reads into 945 unitigs. In the next runs, the input reads as well as the assembly of the previous iteration were 946 used as input for the minia3 assembler. BUSCO analysis was conducted on the contig 947 assemblies using BUSCO (v.3.0.2) with embryophyta odb9 data set<sup>9</sup>. In addition, high-948 confidence gene models from Morex V3 reference<sup>22</sup> were aligned to the contig assemblies to 949 assess completeness with the parameter of ≥90% query coverage and ≥97% idenfty.

950

#### 951 **Pangenome accession in diversity space**

952 Pseudo-FASTQ paired-end reads (10-fold coverage) were generated from the 76 pangenome 953 assemblies with fastq generator (https://github.com/johanzi/fastq generator) and aligned to 954 MorexV3 reference genome sequence assembly<sup>22</sup> using Minimap2 (version 2.24-r1122, ref. 12). SNPs were called together with short-read data (**Supplementary Table 5**) using BCFtools<sup>23</sup> 956 version 1.9 with the command "mpileup -q 20 -Q20 --excl-flags 3332". To plot the diversity 957 space of culfvated barley, the resultant variant matrix was merged with that of 19,778 958 domesticated barleys of Milner et al.  $^{24}$  (genotyping-by-sequencing [GBS] data). SNPs with 959 more than 20 % missing or more than 20 % heterozygous calls were discarded. PCA was done 960 with smartpca<sup>25</sup> version 7.2.1. To represent the diversity of wild barleys, we used published 961 GBS and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data of 412 accessions of that taxon<sup>26,27</sup>. Variant 962 calling for GBS data was done with BCFtools<sup>23</sup> (version 1.9) using the command "mpileup -q 963 20 -Q20". The resultant variant matrix was filtered as follows: (1) only bi-allelic SNP sites were 964 kept; (2) homozygous genotype calls were retained if their read depth was ≥ 2 and ≤ 50 and 965 set to missing otherwise; (3) heterozygous genotype calls were retained if the read depth of 966 both alleles was  $\geq 2$  and set to missing otherwise. SNPs with more than 20 % missing, more 967 than 20 % heterozygous calls or a minor allele frequency below 5 % were discarded. PCA was 968 done with smartpca<sup>25</sup> version 7.2.1. A matrix of pairwise genetic distances based on identity-969 by-state (IBS) was computed with Plink2 (version 2.00a3.3LM, ref. <sup>28</sup>) and used to construct an 970 NJ tree with Fneighbor (http://emboss.toulouse.inra.fr/cgi-bin/emboss/fneighbor) in the 971 EMBOSS package<sup>29</sup>. The tree was visualized with Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL)<sup>30</sup>.

972

#### 973 **Haplotype representation**

 Pangenome assemblies were mapped to MorexV3 as described above ("Pangenome accession 975 in diversity space"). Read depth was calculated with SAMtools<sup>23</sup> version 1.16.1. Genotype calls were set to missing if they were supported by fewer than two reads. Idenfty-by-state (IBS) 977 was calculated with Plink2 (version 2.000a3.3LM, ref.  $^{28}$ ) in 1 Mb windows (shift: 0.5 Mb) using 978 the using command "--sample-diff counts-only counts-cols=ibs0, ibs1". Windows which in one of both accessions in the comparison had 2-fold coverage over less than 200 kb were set to missing. The number of differences (d) in a window was calculated as ibs0+ibs1/2, where ibs0 981 is the number of homozygous differences and ibs1 that of heterozygous ones. This distance was normalized for coverage by the formula d / i x 1 Mb, where i is the size in bp of the region covered in both accessions in the comparison had at least 2-fold coverage. In each window, we determined for each among the PGR and culfvars panel, the closest pangenome accession according to the coverage-normalized IBS distance. Only accessions with fewer than 10 % missing windows due to low coverage were considered, leaving 899 PGRs and 264 culfvars. 987 The distance to the closest pangenome accession was plotted with the R package ggplot2 to

988 determine the threshold for similarity (**Extended Data Fig. 2d**).

989

#### 990 **Transcriptome sequencing for gene annota^on**

991 Data for transcript evidence-based genome annotation was provided by the International 992 Barley Pan-Transcriptome Consortium, and a detailed description of sample preparation and 993 sequencing is provided elsewhere. In brief, the 20 genotypes sequenced for the first version 994 of the barley pangenome<sup>26</sup> were used for transcriptome sequencing. Five separate tissues 995 were sampled for each genotype. These were: embryo (including mesocotyl and seminal 996 roots), seedling shoot, seedling root, inflorescence and caryopsis. Three biological replicates 997 were sampled from each tissue type, amounting to 330 samples. Four samples failed quality 998 control and were excluded.

- 999 Preparafon of the strand-specific dUTP RNA-Seq libraries, and Illumina paired-end 150 bp 1000 sequencing were carried out by Novogene (UK) Company Limited. In addition, PacBio Iso-Seq 1001 sequencing was carried out using a PacBio Sequel IIe sequencer at IPK Gatersleben. For this, 1002 a single sample per genotype was obtained by pooling equal amounts of RNA from a single 1003 replicate from all five tissues. Each sample was sequenced on an individual 8M SMRT cell.
- 1004

# 1005 **De** novo gene annotation

1006 Structural gene annotation was done combining de novo gene calling and homology-based 1007 approaches with RNAseq, IsoSeq, and protein datasets (**Extended Data Fig. 3a**). Using 1008 evidence derived from expression data, RNAseq data were first mapped using STAR $^{31}$  (version 1009 2.7.8a) and subsequently assembled into transcripts by StringTie<sup>32</sup> (version 2.1.5, parameters 1010 -m 150-t -f 0.3). Triticeae protein sequences from available public datasets (UniProt<sup>33</sup>, 1011 https://www.uniprot.org, 05/10/2016) were aligned against the genome sequence using 1012 GenomeThreader<sup>34</sup> (version 1.7.1; arguments -startcodon -finalstopcodon -species rice -1013 gcmincoverage 70 -prseedlength 7 -prhdist 4). Isoseq datasets were aligned to the genome 1014 assembly using GMAP<sup>35</sup> (version 2018-07-04). All assembled transcripts from RNAseq, IsoSeq, 1015 and aligned protein sequences were combined using Cuffcompare<sup>36</sup> (version 2.2.1) and 1016 subsequently merged with StringTie (version 2.1.5, parameters --merge -m150) into a pool of 1017 candidate transcripts. TransDecoder (version 5.5.0; http://transdecoder.github.io) was used 1018 to idenffy potenfal open reading frames and to predict protein sequences within the 1019 candidate transcript set.

1020 Ab *initio* annotation was initially done using Augustus<sup>37</sup> (version 3.3.3). GeneMark<sup>38</sup> (version 1021 4.35) was additionally employed to further improve structural gene annotation. To avoid 1022 potential over-prediction, we generated guiding hints using the above described RNAseq, 1023 protein, and IsoSeq datasets as described before<sup>39</sup>. A specific Augustus model for barley was 1024 built by generafng a set of gene models with full support from RNAseq and IsoSeq. Augustus 1025 was trained and optimized following a published protocol<sup>39</sup>. All structural gene annotations 1026 were joined using EVidenceModeller<sup>40</sup> (version 1.1.1), and weights were adjusted according 1027 to the input source: *ab initio* (Augustus: 5, GeneMark: 2), homology-based (10). Additionally, 1028 two rounds of PASA<sup>41</sup> (version 2.4.1) were run to identify untranslated regions and isoforms 1029 using the above described IsoSeq datasets.

- 1030 We used BLASTP<sup>42</sup> (ncbi-blast-2.3.0+, parameters -max target seqs 1 -evalue 1e–05) to 1031 compare potenfal protein sequences with a trusted set of reference proteins (Uniprot 1032 Magnoliophyta, reviewed/Swissprot, downloaded on 3 Aug 2016; https://www.uniprot.org). 1033 This differenfated candidates into complete and valid genes, non-coding transcripts, 1034 pseudogenes, and transposable elements. In addition, we used PTREP (Release 19; 1035 http://botserv2.uzh.ch/kelldata/trep-db/index.html), a database of hypothetical proteins 1036 containing deduced amino acid sequences in which internal frameshifts have been removed 1037 in many cases. This step is particularly useful for the identification of divergent transposable 1038 elements with no significant similarity at the DNA level. Best hits were selected for each 1039 predicted protein from each of the three databases. Only hits with an e-value below 10e–10 1040 were considered. Furthermore, functional annotation of all predicted protein sequences was 1041 done using the AHRD pipeline (https://github.com/groupschoof/AHRD).
- 1042 Proteins were further classified into two confidence classes: high and low. Hits with subject 1043 coverage (for protein references) or query coverage (transposon database) above 80% were 1044 considered significant and protein sequences were classified as high-confidence using the 1045 following criteria: protein sequence was complete and had a subject and query coverage 1046 above the threshold in the UniMag database or no BLAST hit in UniMag but in UniPoa and not 1047 PTREP; a low-confidence protein sequence was incomplete and had a hit in the UniMag or 1048 UniPoa database but not in PTREP. Alternafvely, it had no hit in UniMag, UniPoa, or PTREP, 1049 but the protein sequence was complete. In a second refinement step, low-confidence proteins 1050 with an AHRD-score of 3\* were promoted to high-confidence.
- 1051

#### 1052 Transposon masking for de novo gene detection

- 1053 The 20 barley accessions with expression data were softmasked for transposons prior to the 1054 *de novo* gene detection using the REdat 9.7 Triticeae section of the PGSB transposon 1055 library<sup>43</sup>. Vmatch (http://www.vmatch.de) was used as matching tool with the following 1056 parameters: idenfty>=70%, minimal hit length 75 bp, seedlength 12 bp (vmmatch -d -p -l 75 1057 -idenfty 70 -seedlength 12 -exdrop 5 -qmaskmatch tolower). The percentage masked was 1058 around 80% and almost identical for all 20 accessions.
- 1059

# 1060 **Gene projections**

1061 Gene contents of the remaining 56 barley genotypes were modelled by the projection of high 1062 confidence (HC) genes based on evidence-based gene annotafons of the 20 barley genotypes 1063 described above. The approach was similar to and built upon a previously described method<sup>26</sup>. 1064 To reduce computational load, 760,078 HC-genes of the 20 barley annotations were clustered 1065 by cd-hit<sup>44</sup> requiring 100% protein sequence similarity and a maximal size difference of four 1066 amino acids. The resulting 223,182 source genes were subsequently used for all downstream 1067 projections as non-redundant transcript set representative for the evidence-based 1068 annotations. For each source, its maximal attainable score was determined by global protein 1069 self-alignment using the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm as implemented in Biopython<sup>45</sup> v1.8 1070 and the blosum62 substitution matrix<sup>46</sup> with a gap open and extension penalty of 0.5 and 1071 10.0, respectively.

1072 Next, we surveyed each barley genome sequence using minimap2 (ref.  $^{12}$ ) with options '-ax 1073 splice:hq' and '-uf' for genomic matches of source transcripts. Each match was scored by its 1074 pairwise protein alignment with the source sequence that triggered the match. Only complete 1075 matches with start and stop codons and a score ≥0.9 of the source self-score (see above) were 1076 retained. The source models were classified into four bins by decreasing confidence qualifes: 1077 with or without pfam domains, plastid- and transposon-related genes. Projections were 1078 performed stepwise for the four qualities, starting from the highest to the lowest. In each 1079 guality group, matches were then added into the projected annotation if they did not overlap 1080 with any previously inserted model by their coding region. Insertion order progressed from 1081 the top to the lowest scoring match. In addition, we tracked the number of insertions for each 1082 source by its idenffier. For the two top quality categories, we performed two rounds of 1083 projections, firstly inserting each source maximally only once followed by rounds allowing one 1084 source inserted multiple times into the projected annotation. To consolidate the 20 evidence-1085 based, initial annotations for any genes potentially missed, we employed an identical 1086 approach but inserted any non-overlapping matches starfng from the prior RNA-seq based 1087 annotation. Phylogenetic Hierarchical Orthogroups (HOGs) based on the primary protein 1088 sequences from 76 annotated barley genotypes were calculated using Orthofinder<sup>47</sup> version 1089 2.5.5 (standard parameters). Conserved HOGs contain at least one gene model from all 76 1090 barley genotypes. Variable HOGs contain gene models from at least one barley genotypes and 1091 at most 75 barley genotypes. The distribution of all HOG configurations is provided in 1092 **Extended Data Fig. 3b.** GENESPACE<sup>48</sup> was used to determine syntenic relationships between 1093 the chromosomes of all 76 genotypes.

1094

#### 1095 **Whole-genome pangenome graphs**

1096 Genome graphs were constructed using Minigraph<sup>49</sup> version 0.20-r559. Other graph 1097 construction tools (PGGB $^{50}$ , Minigraph-Cactus $^{51}$ ) turned out to be computationally prohibitive 1098 for a genome of this size and complexity, combined with the large number of accessions used 1099 in this investigation. Minigraph does not support small variants (< 50 bp), thus graph 1100 complexity is lower than with other tools. However, even with Minigraph, graph construction 1101 at the whole genome level was computafonally prohibifve and thus graphs had to be 1102 computed separately for each chromosome, precluding detection of interchromosomal 1103 translocations.

1104 Graph construction was initiated using the Morex V3 assembly<sup>52</sup> as a reference. The remaining 1105 assemblies were added into the graph sequenfally, in order of descending dissimilarity to 1106 Morex. Structural variants were called after each iteration using gfatools bubble (v. 0.5-r250-1107 dirty, https://github.com/lh3/gfatools). Following graph construction, the input sequences of 1108 all accessions were mapped back to the graph using Minigraph with the "--call" option 1109 enabled, which generates a path through the graph for each accession. The resulfng BED 1110 format files were merged using Minigraph's mgufls.js uflity script to convert them to P lines 1111 and then combined with the primary output of Minigraph in the proprietary RGFA format 1112 (https://github.com/lh3/gfatools/blob/master/doc/rGFA.md). Graphs were then converted 1113 from RGFA format to GFA format (https://github.com/GFA-spec/GFA- 1114 spec/blob/master/GFA1.md) using the "convert" command from the vg toolkit<sup>53</sup> version 1115 v1.46.0 "Altamura". This step ensures that graphs are compafble with the wider universe of 1116 graph processing tools, most of which require GFA format as input. Chromosome-level graphs 1117 were then joined into a whole-genome graph using vg combine. The combined graph was 1118 indexed using vg index and vg gbwt, two components of the the vg toolkit<sup>53</sup>.

1119 General statistics for the whole-genome graph were computed with vg stats. Graph growth 1120 was computed using the heaps command from the ODGI toolkit<sup>54</sup> version 0.8.2-0-g8715c55, 1121 followed by plotting with its companion script heaps fit.R. The latter also computes values for 1122 gamma, the slope coefficient of Heap's law which allows the classification of pangenome 1123 graphs into open or closed pangenomes, i.e. a prediction of whether the addition of further

- 1124 accessions would increase the size of the pangenome<sup>55</sup>...
- 1125 Structural variant (SV) statistics were computed based on the final BED file produced after the 1126 addition of the last line to the graph. A custom shell script was used to classify variants 1127 according to the Minigraph custom output format. This allows the extraction of simple, i.e. 1128 non-nested, insertions and deletions (relative to the MorexV3 graph backbone), as well simple 1129 inversions. The remaining SVs fall into the "complex" category where there can be mulfple 1130 levels of nesfng of different variant types and this precluded further, more fine-grained
- 1131 classification.
- 1132 To elucidate the effect of a graph-based reference on short read mapping, we obtained whole
- 1133 genome shotgun Illumina reads from five barley samples (**Extended Data Fig. 4b**) in the 1134 European Nucleofde Archive (ENA) and mapped these onto the whole genome graph using 1135 vg giraffe<sup>56</sup>. For comparison with the standard approach of mapping reads to a linear single 1136 genome reference, we mapped the same reads to the Morex V3 reference genome sequence
- 1137 assembly<sup>52</sup> with bwa mem<sup>57</sup> version 0.7.17-r1188. Mapping statistics were computed with
- 1138  $vg^{53}$  stats and samtools<sup>23</sup> stats (version 1.9), respectively.
- 1139

# 1140 **Analysis of the** *Mla* **locus**

1141

1142 The coordinates and sequences of the 32 genes present at the *Mla* locus were extracted from 1143 the MorexV3 genome sequence assembly<sup>52</sup>. To find the corresponding position and copy 1144 number in each of the 76 genomes, we used BLAST<sup>42</sup> (-perc\_identity: 90, -word\_size:11, all 1145 other parameters set as default). The expected BLAST result for a perfectly conserved allele is 1146 a long fragment (exon 1) of 2,015 bp follow by a gap of  $~1$ ,000 bp due to the intron and 1147 another fragment (exon 2) of 820 bp. To detect the number of copies, first multiple BLAST 1148 results for a single gene were merged if two different BLAST segments were within 1.1kb. Then 1149 only if the total length of the input was found, this was counted as a copy. To analyse the 1150 structural variation across all 76 accessions, the non-filtered BLAST results were plotted in a 1151 region of -20,000 and +500,000 base pairs around the start of the BPM gene 1152 HORVU.MOREX.r3.1HG0004540 that was used as an anchor (present in all 76 lines, 1153 Supplementary Figure 1. To detecfon the different *Mla* alleles, three different threshold of - 1154 Perc identity for the BLAST were used: 100, 99 and 98.

1155

#### 1156 **Scan for structurally complex loci**

1157 We utilised a pipeline developed by Rabanus-Wallace et al.  $58$  that performs sequence-1158 agnostic identification of long-duplication-prone-regions (I-DPRs) in a reference genome,

- 1159 followed by identification of gene families with a statistical tendency to occur within I-DPRs.
- 1160 The pipeline assumes that a candidate I-DPR will contain an elevated concentration of locally

1161 repeated sequences in the kb-scale length range. We first aligned the MorexV3 genome 1162 sequence assembly<sup>52</sup> against itself using lastz<sup>59</sup> (v1.04.03; arguments: '--notransition --1163 step=500 –gapped'). For practicality purposes, this was done in 2 Mb blocks with a 200 kb 1164 overlap, and any overlapping l-DPRs idenffied in mulfple windows were merged. For each 1165 window, we ignored the trivial end-to-end alignment, and of the remaining alignments, 1166 retained only those longer than 5 kb and falling fully within 200 kb of one and another. An 1167 alignment 'density' was calculated over the chromosome by calculating, at 'interrogation 1168 points' spaced equally at 1 kb intervals along the length of the chromosome, an alignment 1169 density score that is simply the sum of all the lengths of any of the filtered alignments 1170 spanning that interrogation point. A Gaussian kernel density (bandwidth 10 kbp) was 1171 calculated over these the interrogafon points, weighted by their scores. To allow 1172 comparability between windows, the interrogation point densities were normalised by the 1173 sum of scores in the window. Runs of interrogation points at which the density surpassed a 1174 minimum density threshold were flagged as l-DPRs. A few minor adjustments to these regions 1175 (merging of overlapping regions, and trimming the end coordinates to ensure the stretches 1176 always begin and end in repeated sequence) yielded the final tabulated list of l-DPR 1177 coordinates (**Supplementary Table 7**). The method was implemented in R making use of the 1178 package data.table. Genes in each I-DPRs were clustered with UCLUST<sup>60</sup> (v11, default 1179 parameters) using a protein clustering distance cutoff of 0.5 and for each cluster the most 1180 frequent functional description as per the MorexV3 gene annotation<sup>52</sup> was assigned as the 1181 functional description of the cluster.

1182

#### 1183 Molecular dating of divergence times of duplicated genes in complex loci

1184 For molecular dating of gene duplications, we used segments of up to 4 kb, starting 1 kb 1185 upstream of duplicated genes in complex loci. With this, we presumed to only use intergenic 1186 sequences which are free from selection pressure and thus evolve at a neutral rate of 1.3x10 1187  $8$  substitutions per site per year<sup>61</sup>. The upstream sequences of all duplicated genes of 1188 respective complex locus were then aligned pairwise with the program Water from the 1189 EMBOSS package<sup>29</sup> (obtained from Ubuntu repositories, ubuntu.com). This was done for all 1190 gene copies of all barley accession for which multiple gene copies were found. Molecular 1191 dating of the pairwise alignments was done as previously described<sup>62</sup> using the substitution 1192 rate of  $1.3x10^{-8}$  substitutions per site per year<sup>61</sup>.

1193

# 1194 *Amy1\_1* **analysis in pangenome assemblies**

 The *amy1\_1* gene copy HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 was used was used to BLAST 1196 against all 76 genome assemblies. Full-length sequences with identity over 95% were extracted and used for further analyses. Unique sequences were idenffied by clustering at 1198 100% identity using CD-Hit<sup>44</sup> and were aligned using MAFFT $63$  v7.490. Sequence variants 1199 among *amy1* 1 gene copies at genomic DNA, CDS and respective protein level were collected 1200 and *amy1* 1 haplotypes (i.e. the combinations of copies) in each genotype assembly were 1201 summarized using  $R^{64}$  v4.2.2. A Barke-specific SNP locus (GGCGCCAGGCATGATCGGGTGGTGGCCAGCCAAGGCGGTGACCTTCGTGGACAACCACGACACCG GCTCCACGCAGCACATGTGGCCCTTCCCTTCTGACA[A/G]GGTCATGCAGGGATATGCGTACATACTCA CGCACCCAGGGACGCCATGCATCGTGAGTTCGTCGTACCAATACATCACATCTCAATTTTCTTTTCTTGT TTCGTTCATAA) for *amy1\_1* haplotype cluster ProtHap3 (**Supplementary Table 20**) was idenffied and used for KASP marker development (LGC Biosearch Technologies, Hoddesdon, United Kingdom).

#### 

#### **Compara^ve analysis of the** *amy1\_1* **locus structure**

1210 Based on the genome annotation of cv. Morex, 15 gene sequences on either side of *amy1* 1 gene copy HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545440 were extracted. The 31 genes were compared 1212 against the 76 genome assemblies using NCBI-BLAST<sup>42</sup> (BLASTN, word size of 11 and percent 1213 identity of 90, other parameters as default). Alignment plots were generated from the BLAST result coordinates by scaling based on the mid-point between HORVU.MOREX.r3.6HG0617300/HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545250 and HORVU.MOREX.r3.6HG0617710/HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545670. All BLAST results in the 1217 region (+/- 1Mb) around this mid-point were plotted using  $R^{64}$ .

#### *Amy1\_1* **PacBio amplicon sequencing.**

1220 Genomic DNA from one-week old Morex seedling leaves was extracted with DNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Based on the MorexV3 genome sequence 1222 assembly<sup>52</sup>, amy1 1 full-length copy-specific primers were designed using Primer3 (ref. <sup>65</sup>) 1223 (https://primer3.ut.ee/): 6F - GTAGCAGTGCAGCGTGAAGTC, 80F AGACATCGTTAACCACACATGC, 82F - GTTTCTCGTCCCTTTGCCTTAA, 82F - GTTTCTCGTCCCTTTGCCTTAA, 33R - GATCTGGATCGAAGGAGGGC, 79R - TCATACATGGGACCAGATCGAG, 80R - ACGTCAAGTTAGTAGGTAGCCC. All forward primers were tagged with bridge sequence (preceding T to primer name) [AmC6]gcagtcgaacatgtagctgactcaggtcac, while reverse primers were tagged with 1229 [AmC6]tggatcacttgtgcaagcatcacatcgtag to allow annealing to barcoding primers. These bridge 1230 sequence-tagged gene-specific primers were used in pairs with each other targeting 1-2 copies of 3-6 kb *amy1\_1* genes, including upstream and downstream 500-1000 bp regions: T6F + T33R, T6F + T79R, T80F + T80R and T82F + T80R. A two-step PCR protocol was conducted. The first step PCR reacfon was prepared in 25 μl volume using 2 μl DMSO, 0.3 μl Q5 polymerase (New England Biolab, Massachusers, United States), 1 μl amy1\_1-specific 1235 primer pair (10 μM each), 2 μl gDNA, 0.5 μl dNTPs (10mM), 5 μl Q5 buffer and H<sub>2</sub>O. The PCR 1236 program was as following: initial denaturation at 98°C/1min followed by 25-28 cycles of 98°C/30 sec, 58°C/30 sec, and 72°C/3 min for extension, with a final extension step of 72°C/2 min. The second PCR step (barcoding PCR) was prepared in the same way using 1 μl of the first PCR product as DNA template, barcoding primers (Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc., 1240 California, United States) and the PCR program reduced to 20 cycles. After quality check on 1241 1% agarose gel, all barcoded PCR products were mixed and purified with AMPure® PB (Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc., California, United States). The SMRT bell library preparafon and sequencing were carried out at BGI Tech Solufons (BGI Tech Solufons Co., Ltd., Hongkong, China). Sequencing data was analysed using SMRT Link v.10.2. To minimize PCR chimeric noise, CCS were first constructed for each molecule. Secondly, Long Amplicon Analysis (LAA) was carried out based on subreads from 50 bp window spanning peak posifons of all CCS length. Final consensus sequences for each *amy1\_1* was determined with the aid of size esfmafon from agarose gel imaging.

# 1250 Amy1\_1 SNP haplotype analysis and k-mer based copy number estimation.

1251 SNP haplotypes were analyzed in 1,315 plant genetic resources and elite varieties in the extended amy1\_1-cluster region (MorexV3 chr6H: 516,385,490 - 517,116,415 bp). SNPs with >20% missing data among the analyzed lines and minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.01 were removed from downstream analyses. The data was converted to 0,1 and 2 format using

1255 VCFtools<sup>66</sup> and samples were clustered using pheatmap package (https://cran.r-1256 project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/pheatmap.pdf) from R statistical environment<sup>58</sup>. The 1257 sequential clustering approach was used to achieve the desired separation. At each step, two 1258 extreme clusters were selected and then samples from each cluster were clustered separately. 1259 The process was repeated until the desired separation was achieved based on visual 1260 inspection.

1261 K-mers (k=21) were generated from Morex *amy1\_1* gene family member's conserved region 1262 using jellyfish<sup>67</sup> v2.2.10. After removing k-mers with counts from regions other than *amy1* 1 1263 in the Morex V3 genome assembly, k-mers were counted in the Illumina raw reads 1264 (Supplementary Table 5) using Seal (BBtools, https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/software-1265 tools/bbtools/). All k-mer counts were normalized to counts per MorexV3 genome and 1266 *amy1* 1 copy number was estimated as the median count of all k-mers from each accession 1267 in R.

- 1268 Estimation ability was validated by comparing copy number from pangenome assemblies and 1269 short-read sequencing data (Extended Data Fig. 8c). For 1,000 plant genetic resources, 1270 countries (>=10 accessions) were color shaded based on their proporfons of accessions with 1271 *amy1* 1 copy number > 5 on a world map using the R package maptools (https://cran.r-1272 project.org/web/packages/maptools/index.html).
- 1273

# 1274 AMY1 1 protein structure and protein folding simulation

1275 The published protein structure of  $\alpha$ -amylase AMY1 1 from accession Menuet, in complex 1276 with the pseudo-tetrasaccharide acarbose (PDB:1bg9; ref.  $^{68}$ ), was used to simulate the 1277 structural context of the amino acid variants identified in barley accessions Morex, Barke and 1278 RGT Planet. The amino acid sequence of the crystalized AMY1 1 protein from Menuet and 1279 the Morex reference copy *amy1\_1* HORVU.MOREX.PROJ.6HG00545380 used in this study are 1280 identical. The protein was visualized using PyMol 2.5.5 (Schrödinger Inc. New York, NY, USA). 1281 The Dynamut2 webserver<sup>69</sup> was used to predict changes in protein stability and dynamics by 1282 introducing amino acid variants idenffied in the Morex, Barke and RGT Planet genome 1283 assemblies.

1284

# 1285 **Development of diverse** *amy1\_1* **haplotype barley near-isogenic lines**

1286 Near-isogenic lines (NILs) with different *amy1\_1* haplotypes were derived from crosses 1287 between RGT Planet as recipient and Barke or Morex *amy1\_1*-cluster donor parents 1288 (ProtHap3, ProtHap4 and ProtHap0, respecfvely; **Supplementary Table 20**), followed by two 1289 subsequent backcrosses to RGT Planet and one selfing step  $(BC_2S1)$  to retrieve homozygous 1290 plants at the *amy1\_1* locus. A total of four amy 1\_1-Barke NILs (ProtHap3) and one amy1\_1-1291 Morex NIL (ProtHap0) were developed and tested against RGT Planet (ProtHap4) replicates. 1292 Plants were grown in a greenhouse at 18°C under 16/8-hour light/dark cycles. Foreground and 1293 background molecular markers were used in each generation to assist plant selection. 1294 Respective  $BC_2S_1$  plants were genotyped with the Barley Illumina 15K array (SGS Institut 1295 Fresenius GmbH, TraitGenetics Section, Germany) and grown to maturity. Grains were 1296 harvested and further propagated in field plots in consecutive years in various locations (Nørre 1297 Aaby, Denmark; Lincoln, New Zealand; Maule, France). Grains from field plots were harvested 1298 and threshed using a Wintersteiger Elite plot combiner (Wintersteiger AG, Germany), and 1299 sorted by size (threshold, 2.5 mm) using a Pfeuffer SLN3 sample cleaner (Pfeuffer GmbH, 1300 Germany). 1301

#### 1302 Micro-malting and α-amylase activity analysis

1303 Non-dormant barley samples of RGT Planet and respective NILs with different *amy1 1* 1304 haplotypes (50g each, graded >2.5 mm) were micro-malted in perforated stainless-steel 1305 boxes. The barley samples were steeped at 15 °C by submersion of the boxes in water. 1306 Steeping took place for six hours on day one, three hours on day two and one hour on day 1307 three, followed by air rests, to reach 35%, 40% and 45% water content, respectively. The actual 1308 water uptake of individual samples was determined as the weight difference between inifal 1309 water content, measured with Foss 1241 NIT instrument (Foss A/S, Hillerød, Denmark), and 1310 the sample weight after surface water removal. During air rest, metal beakers were placed 1311 into a germination box at 15°C. Following the last steep, the barley samples were germinated 1312 for 3 days at 15°C. Finally, barley samples were kiln dried in an MMK Curio kiln (Curio Group 1313 Ltd, Buckingham, England) using a two-step ramping profile. First ramping step started at a set 1314 point of 27°C and a linear ramping at 2°C/h to the breakpoint at 55°C using 100% fresh air. 1315 Second linear ramping was at 4°C/h reaching a maximum at 85°C. This temperature was kept 1316 constant for 90 minutes using 50% air recirculation. The kilned samples were then deculmed 1317 using a manual root removal system (Wissenschaftliche Station für Brauerei, Munich, 1318 Germany).  $\alpha$ -amylase activity was measured using the Ceralpha method (Ceralpha Method 1319 MR-CAAR4, Megazyme) modified for Gallery Plus Beermaster (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

1320

#### 1321 **Rachilla hair ploidy measurements**

1322 Ploidy assessment was performed on rachillae harvested from barley spikes at developmental 1323 stage<sup>70</sup> ~W9.0. Once isolated, rachillae were fixed with 50% Ethanol/10% acetic acid for 16h 1324 after which they were stained with 1  $\mu$ M 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindol (DAPI) in 50 mM 1325 phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) supplemented with 0.05% Triton X100. Probes were analyzed with 1326 a Zeiss LSM780 confocal laser scanning microscope using a 20x NA 0.8 objective, zoom 4x, and 1327 image size 512 x 512 pixel. DAPI was visualized with a 405 nm laser line in combinafon with a 1328 405–475 nm bandpass filter. Pinhole was set to ensure the whole nucleus was measured in 1329 one scan. Size and fluorescence intensity of the nuclei were measured with ZEN black (ZEISS) 1330 software. For data normalization small round nuclei of the epidermal proper were used for 2C 1331 calibration.

1332

#### 1333 **Scanning electron microscopy**

1334 Sample preparation and recording by scanning electron microscopy was essentially performed 1335 as described previously<sup>71</sup>. In brief, samples were fixed overnight at 4°C in 50 mM phosphate 1336 buffer (pH 7.2) containing 2%  $v/v$  glutaraldehyde and 2%  $v/v$  formaldehyde. After washing 1337 with distilled water and dehydration in an ascending ethanol series, samples were critical 1338 point-dried in a Bal-Tec critical point dryer (Leica microsystems, https://www.leica-1339 microsystems.com). Dried specimens were attached to carbon-coated aluminium sample 1340 blocks and gold-coated in an Edwards S150B spurer coater (Edwards High Vacuum Inc., 1341 http://www.edwardsvacuum.com). Probes were examined in a Zeiss Gemini30 scanning 1342 electron microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, https://www.zeiss.de) at 5 kV acceleration 1343 voltage. Images were digitally recorded.

1344

#### 1345 **Linkage mapping of** *SHORT RACHILLA HAIR 1 (HvSRH1)*

1346 Inifal linkage mapping was performed using genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) data of a large 1347 'Morex' x 'Barke' F<sub>8</sub> RIL population<sup>72</sup> (ENA project PRJEB14130). The GBS data of 163 RILs, 1348 phenotyped for rachilla hair in the  $F_{11}$  generation, and the two parental genotypes were 1349 extracted from the variant matrix using VCF tools<sup>66</sup> and filtered as described previously<sup>24</sup> for a 1350 minimum depth of sequencing to accept heterozygous and homozygous calls of 4 and 6, 1351 respectively, a minimum mapping quality score of the SNPs of 30, a minimal fraction of 1352 homozygous calls of 30 %, and a maximum fraction of missing data of 25%. The linkage map 1353 was built with the R package  $ASMap^{73}$  using the MSTMap algorithm<sup>74</sup> and the Kosambi 1354 mapping function, forcing the linkage group to split according to the physical chromosomes. 1355 The linkage mapping was done with R/qtl<sup>75</sup> using the binary model of the scanone function 1356 with the EM method<sup>76</sup>. The significance threshold was calculated running 1000 permutations 1357 and the interval was determined by a LOD drop of 1. To confirm consistency between the  $F_8$ 1358 RIL genotypes and F<sub>11</sub> RIL phenotypes, three PCR Allele Competitive Extension (PACE) markers 1359 were designed though 3CR Bioscience (Essex, UK) free assay design service, using 1360 polymorphisms between the genome assemblies of the two parents (**Supplementary Table 23**), and PACE genotyping was performed as described earlier<sup>77</sup>. To reduce the *Srh1* interval, 1362 22 recombinant  $F_8$  RILs were sequenced by Illumina whole-genome sequencing (WGS), the 1363 sequencing reads were mapped on MorexV3 reference genome<sup>52</sup>, and the SNP called. The 100 1364 bp region around the flanking SNPs of the *Srh1* interval as well as the sequence of the 1365 candidate gene HORVU.MOREX.r3.5HG0492730 were compared to the pangenome 1366 assemblies using BLASTN<sup>78</sup> to identify the corresponding coordinates and extract the 1367 respective intervals for comparison. Gene sequences were aligned with Muscle5 (ref.  $79$ ). 1368 Structural variation between intervals was assessed with LASTZ<sup>59</sup> version 1.04.03. The motif 1369 search was carried out with the EMBOSS<sup>80</sup> 6.5.7 tool fuzznuc.

1370

#### 1371 **Cas9-mediated mutagenesis**

1372 Guide RNA (gRNA) target moffs in the 'Golden Promise' *HvSrh1* candidate gene 1373 HORVU.GOLDEN\_PROMISE.PROJ.5HG00440000.1 were selected by using the online tool WU-1374 CRISPR<sup>81</sup> to induce translational frameshift mutations by insertion/deletion of nucleotides 1375 leading to loss-of-function of the gene. One pair of target motifs (gRNA1a: 1376 CCTCGCTGCCCGCCGACGC, gRNA1b: GACAAGACGAAGGCCGCGG) was selected within the 1377 *HvSrh1* candidate gene based on their posifon within the first half of the coding sequence 1378 and the two-dimensional minimum free energy structures of the cognate single-gRNAs 1379 (NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNGUUUUAGAGCUAGAAAUAGCAAGUUAAAAUAAGGCUAGUC 1380 CGUUAUCAACUUGAAAAAGUGGCACCGAGUCGGUGCUUUU) as modelled by the RNAfold 1381 WebServer<sup>82</sup> and validated as suggested by Koeppel et al. <sup>83</sup>. gRNA-containing transformation 1382 vectors were cloned using the modular CasCADE vector system 1383 (https://doi.org/10.15488/13200). gRNA-specific sequences were ordered as DNA 1384 oligonucleofdes (**Supplementary Table 24**) with specific overhangs for BsaI-based cloning 1385 into the gRNA-module vectors carrying the gRNA scaffold, driven by the *Triticum aestivum* U6 1386 promoter. Golden Gate assembly of gRNAs and the *cas9* module, driven by the *Zea mays*  1387 Polyubiquitin 1 (*ZmUbi1*) promotor, were performed according to the CasCADE protocol to 1388 generate the intermediate vector pHP21. To generate the binary vector pHP22, the gRNA and 1389 cas9 expression units were cloned using Sfil into the generic vector<sup>84</sup> p6i-2x35S-TE9 that 1390 harbours an *hpt* gene under control of a doubled-enhanced *CaMV35S* promoter in its transfer-1391 DNA for plant selection. *Agrobacterium*-mediated DNA transfer to immature embryos of the 1392 spring barley Golden Promise was performed as previously described<sup>85</sup>. In brief, immature 1393 embryos were excised from caryopses 12-14 days after pollination and co-cultivated with 1394 *Agrobacterium* strain AGL1 carrying pHP22 for 48 hours. Then, the explants were culfvated 1395 for further callus formation under selective conditions using Timentin and hygromycin, which 1396 was followed by plant regenerafon. The presence of T-DNA in regenerated plantlets was 1397 confirmed by *hpt*- and *cas9*-specific PCRs (primer sequences in **Supplementary Table 24**). 1398 Primary mutant plants ( $M_1$  generation) were identified by PCR amplification of the target 1399 region (primer sequences in **Supplementary Table 24**) followed by Sanger sequencing at LGC 1400 Genomics GmbH (Berlin, Germany). Double or multiple peaks in the sequence chromatogram 1401 starting around the Cas9 cleavage site upstream of the target's protospacer-adjacent motif 1402 (PAM) were considered as an indicafon for chimeric and/or heterozygous mutants. Mutant 1403 plants were grown in a glasshouse until the formation of mature grains. M<sub>2</sub> plants were grown 1404 in a climate chamber under speed breeding condifons (22 h light at 22 °C and 2 h dark at 19 1405  $\degree$ C, adapted from Watson et al. <sup>86</sup> and genotyped by Sanger sequencing of PCR amplicons as 1406 given above. M<sub>2</sub> grains were subjected to phenotyping.

1407

#### 1408 **FIND-IT library construction**

1409 We constructed a FIND-IT library in cv. 'Etincel' (6-row winter malting barley; SECOBRA 1410 Recherches) as described in Knudsen et al.  $87$ . In short, we induced mutations by incubating 1411 2.5 kg of 'Etincel' grain in water overnight at 8°C following an incubation in 0.3 mM NaN<sub>3</sub> at 1412 pH 3.0 for 2 hours at 20°C with continuous application of oxygen. After thoroughly washing 1413 with water, the grains were air-dried in a fume hood for 48 hours. Mutagenized grains were 1414 sown in fields in Nørre Aaby, Denmark, and harvested in bulk using a Wintersteiger Elite plot 1415 combiner (Wintersteiger AG, Germany). In the following generation, 2.5 kg of grain was sown 1416 in fields in Lincoln, New Zealand, and 188 pools of approximately 300 plants each were hand-1417 harvested and threshed. A representafve sample, 25% of each pool, was milled (Retsch 1418 GM200, Haan, Germany), and DNA was extracted from 25 g of the flour by LGC Genomics 1419 GmbH (Berlin, Germany).

1420

# 1421 **FIND-IT screening**

1422 The FIND-IT 'Etincel' library was screened as described in Knudsen et al.  $87$  using a single assay 1423 for the isolation of *srh1*<sup>P63S</sup> variant [ID# CB-FINDit-Hv-014]. Forward primer 5' 1424 AATCCTGCAGTCCTTGG 3', reverse primer 5' GAGGAGAAGAAGGAGCC 3', mutant probe 5'6- 1425 FAM/CGTGGACGT/ZEN/CGACG/3'IABkFQ/ Wild type probe 1426 /5'SUN/ACGTGGGCG/ZEN/TCGA/3'IABkFQ/ Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.

1427

# 1428 **4K SNP chip genotyping**

1429 Genotyping, including DNA extraction from freeze-dried leaf material, was conducted by 1430 TraitGenetics (SGS - TraitGenetics GmbH, Germany). *srh1*<sup>P63S</sup> mutant, the corresponding wild 1431 type 'Efncel' and *srh1* pangenome accessions Morex, RGT Planet, HOR 13942, HOR 9043 and 1432 HOR 21599 were genotyped for background confirmation. Pairwise genetic distance of 1433 individuals was calculated as the average of their per-locus distances<sup>88</sup> using R package 1434 stringdist<sup>89</sup> (v 0.9.8). Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was done with  $R^{64}$  (v 4.0.2) base 1435 function cmdscale based on this genetic distance matrix. The first two PCs were illustrated by 1436 ggplot2 (https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org).

1437

# 1438 **Sanger sequencing**

1439 gDNA of the *srh1*<sup>P63S</sup> variant and 'Etincel' was extracted from one-week old seedling leaves (DNeasy, Plant Mini Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Genomic DNA fragments for sequencing were amplified by PCR using gene specific primers (forward primer 5'TTGCACGATTCAAATGTGGT 3', reverse primer 5' TCACCGGGATCTCTCTGAAT 3') and Taq DNA

 Polymerase (NEB) for 35 cycles (inifal denaturafon at 94°C/3 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C/45 s, 55°C/60 s, and 72°C/60 s for extension, with a final extension step of 72°C/10 min). PCR products were purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-Up Kit (Macherey-Nagel 1446 GmbH & Co. AG, Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sanger sequencing was done at Eurofins Genomics Germany GmbH using a gene-specific sequencing primer (5' AGAACGGAGAGGAGAGAAAGAAG 3').

# 1450 RNA preparation, sequencing, and data analysis

1451 Rachilla tissues from two contrast groups Morex (short), Barke (long) and Bowman (long) and 1452 BW-NIL-srh1 (short) were used for RNA sequencing. The rachilla tissues were collected from 1453 the central spikelets of the respective genotypes at rachilla hair initiation (RI; Waddington 8.0), 1454 and elongation (RE; Waddington 9.5) stages. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) followed by 2-propanol precipitafon. Genomic DNA residues were removed with DNase I (NEB, M0303L). High-throughput paired-end sequencing was conducted at Novogene 1457 Co., Ltd (Cambridge, UK) with Illumina NovaSeq 6000 PE150 Platform. RNAseq reads were 1458 trimmed for adaptor sequences with Trimmomatic<sup>90</sup> (version 0.39) andt the MorexV3 genome 1459 annotation was used as reference to estimate read abundance with Kallisto<sup>91</sup>. The raw read counts were normalized to Transcripts per kilo base per million (TPM) expression levels.

#### *mRNA insitu* hybridization

 *in situ* hybridizafon was conducted in longitudinal and cross secfons derived from whole 1464 spikelet tissues of Bowman and Morex at rachilla hair elongation developmental stage (W9.5) with Hv*SRH1* sense & anfsense probes (124 bp). The *in situ* hybridizafon was performed as 1466 described before with few modifications.

#### **Code availability**

- 1469 Scripts for pangenome graphs analyses are available at https://github.com/mb47/minigraph-
- [barley.](https://github.com/mb47/minigraph-barley) The scripts for calculation of core/shell and cloud genes are deposited to the
- 1471 repository https://github.com/PGSB-HMGU/. The pipeline for identifying structurally
- complex loci is available at hrps://github.com/mtrw/DGS.
- 

# **Data availability**

 All the sequence data collected in this study have been deposited at the European Nucleofde Archive (ENA) under BioProjects PRJEB40587, PRJEB57567 and PRJEB58554 (raw data for pangenome assemblies), PRJEB64639 (pan-transcriptome Illumina data), PRJEB64637 (transcriptome Isoseq data), PRJEB53924 (Illumina resequencing data), PRJEB45466-511 (raw data for gene space assemblies), PRJEB65284 (*srh1* transcriptome data). Accession codes for individual genotypes are listed in supplementary tables: **Supplementary Table 1** (pangenome assemblies and associated raw data), **Supplementary Table 2** (transcriptome data), **Supplementary Table 5** (Illumina resequencing), **Supplementary Table 6** (gene space assemblies).

# **References for Methods**

- 
- 1487 1 Dvorak, J., McGuire, P. E. & Cassidy, B. Apparent sources of the A genomes of wheats inferred from polymorphism in abundance and restricfon fragment length of











